```
1
      BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION
 2.
                           COMMISSION
     WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND
     TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,
 4
                    Complainant,
 5
                                   )
                                       DOCKET NO. UE-070804
               vs.
                                   )
 6
                                                  UG-070805
                                   )
     AVISTA CORPORATION, d/b/a ) Volume I
 7
    AVISTA UTILITIES,
                                       Pages 1 - 16
                                   )
 8
                   Respondent.
 9
10
               A prehearing conference in the above matter
11
     was held on May 23, 2007, at 3:02 p.m., at 1300 South
12
     Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Olympia, Washington,
13
    before Administrative Law Judge DENNIS J. MOSS.
14
15
               The parties were present as follows:
16
               WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION
     COMMISSION, by GREGORY J. TRAUTMAN, Assistant Attorney
17
     General, 1400 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest,
     Post Office Box 40128, Olympia, Washington 98504;
     telephone, (360) 664-1187.
18
19
               AVISTA CORPORATION, by DAVID MEYER, Vice
     President and Chief Counsel for Regulatory and
20
     Governmental Affairs, 1411 East Mission, Spokane,
     Washington 99220-3727; telephone, (509) 495-4316.
21
               INDUSTRIAL CUSTOMERS OF NORTHWEST UTILITIES,
     by S. BRADLEY VAN CLEVE, Attorney at Law, Davison Van
22
     Cleve, 333 Southwest Taylor, Suite 400, Portland,
23
     Oregon 97204; telephone, (503) 241-7242.
24
    Kathryn T. Wilson, CCR
25
    Court Reporter
```

1	THE ENERGY PROJECT, by RONALD L. ROSEMAN, Attorney at Law, 2011 14th Avenue East, Seattle,
2	Washington 98112; telephone, (206) 324-8792.
3 4 5	PUBLIC COUNSEL, by SIMON J. FFITCH, Assistant Attorney General, 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000, Seattle, Washington 98104-3188; telephone, (206) 389-2055.
6 7 8	NORTHWEST INDUSTRIAL GAS USERS, by EDWARD A. FINKLEA, Attorney at Law, Cable, Huston, Benedict, Haagensen & Lloyd, 1001 Southwest Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000, Portland, Oregon 97204-1136; telephone, (503) 224-3092.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	D	P	\cap	\sim	┖	┖	\Box	т	M	α	C

- JUDGE MOSS: Good afternoon, everyone. My
- 3 name is Dennis Moss. I'm an administrative law judge
- 4 with the Washington Utilities and Transportation
- 5 Commission, and we are here this afternoon for our
- 6 first prehearing conference in three dockets,
- 7 Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission
- 8 against Avista Corporation, doing business as Avista
- 9 Utilities, in Dockets UE-070804 and UG-070805, a
- 10 general rate case filing, and those are consolidated,
- 11 and also consolidated in this proceeding is the docket
- 12 styled, In The Matter of the Petition of Avista
- 13 Corporation for an Accounting Order Regarding the
- 14 Appropriate Treatment of the Net Cost Associated With
- 15 the Repurchase of Debt, and that's Docket UE-070311.
- 16 The first order of business will be to take
- 17 appearances, and we will start with the Company.
- 18 MR. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. Appearing
- 19 for Avista Corporation, and I will give you the long
- 20 form with all the particulars, David Meyer, vice
- 21 president, chief counsel for regulatory governmental
- 22 affairs. The address is East 1411 Mission Avenue,
- 23 Spokane, Washington, and the zip is 99220. The phone
- 24 number is (509) 495-4316; fax number, (509) 495-8851,
- and the e-mail address is david.meyer@avistacorp.com.

