BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. UE-22_____

DOCKET NO. UG-22_____

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

JUSTIN A. BALDWIN-BONNEY

REPRESENTING AVISTA CORPORATION

1 **I. INTRODUCTION** 2 0. Please state your name, employer and business address. 3 A. My name is Justin A. Baldwin-Bonney. I am employed by Avista Corporation 4 as an Analyst in the Regulatory Affairs Department. My business address is 1411 East 5 Mission, Spokane, Washington. 6 **O**. Please briefly describe your educational background and professional 7 experience. 8 A. I am a 2014 graduate from Gonzaga University with a Bachelor of Business 9 Administration degree, majoring in Accounting. After graduation, I spent three years at an 10 international accounting firm as an auditor, working primarily within banking, manufacturing 11 and the mining industries. I joined Avista in November 2017 as an Accounting Analyst in the 12 Company's Project and Fixed Asset group. In January 2021, I joined the Regulatory Affairs 13 Department as a Regulatory Affairs Analyst where I have been responsible for preparing 14 various annual filings and preparing and assisting with the capital investment-related pro 15 forma and provisional adjustments in the determination of the revenue requirement for all 16 jurisdictions in which the Company provides utility services. I received both my Certified 17 Public Accounting and Certified Management Accounting licenses in November 2015 and 18 December 2018, respectively. Have you provided testimony before the Commission in prior 19 **Q**. 20 proceedings? 21 A. No, this is the first rate proceeding that I have been involved with since I began 22 working for Regulatory Affairs in the State of Washington. I have provided testimony, 23 however, on the same types of issues I am providing here, in Avista's most recent general rate 1 case in the State of Oregon in Docket UG-433.

2

Q. What is the scope of your testimony?

3 A. My testimony in this proceeding first describes Avista's capital witnesses who 4 provide support for the capital additions (on a system level) that are reflected in the capital 5 adjustments I sponsor on a Washington electric and natural gas basis. These adjustments are 6 included in the Company's electric and natural gas Pro Forma Studies, sponsored by Company 7 witness Ms. Andrews. Next, I describe the Company's restating, pro forma, and provisional 8 capital-related adjustments over the Company's Two-Year Rate Plan, that adjust the historical 9 test period net plant at 12-months ended September 30, 2021 average-of-monthly averages 10 (AMA) to a December 31, 2023 AMA basis for Rate Year 1, and to a December 31, 2024 11 AMA basis for Rate Year 2.

For the pro forma capital adjustments, I explain that the Company included the 3month incremental October 2021 through December 2021 capital additions, to reflect an end of period (EOP) basis, as of December 31, 2021. Beyond 2021, the Company has included Washington electric and natural gas "provisional" capital additions, sponsored by Ms. Andrews and myself, for the period from January 1, 2022 through December 31, 2023, for Rate Year 1, and January 1, 2024 through December 31, 2024, for Rate Year 2, for the Company's Two-Year Rate Plan.

In preparation of my capital additions "provisional" adjustment, as shown in my Capital Additions Adjustment Model provided as Exh. JBB-2, I used particular capital addition groupings for the capital additions occurring for the period 2022 – 2024, as outlined in the Commission's Policy Statement.¹ Specifically, I used the following categories to group

¹ "Policy Statement on Property That Becomes Used and Useful After Rate Effective Date" ("Policy Statement"), issued January 31, 2020, in Docket No. U-190531.

the 2022-2024 additions: 1) Large and Distinct; 2) Programmatic; 3) Mandatory and
Compliance; and 4) Short-Lived Assets. Ms. Andrews incorporates the Washington electric
and natural gas share of these pro forma and provisional adjustments within her proposed
electric and natural gas revenue requirements for the Company's Two-Year Rate Plan.²

5 Finally, I will briefly discuss the preliminary Short-Lived Software Assets Report 6 completed as of September 30, 2021, required to be filed with the Company's next general 7 rate case (GRC) (this case), per Order 01, received in May 2021 in Dockets UE-200857 and 8 UG-200858, related to the Company's application for depreciation and amortization rates for 9 investment software.

- 10 A table of contents for my testimony is as follows:
- 11

TABLE OF CONTENTS

12	Description Page
13	I. INTRODUCTION
14	II. CAPITAL ADDITIONS SUPPORTING WITNESSES
15	III. CAPITAL ADDITIONS ASSIGNED TO WASHINGTON 8
16	IV. SUMMARY OF CAPITAL ADJUSTMENTS 18
17	V. PROGRAMMATIC – BLANKET INVESTMENTS 27
18	VI. SHORT-LIVED SOFTWARE ASSETS 30
19	Q. Are you sponsoring any exhibits?
20	A. Yes. I am sponsoring Exh. JBB-2 through Exh. JBB-4. Exh. J

21 Capital Additions Adjustment Model reflecting all pro forma and provisional adjustments I

22 sponsor. Exh. JBB-3 provides a summary of the capital additions included in each of the

JBB-2 is the

² As discussed by Ms. Andrews, she also sponsors additional pro forma and provisional adjustments designated as "large and distinct" capital projects, associated with the Company's investment in its Wildfire Resiliency Plan, Colstrip Units 3 and 4, and the Energy Imbalance Market (EIM).

1	capital witnesses' testimony by business case for years 2021-2024. Exh. JBB-4 provides the
2	Short-Lived Software Assets Report prepared as of September 30, 2021, on software
3	transferred to plant with lives less than 5 years. ³
4	
5	II. CAPITAL ADDITIONS SUPPORTING WITNESSES
6	Q. Prior to discussing the adjustments you sponsor in this case, would you
7	please provide a brief summary of the other witnesses who provide supporting testimony
8	related to capital additions in this proceeding?
9	A. Yes. Other capital witnesses, besides Ms. Andrews and myself, provide
10	support for the specific capital additions included by the Company in its proposed Two-Year
11	Rate Plan. Each capital witness provides detailed testimony and exhibits that describe the
12	capital additions by Business Case, describes the need for and timing of these capital
13	additions, as well as how they benefit our customers for the period January 2021 through
14	December 2024. Separate exhibits for each capital witness are provided which include all
15	Business Cases related to the capital projects included for the period 2021-2024 in this case
16	which they sponsor.
17	These witnesses are as follows:
18 19 20 21	<u>Mr. Jason Thackston</u> , Senior Vice President of Energy Resources and Environmental Compliance Officer, will address capital additions specific to generation investments. Included in these investments are the Colstrip Units 3 and 4 capital projects, included in the Colstrip Adjustments sponsored by Ms. Andrews.
22 23 24 25 26	<u>Ms. Heather Rosentrater</u> , Senior Vice President of Energy Delivery, will explain capital investments related to electric transmission, electric and natural gas distribution, facilities and fleet, as well as general plant.

³ A final year-end 2021 report will be filed with the Commission in the first quarter of 2022.

1	Mr. James Kensok, Vice President and Chief Information and Security Officer, will
2	provide an overview of Avista's Information Service/Information Technology (IS/IT)
3	investments. This includes summaries of the Company's capital additions for a range
4	of IS/IT systems used by the Company, many representing short-lived assets.
5	
6	Mr. Kelly Magalsky, Director of Products, Services, and Customer Technology, will
7	discuss capital investments related to the Company's Transportation Electrification
8	work and specific Customer Facing and Customer Experience technology
9	investments.
10	
11 12	<u>Mr. David Howell</u> , Director of Electric Operations and Asset Maintenance, will discuss the Company's Wildfire Resiliency Plan investments, included in the Wildfire
13	Adjustments sponsored by Ms. Andrews.
14	
15	Mr. Scott Kinney, Director of Power Supply, will provide an overview of Avista's
16	Western Energy Imbalance Market (EIM) investments included in the EIM
17	Adjustments sponsored by Ms. Andrews.
18	
19	Q. How have capital witnesses presented the transfers to plant information
20	in their testimony?
21	
	A. Mr. Thackston, Ms. Rosentrater, Mr. Kensok, Mr. Magalsky, Mr. Howell and
22	A. Mr. Thackston, Ms. Rosentrater, Mr. Kensok, Mr. Magalsky, Mr. Howell and Mr. Kinney, present capital transfers to plant information (gross plant additions) on a
22 23	
	Mr. Kinney, present capital transfers to plant information (gross plant additions) on a
23	Mr. Kinney, present capital transfers to plant information (gross plant additions) on a calendar-year and system (Washington, Idaho and Oregon jurisdictions, electric and natural
23 24	Mr. Kinney, present capital transfers to plant information (gross plant additions) on a calendar-year and system (Washington, Idaho and Oregon jurisdictions, electric and natural gas) basis, using the Commission's Used and Useful Policy Statement ⁴ to ensure that projects
23 24 25	Mr. Kinney, present capital transfers to plant information (gross plant additions) on a calendar-year and system (Washington, Idaho and Oregon jurisdictions, electric and natural gas) basis, using the Commission's Used and Useful Policy Statement ⁴ to ensure that projects meet the requirements of being both "used and useful" and "known and measurable." For the

⁴ In the Commissions' "Policy Statement on Property That Becomes Used and Useful After Rate Effective Date" ("Policy Statement"), Docket U-190531, at para. 11, p. 5, it defines three broad types of investments they would consider for inclusion in rates: 1) <u>specific</u> - clearly defined, identifiable or discrete; 2) <u>programmatic</u> - made according to a schedule, plan or method; and 3) <u>projected</u>: i.e., the use of a k-factor, an attrition adjustment, or a growth analysis.

