## BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. UE-20\_\_\_\_\_

DOCKET NO. UG-20\_\_\_\_\_

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

DAVID R. HOWELL

REPRESENTING AVISTA CORPORATION

1	I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>
2	Q. Please state your name, employer and business address.
3	A. My name is David R. Howell and I am employed as the Director of Electric
4	Operations and Asset Maintenance for Avista Corporation (Avista or Company). My business
5	address is 1411 East Mission Avenue, Spokane, Washington.
6	Q. Would you briefly describe your educational background and professional
7	experience?
8	A. Yes. I graduated from Washington State University in 1992 with a B.S. in
9	Mechanical Engineering and earned my EMBA from the University of Washington in 2012.
10	I joined the Company in 2005 after spending 5 years with TransCanada-GTN. I have held
11	various positions at Avista supporting both natural gas and electric operations. Between 2005
12	and 2015 I held various natural gas engineering and operations positions including Gas Design
13	Engineer, Gas Design Manager, Gas Compliance Manager, Operations Manager, and Director
14	of Gas Delivery. In 2015 I transitioned to support the electric business as the Director of
15	Electrical Engineering. I became the Director of Electric Operations in 2016, where my
16	primary responsibilities include the management and oversight of Avista's 13 operating
17	districts, responsibility for construction services and design, as well as the Asset Maintenance
18	team.
19	Q. What is the scope of your testimony in this proceeding?
20	A. My testimony and exhibits detail the Company's response to the increasing
21	threat of wildfires within Avista's service territories by proactively implementing its Wildfir

23 130-year operating history combined with recent efforts to quantify and respond to the financial,

Resiliency Plan. Avista's Wildfire Resiliency Plan ("Wildfire Plan") reflects the Company's

Direct Testimony of David R. Howell Avista Corporation Docket Nos. UE-20\_\_\_\_ and UG-20\_\_\_\_

22

1	safety-related	l, and service reliability risks associated with wildfires. While I discu	iss this plan			
2	in detail with	in my testimony and exhibits, Company witness Ms. Andrews inco	rporates the			
3	incremental costs associated with the Company's Wildfire Plan within her Electric Pro Forma					
4	Study, and d	iscusses Avista's deferred accounting petition, requesting recovery	of Avista's			
5	Wildfire Plan expenditures (expenses) in 2021 prior to new rates going into effect, as well as					
6	the Company	's proposed Wildfire balancing account to track these expenditures ov	er the life of			
7	this ten-year	program (as discussed by Ms. Andrews).				
8	A tab	le of contents for my testimony is as follows:				
9	Desci	iption 1	Page			
10	I.	Introduction	1			
11	II.	Summary Need for Wildfire Plan and Recovery of Costs	3			
12	III.	Overview of Avista's Wildfire Resiliency Plan	6			
13		a. Electric Transmission	9			
14		b. Electric Distribution	10			
15		c. Wildland Urban Interface (WUI)	11			
16		d. Plan Recommendation Summary	13			
17		e. Wildfire Resiliency Communications Plan	14			
18	IV.	Forecasted Risk and Cost Summary	16			
19	V.	Wildfire Resiliency Plan Recovery	22			
20						
21	Q.	Are you sponsoring exhibits in this proceeding?				
22	А.	Yes. I am sponsoring exhibits Exh. DRH-2 through Exh. DRH-6, a	s follows:			
23	•	Exh. DRH-2 - Wildfire Resiliency Plan (May 2020)				
24	•	Exh. DRH-3 - Wildfire Risk Analysis Summary, Proposed Actions				
25		(September 2019)				
26	•	Exh. DRH-4 - Wildfire Resiliency Cost Forecast (January 2020)				
27	•	Exh. DRH-5 - Wildland Urban Interface (WUI) Map				
28	•	Exh. DRH-6 - Wildfire Resiliency Communications Plan				
29	•	Exh. DRH-7 – Wildfire Resiliency Plan Capital Business Case				
30						

## II. <u>SUMMARY NEED FOR WILDFIRE PLAN AND RECOVERY OF COSTS</u>

2

# Q. Please provide a summary of the wildfire risks experienced across the

3

A. The risk of large wildfire events is increasing across the western United States. Data from Climate Central's "2016 Western Wildfire Report" suggests a 300% increase in large fires, and a 600% increase in the number of acres burned, since 1970. The escalation of risk is particularly acute in several states including Idaho, Wyoming and Montana, where a 10-fold increase has occurred. Though southwestern states are most at-risk, Washington and Idaho are ranked in the top ten of at-risk states. This increases the probability of fire starts and elevates the overall risk of fire impact.

western United States and recently in Avista's own service territories.

11 Major wildfire activities in recent years, in states such as California, illustrate that 12 utility operating risk is increasing related to wildfires. More recently, fire events in Avista's 13 own service territory have occurred, striking areas hard with devastating results. In early 14 September 2020, Washington state lost 600,000 acres to wildfires, including the wildfires that 15 occurred in Avista's own service territory. Governor Inslee, in a recent visit to Eastern 16 Washington, stated:

17 In the last three days, we have lost more acreage to fires than in any single entire year in the history of the state of Washington except 2015," he said. 18 19 "The enormity of these fires, the geographical scope, the intensity and the destruction are unequaled in Washington state history. ... We do know that 20 we're living in a new Washington," he said. "We're living in a Washington 21 22 where explosive fire seasons are becoming, unfortunately, routine. Not the 23 exception. In the last five years we've had three of the worst fire seasons ever in the history of the state of Washington."<sup>1</sup> 24

<sup>25</sup> 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Lewiston Tribune, September 11, 2020

#### О. Please briefly describe the efforts undergone by Avista to evaluate the risk of wildfires in its service territories.

