MOTION FOR SUMMARY DETERMINIATION IN SUPPORT OF REVENUE-SHARING PLANS - 1

SUMMIT LAW GROUP PLLC

315 FIFTH AVENUE SOUTH, SUITE 1000 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104-2682 Telephone: (206) 676-7000 Fax: (206) 676-7001

materials it collects and processes; increasing volumes can theoretically increase revenues as well.

- 21. I would not support a revenue-sharing plan that linked the Company's financial reward to proving that there has, actually, been an increase in recycling because there are too many uncontrollable factors that influence that benchmark. The vagaries of local, national and even global economics are not within the control of Waste Management or its County partners. King and Snohomish County are interested in reports about those data points, but have chosen not to equate Waste Management's eligibility for a financial reward to them.
- 22. For Waste Management, King and Snohomish County have correlated Waste Management's reward to the revenues it produces. The Company's eligibility for the reward is conditioned on its performance of the RSA activities. This line-item approach directly links the Company's reward to its ability to get as much revenue as possible for the recyclable material it collects. If the RSA program activities are successful in generating greater volumes, in increasing participation, in adding higher-value commodities, or in maximizing processing efficiencies to generate higher marketing revenues, then Waste Management gets a share of any increased revenues that might be produced.

DATED this 20th day of TULY 2011, at KIRKAND WA.

Michael A. Weinstein