

**CABLE HUSTON BENEDICT HAAGENSEN & LLOYD LLP**

**ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
SUITE 2000  
1001 SW FIFTH AVENUE  
PORTLAND, OREGON 97204-1136**

---

**TELEPHONE (503) 224-3092  
FACSIMILE (503) 224-3176**

EDWARD A. FINKLEA  
email address: efinklea@chbh.com

May 4, 2004

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Carole J. Washburn, Executive Secretary  
Washington Utilities and Transportation  
Commission  
1300 S Evergreen Park Drive SW  
PO Box 47250  
Olympia, WA 98504-7250

Re: NWIGU Comments in Docket No. UG-011073 (Gas Companies-Safety Rulemaking,  
Chapter 480-93)

Dear Ms. Washburn:

In response to the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission's ("WUTC's" or "Commission's") Notice of Opportunity to File Written Comments on the economic impact of draft gas safety rules in the above referenced docket, the Northwest Industrial Gas Users ("NWIGU") submit the following comments. NWIGU appreciates the opportunity to submit comments in this rulemaking proceeding to revise WAC Chapter 480-93 governing safety regulation of gas companies. NWIGU has appreciated the opportunity to participate in this rulemaking proceeding through the workshops and other informal proceedings conducted by the WUTC Staff leading up to the formal rulemaking proceeding.

NWIGU's primary concern in this rulemaking is how the WUTC gas safety rules apply to gas pipes at industrial facilities that are on the customer's side of the local distribution company's (LDC's) meter and thus internal to the customer's plant or facilities. Attached to this letter are Comments NWIGU jointly submitted with The Weyerhaeuser Company when this jurisdictional issue was raised by the WUTC Staff early in the informal phase of this rulemaking proceeding. As articulated in detail in the Comments, it is NWIGU's legal position that the WUTC lacks safety jurisdiction over gas piping that is behind an LDC's meter, unless the customer is operating a true master meter system through which the gas is resold to ultimate consumers by the operator of the master meter system.


Ms. Washburn  
May 4, 2004  
Page 2

---

NWIGU applauds the WUTC Staff for properly concluding that WUTC safety jurisdiction ends when the LDC delivers the gas to the customer at the meter. NWIGU urges the Commission to adopt the jurisdictional bright line advanced by the WUTC Staff through the proposed revision to the applicability section of WAC 480-93. NWIGU urges the Commission to adopt the proposed new provision WAC 480-93-007 (2), which clearly states that this chapter “does not apply to customer-owned facilities, where the customer is the end user, and the customer-owned facilities are on the customer’s side of the distribution meter....” It is important for the Commission to clarify that its safety jurisdiction ends at the LDC’s meter unless the customer of the LDC is operating a true master meter system. Reliance on the definition of Master Meter set forth in 49 CFR §191.3 is a proper way to define master meter systems for purposes of applying the safety regulations to those particular gas piping systems. NWIGU recommends that the WUTC adopt both the proposed language for WAC 480-93-007 (2) and the 49 CFR §191.3 definition of a master meter system to govern WUTC safety regulation of such systems. Both revisions to WAC 480-93 will have a positive impact on business in Washington by eliminating an uncertainty that has existed for several years regarding the scope of WUTC’s safety jurisdiction.

NWIGU looks forward to continuing to play a role in this rulemaking proceeding and would be happy to provide additional input in later phases of this process. If you have any questions on these comments, please do not hesitate to call Paula Pyron, Executive Director of NWIGU at (503) 636-2580 or Ed Finklea at (503) 224-3092.

