

**BEFORE THE
WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

**In the Matter of the Petition of Qwest
Corporation for Arbitration with Eschelon
Telecom, Inc. Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. Section
252 of the Federal Telecommunications Act of
1996**

Docket No. UT-063061

EXHIBIT MS-1

TO THE

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF MICHAEL STARKEY

ON BEHALF OF ESCHELON TELECOM, INC.

SEPTEMBER 29, 2006

Michael Starkey

**President
Founding Partner
QSI Consulting, Inc.**

243 Dardenne Farms Drive
Cottleville, MO 63304
(636) 272-4127 voice
(636) 448-4135 mobile
(866) 389-9817 facsimile
mstarkey@qsiconsulting.com



Biography

Mr. Starkey currently serves as the President and Founding Partner of QSI Consulting, Inc. QSI is a consulting firm concentrating primarily on regulated markets including the telecommunications industry. QSI assists its clients in the areas of regulatory policy, business strategy, financial and econometric analysis and inter-carrier issues involving rates and charges assessed by incumbent carriers. Prior to founding QSI Mr. Starkey served as the Senior Vice President of Telecommunications Services at Competitive Strategies Group, Ltd. in Chicago, Illinois.

Mr. Starkey's consulting career began in 1996 shortly before the passage of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. Since that time, Mr. Starkey has advised some of the world's largest companies (e.g., AT&T, MCI, Time Warner, Covad Communications, Comcast, Siemens Corporation, etc.) on a broad spectrum of issues including the most effective manner by which to interconnect competing networks. Mr. Starkey's experience spans the landscape of competitive telephony including interconnection agreement negotiations, mediation, arbitration, and strategies aimed at maximizing new technology. Mr. Starkey's experience is often called upon as an expert witness. Mr. Starkey has since 1991 provided testimony in greater than 150 proceedings before approximately 40 state commissions, the FCC and courts of varying jurisdiction.

Mr. Starkey's expertise with competitive communications issues is rooted not only in his consulting experience, but also in his previous employment. Mr. Starkey has worked for the Missouri, Illinois and Maryland public utility commissions, including his most recent position as Director of the Maryland Commission's Telecommunications Division (and as the Senior Policy Analyst for the Illinois Commission's Office of Policy and Planning and Senior Economist with the Missouri Public Service Commission).

Educational Background

Bachelor of Science, Economics, International Marketing
Missouri State University, Cum Laude Honor Graduate

Graduate Coursework, Finance
Lincoln University

Numerous telecommunications industry training courses



Professional Experience

Competitive Strategies Group

1996 – 1999
Senior Vice President
Managing Director of Telecommunications
Services

Maryland Public Service Commission

1994-1995
Director
Telecommunications Division

Illinois Commerce Commission

1993 – 1994
Senior Policy Analyst
Office of Policy and Planning

Missouri Public Service Commission

1991-1993
Senior Economist
Utility Operations Division –
Telecommunications

Professional Activities

Missouri Universal Service Fund

Serve as the Co-Administrator chosen by the Missouri Public Service Commission to administer its intra-state Universal Service Fund (“USF”). Interact with Missouri’s telecommunications carriers and the Missouri Universal Service Board (i.e., the Commission and Public Counsel) to collect payments, fund requested disbursements and establish the overarching collection percentage applied to all Missouri, intra-state telecommunications revenues.

Facilitator, *C³ Coalition* (Competitive Carrier Coalition - Ameritech Region). Facilitate industry organization representing 10-15 competitive carriers seeking to share information and “best practices” with respect to obtaining effective interconnection, UNEs and resold services from SBC/Ameritech.

Former member of the Missouri Public Service Commission’s Task Force on FCC Docket Nos. 91-141 and 91-213 regarding expanded interconnection, collocation, and access transport restructure

Former member of the AT&T / Missouri Commission Staff, *Total Quality Management Forum* responsible for improving and streamlining the regulatory process for competitive carriers

Former member of the Missouri, Oklahoma, Kansas, Texas, and Arkansas five state Southwestern Bell Open Network Architecture (ONA) Oversight Conference

Former delegate to the Illinois, Michigan, Indiana, Ohio, and Wisconsin Ameritech Regional Regulatory Conference (ARRC) charged with the responsibility of analyzing Ameritech’s “Customers First” local exchange competitive framework for formulation of recommendations to the FCC and the U.S. Department of Justice

Former Co-Chairman of the Maryland Local Number Portability Industry Consortium responsible for developing and implementing a permanent database number portability solution

Former member of the Illinois Local Number Portability Industry Consortium responsible for developing and implementing a permanent database number portability solution

Expert Testimony – Profile

The information below is Mr. Starkey's best effort to identify all proceedings wherein he has either provided pre-filed written testimony, an expert report or provided live testimony.

Before the Public Utilities Commission of the State of Colorado

Docket No. 06F-124T

McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services, Inc., v. Qwest Corporation

On behalf of McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services, Inc.

Before the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California

Case No. 06-03-023

Pacific Bell Telephone Company d/b/a AT&T California v. Cbeyond Communications, LLC (U 6446 C) and Covad Communications Company (U 5752 C)

On behalf of Cbeyond Communications LLC, Covad Communications Company, Mpower Communications, XO Communications Services, Inc. and Telepacific Communications

Before the Arizona Corporation Commission

Docket No. T-03267A-06-0105

Docket No. T-01051B-06-0105

In the Matter of McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services, Inc. v. Qwest Corporation

On behalf of McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services, Inc.

Before the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission

Docket No. UT-063013

McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services, Inc., v. Qwest Corporation

On behalf of McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services, Inc.

Before the Public Service Commission of Utah

Docket No. 06-2249-01

In the Matter of the Complaint of McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services, Inc., against Qwest Corporation for Enforcement of Commission-Approved Interconnection Agreement

On behalf of McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services, Inc.