1 for November and December 2021.⁵ 2 Each witness' testimony discusses capital additions from January 1, 2021 to December 3 31, 2024 on a system basis. A detailed listing by Business Case (projects) and calendar year 4 totals can be found in Exh. JBB-3.

5 Table No. 1 below reflects the calendar year transfers to plant (TTP) for projects that 6 are discussed in each witness' testimony, on a system basis (utility only, Washington, Idaho,

7 Oregon, electric and natural gas).

		\$ in 000's			
Witness	Exhibit No.	2021 (1)	2022	2023	2024
Mr. Thackston (2)	Exh. JRT-1T \$	70,807	\$ 92,562	\$ 62,234	\$ 64,98
Ms. Rosentrater	Exh. HLR-1T	287,982	263,761	287,916	269,84
Mr. Kensok	Exh. JMK-1T	48,111	40,286	50,883	59,28
Mr. Magalasky	Exh. KEM-1T	15,037	16,713	18,400	18,81
Mr. Kinney	Exh. SJK-1T	10,555	12,016	500	58
Mr. Howell	Exh. DRH-1T	17,278	24,545	27,000	29,00
Tota	1 \$	449,770	\$ 449,883	\$ 446,933	\$ 442,50

Table No. 1 – Capital Additions Transfer To Plant (System)⁶ 8

17

Q. Are the capital additions for the calendar year 2021, included in each

18 witness's testimony, treated differently than other capital additions in this rate case?

19

A. Yes. The Company's historical test period is twelve-months ended September

20

30, 2021. Included as pro forma adjustments are the three-month capital additions transferring

⁵ After completion of Ms. Andrews' revenue requirement results in December 2021, but prior to the filing of the Company's case in January 2022, actual transfer to plant through December 2021 will occur. The Company will, therefore, provide all actual transfers to plant for 2021 and update its pro forma 2021 capital adjustment as soon as available in the first quarter of 2022.

⁶ The balances in Table No. 1 above reflect transfers to plant during each year, whereas the \$445 million annually planned through 2026 as referenced by Company witness Mr. Thies, refers to annual capital spend. These balances, therefore, will vary from that discussed by Mr. Thies.

1	to plant for the period October 2021 (actual additions), and November and December 2021
2	(expected additions). The Company will provide to all parties the finalized actual 2021
3	transfers to plant detail and updated 2021 pro forma adjustments for audit and review, after
4	close of calendar 2021 and soon as available in the first quarter of 2022. However, as
5	discussed by Ms. Andrews, the additions for the Company's EIM project have Pro Forma
6	additions through the June 2022 calendar year. As these assets have already been approved,
7	subject to review and refund, per Order 08/05, in Docket UE-200900, et. al., it was appropriate
8	to maintain the "pro forma" characterization of these assets. A final report on the EIM project
9	after its go-live date in March of 2022, will be filed for party review in July 2022.
10	The capital transfers to plant for the 2022 – 2024 calendar years are included as
11	"provisional" adjustments, and subject to review and refund in future periods through future
10	reporting, as discussed by Ms. Andrews.
12	reporting, as discussed by Mis. Andrews.
12	Q. Why is it important the Commission approve the capital adjustments as
13	Q. Why is it important the Commission approve the capital adjustments as
13 14	Q. Why is it important the Commission approve the capital adjustments as proposed in the Company's Two-Year Rate Plan?
13 14 15	 Q. Why is it important the Commission approve the capital adjustments as proposed in the Company's Two-Year Rate Plan? A. The Commission's approval of all capital additions from January 1, 2021
13 14 15 16	 Q. Why is it important the Commission approve the capital adjustments as proposed in the Company's Two-Year Rate Plan? A. The Commission's approval of all capital additions from January 1, 2021 through December 31, 2024, over the Two-Year Rate Plan, is important because the Company
13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Why is it important the Commission approve the capital adjustments as proposed in the Company's Two-Year Rate Plan? A. The Commission's approval of all capital additions from January 1, 2021 through December 31, 2024, over the Two-Year Rate Plan, is important because the Company is making substantial levels of capital investment in its electric and natural gas system
 13 14 15 16 17 18 	 Q. Why is it important the Commission approve the capital adjustments as proposed in the Company's Two-Year Rate Plan? A. The Commission's approval of all capital additions from January 1, 2021 through December 31, 2024, over the Two-Year Rate Plan, is important because the Company is making substantial levels of capital investment in its electric and natural gas system infrastructure to address customer growth, replacement and maintenance of Avista's aging
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 	 Q. Why is it important the Commission approve the capital adjustments as proposed in the Company's Two-Year Rate Plan? A. The Commission's approval of all capital additions from January 1, 2021 through December 31, 2024, over the Two-Year Rate Plan, is important because the Company is making substantial levels of capital investment in its electric and natural gas system infrastructure to address customer growth, replacement and maintenance of Avista's aging system, and to sustain reliability and safety for all customers. As soon as any new plant is
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	 Q. Why is it important the Commission approve the capital adjustments as proposed in the Company's Two-Year Rate Plan? A. The Commission's approval of all capital additions from January 1, 2021 through December 31, 2024, over the Two-Year Rate Plan, is important because the Company is making substantial levels of capital investment in its electric and natural gas system infrastructure to address customer growth, replacement and maintenance of Avista's aging system, and to sustain reliability and safety for all customers. As soon as any new plant is placed in service, the Company is required to start depreciating the capitalized cost and incur

1

than similar plant that was embedded in rates decades earlier.

it has a negative impact on Avista's earnings, due to new plant typically being far more costly

2

3 Furthermore, as discussed within Company witness Mr. Vermillion's testimony, it is 4 essential to close the "regulatory lag" gap while adjusting to a Multi-Year Rate Plan. In order 5 to accommodate a revenue requirement that appropriately provides cost recovery of plant 6 additions through Rate Year 1, and subsequently during Rate Year 2, plant additions were 7 included as provisional adjustments. As noted previously, these projects will be subject to 8 review and refund in future filings. This ensures the Commission has the opportunity to 9 determine prudency of additions in future years, protect customers by confirming they pay 10 only for prudently incurred net plant investment, and allow the Company an opportunity (not 11 a guarantee) to earn its authorized returns.

12

13

III. CAPITAL ADDITIONS ASSIGNED TO WASHINGTON

14

Q. What is the impact on Washington electric and natural gas gross Plant in 15 Service for system additions discussed above?