Respectfully submitted,



Edward A. Finklea  
Cable Huston Benedict  
Haagensen & Lloyd  
Of attorneys for the  
Northwest Industrial Gas Users

**BEFORE THE**  
**WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

Gas Companies – Safety Rulemaking,                    )   Docket No.   UG-011073  
Chapter 480-93 WAC    )  
  )  
  )  
  )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

COMMENTS OF THE NORTHWEST INDUSTRIAL GAS USERS AND THE  
WEYERHAEUSER COMPANY REGARDING POSSIBLE REGULATION OF  
CUSTOMER-OWNED PIPING BEHIND THE DISTRIBUTION METER

**INTRODUCTION**

The Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission’s (“WUTC’s” or “Commission’s”) Staff has requested comments regarding the scope of the Commission’s jurisdiction over customer-owned natural gas piping located behind the distributor’s meter.<sup>1</sup> Commission Staff has in the past raised the issue of whether the Commission’s safety jurisdiction extends to facilities beyond the distributor’s meter.<sup>2</sup> However, the only regulation of natural gas piping facilities located behind the distributor’s meter that exist today or should apply upon conclusion of this rulemaking are to those operating a legitimate “master meter system.” WAC 480-93-005(13). In response to the questions raised at the rulemaking workshop on February 25, 2003, the Northwest Industrial Gas

---

<sup>1</sup> In these comments we are not addressing regulation of piping systems that directly connect an end user’s facilities to an interstate pipeline. Safety regulations of direct connect pipes are identical to the regulations applicable to a local distribution company’s (“LDC’s”) distribution lines, which are both subject to WUTC safety jurisdiction. The direct connect customer’s internal piping, however, remains outside the WUTC’s jurisdiction.

<sup>2</sup> In October 1999, as part of rulemaking docket Nos. UE-990473 and UG-990294, Commission Staff circulated a draft proposal to change WAC 480-90-046 to provide that “A customer will not master meter or transport gas to more than one building without prior written approval by the Commission.” NWIGU objected at that time to the proposal on jurisdictional grounds and because the terms were confusing and imprecise. The proposal was not pursued further.

Users (“NWIGU”) and Weyerhaeuser Company (“Weyerhaeuser”) submit the following comments to help clarify that a jurisdictional bright line exists under RCW 80.28.210. The WUTC’s safety jurisdiction under RCW 80.28.210 ends when the transportation of gas ceases. The transportation of gas ceases when the gas is delivered to the customer by the distributor at the meter, unless the customer is operating a master meter system, in which gas is then distributed to other customers. Customer-owned piping systems behind the distributor’s meter, where there is no distribution to other customers, does not constitute a “master meter” arrangement that triggers regulation under RCW 80.28.210 or WAC 480-93-005(13) because there is no further “transportation” of gas. Thus, there is no jurisdictional basis for imposing the safety regulations traditionally applied to intrastate natural gas pipeline operators, i.e., LDCs and direct connect intrastate pipelines,<sup>3</sup> on those that merely own or operate customer-owned piping downstream of the meter. This interpretation is consistent with federal pipeline safety laws. See *Infra* A (3) at page 8.

NWIGU and Weyerhaeuser appreciate the opportunity to explain the basis for our legal conclusions. We also offer suggestions on ways to address safety concerns consistent with the WUTC’s statutory authority and without duplicating existing regulation through building codes that apply to internal piping at an industrial facility or complex.

---

<sup>3</sup> WUTC safety regulation of direct connect pipelines applies from the gate station where the interstate pipeline connects with the customer-owned transport lines to the point where the gas enters the internal piping system of the customer. The internal piping of a direct connect customer is not “transporting” gas and thus is not subject to WUTC jurisdiction under RCW 80.28.210 for the same reasons that apply to customers taking service from an LDC.

## DISCUSSION

### A. **Safety Regulation Over the Transportation of Gas Under RCW 80.28.210 Ends at the Distributor's Meter.**

In earlier informal proceedings, WUTC Staff questioned whether Washington's safety jurisdiction extends beyond the distributor's meter.<sup>4</sup> The language of RCW 80.28.210, coupled with the common usage of these terms in the natural gas industry, does not support extending WUTC safety jurisdiction beyond the meter, unless the customer then distributes gas to other customers.

