```
1
                   BEFORE THE WASHINGTON STATE
 2.
             UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
     In the Matter of the
                                   ) Docket No. TV-050537
 4
     PENALTY ASSESSMENT AGAINST
                                      Volume I
                                   )
     ALL MY SONS MOVING & STORAGE
                                  )
                                      Pages 1 to 9
     OF SEATTLE, INC.
 5
 6
 7
 8
                A prehearing conference in the above matter
 9
     was held on November 14, 2005, from 1:40 p.m. to 2:15
10
     p.m., at 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Room
11
     108, Olympia, Washington, before Administrative Law
12
     Judge KAREN CAILLE.
13
14
                The parties were present as follows:
15
                THE COMMISSION, by SALLY G. JOHNSTON, Senior
     Assistant Attorney General, 1400 South Evergreen Park
     Drive Southwest, Olympia, Washington 98504-0128,
16
     Telephone (360) 664-1193, Fax (360) 586-5522, E-Mail
17
     sjohnston@wutc.wa.gov.
18
                ALL MY SONS MOVING & STORAGE OF SEATTLE,
     INC., via bridge line by GREG W. HAFFNER, CURRAN MENDOZA
     P.S., 555 West Smith Street, Kent, Washington
19
     98035-0140, Telephone (253) 852-2345, Fax (253)
20
     852-2030, E-Mail gwh@curranmendoza.com.
21
22
23
24
     Joan E. Kinn, CCR, RPR
25
    Court Reporter
```

1	P	R	\cap	C	F.	F.	D	Т	M	G	S

- JUDGE CAILLE: We are here today for the
- 3 first prehearing conference in the proceeding in Docket
- 4 Number TV-050537 which concerns a Penalty Assessment
- 5 Against All My Sons Moving and Storage of Seattle
- 6 Incorporated. My name is Karen Caille, and I am the
- 7 presiding Administrative Law Judge for this proceeding.
- 8 Today is November the 14th, and we are convened in a
- 9 hearing room at the Commission's offices in Olympia,
- 10 Washington.
- I would like to start out this afternoon by
- 12 taking appearances from the parties. I will ask you to
- 13 state your name, spelling your last name, whom you
- 14 represent, your street address, mailing address,
- 15 telephone number, fax number, and E-mail address, and
- 16 let's begin with you, Mr. Haffner.
- 17 MR. HAFFNER: Thank you, Your Honor. My name
- 18 is Greg W. Haffner, I am with the law firm of Curran
- 19 Mendoza, I am representing All My Sons Moving and
- 20 Storage of Seattle, Inc., the party requesting an appeal
- 21 in this matter. My address is 555 West Smith Street,
- 22 Kent, Washington 98035-0140. My phone number is (253)
- 23 852-2345, my fax number is (253) 852-2030, and my E-mail
- 24 address is gwh@curranmendoza.com, that's
- 25 C-U-R-R-A-N-M-E-N-D-O-Z-A-.com.

- 1 JUDGE CAILLE: Thank you.
- 2 And for Commission Staff.
- 3 MS. JOHNSTON: Sally G. Johnston, Senior
- 4 Assistant Attorney General. My street address is 1400
- 5 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Olympia,
- 6 Washington 98504. My telephone number is area code
- 7 (360) 664-1193, my fax number is area code (360)
- 8 586-5522, my E-mail address is sjohnston@wutc.wa.gov.
- 9 I would also like to enter an appearance of
- 10 another Assistant Attorney General in the division, her
- 11 name is Judith R. Krebs, K-R-E-B-S.
- JUDGE CAILLE: Do you have her -- no, okay.
- MS. JOHNSTON: No, Your Honor, I don't have
- 14 her E-mail address. Well, her E-mail is
- jkrebs@wutc.wa.gov, and her telephone number is area
- 16 code (360) 664-1192.
- JUDGE CAILLE: And Krebs is K-R-E-B-S?
- MS. JOHNSTON: Correct.
- 19 MR. HAFFNER: Your Honor, this is Greg
- 20 Haffner, I believe also on the line may be Vanna
- 21 Peterson here to represent --
- JUDGE CAILLE: Isn't she -- aren't you
- 23 representing Ms. Peterson?
- MR. HAFFNER: Yes, I just wanted to let you
- 25 know who all the other parties were on the line.

- 1 JUDGE CAILLE: Okay.
- Boy, I'm getting this feedback on here.
- 3 Ms. Peterson, generally this is just for the
- 4 attorneys, so since Mr. Haffner is representing you, he
- 5 will be the person who will be talking. So again I will
- 6 just ask you to mute your phone, and you can just listen
- 7 in. Is that okay with you?
- MS. PETERSON: That's fine.
- 9 JUDGE CAILLE: All right, thank you.
- MS. PETERSON: Thank you.
- JUDGE CAILLE: There are no petitions to
- 12 intervene in this proceeding, so are there any
- 13 preliminary or substantive motions at this point?
- MR. HAFFNER: Not from All My Sons.
- 15 JUDGE CAILLE: All right, hearing none, have
- 16 the parties been able to discuss whether there is a way
- 17 to amicably resolve the issues in this proceeding?
- 18 MS. JOHNSTON: Not yet, Your Honor, although
- 19 Commission Staff is always amenable to participating in
- 20 settlement discussions.
- 21 JUDGE CAILLE: Okay, I would encourage you to
- 22 consider the use of the settlement process in WAC
- 23 480-07-730 and any other means of alternative dispute
- 24 resolution found at WAC 480-07-700. And I will just
- 25 mention that if there is a need for mediation services,