16 The Company is proposing within its Two-Year Rate Plan to include all A. 17 Washington electric and natural gas actual transfers to plant for calendar 2021 and expected transfers to plant through calendar year 2022 on an EOP basis, and the average-of-monthly 18 19 average (AMA) balances for expected 2023 additions within Rate Year 1. For Rate Year 2, 20 Avista has included the incremental amount of 2023 additions on an EOP basis beyond that 21 included in Rate Year 1, and AMA plant expected for 2024 additions within Rate Year 2. 22 First, Table Nos. 2 and 3 below provide gross capital additions - by witness - for

23 calendar 2021 (including test period amounts for nine-months January 1, 2021 through

September 30, 2021, and pro forma additions October 1, 2021 through December 31, 2021),
allocated or directly assigned to Washington electric (Table No. 2) and Washington natural
gas (Table No. 3), that are included in Rate Year 1. These balances are included in my Capital
Additions Adjustments plant-in-service amounts shown in Exh. JBB-2. Three distinct projects
have adjustments specific to themselves, sponsored by Ms. Andrews, related to Wildfire, EIM
and Colstrip Unit 3 and 4 investments, and are included in the tables below.⁷

7 <u>Table No. 2 – Washington Electric 2021 Gross Transfers To Plant – by Witness</u>

8 9	Washington Electr	ric 202	21 Gross T \$ in 000	sfers To Pla	nt –	by Witness ¹
10	Witness		/01/2021- /30/2021	0/01/2021- 12/31/2021	T	otal 2021
11	Mr. Thackston	\$	35,424	\$ 12,291	\$	47,715
	Ms. Rosentrater		92,808	38,938		131,746
12	Mr. Kensok		10,725	12,892		23,617
	Mr. Magalasky		4,318	3,201		7,519
13	Mr. Kinney		6,575	32		6,607
	Mr. Howell		8,752	2,504		11,256
14	Total	\$	158,602	\$ 69,858	\$	228,460
15	¹ Excludes impact of retiren	nents.				

⁷ These balances reflect gross additions as shown in Exh. JBB-2, prior to the impact of retirements. Amounts included for Wildfire, Colstrip and EIM can be found in Ms. Andrews' Exhs. EMA-2 and EMA-3 and workpapers.

Washington Natural	Gas 20	21 Gross \$ in 00		sfers To P	'lant	i – by Wi
	01/0	1/2021-	10/	01/2021-		
Witness	09/3	0/2021	12	/31/2021	To	tal 2021
Mr. Thackston	\$	2	\$	-	\$	2
Ms. Rosentrater		33,838		11,168		45,006
Mr. Kensok		3,287		3,505		6,792
Mr. Magalasky		1,282		891		2,173
Total	\$	38,407	\$	15,564	\$	53,971
¹ Excludes impact of retiren	nents.					

1 <u>Table No. 3 – Washington Natural Gas 2021 Gross Transfers To Plant – by Witness</u>

9 Next, in addition to 2021 plant additions, Table Nos. 4 and 5 below, provide the gross 10 additions by witness for calendars 2022 - 2024 that are allocated or directly assigned to 11 Washington electric and Washington natural gas (included as "provisional" capital additions in Rate Year 1 (2022 and 2023) and Rate Year 2 (2024)).⁸ These balances have further been 12 13 categorized into the groups consistent with the Commission's Used and Useful Policy Statement for "provisional" adjustments, as included in my Capital Additions Adjustments 14 Model as shown in Exh. JBB-2⁹. Three distinct projects have "provisional" adjustments 15 specific to themselves and sponsored by Ms. Andrews, related to Wildfire, EIM and Colstrip 16 Unit 3 and 4 investments.¹⁰ 17

⁸ Consolidated summary adjustments by year are input into Ms. Andrews electric and natural gas Pro Forma Studies. For detailed columns by groupings for 2022-2024, see Exh. JBB-2, for capital additions by categories: 1) Large and Distinct; 2) Programmatic; 3) Mandatory and Compliance; and 4) Short Lived Assets.

⁹ Consolidated summary adjustments by year are input into Ms. Andrews electric and natural gas Pro Forma Studies as 2022 EOP additions, 2023 AMA additions, and 2024 AMA additions. For detailed columns for 2022-2024 provisional adjustments by category: 1) Large and Distinct; 2) Programmatic; 3) Mandatory and Compliance; and 4) Short Lived Assets, see Exh. JBB-2.

¹⁰ The large and distinct projects of Wildfire, Colstrip Units 3 and 4, and EIM are separately included and sponsored by Ms. Andrews in her electric and natural gas Pro Forma Studies, and therefore, are not shown in my Exh. JBB-2.

2				W	-		ectric 2022						5				
3					Gross T	rans	fers To Pla	int	- by Witr	less	(\$ 000's)						
4	Witness		ort-Lived Assets		gramatic		ndatory & mpliance		arge &)istinct	W	Vildfire	i	EIM		olstrip ts 3 & 4		Total
5	Additions Twelve Thackston	e Mo S	nths End 2,294		ecember 3 4,402		45,418	•	8,450	ç		s		s	4,046	s	64,610
6	Rosentrater Kensok	2	- 13,545	2	74,351 3,078	3	45,418 24,184 552	3	9,869 3,178	3	-	3	-	2	-		108,404 20,353
7	Magalsky Kinney		6,660		2,775		-		-		-		7,779		-		9,435 7,779
8	Howell 2022 EOP Total		22,499		- 84,606		70,154		21,497		14,789 14,789		7,779		- 4.046		14,789 225,370
9	Additions Twelve	e Mo		A De		1, 202											
10	Thackston Rosentrater		856 -		1,619 33,333		2,059 7,760		15,474 4,311		-		-		542 -		20,550 45,404
11	Kensok Magalsky		6,351 1,149		722 1,613		- 101		411		-		-		-		7,585 2,762
12	Kinney Howell		-		-		-		-		- 7,938		125		-		125 7,938
13	2023 AMA Additions		8,356		37,287		9,920		20,196		7,938		125		542		84,364
13	Rate Year 1 Total (excludes 2021 additions)	<u>s</u>	30,855	<u>s</u>	121,893	<u>s</u>	80,074	<u>s</u>	41,693	<u>\$</u>	22,727	<u>s</u>	7,904	<u>s</u>	4,588	<u>s</u>	309,734
15	Additions Twelve	e Mo	nths AM	A De	cember 3	1, 202	24										
15	Thackston Rosentrater	S	1,440	S	4,658 113,647	S	3,711 14,011	S	17,910 9,379	S	-	S	-	S	3,027	\$	30,746 137,037
17	Kensok Magalsky		22,804 6,852		1,270 3,801		476		1,553		-		-		-		26,103 10,653
18	Kinney Howell				:		:		1		- 17 ,69 4		- 243		1		243 17,694
19	Additions (Rate Year 2 Incremental)	s	31,096	s	123,376	s	18,198	s	28,842	s	17,694	s	243	s	3,027	s	222,476
20	Rate Year 1 and 2 Totals	s	61,951	s	245,269	s	98,272	S		s			8,147	s	7,615		532,210
21	(excludes 2021 ad Excludes impacts of a																

1 Table No. 4 - Washington Electric 2022-2024 Gross Transfers To Plant – by Witness

Was						4 Provision Witness (S		-		
	Gr	oss irans	iers	10 Flant	- by	witness (\$	000	s)		
	Sh	ort-Lived			ма	ndatory &	T.	arge &		
Witness		Assets		gramatic		mpliance		istinct		Total
Additions Twelve				<u> </u>		•				
					,					
Thackston	s	-	s	14	s	97	s	1	s	112
Rosentrater		-		33,430		18,449		1,564		53,443
Kensok		3,525		933		172		958		5,588
Magalsky		2,103		-		-		-		2,103
2022 EOP Total		5,628		34,377		18,718		2,523		61,246
Additions Twelve	Mon	ths AMA	Dec	ember 31,	202	3				
Thackston		-		4		1		1		6
Rosentrater		-		9,765		8,644		722		19,131
Kensok		1,371		220		32		122		1,745
Magalsky		363		-		-		-		363
2023 AMA										
AdditionsTotal		1,734		9,989		8,677		845		21,245
Rate Year 1 Total (excludes 2021								2.260		02 (01
additions)	\$	7,302	3	44,366	3	27,395	3	3,368	3	82,491
Additions Twelve	Mon	ths AMA	Dec	ember 31,	202	4				
Thackston	S	-	S	13	S	2				
Rosentrater		-		23,339		18,389		1,826		43,554
Kensok		4,730		392		148		464		5,734
Magalsky		2,164		-		-		-		2,164
2024 AMA Additions (Rate Year 2						10 530				
Incremental)		6,894		23,744		18,539		2,325		51,502
Rate Year 1 and 2 Totals	\$	14,256	\$	68,110	s	64,473	s	8,018	s	185,495
1										

1 <u>Table No. 5 - Washington Natural Gas 2022-2024 Gross Transfers To Plant – by Witness</u>

Detailed information shown in the tables above, by pro forma and provisional adjustment by period, are available in native Exh. JBB-2 and workpapers provided to all

Direct Testimony of Justin A Baldwin-Bonney Avista Corporation Docket Nos. UE-22_____ & UG-22_____

(excludes 2021 additions) Excludes impacts of retirements.