It should be recognized that the primary objective of statutory construction is to carry out the intent of the legislature. Anderson v. O'Brien, 84 Wn.2d 64, 67; 524 P.2d 390 (1974) *quoting* Amburn v. Daly, 81 Wn.2d 241; 501 P.2d 178 (1972). When interpreting a statute, a court does not construe a statute that is unambiguous. The term "transporting" natural gas, using the proper technical context, is unambiguous. Transportation ends when a distributor delivers the gas. A court is not allowed to interpret what has no need of interpretation. See Shelton Hotel Co., Inc. v. Bates, 4 Wn.2d 498; 104 P.2d 478 (1940) *quoting* Black on Interpretation of Laws (2d ed) 45, 48, 19, 53.

Principles of statutory construction also instruct a court to avoid a literal reading if it would result in unlikely, absurd or strained consequences. State v. Elgin, 118 Wash. 2d 551; 555 825 P.2d 314 (1992). Interpreting "transporting" natural gas so as to extend the jurisdiction of the WUTC after the distributor has delivered the gas, would result in

---

<sup>4</sup> See footnote 2

unlikely, absurd and strained consequences. Such a reading would logically require the WUTC to regulate gas piping in residential backyards that lead to hot tubs or swimming pools. It is unlikely this was ever the intent of the statute.

The process of interpreting and applying a statute must begin with the assumption that the purpose and meaning of the legislature are correctly and definitely expressed by the language employed in the act; and the intention of the law-making body is first of all to be sought in the words of the statute, taking them in their natural and ordinary sense—words of common use in the commonly accepted signification and technical terms in the proper technical sense....” See Shelton Hotel Company, Inc v. Jack E. Bates, 4 Wn.2d 498; 104 P.2d 478 (1940)(emphasis added) *quoting* Black on Interpretation of Laws (2d ed) 45, 48, 19, 53. The technical and common usage of the term “transporting” natural gas does not support a reading of the statute that would extend the WUTC’s jurisdiction beyond the distributor’s delivery point.

If the Commission determines that the intent is not clear from the language of the statute, the Commission may resort to statutory construction. Cherry v. Municipality of Metropolitan Seattle, 116 Wn.2d 794; 808 P.2d 746 (1991); See also H.O. Meyer Drilling Co. v. Alton v. Phillips Co., 2 Wn. App. 600, 605; 468 P.2d 1008 (1970), *aff’d* 79 Wn.2d 431; 486 P.2d 1071 (1971). Looking beyond the language of the statute and resorting to extrinsic aids is permissible.<sup>5</sup> See Paulson v. County of Pierce, 99 Wn.2d 645, 650; 664 P.2d 1212 (1983).

The current disagreement over the meaning of the terms “transporting natural gas” has only recently arisen, over 40 years *after* the passage of RCW 80.28.210. There

---

<sup>5</sup> Legislative history is not available at the Washington State Archives for legislation passed prior to 1970. RCW 80.28.210 was originally passed in 1955, with amendments in 1961 and 1969.

is no evidence that the Commission ever considered interpreting the term “transporting” natural gas to apply to customer-owned piping systems behind a distributor’s meter. It is also beyond dispute that such piping systems have existed in Washington from the time natural gas was first brought to the state of Washington in the 1950’s. The fact that approximately 40 years passed before anyone suggested that natural gas is still being transported after it has been delivered through the meter, strongly supports the conclusion that the term “transporting” natural gas was never intended to apply to movement of the gas in customers’ lines. There is no reason to reinterpret the words now, since they have been given their ordinary meaning for over 40 years.

Federal safety regulation over the transportation of gas is an extrinsic aid that can provide contextual clues to the legislature’s intent. Federal safety regulation supports a reading of the statute that the transportation ends when the customer receives the gas from the distributor at the meter. See *Infra* A(3) at page 8. NWIGU and Weyerhaeuser urge the WUTC to clarify through this rulemaking that the end point of the WUTC’s safety regulation over natural gas piping is when the gas is delivered to the meter.

**1. The Language of RCW 80.28.210 and Context Provided by Industry Specific Terms Supports a Conclusion that Transportation Ends at the Meter.**

The WUTC’s safety jurisdiction is triggered by the “transporting” of natural gas. The jurisdictional question thus posed under the statute is: Does the transportation of gas cease once it is delivered to the customer through the distributor or the customer’s meter, or does the gas continue being “transported” by the customer until it is burned?

