			<u> </u>
	1		3
1	BEFORE THE WASHINGTON STATE	1	BRIEF ADJUDICATIVE PROCEEDINGS
2	UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION	2	VOLUME I: INDEX
3		3	WITNESSES: PAGE
4	) In the Matter of the Investigation of: )	4	DAVID PRATT10
5	) Docket Nos. AAA PARTY BUS, LLC, d/b/a SEATTLE ) TE-160910	5	Examination by Mr. Roberson 10
6	PARTY BUS RENTALS, ) TE-160824	6	PAMELA A. GREEN and TRAVIS EDWARDS
7	For Compliance with WAC 480-30-221 )	7	Direct Testimony
8		8	Direct Testimony
9	BRIEF ADJUDICATIVE PROCEEDING, VOLUME I	9	
10	PAGES 1 - 27	10	
11	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE RAYNE PEARSON	11	
12		12	* * * *
13		13	
14	1:31 P.M.	14	
15	AUGUST 24, 2016	15	
16		16	
17	Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission	17	
18	1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Room 206 Olympia, Washington 98504-7250	18	
19		19	
20	REPORTED BY: RYAN ZIEGLER, RPR, CCR #3348	20	
21	Buell Realtime Reporting, LLC	21	
22	1325 Fourth Avenue Suite 1840	22	
23	Seattle, Washington 98101 206.287.9066   Seattle	23	
24	360.534.9066   Olympia 800.846.6989   National	24	
25	www.buellrealtime.com	25	
	_		_
1	APPEARANCES 2	1	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; AUGUST 24, 2016
2		2	1:31 P.M.
3	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:	3	000
4	RAYNE PEARSON Utilities and Transportation Commission P.O. Box 47250 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest Olympia, Washington 98504 360.664.1136	4	
5	1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest	5	JUDGE PEARSON: Well, then, we will be on the
6	Olympia, Washington 98504 360.664.1136	6	record.
7		7	Good afternoon. This is Docket TE-160910,
8	FOR COMMISSION STAFF:	8	which is captioned in the matter of the investigation of
9	JEFFREY RUBERSUN Assistant Attorney General	9	AAA Party Bus, LLC, d/b/a Seattle Party Bus Rentals, for
10	P.O. Box 40128	10	compliance with WAC 480-30-221.
11	JEFFREY ROBERSON Assistant Attorney General 1400 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest P.O. Box 40128 Olympia, Washington 98504 360.664.1188 jroberso@utc.wa.gov	11	My name is Rayne Pearson. I'm the
12	Jroberso@utc.wa.gov	12	administrative law judge presiding over today's brief
13	FOR AAA PARTY BUS, LLC:	13	adjudicative proceeding; and today is Wednesday,
14	PAMELA A. GREEN TRAVIS EDWARDS	14	August 24th, 2016, at approximately 1:30 p.m.
15	AAA Party Bus, LLC	15	On August 2nd, 2016, the Commission issued a
16	AAA Party Bus, LLC 4752 Delridge Way Southwest Seattle, Washington 98106 425.890.2066	16	notice of intent to cancel certificate as an auto
17	425.890.2066 pamsatwork@gmail.com	17	transportation carrier, notice of brief adjudicative
18		18	proceeding, setting time for oral statements. The
19		19	Commission issued the notice of intent to cancel following
20	* * * *	20	a compliance review conducted by Commission Staff in
21		21	June 2016 which resulted in a proposed unsatisfactory
22		22	safety rating for Seattle Party Bus Rentals.
23		23	The Company had until August 17th, 2016, to
24			
21		24	file a proposed safety management plan, which I understand
25		24 25	file a proposed safety management plan, which I understand the Company has done; correct?

1	9 MR. ROBERSON: Good morning or good		EXAMINATION BY ROBERSON / PRATT
2	afternoon, Your Honor. I'm Jeff Roberson. It's	1	Q. And what is your occupation?
3	R-O-B-E-R-S-O-N. I'm an assistant attorney general	2	A. I am the assistant director for transportation
4	representing Commission Staff.	3	safety.
5	My address is 1400 South Evergreen Park Drive	4	Q. And how long have you held that position?
6	Southwest, P.O. Box 40128, Olympia, Washington, 98504. My	5	A. 11 years.
7	phone number is (360)664-1188, and my e-mail is	6	Q. Are you generally familiar with state and federal
8	jroberso@utc.wa.gov.	7	regulations governing motor carrier operations?  A. Yes, I am.
9	JUDGE PEARSON: Great. Thank you.	8	Q. Okay. Turning to the matter at hand, are you
10	And, Ms. Green, if you could state your full	9	familiar with the compliance investigation of AAA Party
11	name and spell your last name for the record and then give	11	Bus?
12	us your address, phone number, and e-mail address, and let	12	A. Yes. I reviewed that investigation when
13	me know what your position is with the Company as well.	13	Mr. Gilbert submitted it and approved his report, and I'm
14	MS. GREEN: My full name is Pamela Anne	14	very familiar with it.
15	Green, G-R-E-E-N. My address is 4752 Delridge Way	15	Q. Are you aware of the proposed safety rating
16	Southwest, Seattle, 98106. My e-mail address is	16	resulting from that compliance investigation?
17	pamsatwork@gmail.com, which is P-A-M-S-A-T-W-O-R-K at	17	A. Yes.
18	gmail.com. And what was the last one?	18	Q. And what was that safety rating proposed safety
19	JUDGE PEARSON: Phone number.	19	rating?
20	MS. GREEN: (425)890-2066.	20	A. Unsatisfactory safety rating based on the the
21	JUDGE PEARSON: And what's your position with	21	type of violations that were discovered.
22	the Company?	22	Q. And does or did AAA Party Bus have an opportunity
23	MS. GREEN: Member.	23	to elevate its safety rating? Increase it?
24	JUDGE PEARSON: Member?	24	A. Yes. I I believe at the closing conference
25	MS. GREEN: Yeah.	25	that was conducted by Mr. Gilbert that he explained to them
1	.ILIDGE PEARSON: Okav		EXAMINATION BY ROBERSON / PRATT
1	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.	1	EXAMINATION BY ROBERSON / PRATT 12 the process, that they had 45 days to submit a safety
2	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: It's just him and I, so.	1 2	12
2 3	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.		the process, that they had 45 days to submit a safety
2 3 4	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: It's just him and I, so.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So you two are the owners of	2	the process, that they had 45 days to submit a safety management plan that addressed the violations, how they occurred, how they were going to be prevented from occurring again in the future, and how the Company was
2 3 4 5	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: It's just him and I, so.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So you two are the owners of  MS. GREEN: Correct. Mm-hmm.	2	the process, that they had 45 days to submit a safety management plan that addressed the violations, how they occurred, how they were going to be prevented from occurring again in the future, and how the Company was going to be in compliance.
2 3 4 5	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: It's just him and I, so.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So you two are the owners of	2 3 4 5 6	the process, that they had 45 days to submit a safety management plan that addressed the violations, how they occurred, how they were going to be prevented from occurring again in the future, and how the Company was going to be in compliance.  And the Company did submit that to Mr. Gilbert. I
2 3 4 5 6 7	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: It's just him and I, so.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So you two are the owners of  MS. GREEN: Correct. Mm-hmm.  JUDGE PEARSON: the entity? Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7	the process, that they had 45 days to submit a safety management plan that addressed the violations, how they occurred, how they were going to be prevented from occurring again in the future, and how the Company was going to be in compliance.  And the Company did submit that to Mr. Gilbert. I believe they exchanged a couple of drafts to get that into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: It's just him and I, so.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So you two are the owners of  MS. GREEN: Correct. Mm-hmm.  JUDGE PEARSON: the entity? Okay. Okay. Great. So, Mr. Roberson, you can go	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the process, that they had 45 days to submit a safety management plan that addressed the violations, how they occurred, how they were going to be prevented from occurring again in the future, and how the Company was going to be in compliance.  And the Company did submit that to Mr. Gilbert. I believe they exchanged a couple of drafts to get that into the condition that we believe was acceptable, and then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: It's just him and I, so.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So you two are the owners of  MS. GREEN: Correct. Mm-hmm.  JUDGE PEARSON: the entity? Okay.  Okay. Great. So, Mr. Roberson, you can go ahead and proceed with the issue of the Company's proposed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the process, that they had 45 days to submit a safety management plan that addressed the violations, how they occurred, how they were going to be prevented from occurring again in the future, and how the Company was going to be in compliance.  And the Company did submit that to Mr. Gilbert. I believe they exchanged a couple of drafts to get that into the condition that we believe was acceptable, and then Mr. Gilbert reviewed it as part of his duties and then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: It's just him and I, so.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So you two are the owners of  MS. GREEN: Correct. Mm-hmm.  JUDGE PEARSON: the entity? Okay.  Okay. Great. So, Mr. Roberson, you can go ahead and proceed with the issue of the Company's proposed safety management plan when you're ready.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the process, that they had 45 days to submit a safety management plan that addressed the violations, how they occurred, how they were going to be prevented from occurring again in the future, and how the Company was going to be in compliance.  And the Company did submit that to Mr. Gilbert. I believe they exchanged a couple of drafts to get that into the condition that we believe was acceptable, and then Mr. Gilbert reviewed it as part of his duties and then provided it to me with his recommendations.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: It's just him and I, so.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So you two are the owners of  MS. GREEN: Correct. Mm-hmm.  JUDGE PEARSON: the entity? Okay.  Okay. Great. So, Mr. Roberson, you can go ahead and proceed with the issue of the Company's proposed safety management plan when you're ready.  MR. ROBERSON: Staff would like to call Dave	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the process, that they had 45 days to submit a safety management plan that addressed the violations, how they occurred, how they were going to be prevented from occurring again in the future, and how the Company was going to be in compliance.  And the Company did submit that to Mr. Gilbert. I believe they exchanged a couple of drafts to get that into the condition that we believe was acceptable, and then Mr. Gilbert reviewed it as part of his duties and then provided it to me with his recommendations.  Q. And did you also review the safety management
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: It's just him and I, so.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So you two are the owners of  MS. GREEN: Correct. Mm-hmm.  JUDGE PEARSON: the entity? Okay.  Okay. Great. So, Mr. Roberson, you can go ahead and proceed with the issue of the Company's proposed safety management plan when you're ready.  MR. ROBERSON: Staff would like to call Dave Pratt, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the process, that they had 45 days to submit a safety management plan that addressed the violations, how they occurred, how they were going to be prevented from occurring again in the future, and how the Company was going to be in compliance.  And the Company did submit that to Mr. Gilbert. I believe they exchanged a couple of drafts to get that into the condition that we believe was acceptable, and then Mr. Gilbert reviewed it as part of his duties and then provided it to me with his recommendations.  Q. And did you also review the safety management plan?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: It's just him and I, so.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So you two are the owners of  MS. GREEN: Correct. Mm-hmm.  JUDGE PEARSON: the entity? Okay.  Okay. Great. So, Mr. Roberson, you can go ahead and proceed with the issue of the Company's proposed safety management plan when you're ready.  MR. ROBERSON: Staff would like to call Dave Pratt, please.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Pratt, if you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the process, that they had 45 days to submit a safety management plan that addressed the violations, how they occurred, how they were going to be prevented from occurring again in the future, and how the Company was going to be in compliance.  And the Company did submit that to Mr. Gilbert. I believe they exchanged a couple of drafts to get that into the condition that we believe was acceptable, and then Mr. Gilbert reviewed it as part of his duties and then provided it to me with his recommendations.  Q. And did you also review the safety management plan?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: It's just him and I, so.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So you two are the owners of  MS. GREEN: Correct. Mm-hmm.  JUDGE PEARSON: the entity? Okay.  Okay. Great. So, Mr. Roberson, you can go ahead and proceed with the issue of the Company's proposed safety management plan when you're ready.  MR. ROBERSON: Staff would like to call Dave Pratt, please.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Pratt, if you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the process, that they had 45 days to submit a safety management plan that addressed the violations, how they occurred, how they were going to be prevented from occurring again in the future, and how the Company was going to be in compliance.  And the Company did submit that to Mr. Gilbert. I believe they exchanged a couple of drafts to get that into the condition that we believe was acceptable, and then Mr. Gilbert reviewed it as part of his duties and then provided it to me with his recommendations.  Q. And did you also review the safety management plan?  A. Yes.  Q. And did you find that it addressed the violations
