



STATE OF WASHINGTON
UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
1300 S. Evergreen Park Dr. S.W., P.O. Box 47250 • Olympia, Washington 98504-7250
(360) 664-1160 • www.utc.wa.gov

May 12, 2015

NOTICE OF BENCH REQUEST
(Due by Friday, May 15, 2015)

RE: *Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission v. Pacific Power & Light Company*, Docket UE-140762, et al.

Bench Request No. 13:

The filed PCAM appears to be very similar in most material respects to Avista Corporation's Energy Recovery Mechanism (ERM). However, we note a difference in the methodology for calculating power cost deferrals between the proposed PCAM and the ERM which features a retail revenue adjustment.¹

Please explain the rationale for the chosen deferral calculation methodology without the retail revenue adjustment as used in Avista's ERM and explain the advantages and other considerations in the determination of the selected approach.

DENNIS J. MOSS
Administrative Law Judge

cc: All Parties

¹ See *WUTC v. Pacific Power & Light Company*, Docket UE-140762 et al., Order 08, ¶¶ 115-116 (March 25, 2015).