2

3 A. As the number of large wildland fires in the Pacific Northwest continue to trend upward, Avista, beginning in June of 2019, held a series of wildfire workshops<sup>2</sup> to evaluate 4 5 opportunities to reduce the risk of wildfires associated with the Company's electric 6 transmission and distribution systems in its Washington and Idaho service territories. The 7 results of the workshops, together with input from the Company's Wildfire Steering 8 Committee and the broader wildfire Subject-Matter-Experts ("SME"), served to inform 9 Avista's electric Washington and Idaho (combined) Wildfire Plan. Avista developed its 10 Wildfire Plan based on experience and information from its peers in the energy and forestry 11 industries that focus on reducing wildfire risk in the Company's electric service territories in 12 Washington and Idaho. The Wildfire Plan described in detail below and included as Exh. 13 DRH-2, details the development and implementation of a comprehensive multi-year effort, 14 that includes enhanced system hardening and vegetation management efforts reflecting a 15 focus on reducing fire ignition events, as well as other situational awareness and operational efforts. 16

17 As provided in the Wildfire Plan, Avista is proposing a proactive, strategic, continuous 18 improvement and risk informed approach to respond to the wildfire risks on our system, 19 encompassing immediate steps, as well as long-term efforts to reduce wildfire risk. Specific 20 Wildfire Plan objectives include a focus in the following areas:

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  These workshops were a series of employee held workshops involving transmission and distribution subject matter experts ("SMEs") held to identify opportunities to reduce risk on the Company's overhead transmission and distribution systems. The primary goal of the workshops was to 1) identify actions to reduce the probability of electric ignition and 2) quantify the consequence or impact of potential actions. For more detail on these workshops see Exh. DRH-3, pages 19-20.

4

5

- Protect lives and property; ٠
- Ensure emergency preparedness and align operating practices with fire threat conditions; and
  - Protect Avista's energy delivery infrastructure.

Avista provides electrical service to approximately 400,000 customers in Washington 6 7 and Idaho, with over 120,000 of those customers living in elevated fire risk areas. A key 8 factor in Avista's plan development is how best to reduce the likelihood of a wildfire related 9 to the Company's electric operations. The recommendations made in this plan are based on 10 the ability to reduce the risks associated with public and worker safety, the risks to property 11 and infrastructure, and to lessen the impact of electric system outages to customers and the 12 community.

## 13

#### **O**. What specific Wildfire Plan costs has Avista included in this general rate 14 case for recovery?

15 A. Included in the testimony that follows is a summary of the Company's 16 recommendations and forecasted costs, annually, for the ten-year period 2020 through 2029. 17 Specific costs proposed by Avista in this general rate case however, as discussed by Ms. 18 Andrews, include the level of Wildfire Plan transmission and distribution expenses expected 19 during the rate period (October 1, 2021 through September 30, 2022) of \$4.025 million 20 (Washington share), as well as Washington's share of Wildfire Plan capital projects 21 transferring to plant between August of 2020 and November 2021 of \$13.9 million<sup>3</sup>. The 22 overall electric revenue requirement included in this case associated with these costs is 23 approximately \$5.7 million. Approval of these proposed incremental costs is an important

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A total transfer to plant balance of \$13.5 million on an average-monthly-average (AMA) basis was included in Ms. Andrews' Electric Pro Forma Study for the rate effective period October 1, 2021 through September 30, 2022.

1 element of the Company's plan and helps support the level of wildfire mitigation efforts 2 proposed in the Company's Wildfire Plan.

3 In addition to the Wildfire Plan expenditures included in Ms. Andrews' Electric Pro 4 Forma Study, Ms. Andrews also discusses the Company's proposal to create a Wildfire 5 balancing account to track expenses over the 10-year life of the Wildfire Plan. Ms. Andrews also discusses the deferral application Avista has filed with the Commission on November 1, 2020 (concurrent with the filing of this general rate case) requesting approval to defer wildfire 8 expenses incurred in 2021 prior to new rates going into effect, estimated at \$2.6 million for 9 the nine month period January 1, 2021 through September 30, 2021.

- 10
- 11

#### III. **OVERVIEW OF AVISTA'S WILDFIRE RESILIENCY PLAN**

#### 12 **Q**. Would you please describe in more detail the Company's Wildfire 13 **Resiliency Plan.**

14 A. Yes. As noted above, in June 2019 Avista convened a series of subject matter 15 expert wildfire workshops to evaluate opportunities to reduce the risk of wildfire associated 16 with its electric transmission and distribution systems in its Idaho and Washington service 17 territories. The primary goal of these workshops was to: 1) Identify actions to reduce the 18 probability of electric ignition; and 2) Quantify the consequence or impact of potential actions. 19 Over the course of the six workshops held, over one hundred and sixty (160) potential action 20 items were identified and considered. (See Exh. DRH-3, pages 19-20 for more workshop 21 information.) The workshops, together with input from the Wildfire Steering Committee and 22 the wildfire SMEs, served to inform Avista's electric system Wildfire Plan (Exh. DRH-2). A 23 summary of that effort and preliminary recommendations for systems and practices, along

