# Docket Nos. UE-240006 and UG-240007 (Consolidated) - Vol. III

# WUTC v. Avista Corporation d/b/a Avista Utilities

**September 30, 2024** 



> <u>www.buellrealtime.com</u> email: info@buellrealtime.com

Pε	age 34 Page	3
	1 APPEARANCES (cont.)	
BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION	FOR THE ENERGY PROJECT:	
·····	YOCHI ZAKAI	
WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND ) TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION, )	4 Shute, Mihaly & Weinberger LLP 396 Hayes Street	
)	5 San Fráncisco, CA 94102 (415) 552-7272	
Complainant, ) ) DOCKETS UE-240006,	6 yzakai@smwlaw.com 7 FOR NW ENERGY COALITION:	
vs. ) UG-240007 ) (Consolidated)	8 MICHAEL P. GOETZ (by videoconference)	
AVISTA CORPORÁTÌON, d/b/a )	NW Energy Coalition 9 811 First Avenue, Suite 305	
AVISTA UTILITIES, ) ) PAGES 34 - 371	Seattle, WA 98104 10 (206) 621-0094	
Respondent. )	mike@nwenergy.org	
	FOR THE SIERRA CLUB:	
EVIDENTIARY HEARING - VOL. III	GLORIA SMITH (by videoconference)	
September 30, 2024	The Sierra Club 2101 Webster Street, Suite 1300	
•	14 Oakland, CA 94612 (415) 977-5532	
BEFORE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES	15 gloria.smith@sierraclub.org 16 FOR WALMART, INC.:	
JAMES E. BROWN II & CONNOR THOMPSON	JUSTINA A. CAVIGLIA (by videoconference) Parsons Behle & Latimer	
Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission	18 50 West Liberty Street, Suite 750	
621 Woodland Square Loop SE	Reno, NV 89501 19 (775) 323-1601	
Lacey, Washington 98503	jcaviglia@parsonsbehle.com	
	21 ***	
REPORTED BY: Stephanie C. Rhinehart, RPR, WA CCR 22013531, OR CSR 22-0014	23 24 25	
Pa	age 35 Page	3
Pa APPEARANCES	1 INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS	3
APPEARANCES  ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: JAMES E. BROWN, II	INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS  Opening Statement by Atty Meyer	3
APPEARANCES  ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: JAMES E. BROWN, II  CONNOR THOMPSON  WUTC COMMISSIONER: ANN RENDAHL	1 INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS 2 Opening Statement by Atty Meyer	3
APPEARANCES  ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: JAMES E. BROWN, II  CONNOR THOMPSON  WUTC COMMISSIONER: ANN RENDAHL  WUTC CHAIR: DAVID W. DANNER	1 INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS 2 Opening Statement by Atty Meyer	3
APPEARANCES  ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: JAMES E. BROWN, II  CONNOR THOMPSON  WUTC COMMISSIONER: ANN RENDAHL	1 INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS  2 Opening Statement by Atty Meyer	3
APPEARANCES  ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: JAMES E. BROWN, II  CONNOR THOMPSON  WUTC COMMISSIONER: ANN RENDAHL  WUTC CHAIR: DAVID W. DANNER	1 INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS 2 Opening Statement by Atty Meyer	3
APPEARANCES  ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: JAMES E. BROWN, II CONNOR THOMPSON  WUTC COMMISSIONER: ANN RENDAHL WUTC CHAIR: DAVID W. DANNER  FOR THE RESPONDENT, AVISTA CORPORATION:  DAVID J. MEYER Avista Corporation P.O. Box 3727	1 INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS  2 Opening Statement by Atty Meyer	3
APPEARANCES  ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: JAMES E. BROWN, II CONNOR THOMPSON WUTC COMMISSIONER: ANN RENDAHL WUTC CHAIR: DAVID W. DANNER  FOR THE RESPONDENT, AVISTA CORPORATION:  DAVID J. MEYER Avista Corporation P.O. Box 3727 Spokane, WA 99220 (509) 495-8620	1 INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS  2 Opening Statement by Atty Meyer	3
APPEARANCES  ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: JAMES E. BROWN, II CONNOR THOMPSON WUTC COMMISSIONER: ANN RENDAHL WUTC CHAIR: DAVID W. DANNER  FOR THE RESPONDENT, AVISTA CORPORATION:  DAVID J. MEYER Avista Corporation P.O. Box 3727 Spokane, WA 99220 (509) 495-8620 david.meyer@avistacorp.com FOR COMMISSION STAFF:	1 INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS  2 Opening Statement by Atty Meyer	3
APPEARANCES  ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: JAMES E. BROWN, II CONNOR THOMPSON WUTC COMMISSIONER: ANN RENDAHL WUTC CHAIR: DAVID W. DANNER  FOR THE RESPONDENT, AVISTA CORPORATION:  DAVID J. MEYER Avista Corporation P.O. Box 3727 Spokane, WA 99220 (509) 495-8620 david.meyer@avistacorp.com FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JEFF ROBERSON Office of the Attorney General	1 INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS  2 Opening Statement by Atty Meyer	3
APPEARANCES  ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: JAMES E. BROWN, II CONNOR THOMPSON WUTC COMMISSIONER: ANN RENDAHL WUTC CHAIR: DAVID W. DANNER  FOR THE RESPONDENT, AVISTA CORPORATION:  DAVID J. MEYER Avista Corporation P.O. Box 3727 Spokane, WA 99220 (509) 495-8620 david.meyer@avistacorp.com FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JEFF ROBERSON Office of the Attorney General P.O. Box 40128 Olympia, WA 98504	1 INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS  2 Opening Statement by Atty Meyer	3
APPEARANCES  ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: JAMES E. BROWN, II CONNOR THOMPSON WUTC COMMISSIONER: ANN RENDAHL WUTC CHAIR: DAVID W. DANNER  FOR THE RESPONDENT, AVISTA CORPORATION:  DAVID J. MEYER Avista Corporation P.O. Box 3727 Spokane, WA 99220 (509) 495-8620 david.meyer@avistacorp.com FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JEFF ROBERSON Office of the Attorney General P.O. Box 40128	1         INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS           2         Opening Statement by Atty Meyer	3
APPEARANCES  ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: JAMES E. BROWN, II CONNOR THOMPSON  WUTC COMMISSIONER: ANN RENDAHL  WUTC CHAIR: DAVID W. DANNER  FOR THE RESPONDENT, AVISTA CORPORATION:  DAVID J. MEYER  Avista Corporation  P.O. Box 3727  Spokane, WA 99220  (509) 495-8620  david.meyer@avistacorp.com  FOR COMMISSION STAFF:  JEFF ROBERSON  Office of the Attorney General  P.O. Box 40128  Olympia, WA 98504  (360) 664-1188	1 INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS  2 Opening Statement by Atty Meyer	3
APPEARANCES  ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: JAMES E. BROWN, II CONNOR THOMPSON WUTC COMMISSIONER: ANN RENDAHL WUTC CHAIR: DAVID W. DANNER  FOR THE RESPONDENT, AVISTA CORPORATION:  DAVID J. MEYER Avista Corporation P.O. Box 3727 Spokane, WA 99220 (509) 495-8620 david.meyer@avistacorp.com FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JEFF ROBERSON Office of the Attorney General P.O. Box 40128 Olympia, WA 98504 (360) 664-1188 jeff.roberson@atg.wa.gov  FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL: TAD ROBINSON O'NEILL	1 INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS  2 Opening Statement by Atty Meyer	3'
APPEARANCES  ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: JAMES E. BROWN, II CONNOR THOMPSON WUTC COMMISSIONER: ANN RENDAHL WUTC CHAIR: DAVID W. DANNER  FOR THE RESPONDENT, AVISTA CORPORATION:  DAVID J. MEYER Avista Corporation P.O. Box 3727 Spokane, WA 99220 (509) 495-8620 david.meyer@avistacorp.com FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JEFF ROBERSON Office of the Attorney General P.O. Box 40128 Olympia, WA 98504 (360) 664-1188 jeff.roberson@atg.wa.gov  FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL:  TAD ROBINSON O'NEILL Washington Attorney General's Office 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000	1         INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS           2         Opening Statement by Atty Meyer	3
APPEARANCES  ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: JAMES E. BROWN, II CONNOR THOMPSON WUTC COMMISSIONER: ANN RENDAHL WUTC CHAIR: DAVID W. DANNER  FOR THE RESPONDENT, AVISTA CORPORATION:  DAVID J. MEYER Avista Corporation P.O. Box 3727 Spokane, WA 99220 (509) 495-8620 david.meyer@avistacorp.com FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JEFF ROBERSON Office of the Attorney General P.O. Box 40128 Olympia, WA 98504 (360) 664-1188 jeff.roberson@atg.wa.gov  FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL:  TAD ROBINSON O'NEILL Washington Attorney General's Office	1	3
APPEARANCES  ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: JAMES E. BROWN, II CONNOR THOMPSON WUTC COMMISSIONER: ANN RENDAHL WUTC CHAIR: DAVID W. DANNER  FOR THE RESPONDENT, AVISTA CORPORATION:  DAVID J. MEYER Avista Corporation P.O. Box 3727 Spokane, WA 99220 (509) 495-8620 david.meyer@avistacorp.com  FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JEFF ROBERSON Office of the Attorney General P.O. Box 40128 Olympia, WA 98504 (360) 664-1188 jeff.roberson@atg.wa.gov  FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL:  TAD ROBINSON O'NEILL Washington Attorney General's Office 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98104	1	3
APPEARANCES  ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: JAMES E. BROWN, II CONNOR THOMPSON WUTC COMMISSIONER: ANN RENDAHL WUTC CHAIR: DAVID W. DANNER  FOR THE RESPONDENT, AVISTA CORPORATION:  DAVID J. MEYER Avista Corporation P.O. Box 3727 Spokane, WA 99220 (509) 495-8620 david.meyer@avistacorp.com  FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JEFF ROBERSON Office of the Attorney General P.O. Box 40128 Olympia, WA 98504 (360) 664-1188 jeff.roberson@atg.wa.gov  FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL:  TAD ROBINSON O'NEILL Washington Attorney General's Office 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98104 (206) 464-6595 tad.oneill@atg.wa.gov  FOR AWEC: TYLER C. PEPPLE,	1	3
APPEARANCES  ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: JAMES E. BROWN, II CONNOR THOMPSON WUTC COMMISSIONER: ANN RENDAHL WUTC CHAIR: DAVID W. DANNER  FOR THE RESPONDENT, AVISTA CORPORATION:  DAVID J. MEYER Avista Corporation P.O. Box 3727 Spokane, WA 99220 (509) 495-8620 david.meyer@avistacorp.com  FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JEFF ROBERSON Office of the Attorney General P.O. Box 40128 Olympia, WA 98504 (360) 664-1188 jeff.roberson@atg.wa.gov  FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL:  TAD ROBINSON O'NEILL Washington Attorney General's Office 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98104 (206) 464-6595 tad.oneill@atg.wa.gov  FOR AWEC: TYLER C. PEPPLE, SOMMER MOSER Davison Van Cleve, P.C.	1	3
APPEARANCES  ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: JAMES E. BROWN, II CONNOR THOMPSON WUTC COMMISSIONER: ANN RENDAHL WUTC CHAIR: DAVID W. DANNER  FOR THE RESPONDENT, AVISTA CORPORATION:  DAVID J. MEYER Avista Corporation P.O. Box 3727 Spokane, WA 99220 (509) 495-8620 david.meyer@avistacorp.com FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JEFF ROBERSON Office of the Attorney General P.O. Box 40128 Olympia, WA 98504 (360) 664-1188 jeff.roberson@atg.wa.gov  FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL:  TAD ROBINSON O'NEILL Washington Attorney General's Office 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98104 (206) 464-6595 tad.oneill@atg.wa.gov FOR AWEC: TYLER C. PEPPLE, SOMMER MOSER Davison Van Cleve, P.C. 1750 Southwest Harbor Way, Suite 450 Portland, OR 97201	1	3'
APPEARANCES  ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: JAMES E. BROWN, II CONNOR THOMPSON WUTC COMMISSIONER: ANN RENDAHL WUTC CHAIR: DAVID W. DANNER  FOR THE RESPONDENT, AVISTA CORPORATION:  DAVID J. MEYER Avista Corporation P.O. Box 3727 Spokane, WA 99220 (509) 495-8620 david.meyer@avistacorp.com FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JEFF ROBERSON Office of the Attorney General P.O. Box 40128 Olympia, WA 98504 (360) 664-1188 jeff.roberson@atg.wa.gov  FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL:  TAD ROBINSON O'NEILL Washington Attorney General's Office 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98104 (206) 464-6595 tad.oneili@atg.wa.gov FOR AWEC: TYLER C. PEPPLE, SOMMER MOSER Davison Van Cleve, P.C. 1750 Southwest Harbor Way, Suite 450	1	3

	Page 38		Page 4
INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS (cont.)  WITNESS: DAVID HOWELL  Direct Examination by Atty Meyer 232  Cross-examination by Atty Robinson O'Neill 232 Questions from Commissioner Rendahl 245 Questions from Chair Danner 247  WITNESS: CLINT KALICH Direct Examination by Atty Meyer 251 Cross-examination by Atty Roberson 251 Cross-examination by Atty Moberson 274 Cross-examination by Atty Moser 302 Redirect Examination by Atty Moser 304 WITNESS: ELIZABETH ANDREWS Questions from Chair Danner 306 Questions from Commissioner Rendahl 310 Questions from Chair Danner 318 WITNESS: JOSEPH MILLER Direct Examination by Atty Meyer 323 Cross-examination by Atty Pepple 323 WITNESS: SHAWN BONFIELD  Direct Examination by Atty Meyer 337 Cross-examination by Atty Robinson O'Neill 337 Cross-examination by Atty Smith 355 Questions by Chair Danner 359 Questions by Commissioner Rendahl 367	2 Number 3 AMM-12 Expe 4 AMM-13 Flota 5 AMM-14 DCF 6 AMM-15T Reb (138 page) 7 AMM-16 Impli 8 Allowed F 9 AMM-17 Impli Approved 10 AMM-18 Expe 11 AMM-19X Inte 12 AMM-20X Rev 13 on Chang 14 AMM-21X Avis Attach. A 15 AMM-21X Avis Attach. A 15 AMM-25X Avis 16 Attach. A 17 AMM-23X Roc 18 AMM-25X Avis No. 103, 120 20 AMM-25X Avis No. 103, 120 21 if You Ca 22 AMM-27X Boa Reserves	lied Cost of Equity - National 75 ROEs (2 pages) lied Cost of Equity - Commission 75 d ROEs (2 pages)  ected Earnings Approach (4 pages) 75 erest Rate Sources 75 vised Compilation of Historic Data 75 loges in Interest Rates sta Resp. to Staff DR No. 231 with 75 A lista Resp. to Staff DR No. 238 with 75 A de & Risbeck (2019) 75 erner & Jarvis (2022) 75 sta's Response to AWEC Data Request 74 Attachment A blic Utilities Fortnightly, "Nice Work 75 an Get It" (Mar. 7, 2017) ar System, Speech by Chair Jerome 11 ell on the Economic Outlook	75
EXHIBIT INDEX  BENCH EXHIBITS:  Number Description ADM  BR-1, Attachment A & B 75  EXHIBITS (by witness):  AVISTA CORPORATION:  Number Description ADM  AGA-1T Direct Testimony of Alexis Alexander 75 (29 pages)  AGA-2 Generation capital Business Cases 75 (383 pages)  AMM-1T Direct Testimony of Adrien McKenzie 75 (58 pages)  AMM-2 Qualifications of Adrien M. McKenzie 75 (6 pages)  AMM-3 Description of Quantitative Analyses 75 (33 pages)  AMM-4 ROE Analysis - Summary of Results 75 (2 pages)  AMM-5 Regulatory Mechanisms - Utility Group 75 (5 pages)  AMM-6 Capital Structure (4 pages) 75 (3 pages)  AMM-7 DCF Model - Utility Group (4 pages) 75 (3 pages)  AMM-8 Sustainable Growth Rate - Utility Group 75 (3 pages)	2 Number E AMM-28X Direct 1 Exh. AMM-1 4 Comm'n v. A UE-150204" 5 AMM-29X Direct 1 Exh. AMM-1 6 Exh. AMM-1 Comm'n v. A UE-170485" 8 AMM-30X Direct 1 Exh. AMM-1 9 Comm'n v. A UE-190334" 10 AMM-31X Direct 1 Exh. AMM-1 11 Exh. AMM-1 12 UE-190334" 13 AMM-32X Direct 1 Exh. AMM-1 14 Comm'n v. A UE-220053" 15 AMM-33X Avista I AMM-33X Avista I AMM-35X AWEC (Summary P. 18 AMM-35X AWEC 19 AMM-36XC AWEC (14 pages) 20 AMM-37X 2023 1	ABITS (cont.) Description Testimony of Adrien M. McKenzie, 75 IT, "Wash. Utils. & Transp. Avista Corp., Docket "(Feb. 16, 2016)  Testimony of Adrien M. McKenzie, 75 IT, "Wash. Utils. & Transp. Avista Corp., Docket "(May 26, 2017) Testimony of Adrien M. McKenzie, 75 IT, "Wash. Utils. & Transp. Avista Corp., Docket "(Apr. 30, 2017)  Testimony of Adrien M. McKenzie, 75 IOT, "Wash. Utils. & Transp. Avista Corp., Docket "(Apr. 30, 2017)  Testimony of Adrien M. McKenzie, 75 IOT, "Wash. Utils. & Transp. Avista Corp., Docket "(Apr. 30, 2017) Testimony of Adrien M. McKenzie, 75 IT, "Wash. Utils. & Transp. Avista Corp., Docket "(Apr. 30, 2017) Testimony of Adrien M. McKenzie, 75 IT, "Wash. Utils. & Transp. Avista Corp., Docket "(Jan. 25, 2024)  Response to AWEC-DR-128 (2 pages) 75 IC-DR-130 (2 pages) 75 IC-DR-130 Confidential Attachment C 75 III Market DCF (5 pages) 75 IResponse to AWEC-DR-121 75	Page 4

	Pag	ge 42	Page 4
1 2 3	EXHIBITS (cont.)  Number Description ADM  AMS-3 Smart Grid Grants Concept Paper 75 (22 pages)		EXHIBITS (cont.)  Number Description ADM  CGK-27X Direct Testimony of Brennan D. Mueller, 75  Exh. BDM-1CT (redacted), "In re Puget
5	AMS-4 Governance and resourcing structure 75 related to grant opportunities (4 pages)		4 Sound Energy Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism Report (Apr. 30, 2024) 5 CGK-28X UE-170485, et al Order 07 (excerpt, 75
6			6 20 pages)
7	AMS-5 detailed grant tracking matrix (9 pages) 75  CGK-1T Direct Testimony of Clint Kalich 75		7 CGK-29X UE-190334, UG-190335 and UE-190222 75 (consolidated) - Kalich Direct 8 Testimony (24 pages)
3	(33 pages) CGK-2C Dispatch Model Results (5 pages) 75 CGK-3 Pro Forma and Adjustment Summary 75		9 CGK-30X UE-190334, UG-190335 and UE-190222 75 (consolidated) - Kalich Rebuttal
-	(3 pages)  CGK-4 Pro Forma Line Descriptions (4 pages) 75		.0 Testimony (7 pages) .1 CGK-31X UE-200900, UG-200901 (consolidated) - 75 Kalich Direct Testimony (32 pages)
2	, , , ,	1	DPV-1T Direct Testimony of Dennis Vermillion, 75
} !	CGK-5 Market Purchases and Sales, Plant 75 Generation and Fuel Cost (2 Pages) CGK-6 Proposed Power Supply Base for ERM 75		<ul><li>(51 pages)</li><li>DPV-2 Diagram of Avista's corporate structure,</li><li>75</li></ul>
5	(3 pages)	1	maps (3 pages) .5 DPV-3X 2024-2Q Earnings 75
5 7	CGK-7T Rebuttal Testimony of Clint Kalich 75 (56 pages) CGK-8 Staff Data Request 227 Supplemental 75		DPV-4X Avista Corp. Board Increases Common 75
3	(5 pages)  CGK-9C Updated Dispatch Model Results (5 pages) 75	1	.7 Stock Dividend 8 DPV-5X Press-Release 2024-2Q Earnings 75 9 DPV-6X Avista's Response to UTC Staff Data 75
)	CGK-9C Updated Dispatch Model Results (5 pages) 75  CGK-10 Updated Pro Forma Adjustment Summary 75		Request No. 10, Attachment E
) L	(3 pages) CGK-11 Updated Pro Forma Line Descriptions 75 (4 pages)		DPV-7X Avista's Response to UTC Staff Data 75 Request No. 10, Attachment L
2	CGK-12 Updated Market Purchases and Sales, 75		DRH-1T Direct Testimony of David Howell 75 (59 pages)
3	Plant Generation and Fuel Cost (2 pages)		DRH-2 Wildfire Resiliency Plan 2023 (60 pages) 75
5	CGK-13 Updated Proposed Power Supply Base 75 for ERM (3 pages)	2	DRH-3 Wildfire Resiliency 2023 Year End 75 Report (69 pages)
_	Pag	ge 43	Page 4
1	EXHIBITS (cont.)	_	1 EXHIBITS (cont.)
2	Number Description ADM		2 Number Description ADM
} !	CGK-14 Staff Data Request 192 (7 pages) 75 CGK-15 Public Counsel Data Request 307) 75 (3 pages) 75		3 DRH-4 Wildfire Resiliency Communications 75 Plan (5 pages) 4
5	CGK-16XC Avista's Confidential Response to 75		DRH-5 Wildfire Resiliency Business Case 75 5 (14 pages)
7	Staff DR No. 230C CGK-17X Attachment A to Staff DR 230C 75		6 DRH-6X Avista's Petition, "In re Avista Corp 75 Accounting Order Authorization 7 Accounting and Ratemaking Treatment
3	CGK-18XC Confidential Attach A to Staff DR 230C 75 Exh. CGK-2-6		of Costs Associated with the Company's
			8 Wildfire Resiliency Plan,
	CGK-19X June 2024 AECO-C Price Alberta Energy 75 Regulator 75		Docket UE-200894 (Oct. 30, 2020)
-	Regulator CGK-20X Illustration No. 3: ERM Cumulative Cost 75 Share (Excerpt from Rebuttal Testimony of	1	Docket UE-200894 (Oct. 30, 2020)  DRH-7X Avista's 2020 Wildfire Resiliency Plan 75  DRH-8X Avista Utilities Wildfire Resiliency 75
L 2 3	Regulator CGK-20X Illustration No. 3: ERM Cumulative Cost 75	1	Docket UE-200894 (Oct. 30, 2020)  DRH-7X Avista's 2020 Wildfire Resiliency Plan 75  DRH-8X Avista Utilities Wildfire Resiliency 75  Cost Forecast January 2020 (Wildfire Resiliency Plan)
L 2 3	Regulator CGK-20X Illustration No. 3: ERM Cumulative Cost Share (Excerpt from Rebuttal Testimony of Clint G. Kalich, Exh. CGK-7T at 36) CGK-21X Natural Gas Futures Prices (prepared by Robert Earle) 75	1 1 1	Docket UE-200894 (Oct. 30, 2020)  DRH-7X Avista's 2020 Wildfire Resiliency Plan 75  DRH-8X Avista Utilities Wildfire Resiliency 75  Cost Forecast January 2020 (Wildfire Resiliency Plan)  DRH-9X Avista Utilities 2023 Wildfire 75
2 3	Regulator CGK-20X Illustration No. 3: ERM Cumulative Cost 75 Share (Excerpt from Rebuttal Testimony of Clint G. Kalich, Exh. CGK-7T at 36) CGK-21X Natural Gas Futures Prices (prepared by 75	1 1 1	Docket UE-200894 (Oct. 30, 2020)  DRH-7X Avista's 2020 Wildfire Resiliency Plan 75  DRH-8X Avista Utilities Wildfire Resiliency 75  Cost Forecast January 2020 (Wildfire Resiliency Plan)  PRH-9X Avista Utilities 2023 Wildfire 75  DRH-9X Avista Utilities 2023 Wildfire 75  BRH-9X Avista Utilities 2023 Wildfire 75  DRH-9X Avista's Responses to Public Counsel 75
2 3 4 5 5	Regulator CGK-20X Illustration No. 3: ERM Cumulative Cost 75 Share (Excerpt from Rebuttal Testimony of Clint G. Kalich, Exh. CGK-7T at 36) CGK-21X Natural Gas Futures Prices (prepared by Robert Earle)  CGK-22X EIA U.S. Energy Information 75 Administration Natural Gas Weekly Update (Aug. 28, 2024)  CGK-23XC Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data 75	1 1 1 1 1	Docket UE-200894 (Oct. 30, 2020)  DRH-7X Avista's 2020 Wildfire Resiliency Plan 75  DRH-8X Avista Utilities Wildfire Resiliency 75  Cost Forecast January 2020 (Wildfire Resiliency Plan)  DRH-9X Avista Utilities 2023 Wildfire 75  Resiliency Plan  DRH-10X Avista's Responses to Public Counsel 75  Data Requests No. 321-357  DRH-11X Avista's Responses to Public Counsel 75
2 2 3 3 1 4 7	Regulator CGK-20X Illustration No. 3: ERM Cumulative Cost 75 Share (Excerpt from Rebuttal Testimony of Clint G. Kalich, Exh. CGK-7T at 36) CGK-21X Natural Gas Futures Prices (prepared by Robert Earle)  CGK-22X EIA U.S. Energy Information 75 Administration Natural Gas Weekly Update (Aug. 28, 2024)  CGK-23XC Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data 75 Request No. 301C CGK-24X Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data 75	1 1 1 1 1 1	Docket UE-200894 (Oct. 30, 2020)  DRH-7X Avista's 2020 Wildfire Resiliency Plan 75  DRH-8X Avista Utilities Wildfire Resiliency 75  Cost Forecast January 2020 (Wildfire Resiliency Plan)  DRH-9X Avista Utilities 2023 Wildfire 75 Resiliency Plan)  DRH-10X Avista's Responses to Public Counsel 75 Data Requests No. 321-357  DRH-11X Avista's Responses to Public Counsel 75 Data Requests No. 324, Attachment A (Budget Plan)
) L 22 33 44 55 77 33	Regulator CGK-20X Illustration No. 3: ERM Cumulative Cost 5hare (Excerpt from Rebuttal Testimony of Clint G. Kalich, Exh. CGK-7T at 36) CGK-21X Natural Gas Futures Prices (prepared by 75 Robert Earle)  CGK-22X EIA U.S. Energy Information 75 Administration Natural Gas Weekly Update (Aug. 28, 2024)  CGK-23XC Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 301C CGK-24X Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data 75 Request No. 304	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Docket UE-200894 (Oct. 30, 2020)  DRH-7X Avista's 2020 Wildfire Resiliency Plan 75  DRH-8X Avista Utilities Wildfire Resiliency 75  Cost Forecast January 2020 (Wildfire Resiliency Plan)  DRH-9X Avista Utilities 2023 Wildfire 75  Resiliency Plan 75  DRH-10X Avista's Responses to Public Counsel 75  DRH-11X Avista's Responses to Public Counsel 75  DRH-11X Avista's Responses to Public Counsel 75  DRH-11X Avista's Responses to Public Counsel 75  DRH-12X Avista's Response to Public Counsel 75  DRH-12X Avista's Response to Public Counsel 75  DRH-12X Avista's Response to Public Counsel 75
L 22 33 44 55 77 33	Regulator CGK-20X Illustration No. 3: ERM Cumulative Cost 75 Share (Excerpt from Rebuttal Testimony of Clint G. Kalich, Exh. CGK-7T at 36) CGK-21X Natural Gas Futures Prices (prepared by Robert Earle)  CGK-22X EIA U.S. Energy Information 75 Administration Natural Gas Weekly Update (Aug. 28, 2024)  CGK-23XC Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 301C CGK-24X Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data 75 Request No. 304  CGK-25X Gaurang Dholakia, Jerome Ignacio, 75 "Market Intelligence, Commodity	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Docket UE-200894 (Oct. 30, 2020)  DRH-7X Avista's 2020 Wildfire Resiliency Plan 75  DRH-8X Avista Utilities Wildfire Resiliency 75  Cost Forecast January 2020 (Wildfire Resiliency Plan)  DRH-9X Avista Utilities 2023 Wildfire 75  Resiliency Plan 75  DRH-10X Avista's Responses to Public Counsel 75  Data Requests No. 321-357  DRH-11X Avista's Responses to Public Counsel 75  Data Request No. 324, Attachment A (Budget Plan)  DRH-12X Avista's Response to Public Counsel 75  Data Request No. 325, Attachment A 9 DRH-13X Avista's Response to Public Counsel 75
L 22 33 44 55 77 73 33	Regulator CGK-20X Illustration No. 3: ERM Cumulative Cost 75 Share (Excerpt from Rebuttal Testimony of Clint G. Kalich, Exh. CGK-7T at 36) CGK-21X Natural Gas Futures Prices (prepared by Robert Earle)  CGK-22X EIA U.S. Energy Information 75 Administration Natural Gas Weekly Update (Aug. 28, 2024)  CGK-23XC Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 301C CGK-24X Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data 75 Request No. 304  CGK-25X Gaurang Dholakia, Jerome Ignacio, "Market Intelligence, Commodity Insights," S&P Capital IQ Global Western Gas Prices (July 5, 2024) CGK-26X EIA U.S. Energy Information 75	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2	Docket UE-200894 (Oct. 30, 2020)  DRH-7X Avista's 2020 Wildfire Resiliency Plan 75  DRH-8X Avista Utilities Wildfire Resiliency 75  Cost Forecast January 2020 (Wildfire Resiliency Plan)  DRH-9X Avista Utilities 2023 Wildfire 75  Resiliency Plan 75  DRH-10X Avista's Responses to Public Counsel 75  Data Requests No. 321-357  DRH-11X Avista's Responses to Public Counsel 75  Data Request No. 324, Attachment A (Budget Plan)  DRH-12X Avista's Response to Public Counsel 75  Data Request No. 325, Attachment A 75  Data Request No. 325, Attachment A 75  Data Request No. 335, Attachment A 75  Data Response to Public Counsel 75  Data Response to Public Counsel 75  Data Response to Public Counsel 75
22 33 11 55 57 73 33	Regulator CGK-20X Illustration No. 3: ERM Cumulative Cost 75 Share (Excerpt from Rebuttal Testimony of Clint G. Kalich, Exh. CGK-7T at 36) CGK-21X Natural Gas Futures Prices (prepared by 75 Robert Earle)  CGK-22X EIA U.S. Energy Information 75 Administration Natural Gas Weekly Update (Aug. 28, 2024)  CGK-23XC Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 301C CGK-24X Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data 75 Request No. 304  CGK-25X Gaurang Dholakia, Jerome Ignacio, 75 "Market Intelligence, Commodity Insights," S&P Capital IQ Global Western Gas Prices (July 5, 2024)	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2	Docket UE-200894 (Oct. 30, 2020)  DRH-7X Avista's 2020 Wildfire Resiliency Plan 75  DRH-8X Avista Utilities Wildfire Resiliency 75  Cost Forecast January 2020 (Wildfire Resiliency Plan)  DRH-9X Avista Utilities 2023 Wildfire 75  Resiliency Plan 75  DRH-10X Avista's Responses to Public Counsel 75  Data Requests No. 321-357  DRH-11X Avista's Responses to Public Counsel 75  Data Requests No. 324, Attachment A (Budget Plan)  DRH-12X Avista's Response to Public Counsel 75  Data Request No. 325, Attachment A 75  Data Request No. 325, Attachment A 75  Data Request No. 335, Attachment A (Financial Risk Matrix)

