EXH. SEF-12T DOCKETS UE-190529/UG-190530 2019 PSE GENERAL RATE CASE WITNESS: SUSAN E. FREE

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

v.

Docket UE-190529 Docket UG-190530

PUGET SOUND ENERGY,

Respondent.

PREFILED SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY (NONCONFIDENTIAL) OF

SUSAN E. FREE

ON BEHALF OF PUGET SOUND ENERGY

SEPTEMBER 17, 2019

PUGET SOUND ENERGY

PREFILED SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY (NONCONFIDENTIAL) OF SUSAN E. FREE

CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	SHUFFLETON SURPLUS PROPERTY SALE	2
III.	REGULATORY TREATMENT FOR POTENTIAL SALE OR TERMINATION OF WATER HEATER AND CONVERSION BURNER LEASING BUSINESS	5
IV.	CONCLUSION	6

PUGET SOUND ENERGY

PREFILED SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY (NONCONFIDENTIAL) OF SUSAN E. FREE

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exh. SEF-13	Updated Summary of Qualifications (updating Exh. SEF-2)
Exh. SEF-14	Electric Net Revenue Change Requested – Supplemental Filing (updating Exh. SEF-3E)
Exh. SEF-15	Electric Summary Pages and Detailed Summaries – Supplemental Filing (updating Exh. SEF-4E)
Exh. SEF-16	Electric Restating and Pro forma Adjustments – Supplemental Filing (only change is to Electric Adjustment SEF-16.20 EP) (updating Exh. SEF-6E)

PUGET SOUND ENERGY

PREFILED SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY (NONCONFIDENTIAL) OF SUSAN E. FREE

I. INTRODUCTION

- Q. Are you the same Susan E. Free who provided prefiled direct testimony in these dockets on behalf of Puget Sound Energy ("PSE")?
- A. Yes, I filed prefiled direct testimony and ten supporting exhibits (Exh. SEF-1T through Exh. SEF-11).
- Q. Have there been any changes to your qualifications since that time?
- A. Yes. When the filing was made, I was the Manager of Revenue Requirement for PSE. Since the original filing, I have assumed the role of Director of Revenue Requirement and Regulatory Compliance. I have provided an update to my professional qualifications in Exh. SEF-13.
- Q. What topics are you covering in your prefiled supplemental direct testimony?
- A. This prefiled supplemental direct testimony presents an update for the Shuffleton surplus property sale anticipated to be completed later this year. This update is allowed by the prehearing conference order, which is Order 03 in this docket. I will provide updates to my exhibits that are impacted as a result of these changes. I also address the regulatory treatment for the potential water heater and conversion burner leasing business.

J

II. SHUFFLETON SURPLUS PROPERTY SALE

Q. Please describe the Shuffleton surplus property sale.

A. As discussed in the Prefiled Supplemental Direct Testimony of Douglas S.

Loreen, Exh. DSL-8T, on November 27, 2018, PSE entered into a purchase and sale agreement to sell the surplus property surrounding its Shuffleton Electric Transmission Switching Station ("Shuffleton Surplus Property"). PSE obtained a Commission order in Docket UE-190606 determining that the Shuffleton Surplus Property is not necessary or useful property. Additionally, in that order, the Commission determined that PSE's proposed accounting treatment was reasonable and authorized its request to record the pre-tax gain on sale to FERC Account 254 (Other Regulatory Liabilities).

Q. Has the Shuffleton Surplus Property transaction closed?

- A. As discussed by Mr. Loreen, at the time of this filing, the Shuffleton Surplus

 Property transaction has not yet closed. The parties to the transaction have

 completed all required conditions; however, the transaction itself has not yet been

 finalized. It is expected to close in late September 2019. In the highly unlikely

 event that the transaction does not close, the adjustment that I have included in

 this supplemental testimony should be removed.
- Q. How is the Shuffleton Surplus Property sale reflected in your exhibits?
- A. Based on the negotiated sales price, the calculation of the estimated gain rounded to the nearest thousand dollars is set forth below:

Negotiated Sales Price for Shuffleton Surplus Property	\$13,500,000
Less: Net Book Value	550,000
Less: Estimated Seller's Costs	791,000
Estimated Pre-Tax Gain (Rounded)	\$12,159,000

The resulting gain will be recorded in FERC Account 254 (Other Regulatory Liabilities), consistent with the final order in Docket UE-190606. The above amounts are primarily actual amounts but include some estimates, such as the seller's costs. Therefore, these amounts can either be trued up to actuals on rebuttal or in PSE's next rate proceeding.