1	with modifi	ications to existing maintenance and construction programs is provided in the
2	Company's	"Wildfire Risk Analysis Summary, Proposed Actions" report, included as Exh.
3	DRH-3.	
4	Q.	What are the stated goals of the Wildfire Plan?
5	А.	As noted in the Wildfire Plan (Exh. DRH-2), and summarized below, the stated
6	goals of the	Wildfire Resiliency Plan are to:
7 8 9 10	•	Enhance Emergency Operation Preparedness (EOP): to recognize wildfire as a recurring threat to utility infrastructure, the communities we serve, and our customers.
10 11 12 13 14	•	Promote Safety: to protect physical assets, property, and human lives. To manage the risk of wildfire through design-based, system operations, asset maintenance, and outreach activities.
14 15 16 17	•	Safeguard Company Assets: to mitigate the impact of direct financial costs and liability exposure associated with large-scale wildfire events.
18	Q.	In addition to these objectives, was a "model-framework" identified to
19	promote a	comprehensive approach to wildfire risk?
20	А.	Yes. In addition to the objectives listed above, a model-framework was
21	identified to	promote a comprehensive approach to wildfire risk. The elements of this model
22	approach in	clude:
23 24 25		lanning, such as EOP response, insurance review, communications planning and utreach;
26 27 28		Inhanced System Operations and Maintenance, such as system hardening, egetation management, and fire resiliency "Ops Toolkit";
29 30 31		Veather and Fire Risk Monitoring, such as situational awareness and performance netrics; and

- 1 2 3
- 4. Regulatory and Industry efforts, such as utility industry engagement, partnering with fire protection agencies, legislative opportunities and Commission engagement.
- 4

# 5 Q. How did Avista analyze or evaluate the risk of wildfires and what was the 6 result of those findings?

7 As a part of the Company's wildfire resiliency analysis, the Company focused A. 8 on understanding the risk exposure of wildfires in general, but also the opportunity to reduce 9 risk through specific actions taken associated with the Company's transmission and 10 distribution areas. Specifically, "Risk" was quantified as the probability of an event occurring, 11 times the financial impact of the event (Risk = Probability X Impact), where impact is 12 characterized as the sum of: 1) Direct Financial Cost (replacement costs, fire suppression, 1st 13 party damages) + 2) Customer (interruption cost estimate (ICE), 3rd party claims) + 3) Safety 14 (public and employee injuries).

Prior to the SME workshops held in June 2019, Avista contracted with the Core Logic Consulting Group to conduct a risk analysis to ascertain the risk impact of a single large wildfire event. Core Logic's analysis was based on historic observation and was limited to the impact to property. It did not include the potential for loss of life, injury, fire suppression, timber loss, and other economic loss factors. This exercise was conducted to provide a baseline for the subsequent SME risk workshops and to determine if Avista's liability insurance levels were adequate to protect against a single large event.

The Wildfire Risk Analysis Summary report (Exh. DRH-3) reflects the findings of the SME workshop participants together with direct feedback from the Avista Wildfire Steering Committee, Operations & Technical Staff, and Executive Management. It forms the basis of

1	Avista's 2020 Wildfire Resiliency Plan. Avista's risk analysis indicates that the accumulated
2	10-year risk of wildfires is at least \$8 billion dollars and is the driving force behind adding
3	wildfire specific defense strategies.
4	The Wildfire Risk Analysis Summary report provides a detailed description of all
5	electric transmission and distribution inherent and managed risk costs together with the
6	treatment implementation costs over the planned ten-year period. Further, proposed treatment
7	actions in these areas are identified and grouped as follows:
8 9	• Base Level – efforts that support or enable other actions; or standalone actions that can be readily incorporated by the organization.
10 11 12	• Primary – actions that represent significant value (risk reduction) and are recognized as industry best-practices.
13 14 15	• Secondary – actions that represent the highest risk value but require significant human and or financial commitments.
16 17 18	• Future – identified as providing value but of lower priority and therefore, not considered in the initial phase of the Wildfire Resiliency Plan.
19 20	Electric Transmission
21	Q. Please describe the impact of wildfires and wildfire planning specific to
22	Avista's electric transmission operations.
23	A. Avista operates 2,270 miles of transmission in portions of western Montana,
24	northern Idaho and eastern Washington. In 2006, Avista adopted tubular steel poles as the
25	'standard installation' for 115kV and 230 kV powerlines. Since that time, Avista has worked
26	to replace its aging wooden structures with steel, and all new construction is exclusively steel.
27	In 2009, NERC published the "Transmission Vegetation Management" standard FAC-003-2
28	which fundamentally reshaped the industry's approach to transmission line clearance

activities. For Avista, the combination of system hardening, and well-maintained rights-of-2 way, have increased the fire resiliency of its transmission system.

- 3 Transmission fire ignition events are relatively rare. From 2014 to 2018, there were 4 611 sustained outages, but only 252 between May and September (fire season). However, 5 there were over 3,000 momentary outages and nearly half of those (1,500) occurred during 6 fire season. Eighty percent (80%) of transmission line faults are momentary (less than five 7 minutes) and are generally the result of lightning, wind, and planned switching operations. 8 Conversely, the impact of fire to transmission structures can be significant. For example, the 9 replacement cost of a single wood transmission structure ranges from \$7,500 to over \$25,000, and damages to conductor can escalate into the millions of dollars.<sup>4</sup> For treatment actions 10 11 identified on the transmission system (base, primary, secondary and future), see Exh. DRH-12 3, pages 6 - 11.
- 13

#### 14 **Electric Distribution**

15

#### Q. Please describe the impact of wildfires and wildfire planning specific to Avista's electric distribution operations. 16

- 17 Α. The vast majority of electric outages occur on the distribution system, but the 18 impact to customers is typically restricted by line fuse action (limiting outages to between 1-19 100 customers typically). To contrast this situation, transmission outages are infrequent (low probability) but often impact thousands of customers. However, from a fire prevention 20
  - <sup>4</sup> As an example, fire damage to the Company's Chelan-Stratford transmission line as a result of the Cold Springs Canyon/Pearl Hill fire in September 2020, resulted in capital replacement costs of over \$8.5 million. Company witness Ms. Andrews includes this capital investment in her Electric Pro Forma Study. Although this fire was not related to our facilities, it did take out this transmission line requiring replacement.

standpoint, the distribution system is the ignition source for most utility-related fires. Data from the Outage Management System (OMT) indicates that annually, one hundred (100) fire ignition events are associated with overhead distribution lines. In almost all cases, these fires naturally extinguished or were extinguished by 1st responders, including Avista line servicemen. In the current risk environment, the distribution system warrants enhanced focus with respect to fire ignition, and this risk is especially acute in the wildland-urban interface (WUI) areas (discussed further below).

