```
00001
1
    BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION
                        COMMISSION
 3 In Re the Matter of
   AVISTA CORPORATION d/b/a
                              )
                               ) DOCKET NO. UE-010395
 4 AVISTA UTILITIES
                              ) Volume I
   Request Regarding the
through the Deferral
                              )
6 Mechanism.
                               )
   ______
8
            A prehearing conference in the above matter
   was held on April 23, 2001, at 1:38 p.m., at 1300 South
10
   Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Olympia, Washington,
11
   before Administrative Law Judge DENNIS MOSS.
12
13
            The parties were present as follows:
14
            AVISTA CORPORATION, by DAVID J. MEYER,
15 General Counsel and Senior Vice President, East 1411
   Mission, Spokane, Washington 99202.
16
            WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION
17 COMMISSION, by DONALD T. TROTTER, Assistant Attorney
   General, 1400 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest,
18 Post Office Box 40128, Olympia, Washington 98504.
19
            THE PUBLIC, by SIMON J. FFITCH, Assistant
   Attorney General, 900 Fourth Avenue, Suite 2000,
20 Seattle, Washington 98164.
21
            INDUSTRIAL CUSTOMERS OF NORTHWEST UTILITIES,
   by IRION A. SANGER, Attorney at Law, Davison Van Cleve,
22 1300 Southwest Fifth Avenue, Suite 2915, Portland,
   Oregon 97201.
2.3
24 Kathryn T. Wilson, CCR
25 Court Reporter
```

00002 PROCEEDINGS 1 JUDGE MOSS: We have convened in our first 4 prehearing conference in the matters filed, In Re the 5 Matter of Avista Corporation, doing business as Avista 6 Utilities -- and I'm just going to pause here and say 7 this is the most awkward caption I've ever read --8 Request Regarding the Recovery of Power Costs Through 9 the Deferral Mechanism, Docket No. UE-010395. 10 We have convened in a prehearing conference 11 and have had some off-the-record discussion led by 12 Mr. Meyer for the applicant here, and the essence of 13 the suggestion is that the parties are working 14 conscientiously toward a settlement of the proceeding and that the prospects of that are sufficiently positive that the request is that we not set a schedule 17 today, but there are some other matters to take up. 18 I would want to take appearances here 19 momentarily. I have one petition to intervene from the 20 Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities, and it

18 I would want to take appearances here
19 momentarily. I have one petition to intervene from the
20 Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities, and it
21 will be my intention to act on that today. I don't
22 have any other written petitions, but of course we will
23 ask if there are others.

Any requests or motions in terms of discovery, protective order, that sort of thing, we

9

17

1 will at least touch on those subjects and see if there is any need to deal with that today or perhaps defer that until Friday. It does not appear to me there would be any discussion of the issues today. That will 5 probably not be called for, so I will put that off my 6 agenda, and again, I think I've already mentioned we 7 are going to defer discussion of procedural schedule 8 and process itself until Friday.

What we will do at the suggestion of counsel 10 is schedule a telephone conference for some time on 11 Friday and take up further discussion. I guess it will 12 be in the nature of a status conference in part, and 13 then if the status is that settlement is not indicated 14 at that point in time, then we will proceed to develop a procedural schedule, and on that score, I would want to encourage the parties now, if we get there, to try to work among themselves to resolve those issues so we 18 don't have to spend a great deal of time on the 19 telephone conference arguing about that sort of thing.

20 So with that basic agenda outlined, we'll 21 take formal appearances for the record, and we will 22 start with Avista; Mr. Meyer?

23 MR. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. Appearing 24 for Avista in this proceeding, David Meyer, general 25 counsel for Avista Corporation.

```
00004
1
             JUDGE MOSS: Since this is our first
   prehearing conference, I will ask you to go ahead with
   your address, phone, fax, and e-mail information so we
4 have that in our record.
             MR. MEYER: Address is East 1411 Mission
6 Avenue, Spokane, Washington. The zip is 99202. Phone
7 number is (509) 495-4316, and e-mail,
8 dmeyer@avistacorp.com.
9
             JUDGE MOSS: I have your fax number as (509)
10 495-4361.
11
             MR. MEYER: That's right.
12
             JUDGE MOSS: Any other appearances for
13 Avista? Mr. Sanger?
14
             MR. SANGER: On behalf of the Industrial
15 Customers of Northwest Utilities, Irion Sanger.
16 Address is 1300 Southwest Fifth Avenue, Suite 2915,
17 Portland, Oregon, 97201. Phone number is (503)
18 241-7242. Fax is (503) 241-8160. E-mail is
19 mail@dvclaw.com.
20
             JUDGE MOSS: Mr. ffitch?
             MR. FFITCH: Simon ffitch, assistant attorney
21
22 general, public counsel section of the Washington
23 Attorney General, 900 Fourth Avenue, Suite 2000,
```

24 Seattle, Washington, 98164. Fax number is (206)

25 389-2058. Telephone number is (206) 389-2055. E-mail

00005 1 is simonf@atg.wa.gov. MR. TROTTER: My name is Donald T. Trotter, assistant attorney general for the Commission staff. 4 My e-mail is dtrotter@wutc.wa.gov. My fax number is (360) 389-2055, and my phone number is (360) 664-1189. JUDGE MOSS: Thank you, Mr. Trotter. Do we 7 have anyone else who wishes to enter an appearance 8 today? Fine, and we have the petition to intervene by the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities. 10 there any objection to the motion? 11 MR. MEYER: No objection. 12 JUDGE MOSS: There being no objection and the 13 petition being well-taken, the petition will be 14 granted. Ordinarily at this point, we come to these 15 16 issues of whether to invoke the discovery rule and 17 protective order and that sort of thing. Do you all 18 wish to defer that, or should we go ahead and take care 19 of that business today? 20 MR. MEYER: We would be fine in deferring 21 that from the company's standpoint. If and when we 22 have a procedural discussion or on dates to litigate 23 the case, if it comes to that, then there may be some

24 modifications requested to that discovery rule, so that

25 may or may not be moot.