	Page 4	6 Page	48
1 2 3	EXHIBITS (cont.)  Number Description ADM  EMA-2C Charts and detail by line of insurance 75  (8 pages)	1 EXHIBITS (cont.) 2 Number Description ADM 3 JDM-10 Proposed Natural Gas Increases 75 (Y1)(Y2) (4 pages)	
4	EMA-3 Capital Offsets Matrix and Offset Forms 75	JDM-11X Engrossed Second Substitute Senate 75 Bill No. 5116	
5 6 7	(42 pages) EMA-4 EDIT Accounting Method (6 pages) 75 EMA-5C Electric and Natural Gas Insurance 75	6 JDM-12X Avista's Response to AWEC Data 75 Request No. 125	
8	Expense Balancing Mechanism (28 pages)  EMA-6T Rebuttal Testimony of Liz Andrews 75	JDM-13X Avista's Response to AWEC Data 75 Request No. 126	
9 10	(82 pages) EMA-7 Miscellaneous O&M Expense - 75 Reconciliation Avista vs Party Tables	9 JDM-14X EIA Today in Energy - Feb 15, 2024 75 10 JDM-15X EIA Today in Energy - Jan 9, 2024 75 11 JDM-16X Low Income Energy Assistance 2023 75	
11 12	(4 pages)  EMA-8 Electric and Natural Gas Customer Tax 75  Credit Amortization Schedules	Legislative Report  12  JDM-17X RCW 19.405  75	
13 14	(Staff-DR-215) (5 pages)  GDF-1T Direct Testimony of Grant Forsyth 75 (9 pages)	JDM-18X "Wash. Utils. & Transp. Comm'n v. 75  Avista Corp.", Docket UE-220053	
15 16	JCA-1T Direct Testimony of Joel Anderson 75 (26 pages)	Appendix A to Order 10 04 -  15 Settlement Stipulation (June 28, 2022)  16 JDM-19X WAC 480-85-060 - Cost of Service 75	
17	JCA-2 Natural gas cost of service study 75 (31 pages)	17 JDM-20X 75 18 JDM-21X Avista 2023 Electric Integrated 330 Resource Plan	
19	JCA-3 Decoupling Evaluation Report 75 (221 pages) JCA-4 Supp. Total Electric Adjustment 75	JRT-1T Direct Testimony of Jason Thackston 75 (26 pages) 21 JRT-2 Equitable Business Planning Charter 75	
21 22	JCA-5 Supp. WA Gas Normalized Revenue 75 JDD-1T Direct Testimony of Josh DiLuciano 75	21 JRT-2 Equitable business Planning Criarter 75 (4 pages)  22  JRT-3 Kickoff Presentation setting forth the 75	
23	(65 pages)  JDD-2 Capital business cases (607 pages) 75	23 Company's Equitable Business Planning Steering Committee (15 pages)	
25	JDD-3T Rebuttal Testimony of Josh DiLuciano 75 (31 pages)	JRT-4 Advisory Groups (8 pages) 75	
	Page 4	7 Page	49
1 2 3	EXHIBITS (cont.)		
	Number Description ADM JDD-4C 2023-2024 Avista System Assessment 75 (90 pages)	1 EXHIBITS (cont.) 2 Number Description ADM 3 KJC-1T Direct Testimony of Kevin Christie, 75 (36 pages)	
	JDD-4C 2023-2024 Avista System Assessment (90 pages)  JDD-5 2023-2024 Avista System Assessment 75	2 Number Description ADM 3 KJC-1T Direct Testimony of Kevin Christie, 75 (36 pages) 4 KJC-2 Ratings, Capital Structure (8 pages) 75	
5 6	JDD-4C 2023-2024 Avista System Assessment (90 pages)  JDD-5 2023-2024 Avista System Assessment Study Plan (21 pages) JDD-6 System Planning Challenges, 75 Technology, and Non-Wires 16	2 Number Description ADM 3 KJC-1T Direct Testimony of Kevin Christie, 75 (36 pages)  4 KJC-2 Ratings, Capital Structure (8 pages) 75  5 KJC-3C Capital expenditures and long-term 75 debt issuances (2 pages)	
5 6 7	JDD-4C 2023-2024 Avista System Assessment (90 pages)  JDD-5 2023-2024 Avista System Assessment 75 Study Plan (21 pages) JDD-6 System Planning Challenges, 75	2 Number Description ADM 3 KJC-1T Direct Testimony of Kevin Christie, 75 (36 pages) 4 KJC-2 Ratings, Capital Structure (8 pages) 75 5 KJC-3C Capital expenditures and long-term 75	
	JDD-4C 2023-2024 Avista System Assessment (90 pages)  JDD-5 2023-2024 Avista System Assessment 75 Study Plan (21 pages) JDD-6 System Planning Challenges, 75 Technology, and Non-Wires 16 Alternative Playbook (101 pages) JDD-7 Orin Capacity Mitigation Project 75 Report (46 pages)  JDD-8 Glenrose Capacity Mitigation Report 75 (18 pages)	2 Number Description ADM 3 KJC-1T Direct Testimony of Kevin Christie, 75 (36 pages)  4 KJC-2 Ratings, Capital Structure (8 pages) 75  KJC-3C Capital expenditures and long-term 75 debt issuances (2 pages) 7 KJC-4 Supplemental Ratings Exhibit 75 8 KJC-5T Rebuttal Testimony of Kevin Christie 75 (34 pages)  9 KJC-6X Avista's Response to Staff DR 124 75	
5 6 7 8 9	JDD-4C 2023-2024 Avista System Assessment (90 pages)  JDD-5 2023-2024 Avista System Assessment 75 Study Plan (21 pages)  JDD-6 System Planning Challenges, 75 Technology, and Non-Wires 16 Alternative Playbook (101 pages)  JDD-7 Orin Capacity Mitigation Project 75 Report (46 pages)  JDD-8 Glenrose Capacity Mitigation Report 75	2 Number Description ADM 3 KJC-1T Direct Testimony of Kevin Christie, 75 (36 pages)  4 KJC-2 Ratings, Capital Structure (8 pages) 75  5 KJC-3C Capital expenditures and long-term 75 6 debt issuances (2 pages) 7 KJC-4 Supplemental Ratings Exhibit 75 8 KJC-5T Rebuttal Testimony of Kevin Christie 75 (34 pages)  9 KJC-6X Avista's Response to Staff DR 124 75  10 KJC-7X Avista's Response to Staff DR 125 75	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	JDD-4C 2023-2024 Avista System Assessment (90 pages)  JDD-5 2023-2024 Avista System Assessment 75 Study Plan (21 pages)  JDD-6 System Planning Challenges, 75 Technology, and Non-Wires 16 Alternative Playbook (101 pages)  JDD-7 Orin Capacity Mitigation Project 75 Report (46 pages)  JDD-8 Glenrose Capacity Mitigation Report 75 (18 pages)  JDD-9 North Spokane Transmission 75	2 Number Description ADM 3 KJC-1T Direct Testimony of Kevin Christie, 75 (36 pages)  4 KJC-2 Ratings, Capital Structure (8 pages) 75  5 KJC-3C Capital expenditures and long-term 75 debt issuances (2 pages) 75 KJC-4 Supplemental Ratings Exhibit 75 KJC-5T Rebuttal Testimony of Kevin Christie 75 (34 pages)  9 KJC-6X Avista's Response to Staff DR 124 75  KJC-7X Avista's Response to Staff DR 125 75	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12	JDD-4C 2023-2024 Avista System Assessment (90 pages)  JDD-5 2023-2024 Avista System Assessment Study Plan (21 pages)  JDD-6 System Planning Challenges, 75 Technology, and Non-Wires 16 Alternative Playbook (101 pages)  JDD-7 Orin Capacity Mitigation Project 75 Report (46 pages)  JDD-8 Glenrose Capacity Mitigation Report (18 pages)  JDD-9 North Spokane Transmission 75 Reinforcement Study 2023 (86 pages)  JDD-10 Avista Distributed Energy Resources 75	2 Number Description ADM 3 KJC-1T Direct Testimony of Kevin Christie, 75 (36 pages)  4 KJC-2 Ratings, Capital Structure (8 pages) 75  5 KJC-3C Capital expenditures and long-term 75 debt issuances (2 pages) 75  8 KJC-4 Supplemental Ratings Exhibit 75 8 KJC-5T Rebuttal Testimony of Kevin Christie 75 (34 pages)  9 KJC-6X Avista's Response to Staff DR 124 75  10 KJC-7X Avista's Response to Staff DR 125 75  11 KJC-8X Avista's Response to Staff DR 126 75  12 KJC-9X Avista's Response to Staff DR 128 75  13 KJC-10X Avista's Response to Staff DR 129 75	
5 6 7 8 9 10 111 112 113 114	JDD-4C 2023-2024 Avista System Assessment (90 pages)  JDD-5 2023-2024 Avista System Assessment Study Plan (21 pages)  JDD-6 System Planning Challenges, 75 Technology, and Non-Wires 16 Alternative Playbook (101 pages)  JDD-7 Orin Capacity Mitigation Project 75 Report (46 pages)  JDD-8 Glenrose Capacity Mitigation Report 75 (18 pages)  JDD-9 North Spokane Transmission 75 Reinforcement Study 2023 (86 pages)  JDD-10 Avista Distributed Energy Resources Potential Study Report (46 pages)  JDM-1T Direct Testimony of Joseph Miller 75	2 Number Description ADM 3 KJC-1T Direct Testimony of Kevin Christie, 75 (36 pages)  4 KJC-2 Ratings, Capital Structure (8 pages) 75  5 KJC-3C Capital expenditures and long-term 75 debt issuances (2 pages) 7 KJC-4 Supplemental Ratings Exhibit 75 8 KJC-5T Rebuttal Testimony of Kevin Christie 75 (34 pages)  9 KJC-6X Avista's Response to Staff DR 124 75  10 KJC-7X Avista's Response to Staff DR 125 75  11 KJC-8X Avista's Response to Staff DR 126 75  12 KJC-9X Avista's Response to Staff DR 128 75  13 KJC-10X Avista's Response to Staff DR 129 75  14 KJC-11X Avista's Response to UTC Staff Data 75	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	JDD-4C 2023-2024 Avista System Assessment (90 pages)  JDD-5 2023-2024 Avista System Assessment Study Plan (21 pages)  JDD-6 System Planning Challenges, 75 Technology, and Non-Wires 16 Alternative Playbook (101 pages)  JDD-7 Orin Capacity Mitigation Project 75 Report (46 pages)  JDD-8 Glenrose Capacity Mitigation Report 75 (18 pages)  JDD-9 North Spokane Transmission 75 Reinforcement Study 2023 (86 pages)  JDD-10 Avista Distributed Energy Resources Potential Study Report (46 pages)  JDM-1T Direct Testimony of Joseph Miller 75 (43 pages)	2 Number Description ADM 3 KJC-1T Direct Testimony of Kevin Christie, 75 4 KJC-2 Ratings, Capital Structure (8 pages) 75 5 KJC-3C Capital expenditures and long-term 75 6 debt issuances (2 pages) 75 8 KJC-4 Supplemental Ratings Exhibit 75 8 KJC-5T Rebuttal Testimony of Kevin Christie (34 pages) 9 KJC-6X Avista's Response to Staff DR 124 75 10 KJC-7X Avista's Response to Staff DR 125 75 11 KJC-8X Avista's Response to Staff DR 126 75 12 KJC-9X Avista's Response to Staff DR 128 75 13 KJC-10X Avista's Response to Staff DR 129 75	
5 6 7 8 9 L0 L1 L1 L2 L3 L4 L5 L6	JDD-4C 2023-2024 Avista System Assessment (90 pages)  JDD-5 2023-2024 Avista System Assessment Study Plan (21 pages)  JDD-6 System Planning Challenges, 75 Technology, and Non-Wires 16 Alternative Playbook (101 pages)  JDD-7 Orin Capacity Mitigation Project 75 Report (46 pages)  JDD-8 Glenrose Capacity Mitigation Report (18 pages)  JDD-9 North Spokane Transmission 75 Reinforcement Study 2023 (86 pages)  JDD-10 Avista Distributed Energy Resources Potential Study Report (46 pages)  JDM-1T Direct Testimony of Joseph Miller (43 pages)  JDM-2 Proposed electric increases (28 pages) 75  JDM-3 Proposed electric increases (48 pages) 75  JDM-4 Proposed electric increases (5 pages) 75	2 Number Description ADM 3 KJC-1T Direct Testimony of Kevin Christie, 75 (36 pages)  4 KJC-2 Ratings, Capital Structure (8 pages) 75  KJC-3C Capital expenditures and long-term 75 debt issuances (2 pages) 75  KJC-4 Supplemental Ratings Exhibit 75 Rebuttal Testimony of Kevin Christie 75 (34 pages)  9 KJC-6X Avista's Response to Staff DR 124 75  10 KJC-7X Avista's Response to Staff DR 125 75  11 KJC-8X Avista's Response to Staff DR 126 75  12 KJC-9X Avista's Response to Staff DR 128 75  13 KJC-10X Avista's Response to Staff DR 129 75  14 KJC-11X Avista's Response to UTC Staff Data 75 Request No. 10, Attachment E 16 KJC-12X Avista's Response to UTC Staff Data 75 Request No. 10, Attachment L  17 KJC-13X Avista's Response to AWEC-DR-123 and 75	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	JDD-4C 2023-2024 Avista System Assessment (90 pages)  JDD-5 2023-2024 Avista System Assessment Study Plan (21 pages)  JDD-6 System Planning Challenges, 75 Technology, and Non-Wires 16 Alternative Playbook (101 pages)  JDD-7 Orin Capacity Mitigation Project 75 Report (46 pages)  JDD-8 Glenrose Capacity Mitigation Report 75 (18 pages)  JDD-9 North Spokane Transmission 75 Reinforcement Study 2023 (86 pages)  JDD-10 Avista Distributed Energy Resources Potential Study Report (46 pages)  JDM-1T Direct Testimony of Joseph Miller 75 (43 pages)  JDM-2 Proposed electric increases (28 pages) 75  JDM-3 Proposed electric increases (48 pages) 75  JDM-4 Proposed electric increases (5 pages) 75  JDM-5 Proposed natural gas increases 75  JDM-6 Proposed natural gas increases 75	2         Number Description         ADM           3         KJC-1T Direct Testimony of Kevin Christie, (36 pages)         75 (36 pages)           4         KJC-2 Ratings, Capital Structure (8 pages)         75           5         KJC-3C Capital expenditures and long-term debt issuances (2 pages)         75           6         KJC-4 Supplemental Ratings Exhibit T5         75           8         KJC-5T Rebuttal Testimony of Kevin Christie (34 pages)         75           9         KJC-6X Avista's Response to Staff DR 124 T5         75           10         KJC-7X Avista's Response to Staff DR 125 T5         75           11         KJC-8X Avista's Response to Staff DR 126 T5         75           12         KJC-9X Avista's Response to Staff DR 128 T5         75           13         KJC-10X Avista's Response to Staff DR 129 T5         75           14         KJC-11X Avista's Response to UTC Staff Data Request No. 10, Attachment E         75           15         KJC-12X Avista's Response to UTC Staff Data Request No. 10, Attachment L         75           17         KJC-13X Avista's Response to AWEC-DR-123 and AWEC-DR-123 Attachment A (4 pages)         75           19         KJC-14X Avista Response to UTC Staff Data Request 10         75	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JDD-4C 2023-2024 Avista System Assessment (90 pages)  JDD-5 2023-2024 Avista System Assessment Study Plan (21 pages) JDD-6 System Planning Challenges, 75 Technology, and Non-Wires 16 Alternative Playbook (101 pages) JDD-7 Orin Capacity Mitigation Project Report (46 pages)  JDD-8 Glenrose Capacity Mitigation Report 75 (18 pages)  JDD-9 North Spokane Transmission 75 Reinforcement Study 2023 (86 pages)  JDD-10 Avista Distributed Energy Resources Potential Study Report (46 pages)  JDM-1T Direct Testimony of Joseph Miller 75 (43 pages)  JDM-2 Proposed electric increases (28 pages) 75  JDM-3 Proposed electric increases (48 pages) 75  JDM-4 Proposed natural gas increases 75 (23 pages)  JDM-7 Proposed natural gas increases 75 (23 pages)	2 Number Description ADM 3 KJC-1T Direct Testimony of Kevin Christie, 75 (36 pages)  4 KJC-2 Ratings, Capital Structure (8 pages) 75  5 KJC-3C Capital expenditures and long-term 75 debt issuances (2 pages) 75  8 KJC-4 Supplemental Ratings Exhibit 75 8 KJC-5T Rebuttal Testimony of Kevin Christie 75 (34 pages)  9 KJC-6X Avista's Response to Staff DR 124 75  10 KJC-7X Avista's Response to Staff DR 125 75  11 KJC-8X Avista's Response to Staff DR 126 75  12 KJC-9X Avista's Response to Staff DR 128 75  13 KJC-10X Avista's Response to Staff DR 129 75  14 KJC-11X Avista's Response to UTC Staff Data 75 Request No. 10, Attachment E 75  15 KJC-12X Avista's Response to UTC Staff Data 75 Request No. 10, Attachment L 75  17 KJC-13X Avista's Response to UTC Staff Data 75 Request No. 10, Attachment L 75  18 KJC-14X Avista's Response to UTC Staff Data 75 Request No. 10, Attachment L 75  19 KJC-14X Avista's Response to UTC Staff Data 75 Request No. 10, Attachment L 75  18 KJC-14X Avista's Response to UTC Staff Data 75 Request No. 10, Attachment L 75  19 KJC-14X Avista Response to UTC Staff Data 75 Request 10  KJC-15XC Avista Supplemental Response to UTC 75 Staff Data Request 124C	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JDD-4C 2023-2024 Avista System Assessment (90 pages)  JDD-5 2023-2024 Avista System Assessment Study Plan (21 pages)  JDD-6 System Planning Challenges, 75 Technology, and Non-Wires 16 Alternative Playbook (101 pages)  JDD-7 Orin Capacity Mitigation Project 75 Report (46 pages)  JDD-8 Glenrose Capacity Mitigation Report 75 Report (18 pages)  JDD-9 North Spokane Transmission 75 Reinforcement Study 2023 (86 pages)  JDD-10 Avista Distributed Energy Resources 75 Potential Study Report (46 pages)  JDM-1T Direct Testimony of Joseph Miller 75  (43 pages)  JDM-2 Proposed electric increases (28 pages) 75  JDM-3 Proposed electric increases (48 pages) 75  JDM-4 Proposed natural gas increases 75  (12 pages)  JDM-6 Proposed natural gas increases 75 (23 pages)	2 Number Description ADM 3 KJC-1T Direct Testimony of Kevin Christie, 75 (36 pages)  4 KJC-2 Ratings, Capital Structure (8 pages) 75  5 KJC-3C Capital expenditures and long-term 75 debt issuances (2 pages) 75  8 KJC-4 Supplemental Ratings Exhibit 75 8 KJC-5T Rebuttal Testimony of Kevin Christie 75 (34 pages)  9 KJC-6X Avista's Response to Staff DR 124 75  10 KJC-7X Avista's Response to Staff DR 125 75  11 KJC-8X Avista's Response to Staff DR 126 75  12 KJC-9X Avista's Response to Staff DR 128 75  13 KJC-10X Avista's Response to Staff DR 129 75  14 KJC-11X Avista's Response to UTC Staff Data 75 Request No. 10, Attachment E 75  15 KJC-12X Avista's Response to UTC Staff Data 75 Request No. 10, Attachment L 75  16 KJC-13X Avista's Response to UTC Staff Data 75 Request No. 10, Attachment L 75  17 KJC-13X Avista's Response to UTC Staff Data 75 Request No. 10, Attachment L 75  18 KJC-14X Avista Response to UTC Staff Data 75 Request No. 10, Attachment L 75  19 KJC-14X Avista Response to UTC Staff Data 75 Request 10  10 KJC-15XC Avista Supplemental Response to UTC 75 Staff Data Request 124C	

	Page 50 Page 52
EXHIBITS (cont.)  Number Description ADM  KJS-4 Allocation Methodology (48 pages) 75  KJS-5T Rebuttal Testimony of Kaylene Schultz 75 (64 pages)  KJS-6 Electric and Natural Gas Tables (5 pages) 75  KJS-7 Rebuttal Electric Revenue Requirement Study 75 (16 pages)  KJS-8 Rebuttal Natural Gas Revenue Requirement 75 Study (16 pages)  KJS-9 Supporting Responses to Data Requests for 75 Rebuttal Adjustments (23 pages)  KJS-10C Supporting Confidential Responses to Data 75 Requests for Rebuttal Adjustments (34 pages)  KJS-11 Board of Director Non-Utility Survey 75 (13 pages)  KLD-1T Direct Testimony of Kenneth Dillon 75 (16 pages)  KLD-2 Transmission expense and revenue 75 (3 pages)  MJG-1T Direct Testimony of Marcus Garbarino 75 (19 pages)  MJG-2 Electric cost of service study 75 (42 pages)  MJG-3 Supp. Total Electric Adjustment 75 Normalization Adjustment  NLH-1T Direct Testimony of Nicole Hydzik 75 (44 pages)  NLH-12 Capital Businesses Cases (50 pages) 75	1 EXHIBITS (cont.) 2 Number Description ADM 3 SKJ-4 Nucleus Assessment Final Report 75 (67 pages) 4 SKJ-5 ETRM Implementation Business Case 75 (14 pages) 6 SKJ-6C IEP Special Contract Tracking of 75 Curtailment 3 Events (15 pages) 7 SKJ-7 Natural Gas IRP (956 pages) 75 8 SKJ-8C 2020 Renewable RFP Report (87 pages) 75 9 SKJ-9C First Chelan PUD Power Purchase 75 Agreement (46 pages) 11 SKJ-10C Second Chelan PUD Power Purchase 75 Agreement (99 pages) 12 SKJ-11C 2022 All-Source RFP Report (82 pages) 75 13 SKJ-12C Lancaster Power Purchase Agreement 75 (75 pages) 15 SKJ-13C Columbia Basin Hydro Power Purchase 11 75 Agreement (40 pages) 16 SKJ-14C Clearwater Wind Power Purchase 75 Agreement (91 pages) 17 Agreement (91 pages) 18 SKJ-15C Lancaster CCCT Emissions Performance 75 Standard 14 Documentation (2 pages) 19 SKJ-16 Review of PCAM Implementation in 75 Other States (8 pages) 21 SKJ-17 Rebuttal Testimony of Scott Kinney 75 (42 pages) 22 SKJ-19X Illustration No. 5: Washington ERM 75
1 EXHIBITS (cont.) 2 Number Description ADM 3 SJB-1T Direct Testimony of Shawn Bonfield 75 (30 pages) 4 SJB-2 2023-2024 Existing PBR Metrics 75 (7 pages) 5 (7 pages) 6 SJB-3 Proposed 2025-2026 PBR Metrics 75 (5 pages) 7 SJB-4 Proposed Changes to Recurring 75 8 Reporting Obligations (4 pages) 9 SJB-5T Rebuttal Testimony of Shawn Bonfield 75 (57 pages)	Deltas, 2003-2022 (Excerpt from the Direct Testimony of Scott J. Kinney,  Page 51  EXHIBITS (cont.)  Number Description ADM SKJ-20X, Avista's Response to NWEC Data Request 75 Att. B No. 17, with Attachment B  SKJ-21X Avista's Response to Public Counsel 75 Data Request No. 305 SKJ-22X Avista's Response to Public Counsel 75 Data Request No. 307  SKJ-23X Avista's Response to Public Counsel 75 Data Request No. 307  SKJ-23X Avista's Response to Public Counsel 75 Data Request No. 308 SKJ-24X Rebuttal Testimony of William G. Johnson, 75 Exh. WGJ-6T, "Wash. Utils. & Transp. Comm'n. v. Avista Corp.,"
SJB-6 Supplemental Response to Proposed PBR 75 Metrics (5 pages)  SJB-7 Alternative 2025-2026 PBR Metrics 75 (5 pages)  SJB-8 Avista Response to TEP Data Request 75  ##009 (6 pages)  SJB-9X Avista Bill Assistance Monthly Update 75 (Aug. 8, 2024)  SJB-10X Avista Utilities Tariff WN U-28, 75 Schedule 92, Low-Income Rate Assistance Program  SJB-11X Avista Utilities Tariff WN U-29, 75	Docket UE-170585 (Dec. 1, 2017)  SKJ-25X UE-200900, UG-200901 and UE-200894 75  (consolidated) - Joint Testimony in Support of Stipulation (35 pages)  SKJ-26X UE-200900, UG-200901 and UE-200894 75  (consolidated) - Exh. CGK-10, Avista Power Supply Modeling Methodology Concept Paper (5 pages)  TCB-1T Direct Testimony of Tia Benjamin 75 (40 pages)  TCB-2 Capital Additions Adjustment Model 75  18

	Pag	ge 54			Page !
EXHIBITS (cont.)		1	EXHIBITS (cont.)		
UTC STAFF:		2	Number Description ADM		
Number Description ADM	1 75	3	JDW-1CTr Confidential revised Testimony of	75	
BAE-1T Direct Testimony of Betty Erdahl (37 pages)	75	4	John D. Wilson (45 pages)		
(or pages)		1	JDW-2 CV John Wilson (14 pages)	75	
BAE-2 Avista's Response to UTC Staff	75	5			
Data Request No. 69 and Attachment A (26 pages)		6	JDW-3C Avista's Response to Public Counsel	75	
Attacriment A (20 pages)		6	Data Request No. 268, Confidential Attachment A (1 page)		
BAE-3 Avista's Response to UTC Staff Data	75	7	/ macrimon // (1 page)		
Request No. 75 (3 pages)	75		JDW-4 Avista's Response to Staff Data	75	
BAE-4 Avista's Response to UTC Staff Data Request No. 72 (3 pages)	75	8 9	Request No. 192 (7 pages)  JDW-5 Avista's Response to Staff Data	75	
10quest 110. 12 (5 pages)			Request No. 120 (2 pages)	75	
BAE-5 Avista's Response to UTC Staff Data	75	10			
Request No. 73 and Attachment A		1,1	JDW-6 Avista's Response to Staff Data	75	
(5 pages)		11 12	Request No. 213 (2 pages)  JDW-7 Avista's Response to Staff Data	75	
BAE-6 Avista's Response to UTC Staff Data	75	**	Request No. 32 (4 pages)	. 5	
Request No. 77 (3 pages)		13			
BAE-7 Avista's Response to UTC Staff Data	75	,,	JDW-8 Avista's Response to Staff Data	75	
Request No. 32 (4 pages)		14	Request No. 119 (2 pages) JDW-9C Avista's Response to Staff Data	75	
BAE-8 S&P Global Rating, "A Storm Is Brewing	g: 75	13	Request No. 118, Confidential	,,	
Extreme Weather Events Pressure North	-	16	Attachment A (1 page)		
American Utilities' Credit Quality",		17	JDW-10 Avista's Response to Staff Data	75	
Nov. 2023 (16 pages) BAE-9 Office of the Insurance Commissioner	75	18	Request No. 208 (Supplemental) and Attachments (53 pages)		
Washington State, "Liability Insurance	· <del>-</del>	19	JDW-11 Avista's Response to Staff Data	75	
Markets Conditions for Washington State			Request No. 171 (Supplemental)		
Electric Utility Companies",		20	(3 pages)	75	
January 1, 2023 (69 pages) BAE-10 Anthony Nordman & Isaac Hall, "Up in	75	21	JDW-12 Testimony of Ramon J. Mitchell, Exh. RJM-3CT, Docket UE-230172	75	
Flames: Containing Wildfire Liability	: <del>-</del>	22	(Oct. 2023) (27 pages)		
for Utilities in the West", Tulane		23	JDW-13 Avista's Response to Staff Data	75	
Environmental Law Journal, vol. 33:55 (2020) (38 pages)		24	Request No. 222 (3 pages)		
DCP-1T Direct Testimony of David Parcell	75	24	JDW-14 Avista's Response to Staff Data	75	
(69 pages)		25	Request No. 172 (2 pages)		
	Pac	ge 55			Page '
	Pag	ge 55			Page !
EXHIBITS (cont.)		1	EXHIBITS (cont.)		Page !
Number Description ADM	1	1 2	Number Description ADM	75	Page !
		1 2 3	Number Description ADM JDW-15 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 183 (Supplemental)	75	Page !
Number Description ADM DCP-2 Background and Experience Profile (7 pages)	1 75	1 2 3	Number Description ADM JDW-15 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 183 (Supplemental) (2 pages)		Page !
Number Description ADM DCP-2 Background and Experience Profile	1	1 2 3	Number Description ADM JDW-15 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 183 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-16 Avista's Response to Staff Data	75 75	Page !
Number Description ADM DCP-2 Background and Experience Profile (7 pages)  DCP-3 Avista Total Care of Capital (4 pages)	1 75	1 2 3	Number Description ADM JDW-15 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 183 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-16 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 175(C) (Second		Page !
Number Description ADM DCP-2 Background and Experience Profile (7 pages)  DCP-3 Avista Total Care of Capital (4 pages)  DCP-4 Economic Indicators (4 pages)	1 75 75 75	1 2 3 4 5	Number Description ADM JDW-15 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 183 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-16 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 175(C) (Second Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-17 Avista's Response to Staff Data		Page !
Number Description ADM DCP-2 Background and Experience Profile (7 pages)  DCP-3 Avista Total Care of Capital (4 pages)  DCP-4 Economic Indicators (4 pages)  DCP-5 Avista History of Credit Ratings	1 75 75	1 2 3 4 5	Number Description ADM JDW-15 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 183 (Supplemental) (2 pages)  JDW-16 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 175(C) (Second Supplemental) (2 pages)  JDW-17 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 184 (Supplemental)	75	Page !
Number Description ADM DCP-2 Background and Experience Profile (7 pages)  DCP-3 Avista Total Care of Capital (4 pages)  DCP-4 Economic Indicators (4 pages)  DCP-5 Avista History of Credit Ratings (2 pages)	75 75 75 75 75	1 2 3 4 5	Number Description ADM JDW-15 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 183 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-16 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 175(C) (Second Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-17 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 184 (Supplemental) (2 pages)	75 75	Page !
Number Description ADM DCP-2 Background and Experience Profile (7 pages)  DCP-3 Avista Total Care of Capital (4 pages)  DCP-4 Economic Indicators (4 pages)  DCP-5 Avista History of Credit Ratings (2 pages)  DCP-6 Avista Capital Structure Ratios	1 75 75 75	1 2 3 4 5	Number Description ADM JDW-15 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 183 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-16 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 175(C) (Second Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-17 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 184 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-18 Avista's Response to Staff Data	75	Page !
Number Description ADM DCP-2 Background and Experience Profile (7 pages)  DCP-3 Avista Total Care of Capital (4 pages)  DCP-4 Economic Indicators (4 pages)  DCP-5 Avista History of Credit Ratings (2 pages)  DCP-6 Avista Capital Structure Ratios (3 pages)	1 75 75 75 75 75	1 2 3 4 5	Number Description ADM JDW-15 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 183 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-16 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 175(C) (Second Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-17 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 184 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-18 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 219 (2 pages)	75 75 75	Page !
Number Description ADM DCP-2 Background and Experience Profile (7 pages)  DCP-3 Avista Total Care of Capital (4 pages)  DCP-4 Economic Indicators (4 pages)  DCP-5 Avista History of Credit Ratings (2 pages)  DCP-6 Avista Capital Structure Ratios (3 pages)  DCP-7 Proxy Companies Average Common Economic Indicators  DCP-7 Proxy Companies Average Common Economic Indicators  ADM ADM DCP-2 Proxy Companies ADM DCP-3 Avista Capital Structure Ratios (3 pages)	1 75 75 75 75 75	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Number Description ADM JDW-15 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 183 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-16 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 175(C) (Second Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-17 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 184 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-18 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 219 (2 pages)  JDW-19 Avista's Response to AWEC Data	75 75	Page !
Number Description ADM DCP-2 Background and Experience Profile (7 pages)  DCP-3 Avista Total Care of Capital (4 pages)  DCP-4 Economic Indicators (4 pages)  DCP-5 Avista History of Credit Ratings (2 pages) DCP-6 Avista Capital Structure Ratios (3 pages)  DCP-7 Proxy Companies Average Common Economic (2 pages)	75 75 75 75 75 75	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Number Description ADM JDW-15 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 183 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-16 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 175(C) (Second Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-17 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 184 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-18 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 219 (2 pages) JDW-19 Avista's Response to AWEC Data Request No. 53, Attachment B (1 page)	75 75 75 75	Page !
Number Description ADM DCP-2 Background and Experience Profile (7 pages)  DCP-3 Avista Total Care of Capital (4 pages)  DCP-4 Economic Indicators (4 pages)  DCP-5 Avista History of Credit Ratings (2 pages)  DCP-6 Avista Capital Structure Ratios (3 pages)  DCP-7 Proxy Companies Average Common Economic Indicators  DCP-7 Proxy Companies Average Common Economic Indicators  ADM DCP-1 ADM DCP-2 Proxy Companies Average Common Economic Indicators  ADM DCP-3 Avista Total Care of Capital (4 pages)	1 75 75 75 75 75	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Number Description ADM JDW-15 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 183 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-16 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 175(C) (Second Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-17 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 184 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-18 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 219 (2 pages)  JDW-19 Avista's Response to AWEC Data	75 75 75	Page !
Number Description ADM DCP-2 Background and Experience Profile (7 pages)  DCP-3 Avista Total Care of Capital (4 pages)  DCP-4 Economic Indicators (4 pages)  DCP-5 Avista History of Credit Ratings (2 pages)  DCP-6 Avista Capital Structure Ratios (3 pages)  DCP-7 Proxy Companies Average Common Ec Ratios (2 pages)  DCP-8 Proxy Companies Basis for Selection (2 pages)	75 75 75 75 75 75 quity 75	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Number Description ADM JDW-15 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 183 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-16 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 175(C) (Second Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-17 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 184 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-18 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 219 (2 pages)  JDW-19 Avista's Response to AWEC Data Request No. 53, Attachment B (1 page) JDW-20C Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 176(C) (Supplemental) and Attachment A (1 page)	75 75 75 75 75	Page !
Number Description ADM DCP-2 Background and Experience Profile (7 pages)  DCP-3 Avista Total Care of Capital (4 pages)  DCP-4 Economic Indicators (4 pages)  DCP-5 Avista History of Credit Ratings (2 pages)  DCP-6 Avista Capital Structure Ratios (3 pages)  DCP-7 Proxy Companies Average Common Economics Ratios (2 pages)  DCP-8 Proxy Companies Basis for Selection	75 75 75 75 75 75 quity 75	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Number Description ADM JDW-15 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 183 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-16 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 175(C) (Second Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-17 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 184 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-18 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 219 (2 pages) JDW-19 Avista's Response to AWEC Data Request No. 219 (2 pages) JDW-20C Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 176(C) (Supplemental) and Attachment A (1 page) JDW-21 Avista's Response to Staff Data	75 75 75 75	Page !
Number Description ADM DCP-2 Background and Experience Profile (7 pages)  DCP-3 Avista Total Care of Capital (4 pages)  DCP-4 Economic Indicators (4 pages)  DCP-5 Avista History of Credit Ratings (2 pages)  DCP-6 Avista Capital Structure Ratios (3 pages)  DCP-7 Proxy Companies Average Common Ec Ratios (2 pages)  DCP-8 Proxy Companies Basis for Selection (2 pages)	75 75 75 75 75 75 4uity 75 75	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Number Description ADM JDW-15 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 183 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-16 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 175(C) (Second Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-17 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 184 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-18 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 219 (2 pages)  JDW-19 Avista's Response to AWEC Data Request No. 53, Attachment B (1 page) JDW-20C Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 176(C) (Supplemental) and Attachment A (1 page)	75 75 75 75 75	Page !
Number Description ADM DCP-2 Background and Experience Profile (7 pages)  DCP-3 Avista Total Care of Capital (4 pages)  DCP-4 Economic Indicators (4 pages)  DCP-5 Avista History of Credit Ratings (2 pages) DCP-6 Avista Capital Structure Ratios (3 pages)  DCP-7 Proxy Companies Average Common Ec Ratios (2 pages)  DCP-8 Proxy Companies Basis for Selection (2 pages)  DCP-9 Proxy Companies DCF Cost Rates (6 p.  DCP-10 Standard & Poor's 500 ROE and 20-ye treasury bond returns (2 pages)	75 75 75 75 75 75 quity 75 75 ages) 75	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Number Description ADM JDW-15 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 183 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-16 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 175(C) (Second Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-17 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 184 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-18 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 219 (2 pages)  JDW-19 Avista's Response to AWEC Data Request No. 53, Attachment B (1 page) JDW-20C Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 176(C) (Supplemental) and Attachment A (1 page) JDW-21 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 186 (2 pages)	75 75 75 75 75	Page !
Number Description ADM DCP-2 Background and Experience Profile (7 pages)  DCP-3 Avista Total Care of Capital (4 pages)  DCP-4 Economic Indicators (4 pages)  DCP-5 Avista History of Credit Ratings (2 pages)  DCP-6 Avista Capital Structure Ratios (3 pages)  DCP-7 Proxy Companies Average Common Ec Ratios (2 pages)  DCP-8 Proxy Companies Basis for Selection (2 pages)  DCP-9 Proxy Companies DCF Cost Rates (6 p.  DCP-10 Standard & Poor's 500 ROE and 20-ye treasury bond returns (2 pages)  DCP-11 Proxy Companies CAPM Cost Rates	75 75 75 75 75 75 4uity 75 75	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Number Description ADM JDW-15 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 183 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-16 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 175(C) (Second Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-17 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 184 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-18 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 219 (2 pages)  JDW-19 Avista's Response to AWEC Data Request No. 219 (2 pages)  JDW-20C Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 176(C) (Supplemental) and Attachment A (1 page) JDW-21 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 186 (2 pages)	75 75 75 75 75 75	Page !
Number Description ADM DCP-2 Background and Experience Profile (7 pages)  DCP-3 Avista Total Care of Capital (4 pages)  DCP-4 Economic Indicators (4 pages)  DCP-5 Avista History of Credit Ratings (2 pages) DCP-6 Avista Capital Structure Ratios (3 pages)  DCP-7 Proxy Companies Average Common Ec Ratios (2 pages)  DCP-8 Proxy Companies Basis for Selection (2 pages)  DCP-9 Proxy Companies DCF Cost Rates (6 p.  DCP-10 Standard & Poor's 500 ROE and 20-yee treasury bond returns (2 pages)	75 75 75 75 75 75 quity 75 75 ages) 75	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Number Description ADM JDW-15 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 183 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-16 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 175(C) (Second Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-17 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 184 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-18 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 219 (2 pages)  JDW-19 Avista's Response to AWEC Data Request No. 53, Attachment B (1 page) JDW-20C Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 176(C) (Supplemental) and Attachment A (1 page) JDW-21 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 186 (2 pages)  JDW-22C Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 189 (2 pages)  JDW-22C Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 189 (2 pages)  JDW-23 Avista Witness Kalich's "Rattlesnake	75 75 75 75 75 75	Page !
Number Description ADM DCP-2 Background and Experience Profile (7 pages)  DCP-3 Avista Total Care of Capital (4 pages)  DCP-4 Economic Indicators (4 pages)  DCP-5 Avista History of Credit Ratings (2 pages)  DCP-6 Avista Capital Structure Ratios (3 pages)  DCP-7 Proxy Companies Average Common Economic (2 pages)  DCP-8 Proxy Companies Basis for Selection (2 pages)  DCP-9 Proxy Companies DCF Cost Rates (6 pages)  DCP-10 Standard & Poor's 500 ROE and 20-yee treasury bond returns (2 pages)  DCP-11 Proxy Companies CAPM Cost Rates	75 75 75 75 75 75 quity 75 75 ages) 75	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Number Description ADM JDW-15 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 183 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-16 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 175(C) (Second Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-17 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 184 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-18 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 219 (2 pages)  JDW-19 Avista's Response to AWEC Data Request No. 219 (2 pages)  JDW-20C Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 176(C) (Supplemental) and Attachment A (1 page) JDW-21 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 186 (2 pages)	75 75 75 75 75 75	Page !
Number Description ADM DCP-2 Background and Experience Profile (7 pages)  DCP-3 Avista Total Care of Capital (4 pages)  DCP-4 Economic Indicators (4 pages)  DCP-5 Avista History of Credit Ratings (2 pages)  DCP-6 Avista Capital Structure Ratios (3 pages)  DCP-7 Proxy Companies Average Common Ecratios (2 pages)  DCP-8 Proxy Companies Basis for Selection (2 pages)  DCP-9 Proxy Companies DCF Cost Rates (6 p.  DCP-10 Standard & Poor's 500 ROE and 20-ye treasury bond returns (2 pages)  DCP-11 Proxy Companies CAPM Cost Rates (2 pages)  DCP-12 Risk Indicators of Electric Utilities by Size (2 pages)	75 75 75 75 75 75 4uity 75 75 ages) 75 ar 75 75	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Number Description ADM JDW-15 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 183 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-16 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 175(C) (Second Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-17 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 184 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-18 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 219 (2 pages) JDW-19 Avista's Response to AWEC Data Request No. 53, Attachment B (1 page) JDW-20C Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 176(C) (Supplemental) and Attachment A (1 page) JDW-21 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 186 (2 pages) JDW-22C Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 189 (2 pages) JDW-22C Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 189 (2 pages) JDW-23 Avista Witness Kalich's "Rattlesnake Flat" workpaper (1 page)	75 75 75 75 75 75 75	Page !
Number Description ADM DCP-2 Background and Experience Profile (7 pages)  DCP-3 Avista Total Care of Capital (4 pages)  DCP-4 Economic Indicators (4 pages)  DCP-5 Avista History of Credit Ratings (2 pages)  DCP-6 Avista Capital Structure Ratios (3 pages)  DCP-7 Proxy Companies Average Common Edition Ratios (2 pages)  DCP-8 Proxy Companies Basis for Selection (2 pages)  DCP-9 Proxy Companies DCF Cost Rates (6 p.  DCP-10 Standard & Poor's 500 ROE and 20-ye treasury bond returns (2 pages)  DCP-11 Proxy Companies CAPM Cost Rates (2 pages)  DCP-12 Risk Indicators of Electric Utilities by Size (2 pages)  DCP-13 Proxy Companies ROE and M/B (4 pages)  DCP-13 Proxy Companies ROE and M/B (4 pages)	75 75 75 75 75 75 quity 75 75 ages) 75 75	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Number Description ADM JDW-15 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 183 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-16 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 175(C) (Second Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-17 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 184 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-18 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 219 (2 pages) JDW-19 Avista's Response to AWEC Data Request No. 53, Attachment B (1 page) JDW-20C Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 176(C) (Supplemental) and Attachment A (1 page) JDW-21 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 176(C) (Supplemental) and Attachment A (2 pages)  JDW-21 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 186 (2 pages)  JDW-22 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 189 (2 pages)  JDW-23 Avista Witness Kalich's "Rattlesnake Flat" workpaper (1 page)	75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75	Page !
Number Description ADM DCP-2 Background and Experience Profile (7 pages)  DCP-3 Avista Total Care of Capital (4 pages)  DCP-4 Economic Indicators (4 pages)  DCP-5 Avista History of Credit Ratings (2 pages) DCP-6 Avista Capital Structure Ratios (3 pages)  DCP-7 Proxy Companies Average Common Ec Ratios (2 pages)  DCP-8 Proxy Companies Basis for Selection (2 pages)  DCP-9 Proxy Companies DCF Cost Rates (6 pc  DCP-10 Standard & Poor's 500 ROE and 20-ye treasury bond returns (2 pages)  DCP-11 Proxy Companies CAPM Cost Rates (2 pages)  DCP-12 Risk Indicators of Electric Utilities by Size (2 pages)  DCP-13 Proxy Companies ROE and M/B (4 pag DCP-14 Standard & Poor's 500 ROE and M/B  CR-15 Proxy Companies ROE and M/B (4 pag DCP-14 Standard & Poor's 500 ROE and M/B  CR-16 Standard & Poor's 500 ROE and M/B  CR-17 Standard & Poor's 500 ROE and M/B	75 75 75 75 75 75 4uity 75 75 ages) 75 ar 75 75	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Number Description ADM JDW-15 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 183 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-16 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 175(C) (Second Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-17 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 184 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-18 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 219 (2 pages) JDW-19 Avista's Response to AWEC Data Request No. 219 (2 pages) JDW-20C Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 176(C) (Supplemental) and Attachment A (1 page) JDW-21 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 186 (2 pages)  JDW-22C Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 189 (2 pages)  JDW-23 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 189 (2 pages)  JDW-24TCr Revised Cross-Answering Testimony John Wilson (28 pages)  JDW-25 Avista's Response to Staff DR No. 227	75 75 75 75 75 75 75	Page !
Number Description ADM DCP-2 Background and Experience Profile (7 pages)  DCP-3 Avista Total Care of Capital (4 pages)  DCP-4 Economic Indicators (4 pages)  DCP-5 Avista History of Credit Ratings (2 pages)  DCP-6 Avista Capital Structure Ratios (3 pages)  DCP-7 Proxy Companies Average Common Edition Ratios (2 pages)  DCP-8 Proxy Companies Basis for Selection (2 pages)  DCP-9 Proxy Companies DCF Cost Rates (6 p.  DCP-10 Standard & Poor's 500 ROE and 20-ye treasury bond returns (2 pages)  DCP-11 Proxy Companies CAPM Cost Rates (2 pages)  DCP-12 Risk Indicators of Electric Utilities by Size (2 pages)  DCP-13 Proxy Companies ROE and M/B (4 pages)  DCP-13 Proxy Companies ROE and M/B (4 pages)	75 75 75 75 75 75 quity 75 75 ages) 75 75	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Number Description ADM JDW-15 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 183 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-16 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 175(C) (Second Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-17 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 184 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-18 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 219 (2 pages) JDW-19 Avista's Response to AWEC Data Request No. 53, Attachment B (1 page) JDW-20C Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 176(C) (Supplemental) and Attachment A (1 page) JDW-21 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 176(C) (Supplemental) and Attachment A (2 pages)  JDW-21 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 186 (2 pages)  JDW-22 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 189 (2 pages)  JDW-23 Avista Witness Kalich's "Rattlesnake Flat" workpaper (1 page)	75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75	Page !
Number Description ADM DCP-2 Background and Experience Profile (7 pages)  DCP-3 Avista Total Care of Capital (4 pages)  DCP-4 Economic Indicators (4 pages)  DCP-5 Avista History of Credit Ratings (2 pages) DCP-6 Avista Capital Structure Ratios (3 pages)  DCP-7 Proxy Companies Average Common Ec Ratios (2 pages)  DCP-8 Proxy Companies Basis for Selection (2 pages)  DCP-9 Proxy Companies DCF Cost Rates (6 pages)  DCP-10 Standard & Poor's 500 ROE and 20-ye treasury bond returns (2 pages)  DCP-11 Proxy Companies CAPM Cost Rates (2 pages)  DCP-12 Risk Indicators of Electric Utilities by Size (2 pages)  DCP-13 Proxy Companies ROE and M/B (4 pag DCP-14 Standard & Poor's 500 ROE and M/B Standard & Poor's 500 ROE and M/B Standard & Poor's 500 ROE and M/B	75 75 75 75 75 75 quity 75 75 ages) 75 75	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Number Description ADM JDW-15 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 183 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-16 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 175(C) (Second Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-17 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 184 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-18 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 219 (2 pages) JDW-19 Avista's Response to AWEC Data Request No. 219 (2 pages) JDW-20C Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 176(C) (Supplemental) and Attachment A (1 page) JDW-21 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 186 (2 pages)  JDW-22C Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 189 (2 pages)  JDW-23 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 189 (2 pages)  JDW-24TCr Revised Cross-Answering Testimony John Wilson (28 pages)  JDW-25 Avista's Response to Staff DR No. 227	75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75	Page !
Number Description ADM DCP-2 Background and Experience Profile (7 pages)  DCP-3 Avista Total Care of Capital (4 pages)  DCP-4 Economic Indicators (4 pages)  DCP-5 Avista History of Credit Ratings (2 pages)  DCP-6 Avista Capital Structure Ratios (3 pages)  DCP-7 Proxy Companies Average Common Economic (2 pages)  DCP-8 Proxy Companies Basis for Selection (2 pages)  DCP-9 Proxy Companies DCF Cost Rates (6 pages)  DCP-10 Standard & Poor's 500 ROE and 20-yee treasury bond returns (2 pages)  DCP-11 Proxy Companies CAPM Cost Rates (2 pages)  DCP-12 Risk Indicators of Electric Utilities by Size (2 pages)  DCP-13 Proxy Companies ROE and M/B (4 pages)  DCP-14 Standard & Poor's 500 ROE and M/B (2 pages)  DCP-15 Risk Indicators (3 pages)	75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 40 40 41 41 45 45 46 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Number Description ADM JDW-15 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 183 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-16 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 175(C) (Second Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-17 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 184 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-18 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 219 (2 pages) JDW-19 Avista's Response to AWEC Data Request No. 219 (2 pages) JDW-20C Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 176(C) (Supplemental) and Attachment A (1 page) JDW-21 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 186 (2 pages)  JDW-22C Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 189 (2 pages)  JDW-23 Avista Witness Kalich's "Rattlesnake Flat" workpaper (1 page)  JDW-24TCr Revised Cross-Answering Testimony John Wilson (28 pages) JDW-25 Avista's Response to Staff DR No. 227 Supplemental 2 (6 pages)	75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75	Page !
Number Description ADM DCP-2 Background and Experience Profile (7 pages)  DCP-3 Avista Total Care of Capital (4 pages)  DCP-4 Economic Indicators (4 pages)  DCP-5 Avista History of Credit Ratings (2 pages)  DCP-6 Avista Capital Structure Ratios (3 pages)  DCP-7 Proxy Companies Average Common Ecratios (2 pages)  DCP-8 Proxy Companies Basis for Selection (2 pages)  DCP-9 Proxy Companies DCF Cost Rates (6 p.  DCP-10 Standard & Poor's 500 ROE and 20-ye treasury bond returns (2 pages)  DCP-11 Proxy Companies CAPM Cost Rates (2 pages)  DCP-12 Risk Indicators of Electric Utilities by Size (2 pages)  DCP-13 Proxy Companies ROE and M/B (4 pages)  DCP-14 Standard & Poor's 500 ROE and M/B (2 pages)	75 75 75 75 75 75 75 39es) 75 75 9es) 75	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Number Description ADM JDW-15 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 183 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-16 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 175(C) (Second Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-17 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 184 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-18 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 219 (2 pages) JDW-19 Avista's Response to AWEC Data Request No. 219 (2 pages) JDW-20C Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 176(C) (Supplemental) and Attachment A (1 page) JDW-21 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 186 (2 pages)  JDW-22C Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 189 (2 pages)  JDW-23 Avista's Witness Kalich's "Rattlesnake Flat" workpaper (1 page)  JDW-24TCr Revised Cross-Answering Testimony John Wilson (28 pages)  JDW-25 Avista's Response to Staff DR No. 227 Supplemental 2 (6 pages)	75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75	Page !
Number Description ADM DCP-2 Background and Experience Profile (7 pages)  DCP-3 Avista Total Care of Capital (4 pages)  DCP-4 Economic Indicators (4 pages)  DCP-5 Avista History of Credit Ratings (2 pages)  DCP-6 Avista Capital Structure Ratios (3 pages)  DCP-7 Proxy Companies Average Common Edetics (2 pages)  DCP-8 Proxy Companies Basis for Selection (2 pages)  DCP-9 Proxy Companies DCF Cost Rates (6 pages)  DCP-10 Standard & Poor's 500 ROE and 20-yee treasury bond returns (2 pages)  DCP-11 Proxy Companies CAPM Cost Rates (2 pages)  DCP-12 Risk Indicators of Electric Utilities by Size (2 pages)  DCP-13 Proxy Companies ROE and M/B (4 pages)  DCP-14 Standard & Poor's 500 ROE and M/B (2 pages)  DCP-15 Risk Indicators (3 pages)  DCP-16 Risk Premium Analysis (4 pages)	75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 40 40 41 41 45 45 46 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Number Description ADM JDW-15 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 183 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-16 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 175(C) (Second Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-17 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 184 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-18 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 219 (2 pages) JDW-19 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 53, Attachment B (1 page) JDW-20C Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 176(C) (Supplemental) and Attachment A (1 page) JDW-21 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 176(C) (Supplemental) and Attachment A (2 pages) JDW-21 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 186 (2 pages) JDW-23 Avista Witness Kalich's "Rattlesnake Flat" workpaper (1 page)  JDW-24TCr Revised Cross-Answering Testimony John Wilson (28 pages) JDW-25 Avista's Response to Staff DR No. 227 Supplemental 2 (6 pages)  JDW-26 Avista's Response to Staff DR No. 227 Supplemental Attach. A - 2024 WEIM Calcs (2 pages)	75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75	Page !
Number Description ADM DCP-2 Background and Experience Profile (7 pages)  DCP-3 Avista Total Care of Capital (4 pages)  DCP-4 Economic Indicators (4 pages)  DCP-5 Avista History of Credit Ratings (2 pages)  DCP-6 Avista Capital Structure Ratios (3 pages)  DCP-7 Proxy Companies Average Common Ecratios (2 pages)  DCP-8 Proxy Companies Basis for Selection (2 pages)  DCP-9 Proxy Companies DCF Cost Rates (6 p.  DCP-10 Standard & Poor's 500 ROE and 20-ye treasury bond returns (2 pages)  DCP-11 Proxy Companies CAPM Cost Rates (2 pages)  DCP-12 Risk Indicators of Electric Utilities by Size (2 pages)  DCP-13 Proxy Companies ROE and M/B (4 pages)  DCP-14 Standard & Poor's 500 ROE and M/B (2 pages)  DCP-15 Risk Indicators (3 pages)  DCP-16 Risk Premium Analysis (4 pages)  DCP-17 Avista Response to UTC Staff Data Request No. 11 (3 pages)	75 75 75 75 75 75 75 76 3ges) 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Number Description ADM JDW-15 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 183 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-16 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 175(C) (Second Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-17 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 184 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-18 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 219 (2 pages) JDW-19 Avista's Response to AWEC Data Request No. 53, Attachment B (1 page) JDW-20C Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 176(C) (Supplemental) and Attachment A (1 page) JDW-21 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 186 (2 pages) JDW-22C Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 189 (2 pages) JDW-23 Avista Witness Kalich's "Rattlesnake Flat" workpaper (1 page)  JDW-24TCr Revised Cross-Answering Testimony John Wilson (28 pages) JDW-25 Avista's Response to Staff DR No. 227 Supplemental 2 (6 pages)  JDW-26 Avista's Response to Staff DR No. 227 Supplemental Attach. A - 2024 WEIM Calcs (2 pages)  JDW-27 ICE Futures Daily Market Report for Washington Carbon Allowance Vintage	75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75	Page !
Number Description ADM DCP-2 Background and Experience Profile (7 pages)  DCP-3 Avista Total Care of Capital (4 pages)  DCP-4 Economic Indicators (4 pages)  DCP-5 Avista History of Credit Ratings (2 pages)  DCP-6 Avista Capital Structure Ratios (3 pages)  DCP-7 Proxy Companies Average Common Ec Ratios (2 pages)  DCP-8 Proxy Companies Basis for Selection (2 pages)  DCP-9 Proxy Companies DCF Cost Rates (6 p.  DCP-10 Standard & Poor's 500 ROE and 20-ye treasury bond returns (2 pages)  DCP-11 Proxy Companies CAPM Cost Rates (2 pages)  DCP-12 Risk Indicators of Electric Utilities by Size (2 pages)  DCP-13 Proxy Companies ROE and M/B (4 pag DCP-14 Standard & Poor's 500 ROE and M/B (2 pages)  DCP-15 Risk Indicators (3 pages)  DCP-16 Risk Premium Analysis (4 pages)  DCP-17 Avista Response to UTC Staff Data	75 75 75 75 75 75 75 40 75 40 75 41 41 42 43 44 45 45 46 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Number Description ADM JDW-15 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 183 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-16 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 175(C) (Second Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-17 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 175(C) (Second Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-17 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 184 (Supplemental) (2 pages) JDW-18 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 219 (2 pages) JDW-19 Avista's Response to AWEC Data Request No. 53, Attachment B (1 page) JDW-20C Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 176(C) (Supplemental) and Attachment A (1 page) JDW-21 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 186 (2 pages)  JDW-22C Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 189 (2 pages)  JDW-23 Avista's Witness Kalich's "Rattlesnake Flat" workpaper (1 page)  JDW-24TCr Revised Cross-Answering Testimony John Wilson (28 pages) JDW-25 Avista's Response to Staff DR No. 227 Supplemental 2 (6 pages)  JDW-26 Avista's Response to Staff DR No. 227 Supplemental Attach. A - 2024 WEIM Calcs (2 pages)	75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75	Page !