RCW 80.28.210 provides:

Every person or corporation transporting natural gas by pipeline, or having for one or more of its principal purposes

the construction, maintenance or operation of pipelines for transporting natural gas, in this state, even though such person or corporation not be a public service company under chapter 80.28, and even though such person or corporation does not deliver, sell or furnish any such gas to any person or corporation within this state, shall be subject to regulation by the utilities and transportation commission insofar as the construction and operation of such facilities shall affect matters of public safety, and every such company shall construct and maintain such facilities as will be safe and efficient. (Emphasis added)

The language of the statute, coupled with the common usage of industry specific terms, supports the conclusion that gas is not being “transported” after it is delivered to the customer. Since delivery takes place at the point where the gas has passed through the meter and has entered the customer line, the Commission’s jurisdiction under RCW 80.28.210 extends to the point of delivery, but not beyond. When the gas reaches the customer’s piping, having first passed through the distributor’s meter, the transportation of the gas has ceased.<sup>6</sup>

In the natural gas industry, “transport” is synonymous with the “transmission” of gas and is considered a separate stage in natural gas service, different from distribution or end use. For example, the Natural Gas Information and Educational Resources website<sup>7</sup> contains a link which describes the natural gas industry from exploration to end use. The

---

<sup>6</sup> If the customer were to transport the gas off its property, there is a legitimate question of whether the gas has then begun a new transportation path and is legally then being “transported.” For purposes of these comments, NWIGU and Weyerhaeuser are assuming that once the distributor delivers the gas, the gas stays on the property of the customer in pipes owned or operated by the customer. If the customer is a tenant, the property owner may actually own the pipes.

<sup>7</sup> The Natural Gas Information and Educational Resources website ([www.naturalgas.org](http://www.naturalgas.org)), which debuted in early October 1996, is the result of an industry-wide collaborative effort sponsored by the Natural Gas Supply Association (“NGSA”), the Independent Petroleum Association of America (“IPAA”), and the National Ocean Industries Association (“NOIA”) with contributions made by the International Center for Gas Technology Information (“ICGTI”) and other gas industry associations. Designed to educate the general public on natural gas without company-specific overtones, the site consolidates general information on the US gas industry for use by students, teacher, journalists, legislators, foreign gas industry leaders and others.



website refers to the “transport” and “transmission” of gas interchangeably. The website identifies seven separate stages in the provision of natural gas including: exploration, extraction, production, transport, storage, distribution and end use. The “transport” section discusses the “transmission of gas,” noting that “after raw gas from the wellhead is processed, it is moved into a pipeline system for transportation to an area where it will be sold. A pipeline company is a totally separate company from a producer or a distributor....” The site defines “distribution” as the “delivery of natural gas from an interstate pipeline to local customer” as performed by LDCs. Thus, industry terms do not support a reading that the transportation of gas extends behind the meter.

The regulation of interstate natural gas transportation under the Natural Gas Act, 15 U.S.C. 717 *et seq.*, and federal courts expounding upon the distinctions between interstate and intrastate natural gas regulation confirm the industry demarcation between transportation, distribution and consumptive use behind the meter. See, e.g., Cascade Natural Gas Corp. v. Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 955 F.2d 1412, 1420-21 (10<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1992)( Local distribution, as Congress viewed the term, involves two components: the retail sale of natural gas and its local delivery, normally through a network of branch lines designed to supply local customers).

**2. NWIGU’s and Weyerhaeuser’s Interpretation Is Consistent with Long-Standing Commission Policy.**

NWIGU’s and Weyerhaeuser’s interpretation of the scope of the WUTC’s jurisdiction under RCW 80.28.210 is consistent with the Commission’s own interpretation of its jurisdiction in the past. A letter sent on June 13, 1995 addressed to Ms. Deborah J. Martin, then Manager of Gas Engineering at the Washington Water Power Company from Steve McLellan, the Secretary to the Commission in 1995 stated:

It is also the Commission Staff's position that master meter audits of certain industrial customers are not necessary. This would be in cases where an industrial customer controls access to the area served by the gas (e.g., a chain link fence) and members of the general public are not allowed access. The intent is, as with prior enforcement activities, to ensure that public safety is not compromised. The Commission has focused its regulatory resources in the past on residential and commercial applications rather than industrial, because in our experience industrial operators have tended to be more familiar with the hazards of natural gas pipelines and more capable of maintenance and other means of preventing problems. We plan no change in this approach at present.

