US Department of Transportation Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration Office of Pipeline Safety

Gas IMP Field Verification Inspection 49 CFR Subparts 192.911, 192.921, 192.933, & 192.935

General Notes:

- 1. This Field Verification Inspection is performed on field activities being performed by an Operator in support of their Integrity Management Program (IMP).
- 2. This is a two part inspection form:
 - i. A review of applicable Operations and Maintenance (O&M) and IMP processes and procedures applicable to the field activity being inspected to ensure the operator is implementing their O&M and IMP Manuals in a consistent manner.
 - ii. A Field Verification Inspection to determine that activities on the pipeline and facilities are being performed in accordance with written procedures or guidance.
- 3. Not all parts of this form may be applicable to a specific Field Verification Inspection, and only those applicable portions of this form need to be completed. The applicable portions are identified in the Table below by a check mark. Only those sections of the form marked immediately below need to be documented as either "Satisfactory"; "Unsatisfactory"; or Not Checked ("N/C"). Those sections not marked below may be left blank.

Operator Inspected: <u>TransCanada Gas Transmission Northwest Corporation</u>
Op ID: <u>15014</u>

Perform Activity	Activity	Activity Description
(denoted by mark)	Number	
	1A	In-Line Inspection
	1B	Hydrostatic Pressure Testing
	1C	Direct Assessment Technologies
	1D	Other Assessment Technologies
X	2A	Remedial Actions
	2B	Remediation – Implementation
	3A	Preventive & Mitigative – additional measures evaluated for HCAs
	3B	Preventive & Mitigative – automatic shut-off valves
	4A	Field Inspection for Verification of HCA Locations
	4B	Field Inspection for Verification of Anomaly Digs
	4C	Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the Cathodic Protection
		System
\mathbf{X}	4D	Field inspection for general system characteristics
	attachment	Anomaly Evaluation Report
	attachment	Anomaly Repair Report

Gas IMP Field Verification Inspection Form

Name of Operator: TransCanada Gas Transmission Northwest Corporation

Headquarters Address:

1400 SW 5th Ave

Suite 900

Portland, OR. 97201

Company Official:

Jeff Rush

Phone Number:

503-833-4100

Fax Number:

503-833-4927

Operator ID:

15014

Persons Interviewed	Title	Phone No.	E-Mail
Kurt Smith	Compliance Specialist, GTN Systems (Primary Contact)	509-546-8865	kurt_smith@transcanada.com
Brad Huntley	Multi-Skilled Technician- Mechanical	509-533-8865	Brad_huntley@transcanada.com
		·	
·			

OPS/State Representative(s): Al Jones / UTC

Date(s) of Inspection: February 2-4, 2011

Inspector Signature: Al Jones

Date: **February 15,2011**

Pipeline Segment Descriptions: [note: Description of the Pipeline Segment Inspected as part of this field verification. (If information is available, include the pipe size, wall thickness, grade, seam type, coating type, length, normal operating pressure, MAOP, %SMYS, HCA locations, class locations, and Pipeline Segment boundaries.)]

Site Location of field activities: [note: Describe the portion of the pipeline segment reviewed during the field verification, i.e. milepost/stations/valves/pipe-to-soil readings/river crossings/etc. In addition, a brief description and case number of the follow up items in any PHMSA compliance action or consent agreement that required field verification. Note: Complete pages 8 & 9 as appropriate.]

Summary:

A follow-up to the August 23-27, 2010 inspection of the Wallula District was completed on February 2-4, 2011. Several sinkholes along the pipeline right-of-way were discovered at Milepost 231.5, approximately 0.5 mile upstream of the crossing of Walker Road in Walla Walla County, commonly known as the "Babcock Road sink holes." The ravine where the sinkholes are located is shallow with a slope varying from about 3% to 7% with an elevation change of about 150 feet. There are several large sink holes along the pipeline where soil has been washed out, transported along the pipeline trench, and deposited somewhere at a lower elevation. The location where the soil was deposited has not been identified. The largest hole is approximately 12 feet in length, 4 feet wide, and 4 feet in depth.