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: It's just him and I, so.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So you two are the owners of  MS. GREEN: Correct. Mm-hmm.  JUDGE PEARSON: the entity? Okay.  Okay. Great. So, Mr. Roberson, you can go ahead and proceed with the issue of the Company's proposed safety management plan when you're ready.  MR. ROBERSON: Staff would like to call Dave Pratt, please.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Pratt, if you could please stand and raise your right hand.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the process, that they had 45 days to submit a safety management plan that addressed the violations, how they occurred, how they were going to be prevented from occurring again in the future, and how the Company was going to be in compliance.  And the Company did submit that to Mr. Gilbert. I believe they exchanged a couple of drafts to get that into the condition that we believe was acceptable, and then Mr. Gilbert reviewed it as part of his duties and then provided it to me with his recommendations.  Q. And did you also review the safety management plan?  A. Yes.  Q. And did you find that it addressed the violations found in the compliance review?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: It's just him and I, so.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So you two are the owners of  MS. GREEN: Correct. Mm-hmm.  JUDGE PEARSON: the entity? Okay. Okay. Great. So, Mr. Roberson, you can go ahead and proceed with the issue of the Company's proposed safety management plan when you're ready.  MR. ROBERSON: Staff would like to call Dave Pratt, please.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Pratt, if you could please stand and raise your right hand.  DAVID PRATT, witness herein, having been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the process, that they had 45 days to submit a safety management plan that addressed the violations, how they occurred, how they were going to be prevented from occurring again in the future, and how the Company was going to be in compliance.  And the Company did submit that to Mr. Gilbert. I believe they exchanged a couple of drafts to get that into the condition that we believe was acceptable, and then Mr. Gilbert reviewed it as part of his duties and then provided it to me with his recommendations.  Q. And did you also review the safety management plan?  A. Yes.  Q. And did you find that it addressed the violations found in the compliance review?  A. Yes, we did. We believe that it addressed each of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: It's just him and I, so.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So you two are the  owners of  MS. GREEN: Correct. Mm-hmm.  JUDGE PEARSON: the entity? Okay.  Okay. Great. So, Mr. Roberson, you can go  ahead and proceed with the issue of the Company's proposed safety management plan when you're ready.  MR. ROBERSON: Staff would like to call Dave  Pratt, please.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Pratt, if you  could please stand and raise your right hand.  DAVID PRATT, witness herein, having been  first duly sworn on oath,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the process, that they had 45 days to submit a safety management plan that addressed the violations, how they occurred, how they were going to be prevented from occurring again in the future, and how the Company was going to be in compliance.  And the Company did submit that to Mr. Gilbert. I believe they exchanged a couple of drafts to get that into the condition that we believe was acceptable, and then Mr. Gilbert reviewed it as part of his duties and then provided it to me with his recommendations.  Q. And did you also review the safety management plan?  A. Yes.  Q. And did you find that it addressed the violations found in the compliance review?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: It's just him and I, so.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So you two are the  owners of  MS. GREEN: Correct. Mm-hmm.  JUDGE PEARSON: the entity? Okay.  Okay. Great. So, Mr. Roberson, you can go  ahead and proceed with the issue of the Company's proposed safety management plan when you're ready.  MR. ROBERSON: Staff would like to call Dave  Pratt, please.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Pratt, if you  could please stand and raise your right hand.  DAVID PRATT, witness herein, having been  first duly sworn on oath,  was examined and testified	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the process, that they had 45 days to submit a safety management plan that addressed the violations, how they occurred, how they were going to be prevented from occurring again in the future, and how the Company was going to be in compliance.  And the Company did submit that to Mr. Gilbert. I believe they exchanged a couple of drafts to get that into the condition that we believe was acceptable, and then Mr. Gilbert reviewed it as part of his duties and then provided it to me with his recommendations.  Q. And did you also review the safety management plan?  A. Yes.  Q. And did you find that it addressed the violations found in the compliance review?  A. Yes, we did. We believe that it addressed each of the violations appropriately about how they were allowed to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: It's just him and I, so.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So you two are the  owners of  MS. GREEN: Correct. Mm-hmm.  JUDGE PEARSON: the entity? Okay.  Okay. Great. So, Mr. Roberson, you can go  ahead and proceed with the issue of the Company's proposed safety management plan when you're ready.  MR. ROBERSON: Staff would like to call Dave  Pratt, please.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Pratt, if you  could please stand and raise your right hand.  DAVID PRATT, witness herein, having been  first duly sworn on oath,  was examined and testified	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the process, that they had 45 days to submit a safety management plan that addressed the violations, how they occurred, how they were going to be prevented from occurring again in the future, and how the Company was going to be in compliance.  And the Company did submit that to Mr. Gilbert. I believe they exchanged a couple of drafts to get that into the condition that we believe was acceptable, and then Mr. Gilbert reviewed it as part of his duties and then provided it to me with his recommendations.  Q. And did you also review the safety management plan?  A. Yes.  Q. And did you find that it addressed the violations found in the compliance review?  A. Yes, we did. We believe that it addressed each of the violations appropriately about how they were allowed to occur and then put together a plan for how they would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: It's just him and I, so.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So you two are the  owners of  MS. GREEN: Correct. Mm-hmm.  JUDGE PEARSON: the entity? Okay.  Okay. Great. So, Mr. Roberson, you can go  ahead and proceed with the issue of the Company's proposed safety management plan when you're ready.  MR. ROBERSON: Staff would like to call Dave  Pratt, please.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Pratt, if you  could please stand and raise your right hand.  DAVID PRATT, witness herein, having been  first duly sworn on oath,  was examined and testified  as follows:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the process, that they had 45 days to submit a safety management plan that addressed the violations, how they occurred, how they were going to be prevented from occurring again in the future, and how the Company was going to be in compliance.  And the Company did submit that to Mr. Gilbert. I believe they exchanged a couple of drafts to get that into the condition that we believe was acceptable, and then Mr. Gilbert reviewed it as part of his duties and then provided it to me with his recommendations.  Q. And did you also review the safety management plan?  A. Yes.  Q. And did you find that it addressed the violations found in the compliance review?  A. Yes, we did. We believe that it addressed each of the violations appropriately about how they were allowed to occur and then put together a plan for how they would be prevented from reoccurring again in the future. And based
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: It's just him and I, so.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So you two are the  owners of  MS. GREEN: Correct. Mm-hmm.  JUDGE PEARSON: the entity? Okay.  Okay. Great. So, Mr. Roberson, you can go  ahead and proceed with the issue of the Company's proposed safety management plan when you're ready.  MR. ROBERSON: Staff would like to call Dave  Pratt, please.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Pratt, if you  could please stand and raise your right hand.  DAVID PRATT, witness herein, having been  first duly sworn on oath,  was examined and testified  as follows:  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. You may be seated.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the process, that they had 45 days to submit a safety management plan that addressed the violations, how they occurred, how they were going to be prevented from occurring again in the future, and how the Company was going to be in compliance.  And the Company did submit that to Mr. Gilbert. I believe they exchanged a couple of drafts to get that into the condition that we believe was acceptable, and then Mr. Gilbert reviewed it as part of his duties and then provided it to me with his recommendations.  Q. And did you also review the safety management plan?  A. Yes.  Q. And did you find that it addressed the violations found in the compliance review?  A. Yes, we did. We believe that it addressed each of the violations appropriately about how they were allowed to occur and then put together a plan for how they would be prevented from reoccurring again in the future. And based on that, I thought it was acceptable and would accept it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: It's just him and I, so.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So you two are the  owners of  MS. GREEN: Correct. Mm-hmm.  JUDGE PEARSON: the entity? Okay.  Okay. Great. So, Mr. Roberson, you can go  ahead and proceed with the issue of the Company's proposed safety management plan when you're ready.  MR. ROBERSON: Staff would like to call Dave  Pratt, please.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Pratt, if you  could please stand and raise your right hand.  DAVID PRATT, witness herein, having been  first duly sworn on oath,  was examined and testified  as follows:  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. You may be seated.  EXAMINATION	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the process, that they had 45 days to submit a safety management plan that addressed the violations, how they occurred, how they were going to be prevented from occurring again in the future, and how the Company was going to be in compliance.  And the Company did submit that to Mr. Gilbert. I believe they exchanged a couple of drafts to get that into the condition that we believe was acceptable, and then Mr. Gilbert reviewed it as part of his duties and then provided it to me with his recommendations.  Q. And did you also review the safety management plan?  A. Yes.  Q. And did you find that it addressed the violations found in the compliance review?  A. Yes, we did. We believe that it addressed each of the violations appropriately about how they were allowed to occur and then put together a plan for how they would be prevented from reoccurring again in the future. And based on that, I thought it was acceptable and would accept it for recommending to the Commission that we upgrade the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: It's just him and I, so.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So you two are the owners of  MS. GREEN: Correct. Mm-hmm.  JUDGE PEARSON: the entity? Okay. Okay. Great. So, Mr. Roberson, you can go ahead and proceed with the issue of the Company's proposed safety management plan when you're ready.  MR. ROBERSON: Staff would like to call Dave Pratt, please.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Pratt, if you could please stand and raise your right hand.  DAVID PRATT, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows:  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. You may be seated. EXAMINATION  BY MR. ROBERSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the process, that they had 45 days to submit a safety management plan that addressed the violations, how they occurred, how they were going to be prevented from occurring again in the future, and how the Company was going to be in compliance.  And the Company did submit that to Mr. Gilbert. I believe they exchanged a couple of drafts to get that into the condition that we believe was acceptable, and then Mr. Gilbert reviewed it as part of his duties and then provided it to me with his recommendations.  Q. And did you also review the safety management plan?  A. Yes.  Q. And did you find that it addressed the violations found in the compliance review?  A. Yes, we did. We believe that it addressed each of the violations appropriately about how they were allowed to occur and then put together a plan for how they would be prevented from reoccurring again in the future. And based on that, I thought it was acceptable and would accept it for recommending to the Commission that we upgrade the safety rating to conditional.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: It's just him and I, so.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So you two are the  owners of  MS. GREEN: Correct. Mm-hmm.  JUDGE PEARSON: the entity? Okay.  Okay. Great. So, Mr. Roberson, you can go  ahead and proceed with the issue of the Company's proposed safety management plan when you're ready.  MR. ROBERSON: Staff would like to call Dave  Pratt, please.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Pratt, if you  could please stand and raise your right hand.  DAVID PRATT, witness herein, having been  first duly sworn on oath,  was examined and testified  as follows:  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. You may be seated.  E X A M I N A T I O N  BY MR. ROBERSON:  Q. Could you state your name and spell it for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the process, that they had 45 days to submit a safety management plan that addressed the violations, how they occurred, how they were going to be prevented from occurring again in the future, and how the Company was going to be in compliance.  And the Company did submit that to Mr. Gilbert. I believe they exchanged a couple of drafts to get that into the condition that we believe was acceptable, and then Mr. Gilbert reviewed it as part of his duties and then provided it to me with his recommendations.  Q. And did you also review the safety management plan?  A. Yes.  Q. And did you find that it addressed the violations found in the compliance review?  A. Yes, we did. We believe that it addressed each of the violations appropriately about how they were allowed to occur and then put together a plan for how they would be prevented from reoccurring again in the future. And based on that, I thought it was acceptable and would accept it for recommending to the Commission that we upgrade the safety rating to conditional.  MS. GREEN: I'm just anxious to give my side

