```
00272
              BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND
 1
 2
                  TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
 3
   In re Application of US WEST, ) Docket No. UT-991358
    INC., and QWEST COMMUNICATIONS ) Volume
                                              IV
   INTERNATIONAL, INC. for an
                                 ) Pages
                                              272 - 284
    Order Disclaiming Jurisdiction,)
   or in the Alternative,
   Approving the US WEST, INC. -
   OWEST COMMUNICATIONS
    INTERNATIONAL, INC. Merger.
 9
10
                       A hearing in the above matter was
   held on December 14, 1999, at 9:36 a.m., at 1300
12
   Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Olympia, Washington,
13
   before Administrative Law Judge DENNIS MOSS.
14
15
                       The parties were present as
16
   follows:
17
                       AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF THE
   NORTHWEST, INC., NEXTLINK, and ADVANCED TELCOM GROUP,
18
   INC., by Gregory J. Kopta, Attorney at Law, Davis,
    Wright, Tremaine, 1501 Fourth Avenue, Suite 2600,
19
    Seattle, Washington 98101 (Appearing via
    teleconference bridge.)
20
                       US WEST COMMUNICATIONS, INC., by
   Lisa A. Anderl, Attorney at Law, 1600 Seventh Avenue,
   Room 3206, Seattle, Washington 98191 (Appearing via
   teleconference bridge), and James M. Van Nostrand,
    Attorney at Law, 600 University Street, Suite 3600,
2.3
    Seattle, Washington 98101 (Appearing via conference
   bridge.)
24
```

```
00273
                       THE COMMISSION, by Sally G.
   Johnston, Assistant Attorney General, 1400 S.
   Evergreen Park Drive S.W., P.O. Box 40128, Olympia,
   Washington 98504-0128.
 3
                       PUBLIC COUNSEL, by Simon ffitch,
   Attorney at Law, 900 Fourth Avenue, #2000, Seattle,
   Washington 98164 (Appearing via teleconference
   bridge.)
                       RHYTHMS LINKS, INC., by Angela Wu,
   Attorney at Law, Ater Wynne, Two Union Square, 601
   Union Street, Suite 5450, Seattle, Washington 98101
    (Appearing via teleconference bridge.)
                          QWEST, by Ronald Wiltsie,
   Attorney at Law, Hogan & Hartson, 555 13th Street
   N.W., Washington, D.C. 20004 (Appearing via
   teleconference bridge.)
11
                          McLEOD USA, by Mark Trinchero,
    Attorney at Law, Davis, Wright, Tremaine, 1300 S.W.
   Fifth Avenue, Suite 3200, Portland, Oregon, 97201
12
    (Appearing via teleconference bridge.)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
   Barbara L. Spurbeck, CSR
25 Court Reporter
```

```
00274
             JUDGE MOSS: We're on the record now. All
   I'll ask for -- I think all of your have entered
   appearances previously. I'll just ask for your name
   and who you represent, and we can move through this
 5
   quickly. Mr. Wiltsie.
             MR. WILTSIE: Yes, Your Honor. Ronald
 7
   Wiltsie, with Hogan and Hartson, for Qwest.
8
             JUDGE MOSS: All right. Ms. Wu.
             MS. WU: Angela Wu, with Ater Wynne, for
9
10
   Rhythms Links, Inc.
11
             JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Trinchero.
12
             MR. TRINCHERO: Mark Trinchero, of Davis
   Wright Tremaine, on behalf of McLeod USA.
13
14
             JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Kopta.
15
             MR. KOPTA: Gregory Kopta, of Davis Wright
16
   Tremaine, on behalf of AT&T, Nextlink and ATG.
17
             JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Van Nostrand.
             MR. VAN NOSTRAND: James Van Nosrand, with
18
19
   Stoel Rives, on behalf of US West Communications,
20
   Inc.
21
             JUDGE MOSS: Ms. Anderl.
22
             MS. ANDERL: Lisa Anderl, in-house counsel
23
```

JUDGE MOSS: Mr. ffitch.

MR. FFITCH: Simon ffitch, Assistant

for US West.

24

25

1 Attorney General, for Public Counsel. JUDGE MOSS: And Ms. Johnston. 3 MS. JOHNSTON: Sally Johnston, Assistant 4 Attorney General, on behalf of Commission Staff. 5 JUDGE MOSS: Have I missed anyone? Apparently not. We've had some off the record 7 discussion. It appears that there has been some significant progress made in the discovery process, 9 and it also appears that there are some lingering 10 questions and matters that might best be managed by 11 first allowing the parties to have an opportunity off 12 the record to discuss these things among themselves, 13 and I want to allow that opportunity. 14 And then, when that conversation or those 15 conversations are concluded, we'll go back on the 16 record. And if there's anything that I need to 17 resolve, I will do so, and otherwise, we will close 18 this up fairly quickly this morning. So I guess, Ms. 19 Anderl, I'm going to put the onus on you to manage 20 these conversations and direct them to the 21 individuals. We have three. Mr. Kopta, Mr. 22 Trinchero, and Ms. Wu have all indicated they need to 23 have some discussion with you, and of course, we'll open that up, as well, with respect to anything that 24 has happened late with respect to Staff and Public

