```
0001
 1
                    BEFORE THE WASHINGTON
 2
          UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
 3
 4
     WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND
                                    )
     TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,
                                    )
 5
                   Complainant,
 6
                                      Docket No. UE-141141
                   vs.
     PUGET SOUND ENERGY,
 8
                   Respondent.
 9
10
              PREHEARING CONFERENCE, VOLUME I
11
                       Pages 1 - 14
12
          ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE MARGUERITE FRIEDLANDER
13
                              1:33 P.M.
14
                            JULY 9, 2014
15
16
        Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission
             1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest
17
              Olympia, Washington 98504-7250
18
19
20
   REPORTED BY: SHERRILYN SMITH, CCR# 2097
21
      Buell Realtime Reporting, LLC
      1325 Fourth Avenue
22
      Suite 1840
      Seattle, Washington 98101
23
      206.287.9066 | Seattle
      360.534.9066 | Olympia
24
   800.846.6989 | National
25
   www.buellrealtime.com
```

1		
2		APPEARANCES
	INISTRATIVE LAW	
3		MARGUERITE FRIEDLANDER Washington Utilities and
4		Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW
5		P.O. Box 47250 Olympia, Washington 98504
6	360	.664.1136
7		
8	FOR COMMISSION	STAFF:
•		DONALD T. TROTTER
9		Assistant Attorney General 1400 S. Evergreen Park Drive S.W.
10		P.O. Box 40128
11		Olympia, Washington 98504-0128 360.664.1189
1.0		dtrotter@utc.wa.gov
12		
13 FOR	THE OFFICE OF P	IBLIC COINSEL:
14		SIMON J. FFITCH
15		Office of the Attorney General 800 Fifth Avenue
16		Suite 2000 Seattle, Washington 98104-3188
		206.464.7744
17	sim	onf@atg.wa.gov
18	FOR PUGET SOUND	ENECV.
19	FOR PUGET SOUND	
20		SHEREE STROM CARSON Perkins Coie LLP
21		10885 Northeast Fourth Street Suite 700
22		Bellevue, Washington 98004-5579 425.635.1400
23		scarson@perkinscoie.com
24		
25		

A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued) FOR INDUSTRIAL CUSTOMERS OF NORTHWEST UTILITIES: JESSE E. COWELL Davison Van Cleve 333 Southwest Taylor Street Suite 400 Portland, Oregon 97204 503.241.7242 jec@dvclaw.com

-000-

1	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; JULY 9, 2014
2	1:33 P.M.
3	-000-
4	
5	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Let's go on the
6	record. I am Marguerite Friedlander, an
7	administrative law judge with the Washington Utilities
8	and Transportation Commission. We are here today for
9	a prehearing conference in Docket UE-141141, which is
10	captioned a Power Cost Only Rate Case by Puget Sound
11	Energy.
12	We will start by taking appearances. Let's go
13	ahead and have the company put in appearance first.
14	Ms. Carson.
15	MS. STROM CARSON: Good afternoon, Your
16	Honor. Sheree Strom Carson with Perkins Coie,
17	representing Puget Sound Energy.
18	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.
19	And appearing on behalf of Staff?
20	MR. TROTTER: For the Commission Staff,
21	my name is Donald T. Trotter, Assistant Attorney
22	General.
23	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.
24	And appearing today on behalf of Public
25	Counsel?

- 1 MR. FFITCH: Simon ffitch, Senior
- 2 Assistant Attorney General, on behalf of Office of
- 3 Public Counsel.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.
- 5 And appearing today on behalf of ICNU, the
- 6 Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities?
- 7 MR. COWELL: Yes, appearing on behalf of
- 8 ICNU is Jesse Cowell.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.
- 10 Are there any other individuals either on the
- 11 conference bridge or in person who would like to put
- in an appearance today?
- Okay, hearing nothing, we have one petition
- for leave to intervene before us, that's from ICNU. I
- 15 have read the petition. Are there any objections to
- this petition being granted?
- MS. STROM CARSON: No objections from
- 18 PSE.
- 19 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. ffitch, did you
- 20 have something to add?
- 21 MR. FFITCH: No objection, Your Honor.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.
- I will go ahead and grant that intervention.
- MR. COWELL: Thank you, Your Honor.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: As this case