	EXAMINATION BY ROBERSON / PRATT 13		DIRECT TESTIMONY / GREEN AND EDWARDS 15
1	MS. GREEN: Okay.	1	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Great.
2	BY MR. ROBERSON:	2	So do you want to just briefly give an
3	Q. So your recommendation to the Commission, then, is	3	opening statement, and then maybe we can walk through each
4	that it do what with the safety rating of AAA Party Bus?	4	of the violations in the penalty assessment and talk about
5	A. Yes, that we upgrade it from the the procedure	5	what the Company's doing to address those, and
6	is you upgrade it from unsatisfactory to conditional, and	6	MS. GREEN: Yes.
7	it it is required to stay in that status for a minimum	7	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.
8	of two years per per law.	8	MS. GREEN: The reason and as I had stated
9	MR. ROBERSON: Thank you very much.	9	in my initial request to have our status changed, is the
10	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Can you tell me the	10	reason for the violations is, when he initially went to
11	CFR that talks about the two-year why it has to be	11	have the buses inspected and had the meeting and got the
12	conditional for two years? Do you have that citation?	12	book and what, I was not there.
13	MR. PRATT: Yes. Under the you know, we	13	JUDGE PEARSON: You're talking about
14	adopt the federal	14	Mr. Edwards?
15	JUDGE PEARSON: Right.	15	MS. GREEN: Yes.
16	MR. PRATT: code of federal regulations	16	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.
17	under Title 49, and as part of that, the criteria for	17	MS. GREEN: And so I recall him bringing home
18	evaluating safety ratings has a two-year window. We	18	this book this was multiple years ago and saying,
19	generally, unless there's real unique circumstances, do	19	"Okay. So the regulations are in here."
20	not upgrade a safety rating until at least a minimum of two	20	And I vaguely recall looking through it and
21	years has gone by.	21	going, "Oh, okay. This is for 16-wheel the big
22	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. But do you have a	22	vehicles." And so I, not having gone into great length,
23	citation for that? Which CFR it is?	23	just and my focus, I was mainly concerned about the IRS
24	MR. PRATT: No, I don't.	24	and making sure that our books were accurate and there
25	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.	25	was and the state for the for the funds to show that
	14,		DIRECT TESTIMONY / GREEN AND EDWARDS
1	MR. PRATT: It's just it's really CFR,	1	DIRECT TESTIMONY / GREEN AND EDWARDS 16 our money was accurately deposited and all of that.
1 2	we've adopted that as policy.	1 2	16
	we've adopted that as policy.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.		our money was accurately deposited and all of that.
2	we've adopted that as policy.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. ROBERSON: If you would like, we could	2	our money was accurately deposited and all of that.  And so, basically, I just did not realize
2	we've adopted that as policy.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. ROBERSON: If you would like, we could we could research that and make a filing.	2	our money was accurately deposited and all of that.  And so, basically, I just did not realize that, with just he and I, this that some of the
2 3 4 5 6	we've adopted that as policy.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. ROBERSON: If you would like, we could we could research that and make a filing.  JUDGE PEARSON: That would be great.	2 3 4	our money was accurately deposited and all of that.  And so, basically, I just did not realize that, with just he and I, this that some of the regulations actually complied to the smaller vehicles, and
2 3 4 5	we've adopted that as policy.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. ROBERSON: If you would like, we could we could research that and make a filing.  JUDGE PEARSON: That would be great.  MR. PRATT: But I could give you general;	2 3 4 5	our money was accurately deposited and all of that.  And so, basically, I just did not realize that, with just he and I, this that some of the regulations actually complied to the smaller vehicles, and it it wasn't anything intentional. It was just an
2 3 4 5 6	we've adopted that as policy.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. ROBERSON: If you would like, we could we could research that and make a filing.  JUDGE PEARSON: That would be great.  MR. PRATT: But I could give you general; it'd be under Part 385.	2 3 4 5	our money was accurately deposited and all of that.  And so, basically, I just did not realize that, with just he and I, this that some of the regulations actually complied to the smaller vehicles, and it it wasn't anything intentional. It was just an oversight on my part. Nothing nothing more than that,
2 3 4 5 6 7	we've adopted that as policy.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. ROBERSON: If you would like, we could we could research that and make a filing.  JUDGE PEARSON: That would be great.  MR. PRATT: But I could give you general; it'd be under Part 385.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I can find it, then.	2 3 4 5 6 7	our money was accurately deposited and all of that.  And so, basically, I just did not realize that, with just he and I, this that some of the regulations actually complied to the smaller vehicles, and it it wasn't anything intentional. It was just an oversight on my part. Nothing nothing more than that, other than I did not take the honestly didn't take the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	we've adopted that as policy.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. ROBERSON: If you would like, we could we could research that and make a filing.  JUDGE PEARSON: That would be great.  MR. PRATT: But I could give you general; it'd be under Part 385.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	our money was accurately deposited and all of that.  And so, basically, I just did not realize that, with just he and I, this that some of the regulations actually complied to the smaller vehicles, and it it wasn't anything intentional. It was just an oversight on my part. Nothing nothing more than that, other than I did not take the honestly didn't take the time to read this page by page.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	we've adopted that as policy.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. ROBERSON: If you would like, we could we could research that and make a filing.  JUDGE PEARSON: That would be great.  MR. PRATT: But I could give you general; it'd be under Part 385.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I can find it, then.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	our money was accurately deposited and all of that.  And so, basically, I just did not realize that, with just he and I, this — that some of the regulations actually complied to the smaller vehicles, and it — it wasn't anything intentional. It was just an oversight on my part. Nothing — nothing more than that, other than I did not take the — honestly didn't take the time to read this page by page.  And I think if I had been at the meeting, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	we've adopted that as policy.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. ROBERSON: If you would like, we could we could research that and make a filing.  JUDGE PEARSON: That would be great.  MR. PRATT: But I could give you general; it'd be under Part 385.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I can find it, then.  MR. PRATT: I just don't know the sub-step.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	our money was accurately deposited and all of that.  And so, basically, I just did not realize that, with just he and I, this — that some of the regulations actually complied to the smaller vehicles, and it — it wasn't anything intentional. It was just an oversight on my part. Nothing — nothing more than that, other than I did not take the — honestly didn't take the time to read this page by page.  And I think if I had been at the meeting, the initial meeting, I would have known the appropriate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	we've adopted that as policy.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. ROBERSON: If you would like, we could we could research that and make a filing.  JUDGE PEARSON: That would be great.  MR. PRATT: But I could give you general; it'd be under Part 385.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I can find it, then.  MR. PRATT: I just don't know the sub-step.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I can definitely find	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	our money was accurately deposited and all of that.  And so, basically, I just did not realize that, with just he and I, this that some of the regulations actually complied to the smaller vehicles, and it it wasn't anything intentional. It was just an oversight on my part. Nothing nothing more than that, other than I did not take the honestly didn't take the time to read this page by page.  And I think if I had been at the meeting, the initial meeting, I would have known the appropriate questions to ask, but I just didn't recognize that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	we've adopted that as policy.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. ROBERSON: If you would like, we could we could research that and make a filing.  JUDGE PEARSON: That would be great.  MR. PRATT: But I could give you general; it'd be under Part 385.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I can find it, then.  MR. PRATT: I just don't know the sub-step.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I can definitely find that. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	our money was accurately deposited and all of that.  And so, basically, I just did not realize that, with just he and I, this — that some of the regulations actually complied to the smaller vehicles, and it — it wasn't anything intentional. It was just an oversight on my part. Nothing — nothing more than that, other than I did not take the — honestly didn't take the time to read this page by page.  And I think if I had been at the meeting, the initial meeting, I would have known the appropriate questions to ask, but I just didn't recognize that the nec— the necessity of that. I would have — I certainly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	we've adopted that as policy.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. ROBERSON: If you would like, we could we could research that and make a filing.  JUDGE PEARSON: That would be great.  MR. PRATT: But I could give you general; it'd be under Part 385.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I can find it, then.  MR. PRATT: I just don't know the sub-step.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I can definitely find that. Okay.  Did you have any questions for Mr. Pratt?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	our money was accurately deposited and all of that.  And so, basically, I just did not realize that, with just he and I, this — that some of the regulations actually complied to the smaller vehicles, and it — it wasn't anything intentional. It was just an oversight on my part. Nothing — nothing more than that, other than I did not take the — honestly didn't take the time to read this page by page.  And I think if I had been at the meeting, the initial meeting, I would have known the appropriate questions to ask, but I just didn't recognize that the nec- — the necessity of that. I would have — I certainly would have been there, so that's the gist of it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	we've adopted that as policy.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. ROBERSON: If you would like, we could we could research that and make a filing.  JUDGE PEARSON: That would be great.  MR. PRATT: But I could give you general; it'd be under Part 385.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I can find it, then.  MR. PRATT: I just don't know the sub-step.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I can definitely find that. Okay.  Did you have any questions for Mr. Pratt?  MS. GREEN: No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	our money was accurately deposited and all of that.  And so, basically, I just did not realize that, with just he and I, this — that some of the regulations actually complied to the smaller vehicles, and it — it wasn't anything intentional. It was just an oversight on my part. Nothing — nothing more than that, other than I did not take the — honestly didn't take the time to read this page by page.  And I think if I had been at the meeting, the initial meeting, I would have known the appropriate questions to ask, but I just didn't recognize that the nec- — the necessity of that. I would have — I certainly would have been there, so that's the gist of it.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So if — why don't we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	we've adopted that as policy.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. ROBERSON: If you would like, we could we could research that and make a filing.  JUDGE PEARSON: That would be great.  MR. PRATT: But I could give you general; it'd be under Part 385.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I can find it, then.  MR. PRATT: I just don't know the sub-step.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I can definitely find that. Okay.  Did you have any questions for Mr. Pratt?  MS. GREEN: No.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And do you have any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	our money was accurately deposited and all of that.  And so, basically, I just did not realize that, with just he and I, this that some of the regulations actually complied to the smaller vehicles, and it it wasn't anything intentional. It was just an oversight on my part. Nothing nothing more than that, other than I did not take the honestly didn't take the time to read this page by page.  And I think if I had been at the meeting, the initial meeting, I would have known the appropriate questions to ask, but I just didn't recognize that the nec the necessity of that. I would have I certainly would have been there, so that's the gist of it.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So if why don't we just go through
