

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON STATE UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,))
Complainant,)) DOCKET NO. UE-152253
vs.))
PACIFIC POWER & LIGHT COMPANY,))
Respondent.))

PUBLIC COMMENT HEARING, VOLUME II
Pages 66 - 90

April 25, 2016
6:00 p.m.
129 North Second Street
Yakima, Washington

REPORTED BY:
DANI JEAN CRAVER, CCR NO. 3352

tonight, but this evening is being recorded. We have a court reporter here, and we will have transcripts that will be made available to my colleagues, and they will review them as part of this rate case proceeding.

Before we go any further, we have a short video that describes the UTC processes and describes how the commission makes its determinations. So why don't we go ahead and show that video right now, and then we will take public comment.

(SHORT VIDEO PLAYED.)

MR. DANNER: All right. I hope that the volume there was sufficient so people could hear the video.

I want to point out tonight that I'm here to listen, so I'm not here to answer questions. But we do have people here tonight, the state's public counsel, Simon ffitch is here. He represents residential customers and small business customers, so if you have questions about this rate case, you can ask him.

Bryce Dalley -- will you please stand? -- is from Pacific Power and also is available for questions.

In addition, commission has regulatory staff who act as an independent party, and Jason Ball from our staff is here, along with Jennifer Cameron-Rulkowski who is an attorney general -- assistant attorney general who is assigned from this case from the

YAKIMA, WASHINGTON; APRIL 25, 2016
6:00 P.M.
-o0o-

MR. DANNER: Well, it's 6 o'clock, so we will begin. This is a UTC public comment hearing to hear the views of PacifiCorp customers about a request by Pacific Power & Light for a rate increase for electric services.

In November of 2015, Pacific Power & Light filed a petition with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission requesting approval of a rate increase -- filing request of a rate increase of about \$10 million or 2.99 percent effective in mid-2016, and a second step rate increase of 10.3 million or 2.99 percent effective mid-'17. The company has since reduced the first year request to 9.03 million based on new information. And that is the request that is before the Utilities and Transportation Commission right now in Docket UE-152253.

My name is Dave Danner, and I am the chair of the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. The UTC is a three-member commission. I'm representing the commission tonight. My colleagues, Commissioner Philip Jones and Commissioner Ann Rendahl are not here

attorney general's UTC division.

So with that, I would like to begin taking public comments that you may have on this case. If you are intending to provide comment tonight, because this is a formal proceeding, I will need to swear you in, meaning take an oath.

So if you are planning to speak tonight, could I ask you please to stand and raise your right hand?

PUBLIC SPEAKERS, being first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, testified as follows:

MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you. So we will begin at the top of the sign-in sheet. So, Mr. Bob Ponti, would you come forward, please?

MR. PONTI: Right spot?

MR. DANNER: That's the place.

MR. PONTI: Okay. First of all, welcome to Yakima to all our visitors and a little bit of sunshine. My name is Bob Ponti.

I am the program director for the Weatherization Assistance Program and the housing director for OIC, what is the Opportunities Industrialization Center of

Page 70

1 Washington here in Yakima. We are a CAP agency, a
 2 Community Action Program agency, one of 30 in the
 3 state, providing Weatherization Assistance Programs.
 4 And my associate was to be here tonight from the
 5 Northwest Community Action Center, but I see she's not
 6 able to come. We both hold contracts, PPNL contracts,
 7 to provide both energy assistance and weatherization,
 8 so we work together closely.
 9 She serves the south half of Yakima County; I
 10 serve the north half of Yakima County, as it's a big
 11 county. I also serve Grant and Adams Counties, but not
 12 with PPNL funding. And I'm speaking on behalf of the
 13 low-income clients that we represent in our service
 14 area.
 15 First of all, I'd like to thank Pacific Power for
 16 the support over the years. We've had a good
 17 partnership with Pacific Power. Especially noteworthy
 18 is the relationship over they years with Becky Eberle,
 19 who is the program manager for the contract holders for
 20 these programs from Portland.
 21 We've worked out glitches through the years, and
 22 it's been a productive, positive relationship over
 23 time. Pacific Power funding is one of several funding
 24 sources that we leverage together to pull off projects
 25 to weatherize homes.

