```
1
      BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION
                           COMMISSION
 2
     WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND
     TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
 4
                    Complainant, )
 5
                                   )
                                       DOCKET NO. UE-001734
               vs.
                                   )
 6
                                       Volume III
                                   )
     PACIFICORP, d/b/a/ PACIFIC )
                                      Pages 29 - 41
 7
    POWER AND LIGHT,
 8
                   Respondent.
 9
10
               A prehearing conference in the above matter
11
     was held on September 11, 2002, at 1:34 p.m., at 1300
12
     South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Olympia,
13
     Washington, before Administrative Law Judge KAREN M.
14
    CAILLE.
15
               The parties were present as follows:
               PACIFICORP, by JAMES C. PAINE, Attorney at
16
     Law, Stoel Rives, 900 Southwest Fifth Avenue, Suite
     2600, Portland, Oregon 97204; telephone, (503)
17
     294-9246.
18
               WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION
19
     COMMISSION, by DONALD T. TROTTER, Senior Assistant
     Attorney General, 1400 South Evergreen Park Drive
20
     Southwest, Post Office Box 40128, Olympia, Washington
     98504; telephone, (360) 664-1189
21
               INDUSTRIAL CUSTOMERS OF NORTHWEST UTILITIES,
22
    by IRION A. SANGER, Attorney at Law, Davison Van Cleve,
     1000 Southwest Broadway, Suite 2460, Portland, Oregon
23
     97205; telephone, (503) 241-7242.
24
    Kathryn T. Wilson, CCR
25
    Court Reporter
```

1	COLUMBIA RURAL ELECTRIC ASSOCIATION, by
2	MICHAEL V. HUBBARD, Attorney at Law, 147 Main Street Post Office Box 67, Waitsburg, Washington 99361;
3	telephone, (509) 337-6643.
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

		INDEX OF EXHIBITS
EXHIB	SIT:	MARKED:
1-T,	2-T	34
3 - 4	:8	34
60 -	112	37
201-T	1	38
202 -	205	38
215 -	218	39
301-T	1	39
302 -	309	39
310 -	312	39

1	l F	)	R	0	C	Ε	Ε	D	Ι	N	G	S	

- 2 JUDGE CAILLE: We are on the record. This is
- 3 a prehearing conference in Docket No. UE-001734. This
- 4 is Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission
- 5 versus PacifiCorp doing business as Pacific Power and
- 6 Light. This matter concerns PacifiCorp's application
- 7 for a tariff revision, which would allow PacifiCorp to
- 8 charge a customer the costs associated with removing
- 9 PacifiCorp's utility property from the customer's
- 10 location when the customer changes utility service
- 11 providers.
- Today is September 11th, 2002, and we are
- 13 convened at Commission's offices in Olympia,
- 14 Washington. My name is Karen Caille, and I'm the
- 15 presiding administrative law judge in this proceeding.
- 16 The purpose of today's prehearing conference is to
- 17 number for identification all exhibits and cross
- 18 exhibits and to attend to other procedural matters.
- 19 Our agenda today will include determination
- 20 of the order of witnesses and cross-examination, which
- 21 we have already done off the record and I will put on
- 22 the record in a moment. Once we have determined the
- 23 order of witnesses and cross-examination, we will mark
- 24 the exhibits and cross-exhibits to reflect that order.
- 25 I will hear any objections to evidence, and we will

- 1 finally attend to any other procedural matters.
- 2 So let's begin with appearances. If you will
- 3 please state your name and whom you represent, then I
- 4 think that will be sufficient for today's purposes, so
- 5 let's begin with the Company.
- 6 MR. PAINE: James C. Paine appearing on
- 7 behalf of PacifiCorp.
- 8 MR. HUBBARD: Mike Hubbard on behalf of
- 9 Columbia REA.
- 10 MR. SANGER: Irion Sanger on behalf of the
- 11 Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities.
- MR. TROTTER: Donald T. Trotter, assistant
- 13 attorney general for Commission staff.
- 14 JUDGE CAILLE: Let the record reflect there
- 15 are no other appearances. Prior to going on the record
- 16 this morning, I have had a discussion with the parties
- 17 regarding the order of witnesses and the order of
- 18 cross-examination. The order of witnesses will be as
- 19 follows: Mr. William G. Clemens followed by Thomas H.
- 20 Husted, and then finally, Henry B. McIntosh.
- 21 The order of cross-examination for
- 22 Mr. Clemens will be first ICNU, then Columbia, then
- 23 Staff. The order for Mr. Husted will be PacifiCorp
- 24 followed by Staff, and the order for Mr. McIntosh will
- 25 be ICNU, Columbia, and PacifiCorp.

