Exh. DJR-3 Dockets UE-220066 and UG-220067, UG-210918 Witness: Deborah J. Reynolds

#### BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

#### WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

v.

PUGET SOUND ENERGY,

**Respondent.** 

In the Matter of the Petition of

**PUGET SOUND ENERGY** 

For an Order Authorizing Deferred Accounting Treatment for Puget Sound Energy's Share of Costs Associated with the Tacoma LNG Facility DOCKETS UE-220066, UG-220067, UG-210918 (consolidated)

### EXHIBIT TO TESTIMONY OF

#### **DEBORAH J. REYNOLDS**

#### STAFF OF WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

PSE response to UTC Staff Data Request No. 292, Performance Measures and Incentive Mechanisms

July 28, 2022

## **BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

### Dockets UE-220066 & UG-220067 Puget Sound Energy 2022 General Rate Case

# DATA REQUEST DIRECTED TO: John Piliaris

REQUESTED BY: Kathi Scanlan

#### UTC STAFF DATA REQUEST NO. 292: Re: Performance Measures and Incentive Mechanisms

Attached to this data request is Attachment 2, a spreadsheet titled, "List of PSE's New Filings." The spreadsheet is a simplified representation of PSE's comment filing of April 9, 2021, in Docket U-210151.

PSE filed comments in docket U-210151 on April 9, 2021, in the form of a utility's filings template, as part of Inquiry into Reducing the Administrative Burden in Support of the Commission's Ongoing Inquiry Into the Adequacy of the Current Regulatory Framework.

# <u>Request</u>

Please reference JAP-1T, Part VI., the removal or modification of certain outdated reporting requirements for PSE in the public interest, at page 62 of 79, please also answer the questions in Attachment 2 Excel Spreadsheet regarding PSE's new reporting proposal accompanying its first multiyear rate plan.

a. Column I— With reference to RCW 80.28.425, does PSE recommend adding a new filing identified in column B to support a key or specific performance area? If yes, please complete Column I and insert applicable information to columns B – H.

b. Column J— If yes in Column I, please explain and identify relevant testimony and citations that support this claim.

c. Column K— With reference to RCW 80.28.425(1), does the filing in column B support PSE's decision-making processes in this MYRP? Please explain.

d. Column L— Does this filing support performance measures, incentives, or penalty mechanisms as outlined in RCW 80.28.425(7)? If yes, please identify and explain.

# Response:

Attached as Attachment A to Puget Sound Energy's ("PSE") Response to WUTC Staff Data Request No. 292, please find a list of new filings contemplated as part of the proposed multiyear rate plan.

- a. Please see PSE's Response to Staff Data Request No. 290(b).
- b. Please see PSE's Response to Staff Data Request No. 290(b).

c. PSE objects to WUTC Staff Data Request No. 292(c) as vague and ambiguous as to the phrase "PSE's decision-making process." Without waiving such objections, and subject thereto, PSE responds as follows:

The scorecard described in the Prefiled Direct Testimony of Mark N. Lowry, Exh. MNL-1T, will generally inform whether PSE is placing sufficient emphasis in the areas listed and could inform decisions made to improve performance as deemed appropriate. Generally speaking, PSE considers the impact of all regulatory filings in its decision making processes to the extent that the filing is not perfunctory and may either impact PSE's financial results or is designed or intended to influence PSE's decisions for prioritization of capital or operating expenditures. The proposed performance metrics and SQI's outlined in Exh. MNL-1T would be considered, although may not directly influence any outcomes in PSE's prioritization based on the value assigned to those priorities in PSE's prioritization models relative to other investments PSE deems necessary to deliver on its service requirements to customers considering all known constraints.

d. Please see PSE's Response to Staff Data Request No. 290(b).