Page 71

1 Rate increases, when they do arrive, and the
 2 impact on low income often are disproportionate.
 3 Percentage hit to a low-income family is a big part of
 4 the disposable income ability that they have for the
 5 rest of their household needs.
 6 We work with -- we work through community action
 7 with clients in educational services in the energy
 8 field, food banks, and different things, so we have
 9 quite a connection to the low-income population.
 10 Generally the housing stock that we work on and
 11 work with is generally in poor condition with deferred
 12 maintenance and so forth. We try not to defer projects
 13 away. We try to do what we can from the energy
 14 conservation sites, but oftentimes housing stock has
 15 been deteriorated to the point where it's more of a
 16 rehab project than a conservation project, and
 17 unfortunately we aren't able to serve folks.
 18 Yakima County in particular, when you look at them
 19 in comparison to the rest of the state, I'd asked our
 20 internal folks for some information on things like
 21 poverty rate and comparison to statewide and so forth,
 22 so these are some figures that were given to me based
 23 on the Community Needs Assessments that are available
 24 that agencies do on a regular basis and census data
 25 that's available to us.

Page 72

1 The poverty rate over all ages in Yakima County is
 2 about 23 percent. Washington average from the same
 3 category is listed at 13.6. We're an agriculturally
 4 based community area, medium family income at about
 5 44,000 here in the Yakima area, Washington average
 6 59,000.
 7 75 percent of the school-age kids in the Yakima
 8 area and the Yakima Valley are eligible for free or
 9 reduced lunch programs, so those are some of the
 10 criteria we use to kind of characterize our particular
 11 situation.
 12 The Community Action Programs have the ability to
 13 effectively reach people in poverty. We've been doing
 14 it for a lot of years. Unfortunately, poverty is
 15 increasing here, but we are not unique in that regard,
 16 obviously, nationwide. We say, Business is good, and
 17 that's usually not a good thing for us and for the
 18 folks that we serve.
 19 We just like to be on record. We support a
 20 collaborative effort to work with PacifiCorp on a
 21 formation of a work group to look at the demographics
 22 of the PPNL service area, the usage study of our
 23 client -- for our particular clients and take a good
 24 look at an evaluation of the program delivery to see if
 25 there are things that we could do that are better.

Page 73

1 We're not always saying throwing money at the
 2 problem fixes things, we're saying that maybe there's a
 3 better way to do it to access more people. More people
 4 are coming into our systems, and we need to have -- we
 5 need to be on top of -- with our partners on top of
 6 doing it in the best possible way.
 7 My understanding is that work group would include
 8 CAP agencies, would include the Energy Project, who
 9 represents us quite often in these types of operations,
 10 the UTC staff, and public counsel, and we look forward
 11 to that effort. It's my understanding that it would
 12 result in a report to the commission sometime in 2017.
 13 So that's the end of my comments. Thanks for the
 14 opportunity to speak and to represent our clients here.
 15 Is there any questions I could answer for you?
 16 MR. DANNER: Yeah. Thank you, Mr. Ponti, and
 17 thank you for the work you do.
 18 I guess the first thing I'd like to know is do you
 19 have an idea of how large the need is out there, and
 20 how far you are from filling that need?
 21 MR. PONTI: From finishing our work?
 22 MR. DANNER: Right.
 23 MR. PONTI: It's never really been a
 24 consideration. The work will be there. But in the
 25 scope, in the big picture, I can give you an example of

Page 74

1 what we're able to accomplish using PacifiCorp. All
 2 the fund sources that I coordinate result in our
 3 program being able to weatherize about 100 houses a
 4 year.
 5 MR. DANNER: 100 houses a year?
 6 MR. PONTI: 100 houses a year in weatherization.
 7 MR. DANNER: And how many houses are out there in
 8 need of weatherization?
 9 MR. PONTI: I wish that I could give you that for
 10 Yakima County, and I can work on that. I think that
 11 it's been so big and more people come into the system
 12 all the time that I hate to speculate on that.
 13 For us, it's almost an endless target. Houses get
 14 older by the day, less money is put into them. I think
 15 part of our mission is to keep people in their homes,
 16 keep that housing stock viable.
 17 I have a joke with my peers on the west side of
 18 mountains, at least mobile homes eventually have the
 19 decency of melting to the ground over there because of
 20 the moisture. Here they're preserved forever. People
 21 live in housing that's not up to standard.
 22 And like I say, we have to defer homes, because we
 23 are a conservation-based program, and many, many are
 24 beyond conservation measures. They need repairs.
 25 That's a whole different conversation than is before