Before the Iowa Utilities Board, Department of Commerce

Docket No. FCU-06-20

McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services, Inc. v. Qwest Communications

On behalf of McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services, Inc.

Before the Illinois Commerce Commission

Docket No. 05-0575

Illinois Bell Telephone Company Compliance with Requirements of 13.505.1 of the Public Utilities Act (Payphone Rates)

On behalf of The Illinois Public Telecommunications Association

Before the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California

Application 05-07-024

Application of Pacific Bell Telephone Company, d/b/a SBC California for Generic Proceeding to Implement Changes in Federal Unbundling Rules Under Sections 251 and 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996

On behalf of MCIMetro Access Transmission Services, LLC, Covad Communications Company and Arrival Communications, Inc.

Before the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin

Docket No. 6720-TI-108

Investigation of the Access Line Rates of Wisconsin Bell, Inc., d/b/a SBC Wisconsin, that Apply to Private Payphone Providers

On behalf of The Wisconsin Pay Telephone Association

Before the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California

Docket No. A.05-05-027

Application by Pacific Bell Telephone Company d/b/a SBC California (U 1001 C) for Arbitration of an Interconnection Agreement with MCIMetro Access Transmission Services LLC (U 5253 C) Pursuant to Section 252(b) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

On behalf of MCIMetro Access Transmission Services, LLC

Before the Michigan Public Service Commission

Case No. U-14447

In the matter, on the Commission's own motion to commence a collaborative proceeding to monitor and facilitate implementation of Accessible Letters issued by SBC Michigan and Verizon

On behalf of Covad Communications Company.

Before the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

Case No. 05-887-TP-UNC

In the matter of the Establishment of Terms and Conditions of an Interconnection Agreement Amendment Pursuant To The Federal Communications Commission's Triennial Review Order and Its Order on Remand.

On behalf of MCIMetro Access Transmission Services, LLC

Before the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin

Docket No. 05-MA-138

Petition of MCIMetro Access Transmission Services, LLC and MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc. for Arbitration of Interconnection Terms and Conditions and Related Arrangements with Wisconsin Bell, Inc., d/b/a SBC Wisconsin Pursuant to Section 252(b) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996

On behalf of MCIMetro Access Transmission Services, LLC and MCI Worldcom Communications, Inc.

Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission

Cause No. 42893-INT 01

Indiana Bell Telephone Company, Incorporated d/b/a SBC Indiana Petition for Arbitration of Interconnection Rates Terms and Conditions and Related Arrangements with MCIMetro Access Transmission Services LLC, Intermedia Communications LLC, and MCI Worldcom Communications, Inc. Pursuant to Section 252(b) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996

On behalf of MCIMetro Access Transmission Services, LLC, Intermedia Communications, LLC and MCI Worldcom Communications, Inc.

Before the Illinois Commerce Commission

Docket No. 05-0442

Petition for Arbitration Pursuant to Section 252(b) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 with Illinois Bell Telephone Company to Amend Existing Interconnection Agreements to Incorporate the Triennial Review Order and the Triennial Review Remand Order

On behalf of Access One, Inc.; Broadview Networks, Inc.; BullsEye Telecom, Inc.; Cbeyond Communications, LLC; USXchange of Illinois, LLC, d/b/a ChoiceOne Communications; CIMCO Communications, Inc.; First Communications, LLC; Forte Communications, Inc.; Globalcom, Inc.; ICG Telecom Group, Inc.; King City Telephone, LLC, d/b/a Southern Illinois Communications; KMC Telecom

V, Inc.; McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services, Inc.; Mpower Communications Corporation, d/b/a Mpower Communications of Illinois; Neutral Tandem – Illinois, LLC; New Edge Network, Inc.; nii Communications, Ltd.; Novacon Holdings, LLC; Nuvox Communications of Illinois, Inc.; OnFiber Carrier Services, Inc.; Talk America, Inc.; TCG Chicago; TCG Illinois; TDS Metrocom, LLC; and Trinsic Communications, Inc.

Before The Hawaii Public Utilities Commission

Docket No. 04-0140

Application of Paradise MergerSub, Inc., GTE Corporation, Verizon Hawaii Inc., Bell Atlantic Communications, Inc., and Verizon Select Services Inc. For Approval of a Merger Transaction and Related Matters

On behalf of the Hawaii Public Utilities Commission

Before the Illinois Commerce Commission

Docket No. 04-0469

Petition for Arbitration of Interconnection Rates, Terms and Conditions and Related Arrangements with Illinois Bell Telephone Company Pursuant to Section 252(b) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996

On behalf of MCImetro Access Transmission Services, LLC, MCI Worldcom Communications, Inc. and Intermedia Communications LLC

Before the Public Utility Commission of Texas

Docket No. 28821

Arbitration of Non-Costing Issues for Successor Interconnection Agreements to The Texas 271 Agreement.

On behalf of MCImetro Access Transmission Services, LLC

Before the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin

Docket No. 6720-TI-187

Petition of SBC Wisconsin to Determine Rates and Costs for Unbundled Network Elements

On behalf of AT&T Communications of Wisconsin, LP, TCG Milwaukee and MCI, Inc.

Before the Illinois Commerce Commission

Docket No. 02-0864

Filing to increase Unbundled Loop and Nonrecurring Rates (Tariffs filed December 24, 2002)

On behalf of *The CLEC Coalition* (AT&T, Worldcom, Inc., McLeodUSA, Covad, TDS Metrocom, Allegiance, RCN Telecom, Globalcom, Z-Tel, XO Illinois, Forte Communications, CIMCO Communications)

Before the Connecticut Department of Public Utility Control

Docket No. 03-09-01PH02

DPUC Implementation of the Federal Communications Commission's Triennial Review Order – Hot Cut/Batch

On behalf of MCI

Before the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California

Rulemaking 95-04-043, Investigation 95-04-044

Order Instituting Rulemaking on the Commission's Own Motion into Competition for Local Exchange Service.