25

Counsel, as well, who have indicated some follow-up questions and what have you. So we'll go off the record, and I will monitor this conversation so that we can get back on 5 the record at the earliest moment and finish this up. Off the record. 7 (Discussion off the record.) 8 JUDGE MOSS: The parties have had an opportunity to have some off-the-record conversation 9 10 regarding the status of discovery in terms of 11 particular data requests. 12 I understand there are a couple of issues 13 regarding timing that we need to resolve, and we'll 14 take those up. As far as the problem with Rhythms 15 Inc., Ms. Wu's client, it seems to me that Ms. Anderl has indicated that she's perfectly prepared to give 16 17 Ms. Wu and her client a couple days to do some 18 further inquiry within their group regarding the 19 status of discovery responses, and that there might 20 need to be some follow-up in light of that. 21 Ms. Anderl, you indicated you would be 22 available tomorrow afternoon; is that correct? 23 MS. ANDERL: Yes, Your Honor. 24 JUDGE MOSS: So I think the best course of

action with respect to that one is for the parties to

continue to work together -- and it does seem to me that that's been occurring -- and allow that time. And if controversies remain, then we can follow the protocol we established during our last discovery 5 conference, which allows for individual disputes to be resolved by me in telephone conference and without 7 the presence of a court reporter to make a transcript type record. MS. WU: Your Honor, can I ask you a 9 10 question here? 11 JUDGE MOSS: Yes. 12 In light of the timing issue, MS. WU: would it be reasonable to try to coordinate with Lisa 13 14 tomorrow afternoon about any additional questions 15 that we have, but get some sort of deadline on when 16 we could get the responses by, so that we are assured 17 of getting them in time to be able to file prefiled 18 testimony and exhibits on the 23rd? 19 JUDGE MOSS: Well, our deadlines are as 20 established earlier in the process. I don't 21 remember. What is it, five days, seven days? 22 forgotten. 23 MS. WU: Mm-hmm. 24

JUDGE MOSS: So those are the guidelines we're working in, and as they say, you can't squeeze

```
water from rocks, and what happens -- what will
   happen will happen, and if there's a delay and I
   become convinced that it is a delay caused by
   stonewalling or foot-dragging or that sort of thing,
 5
   then we may have to have some adjustment to the
   procedural schedule to accommodate that. If, on the
 7
   other hand, it's simply a matter of having gotten out
   of the gate a little late and the volume of discovery
9
   and so forth, there's a lot of information floating
10
   around out there now, I do believe, and we'll just
11
   have to take it one step at a time and see how it
12
   goes.
13
              It may well turn out that, in your
14
   conversation tomorrow afternoon and in the
15
   intervening conversations with your own clients, that
16
   much of this can be resolved.
17
             MS. WU: Okay.
18
              JUDGE MOSS:
                         So let's handle it that way.
19
             MS. WU:
                      Thank you.
20
             JUDGE MOSS: I think the best thing I can
21
   do is simply continue to make myself available where
22
   the parties find themselves at loggerheads, and I
23
   will do that.
24
             MS. WU:
                       All right, thank you.
25
              JUDGE MOSS: Now, what was -- remind me,
```

what was the specific point on timing, Mr. Trinchero? MR. TRINCHERO: Your Honor, there are several questions that McLeod had put to US West in which we requested information relative to service 5 quality provided to CLECs by US West for the state of Washington. We had requested all information over 7 the last three years, which would be the relevant time period in which US West was providing such 9 services to CLECs. 10 We've been given information by US West 11 that on a number of these, limited to just 12 information from January 1st, '99, through -- well, 13 nearly the last few months. Then, on others, we did 14 get some information that went back as far as July 15 '98, and it was my request of US West to see if we 16 can't get, within the next week or so, any 17 information that they have from prior years going 18 back to '96, if it has been reported. 19 And I understand from Ms. Anderl that US 20 West probably didn't even start reporting any of this 21 information until about 1997, and so the request here 22 is, you know, we asked for any information over the 23 last three years. We've been given information over 24 the last 12 months or so, and our request is to have you compel US West to give us the rest of the