Page 75

1 this group.
 2 But I think that I could put some figures together
 3 via WASHCAP, which is our association of community
 4 action, I think I could get some guidance on that. I
 5 just hate to speculate.
 6 MR. DANNER: Okay. So the Energy Project is a
 7 formal intervenor in this proceeding, so they will be
 8 participating in our hearings on May 2nd and 3rd. So
 9 there is a federal program, LIHEAP, the Low-Income Home
 10 Energy Assistance Program is what it's called, has that
 11 been keeping pace with demand or has that been
 12 declining?
 13 MR. PONTI: It hasn't been declining recently.
 14 It's been steady. It's always been low, but it's been
 15 steady. So our federal fund sources right at the
 16 moment have maintained fairly steady funding for the
 17 agencies. It's never enough, and we have to gear up to
 18 the funds we have.
 19 It's very difficult with the amount of training,
 20 especially in weatherization that it takes. Low-income
 21 weatherization really leads the nation in the
 22 technology to do what we do. It flows from there over
 23 to middle income. So it's a high-tech type of
 24 approach, houses system approach that we use to do the
 25 best job.

Page 76

1 The thing we run into also is the fact we only get
 2 to go to a house one time. We'll never go back because
 3 of the need. So we have to be sure we're doing the
 4 best job we can for those folks at the time and with
 5 the best equipment that we can muster. And if someone
 6 follows them into that home, that hopefully that home
 7 has had the investment in it, whether it's a rental
 8 home or an unoccupied home.
 9 MR. DANNER: And the request for the work group,
 10 is that something that has been proposed by a party to
 11 this case, this Energy Project proposal?
 12 MR. PONTI: We understood that through a
 13 conversation we had with the Energy Project, that there
 14 was an approach -- this was a phone conversation last
 15 week as we prepared for comments, was the Energy
 16 Project said they were going to advocate, and maybe it
 17 was just that they were going to advocate to the
 18 commission that we put this together for PacifiCorp.
 19 It had been done for some of the other utilities,
 20 to look at the specific needs of different utilities in
 21 different areas. So that's all I can comment on that.
 22 I don't know of actual work being done.
 23 MR. DANNER: That's something we can take under
 24 advisement as we proceed.
 25 All right. I have no other questions. Is there

Page 77

1 anything else you'd like to add?
 2 MR. PONTI: No. Thanks for the opportunity.
 3 MR. DANNER: All right, Mr. Ponti. Thank you very
 4 much.
 5 Next I'd like to call up -- and I am sorry if I
 6 have trouble reading this, John Klinge -- did I get
 7 that right?
 8 MR. KLINGLE: Yep, you've got it right. My name
 9 is John Klinge, and I do live here in Yakima, just a
 10 couple miles from here, and I've been in this room many
 11 times, as I was a city councilman.
 12 MR. DANNER: Okay. And you are a customer of
 13 Pacific Power?
 14 MR. KLINGLE: I am definitely a customer of
 15 Pacific Power. I keep getting envelopes every month in
 16 the mail.
 17 We all hate having rates increase. It's not a
 18 thrill to our budgets at all. By looking at what goes
 19 on with Pacific Power and part of this rate increase
 20 talks about the work that's been done at the Union Gap
 21 substation and work continues at the Union Gap
 22 substation, so Pacific is putting a little capital work
 23 there.
 24 But part of what is not part of this, but is going
 25 to be coming on the books very soon is the work that

Page 78

1 they just finished up at the Pomona Heights substation,
 2 where they put a new transformer in, and they have
 3 rebuilt their 230-kV equipment. I asked for that
 4 transformer a number of years ago, because Selah's
 5 system being overloaded, and I'm glad to see it's
 6 working very nicely.
 7 The 230-kV rebuild there has put in some more
 8 circuit breakers as well as a lot of isolation
 9 switches, which all got replaced with new ones, have
 10 higher capacities to prepare for the next line.
 11 It will be going up Wanapum, which will be
 12 scheduled in the next whoever knows, however long it
 13 takes them to get done, to provide for Pacific Power's
 14 ability to provide power to us here in Yakima that
 15 meets FERC guidelines, as it does not presently. So
 16 that will be another capital project that will be
 17 coming onto the books.
 18 Also presently is a new transformer going in at
 19 the River Road substation to replace some ancient
 20 equipment up there. So that will be coming onto the
 21 books in the near future.
 22 With all these projects coming online, it's not
 23 all that needs to be done. When I look at the system,
 24 I see that the 115-kV line from Selah to Orchard
 25 substations needs to be upgraded to much larger