Page 58	Page 6
1 EXHIBITS (cont.) 2 Number Description ADM	1 EXHIBITS (cont.) 2 PUBLIC COUNSEL:
3 JDW-28C NPE Calculations (2 pages) 75 4 JDW-29 Ecology Auction, December 2023 (5 pages) 75	Number Description ADM DED-1T Direct Testimony of David Dismukes 75
5 JDW-30 Ecology Auction, March 2024 (5 pages) 75 5 JDW-31 Ecology Auction, June 2024 (2 pages) 75 7 JDW-32C Staff DR No. 227 Confidential 75	(22 pages)  5  DED-2 Curriculum Vitae of David E. Dismukes 75
Attachment A, Exh. CGK 2-6 DR 227 (2 pages)	6 (81 pages) 7 DED-3 Analysis of Electric Customer Charges 75
Description of JDW-33 Attachment A Comparison to File 75 (3 pages)	to Customer-Related Costs (2 pages)  8  DED-4 Analysis of Natural Gas Customer 75
JDW-34 Attachment A Comparison to File 75 (2 pages) 2 JDW-35C Confidential Attachment A CGK 2-6 75	9 Charges to Customer-Related Costs (2 pages)
(2 pages)	DED-5 Survey of Regional Electric Customer 75 Charges (2 pages)
JDW-36X UE-240004, UG-240005 (consolidated) 75 Response Testimony of John D. Wilson (59 pages)	12 DED-6 Survey of Regional Natural Gas 75 Customer Charges (2 pages)
JDW-37X U-230161 - CCA Policy Statement 75 (12 pages)	DED-7 Analysis of Energy Usage and 75 14 Household Income (3 pages)
7 JDW-38X U-230161 - Notice Rescinding Policy 75 Statement (1 page)	15 DED-8 Residential Electic Bill Comparison 75 at Different Usage Levels (2 pages)
KMH-1T Direct Testimony of Kristin Hillstead 75 (31 pages) KMH-2 Staff's Proposed Revenue Requirement 75	DED-9 Residential Natural Gas Bill 75 Comparison at Different Usage Levels (2 pages)
Increase (Electric) (2 pages)  KMH-3 Staff's Revenue Requirement Calculation 75	DED-10T Cross-Answering Testimony of David 75 Dismukes (12 pages)
(Electric) (2 pages)  KMH-4 Staff's Conversion Factor Calculation 75	20 DED-11X PC Response to AWEC DRs 1 (1 page) 75 21 DED-12X PC Response to AWEC DRs 2 (1 page) 75 22 DED-13X PC Response to AWEC DRs 3 (1 page) 75
(Electric) (2 pages)  KMH-5 List of Uncontested Adjustments 75 (Electric) (2 pages)	23 DED-14X PC Response to AWEC DRS 4 (1 page) 75 24 DED-15X PC Response to AWEC-DR-125 (1 page) 75 25 DED-16X PC Response to AWEC-DR-126 (1 page) 75
EXHIBITS (cont.)  Number Description ADM  KMH-6C Avista's Response to UTC Staff Data 75 Request No. 46C (2 pages)  KMH-7 Avista's Response to Public Counsel 75 Data Request No. 297 with Attachment B (Electric)	1 EXHIBITS (cont.) 2 Number Description ADM 3 DJG-1T Direct Testimony of David Garrett 75 (60 pages) 4 DJG-2 Curriculum Vitae (15 pages) 75
KMH-8 Staff's Proposed Revenue Requirement 75 Increase (Natural Gas) (2 pages)	DJG-3 Proxy Group Summary (2 pages) 75  DJG-4 DCF - Stock and Index Prices (2 pages) 75
KMH-9 Staff's Revenue Requirement 75 Calculation (Natural Gas) (2 pages)	7 DJG-5 DCF - Dividend Yields (2 pages) 75
KMH-10 Staff's Conversion Factor 75	DJG-6 DCF - Terminal Growth Determinants 75 9 (2 pages)
Calculation (natural Gas) (2 pages) KMH-11 List of Uncontested Adjustments 75	10 DJG-7 DCF - Final Results (2 pages) 75 11 DJG-8 CAPM - Risk-Free Rate Estimate (2 pages) 75
Calculation (natural Gas) (2 pages)  KMH-11 List of Uncontested Adjustments 75  (Natural Gas) (2 pages)  KMH-12 Avista's Response to UTC Staff Data 75	DJG-8 CAPM - Risk-Free Rate Estimate (2 pages) 75 DJG-9 CAPM - Beta Coefficients (2 pages) 75 DJG-10 CAPM - Implied ERP Estimate (2 pages) 75 Total Capacity
Calculation (natural Gas) (2 pages) KMH-11 List of Uncontested Adjustments 75 (Natural Gas) (2 pages)  KMH-12 Avista's Response to UTC Staff Data 75 Request No. 211 (2 pages)  KMH-13 Avista's Response to UTC Staff Data 75 Request No. 212 (2 pages)	DJG-8 CAPM - Risk-Free Rate Estimate (2 pages) 75 DJG-9 CAPM - Beta Coefficients (2 pages) 75 DJG-10 CAPM - Implied ERP Estimate (2 pages) 75 DJG-11 CAPM - Equity Risk Premium Results 75 (2 pages)
Calculation (natural Gas) (2 pages)  KMH-11 List of Uncontested Adjustments 75 (Natural Gas) (2 pages)  KMH-12 Avista's Response to UTC Staff Data 75 Request No. 211 (2 pages)  KMH-13 Avista's Response to UTC Staff Data 75 Request No. 212 (2 pages)  KMH-14T Cross-Answering Testimony of Kristen 75 Hillstead (5 pages)	DJG-8 CAPM - Risk-Free Rate Estimate (2 pages) 75 DJG-9 CAPM - Beta Coefficients (2 pages) 75 DJG-10 CAPM - Implied ERP Estimate (2 pages) 75 DJG-11 CAPM - Equity Risk Premium Results 75 (2 pages)  DJG-12 CAPM - Final Results (2 pages) 75 DJG-13 Cost of Equity Summary (2 pages) 75
Calculation (natural Gas) (2 pages)  KMH-11 List of Uncontested Adjustments 75 (Natural Gas) (2 pages)  KMH-12 Avista's Response to UTC Staff Data 75 Request No. 211 (2 pages)  KMH-13 Avista's Response to UTC Staff Data 75 Request No. 212 (2 pages)  KMH-14T Cross-Answering Testimony of Kristen 75 Hillstead (5 pages)  KMH-15C Staff's Updated Confidential Electric 75 Revenue Requirement Model (2 pages)	11         DJG-8         CAPM - Risk-Free Rate Estimate (2 pages)         75           12         DJG-9         CAPM - Beta Coefficients (2 pages)         75           13         DJG-10         CAPM - Implied ERP Estimate (2 pages)         75           14         DJG-11         CAPM - Equity Risk Premium Results         75           15         DJG-12         CAPM - Final Results (2 pages)         75           16         DJG-13         Cost of Equity Summary (2 pages)         75           17         DJG-14         Proxy Group Debt Ratios (2 pages)         75
Calculation (natural Gas) (2 pages) KMH-11 List of Uncontested Adjustments 75 (Natural Gas) (2 pages)  KMH-12 Avista's Response to UTC Staff Data 75 Request No. 211 (2 pages)  KMH-13 Avista's Response to UTC Staff Data 75 Request No. 212 (2 pages)  KMH-14T Cross-Answering Testimony of Kristen 75 Hillstead (5 pages)  KMH-15C Staff's Updated Confidential Electric 75 Revenue Requirement Model (2 pages)  KMH-16C Staff's Natural Gas Revenue 75 Requirement Model	11         DJG-8         CAPM - Risk-Free Rate Estimate (2 pages)         75           12         DJG-9         CAPM - Beta Coefficients (2 pages)         75           13         DJG-10         CAPM - Implied ERP Estimate (2 pages)         75           14         DJG-11         CAPM - Equity Risk Premium Results         75           15         DJG-12         CAPM - Final Results (2 pages)         75           16         DJG-13         Cost of Equity Summary (2 pages)         75           17         DJG-14         Proxy Group Debt Ratios (2 pages)         75           18         DJG-15         Competitive Industry Debt Ratios         75           19         (2 pages)         75
Calculation (natural Gas) (2 pages) KMH-11 List of Uncontested Adjustments 75 (Natural Gas) (2 pages)  KMH-12 Avista's Response to UTC Staff Data 75 Request No. 211 (2 pages)  KMH-13 Avista's Response to UTC Staff Data 75 Request No. 212 (2 pages)  KMH-14T Cross-Answering Testimony of Kristen 75 Hillstead (5 pages)  KMH-15C Staff's Updated Confidential Electric 75 Revenue Requirement Model (2 pages)  KMH-16C Staff's Natural Gas Revenue 75 Requirement Model SSAG-1T Direct Testimony of Sofya Shafran 75 Atitsogbe Golo (34 pages)	11         DJG-8         CAPM - Risk-Free Rate Estimate (2 pages)         75           12         DJG-9         CAPM - Beta Coefficients (2 pages)         75           13         DJG-10         CAPM - Implied ERP Estimate (2 pages)         75           14         DJG-11         CAPM - Equity Risk Premium Results         75           15         DJG-12         CAPM - Final Results (2 pages)         75           16         DJG-12         Coar of Equity Summary (2 pages)         75           17         DJG-13         Cost of Equity Summary (2 pages)         75           18         DJG-14         Proxy Group Debt Ratios (2 pages)         75           18         DJG-15         Competitive Industry Debt Ratios         75
Calculation (natural Gas) (2 pages) KMH-11 List of Uncontested Adjustments 75 (Natural Gas) (2 pages)  KMH-12 Avista's Response to UTC Staff Data 75 Request No. 211 (2 pages)  KMH-13 Avista's Response to UTC Staff Data 75 Request No. 212 (2 pages)  KMH-14T Cross-Answering Testimony of Kristen 75 Hillstead (5 pages)  KMH-15C Staff's Updated Confidential Electric 75 Revenue Requirement Model (2 pages)  KMH-16C Staff's Natural Gas Revenue 75 Requirement Model SSAG-1T Direct Testimony of Sofya Shafran 75 Atitsogbe Golo (34 pages)  SSAG-2 Avista's Response to UTC Staff Data 75 Request No. 86 (103 pages)	DJG-8 CAPM - Risk-Free Rate Estimate (2 pages) 75 DJG-9 CAPM - Beta Coefficients (2 pages) 75 DJG-10 CAPM - Implied ERP Estimate (2 pages) 75 DJG-11 CAPM - Implied ERP Estimate (2 pages) 75 DJG-12 CAPM - Equity Risk Premium Results 75  DJG-13 Cost of Equity Summary (2 pages) 75 DJG-14 Proxy Group Debt Ratios (2 pages) 75  DJG-15 Competitive Industry Debt Ratios 75 DJG-16 Hamada Model Results (2 pages) 75 DJG-16 Hamada Model Results (2 pages) 75 MEG-17 Direct Testimony of Mark Garrett 75 MEG-2 Qualifications and Curriculum Vitae 75
Calculation (natural Gas) (2 pages)  KMH-11 List of Uncontested Adjustments 75 (Natural Gas) (2 pages)  KMH-12 Avista's Response to UTC Staff Data 75 Request No. 211 (2 pages)  KMH-13 Avista's Response to UTC Staff Data 75 Request No. 212 (2 pages)  KMH-14T Cross-Answering Testimony of Kristen 75 Hillstead (5 pages)  KMH-15C Staff's Updated Confidential Electric 75 Revenue Requirement Model (2 pages)  KMH-16C Staff's Natural Gas Revenue 75 Requirement Model 9 SSAG-1T Direct Testimony of Sofya Shafran 75 Atitsogbe Golo (34 pages)  SSAG-2 Avista's Response to UTC Staff Data 75	11         DJG-8         CAPM - Risk-Free Rate Estimate (2 pages)         75           12         DJG-9         CAPM - Beta Coefficients (2 pages)         75           13         DJG-10         CAPM - Implied ERP Estimate (2 pages)         75           14         DJG-11         CAPM - Equity Risk Premium Results         75           15         DJG-12         CAPM - Final Results (2 pages)         75           16         DJG-12         Cost of Equity Summary (2 pages)         75           17         DJG-14         Proxy Group Debt Ratios (2 pages)         75           18         DJG-15         Competitive Industry Debt Ratios         75           19         (2 pages)         DJG-16         Hamada Model Results (2 pages)         75           20         DJG-16         Hamada Model Results (2 pages)         75           21         MEG-1T         Direct Testimony of Mark Garrett         75           22         MEG-10         Testimony of Mark Garrett         75

			Page 62				Page	64
1 2 3	EXHIBITS (cont.)  Number Description ADM  MEG-4 Gas Utility Revenue Requirement	75		1 2 3	EXHIBITS (cont.)  Number Description ADM	75		
4	Accounting Schedules (10 pages)	75		4	BGM-3 Electric Revenue Requirement Calculations (7 pages)	75		
5	MEG-5 Federal Reserve Economic Data on Inflation Rates (11 pages)	75		5	BGM-4 Natural Gas Revenue Requirement Calculations (7 pages)	75		
7	MEG-6 Avista's Response to Public Counsel data Request No. 169 (2 pages)	75		6	BGM-5 Responses to Discovery Requests (41 pages)	75		
3	MEG-7 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 182 (2 pages)	75		8	BGM-6 Customer Tax Credit Balance Excluding Carry (3 pages)	75		
)	MEG-8 Avista Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 194, with Attachment A (4 pages)	75		9	BGM-7 CAISO Neutrality Charge Business Practices Manual (21 pages)	75		
2	MEG-9T Cross-Answering Testimony of Mark Garrett (11 pages)	75		10	BGM-8T Cross-Answering Testimony of Bradley Mullins (22 pages)	75		
3	MEG-10 Updated Electric Utility Revenue Requirement (2 pages)	75		12	LDK-1TC Direct Testimony of Lance Kaufman (58 pages)	75		
Į.	MEG-11 Updated Gas Utility Revenue Requiremer (2 pages)	nt 75		13	LDK-2 Qualification Statement of Lance D. Kaufman (10 pages)	75		
5	RLE-1TC Direct Testimony of Robert Earle (39 pages)	75		15 16	LDK-3 Discovery Responses (15 pages) LDK-4 Cost of Service Study (6 pages)	75 75		
7	RLE-2 Curriculum Vitae of Robert L. Earle (8 pages)	75		17 18	LDK-5 Cost of Capital Models (26 pages) LDK-6T Cross-Answering Testimony of Lance Kaufman (15 pages)	75 75		
3	Request No. 34 (2 pages)	75		19	LDK-7 Proposed Increase by Base Schedule	75		
)	RLE-4 Econometric Analysis of Asymmetric Information (58 pages)	75		20 21	(2 pages)  NWEC:			
2	Request No. 177 (2 pages)	75		22	Number Description ADM			
	RLE-6C Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 307 with Attachment A (4 pages)	75		23	CT-1T Direct Testimony of Charlee Thompson	75		
5	Attacliment A (4 pages)			24 25	(26 pages) CT-2 CV of Charlee Thompson	75		
			Page 63				Page	6
	EXHIBITS (cont.) Number Description ADM		Page 63	1 2	EXHIBITS (cont.) Number Description ADM		Page	6
2	Number Description ADM RLE-7C, Avista's Response to Public Counsel Att. Data Request No. 308 with	75	Page 63	2 3		75	Page	6
2 3 4 5	Number Description ADM RLE-7C, Avista's Response to Public Counsel		Page 63	2	Number Description ADM CT-3 Avista Energy Assistance Advisory Group Presentation, May 2024 (2 pages)  CT-4T Cross-Answering Testimony of William Gehrke (12 pages)	75 75	Page	6
1 5	Number Description ADM RLE-7C, Avista's Response to Public Counsel Att. Data Request No. 308 with Attachment A (3 pages) RLE-8 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 134 (2 pages)  RLE-9 Avista's Response to Public Counsel 7.	5	Page 63	2 3 4 5 6	Number Description ADM CT-3 Avista Energy Assistance Advisory Group Presentation, May 2024 (2 pages)  CT-4T Cross-Answering Testimony of William		Page	6
2 3 4 5 7	Number Description ADM RLE-7C, Avista's Response to Public Counsel Att. Data Request No. 308 with Attachment A (3 pages)  RLE-8 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 134 (2 pages)  RLE-9 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 272 (2 pages)	5	Page 63	2 3 4 5 6	Number Description ADM CT-3 Avista Energy Assistance Advisory Group Presentation, May 2024 (2 pages)  CT-4T Cross-Answering Testimony of William Gehrke (12 pages)  LM-1T Direct Testimony of Lauren McCloy	75	Page	6
22 33 11 55 57 77 33	Number Description ADM RLE-7C, Avista's Response to Public Counsel Att. Data Request No. 308 with Attachment A (3 pages) RLE-8 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 134 (2 pages)  RLE-9 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 272 (2 pages)  RLE-10 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 273 (2 pages)	5	Page 63	2 3 4 5 6	Number Description ADM CT-3 Avista Energy Assistance Advisory Group Presentation, May 2024 (2 pages)  CT-4T Cross-Answering Testimony of William Gehrke (12 pages)  LM-1T Direct Testimony of Lauren McCloy (17 pages)	75 75	Page	6
22 33 11 55 77 33	Number Description ADM RLE-7C, Avista's Response to Public Counsel Att. Data Request No. 308 with Attachment A (3 pages) RLE-8 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 134 (2 pages)  RLE-9 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 272 (2 pages)  RLE-10 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 273 (2 pages)  RLE-11 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 274 (2 pages)	5 5 75	Page 63	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Number Description ADM CT-3 Avista Energy Assistance Advisory Group Presentation, May 2024 (2 pages)  CT-4T Cross-Answering Testimony of William Gehrke (12 pages) LM-1T Direct Testimony of Lauren McCloy (17 pages)  LM-2 CV of Lauren McCloy (3 pages)  WG-1T Direct Testimony of William Gehrke (16 pages) WG-2 CV of William Gehrke (2 pages) WG-3 NWEC Schedule 99 Rate Spread Propose	75 75 75 75 75		6
2 3 3 5 7 7 3 3	Number Description ADM RLE-7C, Avista's Response to Public Counsel Att. Data Request No. 308 with Attachment A (3 pages) RLE-8 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 134 (2 pages)  RLE-9 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 272 (2 pages) RLE-10 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 273 (2 pages)  RLE-11 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 274 (2 pages)  RLE-12 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 275 (2 pages)  RLE-13 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 275 (2 pages)  RLE-13 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 276 (2 pages)	5 5 75 75 75	Page 63	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Number Description ADM CT-3 Avista Energy Assistance Advisory Group Presentation, May 2024 (2 pages)  CT-4T Cross-Answering Testimony of William Gehrke (12 pages) LM-1T Direct Testimony of Lauren McCloy (17 pages)  LM-2 CV of Lauren McCloy (3 pages)  WG-1T Direct Testimony of William Gehrke (16 pages) WG-2 CV of William Gehrke (2 pages)	75 75 75 75 75		6
22 33 44 55 55 77 33 34	Number Description ADM RLE-7C, Avista's Response to Public Counsel Att. Data Request No. 308 with Attachment A (3 pages) RLE-8 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 134 (2 pages)  RLE-9 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 272 (2 pages)  RLE-10 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 273 (2 pages)  RLE-11 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 274 (2 pages)  RLE-12 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 275 (2 pages)  RLE-13 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 275 (2 pages)  RLE-13 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 276 (2 pages)	5 5 75 75	Page 63	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Number Description ADM CT-3 Avista Energy Assistance Advisory Group Presentation, May 2024 (2 pages)  CT-4T Cross-Answering Testimony of William Gehrke (12 pages)  LM-1T Direct Testimony of Lauren McCloy (17 pages)  LM-2 CV of Lauren McCloy (3 pages)  WG-1T Direct Testimony of William Gehrke (16 pages)  WG-2 CV of William Gehrke (2 pages) WG-3 NWEC Schedule 99 Rate Spread Propos (2 pages)  WG-4 Avista Schedule 151 (3 pages)  WG-5 Avista Response to NWEC Data	75 75 75 75 75 75 sal 75		6
22 33 34 45 55 77 33 99 90 12 22 33 44	Number Description ADM RLE-7C, Avista's Response to Public Counsel Att. Data Request No. 308 with Attachment A (3 pages) RLE-8 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 134 (2 pages)  RLE-9 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 272 (2 pages)  RLE-10 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 273 (2 pages)  RLE-11 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 274 (2 pages)  RLE-12 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 275 (2 pages)  RLE-13 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 276 (2 pages)  RLE-13 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 276 (2 pages)  RLE-14 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 277 (2 pages)  RLE-15 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 35 (2 pages)	5 5 75 75 75	Page 63	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Number Description ADM CT-3 Avista Energy Assistance Advisory Group Presentation, May 2024 (2 pages)  CT-4T Cross-Answering Testimony of William Gehrke (12 pages)  LM-1T Direct Testimony of Lauren McCloy (17 pages)  LM-2 CV of Lauren McCloy (3 pages)  WG-1T Direct Testimony of William Gehrke (16 pages)  WG-2 CV of William Gehrke (2 pages) WG-3 NWEC Schedule 99 Rate Spread Propos (2 pages)  WG-4 Avista Schedule 151 (3 pages)  WG-5 Avista Response to NWEC Data Request 009 (2 pages)  WG-6 Avista Schedule 154 (4 pages)	75 75 75 75 75 8al 75 75 75	5	6!
2 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 5 7 7 3 3 4 4 5 5 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Number Description ADM RLE-7C, Avista's Response to Public Counsel Att. Data Request No. 308 with Attachment A (3 pages) RLE-8 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 134 (2 pages)  RLE-9 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 272 (2 pages)  RLE-10 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 273 (2 pages)  RLE-11 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 274 (2 pages)  RLE-12 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 275 (2 pages)  RLE-13 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 276 (2 pages)  RLE-13 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 276 (2 pages)  RLE-14 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 276 (2 pages)  RLE-15 Avista's Response to Staff Data  75	5 5 75 75 75	Page 63	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Number Description ADM CT-3 Avista Energy Assistance Advisory Group Presentation, May 2024 (2 pages)  CT-4T Cross-Answering Testimony of William Gehrke (12 pages)  LM-1T Direct Testimony of Lauren McCloy (17 pages)  LM-2 CV of Lauren McCloy (3 pages)  WG-1T Direct Testimony of William Gehrke (16 pages)  WG-2 CV of William Gehrke (2 pages) WG-3 NWEC Schedule 99 Rate Spread Propos (2 pages)  WG-4 Avista Schedule 151 (3 pages)  WG-5 Avista Response to NWEC Data Request 009 (2 pages)	75 75 75 75 75 8al 75 75 75	5	6!
L 22 33 14 55 57 73 3 3 3 3 5 5 7 7 3 3 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	Number Description ADM RLE-7C, Avista's Response to Public Counsel Att. Data Request No. 308 with Attachment A (3 pages) RLE-8 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 134 (2 pages)  RLE-9 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 272 (2 pages) RLE-10 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 273 (2 pages)  RLE-11 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 274 (2 pages)  RLE-12 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 275 (2 pages)  RLE-13 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 276 (2 pages)  RLE-13 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 276 (2 pages)  RLE-14 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 277 (2 pages)  RLE-15 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 35 (2 pages)  RLE-16 Bootstrap inference for general non-i.i.d. models (10 pages)  RLE-17T Cross-Answering Testimony of Robert Earle (10 pages)	5 75 75 75 75	Page 63	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Number Description ADM CT-3 Avista Energy Assistance Advisory Group Presentation, May 2024 (2 pages)  CT-4T Cross-Answering Testimony of William Gehrke (12 pages)  LM-1T Direct Testimony of Lauren McCloy (17 pages)  LM-2 CV of Lauren McCloy (3 pages)  WG-1T Direct Testimony of William Gehrke (16 pages)  WG-2 CV of William Gehrke (2 pages) WG-3 NWEC Schedule 99 Rate Spread Propos (2 pages)  WG-4 Avista Schedule 151 (3 pages)  WG-5 Avista Response to NWEC Data Request 009 (2 pages)  WG-6 Avista Schedule 154 (4 pages)  WG-7 Avista Response to NWEC Data Request 010 (2 pages)  WG-8T Cross-Answering Testimony of William Gehrke  WG-9 Excerpt of SNS-1T, Docket UE-240004	75 75 75 75 75 8al 75 75 75	5	6
2 2 3 3 4 5 5 5 5 7 7 3 3 4 5 5 5 7 7 3 3 9 9	Number Description ADM RLE-7C, Avista's Response to Public Counsel Att. Data Request No. 308 with Attachment A (3 pages)  RLE-8 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 134 (2 pages)  RLE-9 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 272 (2 pages)  RLE-10 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 273 (2 pages)  RLE-11 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 274 (2 pages)  RLE-12 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 275 (2 pages)  RLE-13 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 276 (2 pages)  RLE-14 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 276 (2 pages)  RLE-15 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 277 (2 pages)  RLE-16 Bootstrap inference for general non-i.i.d. models (10 pages)  RLE-17T Cross-Answering Testimony of Robert Earle (10 pages)	5 75 75 75 75	Page 63	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Number Description ADM CT-3 Avista Energy Assistance Advisory Group Presentation, May 2024 (2 pages)  CT-4T Cross-Answering Testimony of William Gehrke (12 pages)  LM-1T Direct Testimony of Lauren McCloy (17 pages)  LM-2 CV of Lauren McCloy (3 pages)  WG-1T Direct Testimony of William Gehrke (16 pages)  WG-2 CV of William Gehrke (2 pages) WG-3 NWEC Schedule 99 Rate Spread Propos (2 pages)  WG-4 Avista Schedule 151 (3 pages)  WG-5 Avista Response to NWEC Data Request 009 (2 pages)  WG-6 Avista Schedule 154 (4 pages)  WG-7 Avista Response to NWEC Data Request 010 (2 pages)  WG-8T Cross-Answering Testimony of William Gehrke  WG-9 Excerpt of SNS-1T, Docket UE-240004 (3 pages)	75 75 75 75 75 8al 75 75 75 75	5	6
2 2 3 3 4 5 5 5 7 7 3 3 4 5 5 5 7 7 3 3 4 5 5 5 7 7 7 3 3 4 5 5 5 7 7 7 3 3 4 5 5 5 7 7 7 8 7 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	Number Description ADM RLE-7C, Avista's Response to Public Counsel Att. Data Request No. 308 with Attachment A (3 pages) RLE-8 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 134 (2 pages)  RLE-9 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 272 (2 pages)  RLE-10 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 273 (2 pages)  RLE-11 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 274 (2 pages)  RLE-12 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 275 (2 pages)  RLE-13 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 276 (2 pages)  RLE-13 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 276 (2 pages)  RLE-14 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 277 (2 pages)  RLE-15 Avista's Response to Staff Data Request No. 35 (2 pages)  RLE-16 Bootstrap inference for general non-i.i.d. models (10 pages)  RLE-17T Cross-Answering Testimony of Robert Earle (10 pages)  AWEC:  Number Description ADM	5 5 75 75 75 75	Page 63	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Number Description ADM CT-3 Avista Energy Assistance Advisory Group Presentation, May 2024 (2 pages)  CT-4T Cross-Answering Testimony of William Gehrke (12 pages)  LM-1T Direct Testimony of Lauren McCloy (17 pages)  LM-2 CV of Lauren McCloy (3 pages)  WG-1T Direct Testimony of William Gehrke (16 pages)  WG-2 CV of William Gehrke (2 pages) WG-3 NWEC Schedule 99 Rate Spread Propos (2 pages)  WG-4 Avista Schedule 151 (3 pages)  WG-5 Avista Response to NWEC Data Request 009 (2 pages)  WG-6 Avista Schedule 154 (4 pages) WG-7 Avista Response to NWEC Data Request 010 (2 pages)  WG-8T Cross-Answering Testimony of William Gehrke WG-9 Excerpt of SNS-1T, Docket UE-240004 (3 pages)  THE ENERGY PROJECT: Number Description ADM	75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75	5	6
2 2 3 3 4 5 5 5 7 7 3 3 4 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	Number Description ADM RLE-7C, Avista's Response to Public Counsel Att. Data Request No. 308 with Attachment A (3 pages)  RLE-8 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 134 (2 pages)  RLE-9 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 272 (2 pages)  RLE-10 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 273 (2 pages)  RLE-11 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 274 (2 pages)  RLE-12 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 275 (2 pages)  RLE-13 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 276 (2 pages)  RLE-14 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 276 (2 pages)  RLE-15 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 277 (2 pages)  RLE-16 Bootstrap inference for general non-i.i.d. models (10 pages)  RLE-17T Cross-Answering Testimony of Robert Earle (10 pages)	5 5 75 75 75 75	Page 63	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Number Description ADM CT-3 Avista Energy Assistance Advisory Group Presentation, May 2024 (2 pages)  CT-4T Cross-Answering Testimony of William Gehrke (12 pages) LM-1T Direct Testimony of Lauren McCloy (17 pages)  LM-2 CV of Lauren McCloy (3 pages)  WG-1T Direct Testimony of William Gehrke (16 pages)  WG-2 CV of William Gehrke (2 pages) WG-3 NWEC Schedule 99 Rate Spread Propos (2 pages)  WG-4 Avista Schedule 151 (3 pages)  WG-5 Avista Response to NWEC Data Request 009 (2 pages)  WG-6 Avista Schedule 154 (4 pages) WG-7 Avista Response to NWEC Data Reques 010 (2 pages)  WG-8T Cross-Answering Testimony of William Gehrke WG-9 Excerpt of SNS-1T, Docket UE-240004 (3 pages)  THE ENERGY PROJECT:	75 75 75 75 75 8al 75 75 75 75	5	6