- 1 JUDGE MOSS: Thank you. Let's go around the
- 2 table; Mr. Finklea?
- 3 MR. FINKLEA: My name is Edward Finklea. I'm
- 4 appearing for the Northwest Industrial Gas Users. I'm
- 5 with the law firm Cable, Huston, Benedict, Haagensen
- 6 and Lloyd, at 1001 Southwest Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000,
- 7 and that's in Portland, Oregon, 97204. Phone is (503)
- 8 224-3092, and the fax is (503) 224-3176. E-mail is
- 9 efinklea@chbh.com, and also appearing in the proceeding
- 10 on behalf of the Gas Users will be Chad M. Stokes of
- 11 the same law firm, and his e-mail address is
- 12 cstokes@chbh.com.
- JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Van Cleve?
- 14 MR. VAN CLEVE: Thank you, Your Honor. Brad
- 15 Van Cleve on behalf of the Industrial Customers of
- 16 Northwest Utilities. I'm with the law firm of Davison
- 17 Van Cleve, PC, and that's located at 333 Southwest
- 18 Taylor Street, Suite 400, Portland, Oregon, 97204. The
- 19 e-mail address is mail@dvclaw.com. The telephone
- 20 number is (503) 241-7242. The fax number is (503)
- 21 241-8160, and also appearing for ICNU is Irion Sanger,
- 22 and his contact information is the same as mine.
- JUDGE MOSS: Not Mr. Perkins?
- 24 MR. VAN CLEVE: I think it will just be
- 25 myself and Mr. Sanger.

- JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Roseman?
- 2 MR. ROSEMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. My name
- 3 is Ronald L. Roseman. I'm representing the Energy
- 4 Project. My law office is located at 2011 14th Avenue
- 5 East, Seattle, Washington, 98112. My phone number is
- 6 (206) 324-8792. My e-mail address is
- 7 ronaldroseman@comcast.net.
- 8 JUDGE MOSS: Mr. ffitch?
- 9 MR. FFITCH: Good afternoon, Your Honor,
- 10 Simon ffitch for the office of the public counsel,
- 11 assistant attorney general, 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite
- 12 2000, Seattle, Washington, 98104. Phone number is
- 13 (206) 389-2055. Fax is 206 -- Excuse me; that's not
- 14 correct. My e-mail address is simonf@atg.wa.gov. We
- 15 have a new fax number, Your Honor. I'll have to look
- 16 it up.
- JUDGE MOSS: We'll check on that later.
- 18 Mr. Trautman?
- 19 MR. TRAUTMAN: Gregory J. Trautman, assistant
- 20 attorney general for Commission staff. My address is
- 21 1400 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Post Office
- 22 Box 40128, Olympia, Washington, 98504. My telephone
- 23 number is area code (360) 664-1187. My fax number is
- 24 area code (360) 586-5522, and my e-mail address is
- 25 gtrautma@wutc.wa.gov.

- 1 JUDGE MOSS: Mr. ffitch, you are going to
- 2 give us that number?
- 3 MR. FFITCH: Yes. The correct fax number for
- 4 public counsel is (206) 464-6451.
- 5 JUDGE MOSS: I believe that there is no one
- 6 else who is present in the hearing room who wishes to
- 7 enter an appearance, but let me ask whether or not
- 8 there is anyone on the teleconference bridge line who
- 9 wishes to enter an appearance today? Hearing nothing,
- 10 we will take up our next order of business, which is
- 11 the petitions to intervene. I have written petitions
- 12 from the Energy Project, the Industrial Customers of
- 13 Northwest Utilities, and the Northwest Industrial Gas
- 14 Users. These being common participants in your
- 15 proceedings, Mr. Meyer, I would assume there is no
- 16 objection?
- MR. MEYER: No objection.
- 18 JUDGE MOSS: The petitions will be granted
- 19 that. As I recall, when we drafted the complaint and
- 20 order suspending the rate proceeding, we initiated the
- 21 discovery process at that time, so that will continue,
- of course, in accordance with the Commission's
- 23 discovery rules. Did we do anything about a protective
- 24 order?
- 25 MR. MEYER: We didn't, and I wanted to bring

