Docket No. UG-190210 - Vol. I

Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission v. Cascade Natural Gas Corporation

April 16, 2019



COURT REPORTING AND LEGAL VIDEO

206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101 www.buellrealtime.com email: info@buellrealtime.com



	Page	1	Page 3
		1	APPEARANCES (Cont.)
	UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION	2	
	WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND)DOCKET NO. UG-190210 TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,)	3	FOR THE ENERGY PROJECT: (Via bridge)
	Complainant,)	4	SIMON FFITCH Attorney at Law
		5	321 High School Road NE Suite D-3, Box 383
	vs.)		Bainbridge Island, Washington 98110
	CASCADE NATURAL GAS) CORPORATION,)	6	(206) 669-8197 simon@ffitchlaw.com
		7	FOR AWEC:
	Respondent.)		(Via bridge)
		9	CHAD STOKES Cable Huston LLP
	PREHEARING CONFERENCE - VOLUME I	10	1001 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000 Portland, Oregon 97204
	Pages 1-13	11	(503) 224-3092
	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES RAYNE PEARSON & LAURA CHARTOFF	12	cstokes@cablehuston.com
		13 14	ALSO PRESENT: MICHAEL PARVINEN
	April 16, 2019	15	Manager, Regulatory Cascade Natural Gas Corporation
	10:00 a.m.		8113 W Grandridge Boulevard
	Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest	16	Kennewick, Washington 99336 michael.parvinen@cngc.com
	Olympia, Washington 98504	17 18	
	REPORTED BY: TAYLER GARLINGHOUSE, CCR 3358		* * * *
	Buell Realtime Reporting, LLC 1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840	19 20	
	Seattle, Washington 98101	21 22	
	(206) 287-9066 Seattle (360) 534-9066 Olympia	23	
	(800) 846-6989 National www.buellrealtime.com	24 25	
	Page	2	Page 4
1	Page	2	
2	_		OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; APRIL 16, 2019 10:00 A.M.
2 3	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: RAYNE PEARSON	1	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; APRIL 16, 2019 10:00 A.M. 000
2	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: RAYNE PEARSON LAURA CHARTOFF Washington Utilities and] 2 3 4	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; APRIL 16, 2019 10:00 A.M. 000 P R O C E E D I N G S
2 3 4	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: RAYNE PEARSON LAURA CHARTOFF Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW	1 2 3 4 5	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; APRIL 16, 2019 10:00 A.M. 000 P R O C E E D I N G S
2 3 4	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: RAYNE PEARSON LAURA CHARTOFF Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1160	1 2 3 4 5 6	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; APRIL 16, 2019 10:00 A.M. 000 P R O C E E D I N G S JUDGE PEARSON: We'll go ahead and be on the
2 3 4	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: RAYNE PEARSON LAURA CHARTOFF Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW Olympia, Washington 98504	1 2 3 4 5	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; APRIL 16, 2019 10:00 A.M. 000 PROCEEDINGS JUDGE PEARSON: We'll go ahead and be on the record. So good morning. We're here today for a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: RAYNE PEARSON LAURA CHARTOFF Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1160 rayne.pearson@utc.wa.gov	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; APRIL 16, 2019 10:00 A.M. 000 P R O C E E D I N G S JUDGE PEARSON: We'll go ahead and be on the record. So good morning. We're here today for a prehearing conference in Docket UG-190210, which is
2 3 6 7 8 9	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: RAYNE PEARSON LAURA CHARTOFF Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1160 rayne,pearson@utc.wa.gov laura.chartoff@utc.wa.gov laura.chartoff@utc.wa.gov SFOR COMMISSION STAFF: JENNIFER CAMERON-RULKOWSKI		OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; APRIL 16, 2019 10:00 A.M. 000 P R O C E E D I N G S JUDGE PEARSON: We'll go ahead and be on the record. So good morning. We're here today for a prehearing conference in Docket UG-190210, which is captioned Washington Utilities and Transportation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: RAYNE PEARSON LAURA CHARTOFF Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1160 rayne, pearson@utc.wa.gov laura.chartoff@utc.wa.gov laura.chartoff@utc.wa.gov SFOR COMMISSION STAFF: JENNIFER CAMERON-RULKOWSKI JEFF ROBERSON (via bridge) Assistant Attorney General		OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; APRIL 16, 2019 10:00 A.M. 000 P R O C E E D I N G S JUDGE PEARSON: We'll go ahead and be on the record. So good morning. We're here today for a prehearing conference in Docket UG-190210, which is captioned Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission versus Cascade Natural Gas Corporation. My name is Rayne Pearson and with me is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: RAYNE PEARSON LAURA CHARTOFF Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1160 rayne, pearson@utc.wa.gov laura.chartoff@utc.wa.gov laura.chartoff@utc.wa.gov FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JENNIFER CAMERON-RULKOWSKI JEFF ROBERSON (via bridge) Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General Office of the Attorney General Utilities and Transportation Division		OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; APRIL 16, 2019 10:00 A.M. 000 P R O C E E D I N G S JUDGE PEARSON: We'll go ahead and be on the record. So good morning. We're here today for a prehearing conference in Docket UG-190210, which is captioned Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission versus Cascade Natural Gas Corporation. My name is Rayne Pearson and with me is Laura Chartoff. We are administrative law judges with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: RAYNE PEARSON LAURA CHARTOFF Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1160 rayne,pearson @utc.wa.gov laura.chartoff@utc.wa.gov laura.chartoff@utc.wa.gov FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JENNIFER CAMERON-RULKOWSKI JEFF ROBERSON (via bridge) Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General Utilities and Transportation Division 1400 South Evergreen Park Drive SW PO Box 40128		OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; APRIL 16, 2019 10:00 A.M. 000 PROCEEDINGS JUDGE PEARSON: We'll go ahead and be on the record. So good morning. We're here today for a prehearing conference in Docket UG-190210, which is captioned Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission versus Cascade Natural Gas Corporation. My name is Rayne Pearson and with me is Laura Chartoff. We are administrative law judges with the Utilities and Transportation Commission, and we will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: RAYNE PEARSON LAURA CHARTOFF Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1160 rayne, pearson@utc.wa.gov laura.chartoff@utc.wa.gov laura.chartoff@utc.wa.gov FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JENNIFER CAMERON-RULKOWSKI JEFF ROBERSON (via bridge) Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General Utilities and Transportation Division 1400 South Evergreen Park Drive SW PO Box 40128 Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1186		OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; APRIL 16, 2019 10:00 A.M. 000 PROCEEDINGS JUDGE PEARSON: We'll go ahead and be on the record. So good morning. We're here today for a prehearing conference in Docket UG-190210, which is captioned Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission versus Cascade Natural Gas Corporation. My name is Rayne Pearson and with me is Laura Chartoff. We are administrative law judges with the Utilities and Transportation Commission, and we will be co-presiding in this matter along with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: RAYNE PEARSON LAURA CHARTOFF Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1160 rayne, pearson@utc.wa.gov laura.chartoff@utc.wa.gov laura.chartoff@utc.wa.gov FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JENNIFER CAMERON-RULKOWSKI JEFF ROBERSON (via bridge) Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General Office of th		OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; APRIL 16, 2019 10:00 A.M. 000 PROCEEDINGS JUDGE PEARSON: We'll go ahead and be on the record. So good morning. We're here today for a prehearing conference in Docket UG-190210, which is captioned Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission versus Cascade Natural Gas Corporation. My name is Rayne Pearson and with me is Laura Chartoff. We are administrative law judges with the Utilities and Transportation Commission, and we will be co-presiding in this matter along with the Commissioners.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: RAYNE PEARSON LAURA CHARTOFF Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1160 rayne.pearson@utc.wa.gov laura.chartoff@utc.wa.gov laura.chartoff@utc.wa.gov EFOR COMMISSION STAFF: JENNIFER CAMERON-RULKOWSKI JEFF ROBERSON (via bridge) Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1186 jennifer.cameron-rulkowski@utc.wa.gov FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL: LISA GAFKEN Assistant Attorney General		OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; APRIL 16, 2019 10:00 A.M. 000 P R O C E E D I N G S JUDGE PEARSON: We'll go ahead and be on the record. So good morning. We're here today for a prehearing conference in Docket UG-190210, which is captioned Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission versus Cascade Natural Gas Corporation. My name is Rayne Pearson and with me is Laura Chartoff. We are administrative law judges with the Utilities and Transportation Commission, and we will be co-presiding in this matter along with the Commissioners. So let's start by taking appearances and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: RAYNE PEARSON LAURA CHARTOFF Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1160 rayne.pearson@utc.wa.gov laura.chartotf@utc.wa.gov laura.chartotf@utc.wa.gov Iaura.chartotf@utc.wa.gov FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JENNIFER CAMERON-RULKOWSKI JEFF ROBERSON (via bridge) Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1186 jennifer.cameron-rulkowski@utc.wa.gov FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL: LISA GAFKEN Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General Office of the Attorney General Office of the Attorney General Office of the Attorney General Busistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General Office of the Attorney General Office of the Attorney General Busistant Attorney General Busistant Attorney General Busistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General Busistant		OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; APRIL 16, 2019 10:00 