19

20

21

1 parties in this proceeding.¹¹

- 2 Using the information provided in Table Nos. 2 5 above, Table No. 6 below provides
- 3 a <u>summary of total transfers to plant balances by year</u>, and in total over the Two-Year Rate
- 4 <u>Plan</u> for Washington electric and natural gas operations, as follows:

5 Table No. 6 - WA System 2021 - 2024 (Two-Year Rate Plan) Gross Transfers To Plant

		WA Sys	stem 202	1 - 2	024 (Two	-Year Rate \$ in 000's	Pla	n) Gross	Tra	nsfers To	Plai	at ¹		
						Rate	Ye	ear 1				Rate Y (Incren		_
Total By Year		an -Sept 2021	Oct - De 2021	ec	Total 2021	Total 2022		Total 2023	R	ate Year 1 Total		Total 2024	Ra	ite Year 2 Total
Electric	\$	158,602	\$ 69,85	8 \$	228,460	\$ 225,370	\$	84,364	\$	538,194	\$	222,476	\$	222,476
Natural Gas	\$	38,407	\$ 15,56	4 \$	53,971	\$ 61,246	\$	21,245	\$ \$	136,462 674,656	\$	51,502	\$ \$	51,502 273,978
		est Period Amounts 1-Q'3 2021	Pro Form Amounts Q'4 2021	s	Includes Pro Forma Q'4 2021	Provisional Adjustments 2022	_	rovisional ljustments 2023	_			Provisional djustments 2024		
				•						ditions - Elec				760,670
¹ Excludes impact	of ret	tirements, v	vhich would	d low	er the overal					ns - Natural (F.		(2021-2024) R Rate Plan		187,964 948,634

As can be seen in Table No. 6 above, Rate Year 1 Washington electric and natural gas gross plant additions, prior to the effect of retirements totals, \$538.2 million and \$136.5 million, respectively. For Rate Year 2, Washington electric and natural gas gross plant additions, prior to the effect of retirements totals, \$222.5 million and \$51.5 million, respectively. As discussed by Ms. Andrews, these gross plant additions (adjusted for retirements, accumulated depreciation (A/D) and accumulated deferred federal income taxes (ADFIT)) are the main drivers of the Company's Two-Year Rate Plan.

21

Gross Plant Additions reflected in the capital adjustments for Rate Year 1 are

¹¹ Detailed information shown in the tables above sponsored by Ms. Andrews related to Wildfire, Colstrip Unit 3 and 4, and EIM investments, for pro forma or provisional rate period adjustments, are available in native Exh. EMA-2, as well as workpapers provided to all parties in this proceeding.

1

2

understandably larger than in Rate Year 2 because they encompass three years of new capital additions (2021-2023), versus one year (2024) for Rate Year 2.¹²

Q. As shown in the tables above, the Company included specific provisional capital additions for 2022 and 2023 within Rate Year 1, and provisional additions during 2024 for Rate Year 2, within its request for rate relief. Would you please elaborate on how these provisional capital additions were prepared?

7 Yes. As discussed by Ms. Andrews, the Company typically has approximately A. 120 plus projects (Business Cases)¹³ completed on an annual basis which represent the 8 9 approximate \$445 million of capital spending for any given year. In order to facilitate the 10 auditing of the projects, the Company grouped its Business Cases to fit into the Commission 11 defined categories in its Used and Useful Policy Statement. These categories are: 1) specific, 12 identifiable, and distinct, titled: Large and Distinct; 2) programmatic (on-going programs or 13 scheduled investments), and 3) short-lived assets. The Company also uses a 4th category 14 reflecting projects that are mainly "programmatic," but required to meet regulatory and other 15 mandatory obligations, titled: 4) Mandatory and Compliance. 16 There are a few specific projects that are accounted for outside of these that include 17 EIM, Wildfire Resiliency Plan, and Colstrip Units 3 & 4. These were isolated from the general

- 18 additions to allow for specific review for the projects. The additions have their own
- 19 adjustments, both for pro forma additions as well as the provisional adjustments, based on the

¹² As discussed by Ms. Andrews, and shown in Table No. 6 above, Rate Year 1 transfers to plant are significantly larger than Rate Year 2. Rate Year 1 serves to capture (or "catch up") capital deployed since January 2021, not previously included in the most recent Order, through 2023. This is essentially a 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ year period, above current authorized levels, as compared to RY2, which covers 2024 capital additions. Capital additions included in 2023 are included on an AMA basis, therefore, resulting in $2\frac{1}{2}$ years additions in RY1. The incremental 2023 balance not in RY1 (since is 2023 AMA) is included in RY2, with 2024 additions included on an AMA basis, essentially resulting in 1 year of overall capital additions in RY2.

¹³ Business Cases are often referred to as projects used throughout the Company's filed testimony and exhibits, which for these purposes, are synonymous.

1 timing of the addition. As Ms. Andrews notes, EIM capital additions through 2022 were 2 previously approved and are subject to review and refund as stated in Docket UE-200900 et. 3 al., and the anticipated additions of \$7,810,000 allocated to Washington electric for 2022 are 4 considered pro forma. Ongoing capital costs associated with enhancing and upgrading the 5 EIM software in 2023 and 2024 are considered provisional and will be subject to review and refund in a future period.¹⁴ Ms. Andrew's also provides further testimony on adjustments 6 7 related to the operating expense of the EIM, as well as the Wildfire Resiliency Plan and 8 Colstrip Units 3 & 4 additions and associated expense.

9

Q. Please describe how the system capital additions specific to Washington electric and natural gas are derived. 10

11 The Company directly assigns costs when appropriate. Costs not specifically A. 12 identifiable to a specific jurisdiction are allocated in accordance with an approved allocation 13 procedure. This process designates costs as common to all services and jurisdictions (CD.AA), 14 common to electric operations only (ED.AN), common to natural gas operations in 15 Washington and Idaho only (GD.AN), or common to natural gas operations only (GD.AA), 16 as provided in Ms. Andrews' Exh. EMA-4.

17 0. Are assets placed in service for new customers included within your 18 adjustments?

19 A. Yes, they are. Additions associated with new customers (growth capital) were 20 included in the pro forma and provisional capital transfers to plant, and ultimately in Ms. 21 Andrews's electric and natural gas Pro Forma Studies. In addition, matching with this 22 investment, the Company also included the corresponding new revenues generated from this

¹⁴ See Ms. Andrews testimony at Exh. EMA-1T for the Company's proposed reporting of 2022 – 2024 capital additions in future periods, subject to future review and refund.

- growth capital, included as an offset against capital investment, as discussed by Ms. Andrews.
 This allows for all plant in service used to provide electric and natural gas utility service to
 customers within the rate effective period to be included.
- 4 Q. Has the Company included all offsetting factors it has determined 5 applicable to the capital additions it included in this case related to 2022 through 2024 6 additions?

7 A. Yes. Ms. Andrews, at Exh. EMA-1T, summarizes all offsetting factors 8 associated with 2022 - 2024 capital additions considered appropriate at this time to include. 9 As discussed by Ms. Andrews, the Company has included (and specific capital witnesses 10 provide further detail, in relation to the capital additions they sponsor): 1) all direct offsets to 11 O&M where applicable, 2) a "2% O&M efficiency" adjustment, reducing O&M expense, for 12 all remaining capital Business Cases (not required for compliance purposes), and 3) offsetting 13 revenue associated with growth revenue (Growth Capital Business Cases), as well as EIM benefits included the net power supply adjustment (EIM business Case.).¹⁵ In addition, also 14 included in Ms. Andrews' summary discussion of offsets, is the incremental reduction to 15 16 depreciation expense of including retirements, as well as the impact on net plant investment 17 (after ADFIT), by adjusting all existing plant investment for A/D and ADFIT through the 18 Two-Year Rate Plan as of December 31, 2023 (AMA) for Rate Year 1 and December 31, 19 2024 (AMA) for Rate Year 2. All retirements, and the changes to A/D and ADFIT can be 20 seen within the native version of Exh. JBB-2.