- 1 the parties could contact the Director of the
- 2 Administrative Law Division, Bob Wallis, and he could
- 3 probably, assuming there is availability, assign an ALJ
- 4 to do mediation for the parties.
- 5 The next item I have on my agenda is will
- 6 there be a need for discovery in this proceeding?
- 7 MR. HAFFNER: I would like to be able to have
- 8 discovery, Your Honor.
- 9 JUDGE CAILLE: All right, then the
- 10 Commission's discovery rule should be made available in
- 11 this proceeding, and the discovery process as outlined
- 12 in WAC 480-07-400 through 425 will be available to you.
- 13 Is there a need for a discovery, well, we
- 14 will get to that when we get to scheduling, but remind
- 15 me if there is a need for a discovery cutoff in this
- 16 proceeding.
- 17 If there are any discovery problems that
- 18 arise, please note that I will be available to consider
- 19 those on an expedited basis and by telephone.
- 20 The next matter that I would like to consider
- 21 is whether there is going to be a need for a protective
- 22 order.
- MS. JOHNSTON: I don't think so, Your Honor.
- JUDGE CAILLE: What about you, Mr. Haffner?
- 25 MR. HAFFNER: I don't believe there would be.

- 1 JUDGE CAILLE: Okay. Should you find there
- 2 is a need for one, if you will just notify me or file a
- 3 motion, we will see that a protective order is put into
- 4 place.
- 5 That brings me to the discussion on the
- 6 issues. The notice served on All My Sons on September
- 7 15th, 2005, alleges 696 violations of Chapter 480-15 WAC
- 8 and Commission Tariff 15-A. The notice assesses
- 9 penalties in the amount of \$69,600. Other than the
- 10 issues of whether the violations occurred and the
- 11 penalty amount, are there other issues the parties are
- 12 aware of at this time?
- MS. JOHNSTON: I'm not aware of any other
- 14 issues, Your Honor.
- MR. HAFFNER: I'm not either, Your Honor.
- 16 JUDGE CAILLE: All right. Do either of you
- 17 wish to comment on the other issues at this time, the
- 18 ones I mentioned?
- 19 MS. JOHNSTON: I don't believe I do.
- JUDGE CAILLE: And you, Mr. Haffner?
- 21 MR. HAFFNER: Nothing that I think would be
- 22 appropriate at this point.
- JUDGE CAILLE: Okay.
- 24 MR. HAFFNER: It's, you know, we're seeking a
- 25 reduction in the penalties and to have some of them

- 1 recognized as potentially improper and invalid, but that
- 2 speaks for itself in the matter of the request for the
- 3 appeal.
- 4 JUDGE CAILLE: And this is a hearing, I guess
- 5 I don't really consider it an appeal, but the notice
- 6 went out assessing penalties and listing the violations,
- 7 and this hearing is for you to contest that. So if you
- 8 would just refer to it as a hearing rather than an
- 9 appeal, I think it will make it clearer for the record.
- 10 MR. HAFFNER: Thank you.
- 11 MS. JOHNSTON: Yeah, I think it's styled as
- 12 an application for mediation of penalties.
- 13 JUDGE CAILLE: That brings us to the
- 14 scheduling of the proceeding, and have the parties had
- 15 an opportunity to discuss schedule?
- MS. JOHNSTON: No, we have not.
- JUDGE CAILLE: All right, how about if we go
- 18 off the record, and we'll discuss schedule now, and then
- 19 we'll go back on the record and I will put in the
- 20 results of that discussion.
- We're off the record.
- 22 (Discussion off the record.)
- JUDGE CAILLE: We have had an off record
- 24 discussion concerning scheduling of this matter, and the
- 25 parties have agreed to the following schedule. The

- 1 parties will conduct discovery which will conclude on
- 2 March 10th, and hopefully during that time the parties
- 3 will also enter into settlement discussions. On March
- 4 21st we will hold a teleconference status hearing at
- 5 10:00 in the morning. And Staff will file, assuming
- 6 things have not changed, Staff will file its prefiled
- 7 testimony March 24th, the company will file its response
- 8 testimony April 21st, Staff rebuttal testimony will be
- 9 filed May 12th, and we have set aside the week of June
- 10 5th, that would be June 5th through the 9th, for
- 11 hearings.
- 12 Is there anything that I have not covered
- 13 that we spoke of during our off record discussion?
- I will prepare a prehearing conference order
- 15 that will contain the substance of what we have
- 16 discussed today and the procedural schedule. I believe
- 17 everyone is familiar with where you need to file. In
- 18 this proceeding I'm going to need an original plus 8
- 19 copies for internal distribution to the Commission.
- 20 Mr. Haffner, do you need me to go over the,
- 21 well, that will be in my prehearing conference order.
- 22 And again, the Commission encourages you to
- 23 consider stipulations to facts and to consider
- 24 alternative dispute resolution, and please advise us of
- 25 any progress that you make.

```
0009
               Is there anything further?
 1
 2
               Then this meeting is adjourned, thank you.
 3
              (Hearing adjourned at 2:15 p.m.)
 4
 5
 6
7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```