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	we've adopted that as policy.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. ROBERSON: If you would like, we could we could research that and make a filing.  JUDGE PEARSON: That would be great.  MR. PRATT: But I could give you general; it'd be under Part 385.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I can find it, then.  MR. PRATT: I just don't know the sub-step.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I can definitely find that. Okay.  Did you have any questions for Mr. Pratt?  MS. GREEN: No.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And do you have any further witnesses at this time?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	our money was accurately deposited and all of that.  And so, basically, I just did not realize that, with just he and I, this that some of the regulations actually complied to the smaller vehicles, and it it wasn't anything intentional. It was just an oversight on my part. Nothing nothing more than that, other than I did not take the honestly didn't take the time to read this page by page.  And I think if I had been at the meeting, the initial meeting, I would have known the appropriate questions to ask, but I just didn't recognize that the nec the necessity of that. I would have I certainly would have been there, so that's the gist of it.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So if why don't we just go through MS. GREEN: Mm-hmm.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	we've adopted that as policy.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. ROBERSON: If you would like, we could we could research that and make a filing.  JUDGE PEARSON: That would be great.  MR. PRATT: But I could give you general; it'd be under Part 385.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I can find it, then.  MR. PRATT: I just don't know the sub-step.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I can definitely find that. Okay.  Did you have any questions for Mr. Pratt?  MS. GREEN: No.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And do you have any further witnesses at this time?  MR. ROBERSON: That'll do it for us.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	our money was accurately deposited and all of that.  And so, basically, I just did not realize that, with just he and I, this — that some of the regulations actually complied to the smaller vehicles, and it — it wasn't anything intentional. It was just an oversight on my part. Nothing — nothing more than that, other than I did not take the — honestly didn't take the time to read this page by page.  And I think if I had been at the meeting, the initial meeting, I would have known the appropriate questions to ask, but I just didn't recognize that the nec- — the necessity of that. I would have — I certainly would have been there, so that's the gist of it.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So if — why don't we just go through —  MS. GREEN: Mm-hmm.  JUDGE PEARSON: — each one, if you want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	we've adopted that as policy.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. ROBERSON: If you would like, we could we could research that and make a filing.  JUDGE PEARSON: That would be great.  MR. PRATT: But I could give you general; it'd be under Part 385.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I can find it, then.  MR. PRATT: I just don't know the sub-step.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I can definitely find that. Okay.  Did you have any questions for Mr. Pratt?  MS. GREEN: No.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And do you have any further witnesses at this time?  MR. ROBERSON: That'll do it for us.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So now, Ms. Green, it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	our money was accurately deposited and all of that.  And so, basically, I just did not realize that, with just he and I, this — that some of the regulations actually complied to the smaller vehicles, and it — it wasn't anything intentional. It was just an oversight on my part. Nothing — nothing more than that, other than I did not take the — honestly didn't take the time to read this page by page.  And I think if I had been at the meeting, the initial meeting, I would have known the appropriate questions to ask, but I just didn't recognize that the nec- — the necessity of that. I would have — I certainly would have been there, so that's the gist of it.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So if — why don't we just go through —  MS. GREEN: Mm-hmm.  JUDGE PEARSON: — each one, if you want to explain it and then talk about what the Company has done?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	we've adopted that as policy.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. ROBERSON: If you would like, we could we could research that and make a filing.  JUDGE PEARSON: That would be great.  MR. PRATT: But I could give you general; it'd be under Part 385.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I can find it, then.  MR. PRATT: I just don't know the sub-step.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I can definitely find that. Okay.  Did you have any questions for Mr. Pratt?  MS. GREEN: No.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And do you have any further witnesses at this time?  MR. ROBERSON: That'll do it for us.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So now, Ms. Green, it is your turn, and if you could please stand and raise your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	our money was accurately deposited and all of that.  And so, basically, I just did not realize that, with just he and I, this that some of the regulations actually complied to the smaller vehicles, and it it wasn't anything intentional. It was just an oversight on my part. Nothing nothing more than that, other than I did not take the honestly didn't take the time to read this page by page.  And I think if I had been at the meeting, the initial meeting, I would have known the appropriate questions to ask, but I just didn't recognize that the nec the necessity of that. I would have I certainly would have been there, so that's the gist of it.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So if why don't we just go through  MS. GREEN: Mm-hmm.  JUDGE PEARSON: each one, if you want to explain it and then talk about what the Company has done?  Since I haven't seen the proposed safety management plan,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	we've adopted that as policy.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. ROBERSON: If you would like, we could we could research that and make a filing.  JUDGE PEARSON: That would be great.  MR. PRATT: But I could give you general; it'd be under Part 385.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I can find it, then.  MR. PRATT: I just don't know the sub-step.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I can definitely find that. Okay.  Did you have any questions for Mr. Pratt?  MS. GREEN: No.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And do you have any further witnesses at this time?  MR. ROBERSON: That'll do it for us.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So now, Ms. Green, it is your turn, and if you could please stand and raise your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	our money was accurately deposited and all of that.  And so, basically, I just did not realize that, with just he and I, this that some of the regulations actually complied to the smaller vehicles, and it it wasn't anything intentional. It was just an oversight on my part. Nothing nothing more than that, other than I did not take the honestly didn't take the time to read this page by page.  And I think if I had been at the meeting, the initial meeting, I would have known the appropriate questions to ask, but I just didn't recognize that the nec the necessity of that. I would have I certainly would have been there, so that's the gist of it.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So if why don't we just go through  MS. GREEN: Mm-hmm.  JUDGE PEARSON: each one, if you want to explain it and then talk about what the Company has done? Since I haven't seen the proposed safety management plan, if you could just tell me what the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	we've adopted that as policy.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. ROBERSON: If you would like, we could we could research that and make a filing.  JUDGE PEARSON: That would be great.  MR. PRATT: But I could give you general; it'd be under Part 385.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I can find it, then.  MR. PRATT: I just don't know the sub-step.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I can definitely find that. Okay.  Did you have any questions for Mr. Pratt?  MS. GREEN: No.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And do you have any further witnesses at this time?  MR. ROBERSON: That'll do it for us.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So now, Ms. Green, it is your turn, and if you could please stand and raise your right hand, I will swear you in.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	our money was accurately deposited and all of that.  And so, basically, I just did not realize that, with just he and I, this that some of the regulations actually complied to the smaller vehicles, and it it wasn't anything intentional. It was just an oversight on my part. Nothing nothing more than that, other than I did not take the honestly didn't take the time to read this page by page.  And I think if I had been at the meeting, the initial meeting, I would have known the appropriate questions to ask, but I just didn't recognize that the nec the necessity of that. I would have I certainly would have been there, so that's the gist of it.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So if why don't we just go through  MS. GREEN: Mm-hmm.  JUDGE PEARSON: each one, if you want to explain it and then talk about what the Company has done? Since I haven't seen the proposed safety management plan, if you could just tell me what the  MS. GREEN: Oh, okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	we've adopted that as policy.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. ROBERSON: If you would like, we could we could research that and make a filing.  JUDGE PEARSON: That would be great.  MR. PRATT: But I could give you general; it'd be under Part 385.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I can find it, then.  MR. PRATT: I just don't know the sub-step.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I can definitely find that. Okay.  Did you have any questions for Mr. Pratt?  MS. GREEN: No.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And do you have any further witnesses at this time?  MR. ROBERSON: That'll do it for us.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So now, Ms. Green, it is your turn, and if you could please stand and raise your right hand, I will swear you in.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	our money was accurately deposited and all of that.  And so, basically, I just did not realize that, with just he and I, this — that some of the regulations actually complied to the smaller vehicles, and it — it wasn't anything intentional. It was just an oversight on my part. Nothing — nothing more than that, other than I did not take the — honestly didn't take the time to read this page by page.  And I think if I had been at the meeting, the initial meeting, I would have known the appropriate questions to ask, but I just didn't recognize that the nec- — the necessity of that. I would have — I certainly would have been there, so that's the gist of it.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So if — why don't we just go through —  MS. GREEN: Mm-hmm.  JUDGE PEARSON: — each one, if you want to explain it and then talk about what the Company has done? Since I haven't seen the proposed safety management plan, if you could just tell me what the —  MS. GREEN: Oh, okay.  JUDGE PEARSON: — Company has done to —
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	we've adopted that as policy.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. ROBERSON: If you would like, we could we could research that and make a filing.  JUDGE PEARSON: That would be great.  MR. PRATT: But I could give you general; it'd be under Part 385.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I can find it, then.  MR. PRATT: I just don't know the sub-step.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I can definitely find that. Okay.  Did you have any questions for Mr. Pratt?  MS. GREEN: No.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And do you have any further witnesses at this time?  MR. ROBERSON: That'll do it for us.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So now, Ms. Green, it is your turn, and if you could please stand and raise your right hand, I will swear you in.  PAMELA A. GREEN, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	our money was accurately deposited and all of that.  And so, basically, I just did not realize that, with just he and I, this that some of the regulations actually complied to the smaller vehicles, and it it wasn't anything intentional. It was just an oversight on my part. Nothing nothing more than that, other than I did not take the honestly didn't take the time to read this page by page.  And I think if I had been at the meeting, the initial meeting, I would have known the appropriate questions to ask, but I just didn't recognize that the nec the necessity of that. I would have I certainly would have been there, so that's the gist of it.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So if why don't we just go through  MS. GREEN: Mm-hmm.  JUDGE PEARSON: each one, if you want to explain it and then talk about what the Company has done? Since I haven't seen the proposed safety management plan, if you could just tell me what the  MS. GREEN: Oh, okay.  JUDGE PEARSON: Company has done to  MS. GREEN: Oh, okay.

	DIRECT TESTIMONY / GREEN AND EDWARDS 17		DIRECT TESTIMONY / GREEN AND EDWARDS 19
1	JUDGE PEARSON: violation.	1	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. But what you had was a
2	So the first is 49 CFR Part 390.35, "Making	2	mock-up? Is that what you're saying, that it was
3	or causing to make fraudulent or intentionally false entry	3	MR. EDWARDS: Yes.
4	on a required medical examiner's certificate," and this	4	JUDGE PEARSON: like, a fake one that you
5	relates to Mr. Edwards' medical exam was not conducted at	5	made for the purpose of having an example?
6	the facility listed on the certificate.	6	MR. EDWARDS: Yes.
