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ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DOYLE: Good afternoon. My name is Samantha Doyle. I'm an administrative law judge with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission, and I use she/her or they/them pronouns.

Today is Tuesday February 28th, 2023, and the time is approximately 2:45 p.m. This is Docket TV-220894. The company has failed to appear, so we can proceed with a motion for default for Mychal Arcasa Redthunder doing business as Thunder Movers And Sons, LLC.

I have had a chance to review staff's evidence, and that there was an offer to provide household goods moving services in Washington, and there was advertising that meets with the definition of RCW 81.80.0754 Engaging in Business as a Household Goods Moving Company Without the Permit Required to Conduct Such Operations.

What's staff's motion for the company?
INVESTIGATOR EWANIKA: Staff would like to recommend a $\$ 10,000$ penalty because he has appeared before the commission before in October of 2012.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DOYLE: Okay. And so that's under a motion for default, correct?

INVESTIGATOR EWANIKA: Yes. Sorry.
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DOYLE: No worries. Thank you so much. Okay. Let's -- I'll swear you in and then we'll walk through the evidence you have as well as when

1 and how service was accomplished.

1 person who identified themselves as Mychal. I then asked
2 if he could perform a move -- I'm sorry -- perform a move,
3 and I received a quote for a move with an origin address 4 in Vancouver, Washington to a destination address in 5 Vancouver. move on November 28th, 2022 at 9:00 for $\$ 450$ an hour with two men and a truck. Mychal also stated that the company had been conducting business for 20 years.

I checked the commission's record in December of 2022 to see if the company had previously been before the commission. I found that Mychal Arcasa Redthunder was doing business as Mychal Movers appeared at a classification hearing on October 17th, 2012.

At that hearing Mychal Arcasa Redthunder, doing business as Mychal Movers, was classified a household goods carrier and ordered to cease and desist operations and was assessed a penalty of $\$ 5,000$ with $\$ 4,500$ suspended and waived if he agreed to remove all advertisements and cease operations. On August 26 th, 2013 Mychal did pay that penalty balance in full.

I then, after reviewing that, prepared the documents for movers court and the process server was able to serve the documents to Mychal Arcasa Redthunder on December 29th, 2022 at the same address that the cease and

1 desist letter was sent to.

On February 14th, 2023 I sent an email addressed to -- I sent it to the email that was noted on the Thunder Movers And Sons advertisement on their website, and it did -- that was a site that offered to conduct household goods moves.

I addressed that email to Mychal Redthunder, and the email offered to meet with the company to see if the company and staff could agree to a settlement. The company did not respond.

I sent another email, February 22nd, 2023, to again give the option of a possible settlement agreement discussion prior to today's hearing. The company did not respond.

On February 23rd, 2023 I called the phone number that was listed on the company's advertisements. I used that phone number previously when I received a quote from Mychal for the move. That number was disconnected.

On -- as I stated previously, on the afternoon of yesterday, late afternoon, I received a call from Mychal from a different number, that $I$ did put in the chat, and he stated that he had received my email and wanted to discuss a settlement.

I told him that I would call him back, and I did so with Bridgit Feeser, Assistant Director of Consumer

1 Protection; she was on the call. On that call I did
2 explain to Mychal that in order to reach a settlement agreement, he would need to admit that he advertised and performed household goods moves within Washington state without a household goods permit and must agree to take down all advertisements that offered to conduct moves and no longer offer or perform moves until he received the required permit from the commission.

Mychal at first agreed to this and stated that he would take down all ads that he could but would be unable to take down some ads like Yelp ads because he had no control over that.

I did explain that because he had been before the commission before and had violated the previous cease and desist, we had forwarded the recommended penalty of $\$ 10,000$ per violation, one for advertising and performing moves and one for offering to conduct the move, totaling a $\$ 20,000$ penalty, but that we were willing to lower that recommendation to $\$ 10,000$ with $\$ 9,000$ suspended for 2 years under the condition he remove all advertisements, cease performing moves, leaving a total of $\$ 1,000$ due.

Mychal then asked me when he had appeared at a classification hearing before, and I told him that on October 17th, 2012 he had come before the commission under the business name Mychal's Movers. He then stated that

1 was over 10 years ago, and that we should just drop the penalty to $\$ 0$. I informed Mychal that we could not do that.

As I stated, Bridgit Feeser was on the call, and she then explained to him that he had been before the commission before for operating as a household goods carrier without a permit and that the laws and rules had not changed, so he should have been aware that he still cannot perform moves without the required permission issued, household goods permit.

At that point Michael appeared to become upset and stated that he would not pay -- he could not pay the $\$ 1,000$ penalty and that we should make the penalty amount \$0. And then he stated it wasn't his business, it was his cousin's business, and we needed to go after him.

At that point it does appear that he disconnected the call. He did not call back.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DOYLE: Thank you. And to confirm, the company has never applied for a permit either --

INVESTIGATOR EWANIKA: No. Sorry.
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DOYLE: -- with the commission?

INVESTIGATOR EWANIKA: No, judge.
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DOYLE: No? Okay. With that

1 testimony, I also wanted to just admit into the record
2 your previously submitted declaration as JE-1 and the
3 declaration attachments as also to be admitted into the
4 record as JE-2.

11 for the wait earlier. Thank so much.
12
13
14
15
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19 other business that we need to take care of today?

INVESTIGATOR EWANIKA: No, judge. Thanks. much. Thanks, everyone, for your time, and I apologize
[This matter concluded at 2:55 p.m.]

And with that, $I$ will grant the motion for default and issue an order reflecting that decision. Is there any

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DOYLE: Great. Thank you so
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