	Page 66		Page 68
1	EXHIBITS (cont.)	1	EXHIBITS (cont.)
2	Number Description ADM RDC-3 List of American Community Survey 75	3	Number Description ADM LVP-2 Witness Qualifications Statement 75
4 5	data tables matched with Avista Census Tracts (2 pages) SNS-1T Direct Testimony of Shaylee Stokes 75	4	(16 pages)  LVP-3 Reported Authorized Returns on Equity, 75
6	(47 pages)	5	Electric Utility Rate Cases Completed, 2021 to Present (5 pages)
7	SNS-2 Qualifications of Shaylee N. Stokes 75 (3 pages)	6	LVP-4 Reported Authorized Returns on Equity, 75
8 9 0	SNS-3 Avista Response to TEP DR 012 (3 pages) 75 SNS-4 Avista Response to TEP DR 013 (3 pages) 75 SNS-5 Avista Response to TEP DR 032 (2 pages) 75	7	Electric Utility Rate Cases Completed, 2021 to Present (1 page)
1	SNS-6 "Racial disparities persist in 75 electric service" (7 pages)	8	LVP-5 Reported Authorized Returns on Equity, 75 Gas Utility Rate Cases Completed,
2	SNS-7 "Living Without Power: Health Impacts 75 of Utility Shutoffs in California"	10	2021 to Present (1 page)
4	(37 pages)	11	LVP-6 Impact of Avista's Proposed Increase 75 in Return on Equity Vs. Natural Gas
5	SNS-8 Lights out in the Cold (81 pages) 75	12	National Average for Investor Owned Gas Utilities, 2021 to Present - Rate Year 1 (1 page)
5	SNS-9 Surviving a Shut-Off (26 pages) 75	13	***
7	SNS-10 TEP Affordability and Equity 75 Metrics (4 pages)	14 15	
8 9	SNS-11 Avista Response to TEP DR 003 (3 pages) 75 SNS-12 Avista Response to TEP DR 002 (2 pages) 75	16 17	
) L	SNS-13 Avista Response to TEP DR 005 (2 pages) 75 SNS-14 Avista Response to TEP DR 004 (46 pages) 75	18 19	
2	SIERRA CLUB:	20	
3	Number Description ADM	21 22	
4	JAD-1T Direct Testimony of Jim Dennison 75	23 24	
5	(5() nage)		
5	(50 pages)	25	
5	(50 pages) Page 67		Page 6
	Page 67		
- 2	Page 67	25	
- 2 3	Page 67  EXHIBITS (cont.)  Number Description ADM  JAD-2 Professional Qualifications for 75  Jim A. Dennison (2 pages)  JAD-3 Sierra Club Comments on Avista's 75	25 1 2	REPORTED FROM THURSTON COUNTY, WASHINGTO Monday, September 30, 2024; 9:02 a.m.
- 2 3 4	Page 67  EXHIBITS (cont.)  Number Description ADM  JAD-2 Professional Qualifications for 75  Jim A. Dennison (2 pages)  JAD-3 Sierra Club Comments on Avista's 75  2023 Gas IRP (19 pages)  JAD-4 Oregon PUC, Order No. 24-156, 75	25 1 2 3 4 5	REPORTED FROM THURSTON COUNTY, WASHINGTO Monday, September 30, 2024; 9:02 a.m.  * * *  JUDGE BROWN: Today is Monday, September September 29th I'm sorry September 30th, 2024,
2 3 4	Page 67  EXHIBITS (cont.)  Number Description ADM  JAD-2 Professional Qualifications for 75  Jim A. Dennison (2 pages)  JAD-3 Sierra Club Comments on Avista's 75  2023 Gas IRP (19 pages)	25 1 2 3 4 5 6	REPORTED FROM THURSTON COUNTY, WASHINGTO Monday, September 30, 2024; 9:02 a.m.  * * *  JUDGE BROWN: Today is Monday, September September 29th I'm sorry September 30th, 2024, and the time is 9:02 a.m. I'm James E. Brown II, and
1	EXHIBITS (cont.)  Number Description ADM  JAD-2 Professional Qualifications for 75  Jim A. Dennison (2 pages)  JAD-3 Sierra Club Comments on Avista's 75  2023 Gas IRP (19 pages)  JAD-4 Oregon PUC, Order No. 24-156, 75  No. LC 81 (88 pages)  JAD-5 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	REPORTED FROM THURSTON COUNTY, WASHINGTO Monday, September 30, 2024; 9:02 a.m.  * * *  JUDGE BROWN: Today is Monday, September September 29th I'm sorry September 30th, 2024, and the time is 9:02 a.m. I'm James E. Brown II, and I'm an administrative law judge with the
	EXHIBITS (cont.)  Number Description ADM  JAD-2 Professional Qualifications for 75  Jim A. Dennison (2 pages)  JAD-3 Sierra Club Comments on Avista's 75  2023 Gas IRP (19 pages)  JAD-4 Oregon PUC, Order No. 24-156, 75  No. LC 81 (88 pages)  JAD-5 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75  Request SC-006 (2 pages)  JAD-6 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75	25 1 2 3 4 5 6	REPORTED FROM THURSTON COUNTY, WASHINGTO Monday, September 30, 2024; 9:02 a.m.  * * *  JUDGE BROWN: Today is Monday, September September 29th I'm sorry September 30th, 2024, and the time is 9:02 a.m. I'm James E. Brown II, and
	Page 67  EXHIBITS (cont.)  Number Description ADM  JAD-2 Professional Qualifications for 75  Jim A. Dennison (2 pages)  JAD-3 Sierra Club Comments on Avista's 75  2023 Gas IRP (19 pages)  JAD-4 Oregon PUC, Order No. 24-156, 75  No. LC 81 (88 pages)  JAD-5 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75  Request SC-006 (2 pages)  JAD-6 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75  Request SC-007 (2 pages)	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	REPORTED FROM THURSTON COUNTY, WASHINGTO Monday, September 30, 2024; 9:02 a.m.  ***  JUDGE BROWN: Today is Monday, September September 29th I'm sorry September 30th, 2024, and the time is 9:02 a.m. I'm James E. Brown II, and I'm an administrative law judge with the Washington UTC, and I'm presiding in this matter with
L L 22 23 3 3 4 4 5 5 5 5 7 7 7 3 3 9 9 9 9 9 9 1 L L	EXHIBITS (cont.) Number Description ADM JAD-2 Professional Qualifications for 75 Jim A. Dennison (2 pages)  JAD-3 Sierra Club Comments on Avista's 75 2023 Gas IRP (19 pages)  JAD-4 Oregon PUC, Order No. 24-156, 75 No. LC 81 (88 pages)  JAD-5 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-006 (2 pages)  JAD-6 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-007 (2 pages)  JAD-7 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-016 (1 page)	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	REPORTED FROM THURSTON COUNTY, WASHINGTO Monday, September 30, 2024; 9:02 a.m.  ***  JUDGE BROWN: Today is Monday, September September 29th I'm sorry September 30th, 2024, and the time is 9:02 a.m. I'm James E. Brown II, and I'm an administrative law judge with the Washington UTC, and I'm presiding in this matter with the commissioners to my right as well as Administrative
7	EXHIBITS (cont.)  Number Description ADM  JAD-2 Professional Qualifications for 75  Jim A. Dennison (2 pages)  JAD-3 Sierra Club Comments on Avista's 75  2023 Gas IRP (19 pages)  JAD-4 Oregon PUC, Order No. 24-156, 75  No. LC 81 (88 pages)  JAD-5 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75  Request SC-006 (2 pages)  JAD-6 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75  Request SC-007 (2 pages)  JAD-7 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75  Request SC-007 (2 pages)	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	REPORTED FROM THURSTON COUNTY, WASHINGTO Monday, September 30, 2024; 9:02 a.m.  * * *  JUDGE BROWN: Today is Monday, September September 29th I'm sorry September 30th, 2024, and the time is 9:02 a.m. I'm James E. Brown II, and I'm an administrative law judge with the Washington UTC, and I'm presiding in this matter with the commissioners to my right as well as Administrative Law Judge Connor Thompson. And what we have here today is an evidentiary hearing for the Dockets 240006 or rather, UE-240006 and UG-240007, which is captioned the
1	EXHIBITS (cont.) Number Description ADM JAD-2 Professional Qualifications for 75 Jim A. Dennison (2 pages)  JAD-3 Sierra Club Comments on Avista's 75 2023 Gas IRP (19 pages)  JAD-4 Oregon PUC, Order No. 24-156, 75 No. LC 81 (88 pages)  JAD-5 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-006 (2 pages)  JAD-6 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-007 (2 pages)  JAD-7 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-016 (1 page)  JAD-8 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-019 (2 pages)  JAD-9 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-019 (2 pages)	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	REPORTED FROM THURSTON COUNTY, WASHINGTO Monday, September 30, 2024; 9:02 a.m.  ***  JUDGE BROWN: Today is Monday, September September 29th I'm sorry September 30th, 2024, and the time is 9:02 a.m. I'm James E. Brown II, and I'm an administrative law judge with the Washington UTC, and I'm presiding in this matter with the commissioners to my right as well as Administrative Law Judge Connor Thompson. And what we have here today is an evidentiary hearing for the Dockets 240006 or rather, UE-240006 and UG-240007, which is captioned the Washington UTC versus Avista, doing business as
L	EXHIBITS (cont.)  Number Description ADM  JAD-2 Professional Qualifications for 75  Jim A. Dennison (2 pages)  JAD-3 Sierra Club Comments on Avista's 75  2023 Gas IRP (19 pages)  JAD-4 Oregon PUC, Order No. 24-156, 75  No. LC 81 (88 pages)  JAD-5 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75  Request SC-006 (2 pages)  JAD-6 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75  Request SC-007 (2 pages)  JAD-7 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75  Request SC-016 (1 page)  JAD-8 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75  Request SC-019 (2 pages)	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	REPORTED FROM THURSTON COUNTY, WASHINGTO Monday, September 30, 2024; 9:02 a.m.  ***  JUDGE BROWN: Today is Monday, September September 29th I'm sorry September 30th, 2024, and the time is 9:02 a.m. I'm James E. Brown II, and I'm an administrative law judge with the Washington UTC, and I'm presiding in this matter with the commissioners to my right as well as Administrative Law Judge Connor Thompson. And what we have here today is an evidentiary hearing for the Dockets 240006 or rather, UE-240006 and UG-240007, which is captioned the Washington UTC versus Avista, doing business as Avista Utilities.
L 22 3 4 5 5 7 7 1 1 1 1 2 2 3 3 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	EXHIBITS (cont.)  Number Description ADM  JAD-2 Professional Qualifications for 75  Jim A. Dennison (2 pages)  JAD-3 Sierra Club Comments on Avista's 75  2023 Gas IRP (19 pages)  JAD-4 Oregon PUC, Order No. 24-156, 75  No. LC 81 (88 pages)  JAD-5 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75  Request SC-006 (2 pages)  JAD-6 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75  Request SC-007 (2 pages)  JAD-7 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75  Request SC-016 (1 page)  JAD-8 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75  Request SC-019 (2 pages)  JAD-9 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75  Request SC-019 (2 pages)	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	REPORTED FROM THURSTON COUNTY, WASHINGTO Monday, September 30, 2024; 9:02 a.m.  ***  JUDGE BROWN: Today is Monday, September September 29th I'm sorry September 30th, 2024, and the time is 9:02 a.m. I'm James E. Brown II, and I'm an administrative law judge with the Washington UTC, and I'm presiding in this matter with the commissioners to my right as well as Administrative Law Judge Connor Thompson. And what we have here today is an evidentiary hearing for the Dockets 240006 or rather, UE-240006 and UG-240007, which is captioned the Washington UTC versus Avista, doing business as
L L 2 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	EXHIBITS (cont.) Number Description ADM JAD-2 Professional Qualifications for 75 Jim A. Dennison (2 pages)  JAD-3 Sierra Club Comments on Avista's 75 2023 Gas IRP (19 pages)  JAD-4 Oregon PUC, Order No. 24-156, 75 No. LC 81 (88 pages)  JAD-5 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-006 (2 pages)  JAD-6 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-007 (2 pages)  JAD-7 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-016 (1 page)  JAD-8 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-019 (2 pages)  JAD-9 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-017 (2 pages)  JAD-10 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-017 (2 pages)  JAD-10 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-020 (10 pages)	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	REPORTED FROM THURSTON COUNTY, WASHINGTO Monday, September 30, 2024; 9:02 a.m.  ***  JUDGE BROWN: Today is Monday, September September 29th I'm sorry September 30th, 2024, and the time is 9:02 a.m. I'm James E. Brown II, and I'm an administrative law judge with the Washington UTC, and I'm presiding in this matter with the commissioners to my right as well as Administrative Law Judge Connor Thompson. And what we have here today is an evidentiary hearing for the Dockets 240006 or rather, UE-240006 and UG-240007, which is captioned the Washington UTC versus Avista, doing business as Avista Utilities.  And so, for the record, let's take short
L 22233 44 55 55 7	EXHIBITS (cont.)  Number Description ADM  JAD-2 Professional Qualifications for 75  Jim A. Dennison (2 pages)  JAD-3 Sierra Club Comments on Avista's 75  2023 Gas IRP (19 pages)  JAD-4 Oregon PUC, Order No. 24-156, 75  No. LC 81 (88 pages)  JAD-5 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75  Request SC-006 (2 pages)  JAD-6 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75  Request SC-007 (2 pages)  JAD-7 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75  Request SC-016 (1 page)  JAD-8 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75  Request SC-019 (2 pages)  JAD-9 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75  Request SC-017 (2 pages)  JAD-10 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75  Request SC-017 (2 pages)  JAD-10 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75  Request SC-020 (10 pages)	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	REPORTED FROM THURSTON COUNTY, WASHINGTO Monday, September 30, 2024; 9:02 a.m.  ***  JUDGE BROWN: Today is Monday, September September 29th I'm sorry September 30th, 2024, and the time is 9:02 a.m. I'm James E. Brown II, and I'm an administrative law judge with the Washington UTC, and I'm presiding in this matter with the commissioners to my right as well as Administrative Law Judge Connor Thompson. And what we have here today is an evidentiary hearing for the Dockets 240006 or rather, UE-240006 and UG-240007, which is captioned the Washington UTC versus Avista, doing business as Avista Utilities.  And so, for the record, let's take short appearances, starting with the company.
	EXHIBITS (cont.) Number Description ADM JAD-2 Professional Qualifications for 75 Jim A. Dennison (2 pages)  JAD-3 Sierra Club Comments on Avista's 75 2023 Gas IRP (19 pages)  JAD-4 Oregon PUC, Order No. 24-156, 75 No. LC 81 (88 pages)  JAD-5 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-006 (2 pages)  JAD-6 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-007 (2 pages)  JAD-7 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-016 (1 page)  JAD-8 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-019 (2 pages)  JAD-9 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-017 (2 pages)  JAD-10 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-017 (2 pages)  JAD-10 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-020 (10 pages)  JAD-11 PSE, GRC Settlement: Targeted 5Electrification Pilot Updates (30 pages)  JAD-12T Cross-Answering Testimony of 75	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	REPORTED FROM THURSTON COUNTY, WASHINGTO Monday, September 30, 2024; 9:02 a.m.  ***  JUDGE BROWN: Today is Monday, September September 29th I'm sorry September 30th, 2024, and the time is 9:02 a.m. I'm James E. Brown II, and I'm an administrative law judge with the Washington UTC, and I'm presiding in this matter with the commissioners to my right as well as Administrative Law Judge Connor Thompson. And what we have here today is an evidentiary hearing for the Dockets 240006 or rather, UE-240006 and UG-240007, which is captioned the Washington UTC versus Avista, doing business as Avista Utilities.  And so, for the record, let's take short appearances, starting with the company.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. David Meyer appearing on behalf of Avista.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And I just want a
L 22 3 3 4 4 5 5 5 7 7 L 2 2 3 3 4 5 5 5 7 7 3 3 9 9	EXHIBITS (cont.) Number Description ADM JAD-2 Professional Qualifications for 75 Jim A. Dennison (2 pages)  JAD-3 Sierra Club Comments on Avista's 75 2023 Gas IRP (19 pages)  JAD-4 Oregon PUC, Order No. 24-156, 75 No. LC 81 (88 pages)  JAD-5 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-006 (2 pages)  JAD-6 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-007 (2 pages)  JAD-7 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-016 (1 page)  JAD-8 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-019 (2 pages)  JAD-9 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-017 (2 pages)  JAD-10 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-010 (10 pages)  JAD-11 PSE, GRC Settlement: Targeted 75 Electrification Pilot Updates (30 pages)  JAD-12T Cross-Answering Testimony of Jim Dennison (12 pages)	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	REPORTED FROM THURSTON COUNTY, WASHINGTO Monday, September 30, 2024; 9:02 a.m.  ***  JUDGE BROWN: Today is Monday, September September 29th I'm sorry September 30th, 2024, and the time is 9:02 a.m. I'm James E. Brown II, and I'm an administrative law judge with the Washington UTC, and I'm presiding in this matter with the commissioners to my right as well as Administrative Law Judge Connor Thompson. And what we have here today is an evidentiary hearing for the Dockets 240006 or rather, UE-240006 and UG-240007, which is captioned the Washington UTC versus Avista, doing business as Avista Utilities.  And so, for the record, let's take short appearances, starting with the company.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. David Meyer appearing on behalf of Avista.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And I just want a point of order. Commissioner Doumit would be here this
L 22 3 3 4 4 5 5 5 5 7 7 L 2 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 5 5 7 7 3 3 9 9 9 9	EXHIBITS (cont.) Number Description ADM JAD-2 Professional Qualifications for 75 Jim A. Dennison (2 pages)  JAD-3 Sierra Club Comments on Avista's 75 2023 Gas IRP (19 pages)  JAD-4 Oregon PUC, Order No. 24-156, 75 No. LC 81 (88 pages)  JAD-5 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-006 (2 pages)  JAD-6 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-007 (2 pages)  JAD-7 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-016 (1 page)  JAD-8 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-019 (2 pages)  JAD-9 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-017 (2 pages)  JAD-10 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-017 (2 pages)  JAD-10 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-020 (10 pages)  JAD-11 PSE, GRC Settlement: Targeted 5Electrification Pilot Updates (30 pages)  JAD-12T Cross-Answering Testimony of 75	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	REPORTED FROM THURSTON COUNTY, WASHINGTOM Monday, September 30, 2024; 9:02 a.m.  ***  JUDGE BROWN: Today is Monday, September September 29th I'm sorry September 30th, 2024, and the time is 9:02 a.m. I'm James E. Brown II, and I'm an administrative law judge with the Washington UTC, and I'm presiding in this matter with the commissioners to my right as well as Administrative Law Judge Connor Thompson. And what we have here today is an evidentiary hearing for the Dockets 240006 or rather, UE-240006 and UG-240007, which is captioned the Washington UTC versus Avista, doing business as Avista Utilities.  And so, for the record, let's take short appearances, starting with the company.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. David Meyer appearing on behalf of Avista.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And I just want a point of order. Commissioner Doumit would be here this morning but has a family emergency here today. So he's
1 1 2 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 5 7 7 8 9 9 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	EXHIBITS (cont.) Number Description ADM JAD-2 Professional Qualifications for 75 Jim A. Dennison (2 pages)  JAD-3 Sierra Club Comments on Avista's 75 2023 Gas IRP (19 pages)  JAD-4 Oregon PUC, Order No. 24-156, 75 No. LC 81 (88 pages)  JAD-5 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-006 (2 pages)  JAD-6 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-007 (2 pages)  JAD-7 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-016 (1 page)  JAD-8 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-019 (2 pages)  JAD-9 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-017 (2 pages)  JAD-10 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-020 (10 pages)  JAD-11 PSE, GRC Settlement: Targeted 75 Electrification Pilot Updates (30 pages)  JAD-12T Cross-Answering Testimony of Jim Dennison (12 pages)  JAD-13 Sierra Club et al. Letter to 75 Dennis Vermillion (4 pages)  WALMART, INC.:	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	REPORTED FROM THURSTON COUNTY, WASHINGTO Monday, September 30, 2024; 9:02 a.m.  ***  JUDGE BROWN: Today is Monday, September September 29th I'm sorry September 30th, 2024, and the time is 9:02 a.m. I'm James E. Brown II, and I'm an administrative law judge with the Washington UTC, and I'm presiding in this matter with the commissioners to my right as well as Administrative Law Judge Connor Thompson. And what we have here today is an evidentiary hearing for the Dockets 240006 or rather, UE-240006 and UG-240007, which is captioned the Washington UTC versus Avista, doing business as Avista Utilities.  And so, for the record, let's take short appearances, starting with the company.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. David Meyer appearing on behalf of Avista.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And I just want a point of order. Commissioner Doumit would be here this morning but has a family emergency here today. So he's not able to be here, but he will read the transcript of
5 123 4 56 7 89 0 12 3 45 6 7 8 90 1234	EXHIBITS (cont.) Number Description ADM JAD-2 Professional Qualifications for 75 Jim A. Dennison (2 pages)  JAD-3 Sierra Club Comments on Avista's 75 2023 Gas IRP (19 pages)  JAD-4 Oregon PUC, Order No. 24-156, 75 No. LC 81 (88 pages)  JAD-5 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-006 (2 pages)  JAD-6 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-007 (2 pages)  JAD-7 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-016 (1 page)  JAD-8 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-019 (2 pages)  JAD-9 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-017 (2 pages)  JAD-10 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-017 (2 pages)  JAD-10 Avista Response to Sierra Club Data 75 Request SC-020 (10 pages)  JAD-11 PSE, GRC Settlement: Targeted 51 Electrification Pilot Updates (30 pages)  JAD-12T Cross-Answering Testimony of 75 Jim Dennison (12 pages)  JAD-13 Sierra Club et al. Letter to 75 Dennis Vermillion (4 pages)	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	JUDGE BROWN: Today is Monday, September September 29th I'm sorry September 30th, 2024, and the time is 9:02 a.m. I'm James E. Brown II, and I'm an administrative law judge with the Washington UTC, and I'm presiding in this matter with the commissioners to my right as well as Administrative Law Judge Connor Thompson. And what we have here today is an evidentiary hearing for the Dockets 240006 or rather, UE-240006 and UG-240007, which is captioned the Washington UTC versus Avista, doing business as Avista Utilities. And so, for the record, let's take short appearances, starting with the company. ATTY MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. David Meyer appearing on behalf of Avista. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And I just want a point of order. Commissioner Doumit would be here this morning but has a family emergency here today. So he's

	Page 70		Page 72
1	ATTY MEYER: David Meyer appearing on behalf	1	have no objection if AWEC has follow-up questions to
2	of Avista. Thank you.	2	what is, in essence, written answers that I would have
3	JUDGE BROWN: And now we'll go to Staff.	3	asked anyway in person.
4	ATTY ROBERSON: Good morning. Jeff Roberson,	4	JUDGE BROWN: Okay. That's fine.
5	AAG, appearing for Staff.	5	ATTY PEPPLE: Just want to make sure that was
6	JUDGE BROWN: And Public Counsel.	6	clear.
7	ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Good morning, Your	7	JUDGE BROWN: All right. Thank you for the
8	Honor. Tad Robinson O'Neill, for Public Counsel.	8	clarification.
9	JUDGE BROWN: AWEC.	9	So what we'll do is allow for brief opening
10	ATTY MOSER: Good morning, Your Honor.	10	statements, in the interest of time, and we'll limit
11	Sommer Moser and Tyler Pepple, on behalf of AWEC.	11	those to ten minutes per party.
12	JUDGE BROWN: Okay. And The Energy Project.	12	ATTY MEYER: Your Honor, may I just before
13	ATTY ZAKAI: Good morning. Yochi Zakai, on	13	we get to that I'm sorry
14	behalf of The Energy Project.	14	JUDGE BROWN: Yeah.
15	JUDGE BROWN: And NWEC.	15	ATTY MEYER: one last small detail.
16	ATTY GOETZ: Good morning. This is	16	JUDGE BROWN: Sure.
17	Mike Goetz appearing on behalf of NWEC.	17	ATTY MEYER: And I appreciate you circulating
18	JUDGE BROWN: And Sierra Club.	18	yesterday what purports to be the very final version of
19	ATTY SMITH: Gloria Smith, on behalf of	19	the exhibit list. And it's much appreciated. You
20	Sierra Club.	20	provided marking for identification of all the
21	JUDGE BROWN: And we have Walmart.	21	exhibits, particularly the cross-examination exhibits.
22	ATTY CAVIGLIA: Good morning, Your Honor.	22	We notice, in going through that last night, just a
23	Justina Caviglia, on behalf of Walmart.	23	couple of minor corrections, if I just make those on
24	JUDGE BROWN: Okay. Thank you, and good	24	the record. No need to republish that as far as I'm
25	morning to everyone.	25	concerned, but just the designation is just slightly
	Page 71		Page 73
1	So right now let's talk about our plans for the	1	off. May I do so?
2	hearing. First, what we want to deal with is the	2	JUDGE BROWN: Yes.
3	admission of all prefiled exhibits and testimony,	3	ATTY MEYER: Okay. So as we look to the
4	unless there are any objections.	4	cross-examination exhibits for it's of
5	ATTY MEYER: Avista does not object to	5	Public Counsel, and it's of Kevin Christie.
6	stipulating in all the exhibits, cross exhibits,	6	Let's see. I want to make sure we get the right
7 8	et cetera.	7	ones here. That's yeah. It's actually I'm
_	JUDGE BROWN: Okay. Good. And at this point	8	mistaken. It's marked CGK-23X, and it's a
9 10	we will allow for ATTY PEPPLE: Your Honor?	9	cross-examination exhibit. And it should have a
		10	"C" designation after the identifier. Now, the
11 12	JUDGE BROWN: Yeah. ATTY PEPPLE: Apologies	11	description of the exhibit itself is correct because it
13	JUDGE BROWN: No. Go ahead.	12	refers to a confidential response, but just the
14	ATTY PEPPLE: I did want to just flag one	13	identifier should have a "C" on it. Okay? And I
15	exhibit. We don't object to any of the exhibits. The	14	believe there's one other one.
16	one potential exception is an exhibit that	15	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Which number was that?
17	Public Counsel submitted for Mr. Miller. That's	16	ATTY MEYER: It's CGK-23X. Okay? Should be
18	prefiled cross-examination and responses. We don't	17	-XC.
19	object to it so long as we have the opportunity to do	18	And then the other one is in the same set of cross
20	redirect on that.	19	exhibits. It is, if you will go up the page, CGK-16XC.
21	ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Your Honor, if I	20	Okay? -XC. Now, there, it does have the C designation
22	could make a record about that. Public Counsel met and	21	in the identifier. But off to the side where you
23	conferred with Avista and came up with an agreed	22	describe it, the description should be to "Avista's
	testimony in lieu of our waiving of cross-examination	23	Confidential Response to Staff DR Number 230" C.
24		24	Add a C in that description, if you would. Okay?
24 25	questions. So it's in question-and-answer format. We	25	Those are just the minor edits.

#### Page 76 Page 74 1 ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Mr. Meyer, just to 1 Have I captured all of the motions from the 2 verify, the 23XC for CGK? 2 parties? 3 ATTY MEYER: Yes. Yes. 3 ATTY PEPPLE: Your Honor, this one's not 4 ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: I will confer with 4 related to the hearing, but I did just want to flag 5 5 you before we get to that examination exhibit. Because that AWEC has a motion to increase the customer sub 6 I don't think I used the confidential attachment. I 6 fund that remains outstanding from June. So I just 7 7 just used the uncon- -- nonconfidential text. wanted to flag that one for your attention. 8 ATTY MEYER: I see. Okay. 8 JUDGE BROWN: Thank you for reminding me. 9 ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: So I do not intend to 9 I'll make sure that that is addressed. And that order 10 elicit any testimony there. But if get anywhere near 10 is issued and will be for the coming -- later this 11 that when I'm asking questions, please let me know. 11 week. Thank you. 12 ATTY MEYER: Very good. Thank you. 12 Does anyone have any objections with regard to 13 CHAIR DANNER: And I just want to clarify. I 13 those motions? 14 believe you said that that was a Kevin Christie All right. Hearing none, the motions are all 14 15 exhibit. That's a Clint Kalich --15 granted. And so now we'll proceed to opening ATTY MEYER: That's right. My mistake. 16 16 statements. We'll start with Avista. 17 JUDGE BROWN: Thank you, Counsel, and so 17 ATTY MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. And if 18 18 it's all right, I'll just remain seated so I'm close to noted. 19 So if we can circle back to opening statements, 19 the microphone. 20 20 which will be limited to ten minutes per party, and I appreciate this opportunity to spend just a few 21 then we will be taking all witnesses individually. At 21 minutes with you framing a few of the key issues that deserve, I think, special attention in this case. 22 this point, given time constraints, we will -- with 22 23 regard to breaks, we will check in at 10:30 to see 2.3 There are many issues. Mercifully, I'm not going to 24 where the parties are with regard to breaks, and we'll 24 discuss cost capital in my ten minutes, but I will 25 25 look at how long to take for lunch -- again, based on discuss power supply issues. And those issues really Page 75 Page 77 1 where we are in the process. And we'll kind of take 1 have, at their foundation, a dramatically changed power 2 2 everyone's pulse with regard to the pacing. supply landscape. That's at the heart of much of what 3 And I just want to remind everyone to make sure 3 we are proposing in this case. And Avista believes 4 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that they keep their microphones muted unless they are speaking. And if you are having any tech issues or you observe a party or rep -- and when I say "rep," I mean representative -- that has dropped off during the online meeting, please let us know in the chat so we can take measures in that regard. And we also caution that the chat should only be reserved for technical issues and requests for breaks only.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I do believe we have already stipulated to the prefiled exhibits and testimony, which should also include the cross-examination exhibits as well.

> (Exhibits for Avista Corporation admitted.) (Exhibits for WUTC and the parties admitted.)

And now. I also want to address the matters of the motions that are outstanding. I believe we have a motion for leave to file cross exhibits from Staff and a motion for leave to distribute additional cross-examination exhibits from Public Counsel, as well as a motion for leave to file cross-examination exhibits for cross-answering testimony, rather, on behalf of Staff. And I believe there's one more motion outstanding for leave to file testimony as well.

it's -- it is time to explicitly acknowledge and recognize those changes in our supply that will, we believe, persist for several years to come.

We will be presenting the testimony of two witnesses who are steeped in knowledge in this space, Mr. Kalich and Mr. Kinney, who have been at this type of work for many years. They're well acquainted. And they look forward to visiting with you and having a real dialogue with you as commissioners and the bench in terms of what has changed and what the impact of those changes in power supply are on the company.

The rest of the issues in this case will take care of themselves in the normal course, as they always do. I mean, there are 150 identifying issues in the issues list, and clearly the parties are accustomed to dealing with those, as is the Commission.

So, first of all, our rebuttal testimony -- again, back to power supply -- responds to the points raised by the other parties and acknowledge where we should or we must -- certain changes need to be made and what we're proposing by way of a portfolio adjustment. We read that testimony, and on rebuttal -- and on rebuttal

## Page 78

we actually reduced the size of that portfolio adjustment markedly down from 77 million to 43 million in terms of its Year 1 electric rate impact.

We also, in our rebuttal -- we also -- excuse me -- that was a revenue requirement delta. I gave you the 77- down to the 43-. But we also, in that rebuttal testimony, revised our ERM proposal, modified it somewhat based on some Staff testimony -- no longer a 95/5 sharing, but a 90/10 sharing. But then we added an asymmetrical sharing in the dead bands.

So all of that was to try and meet head on any issues that have been raised in that regard. And I'll speak more to the ERM and what it does do and what it no longer does in just a moment. But key issues remain: Power supply -- but before I get there, the two-year versus one-year rate plan is a matter of real concern to the company.

Staff -- no other parties, but Staff suggests just a one-year plan citing, basically, administrative burden of trying, also, to handle Rate Year 2 in this docket. They also argue that it would allow to better synchronize with the CEIP if we could have a separate Rate Year 2 filing down the road. There are no -- by the way, there are no CEIP costs in this filing in Rate Year 2.

power supply where it can. The company can no longer manage it with the customary tools of hedging and bilateral trades. It doesn't have the tools.

Page 80

Page 81

So, largely, it is subject to what has become not an incentive but a penalty, a penalty for things that it cannot control. And I don't think that was ever the intent of the risk allocation process built into the ERM. So you will hear that there's great concern about where that leaves the company at the end of the day and the extent to which it's actually being penalized -- unintentionally, but being penalized through the ERM process.

Secondly, the forecast power supply adjustment that's received so much attention in this case, as I mentioned at the outset, we modified that. That has been dropped considerably in terms of its scope, its value. But why is it important to even still consider? Well, it is important so that we establish the right baseline on which the ERM can operate. So it's back to the ERM. If you don't start from the right spot with a baseline, the ERM can't possibly function as intended. Even as modified, it can't function as intended.

So the question that I'm sure is front and center is -- well, why after rejecting PacifiCorp's ERM request should we grant Avista's ERM request? You will

Page 79

So what Staff's argument really is, is -- let's -- let's turn this into a one-year rate case. That has really profound repercussions for the company. And that will make it very difficult for us to turn around and get another filing in without losing anywhere from 9 to 12 months of revenue requirement in the meantime while we put together and prosecute another case.

Moreover, it's really antithetical -- it's antithetical to what this multi-year rate plan legislation was all about. That was designed to allow parties to get off this treadmill of yearly filings. We've done that, and I think it would be a step backward to adopt Staff's proposal in that regard.

Next, we really hope that there will be a recognition at the outcome of this hearing of the changed power supply landscape. Things are much different now than they were before. The company is having great difficulty in monetizing the value of our thermal fleet in order to reduce our net power expense. It has limited hedging options, and the cost of collateral for that hedging is problematic.

Now, from that set of facts, I think the following flow. First, we need to modify the mechanism of the ERM. The ERM at its inception was meant to provide incentives for the company to prudently manage its

hear that there are really profound differences between where PacifiCorp and Avista find themselves. You'll find that Avista has much greater exposure, volatility with a market surplus that is so much greater than PacifiCorp's or Puget's. The problem is we can't monetize that. And in that way, the net power expense cannot be reduced in the way that we're accustomed to doing it.

And secondly, but not entirely the complete picture -- but secondly, Avista's much smaller than PacifiCorp. It can't absorb -- it can't absorb the body blows through absorption of the dead band and the sharing mechanism. The market capitalization for PacifiCorp allows it to weather the blows in a way that Avista cannot. And that's a -- that's a real thing. That's a real thing for a company the size of Avista.

So in closing -- in closing, at the end of this process, I hope that the Commission will have its questions -- you're the audience -- its questions answered with respect to how this power supply landscape has changed and what those repercussions are. And with that, I appreciate the opportunity, and we look forward to presenting our case. Thank you.

JUDGE BROWN: Thank you.

And now Staff.

Page 84

Page 85

#### WUTC v. Avista Corporation d/b/a Avista Utilities Page 82 1 ATTY ROBERSON: In the interest of time, 1 2 Staff is happy to waive its opening statement. 2 3 3 JUDGE BROWN: Thank you. 4 4 Public Counsel. 5 5 ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Thank you, Your 6 Honor. Thank you for giving me the opportunity to 6 7 7 speak briefly. I've timed myself. It should be less 8 8 than ten minutes; so... 9 9 In the public comment exhibit, you will read from 10 a 72-year-old woman -- her name is Cynthia Freyer --10 11 who is receiving what she calls a "comfort plan" from 11 12 Avista. She sets her thermostat at 60 degrees during 12 13 the day, 55 degrees at night. You will also hear from 13 14 another customer, Mary Arlt, who makes just a little 14 15 too much to qualify for the discounts from Avista but 15 16 16 is freezing and resorted to heating her -- heating her 17 home with a natural gas stove until she realized that 17 18 18 it was going to cost too much in January of this year. 19 Rate increases are about capital structure and new 19 20 spillways and new PPAs, but they have real day-to-day 2.0 21 impacts. From Mr. Bonfield, you will hear that there 21 22 are 141,000 families in Avista's service "terry" and, 22 23 at best, a plan next year to reach 36 percent of those 23 24 families who have to make decisions about lowering 24 25 25 thermostats and purchasing food or energy. Page 83 1 In Mr. Colton's testimony, you will hear that the 1 2 same inflation that Mr. Christie talks about 2 3 necessitating more money for Avista hammered these 3 4 4

families and businesses.

In 2023, the last full year of data, Avista paid \$140,680,314 in dividends. Balanced against \$140 million in dividends to their shareholders, Avista used \$21 million in the program here to support low-income families.

In February 2024 Dennis Vermillion, the CEO, assuring investors that Avista's board of directors are dedicated to shareholder interests, bragged that the board had increased the dividend for Avista shareholders for the 22nd consecutive year. That reaches back to as soon as the bailout from this commission from the Western energy crisis. That's through the 2008 Great Recession. That's through the greatest medical crisis in our history in the last 100 years. That's through the inflation surge and the recovery from COVID. Every year Avista has extracted more money for ratepayers to pay shareholders.

You will hear that, despite this robust growth and rosy financial health, Avista needs to extract more from families and businesses, despite the fact that Avista's earnings this year are higher than last year's per share; that they are on course to meet their current projected expectations for earnings; that they are on course for another 5 percent rate growth in

low-income individuals. Inflation is worse at the bottom, and these families don't have resources to bounce back. Mr. Colton's Table 8 in his testimony will show that, out of the 284,000 households in Avista's territory, 80 percent of them earn \$61,000 a year or less. \$61,000 a year or less is the --200 percent of the family poverty line for a family of four.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

You will read that arrearages are highest among that group of people. And you will read Barbara De Vore's comment in the public comment, that "I qualify for some help" and that "It only covers one or one and a half months in the coldest month and -- so that I'm usually paying off my winter bills from Avista in June."

Against this sea of need, you will hear and read that, since 2015, Avista has grown its rate base from 2.5 billion to 4.3 billion. That's a 60 percent increase, a \$1. billion addition to their capital base. In that same time period, you will hear that they have distributed \$997 million in dividends. That's a billion dollars more of capital extracted from Avista's

their rate base.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You will read that Avista's financial models predict that they need a 10.25 percent return on investment for their equity holders, despite the fact that those same models have predicted a much higher rate of return than this commission actually awarded and which allowed Avista to grow the rate base as exponentially as they have.

You will hear that the largest chunk of this increase this year is in the alterations to the ERM. What you will not hear about those ERM alterations is that the proposed adjustments will make Avista's forecasts of power costs for the capture of thermal energy more accurate.

You will not hear that Avista's experienced professionals are better able or learning how to hedge in the new world of fewer bilateral trades, energy imbalanced markets, and power volatility driven in large part by lack of resources that utilities are the ones that have to build.

I'm going to attempt to share a screen -- I think this should work -- of a chart that you will see.

This is from Mr. Kalich's testimony. Exhibit 20X is the exhibit number. And what this shows is -- the blue line is how much money the customers have to pay

13 (Pages 82 to 85)

Page 88

Page 89

### Page 86

under the ERM. The red line is how much the company has to pay. You will hear that what the company is asking -- or saying is that that red line is too high. And what they will ask you to ignore is that any alteration of that red line means the blue line has to get higher.

In 2023 Avista did pay \$20 million into the ERM. Customers paid 60 million. The evidence will show you that these ERM alterations are simply a request to reallocate more money to shareholders, while Ms. Freyer, the woman I referenced at the beginning, is reduced to asking, tongue in cheek, if Avista plans to offer her thermal underwear for the coming years.

You will hear about wildfire risk, a new risk factor that has popped up in all of the credit ratings in the last four years. That is wildfire fear from liability for negligent operation of the power lines.

You will hear of Avista's \$420 million ten-year budget to harden lines and manage vegetation, and that is warranted in this era of carbon-emission-driven climate change. But you will also hear, embarrassingly, that Avista does not track ignition events. They cannot tell you if that \$420 million is going to stop a wildfire ripping through the Spokane Valley. They have and will make some

indicated or what -- has suggested is that we simply allocate that accordingly. At least 50 percent of that compensation needs to be paid by the shareholders.

You will read testimony on power agreement, PPAs, and a new statute that allows incentives for that. What you will not read from the company is that these PPAs that they're seeking interest on needed to be incented. They were the only way to approach the C plans, and it does not make sense to apply incentives where they are already on board.

You will read in Mr. Mullins's testimony about the need for individual -- individualized rather than portfolio review of provisional capital projects. What you will read in the testimony from Ms. Andrews is not that individualized review -- is the need for flexibility. That's why they're proposing portfolio review. But individualized review doesn't mean that you can't alter them. It just means that you have to provide an individualized explanation for each project. And that is necessary for this commission to do its job.

And this is to say nothing of the important work of TEP and of NWEC. They will have the chance for targeted briefing that Public Counsel may not be able to match. That's not from lack of importance, but from

Page 87

concessions about the need for transparency, but this commission needs to order that to be done.

This is a fully contested rate case with hundreds of issues, 21 company witnesses, 18 intervener witnesses, literally thousands of pages, and the densest reports I have yet read in my 20 years as an attorney. In two days we're not going to touch but a small section of that. Although there will be hundreds of pages of briefs for you to read, I urge the Commission to look at some topics that I'm not going to be able to ask you about or talk about in these next two days.

The first is the executive pay, industry association dues, board of director compensation, and director -- and officer -- insurance that are testified to by Mark Garrett in his testimony. In the comments you will read a surfeit of anger by customers directed at executive compensation, and you will read in Mark Garrett's testimony that it is warranted. Municipal, utilities, line, and middle management staff receive the same amount of compensation that Avista's line and middle management staff do.