On behalf of MCImetro, MCI Worldcom

Before the Public Utility Commission of Texas

Docket No. 28607

Impairment Analysis of Local Circuit Switching for the Mass Market

On behalf of MCImetro, MCI Worldcom, Brooks Fiber Communications of Texas

Before the State Corporation Commission of the State of Kansas

Docket No. 03-GIMT-1063-GIT

In the Matter of a General Investigation to Implement the State Mandates of the Federal Communications Commission's Triennial Review Order

On behalf of MCImetro, MCI Worldcom

Before the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

Case No. 04-34-TP-COI

In the Matter of the Implementation of the Federal Communications Commission's Triennial Review Regarding Local Circuit Switching in SBC Ohio's Mass Market

On behalf of MCImetro, MCI Worldcom

Before the Michigan Public Service Commission

Case No. U-13891

In the matter, on the Commission's own motion, to investigate and to implement, a batch cut migration process

On behalf of MCImetro, MCI Worldcom

Before the Michigan Public Service Commission

Case No. U-13796

In the matter, on the Commission's own motion, to facilitate the implementation of the Federal Communication Commission's Triennial Review determinations in Michigan

On behalf of MCImetro, MCI Worldcom

Before the Missouri Public Service Commission

Case No. TO-2004-0207

In the Matter of a Commission Inquiry into the Possibility of Impairment Without Unbundled Local Circuit Switching when Serving the Mass Market

On behalf of Sage Telecom, Inc.

Before the State of New York Public Service Commission

Case No. 02-C-1425

Proceeding on Motion of the Commission to Examine the Process, and Related Costs of Performing Loop Migrations on a More Streamlined (e.g., Bulk) Basis

On behalf of MCImetro, MCI Worlcom

Before the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission

Cause No. 42393

In the Matter of the Commission Investigation and Generic Proceeding of Rates and Unbundled Network Elements and Collocation for Indiana Bell Telephone Company, Incorporated d/b/a SBC Indiana Pursuant to the Telecommunications Act of 1996 and Related Indiana Statutes

On behalf of *The CLEC Coalition* (AT&T, TCG Indianapolis, Worldcom, Inc., McLeodUSA, Covad, Z-Tel).

Before the Michigan Public Service Commission

Case No. U-13531

In the matter, on the Commission's own motion, to review the costs of telecommunications services provided by SBC Michigan

On behalf of AT&T, Worldcom, Inc., McLeodUSA and TDS Metrocom.

Before the Illinois Commerce Commission

Docket No. 03-0323

Petition to Determine Adjustments to UNE Loop Rates Pursuant to Section 13-408 of the Illinois Public Utilities Act

On behalf of *The CLEC Coalition* (AT&T, Worldcom, Inc., McLeodUSA, Covad, TDS Metrocom, Allegiance, RCN Telecom, Globalcom, Z-Tel, XO Illinois, Forte Communications, CIMCO Communications)

Before the Public Utility Commission of Ohio

Case No. 96-1310-TP-COI

In the Matter of the Commission's Investigation into the Implementation of Section 276 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 Regarding Pay Telephone Services

On behalf of the Payphone Association of Ohio

Before the Wisconsin Public Service Commission

Docket No. 6720-TI-177

Investigation Into Ameritech Wisconsin's Loop Conditioning Services and Practices

On behalf of WorldCom, Inc., AT&T Communications of Wisconsin, L.P. and TCG Milwaukee, McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services, Inc., TDS Metrocom, LLC

Before the Michigan Public Service Commission

Case No. U-11756 - REMAND

Complaint Pursuant to Sections 203 and 318 of the Michigan Telecommunications Act to Compel Respondents to Comply with Section 276 of the Federal Telecommunications Act

On behalf of the Michigan Pay Telephone Association

Before the New York Public Service Commission

Case No. 00-C-0127

Proceeding on the Motion of the Commission to Examine Issues Concerning Provision of Digital Subscriber Line Services

On behalf of MCI Worldcom Network Services, Inc.

Before the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission

Cause No. 42236

Complaint of Time Warner Telecom Against Ameritech Indiana Regarding Its Unlawful Market Practice of Issuing Equipment Vouchers in Violation of the Indiana Code and Opportunity Indiana II and Petition for Emergency Suspension of any and all Ameritech Indiana Equipment Voucher Marketing Practices Pending Commission Investigation

On behalf of Time Warner Telecom of Indiana, LP

Before the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission

Docket No. P-00930715F0002

Re: Verizon Pennsylvania Inc., Petition and Plan for Alternative Form of Regulation Under Chapter 30, 2000 Biennial Update to Network Modernization Plan

On behalf of MCI Worldcom Network Services, Inc.

Before the Illinois Commerce Commission

Docket No. 01-0609

Investigation of the propriety of the rates, terms, and conditions related to the provision of the Basic COPTS Port and the COPTS-Coin Line Port

On behalf of Payphone Services, Inc., DataNet Systems, LLC, Illinois Public Telecommunications Association

Before the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission

Cause No. 40611-S1 (Phase II)

In the Matter of: The Commission Investigation and Generic Proceeding on Ameritech Indiana's Rates for Interconnection Service, Unbundled Elements, and Transport and Termination under the Telecommunications Act of 1996 and Related Indiana Statutes
On behalf of AT&T, Worldcom, Inc., and McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services, Inc.

Before the State of North Carolina Utility Commission
Docket No. P-7, Sub 980, P-10, Sub 622

Enforcement of Interconnection Agreement Between KMC Telecom III, Inc. and KMC Telecom V, Inc., against Carolina Telephone and Telegraph Company and Central Telephone Company
On behalf of KMC Telecom, Inc.

