0000	001					
1	BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND					
	TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION					
2						
	WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND )Docket No	o. UT-001820				
3	TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION, )Volume I					
	Complainant, ) Pages 1-1	11				
4	)					
	v. )					
5	)					
	COMTEX CORPORATION, )					
6	Respondent. )					
	)					
7						
8						
9	A hearing in the above					
10	held on October 25, 2001, at 9:33 a.m., at 1300					
11	Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Olympia, Washington,					
12		before Administrative Law Judge KAREN CAILLE.				
13	The parties were presen	nt as				
14						
15	THE COMMISSION, by Lisa					
	Rule Nine Intern, and Shannon Smith, Assistant					
16	Attorney General, 1400 Evergreen Park Driv					
	P.O. Box 40128, Olympia, Washington 9850	4-0128.				
17						
18						
1.0						
19						
0.0						
20						
0.1						
21						
0.0						
22						
0.2						
23						
0.4	Dealess I Malass GGD					
24	Barbara L. Nelson, CSR					
٥.	Court Reporter					
25						

	INDEX OF EXHIBITS						
EXHIBIT:	MARKED:	OFFERED:	ADMITTED				
Number 1	6	6	6				
Number 2	8	8	8				
Number 3	8	8	8				
Number 4	9	9	9				
Number 5	9	9	9				
Number 6	10	10	10				

```
1
              JUDGE CAILLE: We are here today for the
     first prehearing conference in the proceeding
 3
     docketed UT-001820, Washington Utilities and
    Transportation Commission versus Comtex Corporation.
 5
    This is a complaint brought by the Commission to
 6
    consider whether Comtex has failed to comply with
 7
    applicable orders of the Commission, to consider
8
    whether the Commission should issue additional
9
    penalties for Comtex's violation of Commission rules
10
    identified by Staff during its investigation, and to
11
    consider whether the Commission should revoke
12
    Comtex's registration pursuant to WAC 480-121-060.
13
              My name is Karen Caille, and I'm the
14
    presiding Administrative Law Judge in this
15
    proceeding. Today is Thursday, October the 25th, and
16
    we're convened in the hearing room at the
17
    Commission's offices in Olympia, Washington. I'd
18
     like to begin this morning by taking appearances.
19
     Will you please, for the record, state your name,
20
     spelling your last name, who you represent, your
21
     street address, mailing address, telephone number,
22
     fax number, and e-mail address. And let's begin with
23
     counsel for Commission Staff.
              MS. WATSON: Good morning. My name is Lisa
2.4
    Watson. I'm a Rule Nine intern and --
25
```

1 JUDGE CAILLE: Excuse me, Ms. Watson, would you please pull the microphone close to you. And this is -- this is just an idiosyncrasy of this 4 hearing room. The microphone has to be close to you 5 in order for everybody to hear, so --6 MS. WATSON: Can you hear me now? 7 JUDGE CAILLE: That's fine. 8 MS. WATSON: Okay. My name is Lisa Watson, 9 W-a-t-s-o-n. I'm a Rule Nine intern. Shannon Smith, 10 AAG, is also here with me, and we represent 11 Commission Staff. My mailing address is P.O. Box 12 40128, Olympia, Washington, 98504. The street 13 address is 1400 South Evergreen Park Drive, S.W., 14 Olympia, Washington, 98504. My phone number is area 15 code 360-664-1186. The fax number is 360-586-5522. 16 My e-mail address is lisaw4@atg.wa.gov. 17 JUDGE CAILLE: All right. Thank you. And 18 are you going to enter an appearance, as well, Ms. 19 Smith? 20 MS. SMITH: I am not. Thank you. 21 JUDGE CAILLE: And is there anyone here for 22 Comtex Corporation? I, before coming into the 23 hearing room this morning, checked with the Records 24 Center to see whether the notice that we sent out --25 excuse me just a moment -- whether the notice that we

served on October the 4th had been -- and we served this certified mail -- whether it had been accepted. And the certified mail was returned, and I believe the notation was that it was undeliverable. The person -- the company had moved or the person had moved.

So at this point I'm going to ask Ms. Watson how you feel we can proceed. You know, it's possible that this could be handled as a default. Oh, I also want to recognize that you sent me a letter asking that this be converted to a brief adjudicative proceeding, and I did not act on that largely because I wanted to see if the Complainant -- I mean the Respondent would be here today. And my concern was to make sure that the Respondent got a full and fair hearing and with all the bells and whistles in order that the company could feel it had complete due process.

But now I looked at the default statute and it seemed -- it would seem to me that it would be appropriate, that it would be an appropriate way to go, but I also believe that we probably have to have some evidence in the record in order for me to write an order.

