TV-072294



## Completed Activity Report Motor Carrier Safety

Current Date: 6-17-08 4. Date of Activity: 6-12-08  Carrier Name: Peter J Walden	Jpload? ☐ Yes X No	
. Carrier Name: Peter J Walden	. Investigator(s): Alan Dickson	2. Assignment No.: 108115
Permit: THG-63112 7. MOTCAR No.: 4871  DOT No.: 1793962 9. MC No.:  O. Destination Check Only: Attach a copy of the Destination Check Safety Plan. Number of buses inspected: # of 9-15 passenger # of 16+ passenger Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 Level 2 Level 5 Describe any special emphasis placed on the destination check and the results:  Date of debriefing meeting: What might we do differently to increase our success at the next destination check:  Did staff complete all of the elements of the Destination Check Safety Plan? Yes No	3. Current Date:6-17-08	4. Date of Activity: 6-12-08
Permit: THG-63112 7. MOTCAR No.: 4871  DOT No.: 1793962 9. MC No.:  O. Destination Check Only: Attach a copy of the Destination Check Safety Plan. Number of buses inspected: # of 9-15 passenger # of 16+ passenger Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 Level 2 Level 5 Describe any special emphasis placed on the destination check and the results:  Date of debriefing meeting: What might we do differently to increase our success at the next destination check:  Did staff complete all of the elements of the Destination Check Safety Plan? Yes No	6. Carrier Name: Peter J Walden	art moving + Storage
O. Destination Check Only: Attach a copy of the Destination Check Safety Plan. Number of buses inspected: # of 9-15 passenger # of 16+ passenger_ Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 Level 2 Level 5 Describe any special emphasis placed on the destination check and the results:  Date of debriefing meeting: What might we do differently to increase our success at the next destination check:  Did staff complete all of the elements of the Destination Check Safety Plan?  \[ \text{Yes} \] No	5. Permit: THG-63112	$oldsymbol{v}$
Attach a copy of the Destination Check Safety Plan.  Number of buses inspected: # of 9-15 passenger # of 16+ passenger  Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 Level 2 Level 5  Describe any special emphasis placed on the destination check and the results:  Date of debriefing meeting:  What might we do differently to increase our success at the next destination check:  Did staff complete all of the elements of the Destination Check Safety Plan?	3. DOT No.: 1793962	9. MC No.:
What might we do differently to increase our success at the next destination check:  Did staff complete all of the elements of the Destination Check Safety Plan?    Yes   No	Attach a copy of the Destination Cl Number of buses inspected: # of S Number of vehicle inspections: Le	9-15 passenger # of 16+ passenger # of 16+ passenger Level 5
Did start complete an of the elements of the 2 community		acrease our success at the next destination check:
Did start complete an of the elements of the 2 community		
		ents of the Destination Check Safety Plan?

11	Safety Complaint Only:
11.	Attach a copy of the Individual Safety Complaint Plan.
	What activity did staff complete for this safety complaint:
	Compliance review
	Technical assistance
	Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 Level 2 Level 5
	Unannounced CR
	Other (please explain):
	Ul Other (please explain).
_	Did staff meet the performance measures for the Individual Safety Plan? Yes No
_	Did bound into position and in the same and in
•	If not, explain why:
12.	New Entrant only – Charter, Auto Transportation:
•	Attach a copy of the New Entrant Carrier Safety Plan.
•	Is this carrier referred by FMCSA, operating intra and interstate:
	◆ Did staff inspect all vehicles between three and nine months: ☐ Yes ☐ No
	♦ Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 Level 2 Level 5
	♦ Did staff conduct a CR/SA between three and nine months: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ CR ☐ SA
•	Is this carrier based in another state, requesting intrastate authority:  Yes No
*	
	♦ Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 Level 5
•	<ul> <li>◆ Did staff inspect all vehicles between three and nine months:</li> <li>♦ Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 Level 2 Level 5</li> <li>Is this carrier based in Washington, requesting intrastate authority:</li> <li>♦ Did staff inspect all vehicles between three and nine months:</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> </ul>
	◆ Did staff conduct a CR/SA between three and nine months: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ CR ☐ SA

