

**BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND	)	
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,	)	
	)	<b>Docket No. UE-050684</b>
Complainant,	)	
	)	<b>Docket No. UE-050412</b>
vs.	)	
	)	<i>(consolidated)</i>
PACIFICORP d/b/a PACIFIC POWER &	)	
LIGHT COMPANY	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	

---

**EXHIBIT NO. \_\_\_\_ (RJF-5)**

**MULTI-STATE PROCESS PACIFICORP'S LOAD GROWTH REPORT**

**November 3, 2005**

**Multi-State Process  
PacifiCorp's Load Growth Report  
DRAFT**

---

in consultation with the MSP Standing Committee. Specifically, it states:-

*“ . . . developing one or more ameliorative mechanisms that could be implemented in a timely manner in the event that the studies show material and sustained net harm to a particular State from implementation of the IRP.”*

The Load Growth Workgroup put considerable effort into developing a number of potential SPMs, the details of which are included in **Section 5**.

- 2.1.4 Identify Process for Implementation of Structural Protection Mechanisms (“SPMs”) – In order to facilitate the timely implementation requirement associated with SPMs, the Load Growth Workgroup identified that it would be conducive to develop an implementation process that would specify when and how an SPM might be implemented. Much time was spent considering the workgroup participant’s differing views on implementation processes. Ideas ranged from a trigger point that would lead to the immediate implementation of an SPM to a trigger point that would necessitate further analysis before an SPM is considered appropriate for implementation. Although significant progress was made, at the conclusion of the Load Growth Workgroup meeting held October 11, 2005, the workgroup participants were unable to reach consensus on the specifications of the preferred SPM or how such an SPM would be implemented. At this time, the SPM and its implementation process remains a challenge for the MSP Standing Committee to resolve (see also **Section 6**). On September 23, 2005, the MSP Standing Committee issued a directive<sup>11</sup> requesting that the Load Growth Workgroup continue working to complete this work, at least through December 2005.

---

<sup>11</sup> MSP Standing Committee directive was advised to MSP Participants on September 23, 2005 via an email from the Committee’s Chair (Terri Carlock).