- 1 Our first witness is Mr. Clemens, so if you
- 2 will get your master exhibit list that came from me, we
- 3 will mark Mr. Clemens' Exhibit WGC-T1 as 1-T and WGC-2T
- 4 will be identified as 2-T. Then if you will look at
- 5 your ICNU cross-exhibits for Mr. Clemens, begins about
- 6 the fourth one down. We'll mark that as 3, and then
- 7 just proceed the rest of the way down.
- 8 (Discussion off the record.)
- 9 JUDGE CAILLE: So for purposes of the record,
- 10 the cross-exhibits for ICNU from Mr. Clemens are
- 11 Exhibit 3 through 48, and I will submit a copy of the
- 12 exhibit list to take care of the description of each of
- 13 those exhibits for purposes of the record.
- 14 MR. PAINE: Judge Caille, it's been quite
- 15 awhile since I participated in one of these as well. I
- 16 would assume that any potential objections that can be
- 17 lodged at hearing based on foundation or any other
- 18 relevant basis for objection at that time; is that
- 19 correct?
- 20 JUDGE CAILLE: That is correct. Although, if
- 21 you have anything major -- that's why I'm asking you
- 22 folks to appear at 9:00 next Friday -- I would like to
- 23 take care of any of those preliminary matters in order
- 24 that when we call the Commissioners to the Bench, we
- 25 can just begin right away, and it may be something they

- 1 need to hear too, so we will just have to kind of judge
- 2 at the time.
- 3 MR. PAINE: My only concern at this point, if
- 4 you would want to call it major, is considering the
- 5 number of listed cross-examination exhibits whether one
- 6 day is going to be sufficient for hearing. That is my
- 7 principle concern. With the number of exhibits
- 8 identified by ICNU and CREA, I'm a little concerned
- 9 about whether or not we are going to be able to
- 10 complete this hearing on Friday the 20th.
- 11 JUDGE CAILLE: I don't know whether all these
- 12 exhibits will actually be used. My experience has been
- in the past that sometimes these exhibits aren't all
- 14 used.
- MR. SANGER: For ICNU's sake, I believe we
- 16 are going to need the full two hours, but after we
- 17 reviewed the exhibits, you will see that a lot of them
- 18 are fairly similar and will be introduced concurrent
- 19 with each other. So there may not be a need for us to
- 20 separately introduce a separate question for each
- 21 exhibit.
- MR. PAINE: We will see how it goes.
- JUDGE CAILLE: My other comment is -- and
- 24 this is just from having read the testimony -- it
- 25 doesn't seem that this particular proceeding is very

- 1 fact specific. What we are pretty much facing here is
- 2 a policy decision, and so I understand you need to get
- 3 what you need to get into the record in order to make
- 4 your arguments on your brief, but I encourage the
- 5 parties to limit your cross-examination to what you are
- 6 really going to need.
- 7 I don't know when we would be able to get
- 8 another day, so I didn't realize there were that many
- 9 cross-exhibits at the time that we reduced the hearing
- 10 to a day, and it looked to me like from the number of
- 11 prefiled exhibits and the amount of testimony that this
- 12 was not even going to take a day, and the Commissioners
- 13 had other obligations that week also. So I felt pretty
- 14 comfortable with saying that we could accomplish this
- in a day, so hopefully, we will still be able to do
- 16 that, but your concern is noted for the record.
- MR. PAINE: Thank you.
- JUDGE CAILLE: So we stopped with 48 for
- 19 ICNU's cross-exhibits, so that would mean we begin with
- 20 49 on Columbia's exhibits for Mr. Clemens.
- 21 MR. SANGER: I have a question regarding
- 22 CREA's exhibits. If you could tell me what the
- 23 difference is between the two exhibits? You've got
- 24 your first two exhibits here before the data requests.
- 25 MR. HUBBARD: Those lodged under what will be

- 1 No. 50 of one exhibit.
- JUDGE CAILLE: Okay, now you've lost me.
- 3 MR. HUBBARD: Our second exhibit is a service
- 4 request from an Allen Laib followed by the record on
- 5 UTC complaint file 78523. Those will be offered as one
- 6 exhibit.
- 7 MR. SANGER: So the first exhibit is one
- 8 page.
- 9 MR. HUBBARD: The first page is one of one
- 10 page.
- 11 JUDGE CAILLE: So we will start with the
- 12 first exhibit, and that will be --
- 13 MR. SANGER: Judge Caille, I was wondering if
- 14 we wanted to leave some space for the opportunity for
- 15 any others. We don't foresee having any others at this
- 16 time, but --
- 17 JUDGE CAILLE: We usually do that.
- MR. SANGER: Maybe start at 60?
- 19 JUDGE CAILLE: Yes. Let's start at 60 and go
- 20 to 112. So that looks like it takes care of all the
- 21 cross-exhibits for Mr. Clemens. For the record, the
- 22 Columbia Rural Electric's cross-exhibits for
- 23 Mr. Clemens begin with Exhibit No. 60 and go through
- 24 Exhibit No. 112, and I will provide a copy of that for
- 25 the record for description of those exhibits.

