EXH. MFH-5 DOCKETS UE-19\_\_/UG-19\_\_ 2019 PSE GENERAL RATE CASE WITNESS: MARGARET F. HOPKINS

# BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

v.

Docket UE-19\_\_\_\_ Docket UG-19\_\_\_\_

PUGET SOUND ENERGY,

**Respondent.** 

# FOURTH EXHIBIT (NONCONFIDENTIAL) TO THE PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

**MARGARET F. HOPKINS** 

**ON BEHALF OF PUGET SOUND ENERGY** 

**JUNE 20, 2019** 

### Energy Management System (EMS) Upgrade Corporate Spending Authorization (CSA) Application Request

### **Getting started:**

To track changes between original CSA and Phase/Gate updates, please use a standard file naming convention for your CSA, e.g. CSA\_ProjectName\_YYYYMMDD\_PhaseGateName\_v#.

The sections provided expand / are not limited to one row. Ensure you are providing adequate information and backup documentation to support your business case. If a section or item is not applicable, enter N/A; if unknown, enter TBD. The gray fields are provided as prompts; do not leave these fields unaddressed.

Date Submitted:	9/11/2018			
Officer Sponsor:	David Mills [Director : Shaun Tran]			
Completed By:	Rich Larson/George Hendrickson//Bob Caplan/Camilla Nguyen			
Phase Gate:	Execution			

## I. Project Overview

You may copy/paste this section from the Initiation Proposal form. Be sure to update each section as applicable, noting any changes from the previous request/Gate.

Problem Statement:	The Energy Management System (EMS) is a collection of software applications that is used at PSE for the following critical functions:
	- Monitor and control PSE's power system in real-time; this includes the transmission system (high voltage), part of the distribution system, and the generating units.
	- Balance PSE 's generation and power interchange to meet its customers load.
	- Provide overall situational awareness of PSE's power System and its neighboring Balancing Authorities.
	Upgrading the EMS system is needed to mitigate the overall obsolescence of the system – in particular:
	- Obsolescence of the EMS software: since June 2015, PSE's EMS software has entered into its "legacy phase" support with its vendor GE Grid. In this phase, the EMS software has limited support (no OS security patch validation, no Product security patches, and no bug correction). Also, PSE's EMS software is not compatible with Microsoft's latest Operating System.
	- Anticipated end of support of the EMS's Operating System: the EMS servers' operating system (Windows Server 2008 R2 Enterprise (SP1)) will become obsolete on 1/14/2020 (this is the date when the extended support end). After this date, there is no more support from Microsoft for this operating system.
	- Aging EMS's Hardware: The hardware is the component where obsolescence is the most critical. Indeed, unlike software, when hardware becomes obsolete, the likelihood of a hardware failure increases sharply. As a rule of thumb, it is recommended to replace hardware equipment after 5 years. The EMS servers were purchased on Q1 2011 – so, Q1 2016 should be the time when it is recommended to replace the EMS servers. Keeping the current EMS hardware beyond its obsolescence date (Q1 2016) would represent an

increased risk to the reliability of the EMS system because of the likelihood of hardware failure.

The EMS upgrade is full Hardware and Software Re-platform of the EMS System. In addition to upgrading the EMS system to stay current with hardware and software Technology, PSE will receive technology improvements by virtue of more current software that will increase the operator effectiveness through better Situational Awareness.

This upgrade will target the EMS software version "e-terraplatform 3.1" (on Windows Server 2012 R2).

"e-terraplatform 3.1" is the NOT latest available version of the EMS software from its vendor (GE GRID) (the latest version is "e-terraplatform 3.2") – during the planning and design phases, it was decided to upgrade the EMS system to that version because:

- PSE IT Infrastructure readiness : "e-terraplatform 3.2" is only supported on Windows Server 2016 and PSE IT infrastructure is not ready to support servers based on that operating system;
- Lower project risks : e-terraplatform 3.2 has not been deployed to any utility yet (it was only released in July 2017); being one of the first utility to deploy this latest version would add to the project risks (increased likelyhood of unidentified software bugs);Meeting datacenter decommission deadline: PSE is implementing two new datacenters in 2018 and decommissioning its existing datacenters in 2019. It was decided that, instead of moving the current EMS system to the new datacenters and upgrading it shortafter, the EMS would be upgraded and deployed in the new datacenters, avoiding the risks and cost of a move. As a consequence, the EMS upgrade must be completed prior to the decommission of the existing datacenters; upgrading to "e-terraplatform 3.1" within that tight deadline is a much more achievable target than upgrading to "e-terraplatform 3.2".