- 1 that up. At this time, I would request the standard
- 2 form issuance of a protective order.
- 3 JUDGE MOSS: Anybody want to be heard on that
- 4 subject? We will get that out in the next day or two.
- 5 MR. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 6 JUDGE MOSS: In the meantime, parties may
- 7 proceed as if it were in place. You all know its
- 8 terms. Mr. ffitch, are we going to want to have a
- 9 public comment hearing?
- 10 MR. FFITCH: Yes, Your Honor. We have
- 11 conferred with the Commission's public involvement
- 12 staff and also with the Company. We have a
- 13 recommendation. I'm not sure if it's a consensus,
- 14 fully a consensus. I think the Company indicated they
- 15 wanted to talk about the scheduling of the hearings in
- 16 conjunction with the other scheduling discussions, but
- 17 I can tell you what our recommendation is at this point
- 18 if you like.
- 19 JUDGE MOSS: Sure.
- 20 MR. FFITCH: We are recommending two public
- 21 comment hearings, one located in Spokane and the second
- 22 located in either Colville or the Colfax, Pullman area,
- 23 depending on availability of facilities. We recommend
- 24 that it be held at seven p.m. to make it easier for
- 25 people to attend at the end of the workday.

- 1 The recommended dates would be between
- 2 September 18th and October 30th. That time period is
- 3 selected so that it's after the summer vacation period.
- 4 In summer, generally people have other activities going
- 5 on, so people are kind of back into the routine of
- 6 everyday life after Labor Day, but the weather is still
- 7 good, better than it will be in November and December,
- 8 so mid September to the end of October, and the
- 9 recommendation would be Tuesday, Wednesday, or
- 10 Thursday. No Monday or Friday hearings. It's my
- 11 understanding that Ms. Linda Johnson is here from
- 12 public involvement, and we've discussed it, and I think
- 13 they are comfortable with this recommendation.
- 14 JUDGE MOSS: We can discuss the time frame
- 15 that you've suggested as we discuss the other
- 16 scheduling issues, which I understand there has not
- 17 been a meeting of the minds on, much to my
- 18 disappointment, I must say, but not to my surprise, I
- 19 might add.
- 20 If there is nothing else prior to that
- 21 discussion, we can turn to the procedural schedule.
- 22 Mr. ffitch, did you have something?
- MR. FFITCH: Just under the same general
- 24 heading, Your Honor, there is also the question of the
- 25 Company notice to the customers. We are not quite as

- 1 far along on that. We have initiated contact with the
- 2 Company. I understand that Brian Hirschcorn (phonetic)
- 3 is the contact person, and we are in the process of
- 4 talking with him. We don't have a draft yet. I think
- 5 we are going to have to keep working on that. With
- 6 this schedule, we have a bit of time to work with, but
- 7 we have been able to work out acceptable public notices
- 8 in the past, so hopefully, we won't have a problem this
- 9 time around either.
- 10 JUDGE MOSS: It's been my uniform experience
- 11 that I haven't had to be involved in that process. I
- 12 assume it's occurring through high levels of
- 13 cooperation.
- I have two proposed schedules in front of me.
- 15 They are somewhat different. I suppose you all had
- 16 some opportunity to discuss this among yourselves.
- MR. MEYER: We have, but it might be
- 18 worthwhile to spend a few minutes off the record just
- 19 to make sure we can't, in fact, bring closure to this.
- 20 I'm somewhat skeptical, but it's worth a try, but
- 21 before doing so, if there is any guidance or
- 22 observations from the Bench that would help us in that
- 23 regard, I would appreciate it.
- JUDGE MOSS: I can't give you a lot of
- 25 guidance; although, I did discuss it with the

