PROPOSED RULE MAKING



studies.

CR-102 (December 2017) (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do **NOT** use for expedited rule making

CODE REVISER USE ONLY

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: February 11, 2020

TIME: 11:36 AM

WSR 20-05-033

Agency: Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission						
☐ Supplemental Notice to WSR						
☐ Continuance of WS	3R					
□ Preproposal State	nent of Inq	uiry was filed as WSR 18-16-005	; or			
☐ Expedited Rule Ma	kingProp	osed notice was filed as WSR	; or			
☐ Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1); or						
☐ Proposal is exemp						
Title of rule and other identifying information: (describe subject) The Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission is engaged in a rulemaking in dockets UE-170002 and UG-170003 to consider rules governing cost of service studies for electric and natural gas investor-owned utilities, including, but not limited to, revising WAC 480-07-510(6) and creating a new chapter. This rulemaking is intended to standardize how investor-owned utilities and other parties to Commission general rate case proceedings conduct and present cost of service studies in the State of Washington.						
Hearing location(s):						
Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)	Comment:			
April 16, 2020	1:30 p.m.	Room 110 621 Woodland Square Loop SE, Lacey, WA 98503	Public hearing to consider adoption of the proposed rules.			
Date of intended adop	ption: April	16, 2020 (Note: This is NOT the et	fective date)			
Submit written comm	ents to:					
Name: Washington Uti	lities and Tr	ansportation Commission				
	•	.oop SE, Lacey, WA 98503				
Email: records@utc.wa	1.gov					
Fax: (360) 586-1150						
Other: By (date) March 27, 20	120					
Assistance for person		ahilities:				
Contact Susan Holman						
Phone: (360) 664-1243						
Fax:						
TTY: (360) 586-8203						
Email: susan.holman@	utc.wa.gov					
Other:						
By (date)						
to establish minimum fi improve and promote e	iling require efficiency in	ments for any cost of service study analyzing rate cases, clarity of pres	y changes in existing rules: The purpose of this rule is filed with the commission. These rules are designed to tentation, and ease of understanding cost of service by for more effective comparisons of cost of service			

parties to general are one element of phase, the Comm methodologies, an various stakehold analysis and revie	rate case proceedings condu- of the ratemaking process, bu- lission requested investor-ow- and found only minor variance ers. Standardizing the way co	d cost of service rules standardize how investor-owned buct and present cost of service studies in Washington. It can be a burdensome component of general rate cas rined utilities to run a variety of scenarios with different cases. Further, the Commission held technical workshops to ost of service studies are conducted and presented will parties to dedicate more resources to other aspects of a 28, 80.01.040, 80.01.160	Cost of service studies ses. During the CR-101 cost of service o receive input from lensure more efficient
Statute being im	plemented:		
Is rule necessary	hecause of a:		
Federal La	□ Yes ⊠ No		
Federal Co	□ Yes ⊠ No		
State Court	□ Yes ⊠ No		
If yes, CITATION:			
Agency commen matters:	nts or recommendations, if	any, as to statutory language, implementation, enfo	orcement, and fiscal
Name of propone	□ Private□ Public⊠ Governmental		
Name of agency	personnel responsible for:		
	Name	Office Location	Phone
Drafting:	Elaine Jordan	621 Woodland Square Loop SE, Lacey, WA 98503	(360) 664-1307
Implementation:	Mark L. Johnson	621 Woodland Square Loop SE, Lacey, WA 98503	(360) 664-1115
Enforcement:	Mark L. Johnson	621 Woodland Square Loop SE, Lacey, WA 98503	(360) 664-1115
If yes, insert state	ment here:	required under RCW 28A.305.135?	□ Yes ⊠ No
Name: Address Phone: Fax: TTY: Email: Other:	s:	district fiscal impact statement by contacting:	
		CW 34.05.328? s may be obtained by contacting:	
	•	Utilities and Transportation Commission is not an agen	•

Regulatory	Fairness Act Cost Considerations for a Sn	nall Busine	ss Economic Impact Statement:			
	oposal, or portions of the proposal, may be ex 85 RCW). Please check the box for any applica		requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see tion(s):			
adopted sol regulation th adopted.	ely to conform and/or comply with federal statunis rule is being adopted to conform or comply	ute or regula	CW 19.85.061 because this rule making is being ations. Please cite the specific federal statute or escribe the consequences to the state if the rule is not			
	description:					
	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exem RCW 34.05.313 before filing the notice of this p		the agency has completed the pilot rule process			
_			e provisions of RCW 15.65.570(2) because it was			
	a referendum.		(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exem	npt under R	CW 19.85.025(3). Check all that apply:			
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e)			
	(Internal government operations)		(Dictated by statute)			
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f)			
	(Incorporation by reference)		(Set or adjust fees)			
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d)	\boxtimes	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g)			
	(Correct or clarify language)		((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process			
			requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit)			
☐ This rule	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exem	npt under R	CW			
Out of an all impose mor companies rule. The co	re than minor costs as defined in RCW 19.85.0 anticipate no cost impact, and three were not a)20(2). The able to quar	S survey to determine whether the proposed rule would survey responses indicate that two of the five regulated ntify the additional cost of compliance with the proposed as unnecessary to conduct a SBEIS, even if none of the			
	COMPLETE THIS SECTION	ONLY IF	NO EXEMPTION APPLIES			
If the propos	sed rule is not exempt , does it impose more-t	han-minor o	costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?			
☐ No Briefly summarize the agency's analysis showing how costs were calculated						
☐ Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses, and a small business economic impact statement is required. Insert statement here:						
-	oublic may obtain a copy of the small business acting:	economic i	mpact statement or the detailed cost calculations by			
	ame:					
	ddress:					
	none: ax:					
	ΓY:					
Er	mail:					
0	ther:					
Date: Febru	uary 11, 2020	Signatu	4 2			
Name: Mark L. Johnson			Maldelin			
Title: Executive Director and Secretary			111111 8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			