1 JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Sanger, are you comfortable 2 with that? MR. SANGER: We would prefer to invoke the 4 discovery rules now, but we are willing to compromise 5 if other parties are adamant against it, but we don't 6 see any reason in postponing it. 7 JUDGE MOSS: I wouldn't describe Mr. Meyer as 8 being adamant. Mr. ffitch, how do you feel about it? 9 I know there has been some informal exchange of 10 information going on, at least as between staff and the 11 company and perhaps public counsel as well, and I 12 turned first to our intervenors since they sometimes 13 are the odd person out on this sort of thing. 14 MR. FFITCH: I think as a practical matter, 15 we are likely to be focusing on the settlement discussion this week. I would kind of defer to the 17 other parties on whether to invoke the rule or not this 18 week. 19 MR. TROTTER: Your Honor, on behalf of staff, 20 we issued substantial informal discovery in 21 anticipation of the rule being invoked, and we would just recommend that intervenors do the same. I'm sure 23 the company will get on them as soon as they get them,

24 given that the company was asking for a rather

25 expedited schedule. As a practical matter, I think it

25

just makes sense that if the intervenors want to issue
it, issue it, but let's wait until Friday to argue out
the details.

JUDGE MOSS: I assume the company would be
willing to respond informally to the Industrial
Customers, and we can proceed in that fashion, and

 $7\,$ Mr. Sanger, if it becomes a problem, you let me know $8\,$ and we will take care of it. Otherwise, we'll defer

 $\boldsymbol{9}$ the discussion until Friday, particularly in light of

10 the suggestion that there might be some tweaking to the

11 practice that might be suggested. So let's put that

12 off, and we will similarly put off the issue of a

13 protective order, and the parties may wish to offer

14 some suggestions on the protective order that would

vary somewhat from the standard order, and we can also

16 take that up at the appropriate time.

17 As I mentioned, we'll defer

As I mentioned, we'll defer a procedural schedule looking forward optimistically to a positive development through the course of the week and then report back on Friday afternoon. What is the pleasure of the parties in terms of timing? I was looking at my calendar, and it happens to be fairly open on Friday. I think I do have some sort of lunch engagement that's not reflected on here.

MR. MEYER: I don't think there are any

00008 1 conflicts. There are preferences for earlier rather than later on Friday. MR. FFITCH: Ten o'clock? JUDGE MOSS: That works well for me. We will 5 set that. MR. MEYER: This will be a call-in? 7 JUDGE MOSS: What I will try to do is get the 8 conference bridge line set up for that. Let me put it 9 this way: If you do not hear from me, you may assume 10 that I have successfully reserved the conference bridge 11 line and we'll use that, and everybody has that number. 12 Otherwise, I will send an e-mail out to everyone 13 indicating some alternative number or some alternative 14 means to set that call up. Since we have a small 15 number of parties, I think we can manage it fairly 16 easily. I sometimes find myself in a bind on this sort 17 of thing, in which case I may call on a party to set 18 that up, and it will probably be Avista, but we will 19 make the arrangements. I just don't have that 20 information in front of me. 21 Is there any other business we need to take 22 up? I will mention just a couple of points for filing 23 away, and this would be true whether there is a 24 settlement in the case or not so this will be useful

25 information for you to have. I've checked with our

1 records center, and on filings in this proceeding, we 2 need the original and 14.

Although I'm sure you are all very familiar
with it, I'm obligated to remind you that all filings
must be made through the Commission secretary or by
mail addressed to the secretary at WUTC, P.O. Box
47250, 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest,
Olympia, Washington, 98504-7250, or by hand delivery to
the street address.

We do ask, and I'm going to emphasize this
point, to the extent you all do make some filings in
this case, whether it be a settlement agreement or
something else, please do try to file it
electronically. It makes my life a lot easier when I'm
dealing with these papers if I have electronic copies.
So it's not just a nod to the cyber age but something
that is actually quite useful to us, so please do that.
You can do that by e-mail attachment or by submitting a
three-and-a-half-inch diskette in whatever format you
use.

Service on parties must be simultaneous with filing. The Commission ordinarily does not accept filings by fax, but in appropriate circumstances, if you will request that through me, I will grant that freely as the needs of the case dictate.

```
00010
1
```

I'm thinking at this juncture I will not enter a prehearing conference order until after our discussion on Friday, at which time I will enter some 4 sort of an order. It may be fairly pro forma if things 5 go the way everyone hopes they will, but I will defer 6 that for now, and given that we are deferring most of 7 our prehearing matters until Friday, I won't mention 8 some of the other procedural dates that we normally 9 require in terms of the prehearing, the last prehearing 10 conference where we do the exhibit exchange. We will 11 talk about all that stuff if we have to. If there is no other business today, then I

13 thank you all very much for being here and look forward 14 to talking with you on Friday morning. We are off the record.

(Prehearing conference convened at 1:50 p.m.)

16 17 18

12

15

19 20

21

22 23

2.4 25