21

22

Q. Can you elaborate on the inclusion of retirements, and their significant reduction to depreciation expense included in the Company's case over the Two-Year

¹⁵ See also detailed direct O&M offsets, "2% efficiency" adjustment O&M offset, and indirect offsets by Business Case, by witness, as well as individual Business Case Offset Forms, at Exh. EMA-5.

1 **Rate Plan?**

2 Yes. As summarized by Ms. Andrews and included within my capital A. adjustments for the period September 30, 2021 through 2024 AMA, I have included the 3 4 following retirements, reducing overall depreciation expense.

5 **Table No. 7 – Expense Reduction Due to Plant Retirements**

6 7	Expense Reduction	due \$00		tirem	ents
8]	Electric	Nat	ural Gas
0	2021 Q4 Retirements	\$	(145)	\$	(41)
9	2022 Retirements EOP	\$	(5,328)	\$	(1,362)
10	2023 Retirements AMA	\$	(6,976)	\$	(1,807)
11	Rate Year 1	\$	(12,449)	\$	(3,210)
12	2024 Retirements AMA	\$	(9,154)	\$	(2,501)
13	Rate Year 2	\$	(21,603)	\$	(5,711)

14 As can be seen in Table No. 7 above, the Company has included a reduction to depreciation expense for retirements of \$12.4 million electric and \$3.2 million natural gas in 15 16 Rate Year 1, and an additional reduction in expense of \$9.2 million electric and \$2.5 million 17 for natural gas in Rate Year 2 – totaling \$21.6 million and \$5.7 million, respectively over the Two-Year Rate Plan. 18

19

Please explain the causes of the significant retirements, reducing **Q**. 20 depreciation expense in this case.

21 A. Retirements over the Two-Year Rate Plan are mostly due to the increased 22 investment in 5-year short-lived assets over the last several years, which have begun in larger

quantities to retire. This includes additions for Project Compass¹⁶ which had significant software applications placed in service in 2016, with subsequent enhancements having a fiveyear life. In 2017 Project Phoenix¹⁷, the replacement of the Company's web portal, went live and in 2018 and in 2019 large portions of AMI software were transferred to plant. As the Company continues to invest in its software solutions, there continue to be new upgrades and enhancements to these assets, which are short-lived.

- 7
- 8

IV. SUMMARY OF CAPITAL ADJUSTMENTS

9 Q. Please summarize the capital-related adjustments included by the
10 Company in this case.

11 A. As discussed by Ms. Andrews, the electric and natural gas Pro Forma Studies 12 over the Two-Year Rate Plan include traditional restating, pro forma and provisional 13 adjustments for Rate Year 1 and Rate Year 2.

14 Electric Capital Adjustments

As shown in Table No. 8 below, with regard to electric capital investments, the Company started with utility plant rate base balances from historical accounting information, which for this case consists of the actual AMA balances for the twelve-months ended September 30, 2021, and made the following adjustments to <u>Washington electric operations</u> to reflect the net plant (after A/D and ADFIT) balances as of Rate Year 1 and Rate Year 2:

¹⁶ Project Compass was a large project to replace the Company's Customer Information System (CIS) with multiple differing software solutions to meet the growing needs of customers and the Company, including customer self service capabilities.

¹⁷ Project Phoenix was the title of the project to replace the previous www.avistautilities.com website with an updated, reliable foundation for providing portal, web content management, search and mobile web capabilities – www.myavista.com.

		Adj #		Plant in Service	 cumulated	Ac	cumulated DFIT	 et Plant er ADFl
	Rate Year 1 (December 2022	- Decem	ber 2	.023)				
	09/2021 AMA	Results	\$	3,376,734.0	\$ (1,150,819)	\$	(428,637)	\$ 1,797,2
(1)	Deferred FIT Rate Base	1.01					(680)	((
(2)	Restate 9/30 AMA to EOP	2.15		95,037	(43,971)		23,123	74,
(3)	Pro Forma AMI Amortization	3.04		-	(20,967)		-	(20,9
(4)	Pro Forma Additions Q4 2021	3.15		56,408	(16,358)		(5,216)	34,8
(5)	Pro Forma EIM 2022 EOP	3.17		7,811	(1,274)		(235)	6,3
(6)	Pro Forma Wildfire Q4 2021	3.18		2,504	(7)		-	2,4
(7)	Pro Forma Colstrip Adds	3.19		(1,983)	(2,998)		9	(4,9
(8)	Provisional 12.31.2022 EOP	4.01		145,097	(66,079)		(620)	78,2
(9)	Provisional 12.31.2023 AMA	4.02		56,064	(41,189)		(694)	14,1
(10)	Provisional Wildfire 2022 EOP	4.04		14,789	(269)		(714)	13,
(11)	Provisioanl Wildfire 2023 AMA	4.05		7,938	(305)		(498)	7,
(12)	Provisional Colstrip 2022 EOP	4.06		4,046	(12,122)		1	(8,
(13)	Provisional Colstrip 2023 AMA	4.07		542	(6,481)		71	(5,
(14)	Provisional EIM Additions AMA	4.08		125	(862)		(165)	(
	Rate Year 1 Total		\$	3,765,112	\$ (1,363,701)	\$	(414,255)	\$ 1,987,
	Rate Year 2 (December 2022	- Decem	ber 2	(023)				
(15)	Provisional 12.31.2024 AMA	5.08	\$	142,809	\$ (64,607)	\$	(1,416)	\$ 76,
(16)	Provisional Wildfire 2024 AMA	5.10		17,694	(917)		(1,087)	15,
(17)	Provisional Colstrip 2024 AMA	5.11		3,027	(13,707)		279	(10,
(18)	Provisional EIM 2024 AMA	5.12		243	(1,662)		(150)	(1,
1	Rate Year 2 Total		\$	3,928,885	\$ (1,444,594)	\$	(416,629)	\$ 2,067,

1 Table No. 8 – Washington Electric Plant Adjustments

Descriptions of each adjustment for Rate Year 1 and Rate Year 2 follow, with supporting exhibits provided as Exh. JBB-2; supporting detailed workpapers will be provided to all parties. Supporting information for adjustments sponsored by Ms. Andrews (related to Wildfire, Colstrip Unit 3 and 4, and EIM) are provided with Exh. EMA-2 and Exh. EMA-3; Ms. Andrews' associated workpapers will be provided to all parties.

1 Rate Year 1 –

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

- (1) Adjustment (1.01) Deferred FIT Rate Base: This adjustment adjusts the electric and natural gas accumulated deferred federal income tax (ADFIT) rate base balance included in the Results of Operations at 09.2021 to the adjusted ADFIT balance reflected on an AMA basis. ADFIT reflects the deferred tax balances arising from accelerated tax depreciation (Accelerated Cost Recovery System, or ACRS, and Modified Accelerated Cost Recovery, or MACRS) and bond refinancing premiums.
 - (2) Adjustment (2.15) Restate September 2021 AMA Rate Base to EOP: This adjustment restates plant-in-service, accumulated depreciation (A/D) and ADFIT from Average of Monthly Averages (AMA) to End of Period (EOP) for the historic test year.
 - (3) Adjustment (3.04) PF AMI Amortization: As sponsored and further discussed by Ms. Andrews, this adjustment, in part, reflects a reduction to A/D to remove the expired meters replaced by the Company's Automated Meter Infrastructure (AMI) investment, previously approved by the Commission in Docket UE-200900, et. al. The expired meters have been reclassified as a Regulatory Asset and amortized over the remaining twelve-year life of the AMI project.
 - (4) PF Adjustment (3.15) PF Additions September 2021 EOP December 2021; This adjustment restates 09.2021 EOP historic test year balances to EOP balances as of December 31, 2021. This adjustment was comprised of three components. First, incremental depreciation expense on existing plant as of September 30, 2021 was determined through the end of the year, as was the associated A/D and ADFIT. The second component included actual additions for the month of October and expected additions for November and December 2021. Increases in expense, A/D and ADFIT were calculated on these assets. Lastly, retirements expected to be incurred during the fourth quarter of 2021, reducing expense and gross plant and increasing A/D and ADFIT for the period. All of these adjustments are omitting EIM, Wildfire Recovery, and Colstrip additions to plant and are sponsored by Ms. Andrews.
- 36 (5) PF Adjustment (3.17) – PF EIM 2021-2022 EOP; As sponsored and further 37 discussed by Ms. Andrews, this adjustment pro forms EIM additions from October 1, 2021 through the final EIM "go-live" and project completion March 2022 (plus 38 trailing invoices through June 2022), reflecting amounts previously approved in 39 40 Docket UE-200900, et. al. (subject to review and refund during this proceeding). 41 In addition, this adjustment includes annual depreciation expense, and the impact 42 on A/D and ADFIT. Finally, this adjustment includes incremental EIM expenses. (EIM benefits are included with PF Power Supply Adj. 3.00P.) 43 44
- 45
- (6) **PF Adjustment (3.18) PF Wildfire Q4 2021 EOP;** As sponsored and further

discussed by Ms. Andrews, this adjustment pro forms Wildfire additions from October 1, 2021 through December 2021. In addition, this adjustment includes annual depreciation expense, and the impact on A/D and ADFIT.