Before the Illinois Commerce Commission
Docket Nos. 98-0252, 98-0335, 98-0764 (Reopening)

SBC/Ameritech Merger, Reopening to Discuss Settlement Agreement Regarding Merger Savings
On behalf of AT&T, Worldcom, Inc., and McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services, Inc.

Before the Public Utility Commission of Ohio
Docket No. 01-1319-TP-ARB

In the Matter of MCImetro Access Transmission Services, LLC Petition for Arbitration Pursuant to Section 252(b) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 to Establish an Interconnection Agreement with Ameritech Ohio
On behalf of MCIWorldcom, Inc.

Before the Illinois Commerce Commission
Docket No. 00-0393 (Rehearing)

Illinois Bell Telephone Company, d/b/a Ameritech Illinois Proposed Implementation of High Frequency Portion of the Loop (HFPL)/Line Sharing Service
On behalf of AT&T Communications of Illinois, Inc. and Worldcom, Inc.

Before the Wisconsin Public Service Commission
Case No. 6720-TI-167

Complaint Against Ameritech Wisconsin Filed by Wisconsin Builders Association, Inc.
On behalf of Wisconsin Builders Association, Inc.

Before the Public Service Commission of South Carolina
Docket No. 2001-65-C

In the Matter of Generic Proceeding to Establish Prices For BellSouth's Interconnection Services, Unbundled Network Elements and Other Related Elements and Services
On behalf of NuVox Communications, Broadslate Networks, KMC Telecom, New South Communications, ITC^Deltacom Communications

Before the Louisiana Public Service Commission
Docket No. 27821

In the Matter of Generic Proceeding to Establish Interim and Permanent Prices for Docket No. 27821 xDSL Loops and/or Related Elements and Services
On behalf of Covad Communications

Before the Public Utility Commission of Ohio
Case No. 00-942-TP-COI

In the Matter of the Further Investigation into Ameritech Ohio's Entry into In-Region Interlata Service Under Section 271 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996
On behalf of AT&T, WorldCom and XO Communications

Before the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission

Docket No. UT 003013, Part B

In the Matter of the Continued Costing and Pricing of Unbundled Network Elements, Transport and Termination

On behalf of Focal Communications, XO Washington, Inc.

Before the Illinois Commerce Commission

Docket No. 98-0195

Investigation into certain payphone Issues as directed in Docket No. 97-0225

On behalf of the Illinois Pay Telephone Association

Before the Alabama Public Service Commission

Docket No. 27821

Generic Proceeding to Establish Interim and Permanent Prices for xDSL Loops and/or Related Elements and Services

On behalf of The Data Coalition (Covad Communications and Broadslate Networks of Alabama, Inc.)

Before the Wisconsin Public Service Commission

Docket No. 6720-TI-160

Docket No. 6720-TI-161

Investigation Into Ameritech Wisconsin's Unbundled Network Elements

On behalf of AT&T, Worldcom, McLeodUSA, TDS Metrocom, KMC Telecom, Time Warner Telecom, Rhythms Links,

Before the Tennessee Regulatory Authority

Docket No. 00-00544

Generic Docket to Establish UNE Prices for Line Sharing per FCC 99-355, and Riser Cable and Terminating Wire as Ordered in Authority Docket No. 98-00123

On behalf of Covad Communications, Inc., Mpower Communications and BroadSlate Networks of Tennessee, Inc.

Before the Public Utilities Commission of the State of Hawaii

Docket No. 7702, Phase III

Instituting a Proceeding on Communications, Including an Investigation of the Communications Infrastructure of the State of Hawaii

On behalf of GST Telecom Hawaii, Inc.

Before the North Carolina Utilities Commission

Docket P100 Sub 133d, Phase II

General Proceeding to Determine Permanent Pricing for Unbundled Network elements

On behalf of a consortium of 13 new entrant carriers

Before the Federal Communications Commission

CCB/CPD No. 00-1

In the Matter of Wisconsin Public Service Commission Order Directing Filings

On behalf of the Wisconsin Pay Telephone Association

Before the North Carolina Utilities Commission

Docket P100 Sub 133d, Phase I

General Proceeding to Determine Permanent Pricing for Unbundled Network elements

On behalf of a consortium of 13 new entrant carriers

Before the State of New York Public Service Commission

Case No. 98-C-1357

Proceeding on Motion of the Commission to Examine New York Telephone Company's Rates for Unbundled Network Elements
On behalf of the CLEC Coalition

Before the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California

Rulemaking 0-02-05

Order Instituting Rulemaking on the Commission's Own Motion into reciprocal compensation for telephone traffic transmitted to Internet Service Providers modems
On behalf of ICG Telecom Group, Inc.

Before the Public Utilities Commission of the State of Colorado

Docket No. 00B-103T

In the Matter of Petition by ICG Telecom Group, Inc. for Arbitration of an Interconnection Agreement with US West Communications, Inc. Pursuant to Section 252(b) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.
On behalf of ICG Telecom Group, Inc.

Before the Delaware Public Service Commission

PSC Docket No. 00-205

For Arbitration Pursuant to Section 252(b) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 to Establish an Interconnection Agreement with Bell Atlantic – Delaware, Inc.
On behalf of Focal Communications Corporation of Pennsylvania

Before the Georgia Public Service Commission

Case No. 11641-U

Petition of BlueStar Networks, Inc. for Arbitration with BellSouth Docket No. 11641-U Telecommunications, Inc. pursuant to Section 252(b) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996
On behalf of BlueStar Networks, Inc.

