Agenda Date: November 9, 2005

Item Number: C4

Docket: UE-030423

Subject: Rulemaking to Consider Changes to Chapter 480-107 WAC Electric

Companies-purchases of electricity from qualifying facilities and independent power producers and purchases of electrical savings

from conservation suppliers

Staff: Graciela Etchart, Rulemaking Lead

Hank McIntosh, Economist

Yohannes Mariam, Regulatory Analyst Joelle Steward, Regulatory Analyst Nicolas Garcia, Senior Policy Strategist Roger Kouchi, Regulatory Analyst

Dennis Moss, Administrative Law Judge

Robert Cedarbaum, Assistant Attorney General Julia Ojard, Administrative Support – Energy Section

Glenn Blackmon, Director Regulatory Services

Discussion

On April 15, 2003, the Commission filed Preproposal Statements of Inquiry, CR-101, with the Code Reviser, opening a rulemaking that would consider possible changes to Chapter 480-107 WAC, rules relating to purchases of electricity from qualifying facilities and independent power producers and purchases of electrical savings from conservation suppliers by electric companies. As part of these rulemakings the Commission has conducted a comprehensive review of these rules to analyze: (1) whether the current rules provide the results that they were originally intended to achieve, (2) whether the rules are consistent with laws, with appropriate and lawful policies, and with the advances in technology in the electric industry, and (3) some specific issues, such as conditions that trigger a Request for Proposals (RFP) process, schedule for and conditions to waive a RFP process, staff role in such a process, the need to clarify the beneficiary of competitive markets under regulated monopolies (i.e. fairness for providers or reasonableness for consumers), the need to ensure that only non-interested parties are reviewers of the RFP and the bidding process, approval of acquisitions and projects prior to a general rate case, consistency with Chapter 480-146 WAC "Securities and Affiliated Interests," and consistency with the Energy Policy Act (EPACT) and FERC 888/889.

Docket UE-030423 November 9, 2005 Page 2

On October 5, 2005, the Commission filed a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (CR-102) with the Office of the Code Reviser proposing changes to Chapter 480-107 WAC. Several stakeholders provided comments to the proposed language.

The Commission held two stakeholder workshops in June 2003 and June 2005, and solicited three rounds of written comments from stakeholders. The Commission received comments from Avista Utilities, Cascade Natural Gas, Climate Solutions, the Cogeneration Coalition of Washington (CCW), the Department of Community Trade and Economic Development(CTED), Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities (ICNU), the Natural Resources Defense Council, Northwest CHP Advocates, the Northwest Energy Coalition (NWEC), the Northwest Independent Power Producers Coalition (NIPPC), Northwest Natural Gas, PacifiCorp, Public Counsel, Puget Sound Energy, the Renewable Northwest Project, and Mr. Andy Silber. Stakeholders provided suggestions about several issues in the current language.

The rules proposed by the Commission on October 5, 2005, modify existing rules in different ways, such as:

- The chapter was reorganized and rewritten for clarity;
- Ranking criteria to evaluate and rank project proposals is now more specific in addressing environmental effects;
- When a utility, a utility's subsidiary or an affiliated interest submit a bid in response to a RFP, a competing bidder may request the commission to appoint an independent third party, of Commission's choosing, to assist commission staff in its review of the bid. If the commission grants the request, the fees charged by the independent third party will be paid by the party that requested the review;
- Utilities, whose IRP indicates that the utility does not need additional resources
 within three years, are not required to issue an RFP. The three-year period was
 chosen because that is approximately the shortest time needed to secure new
 capacity resources.

A summary of the comments received from stakeholders is included in Attachment A. The revised rules with stakeholders' suggestions are included in Attachment B.

Attachment A Attachment B