BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| In re Application TC-143691  SPEEDISHUTTLE WASHINGTON,  LLC d/b/a SPEEDISHUTTLE SEATTLE    For a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Operate Motor Vehicles in Furnishing Passenger and Express Service as an Auto Transportation Company | DOCKET TC-143691  PACIFIC NORTHWEST TRANSPORTATION SERVICES, INC. d/b/a CAPITAL AEROPORTER’S (“CAPITAL’S”) RESPONSE TO SHUTTLE EXPRESS’S PETITION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW |

## On February 10, 2015, Shuttle Express, Inc. (“Shuttle Express”) filed and served a Petition for Administrative Review in this proceeding. Throughout its Petition, Shuttle Express demonstrates numerous facts and reasons why the Applicant, Speedishuttle Washington, LLC (“Speedishuttle Seattle”) should be denied the application TC-143691 for new authority as a certificated Auto Transportation company in Washington State. Per the Notice of Opportunity to Respond to Motion and Petitions, issued on February 12, 2015, by Gregory Kopta, Administrative Law Judge, “…the parties may respond to the Motion and Petitions by filing a written response with the Commission no later than February 23, 2015.”

## Shuttle Express addresses Speedishuttle’s Application that proposes to provide “Door-to-door service in King County and recognizes that Shuttle Express already provides this service”. **RCW 81.68.040—Certificate of convenience and necessity** states, “**An auto transportation company shall not operate**…without first having obtained from the commission under this chapter a certificate that public convenience and necessity **require such operation.** There was no need or requirement proffered by Speedishuttle for another overlapping service, or supporting statements by a member of the general public (in the application, evidence or testimony of Speedishuttle for door-to-door service in King County). Furthermore, the testimony of Speedishuttle’s CEO, Cecil Morton upon cross-examination from John Fricke, Capital Aeroporter, acknowledges that any/all support statements and letters are not from the general public or in public interest, but strictly for business-to-business interest (ticketing agents, etc.):

## *“Q. Your statement was provided by Mr. John McCarthy*

## *of the GO Airport Shuttle Group. Is -- would you consider*

## *him an independent member of the public or a business in*

## *which you have a -- another -- a ticketing agent which you*

## have a business agreement with?

## *A. He's the president of GO Airport Shuttle, and*

## *he -- he has the authority to enter into agreements with*

## *companies like yours and like mine and refer business to*

## *each other.*

## *Q. Okay. So he represents another business in which*

## *you do business with?*

## *A. Correct.”.*

**WAC 480-30-126—Certificates, applications auto transportation company.**  “The commission must determine that the public convenience and necessity, as defined in WAC 480-30-140(1), **requires** the proposed service when considering an application for a new certificate or extension of an existing certificate. An applicant must support its application with statements by independent members of the public who need the service or are knowledgeable about the need for service in the territory in which the applicant seeks authority, or a statement by a representative of a city, county or regional transportation planning organization who is knowledgeable about the need for service in the territory in which the applicant seeks authority.

## Shuttle Express further argues that they already provide door-to-door service to the satisfaction of the Commission in the majority of the proposed service are of Speedishuttle. According to the testimony of Speedishuttle’s CEO, Cecil Morton upon cross-examination from John Fricke, Capital Aeroporter:

## *“If that’s the terminology that will differentiate, yes.* ***Door-to-door****”.*

## **WAC 480-30-036(2)—Definitions, general. “Door-to-door service”** means an auto transportation company service provided between a location identified by the passenger and a point specifically named by the company in its filed tariff and time schedule.

## Acknowledging that they propose Door-to-door service in their application and through testimony, Speedishuttle is applying for a service already authorized to Shuttle Express, offered

## The Commission should carefully consider the precedent that will be set for future applications for new authority and extensions of authority for existing certificate holders. If offering a Starbucks coffee and a digital newspaper to every customer and offering a 10-minute difference in departure time guarantee will be considered a basis for differentiation in service, there will be a multitude of new applicants and extension of authority applications for Auto Transportation in the State of Washington. Only time will tell where the results of this application and Commission decision will lead for this industry: further regulation at the Port or local governmental levels, degraded quality and safety, instability among multiple providers, or a stronger more competitive marketplace.

## For all of the above reasons, Capital asks that Shuttle Express’s Petition for Administrative Review be carefully considered.

DATED this 23rd day of February, 2015.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | RESPECTFULLY sUBMITTED,  By  John E. Fricke, VP—Operations  johnf@capair.com  Capital Aeroporer Airport Shuttle |

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on February 23, 2015, I caused to be served the original and three (3) copies of the foregoing documents to the following address via first class mail, to:

Steven V. King, Executive Director and Secretary

Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission

Attn.: Records Center

P.O. Box 47250

1300 S. Evergreen Park Dr. SW

Olympia, WA 98504-7250

I further certify I have also provided to the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission’s Secretary an official electronic file containing the foregoing document via email to:

records@utc.wa.gov

and an electronic copy via email and first class mail, postage prepaid, to:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Julian Beattie  Office of the Attorney General  Utilities and Transportation Division  1400 S. Evergreen Park Dr. SW  PO Box 40128  Olympia, WA 98504-0128  (360) 664-1192  Email: jbeattie@utc.wa.gov | Greg Kopta  Director/Administrative Law Judge  1300 S. Evergreen PARK Drive S. W.  P.O. Box 47250  Olympia, WA 98504-7250  (360)-664-1355  gkopta@utc.wa.gov |
| Bruce Winchell  Hunter G. Jeffers  Mills Meyers Swartling P.S.  1000 Second Ave, 30th Floor  Seattle, WA 98104-1064  (206) 382-1000  Email: bwinchell@millsmeyers.com  Email: hjeffers@millsmeyers.com | David Wiley  Williams, Kastner & Gibbs, PLLC  Two Union Square  601 Union Street, Suite 4100  Seattle, WA 98101  [dwiley@williamskastner.com](mailto:dwiley@williamskastner.com) |

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

John Fricke