Page 79

1 conductors, as in -1 conditions may provide that that
 2 line would be way too hot to provide adequate service
 3 to all the folks if the line from Union Gap to the Nob
 4 Hill substation happens to go down. And I have
 5 actually had that go down, because I've reported
 6 insulators that have been broken, so I know it can
 7 happen and does happen.
 8 So there will be capital projects that will be
 9 coming onto the books in the near future, and I'd
 10 rather start paying a little bit now and maybe a little
 11 bit more next year than having a huge rate increase in
 12 2018 as they all finally hit the books.
 13 One of the things I've given you are a couple of
 14 flyers that have been in our newspapers recently. For
 15 instance, the "Yakima, who's going to turn off the TV?"
 16 brochure has PacifiCorp misspelled in the fine print.
 17 And when I see errors in materials, I'm always very
 18 suspicious, when we consider how many scams there are
 19 on the internet and how many phone calls we get that
 20 want our money and a lot of other things that are not
 21 desirable for us to give away.
 22 The other one with the light bulbs on it, the free
 23 energy efficiency kits, on the back, it says, Now claim
 24 100 percent rebate. This means no out-of-pocket
 25 expense to you. Until you look at the fine print, and

Page 80

1 it says offer requires \$120 payment. Slightly
 2 deceptive.
 3 I'm not convinced that these particular programs
 4 are cost efficient and that the people who actually use
 5 them come up with nearly the savings that are designed
 6 or are supposed to get.
 7 On a regular basis, I get a mailing from Pacific
 8 Power that tells me how I compare to my neighbors. I
 9 don't need it. I don't care how I compare to my
 10 neighbors. I always -- I'm always shown as being very
 11 efficient, but they compare me to all electric
 12 customers, and I'm not all electric. So I think
 13 savings could be had in the company by not sending out
 14 mailings we really don't need or want, because I have
 15 yet to find a customer that really wants them.
 16 So I see that there are savings available in the
 17 company, but they're not always popular with some
 18 segments of the folks who advocate for us, and
 19 sometimes I don't think they're advocating for me at
 20 all.
 21 And so I would encourage you to at least consider
 22 at least some rate increase for Pacific Power,
 23 recognizing that there is capitalization coming in the
 24 near future that will be going onto the books. And
 25 having a littler rate increase now and a littler

Page 81

1 increase next year is a lot better than having a big
 2 one in 2018.
 3 Questions?
 4 MR. DANNER: Thank you. So if I may summarize,
 5 what I'm hearing you say is you understand that there
 6 are capital improvements that have to be made. Your
 7 concern is that you want the -- if they're going to be
 8 increases, you'd rather have them slow and steady than
 9 to have some kind of dramatic increase on --
 10 MR. KLINGLE: Most definitely.
 11 MR. DANNER: And then with regard to the energy
 12 efficiency flyers that you handed out, we do have a
 13 state initiative that passed that requires utilities to
 14 achieve all cost-effective conservation --
 15 MR. KLINGLE: And I'm not convinced that these the
 16 cost effective.
 17 MR. DANNER: And that's the point I understand. I
 18 thank you for your comments on that. And we certainly
 19 will be reviewing that as this case goes on.
 20 MR. KLINGLE: Thank you.
 21 MR. DANNER: Thank you very much.
 22 Louise Schneider?
 23 MS. SCHNEIDER: I've got a handout too. My name
 24 is Louise Schneider. I live in the Wenas Valley about
 25 14 miles north of Selah. And my testimony is going to

Page 82

1 be certainly different than the last two people.
 2 Because I'm here, I feel that this upgrade is --
 3 or this increase is not necessary.
 4 I did have a question, but you said you didn't
 5 answer questions. And my question was, when you get a
 6 request like this for an increase in rates, do you look
 7 into their financial situation, the company, such as
 8 income statements, retained earnings, cash flow, profit
 9 and loss, and all that stuff, to determine whether they
 10 really need an increase? Maybe you can let me know
 11 that by mail. I'd like to know.
 12 MR. DANNER: As it said in the video, we certainly
 13 do review all the financial information of the company,
 14 and if it's not provided in the case, sometimes we will
 15 simply go and ask for it and make a data request of the
 16 company. We do look at that.
 17 MS. SCHNEIDER: I missed that in the video. I
 18 didn't hear that. But anyway, what my purpose is for
 19 asking that, you'll find out later.
 20 In spite of the rate increases over the years, all
 21 services have not increased. Several years back the
 22 power company used to send out employees to investigate
 23 the safety of power poles. They did that on my
 24 property.
 25 I was driving out to our corrals on a lane which