- (7) **PF Adjustment (3.19) PF Colstrip Q4 2021 EOP;** As sponsored and further discussed by Ms. Andrews, this adjustment pro forms Colstrip Unit 3 and 4 additions from October 1, 2021 through December 2021. In addition, this adjustment includes annual depreciation expense, and the impact on A/D and ADFIT. Finally, this adjustment includes regulatory amortization expense associated with the Colstrip Regulatory Asset previously approved by the Commission.
- 12 PV Adjustment (4.01) – Capital Groups 2022 Additions EOP; PV Adjustment 13 (8) - (9)14 (4.02) Provisional Capital Groups 2023 Additions AMA: These adjustments are composed of three parts. The first is the annualized effects of the plant in service 15 16 as of December 31, 2021, adjusting to annualized depreciation expense and annual effects on A/D and ADFIT. Effects of short-lived assets were independently 17 calculated, as their shorter lives provide a ceiling to potential accumulated 18 19 depreciation. The second component was to account for the effects from 20 retirements, both the annualization of Q4 2021 retirements, and the retirements 21 that were incurred during the subsequent calendar years. Lastly, additions to plant 22 in service were calculated to show gross plant additions, associated increased 23 depreciation expense, increased A/D, and ADFIT using the Company's expected 24 transfers to plant for 2022 (on an EOP basis) and 2023 (on an AMA basis). Within 25 each adjustment, capital additions are classified within reporting groups of Short-26 Lived Assets, Programmatic additions, Mandatory & Compliance additions, and 27 Large & Distinct. EIM, Wildfire Rescue Plan, and Colstrip Units 3 & 4 additions 28 are not included in these totals. The result of these adjustments moves total net 29 plant to 2022 EOP (4.01) and then forward to 2023 AMA (4.02) for Rate Year 1. 30
- (10) (11) PV (4.04)¹⁸ Provisional Wildfire 2022 EOP; PV (4.05) Provisional Wildfire 31 32 2023 AMA: As sponsored and further discussed by Ms. Andrews, these 33 adjustments provide the provisional additions specific to the Company's Wildfire 34 Resiliency Plan, including plant additions, associated increased depreciation 35 expense, increased A/D, and ADFIT using the Company's expected transfers to plant for 2022 (on an EOP basis) and 2023 (on an AMA basis). The result of these 36 37 adjustments moves total net plant for Wildfire investment to 2022 EOP (4.04) and 38 then forward to 2023 AMA (4.05) for Rate Year 1. In addition, adjustment PV 39 (4.04), includes incremental Wildfire expenses (proposing a new Company 40 Wildfire Balancing Account Baseline).
- 41 42

43

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

^{(12) - (13)} PV (4.06) Provisional Colstrip 2022 EOP; PV (4.07) – Provisional Colstrip 2023 AMA: As sponsored and further discussed by Ms. Andrews, these

¹⁸ PF (4.03), as discussed by Ms. Andrews, includes adjustment "2022-2023 Offsets Capital Additions and Revenue", reflecting direct offsets to O&M expense and direct offsets to other revenue, related to capital additions in 2022 and 2023, reflecting matching of expenses, rate base and revenues during Rate Year 1.

adjustments provide the provisional additions specific to the Company's Colstrip Unit 3 and 4 investment, including plant additions, associated increased depreciation expense (using an accelerated depreciation schedule of 3-years and 2-years, respectively), and impact on A/D and ADFIT, using the Company's expected transfers to plant for 2022 (on an EOP basis) and 2023 (on an AMA basis). In addition, the Colstrip Regulatory Asset and Colstrip regulatory amortization is adjusted to reflect the appropriate amortization for each time period. The result of these adjustments moves <u>total net plant</u> for Colstrip investment to 2022 EOP (4.06) and then forward to 2023 AMA (4.07) for Rate Year 1.

(14) PV (4.08) Provisional EIM 2023 AMA: As sponsored and further discussed by Ms. Andrews, this adjustment provides the provisional additions specific to the Company's EIM investment, including plant additions, associated increased depreciation expense, increased A/D, and ADFIT using the Company's expected transfers to plant for 2023 (on an AMA basis). The result of this adjustment moves total net plant for EIM investment to 2023 AMA (4.08) for Rate Year 1.

19 Rate Year 2

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

- 20 (15) PV Adjustment (5.08) Provisional Capital Groups 2024 Additions AMA: This 21 adjustment reflects incremental 2023 capital additions above the 2023 AMA 22 amounts included in Rate Year 1, as well as, expected transfers to plant for 2024 23 (on an AMA basis), reflecting gross plant additions, associated increased 24 depreciation expense, and increased A/D and ADFIT as of 2024 AMA. Similar to 25 Rate Year 1's capital adjustments for "Capital Groups", additions are classified 26 within reporting groups of Short-Lived Assets, Programmatic additions, 27 Mandatory & Compliance additions, and Large & Distinct and affects plant 28 balances, depreciation expense, A/D, and ADFIT balances. This adjustment also 29 accounts for the effects from retirements, both the annualization of O4 2021 retirements, and the retirements that were incurred during the subsequent calendar 30 31 years. The result of these adjustments moves total net plant to 2024 AMA (5.08) for Rate Year 2.19 32 33
- 34 PV Adjustment (5.10) - Provisional Wildfire 2024 Additions AMA: As (16)35 sponsored and further discussed by Ms. Andrews, this adjustment reflects incremental 2023 capital additions above the 2023 AMA amounts included in Rate 36 37 Year 1, as well as, expected transfers to plant for 2024 (on an AMA basis) specific 38 to the Wildfire Resiliency Plan. This includes depreciation expense, plant 39 balances, and the effects on A/D, and ADFIT. The result of this adjustments 40 moves total net plant for Wildfire investment forward to 2024 AMA (5.10) for 41 Rate Year 2.

¹⁹ PV (5.09), as discussed by Ms. Andrews, includes adjustment "2024 Offsets Capital Additions and Revenue", reflecting direct offsets to O&M expense and direct offsets to other revenue, related to incremental capital additions in 2023 (on EOP basis) and 2024 (on an AMA basis), reflects matching of expenses, rate base and revenues during Rate Year 2.

1		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	(17)	PV Adjustment (5.11) – Provisional Colstrip 2024 Additions AMA: As sponsored and further discussed by Ms. Andrews, this adjustment reflects incremental 2023 capital additions above the 2023 AMA amounts included in Rate Year 1, as well as, expected transfers to plant for 2024 (on an AMA basis) specific to the Colstrip Unit 3 and 4 investment. This includes depreciation expense, plant balances, and the effects on A/D, and ADFIT. In addition, the Colstrip Regulatory Asset is adjusted to reflect the appropriate balance as of 2024 AMA time period. The result of this adjustment moves total net plant for Colstrip Unit 3 and 4 investment forward to 2024 AMA (5.11) for Rate Year 2.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	(18)	PV Adjustment (5.12) – Provisional EIM 2024 Additions AMA: As sponsored and further discussed by Ms. Andrews, this adjustment reflects incremental 2023 capital additions above the 2023 AMA amounts included in Rate Year 1, as well as, expected transfers to plant for 2024 (on an AMA basis) specific to the EIM investment. This includes depreciation expense, plant balances, and the effects on A/D, and ADFIT. The result of this adjustments moves total net plant for EIM investment forward to 2024 AMA (5.12) for Rate Year 2.
20 21	As	noted above, Ms. Andrews sponsors the electric capital adjustments, including pro
22	forma and	l provisional capital additions related to the Company's investments in EIM, its
23	Wildfire F	Plan, and Colstrip Units 3 and 4. ²⁰ Refer to her testimony for further discussion on
24	those capi	tal additions, and related expense, for the Two-Year Rate Plan.
25		
26	<u>Natural (</u>	Fas Capital Adjustments
27	As	shown in Table No. 9 below, with regards to natural gas capital investments, the
28	Company	started with utility plant rate base balances from historical accounting information,
29	which for	this case consists of the actual AMA balances for the twelve-months ended
30	September	r 30, 2021, and made the following adjustments to Washington natural gas
31	operation	s to reflect the net plant (after A/D and ADFIT) balances as of Rate Year 1 and Rate

²⁰ Also included in the adjustments described above sponsored by Ms. Andrews are the electric and natural gas AMI adjustments. They are included as these adjustments affect net plant by restating (decreasing) A/D for the removal of retired meters and being reclassified as a regulatory asset.