8 Fire ignition sources include tree contacts with powerlines, but also include animal 9 contacts, equipment failure, and electrical pole fires. Between 2014 and 2018, there were 1,933 tree related outages with 1,011 occurring during fire season. Over that time period there 10 11 were 462 reported pole fires. Although the Company's distribution vegetation management 12 spend is approximately \$8 million annually, as the number of danger trees increases and 13 overall forest health declines, it is necessary to expand the amount spent on distribution 14 vegetation management. For treatment actions identified on the distribution system (base, 15 primary, secondary and future), see Exh. DRH-3, pages 12 - 17.

- 16
- 17 Wildland Urban Interface (WUI)
- 18

### Q. Please explain the importance and use of the Wildfire Urban Interface.

A. Because the Company's Wildfire Plan was developed using a risk-based approach, the Company has identified higher risk areas that can benefit the most from prudently applied expenditures, rather than blanket solutions applied to our entire service territory. The recommendations provided in the Company's Wildfire Plan are based on each recommendations' ability to reduce the operating and financial risk associated with wildfires.

1	Therefore, understanding risk and how risk is monetized is an important component of
2	understanding the content of the Wildfire Plan.

3	One element of risk reduction includes the prioritized application of solutions.
4	Recommendations within the Wildfire Plan consider geographic location and apply risk
5	reduction measures in areas with higher fire threat potential. The boundaries of forest lands
6	and homes and businesses are referred to as the Wildland Urban Interface (WUI). Homes and
7	businesses located near the WUI are determined to be most at-risk from the impact of wildfires
8	and are often located in rural areas that lack fire suppression resources. In 2019, Avista's GIS
9	Technical Group created a combined WUI map for Avista's electric Washington and Idaho
10	service territories that is based on the following principles:
11 12 13 14	• Fuel Concentration – areas identified as having moderate to very high fuel concentrations (areas with a high volume of trees) were considered in the analysis. Fuels data was derived from the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Wildfire Hazard Potential map (2018 USDA WHP).
15 16 17 18 19	• Housing Density – parcels smaller than 20 acres were included in the analysis but highly-developed urban areas were excluded. Urban areas do not meet the definition of Wildland Urban Interface.
20	Using this information, Avista "WUI Risk Levels" were established, similar to the
21	work done in California, identifying three wildfire risk levels:
22 23	• Tier 1 – Moderate levels of fuel and low to moderate housing densities (low)
23 24 25 26	• Tier 2 – Moderate to high levels of fuel and moderate housing densities (medium); and
20 27 28	• Tier 3 – High fuel levels and moderate to high housing densities (high)
29	Q. How does Avista use the WUI map areas within its Wildfire Plan?

1	A. The WUI map helps the Company identify and prioritize areas of greatest risk
2	and serves to inform our recommendations and operational decisions related to wildfire
3	resiliency. The Wildfire Plan denotes the combination of WUI Tiers 2 & 3 as "elevated fire
4	threat areas". These areas comprise 40% of Avista's electric distribution and 20% of the
5	Company's transmission systems. As shown on Exh. DRH-5 (Avista's Proposed Wildland
6	Urban Interface Map), elevated fire threat levels are depicted in orange (Tier 2) and red (Tier
7	3) highlighted areas. Portions of the map not highlighted are classified as Non-WUI and
8	represent areas with low fuel concentrations, very low housing densities, or large urban areas
9	(> 10,000 population).
10	
11	Plan Recommendation Summary
12	Q. Please summarize the Wildfire Plan recommendations.
12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Please summarize the Wildfire Plan recommendations.</li> <li>A. As provided in Exh. DRH-2, the Wildfire Plan includes detailed information</li> </ul>
13	A. As provided in Exh. DRH-2, the Wildfire Plan includes detailed information
13 14	A. As provided in Exh. DRH-2, the Wildfire Plan includes detailed information on the 28 individual "Plan Recommendations," grouped into <u>four categories</u> . Similar to other
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> </ol>	A. As provided in Exh. DRH-2, the Wildfire Plan includes detailed information on the 28 individual "Plan Recommendations," grouped into <u>four categories</u> . Similar to other utility wildfire plans (including those from Pacific Gas and Electric, San Diego Gas and
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>A. As provided in Exh. DRH-2, the Wildfire Plan includes detailed information on the 28 individual "Plan Recommendations," grouped into <u>four categories</u>. Similar to other utility wildfire plans (including those from Pacific Gas and Electric, San Diego Gas and Electric, Southern California Edison, and PacifiCorp) these categories include:</li> <li>Grid Hardening – Replacing infrastructure in fire prone areas. The likelihood of a spark-ignition source is mitigated and critical infrastructure is protected from the</li> </ul>