7	MS. GREEN: Go ahead.	7	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.
8	JUDGE PEARSON: If he wants to testify, I'll	8	MR. EDWARDS: It wasn't meant to it was
9	need to swear him in.	9	blown up and larger, and it was going to end up being about
10	MS. GREEN: Okay. Do you	10	the size of a sheet of paper, and it was meant for you
11	JUDGE PEARSON: So could you stand and raise	11	know, for examples of the documents needed to drive with
12	your right hand?	12	us.
13		13	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And how did it get
14	TRAVIS EDWARDS, witness herein, having been	14	into Staff's hands?
15	first duly sworn on oath,	15	MR. EDWARDS: I had e-mailed it to her, and
16	was examined and testified	16	she didn't know
17	as follows:	17	MS. GREEN: I just printed it and put it in a
18		18	file and forgot about it.
19	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Is your microphone on?	19	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So you thought it was
20	MR. EDWARDS: Yes, it is.	20	real, Ms. Green?
21	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So if you could state	21	MS. GREEN: I just I didn't think anything
22	your whole name for the record and spell your last name for	22	of it one way or another.
23	the court reporter.	23	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.
24	MR. EDWARDS: My name's Travis Edwards, and	24	MS. GREEN: I just assumed he wanted me to
25	last name, E-D-W-A-R-D-S. My add address is	25	have it. I threw it in the file.
	•	23	
	DIRECT TESTIMONY / GREEN AND EDWARDS		DIRECT TESTIMONY / GREEN AND EDWARDS
1	DIRECT TESTIMONY / GREEN AND EDWARDS  18 JUDGE PEARSON: I don't need all that.	1	DIRECT TESTIMONY / GREEN AND EDWARDS 20 JUDGE PEARSON: Okav. Okav.
1	18 JUDGE PEARSON: I don't need all that.	1	20 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay.
2	JUDGE PEARSON: I don't need all that.  MR. EDWARDS: Okay.	2	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: I mean, it was it's larger.
2	JUDGE PEARSON: I don't need all that.  MR. EDWARDS: Okay.  JUDGE PEARSON: That's okay. You can go	2	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: I mean, it was it's larger.  It's not it wouldn't fit in a wallet, and yeah.
2 3 4	JUDGE PEARSON: I don't need all that.  MR. EDWARDS: Okay.  JUDGE PEARSON: That's okay. You can go ahead and and speak to that violation.	2 3 4	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: I mean, it was it's larger.  It's not it wouldn't fit in a wallet, and yeah.  Just
2 3 4 5	JUDGE PEARSON: I don't need all that.  MR. EDWARDS: Okay.  JUDGE PEARSON: That's okay. You can go ahead and and speak to that violation.  MR. EDWARDS: So I had I had wanted to	2 3 4 5	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: I mean, it was it's larger.  It's not it wouldn't fit in a wallet, and yeah.  Just  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6	JUDGE PEARSON: I don't need all that.  MR. EDWARDS: Okay.  JUDGE PEARSON: That's okay. You can go ahead and and speak to that violation.  MR. EDWARDS: So I had I had wanted to make a large poster-type something we could put at our	2 3 4 5	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: I mean, it was it's larger.  It's not it wouldn't fit in a wallet, and yeah.  Just  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: That's what it was for.
2 3 4 5 6 7	JUDGE PEARSON: I don't need all that.  MR. EDWARDS: Okay.  JUDGE PEARSON: That's okay. You can go ahead and and speak to that violation.  MR. EDWARDS: So I had I had wanted to make a large poster-type something we could put at our place for anybody who was going to work for us, they could	2 3 4 5 6 7	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: I mean, it was it's larger.  It's not it wouldn't fit in a wallet, and yeah.  Just  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: That's what it was for.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And the second
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JUDGE PEARSON: I don't need all that.  MR. EDWARDS: Okay.  JUDGE PEARSON: That's okay. You can go ahead and and speak to that violation.  MR. EDWARDS: So I had I had wanted to make a large poster-type something we could put at our place for anybody who was going to work for us, they could look at it and see, and I was going to have different	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: I mean, it was it's larger.  It's not it wouldn't fit in a wallet, and yeah.  Just  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: That's what it was for.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And the second  violation is 16 occurrences of using a driver not medically
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	JUDGE PEARSON: I don't need all that.  MR. EDWARDS: Okay.  JUDGE PEARSON: That's okay. You can go ahead and and speak to that violation.  MR. EDWARDS: So I had I had wanted to make a large poster-type something we could put at our place for anybody who was going to work for us, they could look at it and see, and I was going to have different examples of the documents needed, and so that that card	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: I mean, it was it's larger.  It's not it wouldn't fit in a wallet, and yeah.  Just  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: That's what it was for.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And the second  violation is 16 occurrences of using a driver not medically examined and certified in the past 24 months; that's 49 CFR
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	JUDGE PEARSON: I don't need all that.  MR. EDWARDS: Okay.  JUDGE PEARSON: That's okay. You can go ahead and and speak to that violation.  MR. EDWARDS: So I had I had wanted to make a large poster-type something we could put at our place for anybody who was going to work for us, they could look at it and see, and I was going to have different examples of the documents needed, and so that that card wasn't meant actually to for you guys to see.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: I mean, it was it's larger.  It's not it wouldn't fit in a wallet, and yeah.  Just  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: That's what it was for.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And the second  violation is 16 occurrences of using a driver not medically examined and certified in the past 24 months; that's 49 CFR  Part 391.45(b)(1). It says the Company "used two drivers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	JUDGE PEARSON: I don't need all that.  MR. EDWARDS: Okay.  JUDGE PEARSON: That's okay. You can go ahead and and speak to that violation.  MR. EDWARDS: So I had I had wanted to make a large poster-type something we could put at our place for anybody who was going to work for us, they could look at it and see, and I was going to have different examples of the documents needed, and so that that card wasn't meant actually to for you guys to see.  It was meant for because I was making a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: I mean, it was it's larger.  It's not it wouldn't fit in a wallet, and yeah.  Just  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: That's what it was for.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And the second  violation is 16 occurrences of using a driver not medically examined and certified in the past 24 months; that's 49 CFR  Part 391.45(b)(1). It says the Company "used two drivers who were not medically examined and certified"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	JUDGE PEARSON: I don't need all that.  MR. EDWARDS: Okay.  JUDGE PEARSON: That's okay. You can go ahead and and speak to that violation.  MR. EDWARDS: So I had I had wanted to make a large poster-type something we could put at our place for anybody who was going to work for us, they could look at it and see, and I was going to have different examples of the documents needed, and so that that card wasn't meant actually to for you guys to see.  It was meant for because I was making a poster, and I had e-mailed it to her so she could see it,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: I mean, it was it's larger.  It's not it wouldn't fit in a wallet, and yeah.  Just  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: That's what it was for.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And the second  violation is 16 occurrences of using a driver not medically examined and certified in the past 24 months; that's 49 CFR  Part 391.45(b)(1). It says the Company "used two drivers who were not medically examined and certified"  Mr. Edwards, that was you and another
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	JUDGE PEARSON: I don't need all that.  MR. EDWARDS: Okay.  JUDGE PEARSON: That's okay. You can go ahead and and speak to that violation.  MR. EDWARDS: So I had I had wanted to make a large poster-type something we could put at our place for anybody who was going to work for us, they could look at it and see, and I was going to have different examples of the documents needed, and so that that card wasn't meant actually to for you guys to see.  It was meant for because I was making a poster, and I had e-mailed it to her so she could see it, but and it was going to be blown up and put with, like,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: I mean, it was it's larger.  It's not it wouldn't fit in a wallet, and yeah.  Just  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: That's what it was for.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And the second  violation is 16 occurrences of using a driver not medically examined and certified in the past 24 months; that's 49 CFR Part 391.45(b)(1). It says the Company "used two drivers who were not medically examined and certified"  Mr. Edwards, that was you and another employee, last name Valde? Is that how you pronounce that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	JUDGE PEARSON: I don't need all that.  MR. EDWARDS: Okay.  JUDGE PEARSON: That's okay. You can go ahead and and speak to that violation.  MR. EDWARDS: So I had I had wanted to make a large poster-type something we could put at our place for anybody who was going to work for us, they could look at it and see, and I was going to have different examples of the documents needed, and so that that card wasn't meant actually to for you guys to see.  It was meant for because I was making a poster, and I had e-mailed it to her so she could see it, but and it was going to be blown up and put with, like, the driver's license, social security card, and medical	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: I mean, it was it's larger.  It's not it wouldn't fit in a wallet, and yeah.  Just  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: That's what it was for.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And the second  violation is 16 occurrences of using a driver not medically examined and certified in the past 24 months; that's 49 CFR  Part 391.45(b)(1). It says the Company "used two drivers who were not medically examined and certified"  Mr. Edwards, that was you and another employee, last name Valde? Is that how you pronounce that?  MR. EDWARDS: Valdez.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	JUDGE PEARSON: I don't need all that.  MR. EDWARDS: Okay.  JUDGE PEARSON: That's okay. You can go ahead and and speak to that violation.  MR. EDWARDS: So I had I had wanted to make a large poster-type something we could put at our place for anybody who was going to work for us, they could look at it and see, and I was going to have different examples of the documents needed, and so that that card wasn't meant actually to for you guys to see.  It was meant for because I was making a poster, and I had e-mailed it to her so she could see it, but and it was going to be blown up and put with, like, the driver's license, social security card, and medical card just as examples of what we needed. It wasn't meant	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: I mean, it was it's larger.  It's not it wouldn't fit in a wallet, and yeah.  Just  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: That's what it was for.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And the second  violation is 16 occurrences of using a driver not medically examined and certified in the past 24 months; that's 49 CFR  Part 391.45(b)(1). It says the Company "used two drivers who were not medically examined and certified"  Mr. Edwards, that was you and another employee, last name Valde? Is that how you pronounce that?  MR. EDWARDS: Valdez.  JUDGE PEARSON: Valdez. Okay. So there's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	JUDGE PEARSON: I don't need all that.  MR. EDWARDS: Okay.  JUDGE PEARSON: That's okay. You can go ahead and and speak to that violation.  MR. EDWARDS: So I had I had wanted to make a large poster-type something we could put at our place for anybody who was going to work for us, they could look at it and see, and I was going to have different examples of the documents needed, and so that that card wasn't meant actually to for you guys to see.  It was meant for because I was making a poster, and I had e-mailed it to her so she could see it, but and it was going to be blown up and put with, like, the driver's license, social security card, and medical card just as examples of what we needed. It wasn't meant for the public to see, and it was it wasn't meant to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: I mean, it was it's larger.  It's not it wouldn't fit in a wallet, and yeah.  Just  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: That's what it was for.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And the second  violation is 16 occurrences of using a driver not medically examined and certified in the past 24 months; that's 49 CFR Part 391.45(b)(1). It says the Company "used two drivers  who were not medically examined and certified"  Mr. Edwards, that was you and another employee, last name Valde? Is that how you pronounce that?  MR. EDWARDS: Valdez.  JUDGE PEARSON: Valdez. Okay. So there's a  Z missing off of that "for 16 trips on 12 days."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	JUDGE PEARSON: I don't need all that.  MR. EDWARDS: Okay.  JUDGE PEARSON: That's okay. You can go ahead and and speak to that violation.  MR. EDWARDS: So I had I had wanted to make a large poster-type something we could put at our place for anybody who was going to work for us, they could look at it and see, and I was going to have different examples of the documents needed, and so that that card wasn't meant actually to for you guys to see.  It was meant for because I was making a poster, and I had e-mailed it to her so she could see it, but and it was going to be blown up and put with, like, the driver's license, social security card, and medical card just as examples of what we needed. It wasn't meant for the public to see, and it was it wasn't meant to be given to Wayne.