- 1 Our next witness is Mr. Husted. Should we
- 2 start with 120 for Mr. Husted?
- 3 MR. TROTTER: I would recommend starting with
- 4 200 so each party has a different sequence.
- 5 JUDGE CAILLE: So the response testimony of
- 6 Thomas H. Husted that I have designated at THH-T1, that
- 7 will be 201-T. Beginning with PacifiCorp's
- 8 cross-examination exhibits, it will be 202, 203, 204,
- 9 205.
- 10 MR. PAINE: Does everyone understand that 205
- 11 constitutes the latest three annual reports of CREA? I
- 12 tried to make that clear earlier. I just wanted to
- 13 make sure everyone understands that.
- MR. HUBBARD: It's understood.
- JUDGE CAILLE: Then next, we have Staff's
- 16 cross-examination exhibits of Mr. Husted. Is there a
- 17 proposal for what we should begin on the number? We
- 18 stopped with 205.
- 19 MR. TROTTER: 215.
- 20 JUDGE CAILLE: Just so I'm consistent on the
- 21 record, PacifiCorp's cross-examination exhibits are
- 22 designated as Exhibits 202 through 205, and those will
- 23 be described by the exhibit list I submit into the
- 24 record.
- Now, Commission staff will begin with 215,

- 1 216, 217 and 218, so it will be 215 through 218 because
- 2 the last one is now being taken care of by PacifiCorp?
- 3 MR. TROTTER: Yes, that's fine.
- 4 JUDGE CAILLE: So for purposes of the record,
- 5 Staff's cross-examination exhibits of Mr. Husted have
- 6 been marked as Exhibits 215 through 218, and I will
- 7 submit a written description of those as provided on
- 8 the exhibit list for purposes of the record.
- 9 For Mr. McIntosh, we will begin with 301-T
- 10 through 309, and there are no cross-examination
- 11 exhibits.
- 12 MR. SANGER: ICNU has three cross-exhibits.
- 13 JUDGE CAILLE: Yes. First of all, for
- 14 purposes of the record, the prefiled direct testimony
- 15 and prefiled exhibits of Mr. Hank McIntosh are
- 16 designated as Exhibits 301-T through 309, and I will
- 17 submit a written description of that for the record.
- 18 ICNU has three cross-examination exhibits for
- 19 Mr. McIntosh, and we will number those as 310, 311, and
- 20 312, and again, I will submit descriptions of those
- 21 exhibits for the record.
- MR. HUBBARD: If I might inquire, Your Honor,
- 23 if Mr. Trotter has another list of cross-exhibits or
- 24 direct exhibits for Mr. McIntosh.
- JUDGE CAILLE: You didn't get one of these?

0040

- 1 MR. TROTTER: It's what we distributed when
- 2 you walked in the room. It's on the second page of
- 3 what the judge handed out.
- 4 MR. HUBBARD: Now we are caught up. Thank
- 5 you.
- 6 JUDGE CAILLE: Do any of the parties have any
- 7 other procedural matters to bring forward to me today?
- 8 Okay, what I will do is I'll ask you again to please
- 9 e-mail me your exhibit lists, and my staff will make a
- 10 master list of these exhibits in order with the
- 11 descriptions. That's what we will be working from and
- 12 that's what you will be working from and the Bench will
- 13 so we will all be on the same page.
- 14 What we do is we prepare the Commissioners'
- 15 books, and the books are prepared with the exhibit
- 16 numbers in numerical order, and hopefully with the
- 17 witnesses appearing in that order, things will go
- 18 smoothly and we will not waste much time.
- 19 Again, I will ask you to please arrive at
- 20 nine o'clock next Friday, the 20th, just so we can take
- 21 care of any preliminary matters. I can take
- 22 appearances from the parties, and I can swear in the
- 23 first witness and then call the Commissioners to the
- 24 Bench. I think that is all I really wanted to go over
- 25 today. Is there anything from anyone else?

MR. TROTTER: Just one second, Your Honor. JUDGE CAILLE: Sure. 2. MR. TROTTER: I didn't mark numbers on my sheet. On the record, Your Honor, at hearing, is it expected that the parties would refer to exhibits by number and not by suffix, Page MB-2 and so on, so the witness on the stand should have a complete set of numbered exhibits? JUDGE CAILLE: Yes, that would be very helpful. If there is nothing further, thank you very much for coming, and I will see you next Friday. (Prehearing conference concluded at 2:00 p.m.)