Before the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities

Docket No. TO00030163

For Arbitration Pursuant to Section 252(b) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 to Establish an Interconnection Agreement with Bell Atlantic-New Jersey, Inc.
On behalf of Focal Communications Corporation

Before the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission

Docket No. A-310630F.0002

For Arbitration Pursuant to Section 252(b) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 to Establish an Interconnection Agreement with Bell Atlantic-Pennsylvania
On behalf of Focal Communications Corporation

Before the Michigan Public Service Commission

Case No. U-12287

In the matter of the application, or in the alternative, complaint of AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF MICHIGAN, INC. against Michigan Bell Telephone Company, D/B/A, Ameritech Michigan
On behalf of AT&T Communications of Michigan, Inc.

Before the Missouri Public Service Commission

Case No. 99-483

An Investigation for the Purpose of Clarifying and Determining Certain aspects Surrounding the Provisioning Of Metropolitan Calling Area Services After the Passage and Implementation Of the Telecommunications Act of 1996
On behalf of McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services, Inc.

Before the Illinois Commerce Commission

Docket No. 98-0396

Investigation into the compliance of Illinois Bell Telephone Company with the order in Docket 96-0486/0569 Consolidated regarding the filing of tariffs and the accompanying cost studies for interconnection, unbundled network elements and local transport and termination and regarding end to end bundling issues.

On behalf of AT&T Communications of Illinois, Inc. and McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services, Inc.

Before the Illinois Commerce Commission

Docket No. 99-0593

Investigation of Construction Charges

On behalf of McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services, Inc., MCI WorldCom, Inc. and Allegiance Telecom, Inc.

Before the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin

Case No. 05-TI-283

Investigation of the Compensation Arrangements for the Exchange of Traffic Directed to Internet Service Providers

On behalf of AT&T Communications of Wisconsin, AT&T Local Services, KMC Telecom, Inc., MCI WorldCom, Inc., McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services, Inc., TDS MetroComm, Time Warner Telecom

Before the Public Utility Commission of Texas

Docket No. 21982

Proceeding to Examine Reciprocal Compensation Pursuant to Section 252 of the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996

On behalf of ICG Communications, Inc.

Before the Public Service Commission of the Commonwealth of Kentucky

Case No. 99-498

Petition of BlueStar Networks, Inc. for Arbitration with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Pursuant to Section 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

On behalf of BlueStar Networks, Inc.

Before the Illinois Commerce Commission

Docket No. 00-0027

Petition for Arbitration Pursuant to Section 252(b) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 to Establish an Interconnection Agreement with Illinois Bell Telephone Company d/b/a Ameritech Illinois.

On behalf of Focal Communications Corporation of Illinois

Before The Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission

Cause No. 41570

In the Matter of the Complaint of McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services, Inc. against Indiana Bell Telephone Company, Incorporated, d/b/a Ameritech Indiana, Pursuant to the Provisions of I.C. §§ 8-1-2-54, 8-1-2-68, 8-1-2-103 and 8-1-2-104 Concerning the Imposition of Special Construction Charges.

On behalf of McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services, Inc.

Before the Florida Public Service Commission

Docket No. 991838-TP

Petition for Arbitration of BlueStar Networks, Inc. with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Pursuant to the Telecommunications Act of 1996

On behalf of BlueStar Networks, Inc.

Before the Public Utility Commission of Ohio

Case No. 99-1153-TP-ARB

In the Matter of ICG Telecom Group, Inc.'s Petition For Arbitration of Interconnection Rates, Terms and Conditions and Related Arrangements with Ameritech Ohio
On behalf of ICG Telecom Group, Inc.

Before the Public Utility Commission of Oregon

ARB 154

Petition for Arbitration of GST Telecom Oregon, Inc. Against US West Communications, Inc. Under 47 U.S.C. §252(b)

On behalf of GST Telecom Oregon, Inc.

Before the Michigan Public Service Commission

Docket No. U-12072

In the matter of the application and complaint of WORLDCOM TECHNOLOGIES INC. (f/k/a MFS INTELENET OF MICHIGAN, INC., an MCI WORLDCOM company) against MICHIGAN BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY d/b/a AMERITEHC MICHIGAN, AMERITECH SERVICES, INC., AMERITECH INFORMATION INDUSTRY SERVICES, AND AMERITECH LONG DISTANCT INDUSTRY SERVICES relating to unbundled interoffice transport.

On behalf of WorldCom Technologies, Inc.

Before the Illinois Commerce Commission

Docket No. 99-0525

Ovation Communications, Inc. d/b/a McLeodUSA, Complaint Against Illinois Bell Telephone Company d/b/a Ameritech Illinois, Under Sections 13-514 and 13-515 of the Public Utilities Act Concerning the Imposition of Special Construction Charges and Seeking Emergency Relief Pursuant to Section 13-515(e)

On behalf of McLeodUSA

Before the Public Service Commission of the Commonwealth of Kentucky

Case No. 99-218

Petition of ICG Telecom Group, Inc. for Arbitration with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Pursuant to Section 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

On behalf of ICG Telecom Group, Inc.

Before the Tennessee Regulatory Authority

Docket No. 1999-259-C

Petition for Arbitration of ITC^DeltaCom Communications, Inc. with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Pursuant to the Telecommunications Act of 1996

On behalf of ICG Communications, Inc.

Before the New Mexico Public Regulation Commission

Case No. 3131

In the Matter of GST Telecom New Mexico, Inc.'s Petition for Arbitration Against US West Communications, Inc., Under 47 U.S.C. § 252(b).

On behalf of GST Telecom New Mexico, Inc.

Before the Georgia Public Service Commission

Docket No. 10767-U

Petition of ICG Telecom Group, Inc. for Arbitration with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Pursuant to Section 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

On behalf of ICG Telecom Group, Inc.

Before the Public Service Commission of New York

Case No. 99-C-0529

Proceeding on Motion of the Commission to Re-examine Reciprocal Compensation

On behalf of Focal Communications, Inc.