A.M. 000 PROCEEDINGS JUDGE PEARSON: We'll go ahead and be on the record. So good morning. We're here today for a prehearing conference in Docket UG-190210, which is captioned Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission versus Cascade Natural Gas Corporation. My name is Rayne Pearson and with me is Laura Chartoff. We are administrative law judges with the Utilities and Transportation Commission, and we will be co-presiding in this matter along with the Commissioners. So let's start by taking appearances and addressing the petitions for intervention. And let's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: RAYNE PEARSON LAURA CHARTOFF Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1160 rayne.pearson@utc.wa.gov laura.chartoff@utc.wa.gov laura.chartoff@utc.wa.gov FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JENNIFER CAMERON-RULKOWSKI JEFF ROBERSON (via bridge) Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General Utilities and Transportation Division 1400 South Evergreen Park Drive SW PO Box 40128 Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1186 jennifer.cameron-rulkowski@utc.wa.gov FOR FUBLIC COUNSEL: LISA GAFKEN Assistant Attorney General 800 - 5th Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 464-6595		OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; APRIL 16, 2019 10:00 A.M. 000 P R O C E E D I N G S JUDGE PEARSON: We'll go ahead and be on the record. So good morning. We're here today for a prehearing conference in Docket UG-190210, which is captioned Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission versus Cascade Natural Gas Corporation. My name is Rayne Pearson and with me is Laura Chartoff. We are administrative law judges with the Utilities and Transportation Commission, and we will be co-presiding in this matter along with the Commissioners. So let's start by taking appearances and addressing the petitions for intervention. And let's begin with Cascade.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: RAYNE PEARSON LAURA CHARTOFF Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1160 rayne.pearson@utc.wa.gov laura.chartoff@utc.wa.gov FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JENNIFER CAMERON-RULKOWSKI JEFF ROBERSON (via bridge) Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General Office of the Attorney General Office of the Attorney General Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1186 jennifer.cameron-rulkowski@utc.wa.gov FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL: LISA GAFKEN Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General BO0 - 5th Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 464-6595 lisa.gafken@atg.wa.gov		OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; APRIL 16, 2019 10:00 A.M. 000 PROCEEDINGS JUDGE PEARSON: We'll go ahead and be on the record. So good morning. We're here today for a prehearing conference in Docket UG-190210, which is captioned Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission versus Cascade Natural Gas Corporation. My name is Rayne Pearson and with me is Laura Chartoff. We are administrative law judges with the Utilities and Transportation Commission, and we will be co-presiding in this matter along with the Commissioners. So let's start by taking appearances and addressing the petitions for intervention. And let's begin with Cascade. MS. RACKNER: Lisa Rackner for Cascade
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: RAYNE PEARSON LAURA CHARTOFF Washington 0504 Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1160 rayne.pearson@utc.wa.gov Laura.chartoff@utc.wa.gov Laura.chartoff@utc.wa.gov SOR COMMISSION STAFF: JENNIFER CAMERON-RULKOWSKI JEFF ROBERSON (via bridge) Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1186 jennifer.cameron-rulkowski@utc.wa.gov FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL: USA GAFKEN Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General Office	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; APRIL 16, 2019 10:00 A.M. 000 PROCEEDINGS JUDGE PEARSON: We'll go ahead and be on the record. So good morning. We're here today for a prehearing conference in Docket UG-190210, which is captioned Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission versus Cascade Natural Gas Corporation. My name is Rayne Pearson and with me is Laura Chartoff. We are administrative law judges with the Utilities and Transportation Commission, and we will be co-presiding in this matter along with the Commissioners. So let's start by taking appearances and addressing the petitions for intervention. And let's begin with Cascade. MS. RACKNER: Lisa Rackner for Cascade Natural Gas.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: RAYNE PEARSON LAURA CHARTOFF Washington 050uh Evergreen Park Drive SW Olympia, Washington 08504 (360) 664-1160 rayne.pearson@utc.wa.gov laura.chartotf@utc.wa.gov laura.chartotf@utc.wa.gov SFOR COMMISSION STAFF: JENNIFER CAMERON-RULKOWSKI JEFF ROBERSON (via bridge) Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1186 jennifer.cameron-rulkowski@utc.wa.gov FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL: LISA GAFKEN Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General Don - 5th Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 464-6595 lisa.gafken@atg.wa.gov	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; APRIL 16, 2019 10:00 A.M. o00 PROCEEDINGS JUDGE PEARSON: We'll go ahead and be on the record. So good morning. We're here today for a prehearing conference in Docket UG-190210, which is captioned Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission versus Cascade Natural Gas Corporation. My name is Rayne Pearson and with me is Laura Chartoff. We are administrative law judges with the Utilities and Transportation Commission, and we will be co-presiding in this matter along with the Commissioners. So let's start by taking appearances and addressing the petitions for intervention. And let's begin with Cascade. MS. RACKNER: Lisa Rackner for Cascade Natural Gas. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you. And for Staff?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: RAYNE PEARSON LAURA CHARTOFF Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1160 rayne, pearson@utc.wa.gov laura.chartoff@utc.wa.gov laura.chartoff@utc.wa.gov FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JENNIFER CAMERON-RULKOWSKI JEFF ROBERSON (via bridge) Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General So0 - 65th Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 464-6595 lisa.gafken@atg.wa.gov FOR CASCADE NATURAL GAS: LISA RACKNER McDowell Rackner Gibson PC 419 Southwest 11th Avenue Suite 400	1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; APRIL 16, 2019 10:00 A.M. 000 P R O C E E D I N G S JUDGE PEARSON: We'll go ahead and be on the record. So good morning. We're here today for a prehearing conference in Docket UG-190210, which is captioned Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission versus Cascade Natural Gas Corporation. My name is Rayne Pearson and with me is Laura Chartoff. We are administrative law judges with the Utilities and Transportation Commission, and we will be co-presiding in this matter along with the Commissioners. So let's start by taking appearances and addressing the petitions for intervention. And let's begin with Cascade. MS. RACKNER: Lisa Rackner for Cascade Natural Gas. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you. And for Staff? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Jennifer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: RAYNE PEARSON LAURA CHARTOFF Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1160 rayne.pearson@utc.wa.gov laura.chartoff@utc.wa.gov FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JENNIFER CAMERON-RULKOWSKI JEFF ROBERSON (via bridge) Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General Office of the Attorney General Office of the Attorney General Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1186 jennifer.cameron-rulkowski@utc.wa.gov FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL: LISA GAFKEN Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General S00 - 5th Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 464-6595 IIsa.gafken@atg.wa.gov FOR CASCADE NATURAL GAS: LISA RACKNER McDowell Rackner Gibson PC At 9S outhwest 11th Avenue Suite 400 Portland, Oregon 97205 (503) 595-3925	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; APRIL 16, 2019 10:00 A.M. 000 P R O C E E D I N G S JUDGE PEARSON: We'll go ahead and be on the record. So good morning. We're here today for a prehearing conference in Docket UG-190210, which is captioned Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission versus Cascade Natural Gas Corporation. My name is Rayne Pearson and with me is Laura Chartoff. We are administrative law judges with the Utilities and Transportation Commission, and we will be co-presiding in this matter along with the Commissioners. So let's start by taking appearances and addressing the petitions for intervention. And let's begin with Cascade. MS. RACKNER: Lisa Rackner for Cascade Natural Gas. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you. And for Staff? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Jennifer Cameron-Rulkowski, Assistant Attorney General, and with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: RAYNE PEARSON LAURA CHARTOFF Washington 98504 (360) 664-1160 Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1160 Transportation Quitz, wa.gov laura.chartoff@utc.wa.gov FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JENNIFER CAMERON-RULKOWSKI JEFF ROBERSON (via bridge) Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1186 Diympia, Washington 98104 (206) 464-6595 Bias.gafken@atg.wa.gov FOR CASCADE NATURAL GAS: LISA RACKNER McDowell Rackner Gibson PC 419 Southwest 11th Avenue Suite 400 Portland, Oregon 97205	1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; APRIL 16, 2019 10:00 A.M. 000 P R O C E E D I N G S JUDGE PEARSON: We'll go ahead and be on the record. So good morning. We're here today for a prehearing conference in Docket UG-190210, which is captioned Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission versus Cascade Natural Gas Corporation. My name is Rayne Pearson and with me is Laura Chartoff. We are administrative law judges with the Utilities and Transportation Commission, and we will be co-presiding in this matter along with the Commissioners. So let's start by taking appearances and addressing the petitions for intervention. And let's begin with Cascade. MS. RACKNER: Lisa Rackner for Cascade Natural Gas. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you. And for Staff? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Jennifer Cameron-Rulkowski, Assistant Attorney General, and with

