

00001

1 BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION
2 COMMISSION

3 TCG SEATTLE and DIGITAL DIRECT)
OF SEATTLE INC.,) DOCKET NO. UT-941465
4)
Complainant,) VOLUME 1
5)
vs.) Pages 1 - 6
6)
U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,)
7)
Respondent.)
8 -----)

9 A hearing in the above matter was held
10 at 2:15 p.m. on February 6, 1995, at 1300 South
11 Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Olympia, Washington
12 before Administrative Law Judge LISA ANDERL.

13

14 The parties were present as follows:

15 U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS, by EDWARD SHAW,
16 MOLLY HASTINGS, WILLIAM OJILES, Attorneys at Law,
P.O. Box 21225, Seattle, Washington 98111

17 WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION
18 COMMISSION STAFF, by STEVEN W. SMITH and GREGORY
19 TRAUTMAN, Assistant Attorneys General, 1400 South
Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Olympia, Washington
98504.

20 FOR THE PUBLIC, ROBERT MANIFOLD, DONALD
21 TROTTER, Assistant Attorneys General, 900 Fourth
Avenue, Suite 2000, Seattle, Washington 98164.

22 AT&T, by SUSAN D. PROCTOR, RICK D. BAILEY,
23 Attorneys at Law, 1875 Lawrence Street, Denver,
Colorado 80202.

24

Cheryl Macdonald, CSR
25 Court Reporter

00002

1 APPEARANCES (Cont.)

2 TCG SEATTLE and DIGITAL DIRECT OF SEATTLE
3 INC., by DANIEL WAGGONER and GREGORY KOPTA, Attorneys
4 at Law, 1501 Fourth Avenue, Suite 2600, Seattle,
5 Washington 98109.

6 WITA, by RICHARD A. FINNIGAN, Attorney at
7 Law, 1201 Pacific Avenue, Suite 1900, Tacoma,
8 Washington 98402.

9 TENINO TELEPHONE COMPANY and KALAMA
10 TELEPHONE COMPANY, by ROBERT S. SNYDER, Attorney at
11 Law, 30th Floor, Key Tower, 1000 Second Avenue,
12 Seattle, Washington 98104.

13 ELECTRIC LIGHTWAVE, INC., by ARTHUR A.
14 BUTLER, Attorney at Law, 601 Union Street, Suite
15 5450, Seattle, Washington 98101-2327 and ELLEN
16 DEUTSCH, Attorney at Law, 8100 NE Parkway Drive, Suite
17 200, Vancouver, Washington 98662-6401.

18 PTI COMMUNICATIONS, by CALVIN SIMSHAW,
19 Corporate Counsel, 805 Broadway, Vancouver, Washington
20 98668.

21 UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF THE NORTHWEST,
22 by SETH M. LUBIN, General Counsel, 902 Wosco Street,
23 Hood River, Oregon 97031.

24 TRACER, by STEPHEN J. KENNEDY, Attorney at
25 Law, 601 Union Street, Suite 5450, Seattle 98101-2327.

MCI, by SUE E. WEISKE, Senior Attorney, 707
17th Street, Suite 3900, Denver, Colorado 80202.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE/FEDERAL EXECUTIVE
AGENCIES, by ROBERT A. GANTON, Trial Attorney, 901 N
Stuart Street, Suite 713, Arlington, Virginia 22203

SPRINT, by RICHARD A. PURKEY, Director
State Regulatory West, 1850 Gateway Drive, 7th Floor,
San Mateo, California 94404-2467

INTEREXCHANGE ACCESS COALITION, by BRAD E.
MUTSCHELKNAUS, Attorney at Law, 1776 16th Street
Northwest, Washington DC 20006.

25

00003

1

APPEARANCES (Cont.)

2

GTE NW, Inc., by RICHARD POTTER, Attorney
at Law, (by Bill Luce), 1800 41st Street, Everett,
Washington.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

00004

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 JUDGE ANDERL: Let's be on the record in
3 docket UT-941465 which is is a complaint by TCG
4 Seattle and Digital Direct of Seattle, Inc. vs. U S
5 WEST Communications. The Commission has set this
6 matter for pre-hearing conference today which is
7 February 6, 1995. My name is Lisa Anderl. I'm the
8 administrative law judge assigned to preside. Let me
9 take appearances at least from the complainant and
10 respondent and Commission staff.

11 MR. WAGGONER: For the complainants TCG
12 Seattle and Digital Direct of Seattle, Daniel Waggoner
13 and Greg Kopta, Davis Wright Tremaine, 1501 Fourth
14 Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101.

15 JUDGE ANDERL: Thank you. For the
16 respondent company.

17 MS. HASTINGS: The respondent U S WEST
18 Communications, Inc., Molly Hastings, Edward T. Shaw
19 and Bill Ojile, O J I L E. Our address is 1600 7th
20 Avenue, Room 3206, Seattle, Washington 98191.

21 JUDGE ANDERL: Mr. Smith.

22 MR. SMITH: For the Commission staff,
23 Steven Smith and Gregory Trautman, assistant attorneys
24 general, South 1400 Evergreen Park Drive Southwest,
25 Olympia, Washington 98504.

00005

1 JUDGE ANDERL: Thank you. We have just
2 completed a pre-hearing conference in docket
3 UT-941464 and I'm going to suggest at this point that
4 the transcript of that pre-hearing conference be
5 incorporated into this record by reference. Does
6 anybody have any objection to that? We don't have to
7 go through all of that again? Well, let's do it that
8 way.

9 I did ask before we started if there were
10 any intervenors in the first docket who would not be
11 wanting to participate in this docket in the event
12 that they are not consolidated. I think it's likely
13 that they will be consolidated at least for hearing,
14 but I did get an indication from Mr. Snyder for Tenino
15 Kalama telephone companies, from the attorney for the
16 Interexchange Access Coalition and from the Department
17 of Defense that they would not be interested in
18 participating in this as a separate proceeding in the
19 event it stays a separate proceeding. Mr. Manifold,
20 will public counsel be participating?.

21 MR. MANIFOLD: Yes.

22 JUDGE ANDERL: Is there anyone else who
23 wants to make a comment one way or the other?

24 All right. Then I would understand that
25 the intervenors would be those remaining from the

00006

1 first docket and that, Mr. Waggoner, that you would
2 have no objection to those interventions.

3 MR. WAGGONER: That's correct.

4 JUDGE ANDERL: On that basis they would be
5 granted.

6 MR. SNYDER: In the event of consolidation
7 do we need affirmatively to request intervenor status
8 in this docket?

9 JUDGE ANDERL: No. You will be intervenors
10 for the consolidated proceeding and entitled to, I
11 think, unless anybody has any objection, and I would
12 rule it then, but address all of the issues raised.
13 Since you're stuck in the whole proceeding you might
14 as well address everything.

15 Is there anything else we need to do in
16 this docket? We already have the prefiled testimony
17 which is the complainant's case in chief so far or
18 prefiled case.

19 Okay. Well, then, let's stand in recess
20 for this docket also.

21 (Hearing adjourned at 2:20 p.m.)

22

23

24

25