```
1
                      BEFORE THE WASHINGTON
 2
             UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
 3
4
    WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND
    TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,
 5
                 Complainant,
                                       ) Docket No. UE-152253
6
       v.
7
    PACIFIC POWER & LIGHT COMPANY,
8
                 Respondent.
9
10
11
           TELEPHONIC SCHEDULING CONFERENCE, VOLUME IV
12
                           Pages 105-130
13
       ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE MARGUERITE E. FRIEDLANDER
14
15
                            11:02 a.m.
16
                         April 29, 2016
17
       Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission
            1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest
18
                Olympia, Washington 98504-7250
19
20
    REPORTED BY: ANITA W. SELF, RPR, CCR #3032
21
    Buell Realtime Reporting, LLC
    1325 Fourth Avenue
2.2
    Suite 1840
    Seattle, Washington 98101
23
    206.287.9066 | Seattle
    360.534.9066
                    Olympia
24
    800.846.6989
                   National
25
    www.buellrealtime.com
```

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:
4	MARGUERITE FRIEDLANDER Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission
5	1300 So. Evergreen Park Drive SW P.O. Box 47250
6	Olympia, Washington 98504 360.664.1136
7	
8	APPEARANCES VIA THE BRIDGE LINE
9	FOR COMMISSION STAFF:
10	JENNIFER CAMERON-RULKOWSKI
11	JULIAN H. BEATTIE CHRISTOPHER M. CASEY
12	Assistant Attorney General 1400 So. Evergreen Park Drive SW
13	P.O. Box 40128 Olympia, Washington 98504
14	360.664.1186 jcameron@utc.wa.gov
15	jbeattie@utc.wa.gov ccasey@utc.wa.gov
16	STAFF:
17	JEREMY TWITCHELL
18	TOM SCHOOLEY JASON BALL
19	EOD DAGTETO DOMED C LIQUE COMPANY.
20	FOR PACIFIC POWER & LIGHT COMPANY:
21	KATHERINE McDOWELL MATT MCVEE ADAM LOWNEY
22	McDowell, Rackner & Gibson, PC
23	419 SW 11th Avenue Portland, Oregon 97205 503.595.3924
24	katherine@mrg-law.com
25	matt@mrg-law.com adam@mrg-law.com

```
1
               APPEARANCES (Continued)
2
    FOR PACIFICORP (appearing with Pacific Power & Light):
 3
           BRYCE DALLEY
           ARIEL SON
 4
            SARAH WALLACE
           RICH LINK
5
6
    FOR BOISE WHITE PAPER:
7
            JESSE E. COWELL (with BRAD MULLINS)
           Davison Van Cleve, PC
8
            333 SW Taylor
            Portland, Oregon 97204
            503.241.7242
9
            iec@dvclaw.com
10
11
    FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL:
12
            SIMON FFITCH
            Senior Assistant Attorney General
13
            Chief, Public Counsel Division
            800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000, TB-14
14
            Seattle, Washington 98104
            206.389.2055
15
            simonf@atq.wa.qov
16
    FOR SIERRA CLUB:
17
            TRAVIS RITCHIE & GLORIA SMITH
18
            85 Second Street, Second Floor
            San Francisco, California 94105
19
            415.977.5727
            Travis.ritchie@sierraclub.org
20
21
    FOR THE NORTHWEST ENERGY COALITION:
22
            JONI BOSH
            Senior Policy Associate
23
            811 1st Avenue, Suite 305
            Seattle, Washington 98104
24
            602,703,3059
            joni@nwenergy.org
25
```

1	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; APRIL 29, 2016
2	11:02 A.M.
3	-000-
4	
5	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: We'll go ahead and go on
6	the record.
7	My name is Marguerite Friedlander. I'm an
8	administrative law judge with the Washington Utilities
9	and Transportation Commission.
10	We're here on very quick notice because of
11	discovery impediments to discuss scheduling of testimony
12	and hearing on the selective catalytic reduction issue.
13	We are also here to reschedule the
14	post-hearing briefs as they are due on May 23rd, and my
15	guess would be, to have a complete brief, we're going to
16	need to push that out.
17	This matter has been designated as Docket
18	UE-152253.
19	Let's go ahead and take appearances
20	beginning with Pacific Power. Please give the short
21	form appearance, but let me know who is with you.
22	MS. MCDOWELL (via bridge line): This is
23	Katherine McDowell for Pacific Power.
24	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. And can you speak
25	up a little bit?

