```
00312
             BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND
 2.
                  TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
   BELLINGHAM COLD STORAGE
   COMPANY AND GEORGIA-PACIFIC
   WEST, INC.,
 5
                    Complainant, )
                                    DOCKET NO. UE-001014
 6
             VS.
 7
   PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.,
                                ) VOLUME V
                                   Pages 312 - 320
 8
               Respondent. )
   GEORGIA-PACIFIC WEST, INC.,
10
                   Complainant, )
11
                                   DOCKET NO. UE-000735
             vs.
                                 )
12 PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.,
                                    VOLUME V
                                 ) Pages 312 - 320
13
                  Respondent.
    ______
14
15
             A prehearing conference in the above matters
16 was held on August 23, 2000, at 1:30 p.m., at 1300 South
17
   Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Olympia, Washington,
18
   before Administrative Law Judge DENNIS MOSS.
19
             The parties were present as follows:
20
             PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC., via bridge line by
   Mark Quehrn, Attorney at Law, 411 - 108th Avenue
   Northeast, Bellevue, Washington 98004.
21
22
             GEORGIA-PACIFIC WEST, INC., via bridge line by
   John Gould, Attorney at Law, 601 Southwest Second
23
   Avenue, Portland, Oregon 97204.
24 Joan E. Kinn, CCR, RPR
25 Court Reporter
```

```
00313
              BELLINGHAM COLD STORAGE COMPANY, via bridge
   line by John Cameron, Attorney at Law, 1300 Southwest
   Fifth Avenue, Suite 2300, Portland, Oregon 97204.
 3
              THE PUBLIC, via bridge line by Simon J.
    ffitch, Assistant Attorney General, 900 Fourth Avenue,
   Suite 2000, Seattle, Washington 98164-1012.
              THE COMMISSION, via bridge line by Robert D.
   Cedarbaum, Assistant Attorney General, 1400 South
   Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Olympia, Washington
    98504-0128.
 7
               ATLANTIC RICHFIELD COMPANY, via bridge line
   by Michael J. Myers, Attorney at Law, 911 Kilmary Lane,
    Glendale, California 91207.
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

JUDGE MOSS: All right, and I have Mr. Gould

22 for Georgia Pacific West.

23 MR. GOULD: Yes. 24

JUDGE MOSS: And Mr. Quehrn for Puget Sound

25 Energy?

```
00315
 1
              MR. QUEHRN: Yes.
 2
               JUDGE MOSS: And Mr. Cedarbaum for staff?
 3
               MR. CEDARBAUM: Yes.
 4
               JUDGE MOSS: Mr. ffitch for public counsel?
 5
               MR. FFITCH: Yes.
 6
               JUDGE MOSS: My Meyers for ARCO?
 7
               MR. MYERS: Yes.
8
               JUDGE MOSS: Okay, those appearances are
   noted for the record. Is there anyone else on the line?
9
10
   Okay, fine.
11
               As I mentioned, we did have an intervening
12
   motion that probably precludes the need for any
13
   extensive discussion. I have received as filed on
14
   August 22nd the joint motion of Complainants and
15
   Respondent to continue proceedings for 60 days.
16
               And it's my understanding from individual
17
   conversations with Mr. Cedarbaum and Mr. ffitch that
18
   neither staff for public counsel have any objection to
19
   the motion; is that correct?
20
              MR. CEDARBAUM: That's correct for staff.
21
              MR. FFITCH:
                           And for public counsel.
22
               JUDGE MOSS: All right.
                                       Mr. Meyers, do you
23
   wish to wade into this in any way?
              MR. MEYERS: I don't have any objection to
24
25
   the motion, sir.
```

JUDGE MOSS: Okay. And, Mr. Cameron, I
believe it was Mr. Cedarbaum who conveyed to me that you
had actually talked to the other parties and there was
no objection.

MR. CAMERON: Yes, sir, I spoke to Ms. Arnold

MR. CAMERON: Yes, sir, I spoke to Ms. Arnold and Ms. Davidson yesterday, and they told me -- well, I did not have authorization to represent this to you, but I will tell you that they told me they did not oppose the motion.

JUDGE MOSS: Yes, and, of course, this would be their opportunity to come forward since this was noticed as a conference that would take up any then pending motions, so I'm quite comfortable then going ahead and acting on the motion. Before I do, I will offer the opportunity if anybody has anything to say. I think it's straightforward.