Executive pay, however, is higher at Avista. And that is probably warranted, but it's warranted because it benefits the shareholders. What Mr. Garrett has

a lack of time and space. So I urge you to consider carefully their proposals.

In the end, Public Counsel does not dispute that the removal of Colstrip, the expansion of operations in management budgets, capital investments do support some increase in rates. But 140,000 -- 141,000 people having to set their thermostat low and 80 percent of the residents in the service territory being at close to 200 percent of the poverty line illustrate the increasing concentration of wealth that is bedeviling our country.

What is fair and just in 2024 in rates is to closely examine Avista's claim of poverty against their record stability and ever-increasing dividends and rate base and give them the minimum needed. Ratepayers are not a bottomless source of future income. They are increasingly desperate. People and businesses in the Avista service territory are squeezed, and rate increases need to be strictly limited to what is actually necessary, not what Avista shareholders would prefer. Thank you.

JUDGE BROWN: And next, we have AWEC. ATTY PEPPLE: Thank you, Your Honor. Just a brief opening statement from me. Well, as you heard from Mr. Meyer and Mr. O'Neill,

# Page 90

despite the parties' efforts, none of the issues in this case have settled; so there are a multitude of issues for the Commission to resolve. AWEC alone has 15 different adjustments to Avista's revenue requirement. And every one of these issues is important to AWEC. But from our perspective, there are five main contested issues in this case: There's cost of capital, the power cost forecast error adjustment, Avista's requested changes to its energy recovery mechanism, rate spread, and whether to approve rates for one year or two years.

AWEC's arguments on cost of capital and the forecast error adjustment -- represented in its testimony and will be fleshed out at briefing. But it's worth identifying here that simply maintaining Avista's ROE -- current ROE and rejecting its unsupported forecast error adjustment effectively eliminates Avista's first year rate increase.

With respect to the ERM, despite what Mr. Meyer states, Avista makes largely the same arguments that PacifiCorp made in its most recent rate case to modify its power cost adjustment mechanism. The Commission rightfully rejected those arguments in that case, and we think the Commission would do well to reiterate its holding in those case.

ATTY ZAKAI: Good morning, Your Honor. A few

Page 92

Page 93

brief comments this morning to start us off.

The Energy Project provides testimony on the

impact of disconnections on low-income customers and other vulnerable populations. Avista's disconnection policies are problematic because they prioritize customers for disconnection if they have a history of energy insecurity or have not spent a long time at their current premise.

First, a history of energy insecurity. The factors that Avista looks at are how many months has it been since a customer was last eligible for disconnection for nonpayment, whether a customer has a write-off balance, and whether a customer owes a prior obligation -- or put another way, whether that customer has been disconnected for nonpayment in the past.

Second, the length of time at the premise. This will impact renters, who move more often and, as Witness Colton demonstrates, have lower incomes. I want to clarify that TEP's concern applies only to communications that threaten disconnection and the act of disconnection itself, not other types of communications.

First and foremost, when a customer becomes past due, the utility's first communications with those

### Page 91

On rate spread, AWEC and Avista are largely in agreement on this point -- on this issue, and AWEC does not oppose the rate spread provided by Avista in its rebuttal testimony. Public Counsel, however, has recommended that the Commission implement a uniform rate increase for all customers. Public Counsel makes this recommendation even though it took no issue with Avista's cost of service study and presents no evidence that a uniform rate increase would help alleviate the substantial inter-class subsidies that Avista's cost of service study show exists.

In fact, because of the substantial credit residential customers will receive when Avista's Colstrip rider, Schedule 99, rolls off, a uniform rate increase will actually result in residential customers paying less than the average rate increase in the second year of the rate plan.

Finally, with respect to the issue of whether to approve rates for one or two years, AWEC is generally agnostic on this issue. But however the Commission decides it, we recommend that the Commission base its decision on what it feels is best for the customers. Thank you.

JUDGE BROWN: Next we have The Energy Project.

customers should notify them of their past due balance without threatening disconnection. Such a letter, that

the Commission has called "targeted outreach" in the PSE case, would let the customer know that the bill is past due and inform them of the availability of energy assistance programs and how to contact their local community action agency.

The goal of TEP's proposals is to ensure that customers are not threatened with disconnection earlier merely because they have a history unrelated to their current past-due balance of energy insecurity and prior disconnection. Put simply, it doesn't matter if Avista labels these factors as credit scores or behavior scores. The Commission can work to help break the cycle of crisis and the cycle of energy insecurity by adjusting Avista's disconnection factors.

Finally, I want to briefly note that, for the first time in this case, the Commission is asked to look at a return on a PPA for shareholders. The Energy Project supports NWEC Witness Will Gehrke's testimony recommending that the Commission reject a return on PPA. Thank you.

JUDGE BROWN: Next we have NWEC. ATTY GOETZ: Good morning. Thank you, Your Honor. In the interest of time, NWEC is willing to

	Page 94		Page 96
_			J
1	waive its opening statement as well. We stand by the	1	A Kevin Christie. I work for Avista Utilities, and I'm
2	positions we've taken in testimony and look forward to	2	the chief financial officer and chief regulatory
3	the opportunity to expanding on those in briefing.	3	affairs officer.
4	I do have a small procedural matter to raise, and	4	Q And, Mr. Christie, you've filed prefiled exhibits
5	I'm not sure if this is the appropriate time.	5	I believe testimony, but accompanying other exhibits.
6	JUDGE BROWN: No. Go on, please.	6	They've all been marked for identification. They've
7	ATTY GOETZ: Yeah. From the email last	7	all been admitted.
8	Friday, it's understanding that the commissioners	8	ATTY MEYER: So Mr. Christie is available for
9	have questions for Witness Charlee Thompson. And I	9	cross-examination.
10	just wanted to indicate that she is unavailable from	10	JUDGE BROWN: You may proceed with cross.
11	noon to 1:00 tomorrow. But that's the only issue with	11	ATTY ROBERSON: Thank you.
12	scheduling that we have; and otherwise, she's available	12	
13	for any questions that arise.	13	CROSS-EXAMINATION
14	JUDGE BROWN: Thank you for that update.	14	BY ATTY ROBERSON:
15	ATTY GOETZ: Thank you.	15	Q Good morning, Mr. Christie. How are you?
16	JUDGE BROWN: Next we have Sierra Club.	16	A Good morning. I'm well. How are you?
17	ATTY SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor.	17	Q Doing okay. All my questions will concern your
18	Sierra Club is likewise willing to waive an opening	18	rebuttal testimony. Do you have it? I believe it's
19	statement just in the interest of time. Many, many	19	Exhibit KJC-4T.
20	issues in this case.	20	A Yes.
21	JUDGE BROWN: Thank you.	21	Q And all of my questions will refer to that page of the
22	And last we have Walmart.	22	testimony itself, not the page of the PDF; so ignore
23	ATTY CAVIGLIA: Walmart also waives its	23	the cover page.
24	opening statement in the interest of time. Thank you.	24	On page 1 you set out your job titles, which you
25	JUDGE BROWN: Okay.	25	also just did again here. One of them was chief
	,		•
	Page 95		Page 97
1	Before we actually proceed to witnesses, I do have	1	financial officer; correct?
2	one bit of housekeeping. And that is the motion for	2	A That is correct.
3	leave to file cross-answering testimony of John Wilson	3	Q And as the chief financial officer, do you follow
4	on behalf of Staff. Unless there's an objection, that	4	macroeconomic trends and data?
5	motion is also granted. Thank you.	5	A Ido.
6	And now we will and now we will proceed with	6	Q And you testified about some of them correct? in
7	the witnesses, starting with the first witness,	7	your rebuttal testimony?
8	Kevin Christie.	8	A That is correct.
9	Please go on.	9	Q One of those is inflation?
10	ATTY MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. I call	10	A That is correct.
11	to the stand Mr. Kevin Christie.	11	Q Will you please turn to page 2. And I'm thinking
12	Thank you.	12	specifically of lines 17 through 21.
13	•	13	A I'm there.
14	DIRECT EXAMINATION	14	Q There you're discussing what you characterize as
15	BY ATTY MEYER:	15	headwinds that the company's faced over the last
16	Q Mr. Christie, for the record, please state your name,	16	two years; correct?
17	your employer, and your position with that employer.	17	A Yes.
18	JUDGE BROWN: Before you proceed	18	Q And, again, one of those is inflation?
19	I need to swear you in. So if you will raise your	19	A Which lines again?
20	right hand. Thank you.	20	Q I believe it's 17 through 21.
21	(Witness duly sworn.)	21	A Yes.
22	JUDGE BROWN: Thank you.	22	Q The sentence that begins at the end of page 3 of your
23	You may proceed.	23	rebuttal testimony I think it's line 28 there you
24	ATTY MEYER: Thank you.	24	note that the Federal Reserve has, quote, "continued to
25	Q BY ATTY MEYER: Go ahead, Mr. Christie.	25	aggressively increase interest rates"; correct?
1	•		· ·

Page 98 Page 100 1 1 A Can you say which lines again, please. inflation rate peaked at in this most recent surge of 2 2 I thought it was page 3, line 28. It's not going to be inflation? 3 page 3, line 28. Hmm. That is a pickle. 3 A We can get that for you if you'd like. 4 4 Q Do you have an estimate? Subject to check, would you say that it's fair to 5 5 A Not off the top of my head. say that, at one point in your testimony, you said, 6 quote, "The Federal Reserve has continued to 6 Q Do you know how much it's come down since its peak? 7 7 aggressively increase interest rates"? A I do not. 8 A Subject to check. 8 Q Do you know what the inflation rate -- annual inflation 9 9 Q Cool. Thank you. rate was for August 2024? 10 And I believe, in that same mystery paragraph, you 10 A Can you point to a place in my testimony where I share 11 link those raises to the Federal Reserve's attempt to 11 12 Q I talk about inflation -- I'm just wondering -- we're 12 achieve its target 2 percent inflation rate; correct? 13 13 A Subject to check. gauging your knowledge of the inflation rate. A I don't have the exact inflation rate decline off the 14 Q So is it fair to say that there's a correlation between 14 15 high inflation and high interest rates? 15 top of my head. 16 16 Q Do you have a ballpark? A There is a relationship between inflation and interest 17 17 A I would say that inflation is roughly cut in half over 18 Q And that relationship is generally that, in order to 18 that period of time, subject to check. 19 bring inflation down, the Federal Reserve raises 19 Q Okay. The Federal Open Market Committee meets a couple 20 interest rates: correct? 20 times a year; correct? 21 21 A That's one of many tools I believe they utilize. A At least a couple of times year. 22 Q Can lower inflation provide the Federal Reserve with 22 Q Do you follow those meetings? 23 23 the leeway it needs to reduce interest rates? I see the highlights from those meetings. A I think it's more complicated. That's one factor. 24 24 Q Do you know when the last meeting was? 25 Q It is a factor, though? 25 A There was a meeting a couple -- three weeks ago. Page 99 Page 101 1 A It is a factor. 1 Q Do you know what happened at that meeting? 2 Q Okay. In terms of the kind of inflation spike that 2 A There was a reduction in the Fed rate, 50-basis-point 3 you're testifying about, when did that begin? 3 reduction. 4 A Subject to check, it began towards the end of 2021 or 4 Q So is that unusual in terms of size? 5 the beginning of 2022. 5 A I believe that the market was predicting -- 25- or a 6 Q And do you know when it peaked? 6 50-basis-point reduction. 7 7 Q So it's on the high end? A Well, if we're talking about interest rates and... 8 Q Sorry. No. Inflation. Do you know when the annual 8 A Of market expectations, yes. 9 rate of inflation peaked in the spike that you're 9 Q Okay. Did you read the press release? 10 10 talking about? ATTY MEYER: Which -- which press release, 11 11 A I don't believe that it has subsided; so we're still in 12 12 an inflationary environment. Q BY ATTY ROBERSON: Issued by the Fed in accord---Q So you think that the annual inflation rate is as high 13 13 well, accompanying the reduction in interest rates, the 14 now as it was in 2021 and 2022? 14 federal target -- the federal fund target rate. 15 A I think the impact of inflation is cumulative. And the 15 A I did not. I read the news as published through 16 inflation rate has lessened recently, but the impact 16 various agencies and via various banks. 17 over that period of time is, again, adding year after 17 Q So in the news, did people offer opinions as to why the 18 Federal Reserve was able to cut interest rates as much 18 19 Q So the inflation rate is dropping; correct? 19 as it did? 20 20 The rate of inflation has declined. A Due to a reduction in inflation and other positive Α Q Again, do you know when that inflation rate peaked? 21 21 economic factors. 22 22 Q Okay. Moving on -- I think it's page 3, but we've Α I do not know that. 23 23 Q Do you know what it peaked at? established that my mastery of your testimony's not 24 I'm sorry. Can you say that again. 24 very good. So just generally, you talk about the Q Do you know what the average -- sorry -- the annual 25 25 customer tax credit that Avista's been returning;

	Page 102	Page 104
1	correct?	1 to.
2	A Yes.	2 Q Any hypothetical costs the Commission decides that the
3	Q I'm curious about that. That was agreed to in the last	3 parties are right about.
4	settlement; correct? That was a measure Avista agreed	4 A Well, of course, it's up to the Commission to rule.
5	to in that settlement; correct?	And to the extent that there are costs that the company
6	A Yes.	6 incurs, the reasonably reasonable and prudent and
7	Q And it was going to return a set amount of money;	7 they're not covered in rates, that'll put Avista in a
8	correct?	8 position of financial weakness that will then, in turn,
9	A Yes.	9 make it difficult for us to serve our customers.
10	Q Do you know where in the process Avista is with regard	10 Q But if the Commission concludes that they're not
11	to returning that money? Has it returned most of it?	properly passed to ratepayers, should the Commission
12	All of it? Some of it? None of it?	pass them along to be supportive?
13	A Yes. We've returned most of it, I believe, subject to	13 A Can you describe what you mean by "properly passed to
14	check that the residual is returned to customers	14 ratepayers"?
15	this late this fall, early winter.	15 Q Let's say the Commission determines that the portfolio
16	Q So you talk about it being kind of a cash flow issue	forecast error is not known and measurable and does not
17	for Avista. Is it fair to say that that cash flow	include it in Avista's revenue requirement.
18	issue is going to ameliorate?	18 A That will create financial headwinds that we'll do our
19	A Over time, it will.	best to mitigate or manage. And at the same time,
20	Q Over a fairly near time frame; correct?	20 something of that size will be very difficult to do
21	A Well, from a cash flow perspective in the metric that	21 so.
22	the rating agencies are looking at, we are	22 Q If I were to ask you a yes-or-no question,
23	significantly under, in 2023, the metric that they're	hypothetically, if the Commission disallows the cost,
24	looking at when reviewing us for potential downgrade.	should it nevertheless pass it along to a ratepayer?
25	And we will get to that level if things go well by the	25 A Disallows a cost?
	, ,	
		I
	Page 103	Page 105
1		
1 2	end of 2024, but we'll have very little breathing room.	1 Q To be supportive, yeah.
2	end of 2024, but we'll have very little breathing room.  Q I apologize. I phrased the question poorly.	<ul> <li>1 Q To be supportive, yeah.</li> <li>2 A I don't think it's a yes-or-no answer.</li> </ul>
	end of 2024, but we'll have very little breathing room.	<ul> <li>Q To be supportive, yeah.</li> <li>A I don't think it's a yes-or-no answer.</li> <li>Q But if I asked you for one</li> </ul>
2 3 4	end of 2024, but we'll have very little breathing room.  Q I apologize. I phrased the question poorly.  This tax credit's contribution to Avista's cash flow issues will ameliorate over the near term	<ul> <li>Q To be supportive, yeah.</li> <li>A I don't think it's a yes-or-no answer.</li> <li>Q But if I asked you for one</li> <li>A So will you ask me again.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	end of 2024, but we'll have very little breathing room.  Q I apologize. I phrased the question poorly.  This tax credit's contribution to Avista's cash flow issues will ameliorate over the near term correct? in the fall because you're going to stop	<ul> <li>Q To be supportive, yeah.</li> <li>A I don't think it's a yes-or-no answer.</li> <li>Q But if I asked you for one</li> <li>A So will you ask me again.</li> <li>Q Hypothetically, yes or no, if the Commission disallows</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	end of 2024, but we'll have very little breathing room.  Q I apologize. I phrased the question poorly.  This tax credit's contribution to Avista's cash flow issues will ameliorate over the near term correct? in the fall because you're going to stop returning cash?	<ul> <li>Q To be supportive, yeah.</li> <li>A I don't think it's a yes-or-no answer.</li> <li>Q But if I asked you for one</li> <li>A So will you ask me again.</li> <li>Q Hypothetically, yes or no, if the Commission disallows a cost, should it nevertheless pass it to ratepayers in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	end of 2024, but we'll have very little breathing room.  Q I apologize. I phrased the question poorly.  This tax credit's contribution to Avista's cash flow issues will ameliorate over the near term correct? in the fall because you're going to stop returning cash?  A For that particular tax credit, yes.	<ul> <li>Q To be supportive, yeah.</li> <li>A I don't think it's a yes-or-no answer.</li> <li>Q But if I asked you for one</li> <li>A So will you ask me again.</li> <li>Q Hypothetically, yes or no, if the Commission disallows a cost, should it nevertheless pass it to ratepayers in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	end of 2024, but we'll have very little breathing room.  Q I apologize. I phrased the question poorly.  This tax credit's contribution to Avista's cash flow issues will ameliorate over the near term correct? in the fall because you're going to stop returning cash?  A For that particular tax credit, yes.  Q Okay. I'd like to jump forward to page 10.	1 Q To be supportive, yeah. 2 A I don't think it's a yes-or-no answer. 3 Q But if I asked you for one 4 A So will you ask me again. 5 Q Hypothetically, yes or no, if the Commission disallows a cost, should it nevertheless pass it to ratepayers in order to be a supportive regulator? 8 A No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	end of 2024, but we'll have very little breathing room.  Q I apologize. I phrased the question poorly.  This tax credit's contribution to Avista's cash flow issues will ameliorate over the near term correct? in the fall because you're going to stop returning cash?  A For that particular tax credit, yes.  Q Okay. I'd like to jump forward to page 10.  A I'm there.	1 Q To be supportive, yeah. 2 A I don't think it's a yes-or-no answer. 3 Q But if I asked you for one 4 A So will you ask me again. 5 Q Hypothetically, yes or no, if the Commission disallows a cost, should it nevertheless pass it to ratepayers in order to be a supportive regulator? 8 A No. 9 Q Okay. I'd like to move on to page 23. And there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	end of 2024, but we'll have very little breathing room.  Q I apologize. I phrased the question poorly.  This tax credit's contribution to Avista's cash flow issues will ameliorate over the near term correct? in the fall because you're going to stop returning cash?  A For that particular tax credit, yes.  Q Okay. I'd like to jump forward to page 10.  A I'm there.  Q At the very bottom, you write and quote, "Clearly,	1 Q To be supportive, yeah. 2 A I don't think it's a yes-or-no answer. 3 Q But if I asked you for one 4 A So will you ask me again. 5 Q Hypothetically, yes or no, if the Commission disallows a cost, should it nevertheless pass it to ratepayers in order to be a supportive regulator? 8 A No. 9 Q Okay. I'd like to move on to page 23. And there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	end of 2024, but we'll have very little breathing room.  Q I apologize. I phrased the question poorly.  This tax credit's contribution to Avista's cash flow issues will ameliorate over the near term correct? in the fall because you're going to stop returning cash?  A For that particular tax credit, yes.  Q Okay. I'd like to jump forward to page 10.  A I'm there.  Q At the very bottom, you write and quote, "Clearly, the proposals of the parties in this case are not a	1 Q To be supportive, yeah. 2 A I don't think it's a yes-or-no answer. 3 Q But if I asked you for one 4 A So will you ask me again. 5 Q Hypothetically, yes or no, if the Commission disallows a cost, should it nevertheless pass it to ratepayers in order to be a supportive regulator? 8 A No. 9 Q Okay. I'd like to move on to page 23. And there you're talking about the multi-year rate plan; correct? 11 A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	end of 2024, but we'll have very little breathing room.  Q I apologize. I phrased the question poorly.  This tax credit's contribution to Avista's cash flow issues will ameliorate over the near term correct? in the fall because you're going to stop returning cash?  A For that particular tax credit, yes.  Q Okay. I'd like to jump forward to page 10.  A I'm there.  Q At the very bottom, you write and quote, "Clearly, the proposals of the parties in this case are not a good indicator of supportive regulation," end quote. I	1 Q To be supportive, yeah. 2 A I don't think it's a yes-or-no answer. 3 Q But if I asked you for one 4 A So will you ask me again. 5 Q Hypothetically, yes or no, if the Commission disallows a cost, should it nevertheless pass it to ratepayers in order to be a supportive regulator? 8 A No. 9 Q Okay. I'd like to move on to page 23. And there you're talking about the multi-year rate plan; correct? 11 A Yes. 12 Q And I'd also like to start with the end of your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	end of 2024, but we'll have very little breathing room.  Q I apologize. I phrased the question poorly.  This tax credit's contribution to Avista's cash flow issues will ameliorate over the near term correct? in the fall because you're going to stop returning cash?  A For that particular tax credit, yes.  Q Okay. I'd like to jump forward to page 10.  A I'm there.  Q At the very bottom, you write and quote, "Clearly, the proposals of the parties in this case are not a good indicator of supportive regulation," end quote. I mean, the sentence goes on, but I just want to ask you	1 Q To be supportive, yeah. 2 A I don't think it's a yes-or-no answer. 3 Q But if I asked you for one 4 A So will you ask me again. 5 Q Hypothetically, yes or no, if the Commission disallows a cost, should it nevertheless pass it to ratepayers in order to be a supportive regulator? 8 A No. 9 Q Okay. I'd like to move on to page 23. And there you're talking about the multi-year rate plan; correct? 11 A Yes. 12 Q And I'd also like to start with the end of your discussion of the multi-year rate plan, which I believe
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	end of 2024, but we'll have very little breathing room.  Q I apologize. I phrased the question poorly.  This tax credit's contribution to Avista's cash flow issues will ameliorate over the near term correct? in the fall because you're going to stop returning cash?  A For that particular tax credit, yes.  Q Okay. I'd like to jump forward to page 10.  A I'm there.  Q At the very bottom, you write and quote, "Clearly, the proposals of the parties in this case are not a good indicator of supportive regulation," end quote. I mean, the sentence goes on, but I just want to ask you about that fragment. Did I read that correctly?	1 Q To be supportive, yeah. 2 A I don't think it's a yes-or-no answer. 3 Q But if I asked you for one 4 A So will you ask me again. 5 Q Hypothetically, yes or no, if the Commission disallows a cost, should it nevertheless pass it to ratepayers in order to be a supportive regulator? 8 A No. 9 Q Okay. I'd like to move on to page 23. And there you're talking about the multi-year rate plan; correct? 10 A Yes. 11 Q And I'd also like to start with the end of your discussion of the multi-year rate plan, which I believe is on page 27 and the top of page 28.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	end of 2024, but we'll have very little breathing room.  Q I apologize. I phrased the question poorly.  This tax credit's contribution to Avista's cash flow issues will ameliorate over the near term correct? in the fall because you're going to stop returning cash?  A For that particular tax credit, yes.  Q Okay. I'd like to jump forward to page 10.  A I'm there.  Q At the very bottom, you write and quote, "Clearly, the proposals of the parties in this case are not a good indicator of supportive regulation," end quote. I mean, the sentence goes on, but I just want to ask you about that fragment. Did I read that correctly?  A Yes. Yes.	1 Q To be supportive, yeah. 2 A I don't think it's a yes-or-no answer. 3 Q But if I asked you for one 4 A So will you ask me again. 5 Q Hypothetically, yes or no, if the Commission disallows a cost, should it nevertheless pass it to ratepayers in order to be a supportive regulator? 8 A No. 9 Q Okay. I'd like to move on to page 23. And there you're talking about the multi-year rate plan; correct? 10 A Yes. 11 A Yes. 12 Q And I'd also like to start with the end of your discussion of the multi-year rate plan, which I believe is on page 27 and the top of page 28. 15 A I'm on the end of page 27.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	end of 2024, but we'll have very little breathing room.  Q I apologize. I phrased the question poorly.  This tax credit's contribution to Avista's cash flow issues will ameliorate over the near term correct? in the fall because you're going to stop returning cash?  A For that particular tax credit, yes.  Q Okay. I'd like to jump forward to page 10.  A I'm there.  Q At the very bottom, you write and quote, "Clearly, the proposals of the parties in this case are not a good indicator of supportive regulation," end quote. I mean, the sentence goes on, but I just want to ask you about that fragment. Did I read that correctly?  A Yes. Yes.  Q How do you define "supportive regulation"?	1 Q To be supportive, yeah. 2 A I don't think it's a yes-or-no answer. 3 Q But if I asked you for one 4 A So will you ask me again. 5 Q Hypothetically, yes or no, if the Commission disallows a cost, should it nevertheless pass it to ratepayers in order to be a supportive regulator? 8 A No. 9 Q Okay. I'd like to move on to page 23. And there you're talking about the multi-year rate plan; correct? 10 A Yes. 11 A Yes. 12 Q And I'd also like to start with the end of your discussion of the multi-year rate plan, which I believe is on page 27 and the top of page 28. 15 A I'm on the end of page 27. 16 Q And there, your argument well, you're testifying
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	end of 2024, but we'll have very little breathing room.  Q I apologize. I phrased the question poorly.  This tax credit's contribution to Avista's cash flow issues will ameliorate over the near term correct? in the fall because you're going to stop returning cash?  A For that particular tax credit, yes.  Q Okay. I'd like to jump forward to page 10.  A I'm there.  Q At the very bottom, you write and quote, "Clearly, the proposals of the parties in this case are not a good indicator of supportive regulation," end quote. I mean, the sentence goes on, but I just want to ask you about that fragment. Did I read that correctly?  A Yes. Yes.  Q How do you define "supportive regulation"?  A I define supportive regulation as regulation that	1 Q To be supportive, yeah. 2 A I don't think it's a yes-or-no answer. 3 Q But if I asked you for one 4 A So will you ask me again. 5 Q Hypothetically, yes or no, if the Commission disallows a cost, should it nevertheless pass it to ratepayers in order to be a supportive regulator? 8 A No. 9 Q Okay. I'd like to move on to page 23. And there you're talking about the multi-year rate plan; correct? 11 A Yes. 12 Q And I'd also like to start with the end of your discussion of the multi-year rate plan, which I believe is on page 27 and the top of page 28. 15 A I'm on the end of page 27. 16 Q And there, your argument well, you're testifying
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	end of 2024, but we'll have very little breathing room.  Q I apologize. I phrased the question poorly.  This tax credit's contribution to Avista's cash flow issues will ameliorate over the near term correct? in the fall because you're going to stop returning cash?  A For that particular tax credit, yes.  Q Okay. I'd like to jump forward to page 10.  A I'm there.  Q At the very bottom, you write and quote, "Clearly, the proposals of the parties in this case are not a good indicator of supportive regulation," end quote. I mean, the sentence goes on, but I just want to ask you about that fragment. Did I read that correctly?  A Yes. Yes.  Q How do you define "supportive regulation"?  A I define supportive regulation as regulation that allows the utility to earn a fair return that can be	1 Q To be supportive, yeah. 2 A I don't think it's a yes-or-no answer. 3 Q But if I asked you for one 4 A So will you ask me again. 5 Q Hypothetically, yes or no, if the Commission disallows a cost, should it nevertheless pass it to ratepayers in order to be a supportive regulator? 8 A No. 9 Q Okay. I'd like to move on to page 23. And there you're talking about the multi-year rate plan; correct? 11 A Yes. 12 Q And I'd also like to start with the end of your discussion of the multi-year rate plan, which I believe is on page 27 and the top of page 28. 15 A I'm on the end of page 27. 16 Q And there, your argument — well, you're testifying that Commission Staff is not providing for similar treatment between PSE and Avista; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	end of 2024, but we'll have very little breathing room.  Q I apologize. I phrased the question poorly.  This tax credit's contribution to Avista's cash flow issues will ameliorate over the near term correct? in the fall because you're going to stop returning cash?  A For that particular tax credit, yes.  Q Okay. I'd like to jump forward to page 10.  A I'm there.  Q At the very bottom, you write and quote, "Clearly, the proposals of the parties in this case are not a good indicator of supportive regulation," end quote. I mean, the sentence goes on, but I just want to ask you about that fragment. Did I read that correctly?  A Yes. Yes.  Q How do you define "supportive regulation"?  A I define supportive regulation as regulation that allows the utility to earn a fair return that can be healthy and continue to deliver service to its	1 Q To be supportive, yeah. 2 A I don't think it's a yes-or-no answer. 3 Q But if I asked you for one 4 A So will you ask me again. 5 Q Hypothetically, yes or no, if the Commission disallows a cost, should it nevertheless pass it to ratepayers in order to be a supportive regulator? 8 A No. 9 Q Okay. I'd like to move on to page 23. And there you're talking about the multi-year rate plan; correct? 11 A Yes. 12 Q And I'd also like to start with the end of your discussion of the multi-year rate plan, which I believe is on page 27 and the top of page 28. 15 A I'm on the end of page 27. 16 Q And there, your argument — well, you're testifying that Commission Staff is not providing for similar treatment between PSE and Avista; correct? 19 A That's our understanding.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	end of 2024, but we'll have very little breathing room.  Q I apologize. I phrased the question poorly.  This tax credit's contribution to Avista's cash flow issues will ameliorate over the near term correct? in the fall because you're going to stop returning cash?  A For that particular tax credit, yes.  Q Okay. I'd like to jump forward to page 10.  I'm there.  Q At the very bottom, you write and quote, "Clearly, the proposals of the parties in this case are not a good indicator of supportive regulation," end quote. I mean, the sentence goes on, but I just want to ask you about that fragment. Did I read that correctly?  A Yes. Yes.  Q How do you define "supportive regulation"?  A I define supportive regulation as regulation that allows the utility to earn a fair return that can be healthy and continue to deliver service to its customers.	1 Q To be supportive, yeah. 2 A I don't think it's a yes-or-no answer. 3 Q But if I asked you for one 4 A So will you ask me again. 5 Q Hypothetically, yes or no, if the Commission disallows a cost, should it nevertheless pass it to ratepayers in order to be a supportive regulator? 8 A No. 9 Q Okay. I'd like to move on to page 23. And there you're talking about the multi-year rate plan; correct? 11 A Yes. 12 Q And I'd also like to start with the end of your discussion of the multi-year rate plan, which I believe is on page 27 and the top of page 28. 15 A I'm on the end of page 27. 16 Q And there, your argument — well, you're testifying that Commission Staff is not providing for similar treatment between PSE and Avista; correct? 19 A That's our understanding.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	end of 2024, but we'll have very little breathing room.  Q I apologize. I phrased the question poorly.  This tax credit's contribution to Avista's cash flow issues will ameliorate over the near term correct? in the fall because you're going to stop returning cash?  A For that particular tax credit, yes.  Q Okay. I'd like to jump forward to page 10.  I'm there.  Q At the very bottom, you write and quote, "Clearly, the proposals of the parties in this case are not a good indicator of supportive regulation," end quote. I mean, the sentence goes on, but I just want to ask you about that fragment. Did I read that correctly?  A Yes. Yes.  Q How do you define "supportive regulation"?  A I define supportive regulation as regulation that allows the utility to earn a fair return that can be healthy and continue to deliver service to its customers.  Q So hypothetically, if the parties are right and those	1 Q To be supportive, yeah. 2 A I don't think it's a yes-or-no answer. 3 Q But if I asked you for one 4 A So will you ask me again. 5 Q Hypothetically, yes or no, if the Commission disallows a cost, should it nevertheless pass it to ratepayers in order to be a supportive regulator? 8 A No. 9 Q Okay. I'd like to move on to page 23. And there you're talking about the multi-year rate plan; correct? 11 A Yes. 12 Q And I'd also like to start with the end of your discussion of the multi-year rate plan, which I believe is on page 27 and the top of page 28. 15 A I'm on the end of page 27. 16 Q And there, your argument well, you're testifying that Commission Staff is not providing for similar treatment between PSE and Avista; correct? 19 A That's our understanding. 20 Q Are Avista and PSE similarly similar entities under State law?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	end of 2024, but we'll have very little breathing room.  Q I apologize. I phrased the question poorly.  This tax credit's contribution to Avista's cash flow issues will ameliorate over the near term correct? in the fall because you're going to stop returning cash?  A For that particular tax credit, yes.  Q Okay. I'd like to jump forward to page 10.  A I'm there.  Q At the very bottom, you write and quote, "Clearly, the proposals of the parties in this case are not a good indicator of supportive regulation," end quote. I mean, the sentence goes on, but I just want to ask you about that fragment. Did I read that correctly?  A Yes. Yes.  Q How do you define "supportive regulation"?  A I define supportive regulation as regulation that allows the utility to earn a fair return that can be healthy and continue to deliver service to its customers.  Q So hypothetically, if the parties are right and those costs aren't properly passed to customers, should the	1 Q To be supportive, yeah. 2 A I don't think it's a yes-or-no answer. 3 Q But if I asked you for one 4 A So will you ask me again. 5 Q Hypothetically, yes or no, if the Commission disallows a cost, should it nevertheless pass it to ratepayers in order to be a supportive regulator? 8 A No. 9 Q Okay. I'd like to move on to page 23. And there you're talking about the multi-year rate plan; correct? 11 A Yes. 12 Q And I'd also like to start with the end of your discussion of the multi-year rate plan, which I believe is on page 27 and the top of page 28. 15 A I'm on the end of page 27. 16 Q And there, your argument – well, you're testifying that Commission Staff is not providing for similar treatment between PSE and Avista; correct? 19 A That's our understanding. 20 Q Are Avista and PSE similarly – similar entities under State law? 22 A We're both investor-owned utilities.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	end of 2024, but we'll have very little breathing room.  Q I apologize. I phrased the question poorly.  This tax credit's contribution to Avista's cash flow issues will ameliorate over the near term correct? in the fall because you're going to stop returning cash?  A For that particular tax credit, yes.  Q Okay. I'd like to jump forward to page 10.  A I'm there.  Q At the very bottom, you write and quote, "Clearly, the proposals of the parties in this case are not a good indicator of supportive regulation," end quote. I mean, the sentence goes on, but I just want to ask you about that fragment. Did I read that correctly?  A Yes. Yes.  Q How do you define "supportive regulation"?  A I define supportive regulation as regulation that allows the utility to earn a fair return that can be healthy and continue to deliver service to its customers.  Q So hypothetically, if the parties are right and those costs aren't properly passed to customers, should the Commission include them in Avista's revenue requirement	1 Q To be supportive, yeah. 2 A I don't think it's a yes-or-no answer. 3 Q But if I asked you for one 4 A So will you ask me again. 5 Q Hypothetically, yes or no, if the Commission disallows a cost, should it nevertheless pass it to ratepayers in order to be a supportive regulator? 8 A No. 9 Q Okay. I'd like to move on to page 23. And there you're talking about the multi-year rate plan; correct? 11 A Yes. 12 Q And I'd also like to start with the end of your discussion of the multi-year rate plan, which I believe is on page 27 and the top of page 28. 15 A I'm on the end of page 27. 16 Q And there, your argument well, you're testifying that Commission Staff is not providing for similar treatment between PSE and Avista; correct? 19 A That's our understanding. 20 Q Are Avista and PSE similarly similar entities under State law?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	end of 2024, but we'll have very little breathing room.  Q I apologize. I phrased the question poorly.  This tax credit's contribution to Avista's cash flow issues will ameliorate over the near term correct? in the fall because you're going to stop returning cash?  A For that particular tax credit, yes.  Q Okay. I'd like to jump forward to page 10.  A I'm there.  Q At the very bottom, you write and quote, "Clearly, the proposals of the parties in this case are not a good indicator of supportive regulation," end quote. I mean, the sentence goes on, but I just want to ask you about that fragment. Did I read that correctly?  A Yes. Yes.  Q How do you define "supportive regulation"?  A I define supportive regulation as regulation that allows the utility to earn a fair return that can be healthy and continue to deliver service to its customers.  Q So hypothetically, if the parties are right and those costs aren't properly passed to customers, should the	1 Q To be supportive, yeah. 2 A I don't think it's a yes-or-no answer. 3 Q But if I asked you for one 4 A So will you ask me again. 5 Q Hypothetically, yes or no, if the Commission disallows a cost, should it nevertheless pass it to ratepayers in order to be a supportive regulator? 8 A No. 9 Q Okay. I'd like to move on to page 23. And there you're talking about the multi-year rate plan; correct? 11 A Yes. 12 Q And I'd also like to start with the end of your discussion of the multi-year rate plan, which I believe is on page 27 and the top of page 28. 15 A I'm on the end of page 27. 16 Q And there, your argument – well, you're testifying that Commission Staff is not providing for similar treatment between PSE and Avista; correct? 19 A That's our understanding. 20 Q Are Avista and PSE similarly – similar entities under State law? 21 A We're both investor-owned utilities. 22 Q Is Avista a large combination utility, as state law

Page 106 Page 108 Q Is PSE? 1 1 Q And as we talked about at the start of your cross, that 2 2 That's my understanding. is when there was a surge in inflation; correct? 3 3 Fair enough. A It happened during the pendency of that case -- or 4 4 On page 24, starting at line 6, you say that you those cases. 5 5 understand the benefits of staggering major utility Q And in your testimony, you talk about the difficulties 6 rate filings; correct? 6 for utilities operating in a high-inflationary 7 7 A I'm sorry. Which line? environment; correct? 8 Q I believe it's line 6. 8 9 A Yes. 9 Q So should Staff be indifferent to those kinds of 10 Q What are some of those benefits? 10 circumstances when looking at utility rate filing? 11 11 A Can you describe which circumstances. A I believe that staggering the rate cases, if it were 12 12 possible, would help to spread the workload among the Q A new law in a high-inflationary environment. 13 13 A I believe that both of those occurrences or factors are various parties. 14 Q And that would lead to better vetting of the two cases; something that we've all had to manage through. 14 15 15 Q I guess my guestion is -- should Staff have pushed for 16 a three-year rate plan for PSE given skyrocketing 16 A I believe it would lead to more time. I don't know 17 that it would create a -- better vetting. The 17 inflation and a new law? 18 schedules are similar either way. 18 ATTY MEYER: Object to the form of the 19 Q Would you agree that, if an analyst has more time with 19 question. Asking this witness to speculate on what 20 a filing, he or she can do a better job looking for 20 some other party should have done. 21 21 issues, finding errors, those kinds of things? JUDGE BROWN: Rephrase the question. 22 A I think, if an analyst has more time and they have 22 Q BY ATTY ROBERSON: Well, you describe Staff as settling 23 23 nothing else in which to focus upon, yes, they could do on a two-year rate plan; correct? a better job on a single issue. I suspect they also 24 24 A Correct. 25 25 have multiple cases going on at the same time, Q "Settling" is a pejorative term; correct? Page 107 Page 109 1 regardless of GRCs or otherwise. 1 A I think settling is an action that's taken at times in 2 Q But if PSE and Avista were staggered, there would be 2 a rate case proceeding. 3 one fewer; correct? For that analyst? 3 Q You're using "settle" in the legal context. Okay. 4 4 A Correct. Okay. Fair enough. 5 Q And these are not small filings. Is that fair to say? 5 Let's talk about alignment with the CEIP. On A They are significant. 6 6 page 25, starting line 17, you stated that the company 7 Q Is another benefit, I guess, intervener resource 7 has a draft IRP that does not require any resources; 8 allocations that can better participate in each of the 8 correct? 9 filings? 9 A We state that there are no meaningful investments or 10 A Potentially. 10 costs that are related to compliance for CEIP. 11 Q Okay. Moving back up to page 23 -- I believe it's 11 Q Does the company have a CEIP tracker? 12 lines 18 through 20 -- you describe the work that Staff 12 A What do you mean by a "tracker"? would need to put in processing the second year of the 13 13 Q A deferral mechanism. rate plan as "simple"; correct? 14 14 We do. Α 15 A Not quite correct. We said "simply put." 15 Q Is it deferring costs on it? 16 Q Simply put. Fair enough. 16 A Can you repeat that. 17 Two other things I want to talk about, I guess. 17 Q Is it deferring costs into that deferral mechanism? 18 On page 25, you talk about Avista's clear intentions to 18 Α There are some costs being deferred in that mechanism. 19 file on a two-year cycle and PSE's filing for a 19 Q And in the order approving the deferral, the Commission 20 three-year rate plan initially; correct? 20 indicated it wanted to review those costs for prudence 21 A When referring to our prior case and Puget's prior 21 in the next GRC; correct? 22 case. Correct. 22 A I don't know that. 23 Q And those were the first GRCs filing -- filed under the 23 Q Subject to check? 24 multi-year rate plan statute; correct? 24 A Subject to check. 25 A That's my understanding. 25 Q I think that's all I have. Thank you.