I met on site with the supervisor for Snelson Contractor to inspect the mitigation measures prepared by Golder Engineers. The plan specified 4-inch slotted drains placed under each transmission line. Each drain is connected to a 6-inch tightline pipe placed parallel to the right-of-way. The two tightlines will be monitored in the future for water intercepted for each pipeline. The trench breakers will have sand bags placed around the pipeline (see photos) and covered with a non-woven geotextile filter fabric. The actual design for the project has been changed from sixteen trench breakers to six at about 250 foot separation.

Findings/Comments:

Maintain regular inspection schedule and UTC inspect for soil erosion on pipeline.

Key Documents Reviewed:

Document Title	Document No.	Rev. No	Date
TransCanada, Wallula Pipeline Sinkhole Remediation	1239-03-ML-	1	December 2010
Design by Golder Associates	04-001		
Walla Walla County, Washington			
December, 2010			
		1	

Part 1 - Performance of Integrity Assessments

1A. In-Line Inspection	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify that Operator's O&M and IMP procedural	Sacisfactory	Sisacistaciony	14/0	11000.
requirements (e.g. launching/receiving tools) for			X	
performance of ILI were followed.			Λ	
Verify Operator's ILI procedural requirements were fol	lowed (e.g.	operation of t	ran	
for launching and receiving of pig, operational control of	. •	•	ир	
Verify ILI tool systems and calibration checks before ru			ire	
tool was operating correctly prior to assessment being p				
Verify ILI complied with Operator's procedural require				
successful assessment (e.g. speed of travel within limits			и	
coverage), as appropriate.	, adoquate t	i ansaacei		
Document ILI Tool Vendor and Tool type (e.g. MFL, D	eformation) Document		
other pertinent information about Vendor and Tool, as a). Bocament		
Verify that Operator's personnel have access to applical		res for prepari	ing.	
running and monitoring the pipeline for ILI tools includ				
(e.g.: tool speeds, pipe cleanliness, operation of tool ser				·
calibration requirements), as appropriate.	,			[Note: Add location specific .
Other:		· · · · · · · ·		information, as appropriate.]
				·
1B. Hydrostatic Pressure Testing	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify that hydrostatic pressure tests complied with			\mathbf{X}	
Part 192 Subpart J requirements.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
Review documentation of Hydrostatic Pressure Test par			ify	
test was performed without leakage and in compliance	with Part 19	2 Subpart J		
requirements.				·
Review test procedures and records and verify test acce	ptability an	d validity.		
Review determination of the cause of hydrostatic test fa	ilures, as ap	opropriate.		
Document Hydrostatic Pressure Test Vendor and equip	ment used	as appropriate		
Verify that the baseline assessment is conducted in a magnetic conducted conducted in a magnetic conducted in a magnetic conducted conduct			<u> </u>	
environmental and safety risks (reference §192.919(e) a				
Other:		-		
1C. Direct Assessment Technologies	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify that application of "Direct Assessment			W	
Technology" complied with Part 192.923			X	·
Review documentation of Operator's application of "D	irect Assess	ment		
Technology", if available. Verify compliance with Part	t 192.923 ar	nd Operator's		
procedural requirements, as applicable.				
Verify that appropriate tests and/or inspections are bein	g performe	d and appropr	iate	
data is being collected, as appropriate.				
Other.				
1D. Other Assessment Technologies	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify that application of "Other Assessment				
Technology" complied with Operator's requirements,			\mathbf{X}	
that appropriate notifications had been submitted to			1	
PHMSA, and that appropriate data was collected.			<u> </u>	
Review documentation of notification to PHMSA of O				
Assessment Technology", if available. Verify complian				
requirements. If documentation of notification to PHM				·
of "Other Assessment Technology" is available, verify	pertormano	e of assessme	nt	1
within parameters originally submitted to PHMSA.		1-4- i- 1 ·		
Verify that appropriate tests are being performed and a	ppropriate d	iata is being		
collected, as appropriate.				
Other.				<u> </u>

