

TG-091685

Points Recycling and Refuse, LLC

Public Comments

Comments through 12/28/09:

Total: 52
In favor: 34
Opposed: 16
Undecided: 2

Types of Comments

Allow the company to continue its on-call services.
The state or county should contract with existing Canadian garbage and recycling service providers.
Leave the service unchanged.
Garbage service is unnecessary; consumers should be allowed to choose how they want to dispose of their waste.
Selection criteria should be consistent with government regulation and community preference.
The state and county are taking too long to review this application.
The commission should review any application with the same scrutiny investors use when a company raises capital.
Company is not committed to a sustainable recycling program.
How can the company succeed when they are already predicting that after a year, expenses will exceed revenue?
Company should answer all the charges about his previous certificate.
The county should design a solid waste plan that will work for the area residents.
The county would not have allowed any other city to go without garbage service for so long.
If this application is approved, and the company is allowed to “cherry-pick” the more desirable aspects of solid waste collection, it will severely marginalize the opportunity for this community to have the restoration of curbside pickup of solid waste and recyclables and the enhanced recycling opportunities proposed in the Freedom 2000 application.
The Freedom 2000 application before you is offering services that are consistent with the current Whatcom County Solid Waste Plan as required in the notice of opportunity posted on the WUTC website, whereas, the Points Recycle and Refuse application is not.
The applications for on-call special clean-up/pick up services and on-call and permanent drop box service while not providing commercial garbage dumpster service, residential garbage collection or residential recycling collection is not consistent with the public interest of the community of Point Roberts.
Why would the Commission consider granting an application to a company who surrendered its G certificate only four months ago and now wants to take over a portion of business that another applicant, Freedom 2000 has applied for?