MR. CEDARBAUM: Your Honor, this is Bob Cedarbaum. I just suggested in a letter that I filed for staff a couple of days ago that we may want to think about some kind of a status conference or something to come back together at the end of the 60 day period to find out where we are. I didn't know if you wanted to --

JUDGE MOSS: Yes.

MR. CEDARBAUM: That's not something I feel

strongly about. That was just a suggestion. THE COURT: No, I think that's a good point, and I had made a note of that. I'm glad you reminded me of it. I think it is a good suggestion, and I think it's also consistent with our procedural rule that 5 governs continuances. While we will be continuing this 7 to a date certain, nevertheless I think it's a prudent step to build in a status conference certainly at the 9 conclusion of the period. 10 And I wanted to ask the parties as well 11 whether it would be useful in terms of promoting the 12 settlement process if we had an interim status 13 conference say 30 days into the process. Or if the 14 parties think that would be superfluous, then we won't do it. Does anybody have any comment on that idea? 15 16 MR. CAMERON: This is John Cameron. It 17 occurs to me that you might wish to request a joint 18 status report from the plaintiffs and Puget perhaps 45 19 days into the continuance. That will obligate us to get 20 together hopefully into a single writing. 21 JUDGE MOSS: Anybody else want to comment on 22 that thought? 23 MR. QUEHRN: Puget would agree with that. 24 seems like a good idea, let everyone know where we stand 25 after 45 days. I think it's a good suggestion.

JUDGE MOSS: I think it's good to have some bench marks along the way, and I'm happy with the 45 days. Why don't we do that. We'll talk about some specific dates here in just a moment.

I suppose it's clear enough by now that it's certainly my intention to grant the motion, and again, we will establish some procedures here including a 45 day, roughly 45 day status report, joint status report. And then again, we will set that 60th day, whatever we decide that is precisely, for a further status conference.

And then, of course, should the parties' negotiations produce something positive sooner, then you can just let us know, and we can make whatever arrangements are indicated depending on where things stand. Similarly if we're coming close to the end and you need a few more days or something, we can, I think, handle that on fairly short notice.

The main goal here is at this juncture certainly for the Commission to do what it can to aid the parties in their efforts, and I wanted to ask the parties or invite the parties to take advantage of the resources the Commission has to offer. And I don't know if you have employed the services of a professional mediator. If you have not done so and would desire to

have the Commission's assistance in arranging for a
mediator, we would certainly be glad to help in that
effort or in any other way that would be useful to the
parties.

So I will just pause long enough to ask if

So I will just pause long enough to ask if there's anything specific we can do now that would aid the effort.

8 MR. GOULD: John Gould. I think not right 9 now, Judge, but thank you for the tender.

JUDGE MOSS: Okay, well, let us know, and you can just give me a call if there's anything we can do to assist. And again, we will certainly be glad to do whatever is within our power to assist the parties.

MR. CAMERON: BCS has the same reaction, Your Honor, but we appreciate the offer, and we will contact you if we need mediation.

JUDGE MOSS: Okay, great. Now let's talk about some specific dates. Today is the 23rd, and so, of course, 60 days from today would be October the 23rd, which happens to be a Monday. Do we want to set that as the date that I will put in the order granting this motion?

MR. GOULD: That's fine with GP. MR. QUEHRN: Fine with Puget.

MR. CAMERON: Same with BCS.

```
00320
               JUDGE MOSS: All right. Well, then I will
   indicate that date, and then I will figure out whatever
   the 45th day from -- well, let's see. Well, I will make
   sure that it's on a convenient day of the week for the
 5
   filing of your joint status report. I will just count
   those dates out and include that in a brief order
 7
   granting the motion.
 8
               Is there any other business we need to take
9
   up?
10
               MR. CAMERON: No, sir.
11
               MR. QUEHRN: Not that I'm aware of.
12
               MR. FFITCH: Same with public counsel.
13
               MR. CEDARBAUM: Not for staff.
14
               JUDGE MOSS: Okay, well, I appreciate you all
   participating in this fashion. It actually is more
15
16
   efficient to do it this way than for me to have to send
17
   out a notice and invite responses and all of that sort
18
   of thing. I thought we could handle it more
19
   expeditiously in this fashion, so I appreciate you
20
   taking the time out to participate with us in the
21
   teleconference.
22
               And that will bring us to the conclusion of
23
   our business, and so we will go off the record.
24
```

(Hearing adjourned at 1:40 p.m.)

25