- 1 involves the suspension of tariffs involving rates,
- 2 the Commission's discovery rules are automatically
- 3 available to the parties. We have already entered a
- 4 protective order in this matter. I assume that the
- 5 parties have already commenced discovery to some
- 6 degree.
- 7 We should probably turn now to the procedural
- 8 schedule. Have the parties had a chance to confer
- 9 about potential dates?
- 10 MS. STROM CARSON: Your Honor, we have
- 11 conferred some by e-mail. I don't think we have quite
- 12 reached agreement, although I think we are perhaps
- 13 close. We may have reached agreement, but we may need
- 14 to confirm that.
- 15 MR. TROTTER: We just need a short time
- 16 to discuss the details.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: That's fine.
- 18 Before we go off the record to allow you guys
- 19 to discuss that a little bit, I did want to mention
- 20 that we have received an amended application in last
- 21 year's PCORC, the UE-130617, from PSE. In reading the
- 22 testimony, I was wondering if there is any overlapping
- issues here that we need to discuss, possible
- 24 consolidation or if that's not on the table.
- MS. STROM CARSON: Your Honor, are you

- 1 referring to the Electron -- testimony regarding
- 2 Electron's sale?
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. Although, my
- 4 copy of Mr. Wetherbee's testimony actually had some
- 5 Lower Baker information in there as well, requesting
- 6 recovery for the Lower Baker floating surface
- 7 collector and the Lower Baker new power house
- 8 construction.
- 9 MS. STROM CARSON: So I believe
- 10 Mr. Wetherbee's testimony is an exhibit and it's just
- 11 supporting the revised proposal. It's not new
- 12 testimony, it's an exhibit to incorporate some of the
- 13 testimony. Lower Baker is not at issue.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: In 130617?
- MS. STROM CARSON: That's right.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Because I was
- 17 confused about that. In his testimony he is saying
- 18 that PSE is requesting, in present tense, which made
- 19 me think, okay, then there's some issues here that may
- 20 be overlapping. What I am hearing now is that they
- 21 are not, that 130617 is strictly limited to the
- 22 Electron transaction.
- MS. STROM CARSON: That's correct.
- 24 Mr. Wetherbee's testimony in the last proceeding
- 25 related to both Electron and Baker.

- 1 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay.
- MS. STROM CARSON: That's why it's a
- 3 little bit confusing.
- 4 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right.
- 5 MS. STROM CARSON: That's simply an
- 6 exhibit.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay, okay. I was a
- 8 little bit confused because it was included with the
- 9 amended application. That's helpful to know. If it's
- 10 limited to the Electron project transaction, then I
- would imagine there's no need for consolidation.
- 12 MS. STROM CARSON: It's PSE's strong
- 13 preference not to consolidate that and to have that
- 14 addressed separately in July.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay, that's fine.
- 16 And we are receiving comments I believe on Friday, so
- 17 we will go from there.
- 18 Why don't one of you come and get me when you
- 19 are finished talking about the procedural schedule.
- 20 We will be off the record. Thank you.
- 21 (A brief recess.)
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: We will go back on
- the record.
- 24 Have the parties reached let's say a
- 25 compromise on a procedural schedule?