- 1 commissioners' assistants before coming in here today,
- 2 and I also have my own calendar. I can tell you that
- 3 the specific hearing dates you've identified won't work
- 4 because we have commissioner unavailability during that
- 5 time frame, but that's a couple of days of absence as
- 6 opposed to a lengthy absence.
- 7 Other than that, the only guidance I think I
- 8 can offer you at this juncture is to say that as you
- 9 know, if I have to work this out, I will strike a happy
- 10 medium that will probably make everybody unhappy, so if
- 11 you can work some compromise amongst yourselves that
- 12 will work for all of you, that will be best. If I have
- 13 to sit here and listen to you all tell me reasons why
- 14 you can and cannot do this at this time and so forth, I
- 15 will be lost and confused in no time, so what I come up
- 16 with will probably not be entirely satisfactory to
- 17 anyone but me, which that's okay with me but probably
- 18 not with you, so I do think you could beneficially use
- 19 some time off the record to discuss this among
- 20 yourselves and see if you can strike some compromise
- 21 between these two schedules, which is certainly what I
- 22 would do.
- MR. MEYER: Thank you.
- 24 (Recess.)
- 25 JUDGE MOSS: The parties have had an

- 1 opportunity to discuss the schedule amongst themselves,
- 2 and they have something to report.
- 3 MR. MEYER: We do, and thank you for giving
- 4 us the time. It was productive, and we have a
- 5 consensus on hearing dates and process dates subject to
- 6 squaring it with your calendar and that of the
- 7 commissioners.
- 8 The first item would be Staff and Intervenor
- 9 testimony, and before I give you any of these dates so
- 10 I don't forget to mention it, we would ask for
- 11 electronic filing and service with next-day hard
- 12 copies, so that holds true on these dates.
- 13 Staff and Intervenor testimony, October 17th.
- 14 The next interval is settlement conferences. We've set
- 15 two days aside for that on October 29th and November 1.
- 16 Next date is Company rebuttal/cross-answering
- 17 testimony, November 14th; hearings December 10th
- 18 through the 14th, that week, and I'm told by
- 19 Mr. Trautman that it appears those dates are available
- 20 on the calendar.
- JUDGE MOSS: Yes.
- MR. MEYER: Briefing is January 23rd, and
- 23 we've penciled in what I'll call a target date or an
- 24 aspirational date for an order of March 1.
- JUDGE MOSS: Just one round of briefs?

- 1 MR. MEYER: Yes. In terms of discovery
- 2 turnaround, once Staff and Intervenor testimony has
- 3 been filed, the parties have agreed that there will be
- 4 a seven-business-day turnaround on discovery until such
- 5 time as the Company files rebuttal or other parties
- 6 file cross-answering testimony, at which time there
- 7 will be a five-business-day discovery turnaround.
- 8 Before I turn it over to Mr. ffitch to talk
- 9 about public hearing dates, I just want to make sure
- 10 you have all those dates in mind.
- JUDGE MOSS: I have the Staff, Intervenor
- 12 testimony coming October 17th; settlement conferences
- 13 October 29th and November 1st; Company rebuttal and
- 14 cross-answering November 14th; hearings December 10
- 15 through 14; one brief, January 23rd; aspirational date
- 16 of March 1 for an order; seven-business-day turnaround
- 17 after response testimony; five-business-day turnaround
- 18 after rebuttal.
- MR. MEYER: With the understanding on
- 20 electronic filing and service, etcetera.
- JUDGE MOSS: I have that note too.
- MR. MEYER: Those are points of agreement,
- 23 and Mr. ffitch?
- 24 MR. FFITCH: Your Honor, no dramatic change
- 25 in the recommendation on public comment hearings;

- 1 again, recommending two hearings, one in Spokane and
- 2 then one either north in Colville or south in the
- 3 Colfax, Pullman area. The time period we are
- 4 recommending would be mid September through October
- 5 25th so they can happen before the settlement
- 6 conferences. There is a preference amongst the parties
- 7 here in discussion for September, I think, because of
- 8 better weather, and again, we would request that they
- 9 be held not on Monday or Friday and that the time be
- 10 seven p.m. to try to encourage turnout.
- 11 There is a blackout date for AAG
- 12 participants. Myself and Mr. Trautman are not
- 13 available on October 3rd. I'm afraid we didn't have a
- 14 chance to confer with Kippy Walker regarding any of
- 15 these hearing arrangements ahead of time. We felt it's
- 16 a big time period and it's a couple of months out.
- 17 There is more time to work with.
- 18 JUDGE MOSS: So the dates I have down then
- 19 from your earlier comment and just now, September 18
- 20 through October 25th, that time frame.
- 21 MR. FFITCH: That's right, Your Honor. It
- 22 could be the previous week in September might work as
- 23 well. Our thinking was getting too close to the
- 24 beginning of school, that's the time when people are
- 25 distracted too with other activities. That's why we