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC SEATTLE 206.287.9066 OLYMPIA 360.534.9066 SPOKANE 509.624.3261 NATIONAL 800.846.6989

	Page 5	Page
1	Attorney General.	1 other electronically.
2	JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you.	2 If any party has not yet designated a lead
3	Public Counsel?	³ representative for service, please do so via an email to
4	MS. GAFKEN: Good morning. Lisa Gafken,	4 me and Judge Chartoff as soon as possible. My email is
5	Assistant Attorney General, appearing on behalf of	5 rayne.pearson@utc.wa.gov, and Judge Chartoff's email i
6	Public Counsel.	6 laura.chartoff@utc.wa.gov. And if anyone would like to
7	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And for the Alliance	7 add names and email addresses of other representatives
8	of Western Energy Consumers?	8 or support staff who should receive electronic courtesy
9	MR. STOKES: Good morning. This is Chad	9 copies of all documents filed in this proceeding, please
10	Stokes for the Alliance of Western Energy Consumers.	10 email that to us as well.
11	JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you.	11 And then I'm going to turn it over to Judge
12	And for The Energy Project?	12 Chartoff for the remainder.
13	MR. FFITCH: Good morning, Your Honor. This	13 JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Thank you.
14	is Simon ffitch on behalf of The Energy Project.	14 So the next topic is data requests. So I'm
15	JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you.	15 aware that parties often request that any data requests
16	Is there anyone else on the bridge line who	16 and their responses be shared with every other party. I
17	wishes to enter an appearance?	17 can include this as a requirement in the prehearing
18	Okay. Hearing none, that brings us to the	18 conference order. Is there any objection to my
19	petitions for intervention. Are there any petitions for	19 including this requirement to share all data requests
20	intervention other than the ones that have already been	20 and responses with all parties?
21	filed in the docket?	21 MS. GAFKEN: No, that's quite welcomed.
22	Okay. Hearing nothing, we will proceed.	22 JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you. Okay. I will
23	We've reviewed the two petitions to intervene; one filed	23 do that.
24	on behalf of AWEC and one filed on behalf of The Energy	24 Okay. The next topic is the procedural
25	Project. Are there any objections to either of those	25 schedule. Prior to going on the record, we were handed
	Page 6	Page 8
1	petitions?	1 a draft procedural schedule.
2	petitions? MS. RACKNER: None.	1a draft procedural schedule.2Can Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, can you
2 3	petitions? MS. RACKNER: None. MS. GAFKEN: No.	 a draft procedural schedule. Can Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, can you address that?
2 3 4	petitions? MS. RACKNER: None. MS. GAFKEN: No. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Then those petitions	 a draft procedural schedule. Can Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, can you address that? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Yes, I would be
2 3 4 5	petitions? MS. RACKNER: None. MS. GAFKEN: No. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Then those petitions to intervene are granted.	 a draft procedural schedule. Can Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, can you address that? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Yes, I would be happy to. Thank you. For those on the phone, what I
2 3 4 5 6	petitions? MS. RACKNER: None. MS. GAFKEN: No. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Then those petitions to intervene are granted. So the Commission has already entered a	 a draft procedural schedule. Can Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, can you address that? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Yes, I would be happy to. Thank you. For those on the phone, what I handed to both of the judges and also to the other
2 3 4 5 6 7	petitions? MS. RACKNER: None. MS. GAFKEN: No. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Then those petitions to intervene are granted. So the Commission has already entered a protective order, that brings us to the procedural	 a draft procedural schedule. Can Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, can you address that? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Yes, I would be happy to. Thank you. For those on the phone, what I handed to both of the judges and also to the other parties who are present in the room is a draft
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	petitions? MS. RACKNER: None. MS. GAFKEN: No. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Then those petitions to intervene are granted. So the Commission has already entered a protective order, that brings us to the procedural schedule. I want to remind the parties that the	 a draft procedural schedule. Can Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, can you address that? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Yes, I would be happy to. Thank you. For those on the phone, what I handed to both of the judges and also to the other parties who are present in the room is a draft procedural schedule as we have been discussing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	petitions? MS. RACKNER: None. MS. GAFKEN: No. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Then those petitions to intervene are granted. So the Commission has already entered a protective order, that brings us to the procedural schedule. I want to remind the parties that the Commission has adopted new procedural rules regarding	1a draft procedural schedule.2Can Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, can you3address that?4MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Yes, I would be5happy to. Thank you. For those on the phone, what I6handed to both of the judges and also to the other7parties who are present in the room is a draft8procedural schedule as we have been discussing.9However, for the settlement conference number one, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	petitions? MS. RACKNER: None. MS. GAFKEN: No. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Then those petitions to intervene are granted. So the Commission has already entered a protective order, that brings us to the procedural schedule. I want to remind the parties that the Commission has adopted new procedural rules regarding electronic filing and service and I'm sure you are all	1a draft procedural schedule.2Can Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, can you3address that?4MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Yes, I would be5happy to. Thank you. For those on the phone, what I6handed to both of the judges and also to the other7parties who are present in the room is a draft8procedural schedule as we have been discussing.9However, for the settlement conference number one, I10have put to be determined for the date there since we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	petitions? MS. RACKNER: None. MS. GAFKEN: No. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Then those petitions to intervene are granted. So the Commission has already entered a protective order, that brings us to the procedural schedule. I want to remind the parties that the Commission has adopted new procedural rules regarding electronic filing and service and I'm sure you are all familiar with at this point. The Commission requires	1a draft procedural schedule.2Can Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, can you3address that?4MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Yes, I would be5happy to. Thank you. For those on the phone, what I6handed to both of the judges and also to the other7parties who are present in the room is a draft8procedural schedule as we have been discussing.9However, for the settlement conference number one, I10have put to be determined for the date there since we11haven't come up with a date yet.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	petitions? MS. RACKNER: None. MS. GAFKEN: No. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Then those petitions to intervene are granted. So the Commission has already entered a protective order, that brings us to the procedural schedule. I want to remind the parties that the Commission has adopted new procedural rules regarding electronic filing and service and I'm sure you are all familiar with at this point. The Commission requires electronic filing of documents for formal filings.	1a draft procedural schedule.2Can Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, can you3address that?4MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Yes, I would be5happy to. Thank you. For those on the phone, what I6handed to both of the judges and also to the other7parties who are present in the room is a draft8procedural schedule as we have been discussing.9However, for the settlement conference number one, I10have put to be determined for the date there since we11haven't come up with a date yet.12So the dates that we have, I'll go ahead and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	petitions? MS. RACKNER: None. MS. GAFKEN: No. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Then those petitions to intervene are granted. So the Commission has already entered a protective order, that brings us to the procedural schedule. I want to remind the parties that the Commission has adopted new procedural rules regarding electronic filing and service and I'm sure you are all familiar with at this point. The Commission requires electronic filing of documents for formal filings. In this case, the Commission will also	1a draft procedural schedule.2Can Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, can you3address that?4MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Yes, I would be5happy to. Thank you. For those on the phone, what I6handed to both of the judges and also to the other7parties who are present in the room is a draft8procedural schedule as we have been discussing.9However, for the settlement conference number one, I10have put to be determined for the date there since we11haven't come up with a date yet.12So the dates that we have, I'll go ahead and13read that into the record. After that initial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	petitions? MS. RACKNER: None. MS. GAFKEN: No. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Then those petitions to intervene are granted. So the Commission has already entered a protective order, that brings us to the procedural schedule. I want to remind the parties that the Commission has adopted new procedural rules regarding electronic filing and service and I'm sure you are all familiar with at this point. The Commission requires electronic filing of documents for formal filings. In this case, the Commission will also require the filing of an original and five paper copies	1a draft procedural schedule.2Can Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, can you3address that?4MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Yes, I would be5happy to. Thank you. For those on the phone, what I6handed to both of the judges and also to the other7parties who are present in the room is a draft8procedural schedule as we have been discussing.9However, for the settlement conference number one, I10have put to be determined for the date there since we11haven't come up with a date yet.12So the dates that we have, I'll go ahead and13read that into the record. After that initial14settlement conference, we have response testimony and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	petitions? MS. RACKNER: None. MS. GAFKEN: No. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Then those petitions to intervene are granted. So the Commission has already entered a protective order, that brings us to the procedural schedule. I want to remind the parties that the Commission has adopted new procedural rules regarding electronic filing and service and I'm sure you are all familiar with at this point. The Commission requires electronic filing of documents for formal filings. In this case, the Commission will also require the filing of an original and five paper copies for internal distributions. And if the filings include	1a draft procedural schedule.2Can Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, can you3address that?4MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Yes, I would be5happy to. Thank you. For those on the phone, what I6handed to both of the judges and also to the other7parties who are present in the room is a draft8procedural schedule as we have been discussing.9However, for the settlement conference number one, I10have put to be determined for the date there since we11haven't come up with a date yet.12So the dates that we have, I'll go ahead and13read that into the record. After that initial14settlement conference, we have response testimony and15exhibits, August 22nd; and then Cascade to circulate a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	petitions? MS. RACKNER: None. MS. GAFKEN: No. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Then those petitions to intervene are granted. So the Commission has already entered a protective order, that brings us to the procedural schedule. I want to remind the parties that the Commission has adopted new procedural rules regarding electronic filing and service and I'm sure you are all familiar with at this point. The Commission requires electronic filing of documents for formal filings. In this case, the Commission will also require the filing of an original and five paper copies for internal distributions. And if the filings include information designated as confidential or highly	1a draft procedural schedule.2Can Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, can you3address that?4MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Yes, I would be5happy to. Thank you. For those on the phone, what I6handed to both of the judges and also to the other7parties who are present in the room is a draft8procedural schedule as we have been discussing.9However, for the settlement conference number one, I10have put to be determined for the date there since we11haven't come up with a date yet.12So the dates that we have, I'll go ahead and13read that into the record. After that initial14settlement conference, we have response testimony and15exhibits, August 22nd; and then Cascade to circulate a16joint issues matrix, September 5th; a second settlement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	petitions? MS. RACKNER: None. MS. GAFKEN: No. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Then those petitions to intervene are granted. So the Commission has already entered a protective order, that brings us to the procedural schedule. I want to remind the parties that the Commission has adopted new procedural rules regarding electronic filing and service and I'm sure you are all familiar with at this point. The Commission requires electronic filing of documents for formal filings. In this case, the Commission will also require the filing of an original and five paper copies for internal distributions. And if the filings include information designated as confidential or highly confidential, please file the original and three copies	1a draft procedural schedule.2Can Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, can you3address that?4MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Yes, I would be5happy to. Thank you. For those on the phone, what I6handed to both of the judges and also to the other7parties who are present in the room is a draft8procedural schedule as we have been discussing.9However, for the settlement conference number one, I10have put to be determined for the date there since we11haven't come up with a date yet.12So the dates that we have, I'll go ahead and13read that into the record. After that initial14settlement conference, we have response testimony and15exhibits, August 22nd; and then Cascade to circulate a16joint issues matrix, September 5th; a second settlement17conference the week of September 16th; rebuttal and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	petitions? MS. RACKNER: None. MS. GAFKEN: No. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Then those petitions to intervene are granted. So the Commission has already entered a protective order, that brings us to the procedural schedule. I want to remind the parties that the Commission has adopted new procedural rules regarding electronic filing and service and I'm sure you are all familiar with at this point. The Commission requires electronic filing of documents for formal filings. In this case, the Commission will also require the filing of an original and five paper copies for internal distributions. And if the filings include information designated as confidential or highly confidential, please file the original and three copies of the fully unredacted version, and we don't need	1 a draft procedural schedule. 2 Can Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, can you 3 address that? 4 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Yes, I would be 5 happy to. Thank you. For those on the phone, what I 6 handed to both of the judges and also to the other 7 parties who are present in the room is a draft 8 procedural schedule as we have been discussing. 9 However, for the settlement conference number one, I 10 have put to be determined for the date there since we 11 haven't come up with a date yet. 12 So the dates that we have, I'll go ahead and 13 read that into the record. After that initial 14 settlement conference, we have response testimony and 15 exhibits, August 22nd; and then Cascade to circulate a 16 joint issues matrix, September 5th; a second settlement 17 conference the week of September 16th; rebuttal and 18 cross-answering testimony, October 8th; and then we have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	petitions? MS. RACKNER: None. MS. GAFKEN: No. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Then those petitions to intervene are granted. So the Commission has already entered a protective order, that brings us to the procedural schedule. I want to remind the parties that the Commission has adopted new procedural rules regarding electronic filing and service and I'm sure you are all familiar with at this point. The Commission requires electronic filing of documents for formal filings. In this case, the Commission will also require the filing of an original and five paper copies for internal distributions. And if the filings include information designated as confidential or highly confidential, please file the original and three copies of the fully unredacted version, and we don't need additional sorry, and five copies, not three copies,	1 a draft procedural schedule. 2 Can Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, can you 3 address that? 4 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Yes, I would be 5 happy to. Thank you. For those on the phone, what I 6 handed to both of the judges and also to the other 7 parties who are present in the room is a draft 8 procedural schedule as we have been discussing. 9 However, for the settlement conference number one, I 10 have put to be determined for the date there since we 11 haven't come up with a date yet. 12 So the dates that we have, I'll go ahead and 13 read that into the record. After that initial 14 settlement conference, we have response testimony and 15 exhibits, August 22nd; and then Cascade to circulate a 16 joint issues matrix, September 5th; a second settlement 17 conference the week of September 16th; rebuttal and 18 cross-answering testimony, October 8th; and then we have 19 a placeholder for the notice to be issued for a public