information as soon as they can. JUDGE MOSS: Ms. Anderl. 3 MS. ANDERL: Thank you, Your Honor. 4 Understanding the tight time frames that we are under 5 and attempting to respond to discovery in a timely manner, we gave Mr. Trinchero what was available 7 within the response period. And in those cases, it was either from July 1998 or January 1999 forward. 9 This was, we believed, also consistent with 10 your orders in prior data requests, specifically ones 11 with AT&T, where we were asked to provide three or 12 five years' worth of data, and we were ordered then 13 to provide 12 months' worth of data, as a reasonable 14 time frame. Therefore, we felt that what we were 15 providing was reasonable under the circumstances and 16 appropriate. 17 In certain instances, we don't even have 18 anything, I think, before July of 1998, or at least 19 not in a form of report that could be compiled 20 easily. And there may be manual work that would be 21 required to provide the 1997 information that would 22 be extraordinarily time-consuming and take weeks. It 23 may be that we have additional data for some of the 24 reports. Say, for example, in response to number 25 three, we provided January 1999 forward. It may be

that we could go back to the same time period. That is, the July 1998, relatively more easily. Your Honor orders us to do that, obviously we will. We simply felt that what we had provided was 5 reasonable under the circumstances and would ask for your quidance on that. JUDGE MOSS: Okay. My experience tells me that having sets of data for matched periods is a 9 very helpful thing to have, in terms of analysis. 10 And so I think it is important for US West to try to 11 carry that data set. I think the July '98 period 12 forward would provide either 12 months or perhaps a 13 few more months than that, depending on how currently 14 the data is kept, and so I would ask that US West 15 take that step, at least. Or I'll go further than 16 asking; I'll require that. 17 As far as periods prior to the July 1998, 18 as I understand your point, Ms. Anderl, it is that it 19 would become increasingly difficult and burdensome in 20 the earlier periods, in that there would be required 21 a manual extraction and compilation of data. Is that 22 essentially correct? 23 MS. ANDERL: Yes. 24 JUDGE MOSS: All right. I'm going to 25 require the July '98 forward and also require that

25

that be done expeditiously. MS. ANDERL: I will ask that that be done as soon as we get off the call, and I will contact Mr. Trinchero after that with an estimate of what the 5 completion time will be. JUDGE MOSS: I think that's a very good 7 plan. All right. Anything else that -- excuse me. I'm sorry, I'm coming down with a cold, so I'm 9 clearing my throat and coughing. I apologize. 10 Anything else that I need to resolve? 11 MR. TRINCHERO: Your Honor, this is Mark 12 Trinchero. One request that I would make, a number 13 of parties are receiving supplemental responses over 14 the next few days. One of the requests that McLeod 15 sent out quite a while back was to get copies of 16 responses to other parties's discovery, so that we 17 wouldn't have to propound any duplicative discovery. 18 I guess I would just request that US West 19 try to get those responses to the other parties that 20 have requested copies. 21 JUDGE MOSS: All right. I'm sure US West 22 will be cognizant of the need to distribute some 23 responses more broadly than others, and this is not 24 an atypical type of request, and we did have some

discussion about it in earlier sessions, and I am

fairly convinced that, at least in some regards, it does produce more efficiency if people are not duplicating requests or writing requests that are closely similar. And so to the extent we can gain 5 those efficiencies, we do allow for that broader sort of sweep. And Ms. Anderl, I'm sure you have a list 7 of those who have requested all responses. MS. ANDERL: Yes, Your Honor, and we, in 9 fact, have been sending those supplements out 10 yesterday and today, on Friday, in a report to us, to 11 make sure that the requesting party had received the 12 responses in hand. 13 JUDGE MOSS: Sure. 14 MS. ANDERL: And because of the volume of 15 information that's been produced over the past week 16 or so, there were stacks of paper that are assigned 17 to each of the counsel on this phone call, and 18 they'll be getting those over the next day or so. 19 JUDGE MOSS: Yeah. 20 MS. ANDERL: And certainly, if any of them 21 have a specific question that they're interested in 22 the answer to, they are free to either check the 23 database or call me, and if it's not a lengthy 24 response, we'd be happy to pull that out and give it special handling and fax it to them or something.

```
00284
 1
              JUDGE MOSS: All right. Anything else?
   Mr. ffitch.
              MR. FFITCH: I don't have anything at this
 4
   time, Your Honor.
 5
              JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Wiltsie.
 6
              MR. WILTSIE: No, Your Honor.
 7
              JUDGE MOSS: Ms. Johnston.
8
              MS. JOHNSTON: No.
9
              JUDGE MOSS: Well, I believe that will
   conclude our business this morning. All right.
10
11
   Well, thank you all very much, and keep me apprised
12
    if there are any difficulties. I will try to
13
    continue to make myself available this week, subject
14
   to fighting this cold off. But we'll make
    arrangements, and if need be, I can always talk with
15
16
   you all on the telephone from home, if that is
17
   necessary.
18
              So let's try to keep this thing moving, and
19
    I appreciate what I perceive to be an acceptable
20
   level of cooperation, if not a commendable level of
21
   cooperation, and I may yet feel that we've arrived at
22
    the commendable stage, in which case I will say so.
23
    So thank you all very much, and we'll go off the
24
   record.
25
              (Proceedings adjourned at 10:01 a.m.)
```