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: I mean, it was it's larger.  It's not it wouldn't fit in a wallet, and yeah.  Just  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: That's what it was for.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And the second  violation is 16 occurrences of using a driver not medically examined and certified in the past 24 months; that's 49 CFR  Part 391.45(b)(1). It says the Company "used two drivers  who were not medically examined and certified"  Mr. Edwards, that was you and another employee, last name Valde? Is that how you pronounce that?  MR. EDWARDS: Valdez.  JUDGE PEARSON: Valdez. Okay. So there's a  Z missing off of that "for 16 trips on 12 days."  MR. EDWARDS: I I had seen his paperwork.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JUDGE PEARSON: I don't need all that.  MR. EDWARDS: Okay.  JUDGE PEARSON: That's okay. You can go ahead and and speak to that violation.  MR. EDWARDS: So I had I had wanted to make a large poster-type something we could put at our place for anybody who was going to work for us, they could look at it and see, and I was going to have different examples of the documents needed, and so that that card wasn't meant actually to for you guys to see.  It was meant for because I was making a poster, and I had e-mailed it to her so she could see it, but and it was going to be blown up and put with, like, the driver's license, social security card, and medical card just as examples of what we needed. It wasn't meant for the public to see, and it was it wasn't meant to be given to Wayne.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So did you have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: I mean, it was it's larger.  It's not it wouldn't fit in a wallet, and yeah.  Just  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: That's what it was for.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And the second  violation is 16 occurrences of using a driver not medically examined and certified in the past 24 months; that's 49 CFR  Part 391.45(b)(1). It says the Company "used two drivers who were not medically examined and certified"  Mr. Edwards, that was you and another employee, last name Valde? Is that how you pronounce that?  MR. EDWARDS: Valdez.  JUDGE PEARSON: Valdez. Okay. So there's a  Z missing off of that "for 16 trips on 12 days."  MR. EDWARDS: I I had seen his paperwork.  I didn't we we're taking steps to photocopy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JUDGE PEARSON: I don't need all that.  MR. EDWARDS: Okay.  JUDGE PEARSON: That's okay. You can go ahead and and speak to that violation.  MR. EDWARDS: So I had I had wanted to make a large poster-type something we could put at our place for anybody who was going to work for us, they could look at it and see, and I was going to have different examples of the documents needed, and so that that card wasn't meant actually to for you guys to see.  It was meant for because I was making a poster, and I had e-mailed it to her so she could see it, but and it was going to be blown up and put with, like, the driver's license, social security card, and medical card just as examples of what we needed. It wasn't meant for the public to see, and it was it wasn't meant to be given to Wayne.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So did you have a valid medical certificate at the time?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: I mean, it was it's larger.  It's not it wouldn't fit in a wallet, and yeah.  Just  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: That's what it was for.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And the second  violation is 16 occurrences of using a driver not medically examined and certified in the past 24 months; that's 49 CFR Part 391.45(b)(1). It says the Company "used two drivers  who were not medically examined and certified"  Mr. Edwards, that was you and another employee, last name Valde? Is that how you pronounce that?  MR. EDWARDS: Valdez.  JUDGE PEARSON: Valdez. Okay. So there's a  Z missing off of that "for 16 trips on 12 days."  MR. EDWARDS: I I had seen his paperwork.  I didn't we we're taking steps to photocopy everything from here on out. I just didn't have copies of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JUDGE PEARSON: I don't need all that.  MR. EDWARDS: Okay.  JUDGE PEARSON: That's okay. You can go ahead and and speak to that violation.  MR. EDWARDS: So I had I had wanted to make a large poster-type something we could put at our place for anybody who was going to work for us, they could look at it and see, and I was going to have different examples of the documents needed, and so that that card wasn't meant actually to for you guys to see.  It was meant for because I was making a poster, and I had e-mailed it to her so she could see it, but and it was going to be blown up and put with, like, the driver's license, social security card, and medical card just as examples of what we needed. It wasn't meant for the public to see, and it was it wasn't meant to be given to Wayne.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So did you have a valid medical certificate at the time?  MR. EDWARDS: I no. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: I mean, it was it's larger.  It's not it wouldn't fit in a wallet, and yeah.  Just  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: That's what it was for.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And the second  violation is 16 occurrences of using a driver not medically examined and certified in the past 24 months; that's 49 CFR Part 391.45(b)(1). It says the Company "used two drivers who were not medically examined and certified"  Mr. Edwards, that was you and another employee, last name Valde? Is that how you pronounce that?  MR. EDWARDS: Valdez.  JUDGE PEARSON: Valdez. Okay. So there's a  Z missing off of that "for 16 trips on 12 days."  MR. EDWARDS: I I had seen his paperwork.  I didn't we we're taking steps to photocopy everything from here on out. I just didn't have copies of his any of of his paperwork documents.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	JUDGE PEARSON: I don't need all that.  MR. EDWARDS: Okay.  JUDGE PEARSON: That's okay. You can go ahead and and speak to that violation.  MR. EDWARDS: So I had I had wanted to make a large poster-type something we could put at our place for anybody who was going to work for us, they could look at it and see, and I was going to have different examples of the documents needed, and so that that card wasn't meant actually to for you guys to see.  It was meant for because I was making a poster, and I had e-mailed it to her so she could see it, but and it was going to be blown up and put with, like, the driver's license, social security card, and medical card just as examples of what we needed. It wasn't meant for the public to see, and it was it wasn't meant to be given to Wayne.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So did you have a valid medical certificate at the time?  MR. EDWARDS: I no. No.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: I mean, it was it's larger.  It's not it wouldn't fit in a wallet, and yeah.  Just  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: That's what it was for.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And the second  violation is 16 occurrences of using a driver not medically examined and certified in the past 24 months; that's 49 CFR  Part 391.45(b)(1). It says the Company "used two drivers  who were not medically examined and certified"  Mr. Edwards, that was you and another employee, last name Valde? Is that how you pronounce that?  MR. EDWARDS: Valdez.  JUDGE PEARSON: Valdez. Okay. So there's a  Z missing off of that "for 16 trips on 12 days."  MR. EDWARDS: I I had seen his paperwork.  I didn't we we're taking steps to photocopy everything from here on out. I just didn't have copies of his any of of his paperwork documents.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So what is the Company
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	JUDGE PEARSON: I don't need all that.  MR. EDWARDS: Okay.  JUDGE PEARSON: That's okay. You can go ahead and and speak to that violation.  MR. EDWARDS: So I had I had wanted to make a large poster-type something we could put at our place for anybody who was going to work for us, they could look at it and see, and I was going to have different examples of the documents needed, and so that that card wasn't meant actually to for you guys to see.  It was meant for because I was making a poster, and I had e-mailed it to her so she could see it, but and it was going to be blown up and put with, like, the driver's license, social security card, and medical card just as examples of what we needed. It wasn't meant for the public to see, and it was it wasn't meant to be given to Wayne.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So did you have a valid medical certificate at the time?  MR. EDWARDS: I no. No.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So what  MR. EDWARDS: I have what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: I mean, it was it's larger.  It's not it wouldn't fit in a wallet, and yeah.  Just  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: That's what it was for.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And the second  violation is 16 occurrences of using a driver not medically examined and certified in the past 24 months; that's 49 CFR  Part 391.45(b)(1). It says the Company "used two drivers who were not medically examined and certified"  Mr. Edwards, that was you and another employee, last name Valde? Is that how you pronounce that?  MR. EDWARDS: Valdez.  JUDGE PEARSON: Valdez. Okay. So there's a  Z missing off of that "for 16 trips on 12 days."  MR. EDWARDS: I I had seen his paperwork.  I didn't we we're taking steps to photocopy everything from here on out. I just didn't have copies of his any of of his paperwork documents.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So what is the Company doing to address that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JUDGE PEARSON: I don't need all that.  MR. EDWARDS: Okay.  JUDGE PEARSON: That's okay. You can go ahead and and speak to that violation.  MR. EDWARDS: So I had I had wanted to make a large poster-type something we could put at our place for anybody who was going to work for us, they could look at it and see, and I was going to have different examples of the documents needed, and so that that card wasn't meant actually to for you guys to see.  It was meant for because I was making a poster, and I had e-mailed it to her so she could see it, but and it was going to be blown up and put with, like, the driver's license, social security card, and medical card just as examples of what we needed. It wasn't meant for the public to see, and it was it wasn't meant to be given to Wayne.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So did you have a valid medical certificate at the time?  MR. EDWARDS: I no. No.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So what  MR. EDWARDS: I have what  JUDGE PEARSON: you had was a mock-up?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: I mean, it was it's larger.  It's not it wouldn't fit in a wallet, and yeah.  Just  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: That's what it was for.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And the second  violation is 16 occurrences of using a driver not medically examined and certified in the past 24 months; that's 49 CFR Part 391.45(b)(1). It says the Company "used two drivers  who were not medically examined and certified"  Mr. Edwards, that was you and another employee, last name Valde? Is that how you pronounce that?  MR. EDWARDS: Valdez.  JUDGE PEARSON: Valdez. Okay. So there's a  Z missing off of that "for 16 trips on 12 days."  MR. EDWARDS: I I had seen his paperwork.  I didn't we we're taking steps to photocopy everything from here on out. I just didn't have copies of his any of of his paperwork documents.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So what is the Company doing to address that  MR. EDWARDS: Photocopying
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	JUDGE PEARSON: I don't need all that.  MR. EDWARDS: Okay.  JUDGE PEARSON: That's okay. You can go ahead and and speak to that violation.  MR. EDWARDS: So I had I had wanted to make a large poster-type something we could put at our place for anybody who was going to work for us, they could look at it and see, and I was going to have different examples of the documents needed, and so that that card wasn't meant actually to for you guys to see.  It was meant for because I was making a poster, and I had e-mailed it to her so she could see it, but and it was going to be blown up and put with, like, the driver's license, social security card, and medical card just as examples of what we needed. It wasn't meant for the public to see, and it was it wasn't meant to be given to Wayne.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So did you have a valid medical certificate at the time?  MR. EDWARDS: I no. No.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So what  MR. EDWARDS: I have what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: I mean, it was it's larger.  It's not it wouldn't fit in a wallet, and yeah.  Just  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: That's what it was for.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And the second  violation is 16 occurrences of using a driver not medically examined and certified in the past 24 months; that's 49 CFR  Part 391.45(b)(1). It says the Company "used two drivers who were not medically examined and certified"  Mr. Edwards, that was you and another employee, last name Valde? Is that how you pronounce that?  MR. EDWARDS: Valdez.  JUDGE PEARSON: Valdez. Okay. So there's a  Z missing off of that "for 16 trips on 12 days."  MR. EDWARDS: I I had seen his paperwork.  I didn't we we're taking steps to photocopy everything from here on out. I just didn't have copies of his any of of his paperwork documents.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So what is the Company doing to address that

	DIRECT TESTIMONY / GREEN AND EDWARDS 21		EXAMINATION BY ROBERSON / GREEN AND EDWARDS 23
1	MS. GREEN: I have set up a whole	1	driver qualification files was not a repeat violation from
2	step-by-step form that has to be checked off for everything	2	the 2011
3	that's required and that needs to go in the file that was	3	MS. GREEN: No. We've never
4	submitted with the compliance report that we submitted.	4	MR. ROBERSON: compliance review?