Page 83

1 passes a power pole with a transformer. A man was
 2 there working on digging out around the pole, and I
 3 asked him what he was doing, and he said -- he informed
 4 me that he was checking the bottom of the pole, the
 5 buried part, and treating it if necessary to make sure
 6 the pole was not rotten and would not fall down.
 7 The power company does not, to my knowledge, do
 8 that as often anymore, or if they do it's on a limited
 9 process. Last year a power pole fell over on the
 10 property of my son in the Wenas Valley. It started a
 11 small grass fire. The power company came out and did
 12 stand the pole back up and placed a smaller pole
 13 attached alongside to be sure that it would stay and
 14 not fall down again anytime soon.
 15 I now worry about the power poles on my property.
 16 Will a rate increase ensure customers that the power
 17 poles will be taken care of and checked on a regular
 18 schedule? The company did not ask for a rate increase
 19 when they went out there and did the checking on those
 20 poles. Assuming that the increase is allowed, I would
 21 hope that they would take that precaution and reinstate
 22 that program.
 23 Years ago, when we needed a power pole, say, a new
 24 well or something, they put that in for free. Now I
 25 have a well where I need a power pole that's 20,000

Page 84

1 bucks over and above everything else I have to pay.
 2 That's the power pole's cost to me.
 3 We irrigate alfalfa and pasture land with four
 4 different irrigation pumps. All pumps are aboveground.
 5 At the end of the irrigation season, when we are not
 6 pumping, we get a bill for, quote, "3 PH Annual Load
 7 Size Charge," unquote. And that's for each pump. We
 8 are not using electricity at that time with a charge.
 9 The bill comes in November, long after the
 10 irrigation season is over. Last year this additional
 11 charge cost us \$1,946.68. In my mind, that alone is a
 12 rate increase which we receive every year.
 13 The most noticeable change for power customers
 14 since Warren Buffett invested in Pacific Power Corps in
 15 2006 for 5.1 billion cash, plus assuming a 4.3 billion
 16 debt for the total of 9.4 billion investment, is the
 17 price of power steadily increasing and more quickly
 18 than in other utilities in the region?
 19 I have testified at least four previous times
 20 regarding rate increases. These hearings seem to have
 21 become habit forming since Buffett acquired PacifiCorp.
 22 I need electric power. I am stuck purchasing it
 23 from Pacific Power as there is no competition. Pacific
 24 Power is it or nothing. If I could afford it, I would
 25 invest in solar power. At least I would get the

Page 85

1 satisfaction of not having to testify at these hearings
 2 every other year and would use power as needed.
 3 I do understand that PacifiCorp has invested in
 4 three wind projects in the state of Washington, and I
 5 certainly applaud them for that. However, I would hope
 6 maybe they would put a solar power project closer to
 7 Yakima. That might reduce our rates instead of the
 8 constant increases.
 9 Thank you for allowing me to testify. And my
 10 information on Warren Buffett was from The Oregonian
 11 and the U.S. Business/NBC News. I just didn't dream it
 12 up.
 13 MR. DANNER: Thank you, Ms. Schneider. I didn't
 14 think that you did. We will make your letter part of
 15 the record in the case.
 16 MS. SCHNEIDER: Thank you.
 17 MR. DANNER: Thank you.
 18 MS. PHILLIPS: And share with the persons that
 19 were missing today?
 20 MR. DANNER: Absolutely.
 21 Okay. We have Judi Webberton, did I pronounce
 22 that right? Would you like to speak?
 23 MS. WEBBERTON: I'll be very brief. Being a
 24 senior now, I'm, you know, on fixed income like a lot
 25 of people, but I also have rental units in the lower