1 Year 2:

		Plant in		Accumulated		Accumulated		Net Plant	
	Adj #	Serv	vice	Dep	oreciation	DFIT		(After	ADFIT
Rate Year 1 (December 202)	2 - Decem	ber 2	023)						
09/2021 AMA	Results	\$	761,786	\$	(226,079)	\$	(97,558)	\$	438,149
(1) Deferred FIT Rate Base	1.01						227		227
(2) Restate 9/30 AMA to EOP	2.15		24,922		(9,823)		11,396		26,495
(3) Pro Forma AMI Amortization	3.04		-		(4,097)		-		(4,097
(4) Pro Forma Additions Q4 2021	3.15		12,524		(4,342)		2,566		10,748
(5) Provisional 12.31.2022 EOP	4.01		46,387		(15,354)		1,006		32,039
(6) Provisional 12.31.2023 AMA	4.02		15,948		(10,073)		712		6,587
Rate Year 1 Total		\$	861,567	\$	(269,768)	\$	(81,651)	\$	510,148
Rate Year 2 (December 202	2 - Decen	nber 2	023)						
(7) Provisional 12.31.2024 AMA	5.08	\$	35,550	\$	(14,811)	\$	1,459	\$	22,198
Rate Year 2 Total		\$	897,117	\$	(284,579)	\$	(80,192)	\$	532,340

2 <u>Table No. 9 – Washington Natural Gas Plant Adjustments</u>

12

Descriptions of each adjustment for Rate Year 1 and Rate Year 2 follow; with

- 13 supporting exhibits provided as Exh. JBB-2; supporting detailed workpapers have been
- 14 provided to all parties.

15 **<u>Rate Year 1 –</u>**

- 16 (1) Adjustment (1.01) – Deferred FIT Rate Base: This adjustment adjusts the 17 electric and natural gas accumulated deferred federal income tax (ADFIT) rate 18 base balance included in the Results of Operations at 09.2021 to the adjusted 19 ADFIT balance reflected on an Average-of-Monthly Averages (AMA) basis. 20 ADFIT reflects the deferred tax balances arising from accelerated tax depreciation 21 (Accelerated Cost Recovery System, or ACRS, and Modified Accelerated Cost Recovery, or MACRS) and bond refinancing premiums. 22 23 24 (2) Adjustment (2.15) – Restate September 2021 AMA Rate Base to EOP: This 25 adjustment restates plant-in-service, accumulated depreciation (A/D) and ADFIT 26 from an AMA to End-of-Period (EOP) for the historic test year. 27 28 (3) Adjustment (3.04) – PF AMI Amortization: As sponsored and further discussed
- 29by Ms. Andrews, this adjustment, in part, reflects a reduction to A/D to remove the30expired meters replaced by the Company's Automated Meter Infrastructure (AMI)31investment, previously approved by the Commission in Docket UE-200900, et. al.

The expired meters have been reclassified as a Regulatory Asset and amortized over the remaining twelve-year life of the AMI project.

- (4) PF Adjustment (3.15) PF Additions September 2021 EOP December 2021; This adjustment restates 09.2021 EOP historic test year balances to EOP balances as of December 31, 2021. This adjustment was comprised of three components. First, incremental depreciation expense on existing plant as of September 30, 2021 was determined through the end of the year, as was the associated A/D and ADFIT. The second component included actual additions for the month of October, and expected additions for November and December 2021. Increases in expense, A/D and ADFIT were calculated on these assets. Lastly, retirements incurred during the fourth quarter of 2021, reduce expense and gross plant and increase A/D and ADFIT for the period.
- 15 (5) - (6) PV Adjustment (4.01) – Capital Groups 2022 Additions EOP; PV Adjustment 16 (4.02) Provisional Capital Groups 2023 Additions AMA: These adjustments are 17 composed of three parts. The first is the annualized effects of the plant in service as of December 31, 2021, adjusting to annualized depreciation expense and annual 18 effects on A/D and ADFIT. Effects of short-lived assets were independently 19 20 calculated, as their shorter lives provide a ceiling to potential accumulated depreciation. The second component was to account for the effects from 21 22 retirements, both the annualization of Q4 2021 retirements, and the retirements 23 that were incurred during the subsequent calendar years. Lastly, additions to plant 24 in service were calculated to show gross plant additions, associated increased 25 depreciation expense, increased A/D, and ADFIT using the Company's expected 26 transfers to plant for 2022 (on an EOP basis) and 2023 (on an AMA basis). Within 27 each adjustment, capital additions are classified within reporting groups of Short-28 Lived Assets, Programmatic additions, Mandatory & Compliance additions, and 29 Large & Distinct. The result of these adjustments moves total net plant to 2022 EOP (4.01) and then forward to 2023 AMA (4.02).²¹ 30
- 32 Rate Year 2

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

31

33 (7)PV Adjustment (5.08) Provisional Capital Groups 2024 Additions AMA: This 34 adjustment reflects incremental 2023 capital additions above the 2023 AMA 35 amounts included in Rate Year 1, as well as, expected transfers to plant for 2024 (on an AMA basis), reflecting gross plant additions, associated increased 36 37 depreciation expense, and increased A/D and ADFIT as of 2024 AMA. Similar to 38 Rate Year 1's capital adjustments for "Capital Groups", additions are classified 39 within reporting groups of Short-Lived Assets, Programmatic additions, 40 Mandatory & Compliance additions, and Large & Distinct and effects plant 41 balances and A/D, depreciation expense, A/D, and ADFIT balances. This adjustment also accounts for the effects from retirements, both the annualization 42

²¹ PF (4.03), as discussed by Ms. Andrews, includes adjustment "2022-2023 Offsets Capital Additions and Revenue", reflecting direct offsets to O&M expense and direct offsets to other revenue, related to capital additions in 2022 and 2023, reflecting matching of expenses, rate base and revenues during Rate Year 1.

1 2 3	of Q4 2021 retirements, and the retirements that are experienced during the subsequent calendar years. The result of these adjustments moves total net plant to 2024 AMA (5.08) . ²²
4 5	Detailed calculations for each adjustment I sponsor have been provided in native Exh.
6	JBB-2 and workpapers will be provided to all parties.
7	Q. Based on the adjustments described above and provided in Table Nos. 8
8	and 9, please summarize the change in electric and natural gas <u>net plant (after ADFIT)</u>
9	for the Washington operations.
10	A. As sponsored by Ms. Andrews, the results of the electric and natural gas Pro
11	Forma Studies reflect the net plant after ADFIT that will be in service serving customers
12	during Rate Year 1 and Rate Year 2. Including adjustments for projects sponsored by myself
13	and Ms. Andrews (EIM, Wildfire, and Colstrip Units 3 and 4), for Rate Year 1, electric net
14	plant after ADFIT increases \$189,878,000, resulting from the September 30, 2021 AMA
15	results of operations balance of \$1,797,278,000, adjusted to the December 31, 2023 AMA
16	balance of \$1,987,156. For Rate Year 2, electric net plant after ADFIT, increases \$80,506,000
17	from the December 31, 2023 AMA balance to the December 31, 2024 AMA balance of
18	\$2,067,662,000.
19	For Rate Year 1 natural gas net plant after ADFIT, increases \$71,999,000 from the
20	September 30, 2021 AMA balance of \$438,149,000 to the December 31, 2023 AMA balance
21	of \$510,148,000. For Rate Year 2, natural gas net plant, after ADFIT, increases \$22,198,000
22	from the December 31, 2023 AMA balance to the December 31, 2024 AMA balance of
23	\$532,346,000. These balances can also be seen in Ms. Andrews' electric and natural gas Pro

²² PV (5.09), as discussed by Ms. Andrews, includes adjustment "2024 Offsets Capital Additions and Revenue", reflecting direct offsets to O&M expense and direct offsets to other revenue, related to incremental capital additions in 2023 (on EOP basis) and 2024 (on an AMA basis), reflecting matching of expenses, rate base and revenues during Rate Year 2.