If the Commission had viewed piping beyond the distributor's meter at customer sites to constitute "transporting" gas, the WUTC could not have simply decided to ignore safety regulation of piping located on industrial sites as demonstrated by the 1995 letter.

Nothing has changed since 1995 in either Washington or federal law to require the WUTC to reinterpret its jurisdiction under RCW 80.28.210 to extend downstream of the meter. The Commission rightly concluded in 1995 that it is not required to regulate customer-owned piping and should confirm again in this docket that it is not so required or authorized.

### **3. Federal Jurisdiction Does Not Extend Past the Customer's Meter.**

Federal law is an extrinsic aid that the Commission may use to provide contextual clues as to the legislative intent of RCW 80.28.210. The Office of Pipeline Safety's regulations clarify that there is no safety jurisdiction under 49 USC § 60102 over customer-owned piping downstream of the meter. 49 USC § 60102(a)(2)(A) gives the Secretary of Transportation ("Secretary") the authority to prescribe minimum safety standards for "pipeline transportation and for pipeline facilities," which are to apply

specifically to owners and operators of pipeline facilities. As used in this section, “pipeline facility” means, among other things, a “gas pipeline facility” and “gas pipeline facility” includes “a pipeline, right of way, a facility, a building, or equipment used in transporting gas or treating gas during transportation.” 49 USC §§ 60101(a)(3),(a)(18). The term “pipeline transportation” means, “transporting gas and transporting hazardous liquid.” *Id.* § 60101(a)(19). The Code further specifies that “transporting gas” means “the gathering, transmission, or distribution of gas by pipeline, or the storage of gas, in interstate or foreign commerce and the movement of gas through regulated gathering lines.” *Id.* § 60101(21)(A)(i)-(ii). Thus, the Secretary’s safety jurisdiction extends to gas pipelines that serve the gathering, transmission, or distribution functions in interstate or foreign commerce as articulated in this statute. The terms gathering, distribution and transmission are not further defined in this section.

The Secretary has promulgated its minimum federal safety standards in Title 49, part 192 of the Code of Federal Regulations. Specifically, that part “prescribes minimum safety requirements for pipeline facilities and the transportation of gas....” 49 CFR 192.1(a). The Secretary’s regulations do not further define “transportation of gas” (rather, the definition is consistent with the Code provision above) but they do describe the nature of the pipeline that serves the function of transporting, distributing and gathering, as described in the Code sections above. The relevant definitions in part 192 are as follows:

“Distribution Line means a pipeline other than a gathering or transmission line...

Gathering Line means a pipeline that transports gas from a current production facility to a transmission line or main....

Main means a distribution line that serves as a common source of supply for more than one service line....

Pipeline means all parts of those physical facilities through which gas moves in transportation, including pipe, valves, and other appurtenance attached to pipe, compressor units, metering stations, regulator stations, delivery stations, holders, and fabricated assemblies.

Pipeline facility means new and existing pipelines, rights-of-way, and any equipment, facility, or building used in the transportation of gas or in the treatment of gas during the course of transportation....

Service line means a distribution line that transports gas from a common source of supply to (1) a customer meter or the connection to a customer's piping, whichever is farther downstream, or (2) the connection to a customer's piping if there is no customer meter. A customer meter is the meter that measures the transfer of gas from an operator to a consumer.

Transmission line means a pipeline, other than a gathering line, that:

(a) Transports gas from a gathering line or storage facility to a distribution center, storage facility, or large volume customer that is not downstream from a distribution center;

(b) Operates at a hoop stress of 20 percent or more of SMYS; or

(c) Transports gas within a storage field. A large volume customer may receive similar volumes of gas as a distribution center, and includes factories, power plants, and institutional users of gas....”