5	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay. And so the next	5	MS. GREEN: had this is the first time
6	one the pages are stuck together. Okay. 49 CFR	6	we've ever heard from anybody here that I'm aware of.
7	Part 391.51(a), "Failing to maintain a driver qualification	7	Are you aware of?
8	file on each driver employed." I assume this is the same	8	MR. EDWARDS: I had I had met with Tom
9	situation?	9	when he inspected the vehicles.
10	MS. GREEN: Yeah. You can that, you	10	MR. ROBERSON: In 2011?
11	can I what I had done is, I was certain that I let	11	MS. GREEN: If they were inspected
12	the department of employment know that a new employee had	12	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I do need
13	started, and then we also used an accounting firm for their	13	everyone to speak one at a time and into the microphone.
14	hours, and I simply thought that that was sufficient.	14	Thank you.
15	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And so and I assume	15	MR. ROBERSON: So it's your testimony that
16	it's the same thing with 49 CFR Part 395.8(a), "Failing to	16	you didn't have a compliance review in 2011?
17	require a driver to make a record of duty status," and 49	17	MR. EDWARDS: No. We
18	CFR Part 396.1, "Failing to require drivers to prepare	18	MS. GREEN: When the go ahead.
19	driver vehicle inspection reports"? It was just that	19	JUDGE PEARSON: Can you direct your question
20	MS. GREEN: Correct.	20	at an individual?
21	JUDGE PEARSON: you were unaware of the	21	MR. ROBERSON: I guess, Mr. Edwards.
22	requirements, but you have now created	22	MR. EDWARDS: I had in 2011, I had met
23	MS. GREEN: Yes.	23	with Tom Brad Brady, I believe was his name?
24	JUDGE PEARSON: this checklist that will	24	MR. PRATT: McVaugh.
25	make sure	25	MR. EDWARDS: McVaugh. That's it. And he
25	make date	25	With EBYTTES. Movadgii. Thatoit. 7 and no
			EVAMINATION BY DODEDSON / ODEEN AND EDWARDS
	T TESTIMONY / GREEN AND EDWARDS		EXAMINATION BY ROBERSON / GREEN AND EDWARDS
	T TESTIMONY / GREEN AND EDWARDS 22  1 MS. GREEN: Yes, I do.	1	had I believe it was, we had built another bus at that
	22	2	had I believe it was, we had built another bus at that time, and I called him and I told him we had another
	1 MS. GREEN: Yes, I do.	2	had I believe it was, we had built another bus at that time, and I called him and I told him we had another vehicle, and he was going to come inspect it.
	1 MS. GREEN: Yes, I do. 2 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.	2 3 4	had I believe it was, we had built another bus at that time, and I called him and I told him we had another vehicle, and he was going to come inspect it.  And he I don't believe he it wasn't as
	1 MS. GREEN: Yes, I do. 2 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. 3 MS. GREEN: I I will also say that and	2 3 4 5	had I believe it was, we had built another bus at that time, and I called him and I told him we had another vehicle, and he was going to come inspect it.  And he I don't believe he it wasn't as thorough as what he had done, the inspection that Wayne had
	1 MS. GREEN: Yes, I do. 2 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. 3 MS. GREEN: I I will also say that and 4 I understand ignorance is probably not an answer I	2 3 4 5 6	had I believe it was, we had built another bus at that time, and I called him and I told him we had another vehicle, and he was going to come inspect it.  And he I don't believe he it wasn't as thorough as what he had done, the inspection that Wayne had done. It and so you I don't know. But I believe we
	1 MS. GREEN: Yes, I do. 2 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. 3 MS. GREEN: I I will also say that and 4 I understand ignorance is probably not an answer I 5 didn't realize that, as an owner, he has the same	2 3 4 5	had I believe it was, we had built another bus at that time, and I called him and I told him we had another vehicle, and he was going to come inspect it.  And he I don't believe he it wasn't as thorough as what he had done, the inspection that Wayne had done. It and so you I don't know. But I believe we didn't have the same violation.
	MS. GREEN: Yes, I do.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: I I will also say that and  I understand ignorance is probably not an answer I  didn't realize that, as an owner, he has the same  requirements as a driver.	2 3 4 5 6	had I believe it was, we had built another bus at that time, and I called him and I told him we had another vehicle, and he was going to come inspect it.  And he I don't believe he it wasn't as thorough as what he had done, the inspection that Wayne had done. It and so you I don't know. But I believe we didn't have the same violation.  MR. ROBERSON: Okay.
	MS. GREEN: Yes, I do.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: I I will also say that and  I understand ignorance is probably not an answer I  didn't realize that, as an owner, he has the same  requirements as a driver.  So 95 percent of the rides, Travis is the	2 3 4 5 6 7	had I believe it was, we had built another bus at that time, and I called him and I told him we had another vehicle, and he was going to come inspect it.  And he I don't believe he it wasn't as thorough as what he had done, the inspection that Wayne had done. It and so you I don't know. But I believe we didn't have the same violation.  MR. ROBERSON: Okay.  JUDGE PEARSON: Anything further?
	MS. GREEN: Yes, I do.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: I I will also say that and  I understand ignorance is probably not an answer I  didn't realize that, as an owner, he has the same  requirements as a driver.  So 95 percent of the rides, Travis is the  driver. I just didn't realize that that there there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	had I believe it was, we had built another bus at that time, and I called him and I told him we had another vehicle, and he was going to come inspect it.  And he I don't believe he it wasn't as thorough as what he had done, the inspection that Wayne had done. It and so you I don't know. But I believe we didn't have the same violation.  MR. ROBERSON: Okay.  JUDGE PEARSON: Anything further?  MR. ROBERSON: Not on direct.
	MS. GREEN: Yes, I do.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: I I will also say that and  I understand ignorance is probably not an answer I  didn't realize that, as an owner, he has the same  requirements as a driver.  So 95 percent of the rides, Travis is the  driver. I just didn't realize that that there there  was the same expectation of him, including including	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	had I believe it was, we had built another bus at that time, and I called him and I told him we had another vehicle, and he was going to come inspect it.  And he I don't believe he it wasn't as thorough as what he had done, the inspection that Wayne had done. It and so you I don't know. But I believe we didn't have the same violation.  MR. ROBERSON: Okay.  JUDGE PEARSON: Anything further?  MR. ROBERSON: Not on direct.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.
	MS. GREEN: Yes, I do.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: I I will also say that and  I understand ignorance is probably not an answer I  didn't realize that, as an owner, he has the same  requirements as a driver.  So 95 percent of the rides, Travis is the  driver. I just didn't realize that that there there  was the same expectation of him, including including  having an employee application. I just assumed, because he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	had I believe it was, we had built another bus at that time, and I called him and I told him we had another vehicle, and he was going to come inspect it.  And he I don't believe he it wasn't as thorough as what he had done, the inspection that Wayne had done. It and so you I don't know. But I believe we didn't have the same violation.  MR. ROBERSON: Okay.  JUDGE PEARSON: Anything further?  MR. ROBERSON: Not on direct.
	MS. GREEN: Yes, I do.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: I I will also say that and  I understand ignorance is probably not an answer I  didn't realize that, as an owner, he has the same  requirements as a driver.  So 95 percent of the rides, Travis is the  driver. I just didn't realize that that there there  was the same expectation of him, including including  having an employee application. I just assumed, because he  was the owner, it wasn't required.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	had I believe it was, we had built another bus at that time, and I called him and I told him we had another vehicle, and he was going to come inspect it.  And he I don't believe he it wasn't as thorough as what he had done, the inspection that Wayne had done. It and so you I don't know. But I believe we didn't have the same violation.  MR. ROBERSON: Okay.  JUDGE PEARSON: Anything further?  MR. ROBERSON: Not on direct.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.
	MS. GREEN: Yes, I do.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: I I will also say that and  I understand ignorance is probably not an answer I  didn't realize that, as an owner, he has the same  requirements as a driver.  So 95 percent of the rides, Travis is the  driver. I just didn't realize that that there there  was the same expectation of him, including including  having an employee application. I just assumed, because he  was the owner, it wasn't required.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay. Is there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	had I believe it was, we had built another bus at that time, and I called him and I told him we had another vehicle, and he was going to come inspect it.  And he I don't believe he it wasn't as thorough as what he had done, the inspection that Wayne had done. It and so you I don't know. But I believe we didn't have the same violation.  MR. ROBERSON: Okay.  JUDGE PEARSON: Anything further?  MR. ROBERSON: Not on direct.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: In 2011, I believe we had just
	MS. GREEN: Yes, I do.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: I I will also say that and  I understand ignorance is probably not an answer I  didn't realize that, as an owner, he has the same  requirements as a driver.  So 95 percent of the rides, Travis is the  driver. I just didn't realize that that there there  was the same expectation of him, including including  having an employee application. I just assumed, because he  was the owner, it wasn't required.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay. Is there  anything else that you want to add to that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	had I believe it was, we had built another bus at that time, and I called him and I told him we had another vehicle, and he was going to come inspect it.  And he I don't believe he it wasn't as thorough as what he had done, the inspection that Wayne had done. It and so you I don't know. But I believe we didn't have the same violation.  MR. ROBERSON: Okay.  JUDGE PEARSON: Anything further?  MR. ROBERSON: Not on direct.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: In 2011, I believe we had just had the date on the fire extinguisher wasn't current. I
	MS. GREEN: Yes, I do.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: I I will also say that and  I understand ignorance is probably not an answer I  didn't realize that, as an owner, he has the same  requirements as a driver.  So 95 percent of the rides, Travis is the  driver. I just didn't realize that that there there  was the same expectation of him, including including  having an employee application. I just assumed, because he  was the owner, it wasn't required.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay. Is there  anything else that you want to add to that?  MS. GREEN: (Shakes head.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	had I believe it was, we had built another bus at that time, and I called him and I told him we had another vehicle, and he was going to come inspect it.  And he I don't believe he it wasn't as thorough as what he had done, the inspection that Wayne had done. It and so you I don't know. But I believe we didn't have the same violation.  MR. ROBERSON: Okay.  JUDGE PEARSON: Anything further?  MR. ROBERSON: Not on direct.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: In 2011, I believe we had just had the date on the fire extinguisher wasn't current. I believe that was the only violation.