1 MS. MCDOWELL: Of course. Katherine 2 McDowell from Pacific Power. Can you hear me all right 3 now? 4 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, that's good. 5 MS. MCDOWELL: Okay. Thank you. 6 With me, I have several folks from 7 PacifiCorp. Also appearing in the case with me as 8 counsel, Matt McVee and Adam Lowney. Then I have Bryce 9 Dalley, Ariel Son, Sarah Wallace and Rich Link. 10 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And I'm sorry. The last 11 name was Rick Link [sic]? 12 MS. MCDOWELL: That's correct. 13 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you. 14 And appearing today on behalf of Staff? 15 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI (via bridge line): 16 Jennifer Cameron-Rulkowski, Assistant Attorney General. 17 And with me on the line, I believe, I have Christopher 18 Casey, Assistant Attorney General, and also Mr. Jeremy 19 Twitchell of Staff. 20 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you. 21 And is there anyone else on the line for Staff? 22 23 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I believe so. 24 MR. SCHOOLEY (via bridge line): Yes. Tom 25 Schooley and Jason Ball are also in the room along with

1 Julian Beattie. 2 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you. 3 Appearing today on behalf of Public Counsel? 4 MR. FFITCH (via bridge line): Good morning, 5 your Honor. Simon ffitch for Public Counsel, and I'm on 6 my own today. 7 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Appearing today 8 on behalf of the Energy Project? Mr. Purdy, are you 9 there? Perhaps it was too short of notice. 10 Appearing today on behalf of Boise White 11 Paper? 12 MR. COWELL (via bridge line): Good morning, 13 your Honor. Jesse Cowell on behalf of Boise, and also 14 with me is Brad Mullins. 15 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you. 16 Appearing today on behalf of the Sierra 17 Club? 18 MR. RITCHIE (via bridge line): Good 19 morning, your Honor. Travis Ritchie on behalf of the 20 Sierra Club. 21 MS. SMITH (via bridge line): And Gloria Smith on behalf of Sierra Club. 22 23 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. 24 Is there anyone here on behalf of the 25 coalition, the Northwest Energy Coalition?

9

10

11

12

16

- 1 MS. BOSH (via bridge line): Yes. Good 2 morning. This is Joni Bosh. I'm here on my own with 3 the Northwest Energy Coalition. 4 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you.
- Is there anyone else on the bridge line that 5 6 wants to make an appearance? I can quarantee you, 7 besides the court reporter, I am the only one in the room right now.
 - We need to discuss obviously, since Order 08 was entered, how soon Staff can get testimony and exhibits in. We're essentially the rest of today away from a hearing on Monday.
- 13 So perhaps, Mr. Casey or 14 Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, hopefully you've talked with 15 Mr. Twitchell and the rest of the folks in Staff about

how soon that can be done.

- 17 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Yes, your Honor, we 18 have. What we wanted to know was what the -- what the 19 hearing date is going to be, and so we'd like to get 20 some idea of the hearing date and then -- and then go 21 back from that and establish the dates to file 22 supplemental testimony.
- 23 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Well, if you have 24 supplemental testimony and exhibits ready right now, I'd 25 like them filed today.

1 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: We could certainly 2 file them Friday. 3 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Friday as in next Friday 4 or Friday as in today? 5 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Friday as in next 6 Friday. 7 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Then that's fine. 8 That's really what I need to know, because we can't work 9 backwards from now. We really need to find out how soon 10 it's going to take you guys to provide the analysis, do 11 the analysis on all of that, and perhaps it's already 12 done, but then draft the testimony and get the exhibits 13 to us. 14 So if you can do that by Friday, May 6th, 15 I'm prepared to allow the parties an additional week for rebuttal/cross-answering if that would be acceptable. 16 17 Let's start with the Company. Would that be 18 acceptable for rebuttal testimony on this limited issue? 19 MS. MCDOWELL: That's acceptable to Pacific 20 Power. 21 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Public Counsel? 22 MR. FFITCH: That's acceptable, your Honor. 23 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okav. I don't know if 24 any of you even intend to file testimony or exhibits. 25 This is pretty much a very narrow, limited issue.