See generally 49 CFR 192.3.

Federal regulations remove any ambiguity about the jurisdictional treatment of customer-owned gas pipes. 49 USC § 60101(21)(A) and 49 CFR 192.3 define a service line to be a type of distribution line. A service line serves the function of distribution,

and ceases to be a service line, and therefore ceases to serve the function of distribution, when the gas reaches “(1) a customer meter or the connection to a customer's piping, whichever is farther downstream, or (2) the connection to a customer's piping if there is no customer meter.” Id. While all other kinds of pipelines are specifically and narrowly defined in the context of these regulations, there is no similar definition for customer-owned piping downstream from the meter or connection to a service line, because federal law does not give the Secretary jurisdiction over such piping.

Other federal safety regulations are consistent with the bright line interpretation that jurisdiction ends at the meter. For example, the Secretary has promulgated a rule that requires operators of service lines to provide certain notifications to customers who in fact have piping downstream of a meter. 49 CFR 192.16 provides the following:

“(a) This section applies to each operator of a service line who does not maintain the customer's buried piping up to entry of the first building downstream, or, if the customer's buried piping does not enter a building, up to the principal gas utilization equipment or the first fence (or wall) that surrounds that equipment. For the purpose of this section, "customer's buried piping" does not include branch lines that serve yard lanterns, pool heaters, or other types of secondary equipment. Also, "maintain" means monitor for corrosion according to § 192.465 if the customer's buried piping is metallic, survey for leaks according to § 192.723, and if an unsafe condition is found, shut off the flow of gas, advise the customer of the need to repair the unsafe condition, or repair the unsafe condition.

(b) Each operator shall notify each customer once in writing of the following information:

(1) The operator does not maintain the customer's buried piping.

(2) If the customer's buried piping is not maintained, it may be subject to the potential hazards of corrosion and leakage.

(3) Buried gas piping should be --

(i) Periodically inspected for leaks;

(ii) Periodically inspected for corrosion if the piping is metallic; and

(iii) Repaired if any unsafe condition is discovered.

(4) When excavating near buried gas piping, the piping should be located in advance, and the excavation done by hand.

(5) The operator (if applicable), plumbing contractors, and heating contractors can assist in locating, inspecting, and repairing the customer's buried piping.

(c) Each operator shall notify each customer not later than August 14, 1996, or 90 days after the customer first receives gas at a particular location, whichever is later. However, operators of master meter systems may continuously post a general notice in a prominent location frequented by customers.

(d) Each operator must make the following records available for inspection by the Administrator or a State agency participating under 49 U.S.C. 60105 or 60106:

(1) A copy of the notice currently in use; and

(2) Evidence that notices have been sent to customers within the previous 3 years. (Emphasis added).

Thus, it is the pipeline operator's job to notify a customer of the safety concerns regarding operating and maintaining the downstream piping. The customer has no regulatory obligations.

Federal regulations definitively state that federal pipeline safety does not apply to customer-owned lines behind the distributor's meter. Since the WUTC has been certified to administer the OPS programs, it must do so consistent with the federal regulations.

Under 49 USC § 60104(c) Washington “may adopt additional or more stringent safety standards for intrastate pipeline facilities and intrastate pipeline transportation only if those standards are compatible with the minimum standards prescribed under this chapter.” Since the OPS rules are clear that *it* has no jurisdiction to regulate behind the meter, a state regulatory agency that attempts to extend jurisdiction beyond the meter would be promulgating rules that are incompatible with federal regulation, and thus could become grounds for revoking Washington’s certification to administer the OPS program. The WUTC’s traditional practice of not regulating downstream of the meter, unless the customer maintains a master meter system, is consistent with federal regulation.

**B. Master Meter Regulation Does Not Include Industrial Facilities.**

There is no dispute that industrial customers that simply have gas piping that goes between buildings on an industrial site are not maintaining master meter systems. By definition, to be a master meter system the owner must engage in further “distribution to ultimate consumers other than the system operator’s immediate family through a gas distribution pipeline system.” WAC 480-93-005(13). NWIGU and Weyerhaeuser urge the Commission to clarify that industrial facilities with piping to multiple buildings are not master meter systems so long as the customer is not distributing the gas to other entities unrelated to the customer.