Before the Florida Public Service Commission

Docket No. 990691-TP

Petition by ICG Telecom Group, Inc. for Arbitration of an Interconnection Agreement with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Pursuant to Section 252(b) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996

On behalf of ICG Telecom Group, Inc.

Before the Louisiana Public Service Commission

Docket No. U-24206

Petition for Arbitration of ITC^DeltaCom Communications, Inc. with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Pursuant to the Telecommunications Act of 1996

On behalf of ITC^DeltaCom, Inc.

Before the South Carolina Public Service Commission

Docket No. 199-259-C

Petition for Arbitration of ITC^DeltaCom Communications, Inc. with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Pursuant to the Telecommunications Act of 1996

On behalf of ITC^DeltaCom, Inc.

Before the Alabama Public Service Commission

Docket No. 27069

Petition by ICG Telecom Group, Inc. for Arbitration of an Interconnection Agreement with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Pursuant to Section 252(b) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996

On behalf of ICG Telecom Group, Inc.

Before the State of North Carolina Utilities Commission

Docket No. P-582, Sub 6

Petition by ICG Telecom Group, Inc. for Arbitration of Interconnection Agreement with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Pursuant to Section 252(b) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996

On behalf of ICG Telecom Group, Inc.

Before the Missouri Public Service Commission

Case No. TO-99-370

Petition of BroadSpan Communications, Inc. for Arbitration of Unresolved Interconnection Issues Regarding ADSL with Southwestern Bell Telephone Company

On behalf of BroadSpan Communications, Inc.

Before the Michigan Public Service Commission

Case No. U-11831

In the Matter of the Commission's own motion, to consider the total service long run incremental costs for all access, toll, and local exchange services provided by Ameritech Michigan.

On behalf of MCIWorldCom, Inc.

Before the Illinois Commerce Commission

Docket Nos. 98-0770, 98-0771 *cons.*

Proposed Modifications to Terms and Conditions Governing the Provision of Special Construction Arrangements and, Investigation into Tariff Governing the Provision of Special Constructions Arrangements

On behalf of AT&T Communications of Illinois, Inc.

Before the Michigan Public Service Commission

Case No. U-11735

In the matter of the complaint of BRE Communications, L.L.C., d/b/a PHONE MICHIGAN, against Michigan Bell Telephone Company, d/b/a AMERITECH MICHIGAN, for violations of the Michigan Telecommunications Act

On behalf of BRE Communications, L.L.C.

Before the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission

Cause No. 40830

In the Matter of the request of the Indiana Payphone Association for the Commission to Conduct an Investigation of Local Exchange Company Pay Telephone tariffs for Compliance with Federal Regulations, and to Hold Such Tariffs in Abeyance Pending Completion of Such Proceeding

On behalf of the Indiana Payphone Association

Before the Michigan Public Service Commission

Case No. U-11756

Complaint Pursuant to Sections 203 and 318 of the Michigan Telecommunications Act to Compel Respondents to Comply with Section 276 of the Federal Telecommunications Act

On behalf of the Michigan Pay Telephone Association

Before the Missouri Public Service Commission

Case No. TO-98-278

In the Matter of the Petition of Birch Telecom of Missouri, Inc., for Arbitration of the Rates, Terms, Conditions, and Related Arrangements for Interconnection with Southwestern Bell Telephone Company

On behalf of Birch Telecom of Missouri, Inc.

Before the Public Service Commission of the Commonwealth of Kentucky

Administrative Case No. 361

Deregulation of Local Exchange Companies' Payphone Services

On behalf of the Kentucky Payphone Association

Before the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

Case No. 96-899-TP-ALT

The Application of Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company for Approval of a Retail Pricing Plan Which May Result in Future Rate Increases

On behalf of the MCI Telecommunications Corporation

Before the Public Utilities Commission of the State of Hawaii

Docket No. 7702

Instituting a Proceeding on Communications, Including an Investigation of the Communications Infrastructure of the State of Hawaii

On behalf of GST Telecom Hawaii, Inc.

Before the Michigan Public Service Commission

Case No. U-11410

In the Matter of the Petition of the Michigan Pay Telephone Association to initiate an investigation to determine whether Michigan Bell Telephone Company d/b/a Ameritech Michigan and GTE North Incorporated are in compliance with the Michigan Telecommunications Act and Section 276 of The Communications Act of 1934, as amended

On behalf of the Michigan Pay Telephone Association

Before the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission

Cause No. 40849

In the matter of Petition of Indiana Bell Telephone Company, Incorporated d/b/a Ameritech Indiana for the Commission to Decline to Exercise in Whole or in Part its Jurisdiction Over, and to Utilize Alternative

Regulatory Procedures For, Ameritech Indiana's Provision of Retail and Carrier Access Services Pursuant to I.C. 8-1-2.6 Et Seq.

On behalf of AT&T Communications of Indiana, Inc.

Before the Federal Communication Commission

C.C. Docket No. 97-137

In the Matter of Application by Ameritech Michigan for Authorization under Section 271 of the Communications Act to Provide In-Region, InterLATA Service in the State of Michigan.