The planning and design phase has been completed in 2017 (funding of \$1.7m for this phase was earmarked out of the IT Operations Budget). The execution phase will start in Q1 2018 and is expected to be completed before the end of 2018. There is a likelihood that implementation will be during Storm Season 2018. This will be mitigated by virtue of the existing system being in full operation at the time of cutover and available to fall back. Also, timing of the implementation can be carefully managed to avoid any uncooperative weather.

Future Vision:	This Upgrade will establish EMS on current Hardware, Operating Systems and Software versions to retain ongoing support from GE Grid and Microsoft. In addition, the move to the new Data Center configurations will improve overall Disaster resiliency.		
Proposed Solution:	Engage Contractor Resources from GE Grid to team with PSE to complete the upgrade and install into the new Data Centers.		
Alternatives Evaluated:	The alternative to upgrade directly to "e-terraplatform 3.2" (without an intermediary upgrade to "e-terraplatform 3.1") was evaluated and deemed too		

	risky to fit in the project timeline (the EMS system needs to be upgraded in the new datacenters before the decommissioning of the existing datacenters).			
Primary ISP Alignment:	Processes & Tools			
Type of Project:	Risk Mitigation			
OCM Considerations:	Impacted Users (Internal):			
	$\boxtimes < 100$ $\square < 500$ $\square > 500$			
	Impacted Customers (External):			
	$\boxtimes$ None $\square < 100$ K Electric or $< 1$ K Gas $\square > 100$ K Electric or $> 1$ K Gas			
	Internal Organizational Impact:			
	$\Box$ 1 Dept or less $\Box$ 2-5 Dept $\Box$ > 5 Dept / Business Platform / Enterprise			
Project Complexity &	$\Box$ Straightforward, well understood $\Box < 6$ months			
Duration:	$\boxtimes$ Complex and well understood $\square < 12$ months			
	$\Box Complex and not well articulated \qquad \boxtimes > 12 months$			

## II. Phase Gate Change Summary

Description of changes, including reasons and justification since the last submission / Phase Gate.

**Scope:** Update 4/20/2018: Add in scope the configuration, testing and deployment of the following new EMS functionalities/enhancements:

Application Area	Feature	Business Value/Motivation		
These items d	lo <b>not</b> require the 2019 budget a	nd schedule updates noted below.		
NETWORK	Dynamic Ratings implementation	Replace custom and provide tie-line capabilities		
NETWORK	State Estimator Statistics	Compliance requirement, effective soon.		
DISPLAY	Legacy display cleanup - overlapping tags, potential human performance impact	Potential issues being revealed by like-for- like migration before September go-live		
SCADA	SUSPECT quality shown for stale data on SCADA one- line displays	Better situational awareness		
RTGEN	Enhanced Gross/Net/Aux Processing	Important improvement		
NETWORK	State Estimator replacement values on SCADA one-line displays	Better situational awareness		
OKNET	Enhancements to OKNET	Utilize new 3.1 features		
FE	Migrate IP-SCADA SMP servers to new DCs	Required before Bellevue EST02 datacenter decommission		
These additio	nal items require the 2019 budg	et and schedule updates noted below.		
SCADA	LO security check switching enhancement	Avoid destructive switching mistakes		
SCADA	RAS Enhancements			
SCADA	Telemetry Spike Filtering			
NETWORK	Multiple Time Point Network Analysis			
NETWORK	Line Outage Distribution Factor (LODF) to backup CA			

**Budget:** 

**Update 9/11/2018:** Giving back funds to reflect reduced contingency based on Phase change to Execution. Additional funds from reduced forecast to cover actual expense from 2017. Those changes are summarized in the table below:

Category:	2017	2018	2019	TOTAL
Original Capital Allocation	\$1,700,000	\$9,500,000	\$500,000	11,700,000
Current Capital Allocation	\$1,700,000	\$9,500,000	\$1,200,000	12,400,000
New Capital Allocation	\$2,163,446	\$8,427,638	\$1,200,000	\$11,791,084
Delta [Current – New)	+\$463,446	-\$1,072,362	\$0	-\$608,916

Total cost for the additional scope is <b>\$1,329,000</b> .
Some of this cost is covered by 2018 project surplus.
Details related to the cost of the additional scope is summarized in this document : <u>Additional Scope - Cost Details</u>
Update 4/20/2018:
To achieve the implementation of the above functionalities/enhancements, the project timeline would need to be extended from Q1 2019 to the end of Q2 2019 (June 30 <sup>th</sup> 2019).
None

## III. Key Schedule and Financial Information

You may copy/paste this section from the Initiation Proposal form. Be sure to update each section as applicable.

