

1
2
3
4
5
6 **BEFORE THE WASHINGTON STATE**
7 **UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

8 In the Matter of the Petition of:

9 TENINO TELEPHONE COMPANY

10 For Minimal Regulation of Bundled
11 Telecommunications Services

DOCKET NO. UT-090910

PETITION FOR AMENDMENT OF ORDER 01
REGARDING ANNUAL OFFICER
CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

12
13 On June 15, 2009, Tenino Telephone Company ("Tenino") petitioned for authority for minimal
14 regulation of packages or bundles of telecommunications services under RCW 80.36.332.

15
16 RCW 80.36.332 states that the Commission shall grant such a Petition where:

- 17 (1) Each noncompetitive service in the packages or bundle is readily available to customers at
18 fair, just and reasonable prices;
- 19 (2) The price of the package or bundle is equal to or greater than the cost for the tariffed
20 services plus the cost of any competitive services that is under RCW 80.36.330(3); and
- 21 (3) The availability and price of the stand-alone noncompetitive services are displayed in the
22 company's tariff or on its web site consistent with Commission rules. It should be noted that RCW
23 80.36.330(3) requires prices and rates for competitively classified services to cover their costs.
- 24
25
26

PETITION FOR AMENDMENT OF ORDER 01
REGARDING ANNUAL OFFICER
CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS - 1

Law Office of
Richard A. Finnigan
2112 Black Lake Blvd. SW
Olympia, WA 98512
(360) 956-7001

1 Order 01 in this Docket granted Tenino's Petition on August 13, 2009. Order 01 requires Tenino to
2 annually certify compliance with the Order and RCW 80.36.332.

3
4 Certification forms are provided by Commission Staff to each affected company requesting such
5 certification. When the form is signed, it is filed with the Records Center referencing Docket UT-
6 090910.

7
8 In discussions with Commission Staff, Tenino has learned that Staff believes it has sufficient means
9 to ensure compliance with RCW 80.36.332 without the annual recertification. Those tools include
10 audits and the review of tariffs.

11
12 The telecommunications landscape has changed significantly since 2007. Companies have incurred
13 loss of access lines from competition. In addition, companies are facing earnings pressure. These
14 factors minimize the likelihood of selling bundles below cost. The value of the minimal regulation
15 for bundles is that the minimal regulation permits companies to react to market pressures and offer
16 competitively priced bundled telecommunications services.

17
18 The elimination of the annual certification requirement would not affect any of the other
19 requirements in Order 01. Tenino would remain subject to and would continue to comply
20 with RCW 80.36.332 and all of the remaining requirements of Order 01.

21
22 Under RCW 80.36.332(3), the Commission has authority to waive any regulatory requirement with
23 respect to packages or bundles of telecommunications services if it finds that requirement is no
24 longer necessary to protect the public interest. Pursuant to RCW 80.04.210 and under WAC 480-
25

26
PETITION FOR AMENDMENT OF ORDER 01
REGARDING ANNUAL OFFICER
CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS - 2

Law Office of
Richard A. Finnigan
2112 Black Lake Blvd. SW
Olympia, WA 98512
(360) 956-7001

1 07-875, the Commission has authority to amend any of its orders. Under that statute and rule,
2 notice to the public service company is required, along with the opportunity for hearing. Since
3 Tenino is the only party to the docket, Tenino requests that this Petition be deemed to be notice
4 under the statute and rule. Further, Tenino waives the opportunity for hearing under RCW
5 80.04.210 and WAC 480-07-875. Nor does there appear to be a need to reopen the record in this
6 docket.¹ The certification requirement was imposed as a cautionary requirement and can be
7 removed if the Commission finds the requirement is no longer necessary to protect the public
8 interest.

9
10 Tenino respectfully requests that the Commission find that annual certification is no longer required
11 to protect the public interest and, further, that the Commission amend Order 01 to eliminate the
12 requirement that Tenino provides annual certification.

13
14 Respectfully submitted this 25th day of February, 2014.

15
16 
17 _____
18 RICHARD A. FINNIGAN, WSB #6443
19 Attorney for Tenino Telephone Company

20
21
22
23
24
25 ¹ If the Commission believes the record needs to be reopened, Tenino has no objection.