The master meter definition as articulated in the WAC is:

A pipeline system for distributing gas to more than one building within, but not limited to, a definable area, such as a mobile home park, housing project, or apartment complex, where the operator purchases metered gas from an outside source for distribution to ultimate consumers other than the system operator’s immediate family through a gas distribution pipeline system. WAC 480-93-005(13)

The master meter definition as articulated in 49 CFR 191.3 (3) is:

Master Meter System means a pipeline system for distributing gas within, but not limited to, a definable area, such as a mobile home park, housing project, or apartment complex, where the operator purchases metered gas from an outside source for resale through a gas distribution pipeline system. The gas distribution pipeline system supplies the ultimate consumer who either purchases the gas directly through a meter or by other means, such as by rents;

The current definition of master meter in the WAC is consistent with the federal version. Federal regulators have made no attempt to regulate industrial facilities as master meter facilities. Any attempt to include industrial facilities in the definition of master meter would make Washington and federal regulation inconsistent.

**C. Customer-Owned, Behind the Meter Natural Gas Piping Is Already Regulated by the Washington State Building Code Council.**

Regulating customer-owned piping behind the meter would duplicate and interfere with regulations already in place. Industries in Washington install, maintain and operate natural gas, behind the meter piping pursuant to Title 51 of the Washington Administrative Code (WAC). Title 51 adopts the Uniform Mechanical Code (UMC), including a specific chapter on “fuel piping,” with some particular revisions as codified in state law. Title 51 is administered and enforced by local building officials with oversight by the Washington State Building Code Council.

Title 51 directly regulates customer-owned, behind the meter piping by providing safety standards for the design, construction, installation, quality of materials, operation and maintenance of all “heat-producing appliances” including gas piping. Local building code officials in Washington municipalities are authorized to enforce all provisions of the code and have the powers of law enforcement officers to do so. Gas piping cannot be



installed, altered, repaired, replaced or remodeled without first obtaining a separate mechanical permit for each separate building or effected structure. Building officials retain rights to conduct inspections to enforce provisions of this code.

Proper notification is therefore already in place not only when gas piping is installed but at all critical junctures. Since local building officials are empowered to enforce the safety of gas piping, they should remain the entities with whom private individuals interact. It would be inefficient and potentially confusing for individuals to notify the WUTC in addition to local building officials. Duplicative regulation always causes problems for both the regulator and the regulated. For example, assuming a hypothetically different set of laws, both the regulator and the regulated would incur unnecessary, additional expense. One entity should therefore regulate the safety of customer-owned, behind the meter gas piping. Since building officials must always be involved with gas piping related to new construction, local building officials and not the WUTC should continue to be charged with enforcing the safety of this piping.

**D. More Frequent Notice to Customers by Operators Would Be within the WUTC's Jurisdiction.**

NWIGU and Weyerhaeuser note that under current regulations, operators of facilities used to transport gas are required to notify customers every three years of the need to maintain their piping systems in a safe manner. Consistent with the federal program, the WUTC can be more stringent with an annual notice requirement that would be lawful.

**CONCLUSION**

The WUTC should clarify with this rulemaking that safety regulation pursuant to RCW 80.28.210 ends once the gas is delivered to the customers through the distributor's

meter. Furthermore, unless the customer resells the gas or remeters it in distribution to another customer, no master meter system is involved. We appreciate this opportunity to submit comments and look forward to continuing to work with the WUTC Staff and others to improve the safety regulations of the WUTC.

Dated: March 11, 2003

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Edward A. Finklea  
Edward A. Finklea  
Chad M. Stokes  
Energy Advocates LLP  
526 N.W. 18<sup>th</sup> Avenue  
Portland, OR 97209-2220  
Telephone: (503) 721-9118  
Facsimile: (503) 721-9121  
E-Mail: mail@energyadvocates.com

On Behalf of the Northwest Industrial  
Gas Users and Weyerhaeuser Company