On behalf of the AT&T Corporation

Before the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission

Cause No. 40611

In the Matter of the Commission Investigation and Generic Proceeding on Ameritech Indiana's Rates for Interconnection, Service, Unbundled Elements and Transport and Termination under the Telecommunications Act of 1996 and Related Indiana Statutes

On behalf of the MCI Telecommunications Corporation

Before the Public Utility Commission of Ohio

Case No. 97-152-TP-ARB

In the matter of the petition of MCI Telecommunications Corporation for arbitration pursuant to section 252(b) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 to establish an interconnection agreement with Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company

On behalf of the MCI Telecommunications Corporation

Before the Michigan Public Service Commission

Case No. U-11280

In the matter, on the Commission's own motion to consider the total service long run incremental costs and to determine the prices of unbundled network elements, interconnection services, and basic local exchange services for AMERITECH MICHIGAN

On behalf of the MCI Telecommunications Corporation

Before the Illinois Commerce Commission

Docket No. 96-0486

Investigation into forward looking cost studies and rates of Ameritech Illinois for interconnection, network elements, transport and termination of traffic

On behalf of the MCI Telecommunications Corporation

Before the Public Utility Commission of Ohio

Case No. 96-922-TP-UNC

In the Matter of the Review of Ameritech Ohio's Economic Costs for Interconnection, Unbundled Network Elements, and Reciprocal Compensation for Transport and Termination of Local Telecommunications Traffic

On behalf of the MCI Telecommunications Corporation

Before the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities

Docket No. TX95120631

In the Matter of the Investigation Regarding Local Exchange Competition for Telecommunications Services

On behalf of the MCI Telecommunications Corporation

Before the Michigan Public Service Commission

Case No. U-11104

In the matter, on the Commission's Own Motion, to Consider Ameritech Michigan's Compliance With the Competitive Checklist in Section 271 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996

On behalf of AT&T Communications of Indiana, Inc.

Before the Public Utility Commission of Ohio

Case Nos. 96-702-TP-COI, 96-922-TP-UNC, 96-973-TP-ATA, 96-974-TP-ATA, Case No. 96-1057-TP-UNC

In the Matter of the Investigation Into Ameritech Ohio's Entry Into In-Region InterLATA Services Under Section 271 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

On behalf of AT&T Communications of Ohio, Inc.

Before the Illinois Commerce Commission

Docket No. 96-0404

Investigation Concerning Illinois Bell Telephone Company's Compliance With Section 271(c) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996

On behalf of AT&T Communications of Illinois, Inc.

Before the Commonwealth of Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities

In the Matter of: D.P.U. 96-73/74, D.P.U. 96-75, D.P.U. 96-80/81, D.P.U. 96-83, D.P.U. 96-94, NYNEX - Arbitrations

On behalf of the MCI Telecommunications Corporation

Before the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission

Docket No. A-31023670002

In the Matter of the Application of MCI Metro Access Transmission Services, Inc. For a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Provide and Resell Local Exchange Telecommunications Services in Pennsylvania

On behalf of MCI Metro Access and Transmission Services, Inc.

Before the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities

Docket No. TO96080621

In the Matter of MCI Telecommunications Corporation for Arbitration with Bell Atlantic-New Jersey, Inc. Pursuant to Section 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996

On behalf of the MCI Telecommunications Corporation

Before the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission

Cause No. 40571-INT-01

Petition for Arbitration of Interconnection Rates, Terms and Conditions, and Related Arrangements with Wisconsin Bell Telephone Company d/b/a Ameritech Wisconsin

On behalf of AT&T Communications of Wisconsin, Inc.

Before the Public Utility Commission of Ohio

Case No. 96-752-TP-ARB

Petition for Arbitration of Interconnection Rates, Terms and Conditions, and Related Arrangements with Ohio Bell Telephone Company d/b/a Ameritech Ohio

On behalf of AT&T Communications of Ohio, Inc.

Before the Illinois Commerce Commission

Docket No. 96-AB-003

Docket No. 96-AB-004 *Consol.*

Petition for Arbitration of Interconnection Rates, Terms and Conditions, and Related Arrangements with Illinois Bell Telephone Company d/b/a Ameritech Illinois

On behalf of AT&T Communications of Illinois, Inc.

Before the Michigan Public Service Commission

Case No. U-11151

Petition for Arbitration of Interconnection Rates, Terms and Conditions, and Related Arrangements with Michigan Bell Telephone Company d/b/a Ameritech Michigan
On behalf of AT&T Communications of Michigan, Inc.

Before the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission

Cause No. 40571-INT-01

In the Matter of the Petition of AT&T Communications of Indiana, Inc. Requesting Arbitration of Certain Terms and Conditions and Prices for Interconnection and Related Arrangements from Indiana Bell Telephone Company, Incorporated d/b/a Ameritech Indiana Pursuant to Section 252 (b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as Amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

On behalf of AT&T Communications of Indiana, Inc.

Before the Missouri Public Service Commission

Case No. TT-96-268

Application of Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, Inc. to Revise P.S.C. Mo.-No. 26, Long Distance Message Telecommunications Service Tariff to Introduce the Designated Number Optional Calling Plan

On behalf of the MCI Telecommunications Corporation

Before the Corporation Commission of the State of Oklahoma

Cause No. PUD 950000411

Application of Southwestern Bell Telephone Company for an Order Approving Proposed Revisions in Applicant's Long Distance Message Telecommunications Service Tariff

Southwestern Bell Telephone Company's Introduction of 1+ Saver Directsm

On behalf of the MCI Telecommunications Corporation

Before the Georgia Public Service Commission

Docket No. 6415-U and 6537-U *cons.*

Petition of MCImetro to Establish Nondiscriminatory Rates, Terms and Conditions for the Unbundling and Resale of Local Loops

On behalf of MCImetro Access Transmission Services

Before the Public Service Commission of the State of Mississippi

Docket No. 95-UA-358

Regarding a Docket to Consider Competition in the Provision of Local Telephone Service

On behalf of the Mississippi Cable Television Association

Before the Maryland Public Service Commission

Docket No. 8705

In the Matter of the Inquiry Into the Merits of Alternative Plans for New Telephone Area Codes in Maryland

On behalf of the Staff of the Maryland Public Service Commission

Before the Maryland Public Service Commission

Docket No. 8584, Phase II

In the Matter of the Application of MFS Intelenet of Maryland, Inc. for Authority to Provide and Resell Local Exchange and Inter-Exchange Telephone Service; and Requesting the Establishment of Policies and Requirements for the Interconnection of Competing Local Exchange Networks