Exh. DJR-3 Dockets UE-220066, UG-220067, UG-210918 Page 3 of 4

# ATTACHMENT A to PSE's Response to WUTC Staff Data Request No. 292

IP 2023 PCA. Review Filing     UE-1306       IP 2023 PCA. Review Filing     Important Control of	FY2023 PCA Review Filing FY2025 PCA Review Filing FY2025 PCA Review Filing Annual PCA Baseline Rate Update FY2025 PCA Baseline Update Filing FY2025 PCA Baseline Update Filing	FY2023 PCA Review Filing FY2024 PCA Review Filing FY2025 PCA Review Filing Annual PCA Baseline Rate Update FY2024 PCA Baseline Update Filing	FY2023 PCA Review Filing FY2024 PCA Review Filing FY2025 PCA Review Filing Annual PCA Baseline Rate Update	PY2023 PCA Review Filing PY2024 PCA Review Filing PY2025 PCA Review Filing Annual PCA Baseline Rate Update	FY2023 PCA Review Filing FY2024 PCA Review Filing FY2025 PCA Review Filing	FY2023 PCA Review Filing FY2024 PCA Review Filing FY2025 PCA Review Filing	FY2023 PCA Review Filing FY2024 PCA Review Filing FY2025 PCA Review Filing	FY2023 PCA Review Filing				Annual PCA Review			FY 2025 Prudence and Earnings Review Filing	FY 2023 Prudence and Earnings Review Filing	FY 2022 Prudence Review Filing		Annual Prudence and Earnings Sharing Review		Schedule 141R	Schedule 141N	Base rates				CBC Compliance Eiling	Page 4 c	New Filing Title RCW		Puget Sound Energy
and Service Qua		2 20 066	NEW, UE-						U.F.	i					Filing 220067				Review		220	NEW, UE-	UG- 2 20067	UE- 2 200 66	-				WAC DOCKET	REQUIRED BY	
	ity Index Report	66	, UE-						UE-1306						67	B	, OL-	i			220066	, uế		066 E-			İ		KET Frequency		
		4/30/24	4/30/23				_	4/30/26	4/30/24	100/04			-		3/31/26	3/31/24	3/31/23				12/23/22	12/23/22	12/23/22						Filing Date		
at same open meeting as prudence review filing.	As described in the testimony of Dr. Wark N. Lowry, MNL-1T. Includes reporting of performance metrics, service metrics and qualification for performance incentives or penalties. Incentive or penalties, if any, would be recovered through schedule 141N and approved			update Schedule 95, after approval by Commission order.	annual PCA review. Includes an update to power costs to reset the PCA Baseline Rate. Filings would	Phelps, JKP-1T. This filing made jointly with	As described in the testimony of Ms. Janet K.			approval by Commission order.	company. Filings would update Schedule 95, after	subject to sharing between customers and	Pheips, JKP-11. Includes usual review of prior year's power costs and true-up of deferrals,	As described in the testimony of Ms. Janet K.				approvanii open meetiig.	sorrar in involves a minited review or investments included in rates subject to refured and a test of PSE's earnings during measurement period. Earnings test does not apply to 2022. Filings would update Schedules 141N and 141R, after anonverlin Cheon knowshor	As described in testimony of Ms. Susan Free, Exh.					by the Commission before rates take effect.	Consistent with past practice, PSE will make filing shortly after Commission renders order. This filing will be reviewed by UTC Staff and approved			Frequency Filing Date Brief description of information provided/purpose Column I- With reference to RCW		
			<u> </u>	I	<u>a</u>				<u> </u>	1	-															Request 290(b).	Bloaco coo BCE's Boccoorco to Staff Data	80/28.4/5, does PSE recommend adding a new filing identified in column B to support a key or specific performance area? If yes, please complete Column I and insert applicable information to column B - U	Column I— With reference to RCW		
																										Request 290(b).	Blanco coo BCE's Bostronso to Staff Data	a identity relevant testimony and citations that support this claim.			
																										Request 292(c).	Bloace and BCE's Becomer to Staff Data	does the thing in column B support PSE's decision-making processes in this MYRP? Please explain.			
																										Request 290(b).	Diance too DCE's Bernonsto to Staff Data	<ul> <li>messures, incentives, or penalty</li> <li>mechanisms as outlined in RCW</li> <li>80.28.425(7) ? If yes, please identify and</li> <li>explain.</li> </ul>			
																										Request 292(e).	Blassa saa BSE's Bassansa ta Staff Dat	Proposed PSE Scoreard public reporting proposal? If yes, please provide citation in testimony, if no, why not?	Is this filing included as part of the		