Q. Which of your exhibits are impacted by the inclusion of the Shuffleton Surplus Property gain?

A. In the initial filing on June 20, 2019, I presented the calculation of the net revenue requested for electric in Exh. SEF-3E. The summary of the test year plus restating and pro forma adjustments for electric was presented in Exh. SEF-4E, and a detail of each individual restating and pro forma adjustment for electric was presented in Exh. SEF-6E. The only adjustment that is impacted by the inclusion of the Shuffleton Surplus Property net gain is the deferred gains and losses adjustment, which was reflected in the exhibits to my prefiled direct testimony on page 20 of Exh. SEF-6E as adjustment 6.20EP. This adjustment further impacts Exh. SEF-3E and Exh. SEF-4E. Accordingly, I have updated my Exh. SEF-3E, Exh. SEF-4E and Exh. SEF-6E for the impacts of the Shuffleton adjustment, and these updated exhibits are presented as Exh. SEF-14 (update to Exh. SEF-3E), Exh. SEF-15 (update to Exh. SEF-4E), and Exh. SEF-16 (update to Exh. SEF-6E).

- Q. How did you calculate the adjustment for the Shuffleton deferred gain that is included in Adjustment No. 16.20EP?
- A. PSE has included the \$12.1 million gain with an amortization period of three years. PSE's treatment of deferred gains and losses is governed by Dockets U-89-2688-T, U-89-2955-T Findings of Fact paragraph 19, and the Stipulation and Order of Dismissal dated May 26, 1992, Washington Court of Appeals,

 No. 29404-1, in which it was agreed that an amortization period of no shorter than three years should be used. As such, PSE has amortized its deferred gains and losses over a period of three years. The adjustment to include the amortization of the gain increases electric net operating income by \$3.2 million.
- Q. Does the Shuffleton adjustment result in a change to PSE's rate request?
- A. No. The rates requested by PSE in this proceeding do not change as a result of the Shuffleton adjustment. The reason for this is because the Shuffleton adjustment is a pro forma adjustment. In general, pro forma adjustments were not included in the attrition analysis performed by Mr. Ronald J. Amen on which PSE's requested rates are based.
- Q. Please explain the impact of this change to Adjustment 6.20E (now Adjustment 16.20EP) on Exh. SEF-3E (now Exh. SEF-14) and Exh. SEF-4E (now Exh. SEF-15).
- A. Exh. SEF-4E provided a detailed summary of the electric restating and pro forma adjustments to the test period results. Page five of this exhibit changes as a result of the change to Adjustment 6.20E. There are other changes within this exhibit

that are the result of reliance on the amounts reflected on page five. I have prepared Exh. SEF-15, which provides the updated results for electric.

Exh. SEF-3E provided the calculation of the electric net revenue change being requested. Page one of this exhibit changes as a result of the change to Adjustment 6.20E. I have prepared Exh. SEF-14, which provides the updated electric results. Only amounts on lines 6, 7, 10, 17 and 19 change on page one of this exhibit. As the attrition results on line 21 are not impacted by the Shuffleton gain, as discussed earlier, the net revenue change requested on line 25 also does not change. This is the result of an equal and offsetting amount of change on line 17, the net revenue change before attrition, and line 19, the attrition adjustment, that is necessary to arrive at the unchanged attrition results on line 21.

III. REGULATORY TREATMENT FOR POTENTIAL SALE OR TERMINATION OF WATER HEATER AND CONVERSION BURNER LEASING BUSINESS

- Q. What would be the regulatory impacts of any deferred gain or loss on the potential sale and or termination of the gas water heater rental and conversion burner businesses?
- A. It is not known at this time what the amount of any gain or loss on the leasing business would be. Nor is it likely to be known in enough time to incorporate the gain or loss into this case. Therefore, any gain or loss will be handled in the next general rate case, once known, which is commensurate with how PSE treats the gains and losses on all other utility property.

- 2 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
 - A. Yes, it does.