

Exh. ATL-1T
Docket UE-25_____
Witness: André T. Lipinski

**BEFORE THE WASHINGTON
UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

v.

PACIFICORP dba
PACIFIC POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Respondent.

Docket UE-25_____

**PACIFICORP
DIRECT TESTIMONY OF ANDRÉ T. LIPINSKI**

April 2025

1 **Q. Please state your name, business address and present position with PacifiCorp**
2 **d/b/a Pacific Power & Light Company (PacifiCorp or Company).**

3 A. My name is André T. Lipinski. My business address is 825 NE Multnomah Street,
4 Suite 2000, Portland, Oregon 97232. My present position is Pricing and Cost of
5 Service Specialist.

6 **Q. Briefly describe your educational and professional background.**

7 A. I graduated from the University of California, Davis in 2005 with a Bachelor of Arts
8 degree in Classical Civilization, and from the University of Southern California in
9 2011 with a Master of Public Administration degree. In addition to my formal
10 education, I have worked for the Company since 2013, primarily on pricing and cost
11 of service matters. I was promoted to my present position in May 2023.

12 **Q. Have you testified in previous regulatory proceedings?**

13 A. Yes. I have filed testimony on behalf of the Company in regulatory proceedings in
14 Wyoming and California.

15 **Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?**

16 A. My testimony presents and supports the Company's 2025 Power Cost Only Rate Case
17 (PCORC) rate spread and rate design proposals.

18 **Q. What is the overall price change that the Company proposes for the 2025**
19 **PCORC?**

20 A. As described in the testimony of Company witness Sherona L. Cheung, the Company
21 proposes a \$33.9 million or 7.9 percent annual revenue increase.

1 **Q. How does the Company propose to allocate this increase to customers?**

2 A. In accordance with Washington's cost of service rules,¹ the Company proposes
3 allocating the power cost-related changes according to each rate schedule's kilowatt-
4 hour usage during the 12 months ended June 2022 test period (test period).² For the
5 changes unrelated to power costs, including the Temporary Coal Cost Adjustment
6 (Schedule 92) changes, the Company proposes to use the cost of service study Factor
7 10 percentages from the 2023 general rate case.³

8 **Q. How does the Company propose for these allocations to change the present tariff**
9 **prices?**

10 A. The Company proposes changing the base per kilowatt-hour prices for each rate
11 schedule. When calculating the price changes, the Company assumed the test period
12 kilowatt-hour usage associated with each rate schedule. For Company-owned lighting
13 (Schedules 51 and 15), the Company proposes changing the per lamp prices
14 according to the Schedule's lamp counts and the monthly kilowatt-hours used by
15 LED-equivalent lamps.⁴ The Company proposes changing the Schedule 92 prices
16 according to the same method the Company used in the 2023 general rate case, which
17 includes changing the Schedule 92 per kilowatt-hour prices according to the Schedule
18 92 revenue change allocation and test period kilowatt-hour usage associated with
19 each rate schedule.

¹ See Table 2 of WAC 480-85-060.

² The 2023 general rate case test period. See Docket No. UE-230172.

³ *Wash. v PacifiCorp*, Docket Nos. UE-230172 and UE-210852 (Consolidated).

⁴ See the Schedule 51 and 15 tariff sheets for these kilowatt-hour values.

1 **Q. Have you included workpapers supporting these revenue allocation and tariff**
2 **price change proposals?**

3 A. Yes. Exhibit No. ATL-2 shows the Company’s proposed annual revenue estimates,
4 prices, and bill comparisons. Exhibit No. ATL-3 shows both clean and legislative
5 (redline) tariff revisions that include the Company’s proposed prices for the
6 January 1, 2026 effective date.

7 **Q. What prices and revenue do these exhibits assume will be effective (present)**
8 **before the Company’s proposed changes in this case?**

9 A. My workpapers and Exhibit No. ATL-2 assume present revenue levels and tariff
10 prices according to what the Company has requested in its rate year two compliance
11 in the 2023 general rate case.⁵ The Exhibit No. ATL-3 redline tariff sheets utilize the
12 currently-effective tariff sheets.

13 **Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?**

14 A. Yes.

⁵ *Wash. v PacifiCorp*, Docket Nos. UE-230172 and UE-210852 (Consolidated), PacifiCorp’s Compliance Filing - Revisions to PacifiCorp’s General Tariffs—Rate Year 2 filed March 7, 2025.