Part 2 - Remediation of Anomalies

2A. Remedial Actions – Process	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify that remedial actions complied with the	X			<u> </u>
Operator's procedural requirements.		<u> </u>		On Site inspected the mitigation measures
Witness anomaly remediation and verify documentation			.	of installing trench breakers at six
Exposed Pipe Reports, Maintenance Report, any Data A			У	locations to intercept water and prevent erosion along the 24" and 36" diameter
compliance with Operator's O&M Manual and Part 192	requiremen	115.		transmission pipelines.
Verify that Operator's procedures were followed in loca	ting and ex	posing the		
anomaly (e.g. any required pressure reductions, line loca				Contractor was familiar with the Golder
approximate location of anomaly for excavation, excava				Associate's design.
Verify that procedures were followed in measuring the				See attached photos Exhibit "A"
severity of the anomaly, and determining remaining stre	Cathodic Protection readings of pipe to			
class location factor and failure pressure ratio used by C	perator in c	ictermining re	pair	soil at dig site (if available):
of anomaly.				On Potential: mV
Verify that Operator's personnel have access to and kno	wledge of a	applicable		Off Potential: mV
procedures.				
				[Note: Add location specific information
Other:				and note whether CP readings were from
				the surface or from the pipe following
				exposure, as appropriate.]
2D Damadiation Implementation	Satisfactor	Unenticfacta	N/C	Notes:
2B. Remediation - Implementation Verify that the operator has adequately implemented	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	INOIES:
its remediation process and procedures to effectively				
remediate conditions identified through integrity			X	
assessments or information analysis.			L	
If documentation is available, verify that repairs were co			vith	-
the operator's prioritized schedule and within the time f	rames allov	ved in		
§192.933(d).				
Review any documentation for this inspection site for a	n immediate	e renair condi	tion	
(§192.933(d)(1)) where operating pressure was reduced			HUII	
shutdown. Verify for an immediate repair condition that				
pressure was determined in accordance with the require			if	
not applicable, the operator should provide an engineeri				
amount of pressure reduction.				·
17 10 11	2102 102 2	102 111		
Verify that repairs were performed in accordance with § \$192.713, \$192.717, \$192.719, \$192.933 and the Opera				· ·
appropriate. If welding is performed, verify a qualified				
qualified welders are used to perform repairs. If compo			sed	·
verify that a method approved by the Operator is used, p				Cathodic Protection readings of pipe to
qualified personnel perform the repair.				soil at dig site (if available):
				On Potential:mV
Review CP readings at anomaly dig site, if possible. (S				Off Potential:mV
"Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the Cathodic Pi	rotection Sy	stem", as		 [Note: Add location specific information
appropriate.				and note whether CP readings were from
Other:				the surface or from the pipe following
Ouict.				exposure, as appropriate.]
		·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Part 3 - Preventive and Mitigative Actions

3A. P&M Measures for Third Party Damage	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Identify additional measures evaluated for the HCA	1		X	
section of the pipeline and facilities.	1			
Verify that P & M measures regarding threats due to th	ird party dai	mage are bein	g	
implemented: [§192.915(c), §192.935(b)(1)(iv)]:				
Confirm the use of qualified personnel for marking, loc	eating and d	iract cunamia	ion	
of known excavation work, as appropriate.	ainig, and u	meet supervis	IUII	
and the order and thorn, an appropriate.				
Confirm the use of qualified personnel for monitoring of		ns conducted	on	1
covered pipeline segments by pipeline personnel, as ap	propriate.			
Ol				
Other:				
				[Note: Add location specific information,
		<u> </u>		as appropriate.]
3B. Installed Automatic Shut-off Valves (Protocol	Catiafacta	Unantiafacta	N/C	Notes:
H.07)	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	
Verify additional preventive and mitigative actions			X	
implemented by Operator.		1		
Document that additional measures evaluated by the or such as, installing Automatic Shut-off Valves or Remo			ing	
computerized monitoring and leak detection systems, r				
pipe of heavier wall thickness, providing additional training				
response procedures, conducting drills with local emerg	gency respo	nders and		
implementing additional inspection and maintenance p	rograms, as	appropriate		
Verify that the operator has a process to decide if autor				
remote control valves represent an efficient means of a		ction to		
potentially affected high consequence areas. [§192.935	(c)]			
			}	
Verify operation of installed remote control valve by re	eviewing op	erator		,
inspection/remote control records for partially opening				
appropriate.				
				•
Other:				
				[Note: Add location specific information,
				as appropriate.]
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
			1	

Part 4 - Field Investigations (Additional Activities as appropriate)