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	petitions? MS. RACKNER: None. MS. GAFKEN: No. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Then those petitions to intervene are granted. So the Commission has already entered a protective order, that brings us to the procedural schedule. I want to remind the parties that the Commission has adopted new procedural rules regarding electronic filing and service and I'm sure you are all familiar with at this point. The Commission requires electronic filing of documents for formal filings. In this case, the Commission will also require the filing of an original and five paper copies for internal distributions. And if the filings include information designated as confidential or highly confidential, please file the original and three copies of the fully unredacted version, and we don't need additional sorry, and five copies, not three copies, of the unredacted version. And we don't need paper	1 a draft procedural schedule. 2 Can Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, can you 3 address that? 4 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Yes, I would be 5 happy to. Thank you. For those on the phone, what I 6 handed to both of the judges and also to the other 7 parties who are present in the room is a draft 8 procedural schedule as we have been discussing. 9 However, for the settlement conference number one, I 10 have put to be determined for the date there since we 11 haven't come up with a date yet. 12 So the dates that we have, I'll go ahead and 13 read that into the record. After that initial 14 settlement conference, we have response testimony and 15 exhibits, August 22nd; and then Cascade to circulate a 16 joint issues matrix, September 5th; a second settlement 17 conference the week of September 16th; rebuttal and 18 cross-answering testimony, October 8th; and then we have 19 a placeholder for the notice to be issued for a public 20 comment hearing 30 days prior to the public comment
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	petitions? MS. RACKNER: None. MS. GAFKEN: No. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Then those petitions to intervene are granted. So the Commission has already entered a protective order, that brings us to the procedural schedule. I want to remind the parties that the Commission has adopted new procedural rules regarding electronic filing and service and I'm sure you are all familiar with at this point. The Commission requires electronic filing of documents for formal filings. In this case, the Commission will also require the filing of an original and five paper copies for internal distributions. And if the filings include information designated as confidential or highly confidential, please file the original and three copies of the fully unredacted version, and we don't need additional sorry, and five copies, not three copies, of the unredacted version. And we don't need paper copies of the part partially or fully redacted	1 a draft procedural schedule. 2 Can Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, can you 3 address that? 4 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Yes, I would be 5 happy to. Thank you. For those on the phone, what I 6 handed to both of the judges and also to the other 7 parties who are present in the room is a draft 8 procedural schedule as we have been discussing. 9 However, for the settlement conference number one, I 10 have put to be determined for the date there since we 11 haven't come up with a date yet. 12 So the dates that we have, I'll go ahead and 13 read that into the record. After that initial 14 settlement conference, we have response testimony and 15 exhibits, August 22nd; and then Cascade to circulate a 16 joint issues matrix, September 5th; a second settlement 17 conference the week of September 16th; rebuttal and 18 cross-answering testimony, October 8th; and then we have 19 a placeholder for the notice to be issued for a public 20 comment hearing 30 days prior to the public comment 19 hearing, and then we also have a pla
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	petitions? MS. RACKNER: None. MS. GAFKEN: No. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Then those petitions to intervene are granted. So the Commission has already entered a protective order, that brings us to the procedural schedule. I want to remind the parties that the Commission has adopted new procedural rules regarding electronic filing and service and I'm sure you are all familiar with at this point. The Commission requires electronic filing of documents for formal filings. In this case, the Commission will also require the filing of an original and five paper copies for internal distributions. And if the filings include information designated as confidential or highly confidential, please file the original and three copies of the fully unredacted version, and we don't need additional sorry, and five copies, not three copies, of the unredacted version. And we don't need paper copies of the part partially or fully redacted version. You can just file those in electronic format	1 a draft procedural schedule. 2 Can Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, can you 3 address that? 4 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Yes, I would be 5 happy to. Thank you. For those on the phone, what I 6 handed to both of the judges and also to the other 7 parties who are present in the room is a draft 8 procedural schedule as we have been discussing. 9 However, for the settlement conference number one, I 10 have put to be determined for the date there since we 11 haven't come up with a date yet. 12 So the dates that we have, I'll go ahead and 13 read that into the record. After that initial 14 settlement conference, we have response testimony and 15 exhibits, August 22nd; and then Cascade to circulate a 16 joint issues matrix, September 5th; a second settlement 17 conference the week of September 16th; rebuttal and 18 cross-answering testimony, October 8th; and then we have 19 a placeholder for the notice to be issued for a public 20 comment hearing 30 days prior to the public comment 19 hearing, and then we also have a pla
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	petitions? MS. RACKNER: None. MS. GAFKEN: No. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Then those petitions to intervene are granted. So the Commission has already entered a protective order, that brings us to the procedural schedule. I want to remind the parties that the Commission has adopted new procedural rules regarding electronic filing and service and I'm sure you are all familiar with at this point. The Commission requires electronic filing of documents for formal filings. In this case, the Commission will also require the filing of an original and five paper copies for internal distributions. And if the filings include information designated as confidential or highly confidential, please file the original and three copies of the fully unredacted version, and we don't need additional sorry, and five copies, not three copies, of the unredacted version. And we don't need paper copies of the part partially or fully redacted	1 a draft procedural schedule. 2 Can Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, can you 3 address that? 4 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Yes, I would be 5 happy to. Thank you. For those on the phone, what I 6 handed to both of the judges and also to the other 7 parties who are present in the room is a draft 8 procedural schedule as we have been discussing. 9 However, for the settlement conference number one, I 10 have put to be determined for the date there since we 11 haven't come up with a date yet. 12 So the dates that we have, I'll go ahead and 13 read that into the record. After that initial 14 settlement conference, we have response testimony and 15 exhibits, August 22nd; and then Cascade to circulate a 16 joint issues matrix, September 5th; a second settlement 17 conference the week of September 16th; rebuttal and 18 cross-answering testimony, October 8th; and then we have 19 a placeholder for the notice to be issued for a public 20 comment hearing 30 days prior to the public comment 21 hearing, and then we also have a pla
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	petitions? MS. RACKNER: None. MS. GAFKEN: No. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Then those petitions to intervene are granted. So the Commission has already entered a protective order, that brings us to the procedural schedule. I want to remind the parties that the Commission has adopted new procedural rules regarding electronic filing and service and I'm sure you are all familiar with at this point. The Commission requires electronic filing of documents for formal filings. In this case, the Commission will also require the filing of an original and five paper copies for internal distributions. And if the filings include information designated as confidential or highly confidential, please file the original and three copies, of the fully unredacted version, and we don't need additional sorry, and five copies, not three copies, of the unredacted version. And we don't need paper copies of the part partially or fully redacted version. You can just file those in electronic format only. And the Commission's rules provide for electronic	1 a draft procedural schedule. 2 Can Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, can you 3 address that? 4 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Yes, I would be 5 happy to. Thank you. For those on the phone, what I 6 handed to both of the judges and also to the other 7 parties who are present in the room is a draft 8 procedural schedule as we have been discussing. 9 However, for the settlement conference number one, I 10 have put to be determined for the date there since we 11 haven't come up with a date yet. 12 So the dates that we have, I'll go ahead and 13 read that into the record. After that initial 14 settlement conference, we have response testimony and 15 exhibits, August 22nd; and then Cascade to circulate a 16 joint issues matrix, September 5th; a second settlement 17 conference the week of September 16th; rebuttal and 18 cross-answering testimony, October 8th; and then we have 19 a placeholder for the notice to be issued for a public 20 comment hearing 30 days prior to the public comment 19 hearing, and then we also have a pla