1 Operations and Emergency Response – Through training and simulation, Avista 2 personnel will be better prepared to work with fire professionals during an event. 3 (See Exh. DRH-2, pages 26, 57-65) 4 5 Plan recommendations also reflect cost prudency and were adopted on their basis to: 6 Leverage existing asset programs and operating practices; • 7 Promote public safety; and 8 Mitigate financial risks. 9 Within the Wildfire Plan each recommendation is described, and the "Current" and 10 "Future State" of each distribution and transmission operation recommendation, as well as 11 expected benefits, are provided. (See summary tables on pages 9-11 of Exh. DRH-2.) Further 12 detail of the costs associated with these recommendations is described further in Avista's 13 Wildfire Plan (pages 25 - 65) and summarized below. 14 15 Wildfire Resiliency Communications Plan О. 16 With the importance of the Wildfire Plan, does the Company have a 17 specific communications plan to inform its stakeholders? 18 A. Yes, it does. A key element of the Company's Wildfire Resiliency Plan is 19 ensuring that Avista stakeholders know the plan is in place and that the Company is taking 20 the right precautionary steps to reduce the potential for and impact of a wildfire. A strong and 21 effective strategic communications campaign is critical to the Company to ensure broad 22 awareness and demonstrate Avista's commitment to reducing the impact of wildfires. This 23 plan must be in place and directed at all of Avista's key stakeholders, including customers, 24 employees, state and local government officials and regulators, law enforcement and fire

1	departments, local media, and shareholders. The Company's Wildfire Resiliency
2	Communication Plan objectives, include the following:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Ensure awareness among all key stakeholders of the significant actions and investment Avista is taking to prevent or mitigate the risk of wildfires.</li> <li>Instill confidence in Avista as a proactive and responsible corporate citizen.</li> <li>Get "buy-in" support and recognition from key stakeholders that Avista is taking wildfire safety seriously and has a Wildfire Resiliency Plan in place.</li> <li>Help generate support and recognition for Avista as a leader that it is doing all it can to help avoid wildfires and has in place a strong wildfire prevention and safety program.</li> <li>Demonstrate Avista's focus on prioritizing the safety and well-being of its</li> </ul>
16 17	customers and the communities it serves.
18	The first phase of the Wildfire Resiliency Communication Plan was focused on the
19	plan's initial launch and the communications objectives noted above. The timing and
20	implementation of the tactics was aligned with the finalized plan and made publicly available.
21	No communications began until the organization was ready from an operational and
22	regulatory standpoint.
23	The second phase of the Wildfire Resiliency Communication Plan supports specific
24	strategies included within the Wildfire Plan, such as enhanced vegetation management. Each
25	initiative that requires customer or external stakeholder behavior changes, has its own
26	communications plan with objectives, tactics and timelines associated.
27	Included as Exh. DRH-6 is a summary of Avista's Wildfire Resiliency
28	Communication Plan.

### IV. FORECASTED RISK AND COST SUMMARY

- Q. Please describe Avista's forecasted risk and 10-year cost analysis of its
  Wildfire Plan.
- A. Precise identification of the risk and cost for any given year is not possible nor
  realistic, and for wildfires in particular, there are a significant difference between small fire
  events, which can occur many times each season, versus a large event, which occur
  infrequently. Therefore, in order to represent a more realistic picture of relative risks and costs,
  a 10-year planning horizon was adopted.

9 As noted above, Avista developed its Wildfire Plan based on our own experience as 10 well as information from peers in the energy and forestry industries. As a part of this 11 development, Avista prepared its "Wildfire Resiliency Cost Forecast," dated January 2020, 12 which provides detailed information of the 10-year cost forecast for the period 2020 through 13 2029 (see Exh. DRH-4). This cost information, along with the detailed risk analysis of the 14 selected plan recommendations, helped inform Avista's Wildfire Plan recommendations (Exh. 15 DRH-2) and is consistent with the "Wildfire Risk Analysis Summary - Proposed Actions" 16 (Exh. DRH-3).

The Wildfire Resiliency Cost Forecast report (Exh. DRH-4) focuses on forecasted capital investments and operating expenses based on the recommendations from the Risk Analysis Summary (Exh. DRH-3).<sup>5</sup> Several estimates are based on results of Avista's Subject-Matter-Expert Fire Workshops (June 2019), while others reflect parametric estimates based on subsequent efforts to develop the WUI map (Exh. DRH-5). Feasibility estimates

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The cost forecast reflects a refinement in scope versus that of Exh. DRH-3 and includes preliminary cost estimates.

- 1 generally reflect accuracy levels between 30 and 50%. Definitive cost estimates require final
- 2 engineering design and contractual commitments for materials and labor.
- 3

## Q. Please describe the four main areas wildfire activities were grouped into

- 4 to create Avista's specific cost forecast for its Wildfire Plan.
- 5

14

- A. Consistent with what is discussed above, in developing the cost forecast for the
- 6 10-year planning horizon from 2020 to 2029, activities were grouped into the following four
- 7 main areas:

8 **Enhanced Vegetation Management** – This includes actions in excess of Avista's 9 current Vegetation Management program and reflects a focus on reducing fire ignition 10 events. Plan elements include collecting vegetation data via digital hi-resolution 11 photography and Light Imaging, Detection, and Ranging (LIDAR), increasing the 12 frequency of the Risk Tree treatments in fire prone areas, and conducting a public 13 outreach campaign associated with 'right tree-right place' concepts.

15 Situational Awareness – This category includes extending Supervisory Control and 16 Data Acquisition (SCADA) systems to a portion of Avista's thirty-three non-17 communication substations (dark stations). Using SCADA to monitor and control powerlines is a fundamental tenant of utility wildfire plans across the western U.S. and 18 19 Avista also plans to develop a web-based 'fire-weather dashboard'; Canada. combining publicly available weather and fire threat information to inform operational 20 21 readiness and enable enhancements to the Dry Land Mode (DLM) distribution 22 protection scheme, which is the current operating mode for higher risk feeders during 23 fire season. 24

Operations "Toolkit" & Metrics – Avista has a number of existing work processes
 and programs aimed at reducing the impact of wildfire. Enhancements to existing
 programs and the addition of other 'operating' elements are included in this group.