Proposed Budget Year(s):	2018-2019
Expected In-Service Date:	12/15/2018
-	
Initial Estimate:	Capital: \$11,700,000
	Project O&M: \$125,000

#### **Cost Estimate Maturity Score:**

To determine the Estimate Maturity Score for the project, review the guidelines and complete/update the Project Cost Estimate Classifications Document here: <u>http://pseweb/Organizations/ProjMgt/EnterprisePM/Pages/Cost-</u> <u>Estimates.aspx</u>. Include a link to, or embedded copy of, the project's completed/updated document for reference.

Score: Class 4 - Concept Evaluation / Preliminary Budget

Cost Estimation Classification Document: <u>link</u>

#### **Updated Estimate for Total Project Cost:**

Cost Type	Capital	Project O&M	Total
Cost (without contingency)	\$8,775,000	\$100,000	\$8,875,000
Add Contingency	\$2,925,000	\$25,000	\$2,950,000
Total Cost with Contingency	\$11,700,000	\$125,000	\$11,825,000
TOTAL ANNUAL CASH BENEFITS	\$0.0	IF APPLICABLE	
PAYBACK IN YEARS (Total Cost divided by Annual Cash Benefits)		IF APPLICABLE	

## **Estimated Five Year Allocation:**

#### **Original:**

Category:	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Capital (incl. contingency)	\$1,700,000	\$9,500,000	\$500,000	\$0.0	\$0.0
Project O&M	\$0	\$125,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Cash O&M Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

#### Update (4/20/2018):

Category:	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Capital (incl. contingency)	\$1,700,000	\$9,500,000	<mark>\$1,200,000</mark>	\$0.0	\$0.0
Project O&M	\$0	\$125,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Cash O&M Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

## Update (09/11/2018):

Category:	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Capital (incl. contingency)	\$2,163,446	\$8,427,638	\$1,200,000	\$0.0	\$0.0
Project O&M	\$0	\$125,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Cash O&M Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

#### Cash Benefits by Department: Add/remove rows, as applicable.

Department Name	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Total
Enter Department Name	\$0,000.00	\$0,000.00	\$0,000.00	\$0,000.00	\$0,000.00	\$-
Enter Department Name	\$0,000.00	\$0,000.00	\$0,000.00	\$0,000.00	\$0,000.00	\$ -

# Ongoing Annual O&M by Department: (e.g., maintenance, FTEs, cloud storage, etc.)

Add/remove rows, as applicable.

Category	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	5 Year Total
IT – Applications - EMS	\$0,000.00	\$0,000.00	\$0,000.00	\$0,000.00	\$0,000.00	

# Non-Cash Benefits / Future Cost Avoidance:

The risk of system unavailability due to aging hardware and software is reduced. The risk of failure to operate in a Disaster situation is reduced. Improved Operator Effectiveness.

Cash on Cash Single Payback:

# IV. Project Description and Objectives

**Project Description:** Upgrade the EMS system to a newer version of the EMS software hosted on new virtual machines (VM) based on a newer Operating System. This new EMS system will be located in the new Snoqualmie and Cascade datacenters (with the Scada Front-ends remaining in Bellevue and ESO).

ISP Alignment:		
ISP Objectives,	Strategy	Benefit Description
Mandatory and/or Corporate Risk	Abbreviated ISP strategy descriptions	<i>Benefit, measurement and/or scorecard affected</i>
Financial	<ul> <li>Five-Year Strategic Plan</li> <li>Maximize long-term value</li> <li>Grow core business</li> <li>Grow new business</li> </ul>	
Customer	<ul> <li>Execute the Customer Experience Intent Statement</li> <li>Recognition of PSE role in community</li> <li>Customer preparedness &amp; safety</li> <li>Ideal customer behaviors</li> <li>Listen &amp; dialogue with customers</li> </ul>	
Process and Tools	<ul> <li>Streamline processes to drive effectiveness and efficiency</li> <li>System reliability and integrity</li> <li>Safety and security of systems, information and assets</li> <li>Extract and leverage value from existing technology and assets</li> <li>Optimize product/service portfolio consistent with long-term strategy</li> </ul>	The project will: 1/ Mitigate the obsolescence of the EMS software by upgrading to the latest EMS software version available from GE Grid. This will provide PSE with software that is fully supported by its vendor (provide PSE with product patches (security and functional), OS security patch validation, support with latest Microsoft's operating system) 2/ Mitigate the anticipated end of support of the EMS's Operating System by upgrading to a supported Operating System. 3/ Mitigate hardware failure from current aging EMS's Hardware by simply eliminating physical servers and using virtual machines (VM).
People	<ul> <li>Develop/Retain best employees</li> <li>Ownership, innovation and continuous improvement</li> </ul>	
Safety	Educate and train employees on effective safety and wellness strategies	