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

	Page 9		Page 11
1	lists, time estimates, and exhibit errata will be filed	1	JUDGE PEARSON: Mm-hmm.
2	October 28th; the evidentiary hearing is November 4th	2	All right. So at this point, we can take a
3	and the morning of November 5th; post-hearing briefs and	3	brief recess if you all want to confer about the the
4	the updated joint issues matrix are December 19th.	4	settlement date. If you want to just come get us in my
5	And I would be happy to email a copy of this	5	office. Anyone who has a keycard can do that.
6	draft procedural schedule to both judges, both of you,	6	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Thank you, Your
7	Your Honors.	7	Honor, we will do that.
8	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you.	8	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Then we are in
9	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And before we went on	9	recess.
10	the record, you indicated that you may be able to take a	10	MS. RACKNER: Thank you.
11	brief recess and determine that first settlement	11	(A recess was taken from.
12	conference date?	12	10:10 a.m. until 10:21 a.m.)
13	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Yes.	13	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So we are back on the
14	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So should we do that	14	record following a brief recess. I understand that the
15	now?	15	parties have a tentative date for the first settlement
16	MS. GAFKEN: Before we do that, I just	16	conference?
17	wanted to ask if with respect to the public comment	17	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Yes, Your Honor, and
18	hearing, Public Counsel would recommend having two	18	our tentative settlement date is Wednesday, July 17th.
19	public comment hearings. It's referred to in the	19	And if you're amenable, we would like to include
20	singular. And, of course, we're we're happy to work	20	language that if we need to change that date, we will
21	with Andrew Roberts on that issue, but because Cascade's	21	we would accomplish that simply by notice to the to
22	service territory is noncontinuous and it's quite spread	22	the Commission rather by moving for a schedule change.
23	out, it seems to make sense to have more than one.	23	JUDGE PEARSON: Mm-hmm. That is perfectly
24	JUDGE CHARTOFF: I thought there would be	24	fine. Notice to the Commission to which we will not
25	three based on I was going off the last the last	25	respond.
25			
	Page 10		Page 12
1	rate case where they were they were held in	1	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Thank you, Your
2	Bremerton, Kelso, and Kennewick, so I don't know if	2	Honor.
3	that's still the plan.	3	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay. And it looks
4	MS. GAFKEN: I had forgotten that.		
5		4	like we have everything else that we need at this point.
	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.	4 5	
6	-	1	like we have everything else that we need at this point.
6 7	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.	5	like we have everything else that we need at this point. Is there anything else that we need to address while
	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. GAFKEN: But at least two	5 6	like we have everything else that we need at this point. Is there anything else that we need to address while we're here today?
7	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. GAFKEN: But at least two JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.	5 6 7	like we have everything else that we need at this point. Is there anything else that we need to address while we're here today? MS. RACKNER: None here.
7 8	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. GAFKEN: But at least two JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. GAFKEN: and, you know, three is	5 6 7 8	like we have everything else that we need at this point. Is there anything else that we need to address while we're here today? MS. RACKNER: None here. JUDGE PEARSON: Nothing?
7 8 9	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. GAFKEN: But at least two JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. GAFKEN: and, you know, three is appropriate, and I don't think we would object to that.	5 6 7 8 9	like we have everything else that we need at this point. Is there anything else that we need to address while we're here today? MS. RACKNER: None here. JUDGE PEARSON: Nothing? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Not from Staff, Your
7 8 9 10	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. GAFKEN: But at least two JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. GAFKEN: and, you know, three is appropriate, and I don't think we would object to that. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.	5 6 7 8 9 10	like we have everything else that we need at this point. Is there anything else that we need to address while we're here today? MS. RACKNER: None here. JUDGE PEARSON: Nothing? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Not from Staff, Your Honor.
7 8 9 10 11	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. GAFKEN: But at least two JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. GAFKEN: and, you know, three is appropriate, and I don't think we would object to that. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I have not consulted	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	like we have everything else that we need at this point. Is there anything else that we need to address while we're here today? MS. RACKNER: None here. JUDGE PEARSON: Nothing? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Not from Staff, Your Honor. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So we will issue a
7 8 9 10 11 12	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. GAFKEN: But at least two JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. GAFKEN: and, you know, three is appropriate, and I don't think we would object to that. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I have not consulted with Mr. Roberts or consumer involvement, but I	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	like we have everything else that we need at this point. Is there anything else that we need to address while we're here today? MS. RACKNER: None here. JUDGE PEARSON: Nothing? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Not from Staff, Your Honor. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So we will issue a prehearing conference order shortly containing the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. GAFKEN: But at least two JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. GAFKEN: and, you know, three is appropriate, and I don't think we would object to that. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I have not consulted with Mr. Roberts or consumer involvement, but I anticipate that Staff would support having hearings in	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	like we have everything else that we need at this point. Is there anything else that we need to address while we're here today? MS. RACKNER: None here. JUDGE PEARSON: Nothing? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Not from Staff, Your Honor. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So we will issue a prehearing conference order shortly containing the procedural schedule and other guidelines for the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. GAFKEN: But at least two JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. GAFKEN: and, you know, three is appropriate, and I don't think we would object to that. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I have not consulted with Mr. Roberts or consumer involvement, but I anticipate that Staff would support having hearings in multiple places.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	like we have everything else that we need at this point. Is there anything else that we need to address while we're here today? MS. RACKNER: None here. JUDGE PEARSON: Nothing? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Not from Staff, Your Honor. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So we will issue a prehearing conference order shortly containing the procedural schedule and other guidelines for the disposition of this case. Thank you all for coming and
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. GAFKEN: But at least two JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. GAFKEN: and, you know, three is appropriate, and I don't think we would object to that. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I have not consulted with Mr. Roberts or consumer involvement, but I anticipate that Staff would support having hearings in multiple places. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And is the Company	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	like we have everything else that we need at this point. Is there anything else that we need to address while we're here today? MS. RACKNER: None here. JUDGE PEARSON: Nothing? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Not from Staff, Your Honor. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So we will issue a prehearing conference order shortly containing the procedural schedule and other guidelines for the disposition of this case. Thank you all for coming and we are adjourned.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. GAFKEN: But at least two JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. GAFKEN: and, you know, three is appropriate, and I don't think we would object to that. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I have not consulted with Mr. Roberts or consumer involvement, but I anticipate that Staff would support having hearings in multiple places. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And is the Company okay with that?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	like we have everything else that we need at this point. Is there anything else that we need to address while we're here today? MS. RACKNER: None here. JUDGE PEARSON: Nothing? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Not from Staff, Your Honor. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So we will issue a prehearing conference order shortly containing the procedural schedule and other guidelines for the disposition of this case. Thank you all for coming and we are adjourned.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. GAFKEN: But at least two JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. GAFKEN: and, you know, three is appropriate, and I don't think we would object to that. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I have not consulted with Mr. Roberts or consumer involvement, but I anticipate that Staff would support having hearings in multiple places. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And is the Company okay with that? MR. PARVINEN: Yeah.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	like we have everything else that we need at this point. Is there anything else that we need to address while we're here today? MS. RACKNER: None here. JUDGE PEARSON: Nothing? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Not from Staff, Your Honor. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So we will issue a prehearing conference order shortly containing the procedural schedule and other guidelines for the disposition of this case. Thank you all for coming and we are adjourned.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. GAFKEN: But at least two JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. GAFKEN: and, you know, three is appropriate, and I don't think we would object to that. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I have not consulted with Mr. Roberts or consumer involvement, but I anticipate that Staff would support having hearings in multiple places. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And is the Company okay with that? MR. PARVINEN: Yeah. MS. RACKNER: Yeah, we're fine.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	like we have everything else that we need at this point. Is there anything else that we need to address while we're here today? MS. RACKNER: None here. JUDGE PEARSON: Nothing? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Not from Staff, Your Honor. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So we will issue a prehearing conference order shortly containing the procedural schedule and other guidelines for the disposition of this case. Thank you all for coming and we are adjourned.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. GAFKEN: But at least two JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. GAFKEN: and, you know, three is appropriate, and I don't think we would object to that. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I have not consulted with Mr. Roberts or consumer involvement, but I anticipate that Staff would support having hearings in multiple places. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And is the Company okay with that? MR. PARVINEN: Yeah. MS. RACKNER: Yeah, we're fine. JUDGE PEARSON: So what we'll probably just	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	like we have everything else that we need at this point. Is there anything else that we need to address while we're here today? MS. RACKNER: None here. JUDGE PEARSON: Nothing? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Not from Staff, Your Honor. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So we will issue a prehearing conference order shortly containing the procedural schedule and other guidelines for the disposition of this case. Thank you all for coming and we are adjourned.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. GAFKEN: But at least two JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. GAFKEN: and, you know, three is appropriate, and I don't think we would object to that. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I have not consulted with Mr. Roberts or consumer involvement, but I anticipate that Staff would support having hearings in multiple places. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And is the Company okay with that? MR. PARVINEN: Yeah. MS. RACKNER: Yeah, we're fine. JUDGE PEARSON: So what we'll probably just do is just note that in the prehearing conference that	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	like we have everything else that we need at this point. Is there anything else that we need to address while we're here today? MS. RACKNER: None here. JUDGE PEARSON: Nothing? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Not from Staff, Your Honor. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So we will issue a prehearing conference order shortly containing the procedural schedule and other guidelines for the disposition of this case. Thank you all for coming and we are adjourned.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. GAFKEN: But at least two JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. GAFKEN: and, you know, three is appropriate, and I don't think we would object to that. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I have not consulted with Mr. Roberts or consumer involvement, but I anticipate that Staff would support having hearings in multiple places. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And is the Company okay with that? MR. PARVINEN: Yeah. MS. RACKNER: Yeah, we're fine. JUDGE PEARSON: So what we'll probably just do is just note that in the prehearing conference that the public comment hearing will be held before any	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	like we have everything else that we need at this point. Is there anything else that we need to address while we're here today? MS. RACKNER: None here. JUDGE PEARSON: Nothing? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Not from Staff, Your Honor. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So we will issue a prehearing conference order shortly containing the procedural schedule and other guidelines for the disposition of this case. Thank you all for coming and we are adjourned.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. GAFKEN: But at least two JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. GAFKEN: and, you know, three is appropriate, and I don't think we would object to that. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I have not consulted with Mr. Roberts or consumer involvement, but I anticipate that Staff would support having hearings in multiple places. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And is the Company okay with that? MR. PARVINEN: Yeah. MS. RACKNER: Yeah, we're fine. JUDGE PEARSON: So what we'll probably just do is just note that in the prehearing conference that the public comment hearing will be held before any hearing on the final disposition of the case, the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	like we have everything else that we need at this point. Is there anything else that we need to address while we're here today? MS. RACKNER: None here. JUDGE PEARSON: Nothing? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Not from Staff, Your Honor. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So we will issue a prehearing conference order shortly containing the procedural schedule and other guidelines for the disposition of this case. Thank you all for coming and we are adjourned.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. GAFKEN: But at least two JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. GAFKEN: and, you know, three is appropriate, and I don't think we would object to that. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I have not consulted with Mr. Roberts or consumer involvement, but I anticipate that Staff would support having hearings in multiple places. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And is the Company okay with that? MR. PARVINEN: Yeah. MS. RACKNER: Yeah, we're fine. JUDGE PEARSON: So what we'll probably just do is just note that in the prehearing conference that the public comment hearing will be held before any hearing on the final disposition of the case, the customers will receive at least 30 days' notice, and	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	like we have everything else that we need at this point. Is there anything else that we need to address while we're here today? MS. RACKNER: None here. JUDGE PEARSON: Nothing? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Not from Staff, Your Honor. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So we will issue a prehearing conference order shortly containing the procedural schedule and other guidelines for the disposition of this case. Thank you all for coming and we are adjourned.