2

3

1

V. PROGRAMMATIC – BLANKET INVESTMENTS

Forma Studies at Exh. EMA-2 and Exh. EMA-3.

Q. The Commission had questions regarding blanket programmatic
investments in the Company's prior GRC proceeding, as noted in Order 08/05, in
Dockets UE-200900, et. al. Can you please discuss your analysis with regard to blanket
programmatic investments in response to these concerns?

A. Yes. Specifically, the Commission in Order 08/05 of Docket UE-200900 et.
al., at para. 209, requested Avista demonstrate in its next filing that:

- the blanket programmatic projects it seeks to recover in any pro forma
 adjustment are incremental to the test year and will not result in double recovery of year-to-year costs in the test year for such projects;
- if...blanket programmatic projects lack material variation in year-to-year
 investment, Avista must show why these investments should not be normalized
 over an appropriate time period; and
- that Avista must demonstrate why normalizing its blanket programmatic
 investments is inappropriate

18 The Company uses the term "blanket" to characterize certain capital additions 19 (transfers to plant) within a few Business Cases. These types of blanket capital additions are 20 primarily within the electric and natural gas distribution systems. Work in these blankets can 21 be associated with minor capital repairs, such as repair and replacement of electric conductor 22 or replacement of originally-installed natural gas service lines. In addition, work performed 23 to connect new customers to utility services can also be included in this blanket process.

1 Examples of Business Cases that include blanket capital additions are Distribution Minor

2 Rebuild, Gas Non-Revenue Program, Gas Regulator Station Replacement Program, and Gas

3 Reinforcement Program.

4

Q. Have you prepared a table showing variation from year-to-year?

5 A. Yes. The table below details the Programmatic Blanket Business Cases within

6 the Company's Pro Forma Studies and shows the variability annually.

7 <u>Table No. 10 – Programmatic Blanket Business Cases - Washington Additions</u>

0		

9 (Excluding New Revenue)								
		in	\$000s					
	4		Budgeted					
)	Business Case	2018	2019	2020	2021†	2022	2023	2024
	Distribution Minor Rebuild	6,301	7,619	8,139	6,622	7,703	7,703	7,368
	Electric Programmatic Blanket	6,301	7,619	8,139	6,622	7,703	7,703	7,368
	Gas Non-Revenue Program	1,880	3,369	3,473	5,938	4,250	4,250	4,250
G	as Regulator Station Replacement Program	350	274	113	515	343	333	267
	Gas Reinforcement Program	617	501	954	571	650	590	590
	Gas Programmatic Blanket	2,847	4,144	4,540	7,024	5,243	5,173	5,107

15

16 As can be seen in Table No. 10 above, there can be variance within the year-to-year additions.

17 Although these projects are typically minor in nature and on a smaller scale, they are important

18 nonetheless to ensure Avista's customers have safe, reliable utility service.

19

Q. Are these <u>capital</u> projects <u>incremental</u> to the test period?

A. <u>Yes, they are</u>. <u>Blanket capital additions</u> are like all other pro forma and provisional capital additions (transfers to plant) the Company has included in the case. Regardless of variability, these <u>are incremental to plant investment in any year</u> and incremental to the twelve-months-ended September 30, 2021 Test Year. Thus, similar to all

1 capital additions which, by their very nature, are incremental and are transferred to plant when 2 used and useful, blanket capital additions should be treated no differently. As this is not work 3 associated with other planned and expected replacements completed through other Business 4 Cases (no "overlap"), inclusion of these Blanket Business Case investments will not result in 5 double recovery of year-to-year costs.

6

O. Would it be appropriate to normalize these Programmatic Blanket 7 **Business Case investments?**

8 No, it would not, not in the same way one "normalizes" expenses. Expenses A. 9 are often normalized at a set amount that produce a consistent, year-over-year, annual level of 10 expense that avoids variability annually between cases. One does not, however, "normalize" 11 capital additions. For capital investments, regardless of size, even if that amount is the same 12 annually, it will result in <u>incremental</u> capital additions year-over-year as new investment is 13 added. And, those incremental capital additions are recovered over the life of the investment, 14 unlike expense items, which can lend themselves to be normalized, are recovered over a one-15 year period.

16 Therefore, it would not be appropriate to normalize these capital additions, regardless 17 of transfer to plant variation year-over-year, given the nature of what they are -i.e.18 incremental transfers to plant that, all else being equal, increase net rate base and customer 19 rates annually year-over-year. Although blanket capital additions may individually be done 20 on a smaller scale, there is either historical evidence or trends that allow for appropriate 21 budgeting and expectations of timing for the work performed.

22 Included elsewhere in the pro forma and provisional capital adjustments are 23 retirements resulting in the removal of the costs from overall plant balances along with

1 associated reduction to depreciation expense, as discussed in Section III above. When assets 2 are replaced, including the work completed under Business Cases utilizing this process, the 3 existing or old plant asset is retired, which is consistent with the treatment of all capital 4 replacement work. But that is treated separately from incremental capital additions. 5 **Q**. But shouldn't the Company "normalize" capital to take into account 6 retirements of plant, as well as new investments? 7 A. No, retirements are separately accounted for already within the pro forma 8 model. 9 10 **VI. SHORT-LIVED SOFTWARE ASSETS** 11 **O**. Please summarize the rationale for providing information on software 12 assets with an amortizable life other than five years. 13 A. In Avista's accounting order Application for depreciation and amortization 14 rates for investment software (Docket Nos. UE-200857 and UG-200858), Avista requested, 15 and was granted per Commission Order 01 in May 2021, the use of amortization periods for 16 software licenses, software as a service, and capitalizable integration costs in a manner 17 consistent with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) required periods. This 18 could provide for an intangible asset's life to be other than the previous life of five years, 19 based on contract length and timing of placing the asset in service. 20 Per the Order, Avista is required to provide annual reports, until the next filed 21 depreciation study, that would explicitly discuss software amortizations, detailing a list of 22 software assets that had an amortizable life other than five years. The third quarter report has 23 been provided in Exh. JBB-4. An updated version will be submitted after year end for all

1	software assets transferred to plant during 2021. In addition to the listing of software,
2	attributes and accounting detail were to be provided for each of the intangible assets including:
3	• A brief narrative description of each project;
4	• The capitalized cost components, useful lives assigned, and expected annual
5	amortization expense; and
6	• Contract details including the total cost, payment terms, and amounts to be
7	recorded as operating expense.
8	Additional detail, such as if the software asset was a new contract or a release providing
9	additional functionality and enhancements, is also provided.
10	Q. Did the Accounting Order impact customers' rates?
11	A. No, it did not. As was noted within the Application, recovery of the accelerated
12	amortization expense would be included within future general rate cases. As such, the new
13	amortization is included within transfers to plant within this case, with forecasted allocations
14	of short-lived software transfer to plant totals based on year-to-date data.
15	Q. How many software assets have been recorded with an amortizable life of
16	other than five years?
17	A. Per the third quarter of 2021 report, located at Exh. JBB-4, a total of eleven
18	intangible software assets have been placed into service since May 2021 through the end of
19	September 2021 that have an amortizable life other than five years. System wide totals of
20	capitalized costs amounted to \$3,162,000, with an allocated cost to Washington Electric of
21	\$1,511,000 and Washington Gas of \$477,000. These assets have annual amortization expense
22	of \$531,000 and \$168,000 respectively. Currently, amortizable lives have been identified as

- 1 three and two years. Exhibit Exh. JBB-4 provides further details, such as descriptions of the
- 2 software packages, itemized listing, and annualized amortization expense.
- 3 Q. Does this conclude your pre-filed direct testimony?
- 4 A. Yes, it does.