- 1 MR. TROTTER: Yes, Your Honor. Just
- 2 give me a second and I will get you a copy.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Sure, thank you.
- 4 (Pause in the proceedings.)
- 5 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And just so I can
- 6 confirm, the December 1st date is when the company is
- 7 requesting an order by, or is that when you want the
- 8 rates effective? So in other words, we would need an
- 9 order out --
- 10 MS. STROM CARSON: November 20th.
- 11 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Well, that makes my
- 12 Thanksgiving better.
- 13 And then of course Staff has to have time to
- 14 approve conforming tariffs, so it's possible. I mean
- 15 the 20th would probably be ideal.
- MS. STROM CARSON: We do have dates
- 17 figured in for compliance filing and Staff's review of
- 18 compliance filing.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay, okay.
- MR. TROTTER: You can go through that on
- 21 the record or whatever you want.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yeah, why don't we
- 23 do that. So we've got a workshop. We're having a
- workshop?
- 25 MR. TROTTER: It's for the parties, Your

- 1 Honor.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay, okay. I was
- 3 beginning to thinking like a public comment hearing on
- 4 a decrease. It might be a good PR --
- 5 MR. TROTTER: We have that scheduled in
- 6 on the hearing.
- 7 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Oh, I see.
- 8 MS. STROM CARSON: If needed.
- 9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes. I'll let the
- 10 commissioners know it's a possibility. Yeah, it may
- 11 not be necessary, but we'll see.
- MR. TROTTER: It's a proposal.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Exactly, exactly.
- 14 Okay. So possible supplemental testimony by
- 15 August 1st, settlement conference on August 19th, and
- then testimony, response testimony from Staff, Public
- 17 Counsel and ICNU on the 27th, and that would begin the
- 18 four-day data request response turnaround time.
- 19 MR. TROTTER: That's four business days.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Gotcha. And up to
- 21 that point I assume it's still ten.
- MS. STROM CARSON: It's five.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Oh, it's five.
- 24 MS. STROM CARSON: For the PCORC it's
- 25 five.

- 1 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Five business day
- 2 turnaround, gotcha. Which that makes sense, given the
- 3 abbreviated time frame.
- 4 And so from there we have rebuttal testimony
- 5 and cross-answering due the 11th of September. And
- 6 then we have discovery cutoff the -- is that the 22nd?
- 7 MR. TROTTER: Yes.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay, the 22nd of
- 9 September. Cross-exam exhibits filed electronically
- on the 25th of September. Hearing the 30th, plus a --
- 11 September 30th, plus a potential public hearing, and
- 12 simultaneous initial briefs on October 24th.
- 13 Do we think that two rounds are necessary? Do
- 14 we want to just do simultaneous posthearing briefs on
- one -- one time or --
- MS. STROM CARSON: The Company having
- the burden of proof would like to have the opportunity
- 18 to respond.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay.
- 20 MS. STROM CARSON: And I understand the
- 21 others would too. We proposed to keep it a very short
- 22 brief.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay.
- MS. STROM CARSON: A maximum seven
- 25 pages.

- 1 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay.
- MS. STROM CARSON: And a very short
- 3 turnaround time.
- 4 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. So initial
- 5 briefs simultaneously filed October 24th, reply briefs
- 6 simultaneously filed October 31st, seven-page max. An
- 7 order out by November 20th, compliance filing tariffs
- 8 by November 24th, with effective date for rates
- 9 December 1st.
- 10 Well, I think given the time frame that we
- 11 have and the existing workload, it's probably the best
- 12 that we can do. I'm going to run it by the
- 13 commissioners and let you all know. I should have the
- 14 prehearing conference order out shortly.
- 15 Let's go ahead and -- I will let you know that
- 16 we need to have filing an original and six copies. If
- 17 there's nothing else...
- MR. TROTTER: Well, Your Honor.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes.
- MR. TROTTER: Could you formally call
- 21 for intervention, please, just in case there might be
- 22 someone on the bridge or otherwise that wishes to
- 23 intervene?
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Sure. So is there
- anyone else on the conference bridge who wishes to

```
0013
1
     make a request for intervention in the 2014 PCORC?
 2
             Okay. Hearing nothing, we are adjourned.
 3
      Thank you.
                         (Signature reserved.)
 4
 5
                         (Deposition concluded 2:06 p.m.)
 6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

0014	
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON
4	COUNTY OF KING
5	
6	I, Sherrilyn Smith, a Certified
7	Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington,
8	do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is
9	true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill
10	and ability.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	SHERRILYN SMITH
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	