Page 86

1 valley. And most of my tenants are on fixed incomes
 2 and can't afford increases.
 3 The City of Toppenish has more than doubled our
 4 water, sewage, and garbage just in the short time I've
 5 owned the units, and I normally will split the increase
 6 with the tenants, because I know it's very hard on them
 7 to assume the normal increases a good business person
 8 would make. But I am trying to be compassionate with
 9 my tenants, because they're all good people. And I try
 10 to help them as much as possible.
 11 But with the increases that we have to endure from
 12 the City of Toppenish with their water, sewage, and
 13 garbage problems that they've had in the past and
 14 assuming that debt, they've increased the rates way
 15 beyond what is legally feasible. And when we've
 16 complained about that, they said, Well, the federal
 17 government's forcing them to break federal laws and
 18 passing the increase on to us.
 19 And then with the power company's increases on top
 20 of that, I think it's just putting a great hardship on
 21 a lot of people and, you know, if these constant
 22 increases keep -- I understand the need for them on
 23 your side of it, but I'd like to see some programs
 24 maybe initiated to help some of those people that need
 25 the help with this.

Page 87

1 MR. DANNER: Okay. Thank you very much. Just to
 2 clarify, the UTC does not have authority over the
 3 Toppenish decisions.
 4 MS. WEBBERTON: I know. I just brought that up
 5 because I need you to understand that we're getting hit
 6 from a lot of different sides, and sometimes it can be
 7 very much a burden on a lot of people, especially the
 8 seniors or the people on fixed incomes.
 9 MR. DANNER: I appreciate your comments, and I do
 10 understand that that was the context in which you
 11 raised that. Thank you very much.
 12 MS. WEBBERTON: Thank you.
 13 MR. DANNER: And again, I'm going to have trouble
 14 reading this, Wesley Akieana, please come forward.
 15 MS. AKIEANA: I just had a question regarding
 16 solar energy. I understand that the federal government
 17 has a 30 percent tax credit through 2019. And they
 18 recently expanded that program to 26 percent in 2020
 19 and then 22 percent in 2021.
 20 And I understand -- or I believe the Pacific Power
 21 credit program for Washington State is scheduled to end
 22 in June of 2020. Has Pacific Power discussed about
 23 extending the program credits to residential customers?
 24 MR. DANNER: Okay. I'm going to ask you to refer
 25 that question to the company or staff, and we'll give

Page 88

1 the answers to you on that.
 2 MR. AKIEANA: Okay. Thank you.
 3 MR. DANNER: So, let's see, Donna Phillips, did
 4 you wish to speak tonight?
 5 MS. PHILLIPS: No, thank you, sir.
 6 MR. DANNER: Is there anyone else in attendance
 7 tonight that would like to make a comment for the
 8 record in this case?
 9 Okay. Hearing none, that concludes the public
 10 testimony tonight.
 11 Now, for people who are not here, maybe viewing on
 12 television or hearing about this otherwise, we will
 13 still be taking comments by e-mail, by mail, also on
 14 the UTC web form, and by telephone until May 3rd.
 15 And so if you have friends or others that you know
 16 who might want to comment in this case, the information
 17 is on the second page of the public counsel handout
 18 tonight, and so we will certainly welcome their
 19 comments in this proceedings even if they're unable to
 20 have attended tonight.
 21 The UTC will be having a hearing on this case in
 22 Olympia on May 2nd and May 3rd in which we will be
 23 hearing from the company and from the other parties in
 24 this case, meaning the Energy Projects, Boise White
 25 Paper commission staff, and of course the attorney

Page 89

1 general's public counsel office.
 2 So I believe that takes us to the end of tonight's
 3 public comment hearing. So without further adieu,
 4 unless there's other issues, then we are going to
 5 adjourn.
 6 Thank you very much.
 7 (PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 6:47 P.M.)
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATE

STATE OF WASHINGTON)
) ss
COUNTY OF YAKIMA)

This is to certify that I, Dani Jean Craver,
Certified Court Reporter in and for the State of
Washington, residing at Yakima, reported the within and
foregoing proceedings; said proceedings being taken
before me on the date herein set forth; that the
witnesses were first by the chairman duly sworn; that
said proceedings was taken by me in shorthand and
thereafter under my supervision transcribed, and that
same is a full, true, and correct record of the testimony
of said witnesses, including all questions, answers, and
objections, if any, of counsel.

I further certify that I am not a relative or
employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties,
nor am I financially interested in the outcome of the
cause.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have set my hand this 4th
day of May, 2016.

DANI JEAN CRAVER
CCR NO. 3352