In the Matter of the Investigation of the Commission on its Own Motion Into Policies Regarding Competitive Local Exchange Telephone Service

On behalf of the Staff of the Maryland Public Service Commission

Before the Illinois Commerce Commission

Docket No. 94-0400

Application of MCImetro Access and Transmission Services, Inc. For a Certificate of Exchange Service Authority Allowing it to Provide Facilities-Based Local Service in the Chicago LATA
On behalf of the Office of Policy and Planning, Illinois Commerce Commission

Before the Illinois Commerce Commission

Docket No. 94-0315

Petition of Ameritech-Illinois for 708 NPA Relief by Establishing 630 Area Code
On behalf of the Office of Policy and Planning, Illinois Commerce Commission

Before the Illinois Commerce Commission

Docket No. 94-0422

Complaints of MFS, TC Systems, and MCI against Ameritech-Illinois Regarding Failure to Interconnect
On behalf of the Office of Policy and Planning, Illinois Commerce Commission

Before the Illinois Commerce Commission

Docket Nos. 94-0096, 94-0117, and 94-301

Proposed Introduction of a Trial of Ameritech's Customers First Plan in Illinois, et al.
On behalf of the Office of Policy and Planning, Illinois Commerce Commission

Before the Illinois Commerce Commission

Docket No. 94-0049

Rulemaking on Line-Side and Reciprocal Interconnection
On behalf of the Office of Policy and Planning, Illinois Commerce Commission

Before the Illinois Commerce Commission

Docket No. 93-0409

MFS-Intelenet of Illinois, Inc. Application for an Amendment to its Certificate of Service Authority to Permit it to Operate as a Competitive Local Exchange Carrier of Business Services in Those Portions of MSA-1 Served by Illinois Bell Telephone and Central Telephone Company of Illinois
On behalf of the Office of Policy and Planning, Illinois Commerce Commission

Before the Illinois Commerce Commission

Docket No. 94-0042, 94-0043, 94-0045, and 94-0046

Illinois Commerce Commission on its own motion. Investigation Regarding the Access Transport Rate Elements for Illinois Consolidated Telephone Company (ICTC), Ameritech-Illinois, GTE North, GTE South, and Central Telephone Company (Centel)
On behalf of the Office of Policy and Planning, Illinois Commerce Commission

Before the Illinois Commerce Commission

Docket No. 93-0301 and 94-0041

GTE North Incorporated. Proposed Filing to Restructure and Consolidate the Local Exchange, Toll, and Access Tariffs with the Former Contel of Illinois, Inc.
On behalf of the Office of Policy and Planning, Illinois Commerce Commission

Before the Public Service Commission of the State of Missouri

Case No. TC-93-224 and TO-93-192

In the Matter of Proposals to Establish an Alternate Regulation Plan for Southwestern Bell Telephone Company
On behalf of the Telecommunications Department, Missouri Public Service Commission

Before the Public Service Commission of the State of Missouri

Case No. TO-93-116

In the Matter of Southwestern Bell Telephone Company's Application for Classification of Certain Services as Transitionally Competitive

On behalf of the Telecommunications Department, Missouri Public Service Commission

Selected Reports, Presentations and Publications

IP-Enabled Voice Services

Impact of Applying Switched Access Charges to IP-PSTN Voice Services

FCC Wireline Competition Bureau Docket Nos. 04-36, 03-266

January 2005

Final Report

Analysis and Recommendations Related to Docket No. 04-0140

*Merger Application of Paradise Mergersub, Inc. (n/k/a Hawaiian Telecom Mergersub, Inc.),
Verizon Hawaii, Inc. and Related Companies.*

On behalf of the Hawaii Public Utilities Commission

Submitted February 3, 2005

Litigating Telecommunications Cost Cases

TELRIC Principles and Other Sources of Enlightenment

Two Day Teaching Seminar for Public Utility Commissions and their Staff (Western States)

Denver, Colorado, February 5&6, 2002

Interconnect Pricing

Critique of FCC Working Paper Nos. 33 & 34

NARUC Winter Meeting 2001

Washington, D.C., February 25, 2001

Telecommunications Costing and Pricing

Interconnection and Inter-Carrier Compensation

Advanced Regulatory Studies Program

Michigan State University

Cincinnati, Ohio, October 13, 2000

Telecommunications Pricing in Tomorrow's Competitive Local Market

Professional Pricing Societies 9th Annual Fall Conference

Pricing From A to Z

Chicago, Illinois, October 30, 1998

Recombining Unbundled Network Elements: An Alternative to Resale

ICM Conferences' Strategic Pricing Forum

January 27, 1998, New Orleans, Louisiana

MERGERS – Implications of Telecommunications Mergers for Local Subscribers

National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates Mid-Year Meeting,

Chicago, Illinois, June 24 1996

Unbundling, Costing and Pricing Network Elements in a Co-Carrier World

Telecommunications Reports' Rethinking Access Charges & Intercarrier Compensation

Washington, D.C., April 17, 1996

Key Local Competition Issues Part I (novice)

Key Local Competition Issues Part II (advanced)

with Mark Long

National Cable Television Associations' 1995 State Telecommunications Conference

Washington, D.C., November 2, 1995

Competition in the Local Loop

New York State Telephone Association and Telephone Association of New England Issues
Forum

Springfield, Massachusetts, October 18, 1995

Compensation in a Competitive Local Exchange

National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioner Subcommittee on Communications'
Summer Meetings

San Francisco, California, July 21, 1995

Fundamentals of Local Competition and Potential Dangers for Interexchange Carriers

COMPTEL 1995 Summer Business Conference

Seattle, Washington, June 12, 1995