MS. WATSON: Right, and we do agree with

that, the default is the more appropriate way to deal 1 with this case. I did a little bit of research on service, and under RCW 34.05.010 and WAC 480-09-120(2)(c), service by mail is complete upon 5 deposit in the United States mail. The WAC does 6 elaborate a little bit more on that by saying service 7 is complete when a copy of the document properly 8 addressed and stamped is deposited in the United 9 States mail with first class postage affixed. 10 In this case, I do have a declaration from 11 Mike Sommerville stating that the notice of 12 prehearing conference was mailed on October 4th, both 13 certified and regular mail. And so, based on that, 14 based on the fact that service was properly completed 15 and that Comtex has failed to appear, we would 16 suggest that it proceed in default under 17 34.05.440(2). 18 JUDGE CAILLE: Would you like to offer that 19 declaration --20 MS. WATSON: Yes, I would. 21 JUDGE CAILLE: -- as an exhibit? All 22 right. I'm going to read into the record -- well, 23 first of all, I will mark -- this exhibit has been 2.4 marked as Exhibit 1, and I will admit it into the 25 record. And I'm going to read number four of this

25

exhibit into the record. I think that this satisfies the notice provisions in the WAC and the RCW, as Ms. Watson has stated. Number four reads as follows: On October 5 4th, 2001, the Commission sent a notice of prehearing 6 conference to Comtex Corporation by certified and 7 regular mail to the address on record with the 8 Commission. On October 16th, 2001, the certified 9 mailing was returned to Commission as, quote, "Moved, 10 left no address, unable to forward, return to 11 sender, " unquote. 12 On October 11th, 2001, the U.S. Postal 13 Service labeled the regular mailing as, quote, 14 "Moved, left no address, unable to forward, return to 15 sender, " unquote, and returned the mailing to the 16 Commission Records Center on October the 15th, 2001. 17 Now, do you have any recommendations under 18 the default statute, Ms. Watson, on how to proceed? 19 MS. WATSON: Well, Commission Staff is 20 ready to present the case on revocation of Comtex's 21 registration if you'd like to hear that now. 22 JUDGE CAILLE: That would be great. MS. WATSON: Okay. Under WAC 480-121-060, 23 2.4 the Commission may revoke a registration after a

hearing for good cause. In this case, there are four

areas of good cause that exist. The first two are 1 that Comtex failed to file an annual report and pay regulatory fees. The Commission requires telecommunication companies to file an annual report 5 and pay regulatory fees by May 1st of each year. I have a declaration from Lisa Lloyd stating that 6 7 Comtex failed to file the annual report, and I have a 8 declaration from Kim Rogers stating that Comtex 9 failed to pay the regulatory fees, and I'd like to move that those declarations be admitted into the 10 11 record at this time. 12 JUDGE CAILLE: All right. And are those 13 marked as Exhibits 2 and 3, respectively? 14 MS. WATSON: Yes, they are. 15 JUDGE CAILLE: Those are admitted into the 16 record. 17 MS. WATSON: Okay. The third reason that 18 good cause exists in this case is that Comtex failed 19 to comply with applicable state telecommunications 20 and business regulations. The Commission initiated 21 an investigation to determine whether or not Comtex 22 had engaged in selling prepaid phone cards without 23 first complying with WAC 480-121-040. On two 2.4 occasions, the Commission issued an order requesting 25 that Comtex provide certain information that would be

helpful in that investigation, and on both times 1 Comtex failed to comply with those orders. Additionally, the Commission assessed penalties based 4 on Comtex's failure to provide information and Comtex 5 failed to pay that penalty. 6 I have declarations from Lisa Lloyd and Kim 7 Rogers describing Comtex's failure to comply with the 8 Commission order. And the declaration by Lisa Lloyd 9 is marked Exhibit 4, the declaration from Kim Rogers 10 is marked Exhibit 5, and I'd like to move that those 11 be admitted to the record, as well. 12 JUDGE CAILLE: Exhibits 4 and 5, 13 declarations by Lisa Lloyd and Kim Rogers, are 14 admitted into the record. 15 MS. WATSON: Okay. The fourth reason that 16 good cause exists in this case is that Comtex is no 17 longer conducting business in Washington. The 18

Commission conducted an investigation in which 19 investigator Dennis Shutler went to Comtex's physical 20 location only to find that Comtex no longer was in 21 that location. I have a declaration from Dennis

22 Shutler marked Exhibit 6 that I'd like to admit into 23 the record.

2.4

25

JUDGE CAILLE: The declaration of Dennis Shutler is admitted as Exhibit 6 into the record.

21

22 23

2.4

25

1 MS. WATSON: And also in support of -- the fourth reason of good cause, I have a printout from the Secretary of State website stating that Comtex is an inactive corporation and that its license has 5 expired, and that is marked as Exhibit 7, and I'd 6 like that to be -- or I would move to introduce that into the record, as well. 7 8 JUDGE CAILLE: Exhibit 7 is admitted into 9 the record. 10 MS. WATSON: Based on the above reasons, 11 good cause exists, and the Commission Staff would 12 respectfully request that Comtex's registration be 13 revoked. 14 JUDGE CAILLE: Okay. Let me just look at this for just a second. For the record, I'm looking 15 16 over the exhibits that have been submitted just to 17 see whether I have any questions. 18 MS. WATSON: Your Honor, would you like the 19

other two, as well?

JUDGE CAILLE: Oh, yes. All right. I think everything is in order here for me to issue a default order and include in that order that the registration for Comtex is revoked, so that is what I will do. I may need to wait until I get the transcript, because I didn't take notes -- couldn't

```
00011
1
    take notes quickly enough. So is there any urgency
    in the matter?
              MS. WATSON: I don't believe so. And also,
4
    Commission Staff would like to waive the initial
5
    order.
6
              JUDGE CAILLE: All right. That's accepted,
7
   and I will prepare a final order, then, for the
    Commissioners' signature. All right. Is there
8
9
    anything more to come before the Commission today?
10
              MS. WATSON: No, Your Honor.
              JUDGE CAILLE: All right. Thank you.
11
12
              (Proceedings adjourned at 9:48 a.m.)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```