1 So let's go to Boise White Paper and Sierra Club. 2 3 MR. COWELL: Your Honor, that seems fine 4 from Boise's perspective. 5 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Mr. Ritchie or 6 Ms. Smith? 7 MR. RITCHIE: Yes, your Honor. So just to 8 clarify, so the cross-answer would be the 13th, correct? 9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, that's correct. 10 would be Friday, the 13th. 11 MR. RITCHIE: That's acceptable for Sierra 12 Club. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. All right. 13 14 With that, it's going to be fairly difficult 15 as the commissioners' and the Commission's schedule in 16 general are quite booked for the latter half of May. 17 We're receiving some feedback on the line. 18 I'm not sure whose phone that is. 19 I would propose, I guess, that the parties 20 come up with some potential dates. I will let you know 21 right now that Commission -- the commissioners are out 22 the week of -- well, I should say May 22nd through the 23 26th at the Western Conference. 24 What I'm seeing right now, the earliest 25 possible date that we could have the hearing might be

1 the 31st of May and June 1st. That would be a Tuesday and a Wednesday following Memorial Day. 2 3 Any thoughts? 4 MS. MCDOWELL: Your Honor, Katherine 5 McDowell for Pacific Power. Those dates work for 6 Pacific Power. 7 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. 8 Staff? 9 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And those dates work 10 for Staff. 11 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Public -- I'm 12 sorry? 13 MR. FFITCH: Sorry, your Honor. Could you 14 repeat those dates? I apologize. I didn't track that. 15 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Sure. Tuesday, 16 May 31st; Wednesday, June 1st. 17 MR. FFITCH: And those are briefing dates 18 for all issues in the case? 19 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: No. Those would be 20 hearing dates for the SCR issue. 2.1 MR. FFITCH: Oh, I apologize. 22 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And this is Simon 23 ffitch? MR. FFITCH: This is Simon ffitch. 24

25

Sure.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER:

- 1 No objection to that for Public Counsel.
- 2 Okay.
- 3 MR. RITCHIE: Your Honor, this is Travis
- 4 Ritchie with Sierra Club, if I could chime in.
- 5 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Sure.
- 6 MR. RITCHIE: Having discussed with my 7 expert, I know that one of his conflicts is an inability to travel on Memorial Day. So he could be -- Dr. Fisher 8 9 could be available on June 1st, and then depending on 10 flight availability, possibly late on the 31st, although 11 he is coming from the East Coast.
- 12 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Um-hmm.
- 13 MR. RITCHIE: But travel for him on the 30th 14 is difficult because that is Memorial Day.
- 15 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay.
- 16 MS. MCDOWELL: And your Honor, this is 17 Katherine McDowell for Pacific Power. I was just going 18 to say that, from a scheduling perspective, the 31st is 19 better for us. So I'm not sure if there's a way to 20 accommodate Mr. Fisher's travel schedule and have him 21 appear in the afternoon, which I think would be the normal flow. 22
- 23 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And I'm not sure it's 24 going to take a full day. I'm just trying to get a 25 sense of what everyone's schedules and availabilities

- 1 are. So these are both just potential dates.
- 2 And what about Boise White Paper,
- 3 Mr. Cowell?

11

- 4 MR. COWELL: Either date would work for us,
- 5 your Honor. Thanks.
- 6 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.
- Obviously, I still need to hopefully get a response from the Energy Project and the Coalition, but 8 9 the way the schedule is looking right now, those seem to 10 be the best dates, in which case we would want a full
- 12 having two separate briefings because we're having to

briefing on all the issues thereafter, rather than

- 13 break this issue out.
- 14 So the May 23rd post-hearing brief deadline
- 15 would need to be rescheduled for some time after the
- 16 31st or the 1st, in which case I would guess that would
- be somewhere around -- well, it would be late June. And 17
- 18 after that, I'm guessing we would probably get an order
- 19 out mid-August.
- 20 So are there any other matters that we need
- 21 to take up today?
- 22 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I think the only
- 23 thing -- this is Jennifer Cameron Rulkowski. I will be
- 24 out of the office in mid-June, and I would ask -- I
- 25 would ask -- I'll be out of the office from June 10th

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 1 through June 23rd, and I would simply ask that the brief be due after that. 2
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Well, we'll 4 attempt to accommodate that for the briefing schedule. 5 But again, as with the hearing, we're going to have to
- 6 accommodate the commissioners' schedules first and 7 foremost and try to run this on a prudent course.