	Page 110			Page 112
1	JUDGE BROWN: I believe Public Counsel is	1	Q	Through COVID?
2	next.	2	Α	Correct.
3	ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Thank you, Your	3	Q	Through the inflation that spiked after the COVID
4	Honor.	4		recovery?
5		5	Α	Yes.
6	CROSS-EXAMINATION	6	Q	And even into today?
7	BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL:	7	Α	Yes.
8	Q Good morning, Mr. Christie.	8	Q	Have you or do you know whether the board has ever
9	A Good morning.	9		considered not increasing the dividend in a year
10	Q Just a quick follow-up on Mr. Roberson's question.	10		because of financial pressures?
11	Have you calculated the impact of the rate cut,	11	Α	There's always robust conversation at the board level
12	the 50-basis-point rate cut as well as the fall in	12		around dividend and the size of the dividend and
13	utility bond yields on your rate filing in your	13		whether it's appropriate to proceed.
14	rebuttal testimony?	14	Q	If there were financial struggles, that is an option?
15	A No. I think Mr. McKenzie is in a better position to	15		To not increase the dividend?
16	answer that question.	16		It is one tool.
17	Q It's lower inflation, lower utility bond rates is	17		Even not issue a dividend in a year; right?
18	credit improvement for your company; is that right?	18	Α	If we were to not issue a dividend in a year, without
19	A It can be, all other things remaining equal.	19		other very extenuating circumstances, the market
20	Q I in speaking with your counsel, I had some exhibits			reaction would be very adverse.
21	for Mr. Vermillion that I wanted to talk through with	21	Q	Do you know how much money that Avista has paid in
22	you in exchange for not testifying. Have you had a	22		dividends since 2010?
23	chance to review those exhibits?	23		I don't have that number, off the top of my head.
24	A I have.	24	Q	It's in the testimony. Subject to subject to check,
25	Q Okay. Do you have in front of you what was	25		would it surprise you that the amount since 2010 is
	Page 111			Page 113
1	Public Counsel Exhibit Cross Exhibit 2? It's now	1		\$1.337 billion?
2	been designated as 4X DPD-4XC.	2	Α	No.
3	A Can you repeat that number for me, please.	3		Since 2015, according to the chart that was submitted
4	Q I believe it's DPD-4X is the designation from the	4		in direct testimony, Avista has paid \$997 million in
5	counsel. I think it was our second. It is if it	5		dividends the last ten years, essentially. Does that
6	helps you find it	6		number surprise you?
7	A Yes. I have it here.	7		No. Again, subject to check.
8	Q It's a press release dated February 7th, 2024; correct?	8	Q	How much money did you pay in dividends last year?
9	A Yes.	9	Α	I don't have that number, off the top of my head.
	Q The quarterly dividend at that time was raised to 475 a	1 10		
10	a The quarterly dividend at that time was raised to 475 a	10	Q	Would it surprise you if it was a hundred over
10 11	share; is that correct?	11	Q	Would it surprise you if it was a hundred over \$140 million?
11	share; is that correct?	11		\$140 million? That sounds about right. What are you on course to pay this year?
11 12	share; is that correct?  A 47 and a half cents a share.	11 12	A <b>Q</b> A	\$140 million? That sounds about right. What are you on course to pay this year? About 4 percent greater than that.
11 12 13	<ul> <li>share; is that correct?</li> <li>A 47 and a half cents a share.</li> <li>Q And this is the 22nd consecutive year that the board has raised dividends; is that correct?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> </ul>	11 12 13	A <b>Q</b> A	\$140 million? That sounds about right. What are you on course to pay this year? About 4 percent greater than that. If you look at the exhibit, Mr. Vermillion has a quote
11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>share; is that correct?</li> <li>A 47 and a half cents a share.</li> <li>Q And this is the 22nd consecutive year that the board has raised dividends; is that correct?</li> </ul>	11 12 13 14 15	A <b>Q</b> A	\$140 million? That sounds about right. What are you on course to pay this year? About 4 percent greater than that.
11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>share; is that correct?</li> <li>A 47 and a half cents a share.</li> <li>Q And this is the 22nd consecutive year that the board has raised dividends; is that correct?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q 22 years means that this record started in 2022 [sic]; is that correct?</li> </ul>	11 12 13 14 15 16	A <b>Q</b> A <b>Q</b>	\$140 million? That sounds about right. What are you on course to pay this year? About 4 percent greater than that. If you look at the exhibit, Mr. Vermillion has a quote
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>share; is that correct?</li> <li>A 47 and a half cents a share.</li> <li>Q And this is the 22nd consecutive year that the board has raised dividends; is that correct?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q 22 years means that this record started in 2022 [sic]; is that correct?</li> <li>A By my math.</li> </ul>	11 12 13 14 15	A <b>Q</b> A <b>Q</b>	\$140 million? That sounds about right. What are you on course to pay this year? About 4 percent greater than that. If you look at the exhibit, Mr. Vermillion has a quote in here. And he's the outgoing CEO? He's retiring; is that right? That is correct.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>share; is that correct?</li> <li>A 47 and a half cents a share.</li> <li>Q And this is the 22nd consecutive year that the board has raised dividends; is that correct?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q 22 years means that this record started in 2022 [sic]; is that correct?</li> </ul>	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A <b>Q</b> A <b>Q</b>	\$140 million? That sounds about right. What are you on course to pay this year? About 4 percent greater than that. If you look at the exhibit, Mr. Vermillion has a quote in here. And he's the outgoing CEO? He's retiring; is that right? That is correct. Do you know who his replacement is yet?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>share; is that correct?</li> <li>A 47 and a half cents a share.</li> <li>Q And this is the 22nd consecutive year that the board has raised dividends; is that correct?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q 22 years means that this record started in 2022 [sic]; is that correct?</li> <li>A By my math.</li> <li>Q That's shortly after the Western energy crisis; correct?</li> </ul>	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A <b>Q</b> A <b>Q</b> A	\$140 million? That sounds about right. What are you on course to pay this year? About 4 percent greater than that. If you look at the exhibit, Mr. Vermillion has a quote in here. And he's the outgoing CEO? He's retiring; is that right? That is correct. Do you know who his replacement is yet? It's Ms. Heather Rosentrater.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>share; is that correct?</li> <li>A 47 and a half cents a share.</li> <li>Q And this is the 22nd consecutive year that the board has raised dividends; is that correct?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q 22 years means that this record started in 2022 [sic]; is that correct?</li> <li>A By my math.</li> <li>Q That's shortly after the Western energy crisis; correct?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> </ul>	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A <b>Q</b> A <b>Q</b> A	\$140 million? That sounds about right. What are you on course to pay this year? About 4 percent greater than that. If you look at the exhibit, Mr. Vermillion has a quote in here. And he's the outgoing CEO? He's retiring; is that right? That is correct. Do you know who his replacement is yet? It's Ms. Heather Rosentrater. He writes that "The dividend increase approved by the
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>share; is that correct?</li> <li>A 47 and a half cents a share.</li> <li>Q And this is the 22nd consecutive year that the board has raised dividends; is that correct?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q 22 years means that this record started in 2022 [sic]; is that correct?</li> <li>A By my math.</li> <li>Q That's shortly after the Western energy crisis; correct?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And through what's been called The Great Recession,</li> </ul>	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A <b>Q</b> A <b>Q</b> A	\$140 million? That sounds about right. What are you on course to pay this year? About 4 percent greater than that. If you look at the exhibit, Mr. Vermillion has a quote in here. And he's the outgoing CEO? He's retiring; is that right? That is correct. Do you know who his replacement is yet? It's Ms. Heather Rosentrater. He writes that "The dividend increase approved by the board of directors marks the 22nd consecutive year the
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>share; is that correct?</li> <li>A 47 and a half cents a share.</li> <li>Q And this is the 22nd consecutive year that the board has raised dividends; is that correct?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q 22 years means that this record started in 2022 [sic]; is that correct?</li> <li>A By my math.</li> <li>Q That's shortly after the Western energy crisis; correct?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And through what's been called The Great Recession, Avista's been able to maintain dividend increases; is</li> </ul>	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q A Q A Q	\$140 million? That sounds about right. What are you on course to pay this year? About 4 percent greater than that. If you look at the exhibit, Mr. Vermillion has a quote in here. And he's the outgoing CEO? He's retiring; is that right? That is correct. Do you know who his replacement is yet? It's Ms. Heather Rosentrater. He writes that "The dividend increase approved by the board of directors marks the 22nd consecutive year the board has raised the dividend for our shareholders. I
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>share; is that correct?</li> <li>A 47 and a half cents a share.</li> <li>Q And this is the 22nd consecutive year that the board has raised dividends; is that correct?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q 22 years means that this record started in 2022 [sic]; is that correct?</li> <li>A By my math.</li> <li>Q That's shortly after the Western energy crisis; correct?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And through what's been called The Great Recession,</li> </ul>	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q A Q A Q	\$140 million? That sounds about right. What are you on course to pay this year? About 4 percent greater than that. If you look at the exhibit, Mr. Vermillion has a quote in here. And he's the outgoing CEO? He's retiring; is that right? That is correct. Do you know who his replacement is yet? It's Ms. Heather Rosentrater. He writes that "The dividend increase approved by the board of directors marks the 22nd consecutive year the

	Page 11	.4 Page 116
1	Did I read that correctly?	1 Q And you gave all of the information about your
2	A That is a quote.	2 financial performance to those rating agencies;
3	Q Does the board of directors for Avista is it	3 correct?
4	committed to maximizing shareholder value?	4 A That is correct.
5	A It's one of their duties one of their duties as a	5 Q You didn't mislead them about your financial situation
6	fiduciary duty to the shareholder. And our board	6 or your metrics, did you?
7	understands that it's important to balance all the	7 A We provide accurate information to our rate agencies.
8	stakeholders in order to honor the returns to	8 Q So they know about the actual returns versus awarded
9	shareholders but also take good care of our customers.	-
10	Q Mr. Vermillion didn't say that in this statement. He	10 A They're aware.
11	just said that the board is committed to maximizing	11 Q If you could turn to what was PC4 for Mr. Vermillion
12	shareholder value.	and is now it's DPV-6X. This is Avista's Response
13	A That is one of the things they're committed to.	to UTC's Staff Data Request 10, Attachment E, which is
14	Q And that's all he said in this ex in this press	14 the most recent Moody's credit rating opinion.
15	release?	15 A I have it in front of me.
16	A In this press release.	16 Q They rated you as stable; is that correct?
17	Q I take it, as of February 2024 when this was issued,	17 A Moody's has, but S&P has not.
18	that the board was not so concerned about a lack of	
19	portfolio adjustment error that would threaten the	19 Moody's issued another credit opinion as to Avista. Do
20	stability of the company, that it was not able to	you know what they rated you as in that 2024 filing?
21	increase dividends in 2024. Is that fair?	21 A Are you referring to the August 16
22	A The board is very concerned about power supply costs	, ,
23	Q But not enough to not increase dividends this year?	23 I'm aware that, in this month, they issued the 2024
24	A They increased dividends this year.	24 update. And I want you to confirm that you are rated
25	Q You're aware that Avista has proposed an increase i	
	Page 11	5 Page 117
1	Page 11 executive compensation as part of this rate case; is	Page 117  A I have that in front of me. They rated us as stable
1 2		
	executive compensation as part of this rate case; is	A I have that in front of me. They rated us as stable
2	executive compensation as part of this rate case; is that correct?	A I have that in front of me. They rated us as stable with both opportunity and challenges.  Q Okay. Let's go back to the one that is part of the case. At page 1, the second full paragraph, it says
2	executive compensation as part of this rate case; is that correct?  A Can you rephrase.	A I have that in front of me. They rated us as stable with both opportunity and challenges.  Q Okay. Let's go back to the one that is part of the
2 3 4	executive compensation as part of this rate case; is that correct?  A Can you rephrase.  Q Are you aware that Avista has proposed an increase in	A I have that in front of me. They rated us as stable with both opportunity and challenges.  Q Okay. Let's go back to the one that is part of the case. At page 1, the second full paragraph, it says
2 3 4 5	executive compensation as part of this rate case; is that correct?  A Can you rephrase.  Q Are you aware that Avista has proposed an increase in executive compensation as a part of this rate case?	A I have that in front of me. They rated us as stable with both opportunity and challenges.  Q Okay. Let's go back to the one that is part of the case. At page 1, the second full paragraph, it says "Avista's operating performance remains strong despite the weak financial metrics that were caused primarily by low cash flow generation due to a customer tax
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>executive compensation as part of this rate case; is that correct?</li> <li>A Can you rephrase.</li> <li>Q Are you aware that Avista has proposed an increase in executive compensation as a part of this rate case?</li> <li>A The component of executive compensation that customers</li> </ul>	A I have that in front of me. They rated us as stable with both opportunity and challenges.  Q Okay. Let's go back to the one that is part of the case. At page 1, the second full paragraph, it says "Avista's operating performance remains strong despite the weak financial metrics that were caused primarily by low cash flow generation due to a customer tax credit implemented in 2021 to offset tax increases" —
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>executive compensation as part of this rate case; is that correct?</li> <li>A Can you rephrase.</li> <li>Q Are you aware that Avista has proposed an increase in executive compensation as a part of this rate case?</li> <li>A The component of executive compensation that customers would provide, yes.</li> </ul>	A I have that in front of me. They rated us as stable with both opportunity and challenges.  Q Okay. Let's go back to the one that is part of the case. At page 1, the second full paragraph, it says "Avista's operating performance remains strong despite the weak financial metrics that were caused primarily by low cash flow generation due to a customer tax credit implemented in 2021 to offset tax increases" or "rate increases" excuse me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>executive compensation as part of this rate case; is that correct?</li> <li>A Can you rephrase.</li> <li>Q Are you aware that Avista has proposed an increase in executive compensation as a part of this rate case?</li> <li>A The component of executive compensation that customers would provide, yes.</li> <li>Q Mr. Garrett, Public Counsel expert, testified about</li> </ul>	A I have that in front of me. They rated us as stable with both opportunity and challenges.  Q Okay. Let's go back to the one that is part of the case. At page 1, the second full paragraph, it says "Avista's operating performance remains strong despite the weak financial metrics that were caused primarily by low cash flow generation due to a customer tax credit implemented in 2021 to offset tax increases" or "rate increases" excuse me.  Did I read that correctly?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>executive compensation as part of this rate case; is that correct?</li> <li>A Can you rephrase.</li> <li>Q Are you aware that Avista has proposed an increase in executive compensation as a part of this rate case?</li> <li>A The component of executive compensation that customers would provide, yes.</li> <li>Q Mr. Garrett, Public Counsel expert, testified about that executive compensation. Have you had an</li> </ul>	A I have that in front of me. They rated us as stable with both opportunity and challenges.  Q Okay. Let's go back to the one that is part of the case. At page 1, the second full paragraph, it says "Avista's operating performance remains strong despite the weak financial metrics that were caused primarily by low cash flow generation due to a customer tax credit implemented in 2021 to offset tax increases" or "rate increases" excuse me.  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	executive compensation as part of this rate case; is that correct?  A Can you rephrase.  Q Are you aware that Avista has proposed an increase in executive compensation as a part of this rate case?  A The component of executive compensation that customers would provide, yes.  Q Mr. Garrett, Public Counsel expert, testified about that executive compensation. Have you had an opportunity to review his testimony?	A I have that in front of me. They rated us as stable with both opportunity and challenges.  Q Okay. Let's go back to the one that is part of the case. At page 1, the second full paragraph, it says "Avista's operating performance remains strong despite the weak financial metrics that were caused primarily by low cash flow generation due to a customer tax credit implemented in 2021 to offset tax increases" or "rate increases" excuse me.  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes.  Q The cash the customer tax credit was the tax credit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	executive compensation as part of this rate case; is that correct?  A Can you rephrase.  Q Are you aware that Avista has proposed an increase in executive compensation as a part of this rate case?  A The component of executive compensation that customers would provide, yes.  Q Mr. Garrett, Public Counsel expert, testified about that executive compensation. Have you had an opportunity to review his testimony?  A Can you remind me of the	A I have that in front of me. They rated us as stable with both opportunity and challenges.  Q Okay. Let's go back to the one that is part of the case. At page 1, the second full paragraph, it says "Avista's operating performance remains strong despite the weak financial metrics that were caused primarily by low cash flow generation due to a customer tax credit implemented in 2021 to offset tax increases" or "rate increases" excuse me.  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes.  Q The cash the customer tax credit was the tax credit that you were talking about with Mr. Roberson; is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	executive compensation as part of this rate case; is that correct?  A Can you rephrase.  Q Are you aware that Avista has proposed an increase in executive compensation as a part of this rate case?  A The component of executive compensation that customers would provide, yes.  Q Mr. Garrett, Public Counsel expert, testified about that executive compensation. Have you had an opportunity to review his testimony?  A Can you remind me of the  Q It's not in your exhibit. I'm just questioning have	A I have that in front of me. They rated us as stable with both opportunity and challenges.  Q Okay. Let's go back to the one that is part of the case. At page 1, the second full paragraph, it says "Avista's operating performance remains strong despite the weak financial metrics that were caused primarily by low cash flow generation due to a customer tax credit implemented in 2021 to offset tax increases" or "rate increases" excuse me.  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes.  Q The cash the customer tax credit was the tax credit that you were talking about with Mr. Roberson; is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	executive compensation as part of this rate case; is that correct?  A Can you rephrase.  Q Are you aware that Avista has proposed an increase in executive compensation as a part of this rate case?  A The component of executive compensation that customers would provide, yes.  Q Mr. Garrett, Public Counsel expert, testified about that executive compensation. Have you had an opportunity to review his testimony?  A Can you remind me of the  Q It's not in your exhibit. I'm just questioning have you read Mr. Garrett's testimony?	A I have that in front of me. They rated us as stable with both opportunity and challenges.  Q Okay. Let's go back to the one that is part of the case. At page 1, the second full paragraph, it says "Avista's operating performance remains strong despite the weak financial metrics that were caused primarily by low cash flow generation due to a customer tax credit implemented in 2021 to offset tax increases" or "rate increases" excuse me.  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes.  Q The cash the customer tax credit was the tax credit that you were talking about with Mr. Roberson; is that correct?  A I believe so.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	executive compensation as part of this rate case; is that correct?  A Can you rephrase.  Q Are you aware that Avista has proposed an increase in executive compensation as a part of this rate case?  A The component of executive compensation that customers would provide, yes.  Q Mr. Garrett, Public Counsel expert, testified about that executive compensation. Have you had an opportunity to review his testimony?  A Can you remind me of the  Q It's not in your exhibit. I'm just questioning have you read Mr. Garrett's testimony?  A I reviewed his testimony.	A I have that in front of me. They rated us as stable with both opportunity and challenges.  Q Okay. Let's go back to the one that is part of the case. At page 1, the second full paragraph, it says "Avista's operating performance remains strong despite the weak financial metrics that were caused primarily by low cash flow generation due to a customer tax credit implemented in 2021 to offset tax increases" or "rate increases" excuse me.  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes.  Q The cash the customer tax credit was the tax credit that you were talking about with Mr. Roberson; is that correct?  A I believe so.  Q And that is that expired or is set to be done by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	executive compensation as part of this rate case; is that correct?  A Can you rephrase.  Q Are you aware that Avista has proposed an increase in executive compensation as a part of this rate case?  A The component of executive compensation that customers would provide, yes.  Q Mr. Garrett, Public Counsel expert, testified about that executive compensation. Have you had an opportunity to review his testimony?  A Can you remind me of the  Q It's not in your exhibit. I'm just questioning have you read Mr. Garrett's testimony?  A I reviewed his testimony.  Q He testified that hourly employees and middle	A I have that in front of me. They rated us as stable with both opportunity and challenges.  Q Okay. Let's go back to the one that is part of the case. At page 1, the second full paragraph, it says "Avista's operating performance remains strong despite the weak financial metrics that were caused primarily by low cash flow generation due to a customer tax credit implemented in 2021 to offset tax increases" or "rate increases" excuse me.  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes.  Q The cash the customer tax credit was the tax credit that you were talking about with Mr. Roberson; is that correct?  A I believe so.  Q And that is that expired or is set to be done by the end of this year?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	executive compensation as part of this rate case; is that correct?  A Can you rephrase.  Q Are you aware that Avista has proposed an increase in executive compensation as a part of this rate case?  A The component of executive compensation that customers would provide, yes.  Q Mr. Garrett, Public Counsel expert, testified about that executive compensation. Have you had an opportunity to review his testimony?  A Can you remind me of the  Q It's not in your exhibit. I'm just questioning have you read Mr. Garrett's testimony?  A I reviewed his testimony.  Q He testified that hourly employees and middle management at municipality utilities earn approximately	A I have that in front of me. They rated us as stable with both opportunity and challenges.  Q Okay. Let's go back to the one that is part of the case. At page 1, the second full paragraph, it says "Avista's operating performance remains strong despite the weak financial metrics that were caused primarily by low cash flow generation due to a customer tax credit implemented in 2021 to offset tax increases" or "rate increases" excuse me.  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes.  Q The cash the customer tax credit was the tax credit that you were talking about with Mr. Roberson; is that correct?  A I believe so.  Q And that is that expired or is set to be done by the end of this year?  A It is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	executive compensation as part of this rate case; is that correct?  A Can you rephrase.  Q Are you aware that Avista has proposed an increase in executive compensation as a part of this rate case?  A The component of executive compensation that customers would provide, yes.  Q Mr. Garrett, Public Counsel expert, testified about that executive compensation. Have you had an opportunity to review his testimony?  A Can you remind me of the  Q It's not in your exhibit. I'm just questioning have you read Mr. Garrett's testimony?  A I reviewed his testimony.  Q He testified that hourly employees and middle management at municipality utilities earn approximately the same amount of pay as hourly and middle management.	A I have that in front of me. They rated us as stable with both opportunity and challenges.  Q Okay. Let's go back to the one that is part of the case. At page 1, the second full paragraph, it says "Avista's operating performance remains strong despite the weak financial metrics that were caused primarily by low cash flow generation due to a customer tax credit implemented in 2021 to offset tax increases" or "rate increases" excuse me.  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes.  Q The cash the customer tax credit was the tax credit that you were talking about with Mr. Roberson; is that correct?  A I believe so.  A I believe so.  A I believe so.  A I believe so.  Moody's uses FFO I think that you call it FFO; is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	executive compensation as part of this rate case; is that correct?  A Can you rephrase.  Q Are you aware that Avista has proposed an increase in executive compensation as a part of this rate case?  A The component of executive compensation that customers would provide, yes.  Q Mr. Garrett, Public Counsel expert, testified about that executive compensation. Have you had an opportunity to review his testimony?  A Can you remind me of the  Q It's not in your exhibit. I'm just questioning have you read Mr. Garrett's testimony?  A I reviewed his testimony.  Q He testified that hourly employees and middle management at municipality utilities earn approximately the same amount of pay as hourly and middle management employees at Avista. Do you have any reason to doubt	A I have that in front of me. They rated us as stable with both opportunity and challenges.  Q Okay. Let's go back to the one that is part of the case. At page 1, the second full paragraph, it says "Avista's operating performance remains strong despite the weak financial metrics that were caused primarily by low cash flow generation due to a customer tax credit implemented in 2021 to offset tax increases" or "rate increases" excuse me.  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes.  Q The cash the customer tax credit was the tax credit that you were talking about with Mr. Roberson; is that correct?  A I believe so.  Q And that is that expired or is set to be done by the end of this year?  A It is.  Q Moody's uses FFO I think that you call it FFO; is that right? No. CFO pre-WC, which is cash flow from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	executive compensation as part of this rate case; is that correct?  A Can you rephrase.  Q Are you aware that Avista has proposed an increase in executive compensation as a part of this rate case?  A The component of executive compensation that customers would provide, yes.  Q Mr. Garrett, Public Counsel expert, testified about that executive compensation. Have you had an opportunity to review his testimony?  A Can you remind me of the  Q It's not in your exhibit. I'm just questioning have you read Mr. Garrett's testimony?  A I reviewed his testimony.  Q He testified that hourly employees and middle management at municipality utilities earn approximately the same amount of pay as hourly and middle management employees at Avista. Do you have any reason to doubt that?	A I have that in front of me. They rated us as stable with both opportunity and challenges.  Q Okay. Let's go back to the one that is part of the case. At page 1, the second full paragraph, it says "Avista's operating performance remains strong despite the weak financial metrics that were caused primarily by low cash flow generation due to a customer tax credit implemented in 2021 to offset tax increases" or "rate increases" excuse me.  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes.  Q The cash the customer tax credit was the tax credit that you were talking about with Mr. Roberson; is that correct?  A I believe so.  Q And that is that expired or is set to be done by the end of this year?  A It is.  Q Moody's uses FFO I think that you call it FFO; is that right? No. CFO pre-WC, which is cash flow from operations before changes in working capital to debt
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	executive compensation as part of this rate case; is that correct?  A Can you rephrase.  Q Are you aware that Avista has proposed an increase in executive compensation as a part of this rate case?  A The component of executive compensation that customers would provide, yes.  Q Mr. Garrett, Public Counsel expert, testified about that executive compensation. Have you had an opportunity to review his testimony?  A Can you remind me of the  Q It's not in your exhibit. I'm just questioning have you read Mr. Garrett's testimony?  A I reviewed his testimony.  Q He testified that hourly employees and middle management at municipality utilities earn approximately the same amount of pay as hourly and middle management employees at Avista. Do you have any reason to doubt that?  A That sounds about right.	A I have that in front of me. They rated us as stable with both opportunity and challenges.  Q Okay. Let's go back to the one that is part of the case. At page 1, the second full paragraph, it says "Avista's operating performance remains strong despite the weak financial metrics that were caused primarily by low cash flow generation due to a customer tax credit implemented in 2021 to offset tax increases" or "rate increases" excuse me.  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes.  Q The cash the customer tax credit was the tax credit that you were talking about with Mr. Roberson; is that correct?  A I believe so.  Q And that is that expired or is set to be done by the end of this year?  A It is.  Moody's uses FFO I think that you call it FFO; is that right? No. CFO pre-WC, which is cash flow from operations before changes in working capital to debt ratio. And that's a super-fancy way of saying how much
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	executive compensation as part of this rate case; is that correct?  A Can you rephrase.  Q Are you aware that Avista has proposed an increase in executive compensation as a part of this rate case?  A The component of executive compensation that customers would provide, yes.  Q Mr. Garrett, Public Counsel expert, testified about that executive compensation. Have you had an opportunity to review his testimony?  A Can you remind me of the  Q It's not in your exhibit. I'm just questioning have you read Mr. Garrett's testimony?  A I reviewed his testimony.  Q He testified that hourly employees and middle management at municipality utilities earn approximately the same amount of pay as hourly and middle managemee employees at Avista. Do you have any reason to doubt that?  A That sounds about right.  Q You testified that the Commission should be concerned	A I have that in front of me. They rated us as stable with both opportunity and challenges.  Q Okay. Let's go back to the one that is part of the case. At page 1, the second full paragraph, it says "Avista's operating performance remains strong despite the weak financial metrics that were caused primarily by low cash flow generation due to a customer tax credit implemented in 2021 to offset tax increases" or "rate increases" excuse me.  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes.  Q The cash the customer tax credit was the tax credit that you were talking about with Mr. Roberson; is that correct?  A I believe so.  Q And that is that expired or is set to be done by the end of this year?  A It is.  Moody's uses FFO I think that you call it FFO; is that right? No. CFO pre-WC, which is cash flow from operations before changes in working capital to debt ratio. And that's a super-fancy way of saying how much cash you're generating versus how much debt you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	executive compensation as part of this rate case; is that correct?  A Can you rephrase.  Q Are you aware that Avista has proposed an increase in executive compensation as a part of this rate case?  A The component of executive compensation that customers would provide, yes.  Q Mr. Garrett, Public Counsel expert, testified about that executive compensation. Have you had an opportunity to review his testimony?  A Can you remind me of the  Q It's not in your exhibit. I'm just questioning have you read Mr. Garrett's testimony?  A I reviewed his testimony.  Q He testified that hourly employees and middle management at municipality utilities earn approximately the same amount of pay as hourly and middle manageme employees at Avista. Do you have any reason to doubt that?  A That sounds about right.  Q You testified that the Commission should be concerned about a possible ratings downgrade and that that is one	A I have that in front of me. They rated us as stable with both opportunity and challenges.  Q Okay. Let's go back to the one that is part of the case. At page 1, the second full paragraph, it says "Avista's operating performance remains strong despite the weak financial metrics that were caused primarily by low cash flow generation due to a customer tax credit implemented in 2021 to offset tax increases" or "rate increases" excuse me.  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes.  Q The cash the customer tax credit was the tax credit that you were talking about with Mr. Roberson; is that correct?  A I believe so.  Q And that is that expired or is set to be done by the end of this year?  A It is.  Moody's uses FFO I think that you call it FFO; is that right? No. CFO pre-WC, which is cash flow from operations before changes in working capital to debt ratio. And that's a super-fancy way of saying how much

23

24

25

supply run-up.

Q Okay.

pull cash out of the organization, such as a power

A If that were to occur, then we can't say here whether

Page 118 Page 120 1 Q Yeah. And they look for a ratio of 17 percent for 1 or not we'd be above that threshold. 2 their ratings decisions; is that right? 2 Q But what would you be -- if they were the rates as you 3 A For our current credit rating, 17 percent. 3 requested right now, are you going to be above 4 4 Q If you look at page 2 of that document, you'll see that threshold? 5 5 there's factors that could lead to an upgrade, if it's A Assuming no event, we would be at or above threshold. 6 above 18 percent, and less dividends above 13 percent 6 Q How far above? 7 7 on a consistent basis. Do you see that? It's at the A I believe, if we're referring to -- I believe that 8 bottom paragraph there. 8 might be confidential information, actually. 9 9 A Oh. Q Fair. We don't have to go there. It's not in your 10 Q If the -- could be upgraded if that CFO to pre-WC --10 testimony, is it? that is sustained above 13 percent and less dividends 11 A It's not. 11 12 12 above 13 percent. Q It could be, but it's just not? 13 A It does say that. And it also points out factors that 13 A No. I think that we don't share that confidential could lead to a downgrade --14 14 information in testimony; therefore, it could -- could 15 Q Right. 15 be seen by other -- other parties outside of the case. Q Okay. 16 A -- a decline in the regulatory environment. 16 17 Q Right. And that would be if the cash flow to --17 Let's turn to the S&P rating. That's what's been 18 18 marked as DPV-7X. It is the Avista Response to UTC what -- to pre-WC, that that ratio remains below 19 14 percent? 19 Staff Data Request Number 10, Attachment L. 20 20 A That's, again, only one factor. A I'm there. 21 Q You said earlier in your examination with Mr. Roberson 21 Q And this is the Standard & Poor's rating. They're the 22 that it's your projection that, by the end of this 22 ones that use FFO, which is funds from operations? 23 23 year, you're going to attain that ratio. Did you mean A That is correct. 24 the 14 percent ratio? Or the 18 percent? 24 Q They're the ones that have the 13 percent target; 25 25 right? A I believe we're blending rating agencies. When we were Page 119 Page 121 1 talking before, we might have been talking about S&P. 1 A 14 percent target. 2 And those metrics are different levels and slightly 2 Q 14 percent target. Fair. 3 different in how they're calculated. We're below the 3 You will see, if you look on page 2 of that 4 4 document, that they assumed an FFO-to-date ratio -ratio for S&P. We're right at threshold for Moody's. 5 Q Okay. That's your projection for this calendar year? 5 -debt ratio of 13 to 14 percent in 2024. That's on 6 A By the end of the calendar year, barring some 6 page 2, under their outlook; is that correct? 7 unforeseen event like a power supply event, we would 7 A Can you point to about where on the page. 8 8 Q Page 2, under the subject "Outlook" just above where it expect to be at threshold. 9 Q Okay. Have you calculated what amount of revenue 9 says "downside scenario" --A Yes. 10 10 increase you would need to meet that threshold in 2025 11 or 2026, the rate years at issue here? 11 Q -- it's the last sentence. 12 12 A Yes. I see it there. Thank you. A We run scenarios. And in certain scenarios, we would 13 13 expect that metric to be above the threshold largely Q And that's the metrics that you're referring to that you are on course to meet barring another major event? 14 based on a good outcome -- or a constructive outcome in 14 15 this case. 15 A Correct. 14 being the floor. 16 Q At the current requested rebuttal rate, what is your 16 Q Okay. If you go down to the base case assumptions, 17 projection for how your -- I guess we can use Moody's, 17 which is on -- in a blue box on the next page, you'll 18 or we can use whichever is -- you're more familiar 18 see that, on their base case scenario, "continued use 19 with. What's your projection for whether or not you'll 19 of regulatory" -- "existing regulatory mechanisms." 20 20 Did I read that correctly? meet targets? 21 21 A Yes. A Again, that would be barring another event that would

Q Avista is planning to continue using existing

A That's actually the rating agency's assumption in their

regulatory mechanisms. That's fair?

22

23

24

25

modelina.

22

23

24

25

A Large majority of our rebuttal case.

A I don't have an exact number.

Q Okay. What's that majority? How much?

Q It also assumes that you're going to continue averaging

\$145 million annually in dividend payments. That's the

	Page 122		Page 124
_		_	
1	Q Right. And you are going to meet that assumption?	1	increase that you referred to earlier? The 4 percent
2	You're going to continue using these regulatory	2	above last year?
3	mechanisms like the ERM, like the deferrals, like the	3	A Roughly 4 percent, yes.
4	accounting trackers, et cetera?	4	Q And equity issuance of \$120 million in 2023. I believe
5	A Barring some commission change.  Q "Periodic and timely rate case filings." I think	5	you met that; is that correct?
6		6 7	A Approximately.
7	that's what you referred to, the need for why you		Q And you're on course to meet your equity issuance
8	need two years versus one; right?	8	targets for this year as well; correct?
9	A Correct.	9	A Barring our inability to issue equity in the fourth
10	Q And that's explicitly mentioned on the next bullet,	10	quarter, yes.
11	"implementation of multi-year rate case increase"?	11	Q In case you refinancing of all debt maturities
12	A Yes.	12	what debt maturities are outstanding? When are they due?
13	Q It assumes the refund of customer tax credits, which we	13	
14	know is going to end after this year?	14	A We have no near-term debt maturities due.
15	A Yes.	15	Q And then discretionary cash flow, that refers to how
16	Q No material "no material weakening in the company's	16	much cash you have on hand; is that correct?
17	capital structure." Do you know what "material" means	17	A I believe that's what they mean.
18	from this rating agency?	18	Q And the rating company already assumes that you're
19	A It would be hard for me to say what they're	19	going to have a negative discretionary cash flow over
20	Q Me too. I don't really know what they were talking	20	the forecast period; correct?
21	about. All right.	21	A I don't know what's in their model. I can't answer
22	Are you capital spending around \$500 million	22	that.
23	annually. Do you see that?	23	Q Well, they say that they're assuming a negative
24 25	A I do.  Q If this rate case were approved at the current	24 25	discretionary?  A That's their assumption.
	Page 123		Page 125
1		-	
1	requested levels from Avista, are you going to be able	1 2	Q And that's their base case?
2	to meet that target?	3	A That's what this says.
3	A We would likely proceed spending that amount of capital		Q Now, let's look at your earnings report. If you turn to what's been marked it's Exhibit 1 to
4	due to the need serve our customers. If we do not	4 5	Mr. Vermillion under Public Counsel, but it's been
5	receive constructive outcome in this case, we would	6	marked as DPV-3X. This is the second quarter earnings
6	then further under-earn, which would undermine the	7	presentation. I believe you actually were a presenter
7	rating calculation here.	8	and were present for this presentation.
8	Q How much money do you need in 2025 and 2026 to meet	9	A I'm there.
9	this assumption? Have you calculated that anywhere in	10	Q That's your picture on the front page?
10	your testimony?	11	A Not my favorite one.
11	A I believe that's what our rebuttal case is based upon.	12	Q If you would turn to page 4 of that document I
12	Q So you believe that, if you don't reach your rebuttal	13	should preface by saying the information contained in
13	case, you're not going to be able to meet this target?	14	this presentation is accurate. Is that fair?
14	That's your testimony?	15	A Yes.
15	A I believe that it's complicated and it's not just about	16	Q You break out your earnings by diluted share by
16	the dollars received. From a margin perspective, it	17	business segment, but there's also consolidated
17	would impact the ratings.	18	there at the bottom. And you break it out for
18	Q Okay. Do you know how much you would need in the next two years to meet the expectation of Standard & Poor's	19	quarter 2024 Quarter 2, 2024, over Quarter 2,
1 ^	TWO YEARS TO MEET THE EXPECTATION OF STANDARD & POOR'S	-	
19 20	to spend \$500 million expanding your capital base?	20	2023, and year to date; correct?

Q And in each instance there, Quarter 2022 -- or 2024 is

better than 2023; correct? Both in terms of the

quarter and in terms of the year to date?

A When it relates to the quarter, it's not as

22

23

24

25

A We do.

Page 126 Page 128 1 straightforward as "better." There's a shaping issue 1 A That's correct. 2 due to the tax credits that causes that number, and 2 Q That is an investment for the company? It's not 3 3 then -- positive or in balance, even though negative just -- it's a benefit, long term, for the company; 4 4 overall. correct? 5 5 Q All right. But it's 29 cents a share in 2024 Quarter 2 A It's a benefit for our customers because it provides 6 versus '23 last year? 6 for our ability to continue to serve them. 7 7 Q For every penny of capital, they have to pay more in A Due to a series of factors, yes. 8 Q You'll see here that you've confirmed your consolidated 8 rates. Fair? 9 9 earnings guidance of 2.36 to 2.56 per diluted share. A Net of depreciation. 10 So you're on course to meet those targets? 10 Q Let's look at page 9. Scroll back... A We confirmed guidance last quarter, yes. 11 You see there's a \$25 million debt maturity in 11 12 12 Q Let's look at page 5. 2028 and then a larger one in 2035. Do you have any 13 A I'm there. 13 evidence to submit to this commission that you are 14 Q And there's a column there that's called "Utility going to have difficulty refinancing those debt 14 15 Margin, Pre-tax." 15 maturities? 16 16 A Yes. A First, we will issue, likely, debt every single year to 17 Q And if you look at the -- you broke it out by 17 fund ongoing operations. 18 three months and six months. I'll look at the -- the 18 Q But you're not issuing debt this year, are you? 19 six months. The utility margin for the six months 19 A Yes. We did issue debt this year. 20 ending June 30 of 2024 was 550- -- I think that says 20 Q Okav. 21 "550 million" but I could be wrong. Is it 550 million? 21 A This is a replacement -- or I'm sorry -- these are debt 22 A For the 6 months ending, yes. 22 maturities that would be replaced, but we have ongoing 23 23 Q Right. And that is a \$54 million improvement on the operations that we also issue debt on. 24 year before; correct? For those 6 months? 24 Q Do you have any evidence to suggest you're not going to 25 A Yes. 25 be able to refinance those debt maturities? Page 127 Page 129 1 Q If you go to page 8... 1 A Or issue new debt. 2 A I'm there. 2 Q Or issue new debt. Well, I'm focused on the debt 3 Q You'll see that there's a \$500 million number in your 3 maturities because that's what your ratings commission 4 expected utilities spend for 2024, 525 million in 2025, 4 said -- or ratings agency said. 5 and 575 million in 2026. Did I see that? 5 Do you have any evidence to suggest you're going 6 A Yes. 6 to have difficulty refinancing those maturities? 7 7 Q Okay. The ratings agency said you needed to average A Those are both so far out in the future that it's hard 8 500-, but you've included an extra 100 million in the 8 to say. Assuming we have constructive outcomes and 9 9 next two years. Is that the actual base case for if markets -- power markets and what have you continue to 10 10 the Commission were to award the currently requested remain stable, we should be able to issue. 11 Q All right. Let's look back -- next at page 10. 11 revenue increase? 12 12 There's a third bullet point under the guidance A Can you repeat --13 13 Q You're planning for additional spending in this -assumptions. You write, "Effective August 1st we added 14 these next two rate years, '25 and '26? 14 a large electric customer in our service territory 15 A I believe the rating agency document you're referring 15 previously served by wholesale markets. The increase 16 to described that as their base case. 16 in utility margin due to the addition of this customer 17 Q Okay. So if -- in fact, if we were awarding, as you 17 is expected to offset substantially all of the forecast 1.8 requested in your rebuttal to fund this additional 18 impact of higher power supply costs on results in 19 \$100 million, it would be above base case? 19 2024." 20 20 Did I read that correctly? A It would be above the rating agency base case. 21 Q And to be clear, when Avista spends capital, they get a 21 A That's true for 2024. 22 return on that investment over time; correct? 22 Q In your testimony and rebuttal testimony of any of your 23 23 A Assuming rate relief. witnesses, did you disclose the impact of this addition 24 24 Q And you're asking for 10.25 percent return on that in August 1st substantially offsetting the 2024 higher 25 amount; correct? 25 power -- higher power supply costs on results in 2024?