4A. Field Inspection for Verification of HCA Locations	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Review HCAs locations as identified by the Operator.			X	
Utilize NPMS and Operator maps, as appropriate. Verify that the operator's integrity management program	includes s	courate and		
updated system maps or other suitably detailed means d				
segment locations that are located in high consequence a				
[§192.905(a)]		-		
Review the operator's applicable procedures and forms				
information from one-calls, surveys, aerial & ground pa			by	
field personnel to communicate new developments that consequence areas or that may create new high consequence.			el	
as appropriate. [§192.905(c)]	onee areas t	o im personi	··,	
, , ,				
Review the operator's applicable procedures and forms	to confirm	that new HCA	٩s	
and class location changes are being identified through i				[Note: Add location specific information,
program as required by §192.613 and §192.905.		as appropriate.]		
AD Elila I managai menganya ing akan at ang akan at an	Catiofactor	I Importis for a to	N/C	
4B. Field Inspection for Verification of Anomaly Digs	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify repair areas, ILI verification sites, etc.			X	[Note: Add location specific information,
Document the anomaly dig sites observed and reviewed as part of this field activity and the actions taken by the operator.				as appropriate.]
and the actions taken by the operator.				
AC TO LIX. A A XI YE I BUT	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
4C. Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the Cathodic Protection System	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
In case of hydrostatic pressure testing, Cathodic				
Protection (CP) systems must be evaluated for general		i	X	
adequacy.	<u> </u>		L	
The operator should review the CP system performance				
hydrostatic pressure test to ensure the integrity assessment threats to the integrity of the pipeline. Has the operator				
performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressur		ic Cr system		Cathodic Protection readings of pipe to
Review records of CP readings from CIS and/or annual		nsure minimu	m	soil at dig site (if available):
code requirements are being met, if available.	•			On Potential:
				Off Potential:mV
Review results of random field CP readings performed of				[Note: Add location specific information
minimum code requirements are being met, if possible.				and note whether CP readings were from
checks during this activity and ensure rectifiers are oper	ating correc	ctly, if possibl	e.	the surface or from the pipe following
				exposure, as appropriate.]
4D. Field inspection for general system characteristics	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Through field inspection determine overall condition of				
pipeline and associated facilities for a general	\mathbf{X}			The proposed trench breakers should
estimation of the effectiveness of the operator's IMP				mitigate future sink holes along the pipeline right-of-way.
implementation. Evaluate condition of the ROW of inspection site to ens	ure minimi	ım code	L	pipeline right-or-way.
requirements are being met, as appropriate.				See attached photos Exhibit "A"
Comment on Operator's apparent commitment to the in	tegrity and	safe operation	of	•
their system, as appropriate.				
Check ROW for pipeline markers in line-of-sight and Emergency call-in number on				
marker posts. Other:				
Outer.				

Anomaly Evaluation Report (to be completed as appropriate)

Pipeline System	and Line Pipe Information
Operator (OpID and System Name):	
Unit ID (Pipeline Name)	
Pipe Manufacturer and Year:	Seam Type and Orientation:
Pipe Nominal OD (inch):	Depth of Cover:
Pipe Nominal Wall thickness (inch):	Coating Type and Condition:
Grade of Pipe:	MAOP:
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	orted Information
ILI Technology (e.g., Vendor, Tools):	
Anomaly Type (e.g., Mechanical, Metal Loss):	
Is anomaly in a segment that can affect an HCA?	(Yes/No)
Date of Tool Run (MM/DD/YY):	Date of Inspection Report (MM/DD/YY):
Date of "Discovery of Anomaly" (MM/DD/YY):	
Type of "Condition" (e.g.; Immediate; 60-day; 1	
	Orientation (O'clock position):
	Vidth (in): Depth (in):
	Distance from Upstream weld (ft):
Length of joint(s) of pipe in which anomaly is id-	
	ite Information Summary
Date of Anomaly Dig (MM/DD/YY):	<u> </u>
Location Information (describe or attach map):	
	Distance from A/G Reference (ft):
Distance from Upstream weld (ft):	
GPS Readings (if available) Longitude:	Latitude:
	Orientation:
Length of joint of pipe in which anomaly is found	d (ft):
	ical Damage Anomaly
Damage Type (e.g., original construction, plain of	
	th (in): Depth (in):
Near a weld? (Yes / No):	
Gouge or metal loss associated with dent? (Yes /	No): Are multiple dents present? (Yes / No):
Did operator perform additional NDE to evaluate	
Cracks associated with dent? (Yes / No):	
	on Metal Loss Anomaly
Anomaly Type (e.g., pitting, general):	I Wictii Loss Miomary
	th (in): Max. Depth (in):
Remaining minimum wall thickness (in):	Maximum % Wall Loss measurement(%):
Safe pressure calculation (psi), as appropriate:	Witakindin 70 Wan Loss measurement(70).
	Types" of Anomalies
Describe anomaly (e.g., dent with metal loss, cra	ck seam defect SCC):
	th (in): Max. Depth (in):
Other Information, as appropriate:	Truck Dopin (III).
Did operator perform additional NDE to evaluate	presence of cracks? (Yes / No):
Cracks present? (Yes / No):	
5-5-10 p. 5-5-10	