8 So is there any other scheduling conflicts that we may need to address? 9

10 MR. FFITCH: Your Honor, this is Simon 11 ffitch for Public Counsel.

I quess just a question about -- to confirm what -- I think I know the answer, but the hearings coming up on Monday are going forward as to all the other issues?

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: That's correct.

MR. FFITCH: And the only additional point, then, that I was going to bring up is whether we need to look at the witness schedule. To the extent the SCR witnesses are pulled out of that, do we need to discuss any revisions to the witness schedule for Monday and Tuesday?

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I would say that is a very good idea, and that the parties may do that off And I would appreciate being updated by the end

- 1 of today.
- MR. FFITCH: Thank you, your Honor.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Certainly. Thank you
- 4 | for bringing it up.
- 5 The other thing that I want to mention,
- 6 because I haven't had a chance to e-mail about this, is
- 7 that we are missing an electronic copy of Pacific
- 8 | Power's Exhibit SEM-9C. We have the redacted version;
- 9 | we have no confidential PDF version. So if you could
- 10 | file that ASAP, we'd really appreciate it.
- MS. MCDOWELL: Your Honor, this is Katherine
- 12 | McDowell. We will do that.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.
- MS. MCDOWELL: And just back to the
- 15 schedule, as we're putting it together, we -- as you
- 16 | know, we did a fair amount of negotiations to try to get
- 17 | a schedule that worked for folks, and if we can try
- 18 to -- as the hearing slips, if we can try to keep the
- 19 basic relationship among the other dates, I think we
- 20 would, you know, appreciate that. I think those were
- 21 all pretty carefully negotiated.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: What other dates are you
- 23 referring to?
- MS. MCDOWELL: I'm just saying that, right
- 25 now, I think we had the briefing date about three weeks

- after the hearing. Just trying to keep that
 relationship, and then the order date, just so that the
 schedule does not -- you know, the basic flow of the
 schedule remains the same.
 - JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. So you're suggesting that perhaps a briefing would be, again, approximately three weeks after the hearing?

MS. MCDOWELL: That's correct.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes. And then the Commission, again, needs approximately six weeks to draft an order, so I think that's fair.

MS. MCDOWELL: Okay.

MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Your Honor, this is Jennifer Cameron-Rulkowski, and I have a proposal for you to consider. Because we -- because of the additional complexity of the SCR issue, we would propose doing two rounds of briefing. We're thinking that that might be a good process to get out all of the arguments and be able to respond to the different parties' arguments and be helpful to the Commission.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm confused, because I thought this was a fairly narrow issue as far as new information goes, meaning there's new information that was received by Staff, or was at least made aware -- Staff was made aware of in late April.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So how many rounds -- I mean, this is just one additional mine plan and an analysis by Staff with rebuttal by the Company and possibly cross-answering testimony.

What -- what are you thinking of as far as briefing?

MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Strictly that as the subject has developed, it's -- my thought is that it might be helpful to have two rounds of briefing. And if it's not helpful, that's fine, but I wanted to offer that.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Well, you know what? Let's postpone a decision on that until we get to hearing and see exactly how complex this is. I would say we could probably get by with one round of briefing, but I will hold it in abeyance and consider your suggestion after we have cross-examination of the witnesses.

MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Certainly. And the other issue that I wanted to raise was, we had submitted cross-exhibits not knowing whether we were going to be filing supplemental testimony, and so I would ask for an opportunity to -- to potentially refile -- resubmit cross-exhibits on the SCR issue.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So let me just think

1 about this for a second, because Staff is already going to be filing testimony and exhibits on Friday, May 6th, 2 3 the Company will file rebuttal, and possibly parties 4 filing cross-answering on the 13th. We're looking at a 5 hearing maybe May 31st or June 1st. 6 When would Staff propose filing 7 cross-exhibits? 8 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: That would need to 9 be sometime -- presumably sometime early in the week of 10 the 24th, just looking at the calendar. 11 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. So you're 12 thinking May 23rd? 13 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Oh, sorry. May. 14 The week of May 23rd, right. 15 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. All right. 16 How did the other parties feel about this? 17 Is there a need for additional opportunity for 18 cross-exam exhibits? 19 MS. MCDOWELL: Katherine McDowell from 20 Pacific Power. We would like leave to file additional 21 cross-examination exhibits for -- related to the SCR issue. 22 23 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Thank you. 24 Anyone else wish to weigh in? 25

All right. I don't have too much of a

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 1 problem with that. I would say that it's going to need 2 to come in by -- I would like it in by the 20th. I 3 would like all cross-exam exhibits in by the 20th, 4 assuming that we have the hearing either on the 31st or 5 June 1st.
- 6 So I would assume, then, that you all may 7 even need additional discovery. Is that -- is that a 8 possibility? Or are we pretty much done with discovery? 9 MS. MCDOWELL: Your Honor, we -- Pacific 10 Power here again. We would like leave to do discovery
- 12 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And how long are we 13 looking at?

on Staff's supplemental testimony.

- MS. MCDOWELL: I mean, my sense is that we would -- you know, if the testimony comes on the 13th, that we would be able to get our discovery out on the 15th with -- I'm off a week -- on the 6th, so we would be able to have our discovery out by the 9th with responses by the end of the week.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. And would that work for the other parties as well, continuing discovery until --
- 23 MS. MCDOWELL: Your Honor, I'm sorry. I 24 needed to say the 12th. Because our testimony is due 25 the 13th, if we were going to incorporate any of the

discovery into our testimony, we would need to have the responses by the 12th.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. So having discovery through -- to give Staff and the other parties additional time to conduct any discovery, are we looking at extending discovery, say, through the 16th?

MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Well, your Honor, this is Jennifer Cameron-Rulkowski, and I think if the Company is filing testimony in response to Staff's supplemental testimony, then it's possible that Staff would also need to do some discovery on whatever the Company files. So we would appreciate the opportunity for some limited discovery.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So are we looking at possibly -- theoretically, could Staff have discovery and the other parties have discovery done by the 18th of May?

MS. MCDOWELL: So your Honor, Katherine McDowell here. We had proposed on our -- on the turn-around on our end, was we get it on Friday, we serve the discovery that Monday, the discovery comes in on that Thursday.

So we propose a similar schedule. We file our testimony the 13th, any discovery comes in on the 16th, responses on the 19th, which would be, then, in

1 time for filing cross-exhibits on the 20th. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: That works for 2 3 Staff. 4 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. And other 5 parties, what are your thoughts on this? 6 MS. SMITH: Sierra Club is fine with that 7 schedule. 8 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Boise? 9 MR. FFITCH: Public Counsel is fine. 10 MR. COWELL: Boise also is fine. 11 MS. BOSH: Coalition's fine. 12 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So the Coalition --13 okay. So the court reporter didn't hear anything after 14 Boise, so the Coalition is now on the line? 15 I have been, yes. MS. BOSH: 16 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. So --17 MS. BOSH: And we are fine with that. 18 Okay. That's fine. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: 19 And could you please make an appearance for 20 the court reporter? 21 MS. BOSH: Yes, this is Joni Bosh for 22 Northwest Energy Coalition. 23 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Sierra Club, you're fine 24 with discovery through the 16th? 25 MS. SMITH: We are, your Honor.

1 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Public 2 Counsel said yes. 3 Staff, how about you? 4 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Excuse me, 5 your Honor. I think it would need to be through the 6 19th if we need to file cross-exhibits on the 20th and 7 we're just seeing the Company's testimony on the 13th. 8 Oh, in order to -- right, that's fine. 9 MS. MCDOWELL: So the discovery would be 10 served by the 16th and we would respond by the 19th. 11 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. 12 MS. MCDOWELL: That's fine. And you know, I 13 would propose, too -- just a couple of points. 14 One is that we would be willing to get our 15 discovery in by noon on the 19th [sic] and would 16 appreciate the same accommodation from Staff on the 17 12th, just to allow the processing of, you know, the --18 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. You're going to 19 have to repeat that for the court reporter. 20 MS. MCDOWELL: Yeah, I'll slow down. 21 So what we were proposing, instead of having 22 discovery that we serve on the 9th be due on the 12th at 23 5:00, it would be due on the 12th at noon. And 24 similarly, the discovery that is served on the Company 25 on the 16th, we would provide responses by noon on the

1 | 19th.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Staff?

MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Yes, that is

4 agreeable.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Any of the other parties want to weigh in? I'm not hearing any objection so I'm going to take that as it's perfectly fine.

Please keep in mind that the difficulties we're having with the sound system is the same difficulties that your witnesses may encounter if they are testifying telephonically, so be careful when you're asking to have them made available. And we're hearing someone's alert on their computer pop up as well. So just keep that in mind for the evidentiary hearing on Monday and into Tuesday.

So what I've got so far is that we will receive additional testimony and exhibits from Staff on the limited issue of SCR prudence on May 6th, on Friday, and then the Company will respond with rebuttal and cross-answering from any of the parties on May 13th.

We will continue discovery in this docket through the 16th, and responses to -- responses to the -- I'm going to just say, these data request responses that are propounded to the Company will be -- I quess it would be Staff goes first.

So data request responses are due by noon when they would have been done by 5:00. The Company has said that they will get any data requests out by the 9th, and then the Staff will get data request responses out by the 16th, I assume.

In any event, I will be issuing an order -entering an order -- another prehearing conference order
based on these dates, as well as the evidentiary hearing
date that we're going to have for that limited issue
after I check with the commissioners' schedules.

This may take a bit, but likely we're going to be pretty busy next week anyway. And briefs potentially would be due the third or fourth week of June. And again, this is certainly going to extend the date of entering a final order and having rates effective.

Is there anything else that we needed to address before we adjourn?

MR. RITCHIE: Yes, your Honor.

MS. SMITH: Your Honor --

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. One at a time.

Was that Travis Ritchie?

MR. RITCHIE: Yes, your Honor. And I think Gloria was the other one speaking up with the Sierra Club.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay.

MR. RITCHIE: With regard to moving the -bifurcating the issues, Sierra Club's only issue that we
intended to cross on, and the case that we presented,
has to do with this SCR issue. And so we would ask
whether or not it's an option for Sierra Club to be
excused from the hearings on Monday and Tuesday coming
up.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I have no issue with that, but you do so at your own peril in case there is another issue that comes up that may have anything peripherally to do with something that you're interested in. So I have no problem with it, but do take caution.

MR. RITCHIE: Thank you, your Honor. We'll take that under advisement, and we'll let you know on Monday if we're there.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.

Is there anything else we need to address before we adjourn?

MS. MCDOWELL: Yes, Judge. Katherine

McDowell again here for PacifiCorp. I just wanted to

clarify that that discovery that we've been discussing

that will occur in May is related only to the SCR

issues. It's basically limited to the supplemental

testimony and response testimony that's going to be

Τ.	lilea.
2	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, that's correct.
3	This is not an opportunity for additional discovery of
4	other non-SCR prudence issues.
5	MR. FFITCH: Your Honor, this is Simon
6	ffitch. While we have all the other all the counsel
7	on the line, with regard to the witness scheduling on
8	Monday and Tuesday, could we quickly figure out a time
9	to confer on that? And maybe we can do it immediately
10	after this call while everybody is still gathered?
11	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: That's fine. I'll leave
12	the conference bridge on, and when we adjourn, we'll
13	just go off the record and allow you to do that.
14	MR. FFITCH: Thank you, your Honor. That's
15	acceptable to us.
16	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Certainly.
17	Is there anything else before we adjourn?
18	All right. Hearing nothing, we will see you or hear you
19	on Monday.
20	Thank you. We are adjourned.
21	(Hearing concluded at 11:27 a.m.)
22	
23	-000-
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON)
4	COUNTY OF KING)
5	
6	
7	I, ANITA W. SELF, a Certified Shorthand Reporter
8	in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify
9	that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to
10	the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
11	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
12	and seal this 9th day of May, 2016.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	ANITA W. SELF, RPR, CCR #3032
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	