	Page 130		Page 132
1	A I believe the case is about 2025 and 2026.	1 Hone	or.
2	Q Yeah. But you're also asking for an alteration of the	2	JUDGE BROWN: No problem.
3	ERM based on historical performance. And I'm asking		ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: I am interested in the
4	you if you updated your charts and your testimony based	•	4 Earnings Guidance and Outlook." And specifically
5	on the fact that you're going to be able to offset		e second paragraph, about halfway through that
6	substantially all of your power cost increase in 2024.		graph it indicates, "In addition, forward market
7	A We reviewed the impact of this new customer. And when	-	es have not changed significantly since last
8	we did that high-level analysis for 2025 and beyond, it	-	ter. As a result, the impact of the ERM on
9	would have created an additional 2 to 3 million of	=	ings is expected to be negative 7 cents per diluted
10	incremental revenue requirement need; and therefore, we		e for the full year within the 90 percent
11	decided, given where we're at in the case and the		omer/10 percent customer sharing band."
12	amount of change that would be required of the case		id I read that correctly?
13	given the August date that we would just set it	13 A You	_
14	aside and manage it going forward in the future case.	14 <b>Q And</b>	d is that accurate?
15	Q Maybe we can ask Mr. Kalich and Mr. Kinney more about	15 A It is	
16	that specifically.	16 <b>Q Wh</b>	en you say "forward market prices" here, I just want
17	A I'm sure they would like to answer that.		e clear. You're referring to your ability to
18	Q Now let's look at your exhibit it was PC3. It's now		ure as your attorney, Mr. Meyer, said the
19	designated DPV-5X. This is the press release that was	-	e of your thermal generation fleet?
20	issued in concurrence with the 2024 quarterly earnings	20 A We	ll, the overall value of our fleet, thermal and
21	that we just discussed.	21 othe	rwise, compared to availability.
22	A I'm sorry. You said 5X?	22 <b>Q And</b>	d we'll talk about this more with Mr. Kinney and
23	Q Yes. I believe it's 5X.	23 <b>Mr. H</b>	Kalich. So I recognize you're off a little bit of
24	A I'm there.	24 your	expertise.
25	Q On the first page wait. These aren't page-numbered.	25 <b>B</b>	ut what I'm mostly interested in is can you
1	Page 131  So yes. It's the first page. Under the first table,	1 confi	Page 133
2	there's a quote. Again, this is from Mr. Vermillion.	2 <b>signif</b>	ficantly since the last quarter?
3	"Our financial results demonstrate the strength of our	3 A As y	ou say, we'll need our experts on that one.
4	core utility operations, as our second quarter utility	4 Q Okay	y. Do you you've been at the company for some
5	earnings were in line with our expectations."	5 <b>time</b> ;	is that correct? Since at least 2017?
6	Did I read that correctly?	6 A Yes,	I have.
7	A You did.		017's initial filing, you asked Avista asked for
8	Q You stand by the statement that the that your core		million in your initial filing. Do you know how
9	utility operations are		you were awarded?
10	(Reporter requests clarification.)		off the top of my head. I bet
11	ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Sure.		ject
12	Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Do you stand by the	12 A tell	-
13	statement that your core utility separations are		bject to check, would it make sense that it was
14 15	strong?  A I believe that's an accurate quote.	• -	llion?
16	Q And that's based off of the financial results; correct?		eve there were a series of factors involved with
17	A Our financial results and also our financial forecast,		ifferential.
18	which includes constructive regulatory outcomes.		e 2019 GRC, your company asked for \$78 million in
19	Q All right. Let's go down now to page 3 of this		initial filing and received 36 million for one
20	document.		There were, again, extenuating circumstances,
21	JUDGE BROWN: Public Counsel? Mr. O'Neill?		nat's the one-year comparison. Do you have any
22	ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Yes.		on to doubt that? This is all matter of record.
23	JUDGE BROWN: Please speak a little closer to	22 A Sure	
24	your mic.		you asked for 67 million and settled for 39.2. Do
25	ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: I apologize, Your	24 <b>you n</b> 25 <b>numb</b>	nave any reason to doubt the accuracy of those
	-	20 Hullik	JGI 3 :

	Page 134		Page 136
1	A I do not.	1	you?
2	Q 2022 you asked for 83.1 million and settled for 59.5.	2	A I believe so. Do you mind sharing the number?
3	Do you have any reason to doubt that?	3	Q Yeah. It's been marked as AMM-36CX. I'll note that
4	A All, again, subject to check.	4	this is a confidential exhibit. It starts with an
5	Q My question for you is should we just apply a	5	email to Carrie and Jason (phonetic).
6	30 percent discount to every one of your initial	6	A I have it in front of me.
7	filings?	7	Q Okay.
8	A No. There's a series of factors with every case that	8	CHAIR DANNER: I'm sorry, Mr could you
9	make them unique.	9	give me that cite again?
10	Q And yet, over that time period, you've been able to add	10	ATTY PEPPLE: Yeah. AMM-36CX.
11	to your capital base, increase your dividends, and	11	CHAIR DANNER: Thank you.
12	maintain operations; correct?	12	Q BY ATTY PEPPLE: So as I mentioned, this is a
13	A Those are all related.	13	confidential exhibit, but I think I can do this
14	Q Is it fair to say that you pad your numbers so that you	14	question without getting into confidential information.
15 16	have a surplus, a bonus, some kind of an additional	15	So if you look on well, this document, I guess,
17	"just in case" set of capital?	16 17	provides the Willis Towers Watson expected return estimates that Avista uses in relation to its
18	ATTY MEYER: Object to the form of the question. It's argumentative.	18	retirement plan; is that correct?
19	JUDGE BROWN: Sustained.	19	A Yes.
20	Please rephrase.	20	Q And who is Willis Towers Watson?
21	Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: In your current case, you	21	A They're a consultant that we utilize to help us with
22	testify that you need \$132 million in more money for	22	our pension plan, among other things.
23	the next two years. My last question for you is how	23	Q And are they are they sort of generally recognized
24	much do you really need?	24	as an expert in that area?
25	A We would need, in order for us to maintain our ratings	25	A They are.
	Page 135		Page 137
1	and move forward and raise capital on behalf of our	1	Q Okay. And how exactly are the estimates in this
2	customers, the majority of that figure, assuming that	2	document used in relation to the retirement plan?
3	we get a fair outcome with our energy recovery	3	A The document is utilized in making decisions around
4	mechanism.	4	expected return on assets as well as potential expense
5	Q Thank you.	5	in how we think about funding the pension on a
6	JUDGE BROWN: Is there any redirect?	6	"go forward" basis.
7	ATTY MEYER: I think we have more cross to	7	Q Okay. So they sort of help establish the necessary
8	come, Your Honor.  JUDGE BROWN: Yes. But before that, did you	8	funding level for the retirement plan; is that correct?
9 10	have anything?	9	A They're one of the inputs into that decision, yes.
11	ATTY MEYER: Yes, I will. I can do it now or	10	Q Okay. And would you say that having a return forecast that is as accurate as possible is important to Avista
12	do a cumulative redirect once all the cross is in.	11	so that it is not over- or underfunding the retirement
13	Whatever your preference.	13	plan?
14	JUDGE BROWN: We'll proceed to AWEC next, and	14	A That's the objective.
15	then you can do a cumulative cross or, rather,	15	Q Okay. And does Avista consider the Wilson Towers
16	redirect.	16	Watson forecast to be accurate, or at least unbiased?
17	ATTY MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor.	17	A For purposes of the pension, yes.
18		18	Q And does Avista rely solely on the return estimates of
19	CROSS-EXAMINATION	19	Wilson Towers Watson for to establish return
20	BY ATTY PEPPLE:	20	estimates for the retirement plan?
21	Q Good morning, Mr. Christie.	21	A Not solely, no.
22	A Good morning.	22	Q Okay. And do you have AMM-34CX, which is the other
23 24	Q My first questions — I have some questions about a couple of cross exhibits that we've designated for	23	confidential exhibit? It's just a spreadsheet.
25	Mr. McKenzie. Do you have those cross exhibits with	24	A Yes, I do.
2 2	inotation be you have those of oss exhibits with	25	Q Okay. And these are other return estimates from VERIS

and SageView and JP Morgan. You use those as well to Inform the retirement plan?  A VERIS and SageView, and JP Morgan is a check against the other those.  O Okay. And would you say that all of these estimates could be considered to be accurate with respect to the retirement plan?  A Therement plan?  A I believe they're all estimates based on each of those companies' analysis and  o companies' analysis and  O I guess -  A I companies' analysis and  o I guess -  A I companies' analysis and  o I guess -  A I companies' analysis and  reasonable short-run estimate of market runs?  A I now hirky ou're getting into the cross for Wilness McKarzie.  A I now hink you're getting into the cross for Wilness McKarzie.  Are you aware in this case that Avista has reasonable short-run estimate of the cost of directors' fees in customer rates?  A I am.  A Yes.  O Okay. And are you aware that this also includes stock awards?  Page 139  Page 139  Page 139  Page 139  Page 141  A Yes.  O Okay. So this exhibit is a response to a data request and an attachment to that data request that provides the director's fees in customer rates?  A Yes.  O Okay. And under these guidelines, directors receive an aminer than the director holds the director's to what states cheeped and a required to hold a certain minimum manuter of white spatial in stock; correct?  A Yes.  O Okay. And are you aware that this also includes stock and an attachment to that data request that provides the director's to what's been marked as KJC-13X?  A Yes.  O Okay. So this exhibit is a response to a data request and an attachment to that data request that provides the director's fees in customer rates?  A Yes.  O Okay. And are you aware that the inspect to hold a certain minimum manuter of white this paid in stock; correct?  A Yes.  O Okay. And under these guidelines, directors receive an adirector; is required to hold a certain minimum manuter of white this paid in stock; correct?  A Yes.  O Okay. And seach director is required to hold a certain minimum a		Page 138		Page 140
Inform the rottrement plan?   2   Q   RY ATTY PEPPLE: You would gree, looking at the "Purpose" section, that one of the purposes of these ownership guidelines is to strengthen alignment of the financial interests of the board of directors' with those of shareholders; is that correct?   A   One of the four areas highlighted here.   A   Delive they're all a component of how we review and make decisions around the penalon.   A   — they're all a component of how we review and make decisions around the penalon.   A   — they're all a component of how we review and make decisions around the penalon.   A   — they're all a component of how we review and make decisions around the penalon.   A   — they're all a component of how we review and make decisions around the penalon.   A   — they're all a component of how we review and make decisions around the penalon.   A   — they're all a component of how we review and make decisions around the penalon.   A   — they're all a component of how we review and make decisions around the penalon.   A   — they're all a component of how we review and make decisions around the penalon.   A   — they're all a component of how we review and make decisions around the penalon.   A   — they're all a component of how we review and make decisions around the penalon.   A   — they're all a component of how we review and make decisions around the penalon.   A   — they're all a component of how we review and make decisions around the penalon.   A   — they're all a component of how we review and make decisions around the penalon.   A   — they're all a component of how we review and make decisions around the penalon.   A   — they're all a component of how we review and make decisions around the penalon.   A   — they're all a component of how we review and make decisions around the penalon.   A   — they're all a component of how we review and make decisions around the penalon.   A   — they're all a   — they're	1	and SageView and JP Morgan. You use those as well to	1	ATTY PEPPLE: Page 2.
4 A VERIS and SageView, and JP Morgan is a check against 5 Q Okay. And would you say that all of these estimates 5 Q Okay. And would you say that all of these estimates 6 retirement plan? 8 A I believe they re all estimates based on each of those 9 companies analysis and 10 Q I guess 11 A they're all a component of how we review and make 12 decisions around the pension. 13 Q Okay. And would you consider these estimates to be a 14 reasonable short-run estimate of market runs? 15 A I now thinky ovire getting into the cross for 16 Wilness McKenzie. 17 Q Okay. Pill leave that for him. So I'm going to switch 18 gears and ask you just a couple of other questions 19 about directors' fees. 10 A eyo usware in this case that Avista has 10 requested to include 90 percent of the cost of 21 directors' fees in customer rates? 22 directors' fees in customer rates? 23 A I am. 24 Q Okay. And are you aware that this also includes stock 25 awards?  Page 139  Page 141  A Yes. 20 Okay. So this exhibit is a response to a data request 21 correct? 22 Q Okay. So this exhibit is a response to a data request 23 A Pag. 3 A Yes. 4 Q Okay. And and portion in cash. Correct. 5 Q Okay. And and portion in cash. Correct. 6 Q Okay. And and the purposes is to enhance the directors' the three of the financial interests of directors is the time to so enhance the directors' the directors' the interest of directors to the interests of customers' in it are requested to include 90 percent of the cost of directors' fees in customers with outcomes, thereit will help benefit shareholders. 21 directors' fees in customer rates? 22 directors' fees in customer rates? 23 A Fam. 24 Q Okay. Bo you have what's been marked as KJC-13X? 25 A Yes. 6 Q Okay. 6 Q Okay. 7 A Yes. 7 A Yes. 8 Q Okay. 8 A Q Okay. 8 A Q Okay. 9 A Yes. 9 A Q Okay. 9 And under these guidelines, directors receive an annual retainer, a portion of which is pad in stock; correct? 25 Q Okay. 4 A A portion in	2		2	9
the other three.  Q Okay. And would you say that all of these estimates could be considered to be accurate with respect to the retriement plan?  A I believe they're all estimates based on each of those companies analysis and	3		3	"Purpose" section, that one of the purposes of these
could be considered to be accurate with respect to the retrement plan?  A I believe they're all estimates based on each of those companies analysis and —   A - they're all a component of how we review and make decisions around the pension.  A - they're all a component of how we review and make decisions around the pension.  A - they're all a component of how we review and make decisions around the pension.  A - they're all a component of how we review and make decisions around the pension.  A - they're all a component of how we review and make decisions around the pension.  A - they're all a component of how we review and make decisions around the pension.  A - they're all a component of how we review and make decisions around the pension.  A - they're all a component of how we review and make decisions around the pension.  A - they're all a component of how we review and make decisions around the pension.  A - they're all a component of how we review and make decisions around the pension.  A - they're all a component of how we review and make decisions around the pension.  A - they're all a component of how we review and make decisions around the pension.  A - they're all a component of how we review and make decisions around the pension.  A - they're all a component of how we review and make decisions around the pension.  A - they're all a component of how we review and make decisions around the pension.  A - they're all a component of how we review and make decisions around the pension.  A - they're all acomponent of how we review and make decisions around the pension.  A - they're all acomponent of how we review and make decisions around the pension.  A - they're all acomponent of how we review and make decisions and the pension.  A - they're all acomponent of how we review and make decisions and the pension.  A - they're all acomponent of how we review and make decisions and the pension.  A - they're all components of the decisions and the pension.  A - they're all components of the decisions and the pensi	4		4	
retirement plan?  A I believe they're all estimates based on each of those companies' analysis and	5	Q Okay. And would you say that all of these estimates	5	financial interests of the board of directors with
A I believe they're all estimates based on each of those companies' analysis and — 99 companies' analysis and — 100 companies' analysis and — 111 companies' analysis and — 112 companies analysis analysis and — 112 companies analysis analysis analysis analysis analysis analysis analysis analysi	6	could be considered to be accurate with respect to the	6	those of shareholders; is that correct?
perspective and focus on shareholder growth, is that right? Shareholder value growth, is shat right? Shareholder value growth, is should say.  A - they're all a component of how we review and make decisions around the pension.  Q Okay. And would you consider these estimates to be a reasonable short-run estimate of marker runs?  A I now think you're getting into the cross for Witness McKenzie.  O Okay. If I leave that for him. So I'm going to switch gas about directors' fees.  Are you aware in this case that Avista has requested to include 90 percent of the cost of directors' fees in customer rates?  A I am.  Page 139  Page 139  Page 139  Page 141  A Yes.  Q Okay. Do you have what's been marked as KJC-13X? A Yes.  Q Okay. So this exhibit is a response to a data request and an attachment to that data request that provides the director stock ownership guidelines; right?  A Yes.  Q Okay. So this exhibit is a response to a data request the director stock ownership guidelines; right?  A Yes.  Q Okay. And oach director is required to hold a certain minimum amount of Avista stock while they serve as a director; is that correct?  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A Pyes.  Q Okay. And each director is required to hold a certain minimum amount of Avista stock while they serve as a director; is that correct?  A A yes.  Q Okay. And each director is required to hold a certain minimum amount of Avista stock while they serve as a director; is that correct?  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A Yes.  Q Okay. If you look at page 2 of this exhibit, where—under the "Purpose" section  A TTY MEYER: 'Again, what's the exhibit; 2 you were asked by Public Counsel — I believe by Public Counsel — I bel	7	retirement plan?	7	A One of the four areas highlighted here.
10 Q Iguess — 11 A — they're all a component of how we review and make 12 docisions around the pension. 13 Q Okay. And would you consider these estimates to be a consider some of market runs? 14 reasonable short-run estimate of market runs? 15 A I now think you're getting into the cross for 16 Wilness McKenzie. 17 Q Okay. I'll leave that for him. So I'm going to switch gears and ask you just a couple of other questions about directors' fees. 18 about directors' fees. 19 about directors' fees. 20 Are you aware in this case that Avista has requested to include 90 percent of the cost of directors of expected to include 90 percent of the cost of directors' fees in customer rates? 21 requested to include 90 percent of the cost of directors' fees in customer rates? 22 Q Okay. And are you aware that this also includes stock awards? 24 Q Okay. And are you aware that this also includes stock awards? 25 Q Okay. Do you have what's been marked as KJC-13X? 26 Q Okay. Do you have what's been marked as KJC-13X? 27 A Yes. 28 Q Okay. So this exhibit is a response to a data request the director stock ownership guidelines; right? 28 A Yes. 29 Q Okay. So this exhibit is a response to a data request the director stock ownership guidelines; right? 29 A Yes. 30 Q Okay. And under these guidelines, directors receive an annual retainer, a portion of which is paid in stock; correct? 31 Q Okay. And each director is required to hold a certain minimum amount of Avista stock while they serve as a director; is that correct? 31 Q Okay. And each director is required to hold a certain minimum amount of Avista stock while they serve as a director; is that correct? 32 A Pos. 33 A Yes. 44 Q Okay. If you look at page 2 of this exhibit, where — under the "Purpose" section 45 A Yes. 46 Q Okay. If you look at page 2 of this exhibit, where — under the "Purpose" section 46 Q Okay. If you look at page 2 of this exhibit, where — under the "Purpose" section 47 A Yes. 48 C Q Okay. If you look at page 2 of this exhibit, where — under the "Purpose" se	8	A I believe they're all estimates based on each of those	8	Q Okay. And another purpose is to enhance the directors'
Athey/re all a component of how we review and make decisions around the pension.  Q Okay, And would you consider these estimates to be a reasonable short-run estimate of market runs?  A In work think your getting into the cross for Witness McKenzie.  Q Okay, I'll leave that for him. So I'm going to switch gas and ask you just a couple of other questions about directors' fees.  A rey ou waver in this case that Avista has requested to include 90 percent of the cost of directors' fees in customer rates?  Q Okay, And are you aware that this also includes stock awards?  Page 139  A Yes.  Q Okay, Do you have what's been marked as KJC-13X? A Yes. Q Okay, So this exhibit is a response to a data request and an attachment to that data request that director stock ownership guidelines; right? A Yes. Q Okay, So this exhibit is a response to a data request and an attachment to that data request that provides the director stock ownership guidelines; right? A Yes. Q Okay, And would you consider these estimates to be a reasonable short-run estimate of market runs?  A Yes. Q Okay, So this exhibit is a response to a data request and an attachment to that data request that provides the director stock ownership guidelines; right? A Yes. Q Okay, So this exhibit is a response to a data request and an attachment to that data request that provides the director stock ownership guidelines; right? A A Yes. Q Okay, And each director is required to hold a certain minimum amount of Avistas stock while they serve as a director; is that correct? A Pos. Q And, in fact, the director cannot sell Avista atsock if it would reduce the amount that the director holds below the minimum threshold; is that right? A Yes. Q Okay, If you look at page 2 of this exhibit, where under the "Purpose" section ATTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit? A TYPEPPLE: It's 13X, KJC-13X.	9	companies' analysis and	9	perspective and focus on shareholder growth; is that
decisions around the pension.  Q Okay. And would you consider these estimates to be a reasonable short-run estimate of market runs?  A I now think you're getting into the cross for Winess McKenzie.  Q Okay. I'll leave that for him. So I'm going to switch gears and ask you just a couple of other questions about directors' fees.  A rey ou aware in this case that Avista has requested to include 90 percent of the cost of director's fees in customer rates?  A I am.  Page 139  A Yes.  Q Okay. And are you aware that this also includes stock awards?  Page 139  A Yes.  Q Okay. Do you have what's been marked as KJC-13X? A Yes. Q Okay. So this exhibit is a response to a data request and an attachment to that data request that provides the director stock ownership guidelines; right? A Yes. Q Okay. A A Q Okay. A A Q Okay. A Yes. Q O	10	Q I guess	10	right? Shareholder value growth, I should say.
13	11	A they're all a component of how we review and make	11	A Yes.
reasonable short-run estimate of market runs?  A I now think you're getting into the cross for Witness McKenzie.  Q Okay. Pil leave that for him. So I'm going to switch gears and ask you just a couple of other questions about directors' fees.  Are you aware in this case that Avista has requested to include 90 percent of the cost of directors' fees in customer rates?  A I am.  Page 139  A Yes. Q Okay. And are you aware that this also includes stock awards?  Page 139  A Yes. Q Okay. Do you have what's been marked as KJC-13X? A Yes. Q Okay. So this exhibit is a response to a data request and an attachment to that data request that provides the director stock ownership guidelines; right? A Yes. Q Okay. And under these guidelines, directors receive an annual retainer, a portion of which is paid in stock; C Okay. And each director is required to hold a certain minimum amount of Avista stock while they serve as a director; is that correct? Q Okay. I you look at page 2 of this exhibit, where— under the "Purpose" section.  ATTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit? ATTY MEPERE: It's I yas, I you look at page 2 of this exhibit; ATTY MEPERE: It's I stable to sheek.  A The board very well understands this virtuous cycle where, when honoring our requirements to serve our where, when honoring our requirements to serve our where, when honoring our requirements to serve our whene, when honoring our requirements to serve our whene when honoring our sput will help benefit shareholders.  D Dos this document have the word "customers" in it aspareholders.  D Dos this document have the word "customers" in it to accept it's subject to check.  A Thy Repiscant for you can – I can tell you "No" if you want to accept it's subject to check.  A Thy Repiscant for you and retainer and an analyou and the word for any analyou.  A Thy Repiscant for you can – I can tell you "No" if you can – I can t	12	decisions around the pension.	12	Q Do these guidelines anywhere explicitly tie the
A I now think you're getting into the cross for Witness McKenzie.  Q Okay. I'll leave that for him. So I'm going to switch gears and ask you just a couple of other questions about directors' fees.  Are you aware in this case that Avista has requested to include 90 percent of the cost of directors' fees in customer rates?  A I am.  Q Okay. And are you aware that this also includes stock awards?  Page 139  A Yes.  Q Okay. Do you have what's been marked as KJC-13X? A Yes. Q Okay. So this exhibit is a response to a data request and an attachment to that data request the director stock ownership guidelines; right?  A Yes. Q Okay. A Y	13		13	interests of directors to the interests of customers?
Witness McKerzie.  Q Okay. Il leave that for him. So I'm going to switch agers and ask you just a couple of other questions about directors' fees.  Are you aware in this case that Avista has requested to include 90 percent of the cost of directors' fees in customer rates?  A I am.  Page 139  Page 139  Page 139  A Yes.  Q Okay. And are you aware that this also includes stock awards?  Page 139  A Yes.  Q Okay. So this exhibit is a response to a data request and an attachment to that data request that provides the director stock ownership guidelines; right?  A Yes.  Q Okay.  And under these guidelines, directors receive an annual retainer, a portion of which is paid in stock; correct?  A A Pes.  Q Okay. And each director is required to hold a certain minimum amount of Avista stock while they serve as a director; is that correct?  Q Okay. If you look at page 2 of this exhibit, where — under the "Purpose" section.  Witness McKerzie.  10 Okay. If you look at page 2 of this exhibit, where — under the "Purpose" section.  ATTY MEYER: Well, say, what is the exhibit?  ATTY MEYER: Rajan, what's the exhibit?  ATTY MEYER: It slay, KJC-13X.  16 Customers with outcomes, there — it will help benefit shararcholders. shareholders. 20 Okay. That's all of my questions. Thank you.  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Your Honor?  JUDGE BROWN: Yeah.  ATTY MEYER: Well, assuming my witness is cooperative, it should be very short.  JUDGE BROWN: Yeah. I didn't forget that, but lies in 10.49, which is the time you wanted to check in for a break. I don't know if —  JUDGE BROWN: Yeah. I didn't forget that, but lies in 10.49, which is the time you wanted to check in for a break. I don't know if —  JUDGE BROWN: Yeah. I didn't forget that, but lies in 10.49, which is the time you wanted to check in for a break. I don't know if —  JUDGE BROWN: Yeah. I	14	reasonable short-run estimate of market runs?	14	A The board very well understands this virtuous cycle
Q Okay, I'll leave that for him. So I'm going to switch gears and ask you just a couple of other questions ask you just a couple of other questions about directors' fees.   Are you aware in this case that Avista has requested to include 90 percent of the cost of directors' fees in customer rates?   2	15		15	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
gears and ask you just a couple of other questions about directors' fees.  Are you aware in this case that Avista has requested to include 90 percent of the cost of directors' fees in customer rates?  A I am.  Q Okay. And are you aware that this also includes stock awards?  Page 139  A Yes.  Q Okay. Do you have what's been marked as KJC-13X? A Yes. Q Okay. So this exhibit is a response to a data request and an attachment to that data request that provides the director stock ownership guidelines; right? A Yes. Q Okay. So this exhibit is a response to a data request and an attachment to that data request that provides the director stock ownership guidelines; right? A Yes. Q Okay. Okay. And each directors receive an annual retainer, a portion of which is paid in stock; correct? A Post. A Po	16			·
about directors' fees.  Are you aware in this case that Avista has requested to include 90 percent of the cost of directors' fees in customer rates?  A I am.  Okay. And are you aware that this also includes stock awards?  Page 139  A Yes.  Okay. Do you have what's been marked as KJC-13X? A Yes.  Okay. So this exhibit is a response to a data request and an attachment to that data request that provides the director stock ownership guidelines; right?  A Yes.  Okay.  And under these guidelines, directors receive an annual retainer, a portion of which is paid in stock; correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  Okay. And each director is required to hold a certain minimum amount of Avista stock while they serve as a director; is that correct?  A Yes.  Okay. And each director received the director holds below the minimum threshold; is that right?  A Yes.  Okay. In art is all of my questions. Thank you.  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Your Honor?  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Your Honor?  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Your Honor?  Page 139  Page 141  Page 141  Page 142  A Yes.  JUDGE BROWN: Yeah. I didn't forget that, but I also didn't want to break the flow of the testsmony. Son plan was to allow Mr. Christie to finish, unless you have a lengthy redirect.  ATTY MEYER: Well, assuming my witness is cooperative, should be very short.  JUDGE BROWN: All right. So then what we'll do is proceed with the redirect. And then, if all parties would like, we could take a short break.  Proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  ATTY MEYER: To subject to check.  ATTY MEYER: A Judge the subject to check.  ATTY MEYER: A Judge the subject to check.  ATTY MEYER: A subject to check.  A Idea of the subject to show were asked by Public Counsel – I believe by Public Counsel – a series of questions about whether the Commission – you think the Counder to show regulatory support.  Do you recall that exchange?  A TTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit?  ATTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit?  ATTY MEYER: Ag				
20 Are you aware in this case that Avista has requested to include 90 percent of the cost of 21 directors' fees in customer rates? 21 A I am. 22 Q Okay. And are you aware that this also includes stock awards? 22 A I am. 23 A I am. 24 Q Okay. And are you aware that this also includes stock awards? 25 A Yes. 26 Q Okay. Do you have what's been marked as KJC-13X? 27 A Yes. 28 Q Okay. So this exhibit is a response to a data request and an attachment to that data request that provides the director stock ownership guidelines; right? 28 Q Okay. 29 And under these guidelines, directors receive an annual retainer, a portion of which is paid in stock; correct? 29 A Postion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct. 20 Q Okay. And each director is required to hold a certain minimum amount of Avista stock while they serve as a director; is that correct? 20 Q Nay. And each director cannot sell Avista stock if it would reduce the amount that the director holds below the minimum threshold; is that right? 21 Q Okay. If you look at page 2 of this exhibit, where—under the "Purpose" section 22 A TTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit? 23 A TTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit? 24 ATTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit? 25 ATTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit? 26 ATTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit? 27 ATTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit? 28 ATTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit? 29 ATTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit? 20 ATTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit? 21 A Subject to check. 22 A Subject to check. 22 A Subject to check. 24 A Subject to check. 24 A Subject to check. 25 ATTY SellosNON ONEILL: Your Honor? 3 JUDGE BROWN: Yeah. 3 ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Your Honor? 3 JUDGE BROWN: Yeah. 4 TTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Your Honor? 4 JUDGE BROWN: Yeah. 5 ATTY MEYER: Alon's wint the time is 10:49, which is the time you wanted to check in form yequestions. Thank you. 5 ATTY MEYER: Alon's wint the time is 10:49, which is the time you wanted to check in form yequestions. Thank you. 5 ATTY MEYER: Alon's wint the time is 10:49, which is the t				
requested to include 90 percent of the cost of directors' fees in customer rates?  A I am.  Q Okay. And are you aware that this also includes stock awards?  Page 139  Page 139  Page 139  Page 141  A Yes. Q Okay. Do you have what's been marked as KJC-13X? A Yes. Q Okay. Do you have what's been marked as KJC-13X? A Yes. U Okay. So this exhibit is a response to a data request and an attachment to that data request that provides the director stock ownership guidelines; right? A Yes. A Yes. C Okay. A Yes. C Okay. A Yes.				
directors' fees in customer rates?  A I am.  Q Okay. And are you aware that this also includes stock awards?  Page 139  A Yes.  Q Okay. Do you have what's been marked as KJC-13X? A Yes.  Q Okay. So this exhibit is a response to a data request and an attachment to that data request that provides the director stock ownership guidelines; right? A Yes.  Q Okay. And under these guidelines, directors receive an annual retainer, a portion of which is paid in stock; Correct? A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct. A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct. A A portion in stock and a portion of which they serve as a director; is that correct? A Yes. C Okay. And each director is required to hold a certain minimum amount of Avista stock while they serve as a director; is that correct? A Yes. C Okay. And each director cannot sell Avista stock if it would reduce the amount that the director holds below the minimum threshold; is that right? A Yes. C Okay. If you look at page 2 of this exhibit, where—under the "Purpose" section ATTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit? ATTY NEBINSON O'NEILL: Your Honor? JUDGE BROWN: Yeah. ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Your Honor? JUDGE BROWN: Yeah. ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Your Honor? JUDGE BROWN: All right side time is 10:49, which is the time you wanted to check in for a break. I don't know if — JUDGE BROWN: Yeah.  ATTY MEYER: Well, assuming my witness is cooperative, it should be very short.  JUDGE BROWN: All right. So then what we'll do is proceed with the redirect. And then, if all parties would like, we could take a short break.  Proceed. ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  ATTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit, where— under the "Purpose" section  Q Okay. If you look at page 2 of this exhibit, where— under the "Purpose" section  ATTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit?  A ATTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit?  A Hoo, I sthe company in any way, shape, or form asking this		•		
A lam.  Q Okay. And are you aware that this also includes stock awards?  Page 139  Page 139  Page 141  A Yes. Q Okay. Do you have what's been marked as KJC-13X? A Yes. Q Okay. So this exhibit is a response to a data request and an attachment to that data request the director stock ownership guidelines; right? A Yes. Q Okay. And under these guidelines, directors receive an annual retainer, a portion of which is paid in stock; correct: Q Okay. And each director is required to hold a certain minimum amount of Avista stock while they serve as a director; is that correct? A Yes. Q Okay. And each director cannot sell Avista stock if it would reduce the amount that the director holds below the minimum threshold; is that right? A Yes. And I amn. ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Your Honor? JUDGE BROWN: Yeah. ATTY ROBINSON O'NEIL: Your Honor? JUDGE BROWN: Yeah. ATTY ROBINSON O'NEIL: ATTY ROBINSON  ATTY ROBINSON  ATTY ROBINSON  ATTY ROBINSON  ATTY ROBINSON  ATTY ROBINSON  A				•
Q Okay. And are you aware that this also includes stock awards?  Page 139  A Yes. Q Okay. Do you have what's been marked as KJC-13X? A Yes. Q Okay. So this exhibit is a response to a data request and an attachment to that data request that provides the director stock ownership guidelines; right? A Yes. Q Okay. A Yes. Q Okay. A Yes. Q Okay. A Yes. A Okay. A A Portion in stock and a portion of which is paid in stock; correct? A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct. A A Portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct. A Yes. A Yes. A Yes. A A Portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct. A A Portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct. A Yes. A Yes. A Yes. A Yes. A Portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct. A Yes. A Ye				
Page 139  Page 139  Page 141  A Yes.  Q Okay. Do you have what's been marked as KJC-13X? A Yes. Q Okay. So this exhibit is a response to a data request and an attachment to that data request that provides the director stock ownership guidelines; right? A Yes. Q Okay. A Yes. A Portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct. A A Portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct. A A Yes. A Yes. A A Portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct. A A Yes. A Yes. A Yes. A Pes. A A Portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct. A A Portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct. A Yes. A Yes. A Yes. A Yes. A Yes. A Portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct. A Yes. A Portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct. A Yes. A				
Page 139  A Yes.  Q Okay. Do you have what's been marked as KJC-13X? A Yes. Q Okay. So this exhibit is a response to a data request and an attachment to that data request that provides the director stock ownership guidelines; right? A Yes. Q Okay. And under these guidelines, directors receive an annual retainer, a portion of which is paid in stock; Correct? A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct. A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct. A A portion in stock and a portion of which they serve as a director; is that correct? A Yes. C A Yes. C Okay. And each director is required to hold a certain minimum amount of Avista stock while they serve as a director; is that correct? C A Yes. C A A yes. C Okay. And each director cannot sell Avista stock if it would reduce the amount that the director holds below the minimum threshold; is that right? A Yes. C Okay. If you look at page 2 of this exhibit, where under the "Purpose" section ATTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit? A ATTY PEPPLE: It's 13X, KJC-13X.  Page 141  note that the time is 10:49, which is the time you wanted to check in for a break. Idon't know if a Under that the time is 10:49, which is the time you wanted to check in for a break. Idon't know if a Under that the time is 10:49, which is the time you wanted to check in for a break. Idon't know if a Under that the time is 10:49, which is the time you wanted to check in for a break. Idon't know if a Under that the time is 10:49, which is the time you wanted to check in for a break. Idon't know if a Under that the time is 10:49, which is the time you wanted to check in for a break. Idon't know if a Under that the time is 10:49, which is the time you wanted to check in for a break. Idon't know if a Under that below the minimum threshold is a respinate to find that the time is 10:49, which is the				1
1 note that the time is 10:49, which is the time you 2 Q Okay. Do you have what's been marked as KJC-13X? 3 A Yes. 4 Q Okay. So this exhibit is a response to a data request 5 and an attachment to that data request that provides 6 the director stock ownership guidelines; right? 7 A Yes. 8 Q Okay. 9 And under these guidelines, directors receive an 10 annual retainer, a portion of which is paid in stock; 11 correct? 12 A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct. 13 Q Okay. And each director is required to hold a certain 14 minimum amount of Avista stock while they serve as a 15 director; is that correct? 16 A Yes. 17 Q And, in fact, the director cannot sell Avista stock if 18 it would reduce the amount that the director holds 19 below the minimum threshold; is that right? 20 A Yes. 21 Q Okay. If you look at page 2 of this exhibit, where — 22 under the "Purpose" section 23 ATTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit? 24 ATTY MEYER: It's 13X, KJC-13X. 2 In note that the time is 10:49, which is the time you wanted to check in for a break. I don't know if — 3 JUDGE BROWN: Path. I didn't forget that, but I also didn't want to break the flow of the testimony. So my plan was to allow Mr. Christie to finish, unless you have a lengthy redirect. ATTY MEYER: Well, assuming my witness is cooperative, it should be very short.  4 JUDGE BROWN: All right. So then what we'll do is proceed with the redirect. And then, if all parties would like, we could take a short break.  Proceed. ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  ATTY MEYER: Okay.  A Yes.  Q Mr. Christie, you were asked by Public Counsel — I believe by Public Counsel — a series of questions about whether the Commission — you think the Commission should approve costs that perhaps are not justified just in order to show regulatory support.  Do you recall that exchange?  A Ido. Q Is the company in any way, shape, or form asking this	25	awards?	25	ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Twas just going to
Q Okay. So this exhibit is a response to a data request and an attachment to that data request the director stock ownership guidelines; right?  A Yes.  Q Okay.  A Yes.  A Yes.  Q Okay.  And under these guidelines, directors receive an annual retainer, a portion of which is paid in stock; correct?  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  Q Okay.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  Q Okay.  A Yes.  Q Okay.  A A portion in fact, the director cannot sell Avista stock if it would reduce the amount that the director holds below the minimum threshold; is that right?  Q Okay. If you look at page 2 of this exhibit, where—  under the "Purpose" section  ATTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit?  A Yes.  Q Okay. ATTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit?  A TTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit?  A TTY MEYER: It's 13X, KJC-13X.  ATTY MEYER: It's 13X, KJC-13X.  Wanted to check in for a break. I don't know if —  JUDGE BROWN: All ridn't forget that, but I all obut I also didin't want to break the flow of the testimony. So my plan was to allow Mr. Christie to finish, unless you have a lengthy redirect.  ATTY MEYER: Well, assuming my witness is cooperative, it should be very short.  JUDGE BROWN: All right. So then what we'll do is proceed with the redirect. And then, if all parties would like, we could take a short break.  Proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  ATTY MEYER:  Q Mr. Christie, you were asked by Public Counsel — I believe by Public Counsel — a series of questions about whether the Commission — you think the Commission should approve costs that perhaps are not justified just in order to show regulatory support.  Do you recall that exchange?  A Ido.  ATTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit?  A Ido.  ATTY DEPPLE: It's 13X, KJC-13X.		Page 139		Page 141
JUDGE BROWN: Yeah. I didn't forget that, but I also didn't want to break the flow of the testimony. So my plan was to allow Mr. Christie to finish, unless you have a lengthy redirect. ATTY MEYER: Well, assuming my witness is cooperative, it should be very short.  A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A Yes.  O Okay. And each director is required to hold a certain minimum amount of Avista stock while they serve as a director; is that correct?  A A Yes.  O And, in fact, the director cannot sell Avista stock if it would reduce the amount that the director holds below the minimum threshold; is that right?  O Okay. If you look at page 2 of this exhibit, where under the "Purpose" section  ATTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit?  A ATTY PEPPLE: It's 13X, KJC-13X.  JUDGE BROWN: All right to break the flow of the testimony. So my plan was to allow Mr. Christie to fishish, unless you have a lengthy redirect.  ATTY MEYER: Well, assuming my witness is cooperative, it should be very short.  JUDGE BROWN: All right. So then what we'll do is proceed with the redirect. And then, if all parties would like, we could take a short break.  Proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  REDIRECT EXAMINATION  BY ATTY MEYER:  Q Mr. Christie, you were asked by Public Counsel – I believe by Public Counsel – a series of questions about whether the Commission – you think the Commission should approve costs that perhaps are not justified just in order to show regulatory support.  Do you recall that exchange?  ATTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit?  A I do.  Is the company in any way, shape, or form asking this	1	A Yes.	1	note that the time is 10:49, which is the time you
Deliver by Public Counsel — I selice to Hard Salar Name of the director cannot sell Avista stock if it would reduce the amount that the director holds below the minimum threshold; is that right?  Q Okay. I fyou look at page 2 of this exhibit, where — under the "Purpose" section  A C Okay. So this exhibit is a response to a data request that provides and an attachment to that data request that provides the director stock ownership guidelines; right?  A Yes. 7  A Yes. 7  A Yes. 8  Q Okay. And under these guidelines, directors receive an annual retainer, a portion of which is paid in stock; 10 do is proceed with the redirect. And then, if all parties would like, we could take a short break.  Proceed. 12  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct. 12  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct. 12  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct. 12  A Yes. 16  BY ATTY MEYER: Thank you. 17  Q And, in fact, the director cannot sell Avista stock if it would reduce the amount that the director holds below the minimum threshold; is that right? 19  Q Okay. If you look at page 2 of this exhibit, where — under the "Purpose" section 21  ATTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit? 23  ATTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit? 24  ATTY PEPPLE: It's 13X, KJC-13X. 24  But I also didn't want to break the flow of the testimony. So my plan was to allow Mr. Christie to finish, unless you have a lengthy redirect. ATTY MEYER: Well, assuming my witness is cooperative, it should be very short. 10  ATTY MEYER: Well, assuming my witness is cooperative, it should be very short. 10  ATTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit? 24  A A portion in stock and a portion in stock; 10  ATTY PEPPLE: It's 13X, KJC-13X. 24  But I also didn't want to break the flow of the testimony. ATTY MEYER: 4 delicate. ATTY Meyer. ATTY Meyer. ATTY Meyer. 20  ATTY MEYER: Vell, assuming my witness is cooperative, it should be very short. 10  ATTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit? 24  A I do. 10  ATTY MEYER: Thank you. 11  ATTY MEYER: Delicate the fl	2	Q Okay. Do you have what's been marked as KJC-13X?	2	wanted to check in for a break. I don't know if
and an attachment to that data request that provides the director stock ownership guidelines; right?  A Yes.  Q Okay.  And under these guidelines, directors receive an annual retainer, a portion of which is paid in stock;  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion of ohld a certain minimum amount of Avista stock while they serve as a director; is that correct?  A A Yes.  A A portion in fact, the director cannot sell Avista stock if it would reduce the amount that the director holds below the minimum threshold; is that right?  A Yes.  A Yes.  C Okay. If you look at page 2 of this exhibit, where under the "Purpose" section  ATTY MEYER: Well, assuming my witness is cooperative, it should be very short.  ATTY MEYER: Well, assuming my witness is cooperative, it should be very short.  ATTY MEYER: Well, assuming my witness is cooperative, it should be very short.  ATTY MEYER: Well, assuming my witness is cooperative, it should be very short.  ATTY MEYER: Well, assuming my witness is cooperative, it should be very short.  ATTY MEYER: Well, assuming my witness is cooperative, it should be very short.  ATTY MEYER: Well, assuming my witness is cooperative, it should be very short.  ATTY MEYER: Well, assuming my witness is cooperative, it should be very short.  ATTY MEYER: Well, assuming my witness is cooperative, it should be very short.  ATTY MEYER: Well, assuming my witness is cooperative, it should be very short.  ATTY MEYER: Well, assuming my witness is cooperative, it should be very short.  ATTY MEYER: Well, assuming my witness is cooperative, it should be very short.  ATTY MEYER: Well, assuming my witness is cooperative, the final found is pould be very short.  ATTY MEYER: Well, assuming my witness is cooperative, the final found is pould be very short.  ATTY MEYER: Well, assuming my witness is cooperative, the final found is pould in the redirect. And then, if	3		3	JUDGE BROWN: Yeah. I didn't forget that,
the director stock ownership guidelines; right?  A Yes.  Q Okay.  And under these guidelines, directors receive an annual retainer, a portion of which is paid in stock; correct?  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  Q Okay. And each director is required to hold a certain minimum amount of Avista stock while they serve as a director; is that correct?  A Yes.  Q And, in fact, the director cannot sell Avista stock if it would reduce the amount that the director holds below the minimum threshold; is that right?  Q Okay. If you look at page 2 of this exhibit, where under the "Purpose" section  A Yes.  A Yes.  G Inish, unless you have a lengthy redirect.  ATTY MEYER: Well, assuming my witness is cooperative, it should be very short.  JUDGE BROWN: All right. So then what we'll do is proceed with the redirect. And then, if all parties would like, we could take a short break.  Proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  BY ATTY MEYER:  REDIRECT EXAMINATION  BY ATTY MEYER:  Q Mr. Christie, you were asked by Public Counsel I believe by Public Counsel a series of questions about whether the Commission you think the Commission should approve costs that perhaps are not justified just in order to show regulatory support.  Do you recall that exchange?  ATTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit?  A I do.  ATTY PEPPLE: It's 13X, KJC-13X.	4		4	but I also didn't want to break the flow of the
A Yes.  Q Okay.  And under these guidelines, directors receive an annual retainer, a portion of which is paid in stock; correct?  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  B A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  B Proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  ATTY MEYER: A dain, in fact, the director cannot sell Avista stock if it would reduce the amount that the director holds below the minimum threshold; is that right?  A Yes.  Q Okay. If you look at page 2 of this exhibit, where— under the "Purpose" section  ATTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit?  A I do.  ATTY MEYER: Mell assuming my witness is cooperative, it should be very short.  B JUDGE BROWN: All right. So then what we'll do is proceed with the redirect. And then, if all parties would like, we could take a short break.  Proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  ATTY MEYER: On the minimum threshold is parties would like, we could take a short break.  Proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Apain what we'll do is proceed with the redirect. And then, if all parties would like, we could take a short break.  Proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Apain what we'll do is proceed with the redirect. And then, if all parties would like, we could take a short break.  Proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Well as short break.  Proceed.  ATTY MEYER: On the minimum thre	5	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	5	
And under these guidelines, directors receive an annual retainer, a portion of which is paid in stock; correct?  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  B A Proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  A Yes.  A Yes.  A A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  B Proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  A Yes.  BY ATTY MEYER:  A Will right. So then what we'll do is proceed with the redirect. And then, if all parties would like, we could take a short break.  Proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  BY ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  A Yes.  BY ATTY MEYER:  Q Mr. Christie, you were asked by Public Counsel – I believe by Public Counsel – I believe by Public Counsel – a series of questions about whether the Commission – you think the Commission should approve costs that perhaps are not justified just in order to show regulatory support.  Do you recall that exchange?  A I do.  ATTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit?  A Yes.  A Yes.	6	the director stock ownership guidelines; right?		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
And under these guidelines, directors receive an annual retainer, a portion of which is paid in stock; correct?  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  B Proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  BY ATTY MEYER:  A Pos.  A Yes.  A Yes.  A And, in fact, the director cannot sell Avista stock if it would reduce the amount that the director holds below the minimum threshold; is that right?  A Yes.  A	-			- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
annual retainer, a portion of which is paid in stock; correct?  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  B Proceed.  A TTY MEYER: Thank you.  A TTY MEYER: Thank you.  BY ATTY MEYER:  A PEDIRECT EXAMINATION  BY ATTY MEYER:  Q Mr. Christie, you were asked by Public Counsel — I believe by Public Counsel — a series of questions about whether the Commission — you think the  Commission should approve costs that perhaps are not justified just in order to show regulatory support.  Do you recall that exchange?  A I do.  A I				
correct?  A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  Q Okay. And each director is required to hold a certain minimum amount of Avista stock while they serve as a director; is that correct?  A Yes.  Q And, in fact, the director cannot sell Avista stock if it would reduce the amount that the director holds below the minimum threshold; is that right?  A Yes.  Q Okay. If you look at page 2 of this exhibit, where under the "Purpose" section  A TTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit?  A A Portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  12 Proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  ATTY MEYER: Do hold a certain  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  ATTY MEYER: Do hold a certain  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  ATTY MEYER: Do hold a certain  ATTY MEYER: Do hold a certai				
A A portion in stock and a portion in cash. Correct.  Q Okay. And each director is required to hold a certain minimum amount of Avista stock while they serve as a director; is that correct?  A Yes.  Q And, in fact, the director cannot sell Avista stock if it would reduce the amount that the director holds below the minimum threshold; is that right?  A Yes.  Q Okay. If you look at page 2 of this exhibit, where under the "Purpose" section  ATTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit?  A TTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit?  A TTY PEPPLE: It's 13X, KJC-13X.		· ·		•
Q Okay. And each director is required to hold a certain minimum amount of Avista stock while they serve as a director; is that correct?  A Yes.  Q And, in fact, the director cannot sell Avista stock if it would reduce the amount that the director holds below the minimum threshold; is that right?  Q Okay. If you look at page 2 of this exhibit, where under the "Purpose" section  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  ATTY MEYER: Do Not Method to Held they serve as a difference of the policy of the policy of the provide the provide the public Counsel I believe by Public Counsel I believe by Public Counsel I  BY ATTY MEYER:  A C Mr. Christie, you were asked by Public Counsel I  BY ATTY MEYER:  Do Mr. Christie, you were asked by Public Counsel I  BY ATTY MEYER:  A Dy ATTY MEYER:  A TY MEYER:  A I do Delieve by Public Counsel I  BY ATTY MEYER:  A TY MEYER:				•
minimum amount of Avista stock while they serve as a director; is that correct?  A Yes.  A Yes.  A And, in fact, the director cannot sell Avista stock if it would reduce the amount that the director holds below the minimum threshold; is that right?  A Yes.  A Ye		·		
director; is that correct?  A Yes.  A Yes.  And, in fact, the director cannot sell Avista stock if it would reduce the amount that the director holds below the minimum threshold; is that right?  A Yes.  A Y				ATTI WETER. Hallk you.
16 A Yes.  17 Q And, in fact, the director cannot sell Avista stock if 18 it would reduce the amount that the director holds 19 below the minimum threshold; is that right?  20 A Yes.  21 Q Okay. If you look at page 2 of this exhibit, where 22 under the "Purpose" section  23 ATTY MEYER:  16 BY ATTY MEYER:  17 Q Mr. Christie, you were asked by Public Counsel I 18 believe by Public Counsel a series of questions 19 about whether the Commission you think the Commission should approve costs that perhaps are not justified just in order to show regulatory support. 21 Do you recall that exchange? 23 A I do. 24 ATTY PEPPLE: It's 13X, KJC-13X.  24 Q Is the company in any way, shape, or form asking this				REDIRECT EYAMINATION
Q And, in fact, the director cannot sell Avista stock if it would reduce the amount that the director holds below the minimum threshold; is that right?  A Yes.  Q Okay. If you look at page 2 of this exhibit, where under the "Purpose" section  ATTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit?  ATTY PEPPLE: It's 13X, KJC-13X.		•		
it would reduce the amount that the director holds below the minimum threshold; is that right?  A Yes.  Q Okay. If you look at page 2 of this exhibit, where under the "Purpose" section  ATTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit?  ATTY PEPPLE: It's 13X, KJC-13X.  believe by Public Counsel a series of questions about whether the Commission you think the Commission should approve costs that perhaps are not justified just in order to show regulatory support.  Do you recall that exchange?  A I do.  Q Is the company in any way, shape, or form asking this				
below the minimum threshold; is that right?  A Yes.  Okay. If you look at page 2 of this exhibit, where under the "Purpose" section  ATTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit?  ATTY PEPPLE: It's 13X, KJC-13X.  babout whether the Commission you think the Commission should approve costs that perhaps are not justified just in order to show regulatory support.  Do you recall that exchange?  A I do.  ATTY PEPPLE: It's 13X, KJC-13X.  Q Is the company in any way, shape, or form asking this				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
20 A Yes. 21 Q Okay. If you look at page 2 of this exhibit, where 22 under the "Purpose" section 23 ATTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit? 24 ATTY PEPPLE: It's 13X, KJC-13X. 20 Commission should approve costs that perhaps are not justified just in order to show regulatory support. 22 Do you recall that exchange? 23 A I do. 24 Q Is the company in any way, shape, or form asking this				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
21 Q Okay. If you look at page 2 of this exhibit, where 22 under the "Purpose" section 23 ATTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit? 24 ATTY PEPPLE: It's 13X, KJC-13X. 25 Igustified just in order to show regulatory support. 26 Do you recall that exchange? 27 A I do. 28 Q Is the company in any way, shape, or form asking this				
<ul> <li>under the "Purpose" section</li> <li>ATTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit?</li> <li>ATTY PEPPLE: It's 13X, KJC-13X.</li> <li>Under the "Purpose" section</li> <li>AD you recall that exchange?</li> <li>A I do.</li> <li>ATTY PEPPLE: It's 13X, KJC-13X.</li> <li>Q Is the company in any way, shape, or form asking this</li> </ul>				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
ATTY MEYER: Again, what's the exhibit?  ATTY PEPPLE: It's 13X, KJC-13X.  23 A I do.  24 Q Is the company in any way, shape, or form asking this		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
ATTY PEPPLE: It's 13X, KJC-13X. 24 Q Is the company in any way, shape, or form asking this	23	-	23	
25 ATTY MEYER: Page number again, please. 25 commission to approve any costs in this case that it	24	<del>-</del>	24	Q Is the company in any way, shape, or form asking this
	25	ATTY MEYER: Page number again, please.	25	commission to approve any costs in this case that it