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

Docket No. UG-190210 - Vol. I - 4/16/2019

	Page 13	
1	CERTIFICATE	
2		
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON	
4	COUNTY OF THURSTON	
5		
б	I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand	
7	Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby	
8	certify that the foregoing transcript is true and	
9 10	accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.	
11		
12	Jaulie Gratinghouge	
13	Joyler Groninghouse, CCR 3358	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18 19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

4 (Page 13)

Page	14
1 090	

			1	
Α	Box 2:12 3:5	Company 10:15	discovery 8:24	fine 10:18 11:24
a.m 1:16 4:2 11:12	Bremerton 10:2	Complainant 1:5	discussing 8:8	first 9:11 11:15
11:12 12:16	bridge 2:10 3:3,8	confer 11:3	disposition 10:22	five 6:14,19
ability 13:9	5:16	conference 1:11 4:8	12:14	following 11:14
able 9:10	brief 9:11 11:3,14	7:18 8:9,14,17	distributions 6:15	foregoing 13:8
accomplish 11:21	briefs 9:3	9:12 10:20 11:16	Division 2:11	forgotten 10:4
accurate 13:9	brings 5:18 6:7	12:12	docket 1:4 4:8 5:21	formal 6:12
add 7:7	Buell 1:21	confidential 6:16	documents 6:12,24	format 6:22
additional 6:19		6:17	7:9	Fourth 1:22
address 8:3 12:5	<u> </u>	consulted 10:11	draft 8:1,7 9:6	fully 6:18,21
addresses 7:7	C 2:1 3:1 4:4 13:1,1	consumer 10:12	Drive 1:18 2:5,12	
addressing 4:17	Cable 3:9	Consumers 5:8,10		G
adjourned 12:15	Cameron-Rulko	Cont 3:1	E	G 4:4
12:16	2:9 4:23,24 8:2,4	containing 12:12	E 2:1,1 3:1,1 4:4,4	Gafken 2:16 5:4,4
administrative	9:13 10:11 11:6	copies 6:14,17,19	13:1,1	6:3 7:21 9:16
1:13 2:2 4:12	11:17 12:1,9	6:19,21 7:9	either 5:25	10:4,6,8,25
adopted 6:9	captioned 4:9	copy 9:5	electronic 6:10,12	Garlinghouse 1:20
ahead 4:6 8:12	Cascade 1:7 2:20	Corporation 1:8	6:22,23 7:8	13:6,13
Alliance 5:7,10	3:15 4:10,18,19	3:15 4:10	electronically 6:25	Gas 1:7 2:20 3:15
amenable 11:19	8:15,23	Counsel 2:15 5:3,6	7:1	4:10,20
Andrew 9:21	Cascade's 9:21	9:18	email 7:3,4,5,7,10	General 2:10,11,16
anticipate 10:13,24	case 6:13 10:1,22	COUNTY 13:4	9:5	2:17 4:24 5:1,5
appearance 5:17	12:14	course 9:20	Energy 3:2 5:8,10	Gibson 2:22
appearances 4:16	CCR 1:20 13:13	courtesy 7:8	5:12,14,24	go 4:6 8:12
appearing 5:5	Certified 13:6	cross-answering	enter 5:17	going 7:11,25 9:25
appropriate 10:9	certify 13:8	8:18	entered 6:6	good 4:7 5:4,9,13
April 1:15 4:1	Chad 3:9 5:9	cross-examination	errata 9:1	Grandridge 3:15
Assistant 2:10,16	change 11:20,22	8:25	estimates 9:1	granted 6:5
4:24,25 5:5	Chartoff 1:13 2:4	cstokes@cablehu	Evergreen 1:18 2:5	guidelines 12:13
Attorney 2:10,11	4:12,21 7:4,12,13	3:11	2:12	
2:16,17 3:4 4:24	7:22 9:8,24	customers 10:23	evidentiary 9:2	<u> </u>
5:1,5	Chartoff's 7:5	cutoff 8:24	exhibit 8:25 9:1	handed 7:25 8:6
August 8:15	circulate 8:15		exhibits 8:15,25	happy 8:5 9:5,20
Avenue 1:22 2:17	co-presiding 4:14	D		hearing 5:18,22
2:22 3:10	come 8:11 11:4	D 4:4	F	8:20,21,22 9:2,18
aware 7:15	coming 12:14	D-3 3:5	F 13:1	10:21,22
AWEC 3:8 5:24	comment 8:20,20	data 7:14,15,19	familiar 6:11	hearings 9:19
AWEC 5.6 5.24	8:22 9:17,19	date 8:10,11 9:12	ffitch 3:3 5:13,14	10:13
В	10:21	11:4,15,18,20	Fifth 3:10	held 10:1,21
back 11:13	Commission 1:2,4	dates 8:12	file 6:17,22	High 3:4
Bainbridge 3:5	1:18 2:5,8 4:10,13	days 8:20	filed 5:21,23,24 7:9	highly 6:16
based 9:25	6:6,9,11,13,24	days' 10:23	9:1	Honor 5:13 11:7,17
behalf 5:5,14,24,24	11:22,24	December 9:4	files 8:23	12:2,10
best 13:9	Commission's 6:23	designated 6:16 7:2	filing 6:10,12,14	Honors 9:7
Boulevard 3:15	Commissioners	determine 9:11	filings 6:12,15	Huston 3:9
200107010 3.13	4:15	determined 8:10,22	final 10:22	
	l	l í	l	l