#### **Project Objectives and Deliverables:** *Add/remove rows, as needed.*

Objective	Outcomes / Deliverables	KPIs – Describe; Indicated	KPI Data Sources
		Leading/Lagging	
Mitigate overall	EMS system upgraded:		
obsolescence of EMS	- New EMS software	Obsolescence Score :	Link (baseline)
system	version	- Baseline : 110	Link (Target)
	<ul> <li>New Operating System</li> </ul>	- Target : 10	
	- Based on Virtual		
	Machines		

# V. <u>Risk Management</u>

Identify anticipated risks associated with this project. Consider Federal, State, County, Local regulatory requirements, as well as contingencies, exit criteria and strategy. When the project risk register is created, utilize this section to identify critical/top risks and include a link to the risk register for further detail. Add/remove rows as necessary.

Risk	Likelihood	Impact of Occurrence	How Monitored	Mitigation
Enter Risk 1.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.		

#### **Risk Register:**

# VI. High Level Schedule

# **Original:**

Line	Liferulae Dhace	Ctart	Finish		Finich		2017			20	18			2019	
#	Lijecyice Phase	Start	FINISN	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	
1	Initiation	1/2/2017	4/28/2017												
2	Planning	5/1/2017	7/14/2017												
3	Design	7/17/2017	10/31/2017												
4	Execution	11/1/2017	11/27/2018												
5	Close-out	11/30/2018	2/1/2019												

## Update (4/20/2018):

Line		Chart	Finish		20	17			20	18			2019	
#	Lijecylce Phase	Start	FINISN	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3
1	Initiation	1/2/2017	4/28/2017											
2	Planning	5/1/2017	7/14/2017											
3	Design	7/17/2017	10/31/2017											
4	Execution	11/1/2017	6/14/2019											
5	Close-out	6/17/2019	6/28/2019											

Update (9/11/2018):

Line	Lifeculce Phase	Start	Finish		20	17			20	018			2019	
#	Lijecyle Pluse	Start	T IIIISII	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3
1	Initiation	1/2/2017	4/28/2017											
2	Planning	5/1/2017	1/10/2018											
3	Design	1/11/2018	9/11/2018											
4	Execution	9/12/2018	6/14/2019											
5	Close-out	6/17/2019	6/28/2019											

# VII. <u>Supporting Documentation</u>

*Options:* Insert hyperlink to the documents or embed a copy of a document in the sections below. If you embed a document, remove placeholder rows provided. If you choose to provide hyperlinks, ensure access to the referenced location is setup/provided in advance. Add/remove additional document rows, as needed.

Cost Estimating and Budget:	Link
<b>Business Needs and Alternatives:</b>	Link
<b>Benefits Realization Plan:</b>	Link
Project Audit Checklist:	Link
OCM Sizing Worksheet:	Link

<b>VIII.</b> <u>Oliginal CSA Approvais.</u> Add/remove rows as applicable	VIII. <u>(</u>	Original	CSA Ap	provals:	Add/remove	rows as	applicable
---	----------------	----------	--------	----------	------------	---------	------------

I. Prepared By	Title	Role	Date	Signature
Loic Roger	Business Analyst	contributor	12/12/2017	
	BTS			Loic Rober

Approved By	Title	Role	Date	Signature
Brian Fellon	Dir. IT Applications	Director sponsor	6/6/2018	RE Requesting approval for updated (
Shauna Tran	Dir. Load Serving Operations	Director sponsor	5/30/2018	RE Requesting approval for updated (
David Mills	Sr VP Policy & Energy Supply	Officer sponsor	5/30/2018	RE Requesting approval for updated (

Acknowledgements	Title	Role	Date	Signature
Evan Sorrell	Mgr. Load Office	Benefit Owner*	5/30/2018	RE Requesting benefit owner acknowledgme
George Hendrickson	Mgr. Energy Mgmt Systems	IT	5/22/2018	RE Requesting IT acknowledgment for u
Kalyana Kakani	IT BTSM	IT	5/30/2018	RE EMS upgrade CSA Approval decision log

\*Benefit Owners must be added to the Approved By section during Execution Phase/Gate.