<ul> <li>13. X New Entrant only − HHG:</li> <li>Attach a copy of the New Entrant Carrier Safety Plan.</li> <li>Is this carrier referred by FMCSA, operating intra and interstate:  Yes X No</li> <li>Did staff inspect all vehicles between three and eighteen months:  Yes No</li> <li>Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 Level 2 Level 5 No</li> <li>Did staff conduct a CR/SA between three and eighteen months:  Yes No</li> <li>Is this carrier based in another state, requesting intrastate authority:  Yes No</li> <li>Did staff inspect all vehicles between three and eighteen months:  Yes No</li> <li>Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 Level 2 Level 5 No</li> <li>Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 Level 2 Level 5 No</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Is this carrier based in Washington, requesting intrastate authority: X Yes ☐ No</li> <li>Did staff inspect all vehicles between three and eighteen months: X Yes ☐ No</li> <li>Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 Level 2 Level 5</li> <li>Did staff conduct a CR/SA between three and eighteen months: X Yes ☐ No ☐ CR X SA</li> <li>Did staff conduct technical assistance within three months: X Yes ☐ No</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>14.  Individual Safety Plan Only:</li> <li>Attach a copy of the Individual Carrier Safety Plan.</li> <li>What activity did staff complete for this safety complaint:</li> </ul>
Compliance review Technical assistance Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 Level 2 Level 5 Unannounced CR Other (please explain):
■ Did staff meet the performance measures for the Individual Safety Plan? ☐ Yes ☐ No ■ If not, explain why:

15. X A	Il Other Assignments:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
II.	e of Activity:					į
	Compliance review	•				
	X Safety audit					į
	X Technical assistance		•			
		spections:	Level 1 Level 2	Lev	el 5 <u>1</u>	Ì
	Unannounced CR	1	<del></del>			·
Ì	Complaint (other tha	n safety)				
						ļ
	<b>u</b> 1 /	•		٠	T.	
-					<del> </del>	
-			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
• Desc	cribe how the performan	ce measures	from the safety plan we	ere or were i	not met:	į
Safe	ty Audit result: Pass					
	`		· — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<del></del>	
		-				
		÷				,
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Compliance Review Data		<b>—</b> :	_		
	ty Rating:   Satisf				Conditional	
<ul><li>Nun</li></ul>	aber of vehicles operated aber of drivers operated:		_			
■ Nun	ber of drivers operated:		-			
■ Tota	l miles for prior year: _		-	•		
■ Reco	ordable accidents for price	or year:				
<ul><li>Acci</li></ul>	dent Ratio:					
			•			
·						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	art B Violations:		<del>"  ************************************</del>	,	<del></del>	
Part	Violations	Part	Violations	Part	Violations	
382/40		383		387		
390	<u> </u>	391	,	392		
395		396		397		

Revised 10-29-07

•	MC	MB 1-15	MB 16+	SB 1-8	SB 9-15	SB 16+	VAN 1-8	VAN 9-15	TRK	TT	TRA
Inspections									1		
Defective Vehicles									1		
OOS Vehicles									0		
Location			·						Oma k		
Level		1	†	<b> </b>					5		

	MC	MB 1-15	MB 16+	SB 1-8	SB 9-15	SB 16+	VAN 1-8	VAN 9- 15	TRK	TT	TRA
Brakes						, , , , , ,					
Steering											
Lights									1		
Tires, wheels, rims						, <u> </u>					
Horn			Ţ								
Windshield and Wipers											
Mirrors											
Emergency Equip, Exits						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			,		
Coupling Devices									-		
Frame											
Suspension		1	1.								
Exhaust											
Other		1	1		1						

<del></del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<del></del>		
·						
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·············		
Findings:	I recommend thi	s company be con	sidered for	issuance of a p	ermanent HG a	uthority.

Revised 10-29-07

22. Recommended Action:
X No further action.  Notify the company in writing of the findings by providing a copy of the CR, vehicle inspection
report safety audit or other similar document.
Require the company to submit a compliance plan in response to the 15-day letter requirement.
Send the company a compliance letter. Require a response: Yes No Issue administrative penalties in the amount of \$
Issue a complaint.
Stop company operations.
23. Recheck: Yes (Date:) X No
1 . 1 . 1 . 1 . 1 . 1 . 1 . 1 . 1 . 1 .
24. Is this carrier considered a high risk carrier as a result of this activity?  Carrier accident ratio is higher than aggregate ratio.
Carrier received a conditional rating at the last compliance review.
Carrier received an unsatisfactory rating at the last compliance review.
Carrier had an out-of-service ratio 25% or higher at the last vehicle inspection.
Carrier had a defect ratio 75% or higher at the last vehicle inspection.
Carrier received more than one conditional or unsatisfactory compliance review rating in more
than one of the last four compliance reviews (or less than four if four are not completed).  Other (please explain):
U Other (prease explain).
25. Additional Comments: One minor lighting defect was noted. The vehicle received a CVSA safety Sticker.
Investigator's signature: Alan Dillan
1 200
Initial review by: Date: 6 20 07
Reviewer's recommendation: T Concur with along recommendation's
and permanent Authority be granted -
Final review by: K4WHW Date: 6-20-08
Reviewer's recommendation: WMW W/W Staff
Mammendation IN minaneut HHG
authority.
Mark.
\ / *

Date closed: 6/23/18 By: CAC

cc: Alen Supsing