Anomaly Repair Report (to be completed as appropriate)

	Repair Information
Was a repair of the anomaly made? (Yes	/ No):
Was Operating Pressure Reduced per 192	
Was defect ground out to eliminate need	for repair? (Yes / No):
If grinding used, complete the following	for affected area:
Length (in):	Width (in): Depth (in):
If NO repair of an anomaly for which RS	TRENG/B31.G is applicable, were the Operator's RSTRENG/B31.G
calculations reviewed? (Yes / No):	
If Repair made, complete the following	!
Repair Type (e.g., Type B-sleeve, compo	site wrap)
Was defect ground out prior to making re	
Operating Pressure at the time of repair:	
Length of Repair:	Pipe re-coating material used:
Comments on Repair material, as approp	riate (e.g., grade of steel, wall thickness):
Comments on Repair procedure, as appro	priate (e.g., welded sleeve, composite wrap):
Genera	l Observations and Comments
Was a diagram (e.g., corrosion map) of the	ne anomaly made? (Yes / No): (Include in report if available)
Were pipe-to-soil cathodic protection rea	
If CP readings taken, Record: On Potenti	1 Off D-4-4-1-1
[Note: Note whether CP readings were from the	surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.]
	surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.]
[Note: Note whether CP readings were from the	surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.]
[Note: Note whether CP readings were from the	surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.]
[Note: Note whether CP readings were from the	surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.]
[Note: Note whether CP readings were from the .	surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.]
[Note: Note whether CP readings were from the s Describe method used by Operator to loc	surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] ate anomaly (as appropriate):
[Note: Note whether CP readings were from the s Describe method used by Operator to loc	surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.]
[Note: Note whether CP readings were from the s Describe method used by Operator to loc	surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] ate anomaly (as appropriate):
[Note: Note whether CP readings were from the s Describe method used by Operator to loc	surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] ate anomaly (as appropriate):
[Note: Note whether CP readings were from the s Describe method used by Operator to loc	surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] ate anomaly (as appropriate):
[Note: Note whether CP readings were from the s Describe method used by Operator to loc	surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] ate anomaly (as appropriate):
[Note: Note whether CP readings were from the s Describe method used by Operator to loc	surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] ate anomaly (as appropriate):
[Note: Note whether CP readings were from the second control of th	surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] ate anomaly (as appropriate):
[Note: Note whether CP readings were from the second control of th	surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] rate anomaly (as appropriate): d during excavation, repair of anomaly, and backfill (as appropriate):
[Note: Note whether CP readings were from the second Describe method used by Operator to loc Comments regarding procedures followed	surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] rate anomaly (as appropriate): d during excavation, repair of anomaly, and backfill (as appropriate):
[Note: Note whether CP readings were from the second Describe method used by Operator to loc Comments regarding procedures followed	surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] rate anomaly (as appropriate): d during excavation, repair of anomaly, and backfill (as appropriate):
[Note: Note whether CP readings were from the second Describe method used by Operator to loc Comments regarding procedures followed	surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] rate anomaly (as appropriate): d during excavation, repair of anomaly, and backfill (as appropriate):