	MS. GREEN: Yes, I do.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: I I will also say that and  I understand ignorance is probably not an answer I  didn't realize that, as an owner, he has the same  requirements as a driver.  So 95 percent of the rides, Travis is the  driver. I just didn't realize that that there there  was the same expectation of him, including including having an employee application. I just assumed, because he  was the owner, it wasn't required.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay. Is there  anything else that you want to add to that?  MS. GREEN: (Shakes head.)  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	had I believe it was, we had built another bus at that time, and I called him and I told him we had another vehicle, and he was going to come inspect it.  And he I don't believe he it wasn't as thorough as what he had done, the inspection that Wayne had done. It and so you I don't know. But I believe we didn't have the same violation.  MR. ROBERSON: Okay.  JUDGE PEARSON: Anything further?  MR. ROBERSON: Not on direct.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: In 2011, I believe we had just had the date on the fire extinguisher wasn't current. I believe that was the only violation.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So if that's all for
	MS. GREEN: Yes, I do.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: I I will also say that and  I understand ignorance is probably not an answer I  didn't realize that, as an owner, he has the same  requirements as a driver.  So 95 percent of the rides, Travis is the  driver. I just didn't realize that that there there  was the same expectation of him, including including  having an employee application. I just assumed, because he  was the owner, it wasn't required.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay. Is there  anything else that you want to add to that?  MS. GREEN: (Shakes head.)  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: Was what what a learning	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	had I believe it was, we had built another bus at that time, and I called him and I told him we had another vehicle, and he was going to come inspect it.  And he I don't believe he it wasn't as thorough as what he had done, the inspection that Wayne had done. It and so you I don't know. But I believe we didn't have the same violation.  MR. ROBERSON: Okay.  JUDGE PEARSON: Anything further?  MR. ROBERSON: Not on direct.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: In 2011, I believe we had just had the date on the fire extinguisher wasn't current. I believe that was the only violation.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So if that's all for the Company, then if Staff wants to make a recommendation
	MS. GREEN: Yes, I do.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: I I will also say that and  I understand ignorance is probably not an answer I  didn't realize that, as an owner, he has the same  requirements as a driver.  So 95 percent of the rides, Travis is the  driver. I just didn't realize that that there there  was the same expectation of him, including including  having an employee application. I just assumed, because he  was the owner, it wasn't required.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay. Is there  anything else that you want to add to that?  MS. GREEN: (Shakes head.)  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: Was what what a learning  turve.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	had I believe it was, we had built another bus at that time, and I called him and I told him we had another vehicle, and he was going to come inspect it.  And he I don't believe he it wasn't as thorough as what he had done, the inspection that Wayne had done. It and so you I don't know. But I believe we didn't have the same violation.  MR. ROBERSON: Okay.  JUDGE PEARSON: Anything further?  MR. ROBERSON: Not on direct.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: In 2011, I believe we had just had the date on the fire extinguisher wasn't current. I believe that was the only violation.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So if that's all for the Company, then if Staff wants to make a recommendation with respect to the penalty?
	MS. GREEN: Yes, I do.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: I I will also say that and  I understand ignorance is probably not an answer I  didn't realize that, as an owner, he has the same  requirements as a driver.  So 95 percent of the rides, Travis is the  driver. I just didn't realize that that there there  was the same expectation of him, including including  having an employee application. I just assumed, because he  was the owner, it wasn't required.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay. Is there  anything else that you want to add to that?  MS. GREEN: (Shakes head.)  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: Was what what a learning  curve.  JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Roberson, do you have any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	had I believe it was, we had built another bus at that time, and I called him and I told him we had another vehicle, and he was going to come inspect it.  And he I don't believe he it wasn't as thorough as what he had done, the inspection that Wayne had done. It and so you I don't know. But I believe we didn't have the same violation.  MR. ROBERSON: Okay.  JUDGE PEARSON: Anything further?  MR. ROBERSON: Not on direct.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: In 2011, I believe we had just had the date on the fire extinguisher wasn't current. I believe that was the only violation.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So if that's all for the Company, then if Staff wants to make a recommendation with respect to the penalty?  MR. ROBERSON: Would you mind if I had a
	MS. GREEN: Yes, I do.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: I I will also say that and  I understand ignorance is probably not an answer I  didn't realize that, as an owner, he has the same  requirements as a driver.  So 95 percent of the rides, Travis is the  driver. I just didn't realize that that there there  was the same expectation of him, including including  having an employee application. I just assumed, because he  was the owner, it wasn't required.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay. Is there  anything else that you want to add to that?  MS. GREEN: (Shakes head.)  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: Was what what a learning  curve.  JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Roberson, do you have any questions for either of them?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	had I believe it was, we had built another bus at that time, and I called him and I told him we had another vehicle, and he was going to come inspect it.  And he I don't believe he it wasn't as thorough as what he had done, the inspection that Wayne had done. It and so you I don't know. But I believe we didn't have the same violation.  MR. ROBERSON: Okay.  JUDGE PEARSON: Anything further?  MR. ROBERSON: Not on direct.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: In 2011, I believe we had just had the date on the fire extinguisher wasn't current. I believe that was the only violation.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So if that's all for the Company, then if Staff wants to make a recommendation with respect to the penalty?  MR. ROBERSON: Would you mind if I had a quick moment to confer with my client?
	MS. GREEN: Yes, I do.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: I I will also say that and  I understand ignorance is probably not an answer I  didn't realize that, as an owner, he has the same  requirements as a driver.  So 95 percent of the rides, Travis is the  driver. I just didn't realize that that there there  was the same expectation of him, including including  having an employee application. I just assumed, because he  was the owner, it wasn't required.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay. Is there  anything else that you want to add to that?  MS. GREEN: (Shakes head.)  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: Was what what a learning  curve.  JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Roberson, do you have any  questions for either of them?  MR. ROBERSON: Just a couple quick questions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	had I believe it was, we had built another bus at that time, and I called him and I told him we had another vehicle, and he was going to come inspect it.  And he I don't believe he it wasn't as thorough as what he had done, the inspection that Wayne had done. It and so you I don't know. But I believe we didn't have the same violation.  MR. ROBERSON: Okay.  JUDGE PEARSON: Anything further?  MR. ROBERSON: Not on direct.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: In 2011, I believe we had just had the date on the fire extinguisher wasn't current. I believe that was the only violation.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So if that's all for the Company, then if Staff wants to make a recommendation with respect to the penalty?  MR. ROBERSON: Would you mind if I had a quick moment to confer with my client?  JUDGE PEARSON: Not at all. Go ahead.
	MS. GREEN: Yes, I do.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: I I will also say that and  I understand ignorance is probably not an answer I  didn't realize that, as an owner, he has the same  requirements as a driver.  So 95 percent of the rides, Travis is the  driver. I just didn't realize that that there there  was the same expectation of him, including including  having an employee application. I just assumed, because he  was the owner, it wasn't required.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay. Is there  anything else that you want to add to that?  MS. GREEN: (Shakes head.)  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: Was what what a learning  curve.  JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Roberson, do you have any questions for either of them?  MR. ROBERSON: Just a couple quick questions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	had I believe it was, we had built another bus at that time, and I called him and I told him we had another vehicle, and he was going to come inspect it.  And he I don't believe he it wasn't as thorough as what he had done, the inspection that Wayne had done. It and so you I don't know. But I believe we didn't have the same violation.  MR. ROBERSON: Okay.  JUDGE PEARSON: Anything further?  MR. ROBERSON: Not on direct.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: In 2011, I believe we had just had the date on the fire extinguisher wasn't current. I believe that was the only violation.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So if that's all for the Company, then if Staff wants to make a recommendation with respect to the penalty?  MR. ROBERSON: Would you mind if I had a quick moment to confer with my client?  JUDGE PEARSON: Not at all. Go ahead.  MR. ROBERSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
	MS. GREEN: Yes, I do.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: I I will also say that and  I understand ignorance is probably not an answer I  didn't realize that, as an owner, he has the same  requirements as a driver.  So 95 percent of the rides, Travis is the  driver. I just didn't realize that that there there  was the same expectation of him, including including  having an employee application. I just assumed, because he  was the owner, it wasn't required.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay. Is there  anything else that you want to add to that?  MS. GREEN: (Shakes head.)  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MS. GREEN: Was what what a learning  curve.  JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Roberson, do you have any  questions for either of them?  MR. ROBERSON: Just a couple quick questions.  EXAMINATION  MR. ROBERSON: AAA Party Bus had a compliance	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	had I believe it was, we had built another bus at that time, and I called him and I told him we had another vehicle, and he was going to come inspect it.  And he I don't believe he it wasn't as thorough as what he had done, the inspection that Wayne had done. It and so you I don't know. But I believe we didn't have the same violation.  MR. ROBERSON: Okay.  JUDGE PEARSON: Anything further?  MR. ROBERSON: Not on direct.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.  MR. EDWARDS: In 2011, I believe we had just had the date on the fire extinguisher wasn't current. I believe that was the only violation.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So if that's all for the Company, then if Staff wants to make a recommendation with respect to the penalty?  MR. ROBERSON: Would you mind if I had a quick moment to confer with my client?  JUDGE PEARSON: Not at all. Go ahead.  MR. ROBERSON: Thank you, Your Honor.  Commission Staff recommends suspending 1300

	25		27
1	that there are no repeat violations.	1	CERTIFICATE 27
2	JUDGE PEARSON: When will that	2	
3	MR. ROBERSON: One year.	3	STATE OF WASHINGTON
4	JUDGE PEARSON: In one year? Okay.	4	COUNTY OF KING
5	MR. ROBERSON: They're asking you to	5	
6	condition it on another compliance review in one year.	6	I, Ryan Ziegler, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in
7	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. All right.	7	and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the
8	Is there anything further?	8	foregoing transcript of the proceedings held August 24,
9	MS. GREEN: I would just say that, having now	9	2016, is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge,
10	read this book cover to cover, I actually did not find the	10	skill, and ability.
11	expectations to be egregious at all. I mean, they're	11	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
12	they're doable.	12	and seal this August 26, 2016.
13	So it just was a matter of me setting up some	13	
14	files, which I did do some extensive and Mr. Gilbert can	14	
15	attest to, I did set up some extensive forms and whatnot to	15	
16	make sure we're in compliance, so I don't think that it's	16	DVAN 71501 5D DDD 000
17	going to be something that is burdensome.	17	RYAN ZIEGLER, RPR, CCR
18	I mean, it's a little bit more work, but it's	18	
19	nothing that is unreasonable, I don't think. That's my	19	
20	opinion.	20	
21	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.	21	
22	MS. GREEN: Mm-hmm.	22	
23	JUDGE PEARSON: Anything further from Staff?	23	
24	MR. ROBERSON: No, Your Honor.	24	
25	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Well, I guess I didn't	25	
	26		
1	say this earlier, but I will issue an order within ten days		
2	reflecting the Company's upgraded safety rating to		
3	conditional. That's not something I need to take under advisement or make a decision on. I can tell you right now		
4	that I'm going		
5 6	MS. GREEN: That's		
7	JUDGE PEARSON: to adopt Staff's		
8	recommendation and upgrade your safety rating. And then I		
9	will also in that order make a decision with respect to the		
10	penalty assessment.		
11	MS. GREEN: Okay. Thank you.		
12	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you very much.		
13	We are adjourned.		
14	(Proceedings concluded at 1:54 p.m.)		
15	,		
16			
17	* * * *		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

25