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

Page 15

	•	•	•	•
I	laura.chartoff@	9:22	12:11	4:11
include 6:15 7:17	2:7 7:6	note 10:20	perfectly 11:23	rayne.pearson@
11:19	law 1:13 2:2 3:4	notice 8:19 10:23	petitions 4:17 5:19	2:7 7:5
including 7:19	4:12	11:21,24	5:19,23 6:1,4	read 8:13
indicated 9:10	lead 7:2	November 9:2,3	phone 4:25 8:5	Realtime 1:21
information 6:16	let's 4:16,17	number 8:9	placeholder 8:19	rebuttal 8:17
initial 8:13	line 5:16		8:21	receive 7:8 10:23
internal 6:15	Lisa 2:16,21 4:19	0	places 10:14	recess 9:11 11:3,9
intervene 5:23 6:5	5:4	O 4:4	plan 10:3	11:11,14
intervention 4:17	lisa.gafken@atg	000 4:3	please 6:17 7:3,9	recommend 9:18
5:19,20	2:19	object 10:9	PO 2:12	record 4:7 7:25
involvement 10:12	lisa@mrg-law.com	objection 7:18	point 6:11 11:2	8:13 9:10 11:14
Island 3:5	2:24	objections 5:25	12:4	redacted 6:21
issue 9:21 12:11	list 8:25	October 8:18,24,24	Portland 2:23 3:10	referred 9:19
issued 8:19	lists 9:1	9:2	possible 7:4	regarding 6:9
issues 8:16,23 9:4	LLC 1:21	office 2:11,17 11:5	post-hearing 9:3	Regulatory 3:14
	LLP 3:9	okay 5:7,18,22 6:4	prehearing 1:11	remainder 7:12
J	locations 10:24	7:13,22,24 9:9,14	4:8 7:17 10:20	remind 6:8
Jeff 2:10 4:25	looks 12:3	10:5,7,10,15,16	12:12	REPORTED 1:20
Jennifer 2:9 4:23		11:8,13 12:3,3,11	present 3:13 8:7	Reporter 13:7
jennifer.cameron	M	Olympia 1:19,23	prior 7:25 8:20	Reporting 1:21
2:14	Manager 3:14	2:6,13 4:1	probably 10:19	representative 7:3
joint 8:16,23 9:4	matrix 8:16,23 9:4	ones 5:20	procedural 6:7,9	representatives 7:7
Judge 4:6,21 5:2,7	matter 4:14	order 6:7 7:18	7:24 8:1,8 9:6	request 7:15
5:11,15 6:4 7:4,5	McDowell 2:22	12:12	12:13	requests 7:14,15,19
7:11,13,22 9:8,9	MICHAEL 3:14	Oregon 2:23 3:10	proceed 5:22	require 6:14
9:14,24 10:5,7,10	michael.parvine	original 6:14,17	proceeding 7:9	requirement 7:17
10:15,19 11:1,8	3:16		Project 3:2 5:12,14	7:19
11:13,23 12:3,8	Mm-hmm 11:1,23	$\frac{\mathbf{P}}{\mathbf{P}}$	5:25	requires 6:11
12:11	morning 4:7 5:4,9	P 2:1,1 3:1,1 4:4	protective 6:7	respect 9:17
judges 1:13 2:2	5:13 9:3	Pages 1:12	provide 6:23	respond 11:25
4:12 8:6 9:6	moving 11:22	paper 6:14,20	public 2:15 5:3,6	Respondent 1:9
July 11:18	multiple 10:14	Park 1:18 2:5,12	8:19,20,22 9:17	response 8:14
		part 6:21	9:18,19 10:21	responses 7:16,20
K	$\frac{N}{N^{2}}$	partially 6:21	put 8:10	reviewed 5:23
Kelso 10:2	N 2:1 3:1 4:4	parties 6:8,25,25		right 11:2
Kennewick 3:16	name 4:11	7:15,20 8:7 11:15	Q	Road 3:4
10:2	names 7:7	party 7:2,16	quite 7:21 9:22	Roberson 2:10 4:25
keycard 11:5	National 1:24	PARVINEN 3:14	R	Roberts 9:21 10:12
know 10:2,8	Natural 1:7 2:20	10:17 DC 2:22		room 8:7
knowledge 13:9	3:15 4:10,20	PC 2:22	R 2:1 3:1 4:4 13:1 R 2:21 2:21 2:2	rules 6:9,23
L	NE 3:4	Pearson 1:13 2:3	Rackner 2:21,22	
	need 6:18,20 11:20	4:6,11 5:2,7,11,15	4:19,19 6:2 10:18	$\frac{\mathbf{S}}{\mathbf{S} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{S}}$
language 11:20	12:4,5	6:4 9:9,14 10:5,7	11:10 12:7	S 2:1 3:1 4:4
Laura 1:13 2:4	new 6:9	10:10,15,19 11:1	rate 10:1	schedule 6:8 7:25
4:12	noncontinuous	11:8,13,23 12:3,8	Rayne 1:13 2:3	8:1,8 9:6 11:22
	I	I	I	1

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

Page 16

				Γα
12:13	territory 9:22	we're 4:7 9:20,20	287-9066 1:23	
School 3:4	testimony 8:14,18	10:18 12:6	287-9000 1.23 28th 9:2	
		We've 5:23	2011 9:2	
Seattle 1:22,23	Thank 4:21 5:2,11		3	
2:18	5:15 7:13,22 8:5	Wednesday 11:18	$\frac{1}{308:2010:23}$	
second 8:16	9:8 10:25 11:6,10	week 8:17		
sense 9:23	12:1,14	welcomed 7:21	321 3:4	
September 8:16,17	think 10:9	went 9:9	3358 1:20 13:13	
serve 6:24,25	thought 9:24	Western 5:8,10	360 1:23 2:6,13	
service 6:10,24 7:3	three 6:17,19 9:25	wishes 5:17	383 3:5	
9:22	10:8	witness 8:25	4	
settlement 8:9,14	THURSTON 13:4	work 9:20		
8:16 9:11 11:4,15	time 9:1	www.buellrealti	400 2:23	
11:18	today 4:7 12:6	1:25	40128 2:12	
share 7:19	topic 7:14,24		419 2:22	
shared 7:16	transcript 13:8	X	464-6595 2:18	
Shorthand 13:6	Transportation 1:2		4th 9:2	
shortly 12:12	1:4,18 2:5,11 4:9	Y		
Simon 3:3 5:14	4:13	Yeah 10:17,18	5	
simon@ffitchlaw	true 13:8		503 2:24 3:11	
3:6	turn 7:11	Z	534-9066 1:23	
			595-3925 2:24	
simply 11:21	two 5:23 9:18 10:6	0	5th 2:17 8:16 9:3	
singular 9:20	10:24	1		
skill 13:9	U	1	6	
soon 7:4		1-13 1:12	664-1160 2:6	
sorry 6:19	UG-190210 1:4 4:8	10:00 1:16 4:2	664-1186 2:13	
South 1:18 2:5,12	understand 11:14	10:10 11:12	669-8197 3:6	
Southwest 1:18	unredacted 6:18,20	10:21 11:12		
2:22	updated 9:4	10:22 12:16	7	
spread 9:22	Utilities 1:2,4,18	1001 3:10		
staff 2:8 4:22 7:8	2:4,11 4:9,13	11th 2:22	8	
10:13 12:9		1300 1:18 2:5	800 1:24 2:17	
start 4:16	V	1325 1:22	8113 3:15	
State 13:3,7	version 6:18,20,22	1400 2:12	846-6989 1:24	
Stokes 3:9 5:9,10	versus 4:10	16 1:15 4:1	8th 8:18	
Suite 1:22 2:17,23	VOLUME 1:11	16 1 .15 4 .1 16th 8:17		
3:5,10	vs 1:6	17th 11:18	9	
support 7:8 10:13		1840 1:22	97204 3:10	
* *	W		97205 2:23	
sure 6:10	W 3:15	19th 9:4	98101 1:22	
SW 2:5,12 3:10	want 6:8 11:3,4	2	98104 2:18	
	wanted 9:17		98110 3:5	
	Washington 1:1,4	2000 2:17 3:10	98504 1:19 2:6,13	
T 13:1,1	1:18,19,22 2:4,6	2019 1:15 4:1	99336 3:16	
take 9:10 11:2	2:13,18 3:5,16 4:1	206 1:23 2:18 3:6	77330 3.10	
taken 11:11	4:9 13:3,7	21st 8:24,25		
Tayler 1:20 13:6,13	we'll 4:6 10:19	224-3092 3:11		
tentative 11:15,18	we II 4.0 10.17	22nd 8:15		

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC