

1 **hydro levels in the most recent 50-year period versus the period employed**
2 **by PSE?**

3 A. No, he did not provide such evidence. In response to his testimony, I undertook
4 this analysis. I determined that the average hydro generation for the period 1929
5 through 1978 was 735 aMW. The average hydro generation for the period 1949
6 through 1998 was 750 aMW. I performed a test for the difference in these levels
7 by comparing the 20-year period from 1929 through 1948 to the 20-year period
8 from 1979 to 1998. The difference in the average hydro generation in these two
9 20-year periods (723 aMW in ~~1978~~1979-1998 versus 684 aMW in 1929-1948) was
10 not statistically significant. The test I performed recognizes that the period from
11 1949 through 1978 is present in both 50-year periods and must be removed in
12 order to obtain a correct equality of means. Mr. Norwood is not correct that
13 there is any “significant” difference in these estimates using one 50-year period
14 versus another.

15 **Q. Did Mr. Norwood examine whether the recent 20-year period of data from**
16 **1979 through 1998 was significantly different from the 50-year period from**
17 **1929 through 1978?**

18 A. No. Had Mr. Norwood performed such a test he would have found that the
19 difference of 735 aMW (1929-1978) and 723 aMW (1979-1998) was not
20 significantly different. More generally there is simply no evidence that the 70-
21 year hydro data is anything but a normally distributed random process so that