**Grid Hardening & Dry Land Mode** – Avista developed a non-reclosing distribution protection scheme back in the early 2000's to mitigate fire ignitions. The protection scheme known internally as Dry Land Mode (DLM) will be updated to ensure alignment with program objectives. Additionally, infrastructure replacements or *grid hardening* will be implemented to reduce fire ignitions.

34

28

- 35 Q. What are the forecasted wildfire resiliency risk and cost values of these
- 36 **four main areas**?

1	A. Summarize	ed risk valu	es, along with	cost values,	for these four m	nain categories				
2	are shown in Table No. 1	below, re	presenting the	10-year elec	etric system (W	ashington and				
3	Idaho) planning horizor	n for both	incremental	operating	expense as we	ell as capital				
4	improvements to infrastru	icture. In s	simple terms, r	isk is the pr	oduct of the pro	obability of an				
5	event and its consequence:									
6	Risk = (The likelihood of occurrence, or probability) X (The financial impact of an event)									
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li><u>Inherent Risk</u> already in place.</li> <li><u>Managed Risk</u> Resiliency elem The values shown for rist category.</li> <li><u>Table No. 1 - Resiliency</u></li> </ul>	describes ents k in Table	the future state	e risk level entage based	with the addition	on of Wildfire				
16	Resiliency Risk and Cost Sum	-								
17	2020-2029 Operating Horizon	Inherent Risk (range %)	Managed Risk (range %)	Risk Mitigation (avg %)	10-yr Capital Investment (\$)	10-yr Operating Expense (\$)				
18	Enhanced Vegetation Management	48.3-100	3.2-14.5	88%	\$5,100,000	\$51,175,000				
19	Situational Awareness	25.9-100	0.8-1.1	98%	\$17,965,000	\$1,019,000				
20	Operations & Emergency Response	19.7-100	5.3-23.4	76%	\$300,000	\$2,378,000				

23 "Enhanced Vegetation Management" and "Grid Hardening & Dry Land Mode" risk 24 scores indicate a "bounded range" because the probability of occurrence is based on the 25 frequency of forced outages. Although the probability of electrical outages is well understood,

0.7-2.7

2.8-12.5

41-100

44.1-100

98%

89%

\$245,600,000

\$268,965,000

26 an event's impact can vary widely based on many factors, including weather, fire risk levels,

Direct Testimony of David R. Howell Avista Corporation Docket Nos. UE-20\_\_\_\_ and UG-20\_\_\_\_

Grid Hardening & Dry Land

21

22

Mode **Plan Total**  \$5,014,000

\$59,586,000

1 emergency response, and location. Managed risk scores represent future state levels, and 2 lower levels of event probability and event outcome. In Table No. 1, the column labeled "Risk 3 Mitigation (avg %)" indicates the average percentage difference between current state and 4 future state risk levels.

5 As noted in Table No. 1, the wildfire resiliency program includes a capital investment 6 of \$268,965,000 over a 10-year period with corollary operating expenses of \$59,586,000 (all 7 electric system numbers).<sup>6</sup> Comprehensive risk analysis indicates a 10-year inherent potential 8 risk exposure of at least \$8 billion dollars. This value includes the accumulated risks 9 associated with all 28 Wildfire Plan recommendations and should not be interpreted as a 10 precise financial estimate. A better metric is the percentage of risk mitigation which reflects 11 an 89% reduction for the overall plan.

12

**Q**. What is the estimated capital and operating expense on an annual basis over the 10-year plan horizon of 2020 - 2029?

14

13

A. The following Graph No. 1 illustrates the total estimated capital and operating 15 expense, on a per year basis (Washington and Idaho electric) from 2020 to 2029.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> All operating expenses provided reflect incremental amounts above existing expense levels and are specific to the Wildfire Resiliency Plan.



# While capital plan elements are projected to sunset in 10 years, the majority of operating expense items are on-going and are generally related to enhanced vegetation management.<sup>7</sup>

As discussed above, the 28 specific individual plan recommendations that result in these costs estimates are provided in the Wildfire Plan. By far the single largest capital investment is associated with electric distribution grid hardening. This accounts for \$193,200,000 invested in distribution systems located in elevated fire risk areas, with another \$44,000,000 invested to convert wood poles to steel on the transmission system. These two plan elements account for 88% of total capital spend, over the ten-year period.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> As noted above, the majority of the incremental operating expenses are generally related to enhanced vegetation management. Current vegetation management expenses included in Washington base rates are based on 2018 electric system levels totaling approximately \$7.9 million for distribution and \$1.3 million for transmission operations. Washington's share of these amounts included in base rates today is approximately \$5.7 million for distribution and \$850,000 for transmission.

3 \$42,700,000 (72%) over the same 10-year period. Though the Wildfire Plan includes 28 4 recommendations to mitigate the risk of wildfire, five of the elements accounts for 85% of the 5 total program costs. The overall Wildfire Plan capital business case is included as Exh. DRH-6 7.

For operating expense, three elements: transmission and distribution digital data

7

1

2

8

## **Potential Operating & Maintenance Expense Offsets**

9

#### Q. Are there potential operating and maintenance expense offsets expected 10 as a result of the Company's Wildfire Plan?