- 1 said the 18th, but the previous week might work as
- 2 well. We didn't want to go all the way up to Labor Day
- 3 week.
- 4 JUDGE MOSS: My practice, Mr. ffitch, would
- 5 be to consult with the commissioners on this and get
- 6 their decision as to exactly what they want to do, so
- 7 I'll be sending some further communication on that
- 8 subject once I have that opportunity to meet with them,
- 9 but I have your information in mind and I will pass
- 10 that along to them.
- 11 MR. MEYER: If the Company can be of
- 12 assistance in lining up a room in our service area,
- 13 give us a call and we will do what we can.
- 14 JUDGE MOSS: That will be helpful to Kippy
- 15 Walker. Okay, do you all have any other business that
- 16 you wish to bring to my attention?
- MR. MEYER: No, Your Honor.
- 18 JUDGE MOSS: I have a couple of things. We
- 19 have all been through this so many times I'm not going
- 20 to repeat the drill on filing and so on and so forth,
- 21 but I did check with the records center before coming
- 22 to the prehearing conference, and currently, the
- 23 indication is a need for 18 copies for internal
- 24 distribution.
- 25 I have requested, however, that there be some

- 1 internal communications to see if we can reduce that
- 2 number, and unfortunately, I have been rather busy with
- 3 other things and didn't get this process initiated in
- 4 time to get an answer for that, so the prehearing order
- 5 will probably be the best thing to rely on in terms of
- 6 the final number of copies, and I'm hoping to cut it
- 7 down perhaps by a third.
- 8 MR. MEYER: One other housekeeping matter
- 9 that I know we've done in the past is designate a
- 10 couple of people to receive items, thinking of
- 11 discovery primarily, so it doesn't just come to me and
- 12 if I'm out of the office there is a log jam. What is
- 13 your preference, to establish a separate list for that
- 14 or just work it out?
- JUDGE MOSS: If it's just for your
- 16 communications among the parties, I would say work it
- 17 out amongst yourselves. If it's a list that you want
- 18 me to communicate with you, just let me know, and we
- 19 have an internal ability to send out a group e-mail, so
- 20 if you will let me know anybody you want things sent
- 21 to, I'll be happy to do that.
- MR. MEYER: We'll start on this end and we
- 23 will circulate an e-mail to everyone saying, we would
- 24 like you to send a copy of your stuff to not only me,
- 25 but it might be Liz or Kelly, and try to limit it to no

- 1 more than three, and if you will do likewise, we will
- 2 know who to send the stuff to.
- 3 MR. FFITCH: We are very supportive of that
- 4 approach too, and on a number of occasions, we have
- 5 communicated those addresses to the Bench also because
- 6 the same thing applies when we are getting
- 7 communications from the Bench. We want somebody on our
- 8 staff to see it and be able to process it.
- 9 JUDGE MOSS: If you will initiate that
- 10 process, Mr. Meyer, we'll take it from there, and I
- 11 will be the last recipient if you don't mind.
- MR. MEYER: We will close the loop that way.
- 13 JUDGE MOSS: We will take care of it
- 14 internally. Any other housekeeping matters? We get
- 15 better at this as we go along. It has worked well in
- 16 the last proceedings that we've done that.
- 17 Thank you all for being here today, and look
- 18 forward to working with you and bringing this case to a
- 19 satisfactory conclusion. See you next time.
- 20 (Prehearing conference adjourned at 4:15 p.m.)

23

24