11 A. The goal of wildfire resiliency is to reduce the overall risk associated with 12 wildfires. In short, the benefits of this plan are largely measured in terms of risk reduction for 13 all parties involved. The Company, however, recognizes a potential for costs savings and cost 14 shifts from operating and maintenance expense towards capital investment. The overall impact 15 of cost savings and cost shifts will not be well understood until the plan is operational and 16 performance data can be obtained and analyzed. However, one of the objectives of this plan 17 is to reduce the number of equipment failures and tree-related outages and by doing so, avoid 18 emergency response.

#### 19 The following Table No. 2 lists a number of potential cost savings opportunities 20 associated with the Wildfire Resiliency Plan.

Plan Element	Benefit	Cost Savings/Shift
Annual Risk Tree and Right Tree Right Place Programs	Improved System Performance (fewer outages)	Reduced spend on emergency response and unplanned repairs
Digital Data Collection	Automates data gathering process for vegetation and structure condition inspection	Reduces field inspection activities. Enables computerized QA/QC functions
Grid Hardening	Improves System Performance (fewer outages)	Reduced spend on emergency response and unplanned repairs
Situational Awareness (communication & control systems)	Enables remote monitor and control or equipment	Reduced service related truck rolls
Operations & Emergency Response	Better prepared and equipped first responders	Reduces the risk of injury and accidents

#### 1 Table No. 2 – Potential Cost Savings Opportunities

9 It should also be noted that this plan indicates program level spend estimates and does 10 not differentiate between incremental and embedded cost elements. Though many plan 11 elements represent incremental costs, some activities will simply be absorbed by the 12 workforce. For example, annual fire safety training will occur at monthly safety meetings 13 which are already in place. This is an embedded cost estimated at \$1,300,000 over 10-years. 14 However, the bulk of plan elements including enhanced vegetation management and grid 15 hardening represent additional activities and incremental costs. As previously indicated, these 16 categories account for 85% of overall program costs.

- 17
- 18

#### V. WILDFIRE RESILIENCY PLAN RECOVERY

19

- Q. Please summarize the Company's Wildfire Resiliency Plan and its request
- 20 of this Commission to recover planned wildfire costs.
- 21 A. As discussed above, the risk of large wildfire events is increasing across the 22 western United States. Recent fire events in Avista's own service territories of Washington,

1 Idaho and Oregon, as well as major wildfire activities in other states such as California, 2 illustrate that utility operating risk is increasing related to wildfires. Reducing the risk of 3 wildfires is critical for customers, communities, investors, and the regional economy. Avista 4 has taken a proactive approach for many years to manage wildfire risks and impacts, and 5 through its Wildfire Plan, the Company has identified additional wildfire defenses for 6 implementation. The goals, strategies, and tactics set forth in this plan reflect a quantitative 7 view of risk. Additional research, conversation and analysis with Avista's operating staff and 8 steering group provided critical qualitative and contextual information that also shaped the 9 recommendations. This combination of quantitative and qualitative analysis ensures the 10 recommendations are robust, well-rounded, and thoughtful, and that they align with the plan 11 goals and are appropriate.

As noted above, the comprehensive risk analysis indicates a 10-year inherent electric system risk exposure of at least \$8 billion dollars of accumulated risks associated with all 28 plan recommendations included in the Wildfire Plan. Although this not a precise financial estimate, the Wildfire Plan recommendations reflect an estimated 89% risk mitigation of this risk exposure.

As discussed, and presented in Table No. 1 above, the Company's wildfire resiliency program, including all 28 plan recommendations, expects total costs over the ten-year period 2020 through 2029 to reflect capital investment of \$268,965,000, and corollary operating expenses of \$59,586,000 (all electric system numbers). Table No. 3 below (see also Graph No. 1 above) provides the program costs on a per year basis over the 10-year Wildfire Plan, with the annual amounts for the period 2020 – 2029 as follows:

occur.	These	2021 \$16,985 \$5,371 total cap	<b>2022</b> \$27,055 \$6,917	2023	2024	2025	2026		2028		10-YR
o&M assign occur.	\$2,416 These	\$5,371		\$31,380	\$31,380	\$31,380	\$31,380	<b>2027</b> \$31,380	\$31,380	<b>2029</b> \$31,380	\$268,9
occur.	These			\$7,435	\$7,354	\$6,772	\$6,540	\$6,059	\$5,627	\$5,096	\$59,5
These total capital investments and expenses of the Wildfire Plan will be directly assigned or allocated to Avista's Washington and Idaho jurisdictions over time as the costs occur. As discussed by Ms. Andrews, specific costs proposed by Avista in this general rate case reflect the expected costs to be charged to Washington during the rate effective period of this case (shaded areas in Table No. 3 above reflect system balances considered in this case). Table Nos. 4 and 5 below split the annual system and Washington expected capital and operating expenses between distribution and transmission for the calendar periods 2020											
		only, for <b>Wildfir</b> d	•	, <b>1</b>		ient – V	Vashingt	on-Sha	<u>re &amp; Sy</u>	<u>stem</u>	
	_	т				ngton and	d System			•	
			Wa	shington			d System	S	ystem	Ta	tal
2020		Distributi	Wa on Trai	shington smission	n To	tal	d System Distributio	S on Tran	smission		
2020		Distributi 1,9	Wa on Trai 958	nshington nsmission 1,317	n Tot	tal 3,275	d System Distributio 3,2	Son Tran	smission 2,010		5,265
2020 2021 2022	ŀ	Distributi 1,9	Wa on Trai 958 927	shington smission		tal	d System Distributio	Son Tran 55 25	smission		5,265 16,985
2021 2022		Distributi 1,9 7,9 12,9 Wildfire	Wa on Trai 958 927 918 918 e Plan ( Total Will	ishington nsmission 1,317 2,595 3,857 3,857 D&M E dfire Plan	n Tot	tal 3,275 10,522 16,775 – Wash	d System Distributio 3,2 13,0	S on Tran 55 25 70 hare & xpense)	ismission 2,010 3,960 5,885 System		tal 5,265 16,985 27,055
2021 2022		Distributi 1,9 7,9 12,9 Wildfire	Wa on Trai 958 927 918 918 918 918 918 918 918 918 918 918	ishington nsmission 1,317 2,595 3,857 3,857 D&M E dfire Plan ashington	n Tot	tal 3,275 10,522 16,775 - Wash gton and	d System Distributio 3,2 13,0 21,1 ington-S	S on Tran 55 25 70 Share & xpense) St	smission 2,010 3,960 5,885 System		5,265 16,985 27,055
2021 2022		Distributi 1,9 7,9 12,9 Wildfire	Wa on Trai 958 927 918 918 918 918 918 918 918 918 918 918	ishington nsmission 1,317 2,595 3,857 3,857 D&M E dfire Plan	n Tot	tal 3,275 10,522 16,775 - Wash gton and	d System Distributio 3,2 13,0 21,1 ington-S System (E	S on Tran 55 25 70 hare & xpense) So n Trans	ismission 2,010 3,960 5,885 System		5,265 16,985 27,055
2021 2022 Table		Distributi 1,9 7,9 12,9 Wildfire Distribu	Wa on Trai 958 927 918 918 918 918 918 918 918 918 918 918	Ishington Ismission 1,317 2,595 3,857 D&M E dfire Plan ashington ansmissio	n Tot	tal 3,275 10,522 16,775 - Wash gton and tal	d System Distributio 3,2 13,0 21,1 ington-S System (E Distributio	S on Tran 55 25 70 hare & xpense) S n Trans 6	smission 2,010 3,960 5,885 System system smission		5,265 16,985 27,055

1 <u>Table No. 3 – Wildfire Annual System Capital Investment & Operating Expense</u>

1 through September 30, 2022), Ms. Andrews includes \$4.025 million (Washington-share) of operating expenses.<sup>8</sup> Next, Ms. Andrews includes Washington's share of Wildfire Plan 2 capital projects transferring to plant between August of 2020 and December 2021 of \$13.9 3 4 million<sup>9</sup>. Capital additions in 2022 are expected to transfer during the second half of 2022 and 5 therefore have been excluded from this case. The overall electric revenue requirement 6 included in this case associated with these costs is approximately \$5.7 million. Approval of 7 these proposed incremental costs is an important element of the Company's wildfire program and helps support the level of wildfire mitigation efforts proposed in the Company's Wildfire 8 9 Plan.

## 10 Q. What other request of this Commission have Avista filed, or included in 11 this proceeding, with regards to Wildfire recovery do you wish to discuss?

A. As discussed by Ms. Andrews, in addition to the Wildfire Plan costs pro formed into the Company's Electric Pro Forma Study, Avista also filed with this Commission on November 1, 2020 (concurrent with the filing of this general rate case) a deferral application requesting approval to defer wildfire expenses incurred in 2021 prior to new rates going into effect. The expected amount to be deferred during the nine-month period January 1, 2021 through September 30, 2021 is estimated at \$2.6 million.<sup>10</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Wildfire risk tree and other expenditures are incremental to existing vegetation management expenses included in the 2019 test period, with the exception of approximately \$265,000 (WA/ID). The calculation of the operating expense included in this case was calculated as follows for the rate year beginning on October 1, 2021: (\$3.305 million \* 3/12 (2021) + \$4.249 million \* 9/12 (2022), allocated to Washington and offset by existing vegetation management expense included in the 2019 test period of \$184,000 (WA share), totals \$4.025 million. See Andrews workpapers for analysis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> \$13.5 million on an AMA basis for the rate effective period.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> On May 29, 2020 the Company filed with the Idaho Public Utilities Commission (IPUC), in Case No. AVU-E-20, its application requesting the Commission issue an order authorizing approval to defer, for later ratemaking treatment the incremental costs the Company will incur associated with its Wildfire Resiliency Plan. On August 26, 2020, the Staff of the IPUC issued comments supporting deferral of the Company's incremental wildfire expenses. A final Commission Order is anticipated in November 2020.

1	Lastly, Ms. Andrews also discusses the Company's proposal to create a Wildfire
2	balancing account to track expenses over the 10-year life of the Wildfire Plan. As shown in
3	Table No. 3 above, the O&M expenses on a system basis over the 10-year life of the Wildfire
4	Plan increases from \$2.4 million in 2020 and \$5.4 million in 2021 to a maximum increase of
5	\$7.4 million in 2024, before declining over the remaining years to \$5.1 million in 2029,
6	producing a "bell-shaped" curve. Given this expected "bell-shaped" curve of expenses, in
7	order to protect customers by ensuring customers pay no-more/no-less of the O&M costs of
8	this Wildfire Plan, the Company believes it prudent for the Commission to establish a two-
9	way balancing account for these costs. By establishing a base level of expense in this case,
10	and each subsequent general rate case following, and allow the Company to track and defer
11	the differences up or down over time, will ensure customers pay no more than the actual
12	wildfire expenditures over the 10-year plan.

Approval by this Commission to defer the incremental expenses associated with the Company's Wildfire Plan prior to new rates going into effect, as well as track the on-going expenses versus an approved base over the life of the 10-year plan, would allow the Company to set these costs aside for an opportunity to recover these costs in future rate proceedings. Any costs deferred and set aside for a future period will provide this Commission and other parties the opportunity to review the costs <u>after-the-fact</u> and make a prudence determination prior to the Company receiving recovery of the prudently incurred costs through retail rates.

20

## Q. Does this conclude your pre-filed direct testimony?

21 A. Yes.