	Page 142	Page 144
1	does not believe are justified?	related to Avista, cash flow being the one we talked
2	A Certainly not.	2 about at length, and I think we've got that well
3	Q And is it the company is it the company's what is	3 covered.
4	the company's position if what it believes are material	4 One thing related to cash flow is the energy
5	costs that are justified if they're not approved,	5 recovery mechanism. And to the extent that with
6	the impact, if any, it might have on rating agency	6 markets outside our control or factors inside the power
7	perceptions of supportive regulatory environment?	7 markets that we cannot control, the fundamental shifts
8	A Prudent costs not recovered are are a factor that	8 that have taken place that it could lead to the
9	the rating agencies consider and certainly could lead	9 mechanism itself could lead to cash flow metrics
10	to a downgrade.	10 falling well below the threshold.
11	Q So dividend levels were discussed at some length. Is	JUDGE BROWN: I'll overrule your objection.
12	Avista's dividend policy in keeping with its peers?	12 ATTY MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor.
13	A Our dividend policy dividend growth rate is in line	13 Q BY ATTY MEYER: And then, lastly, just a little bit of
14	with our regional peers.	cleanup, there was some discussion about the CEIP and
15	Q And why is it important for the company to be in line	deferral of any costs. And, again, if you may want
16	with its regional peers?	to defer these for the later witness. I think we have
17	A Investors take into consideration the number of factors	Shawn Bonfield, who could be also be a candidate for
18	when it comes to value creation and how they perceive	18 this; so feel free to do so.
19	Avista stock. There's really two parts: our	But the question to you is is it your
20	performance, which leads to stock price change, and	20 understanding that the Commission approved the deferral
21	dividends. And with poorer performance over the last	of costs of CEIP costs through the end of the CEIP
22	couple of years or under-recovery, dividend has been	22 period of 2025?
23	supportive but only really helping us tread water with	23 A That's my understanding.
24	our peers. We need to be able to compete for capital	24 Q All right. And do you happen to know what that current
25	with our peers.	deferral balance is through June of 2024? Or is that a
		,
	Page 143	Page 145
1	Page 143  Q Thank you. And there's a fair amount of discussion	1 question better put to
1 2	Q Thank you. And there's a fair amount of discussion around ratios, FFO percentages 14 percent,	1 question better put to 2 A I think that's a really good question for
	Q Thank you. And there's a fair amount of discussion around ratios, FFO percentages 14 percent, 13 percent, depending on the agency.	1 question better put to 2 A I think that's a really good question for 3 Witness Bonfield.
2 3 4	Q Thank you. And there's a fair amount of discussion around ratios, FFO percentages 14 percent, 13 percent, depending on the agency.  What is, to the best of your knowledge, the rating	1 question better put to 2 A I think that's a really good question for 3 Witness Bonfield. 4 Q Okay. And lastly, do you know or does Mr. Bonfield
2 3 4 5	Q Thank you. And there's a fair amount of discussion around ratios, FFO percentages 14 percent, 13 percent, depending on the agency.  What is, to the best of your knowledge, the rating agency's point of view on the importance of the ERM and	1 question better put to 2 A I think that's a really good question for 3 Witness Bonfield. 4 Q Okay. And lastly, do you know or does Mr. Bonfield 5 perhaps answer this is that deferral balance
2 3 4 5 6	Q Thank you. And there's a fair amount of discussion around ratios, FFO percentages 14 percent, 13 percent, depending on the agency.  What is, to the best of your knowledge, the rating agency's point of view on the importance of the ERM and changes to the ERM?	1 question better put to 2 A I think that's a really good question for 3 Witness Bonfield. 4 Q Okay. And lastly, do you know or does Mr. Bonfield 5 perhaps answer this is that deferral balance 6 currently a matter pending before the UTC in another
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q Thank you. And there's a fair amount of discussion around ratios, FFO percentages 14 percent, 13 percent, depending on the agency.  What is, to the best of your knowledge, the rating agency's point of view on the importance of the ERM and changes to the ERM?  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Just object to the	question better put to  I think that's a really good question for  Witness Bonfield.  Q Okay. And lastly, do you know or does Mr. Bonfield  perhaps answer this is that deferral balance  currently a matter pending before the UTC in another  docket?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Thank you. And there's a fair amount of discussion around ratios, FFO percentages – 14 percent, 13 percent, depending on the agency.  What is, to the best of your knowledge, the rating agency's point of view on the importance of the ERM and changes to the ERM?  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Just object to the question, Your Honor. Calls for speculation about the	<ul> <li>question better put to</li> <li>A I think that's a really good question for Witness Bonfield.</li> <li>Q Okay. And lastly, do you know or does Mr. Bonfield perhaps answer this is that deferral balance currently a matter pending before the UTC in another docket?</li> <li>A Again, I think Mr. Bonfield is well situated to answer</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Thank you. And there's a fair amount of discussion around ratios, FFO percentages – 14 percent, 13 percent, depending on the agency.  What is, to the best of your knowledge, the rating agency's point of view on the importance of the ERM and changes to the ERM?  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Just object to the question, Your Honor. Calls for speculation about the rating agencies.	<ul> <li>question better put to</li> <li>A I think that's a really good question for Witness Bonfield.</li> <li>Q Okay. And lastly, do you know or does Mr. Bonfield perhaps answer this is that deferral balance currently a matter pending before the UTC in another docket?</li> <li>A Again, I think Mr. Bonfield is well situated to answer that question.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Thank you. And there's a fair amount of discussion around ratios, FFO percentages 14 percent, 13 percent, depending on the agency.  What is, to the best of your knowledge, the rating agency's point of view on the importance of the ERM and changes to the ERM?  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Just object to the question, Your Honor. Calls for speculation about the rating agencies.  ATTY MEYER: Your Honor, may I?	1 question better put to 2 A I think that's a really good question for 3 Witness Bonfield. 4 Q Okay. And lastly, do you know or does Mr. Bonfield 5 perhaps answer this is that deferral balance 6 currently a matter pending before the UTC in another 7 docket? 8 A Again, I think Mr. Bonfield is well situated to answer 9 that question. 10 Q You're learning your craft well, passing it along.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q Thank you. And there's a fair amount of discussion around ratios, FFO percentages 14 percent, 13 percent, depending on the agency.  What is, to the best of your knowledge, the rating agency's point of view on the importance of the ERM and changes to the ERM?  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Just object to the question, Your Honor. Calls for speculation about the rating agencies.  ATTY MEYER: Your Honor, may I?  JUDGE BROWN: Yeah. I'm listening.	1 question better put to 2 A I think that's a really good question for 3 Witness Bonfield. 4 Q Okay. And lastly, do you know or does Mr. Bonfield 5 perhaps answer this is that deferral balance 6 currently a matter pending before the UTC in another 7 docket? 8 A Again, I think Mr. Bonfield is well situated to answer 9 that question. 10 Q You're learning your craft well, passing it along. 11 Thank you. And I'm glad you did that. Appreciate it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q Thank you. And there's a fair amount of discussion around ratios, FFO percentages 14 percent, 13 percent, depending on the agency. What is, to the best of your knowledge, the rating agency's point of view on the importance of the ERM and changes to the ERM?  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Just object to the question, Your Honor. Calls for speculation about the rating agencies.  ATTY MEYER: Your Honor, may I?  JUDGE BROWN: Yeah. I'm listening.  ATTY MEYER: Okay. Skeptically, it sounds	1 question better put to 2 A I think that's a really good question for 3 Witness Bonfield. 4 Q Okay. And lastly, do you know or does Mr. Bonfield 5 perhaps answer this is that deferral balance 6 currently a matter pending before the UTC in another 7 docket? 8 A Again, I think Mr. Bonfield is well situated to answer 9 that question. 10 Q You're learning your craft well, passing it along. 11 Thank you. And I'm glad you did that. Appreciate it. 12 ATTY MEYER: No further redirect.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q Thank you. And there's a fair amount of discussion around ratios, FFO percentages – 14 percent, 13 percent, depending on the agency. What is, to the best of your knowledge, the rating agency's point of view on the importance of the ERM and changes to the ERM?  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Just object to the question, Your Honor. Calls for speculation about the rating agencies.  ATTY MEYER: Your Honor, may I?  JUDGE BROWN: Yeah. I'm listening.  ATTY MEYER: Okay. Skeptically, it sounds like. Okay.	1 question better put to 2 A I think that's a really good question for 3 Witness Bonfield. 4 Q Okay. And lastly, do you know or does Mr. Bonfield 5 perhaps answer this is that deferral balance 6 currently a matter pending before the UTC in another 7 docket? 8 A Again, I think Mr. Bonfield is well situated to answer 9 that question. 10 Q You're learning your craft well, passing it along. 11 Thank you. And I'm glad you did that. Appreciate it. 12 ATTY MEYER: No further redirect. 13 JUDGE BROWN: All right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Thank you. And there's a fair amount of discussion around ratios, FFO percentages – 14 percent, 13 percent, depending on the agency. What is, to the best of your knowledge, the rating agency's point of view on the importance of the ERM and changes to the ERM? ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Just object to the question, Your Honor. Calls for speculation about the rating agencies. ATTY MEYER: Your Honor, may I? JUDGE BROWN: Yeah. I'm listening. ATTY MEYER: Okay. Skeptically, it sounds like. Okay. JUDGE BROWN: No.	1 question better put to 2 A I think that's a really good question for 3 Witness Bonfield. 4 Q Okay. And lastly, do you know or does Mr. Bonfield 5 perhaps answer this is that deferral balance 6 currently a matter pending before the UTC in another 7 docket? 8 A Again, I think Mr. Bonfield is well situated to answer 9 that question. 10 Q You're learning your craft well, passing it along. 11 Thank you. And I'm glad you did that. Appreciate it. 12 ATTY MEYER: No further redirect. 13 JUDGE BROWN: All right. 14 No questions from the bench?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Thank you. And there's a fair amount of discussion around ratios, FFO percentages 14 percent, 13 percent, depending on the agency. What is, to the best of your knowledge, the rating agency's point of view on the importance of the ERM and changes to the ERM? ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Just object to the question, Your Honor. Calls for speculation about the rating agencies. ATTY MEYER: Your Honor, may I? JUDGE BROWN: Yeah. I'm listening. ATTY MEYER: Okay. Skeptically, it sounds like. Okay. JUDGE BROWN: No. ATTY MEYER: No. I in all seriousness,	1 question better put to 2 A I think that's a really good question for 3 Witness Bonfield. 4 Q Okay. And lastly, do you know or does Mr. Bonfield 5 perhaps answer this is that deferral balance 6 currently a matter pending before the UTC in another 7 docket? 8 A Again, I think Mr. Bonfield is well situated to answer 9 that question. 10 Q You're learning your craft well, passing it along. 11 Thank you. And I'm glad you did that. Appreciate it. 12 ATTY MEYER: No further redirect. 13 JUDGE BROWN: All right. 14 No questions from the bench? 15 And you are dismissed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Thank you. And there's a fair amount of discussion around ratios, FFO percentages 14 percent, 13 percent, depending on the agency. What is, to the best of your knowledge, the rating agency's point of view on the importance of the ERM and changes to the ERM? ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Just object to the question, Your Honor. Calls for speculation about the rating agencies.  ATTY MEYER: Your Honor, may I? JUDGE BROWN: Yeah. I'm listening. ATTY MEYER: Okay. Skeptically, it sounds like. Okay. JUDGE BROWN: No. ATTY MEYER: No. I in all seriousness, this witness has regular interaction with the rating	1 question better put to 2 A I think that's a really good question for 3 Witness Bonfield. 4 Q Okay. And lastly, do you know or does Mr. Bonfield 5 perhaps answer this is that deferral balance 6 currently a matter pending before the UTC in another 7 docket? 8 A Again, I think Mr. Bonfield is well situated to answer 9 that question. 10 Q You're learning your craft well, passing it along. 11 Thank you. And I'm glad you did that. Appreciate it. 12 ATTY MEYER: No further redirect. 13 JUDGE BROWN: All right. 14 No questions from the bench? 15 And you are dismissed. 16 At this time, we will take a short ten-minute
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Thank you. And there's a fair amount of discussion around ratios, FFO percentages 14 percent, 13 percent, depending on the agency. What is, to the best of your knowledge, the rating agency's point of view on the importance of the ERM and changes to the ERM? ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Just object to the question, Your Honor. Calls for speculation about the rating agencies.  ATTY MEYER: Your Honor, may I? JUDGE BROWN: Yeah. I'm listening. ATTY MEYER: Okay. Skeptically, it sounds like. Okay. JUDGE BROWN: No. ATTY MEYER: No. I in all seriousness, this witness has regular interaction with the rating agencies. I'm simply asking him to share what he	1 question better put to 2 A I think that's a really good question for 3 Witness Bonfield. 4 Q Okay. And lastly, do you know or does Mr. Bonfield 5 perhaps answer this is that deferral balance 6 currently a matter pending before the UTC in another 7 docket? 8 A Again, I think Mr. Bonfield is well situated to answer 9 that question. 10 Q You're learning your craft well, passing it along. 11 Thank you. And I'm glad you did that. Appreciate it. 12 ATTY MEYER: No further redirect. 13 JUDGE BROWN: All right. 14 No questions from the bench? 15 And you are dismissed. 16 At this time, we will take a short ten-minute 17 break and reconvene at 11:06. So we're off the record.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Thank you. And there's a fair amount of discussion around ratios, FFO percentages 14 percent, 13 percent, depending on the agency. What is, to the best of your knowledge, the rating agency's point of view on the importance of the ERM and changes to the ERM? ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Just object to the question, Your Honor. Calls for speculation about the rating agencies.  ATTY MEYER: Your Honor, may I? JUDGE BROWN: Yeah. I'm listening. ATTY MEYER: Okay. Skeptically, it sounds like. Okay. JUDGE BROWN: No. ATTY MEYER: No. I in all seriousness, this witness has regular interaction with the rating agencies. I'm simply asking him to share what he his perception of that view is, accurate or not.	question better put to  I think that's a really good question for  Witness Bonfield.  Q Okay. And lastly, do you know or does Mr. Bonfield perhaps answer this is that deferral balance currently a matter pending before the UTC in another docket?  A Again, I think Mr. Bonfield is well situated to answer that question.  Q You're learning your craft well, passing it along.  Thank you. And I'm glad you did that. Appreciate it.  ATTY MEYER: No further redirect.  JUDGE BROWN: All right.  No questions from the bench?  And you are dismissed.  At this time, we will take a short ten-minute break and reconvene at 11:06. So we're off the record.  (Break in proceedings at 10:56 a.m.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Thank you. And there's a fair amount of discussion around ratios, FFO percentages 14 percent, 13 percent, depending on the agency. What is, to the best of your knowledge, the rating agency's point of view on the importance of the ERM and changes to the ERM? ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Just object to the question, Your Honor. Calls for speculation about the rating agencies. ATTY MEYER: Your Honor, may I? JUDGE BROWN: Yeah. I'm listening. ATTY MEYER: Okay. Skeptically, it sounds like. Okay. JUDGE BROWN: No. ATTY MEYER: No. I in all seriousness, this witness has regular interaction with the rating agencies. I'm simply asking him to share what he his perception of that view is, accurate or not. That's all.	1 question better put to 2 A I think that's a really good question for 3 Witness Bonfield. 4 Q Okay. And lastly, do you know or does Mr. Bonfield 5 perhaps answer this is that deferral balance 6 currently a matter pending before the UTC in another 7 docket? 8 A Again, I think Mr. Bonfield is well situated to answer 9 that question. 10 Q You're learning your craft well, passing it along. 11 Thank you. And I'm glad you did that. Appreciate it. 12 ATTY MEYER: No further redirect. 13 JUDGE BROWN: All right. 14 No questions from the bench? 15 And you are dismissed. 16 At this time, we will take a short ten-minute 17 break and reconvene at 11:06. So we're off the record. 18 (Break in proceedings at 10:56 a.m.) 19 THE COURT: And our next witness is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Thank you. And there's a fair amount of discussion around ratios, FFO percentages 14 percent, 13 percent, depending on the agency. What is, to the best of your knowledge, the rating agency's point of view on the importance of the ERM and changes to the ERM?  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Just object to the question, Your Honor. Calls for speculation about the rating agencies.  ATTY MEYER: Your Honor, may I? JUDGE BROWN: Yeah. I'm listening. ATTY MEYER: Okay. Skeptically, it sounds like. Okay. JUDGE BROWN: No. ATTY MEYER: No. I in all seriousness, this witness has regular interaction with the rating agencies. I'm simply asking him to share what he his perception of that view is, accurate or not. That's all. JUDGE BROWN: I'll allow you to proceed and,	1 question better put to 2 A I think that's a really good question for 3 Witness Bonfield. 4 Q Okay. And lastly, do you know or does Mr. Bonfield 5 perhaps answer this is that deferral balance 6 currently a matter pending before the UTC in another 7 docket? 8 A Again, I think Mr. Bonfield is well situated to answer 9 that question. 10 Q You're learning your craft well, passing it along. 11 Thank you. And I'm glad you did that. Appreciate it. 12 ATTY MEYER: No further redirect. 13 JUDGE BROWN: All right. 14 No questions from the bench? 15 And you are dismissed. 16 At this time, we will take a short ten-minute 17 break and reconvene at 11:06. So we're off the record. 18 (Break in proceedings at 10:56 a.m.) 19 THE COURT: And our next witness is 20 Adrien McKenzie.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Thank you. And there's a fair amount of discussion around ratios, FFO percentages 14 percent, 13 percent, depending on the agency. What is, to the best of your knowledge, the rating agency's point of view on the importance of the ERM and changes to the ERM?  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Just object to the question, Your Honor. Calls for speculation about the rating agencies.  ATTY MEYER: Your Honor, may I? JUDGE BROWN: Yeah. I'm listening. ATTY MEYER: Okay. Skeptically, it sounds like. Okay. JUDGE BROWN: No. ATTY MEYER: No. I in all seriousness, this witness has regular interaction with the rating agencies. I'm simply asking him to share what he his perception of that view is, accurate or not. That's all.  JUDGE BROWN: I'll allow you to proceed and, depending on where you're going, I will then rule.	1 question better put to 2 A I think that's a really good question for 3 Witness Bonfield. 4 Q Okay. And lastly, do you know or does Mr. Bonfield 5 perhaps answer this is that deferral balance 6 currently a matter pending before the UTC in another 7 docket? 8 A Again, I think Mr. Bonfield is well situated to answer 9 that question. 10 Q You're learning your craft well, passing it along. 11 Thank you. And I'm glad you did that. Appreciate it. 12 ATTY MEYER: No further redirect. 13 JUDGE BROWN: All right. 14 No questions from the bench? 15 And you are dismissed. 16 At this time, we will take a short ten-minute 17 break and reconvene at 11:06. So we're off the record. 18 (Break in proceedings at 10:56 a.m.) 19 THE COURT: And our next witness is 20 Adrien McKenzie. 21 ATTY MEYER: Thank you. I call to the stand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Thank you. And there's a fair amount of discussion around ratios, FFO percentages 14 percent, 13 percent, depending on the agency. What is, to the best of your knowledge, the rating agency's point of view on the importance of the ERM and changes to the ERM?  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Just object to the question, Your Honor. Calls for speculation about the rating agencies.  ATTY MEYER: Your Honor, may I? JUDGE BROWN: Yeah. I'm listening. ATTY MEYER: Okay. Skeptically, it sounds like. Okay. JUDGE BROWN: No. ATTY MEYER: No. I in all seriousness, this witness has regular interaction with the rating agencies. I'm simply asking him to share what he his perception of that view is, accurate or not. That's all.  JUDGE BROWN: I'll allow you to proceed and, depending on where you're going, I will then rule. Go ahead.	1 question better put to 2 A I think that's a really good question for 3 Witness Bonfield. 4 Q Okay. And lastly, do you know or does Mr. Bonfield 5 perhaps answer this is that deferral balance 6 currently a matter pending before the UTC in another 7 docket? 8 A Again, I think Mr. Bonfield is well situated to answer 9 that question. 10 Q You're learning your craft well, passing it along. 11 Thank you. And I'm glad you did that. Appreciate it. 12 ATTY MEYER: No further redirect. 13 JUDGE BROWN: All right. 14 No questions from the bench? 15 And you are dismissed. 16 At this time, we will take a short ten-minute 17 break and reconvene at 11:06. So we're off the record. 18 (Break in proceedings at 10:56 a.m.) 19 THE COURT: And our next witness is 20 Adrien McKenzie. 21 ATTY MEYER: Thank you. I call to the stand 22 virtually Mr. Adrien McKenzie. He should be accessing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Thank you. And there's a fair amount of discussion around ratios, FFO percentages 14 percent, 13 percent, depending on the agency. What is, to the best of your knowledge, the rating agency's point of view on the importance of the ERM and changes to the ERM? ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Just object to the question, Your Honor. Calls for speculation about the rating agencies.  ATTY MEYER: Your Honor, may I? JUDGE BROWN: Yeah. I'm listening. ATTY MEYER: Okay. Skeptically, it sounds like. Okay. JUDGE BROWN: No. ATTY MEYER: No. I in all seriousness, this witness has regular interaction with the rating agencies. I'm simply asking him to share what he his perception of that view is, accurate or not. That's all.  JUDGE BROWN: I'll allow you to proceed and, depending on where you're going, I will then rule. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I regularly interact with the	question better put to  I think that's a really good question for Witness Bonfield.  Q Okay. And lastly, do you know or does Mr. Bonfield perhaps answer this is that deferral balance currently a matter pending before the UTC in another docket?  A Again, I think Mr. Bonfield is well situated to answer that question.  Q You're learning your craft well, passing it along. Thank you. And I'm glad you did that. Appreciate it.  ATTY MEYER: No further redirect. JUDGE BROWN: All right. No questions from the bench? And you are dismissed. At this time, we will take a short ten-minute break and reconvene at 11:06. So we're off the record. (Break in proceedings at 10:56 a.m.) THE COURT: And our next witness is Adrien McKenzie.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you. I call to the stand virtually Mr. Adrien McKenzie. He should be accessing in through Zoom.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q Thank you. And there's a fair amount of discussion around ratios, FFO percentages 14 percent, 13 percent, depending on the agency. What is, to the best of your knowledge, the rating agency's point of view on the importance of the ERM and changes to the ERM?  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Just object to the question, Your Honor. Calls for speculation about the rating agencies.  ATTY MEYER: Your Honor, may I? JUDGE BROWN: Yeah. I'm listening. ATTY MEYER: Okay. Skeptically, it sounds like. Okay. JUDGE BROWN: No. ATTY MEYER: No. I in all seriousness, this witness has regular interaction with the rating agencies. I'm simply asking him to share what he his perception of that view is, accurate or not. That's all. JUDGE BROWN: I'll allow you to proceed and, depending on where you're going, I will then rule. Go ahead.  THE WITNESS: I regularly interact with the rating agencies. I feel like have a pretty good	question better put to  A I think that's a really good question for Witness Bonfield.  Q Okay. And lastly, do you know or does Mr. Bonfield perhaps answer this is that deferral balance currently a matter pending before the UTC in another docket?  A Again, I think Mr. Bonfield is well situated to answer that question.  Q You're learning your craft well, passing it along. Thank you. And I'm glad you did that. Appreciate it.  ATTY MEYER: No further redirect. JUDGE BROWN: All right. No questions from the bench? And you are dismissed. At this time, we will take a short ten-minute break and reconvene at 11:06. So we're off the record. (Break in proceedings at 10:56 a.m.) THE COURT: And our next witness is Adrien McKenzie.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you. I call to the stand virtually Mr. Adrien McKenzie. He should be accessing in through Zoom. WITNESS McKENZIE: I am here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Thank you. And there's a fair amount of discussion around ratios, FFO percentages 14 percent, 13 percent, depending on the agency. What is, to the best of your knowledge, the rating agency's point of view on the importance of the ERM and changes to the ERM? ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Just object to the question, Your Honor. Calls for speculation about the rating agencies.  ATTY MEYER: Your Honor, may I? JUDGE BROWN: Yeah. I'm listening. ATTY MEYER: Okay. Skeptically, it sounds like. Okay. JUDGE BROWN: No. ATTY MEYER: No. I in all seriousness, this witness has regular interaction with the rating agencies. I'm simply asking him to share what he his perception of that view is, accurate or not. That's all.  JUDGE BROWN: I'll allow you to proceed and, depending on where you're going, I will then rule. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I regularly interact with the	question better put to  I think that's a really good question for Witness Bonfield.  Q Okay. And lastly, do you know or does Mr. Bonfield perhaps answer this is that deferral balance currently a matter pending before the UTC in another docket?  A Again, I think Mr. Bonfield is well situated to answer that question.  Q You're learning your craft well, passing it along. Thank you. And I'm glad you did that. Appreciate it.  ATTY MEYER: No further redirect. JUDGE BROWN: All right. No questions from the bench? And you are dismissed. At this time, we will take a short ten-minute break and reconvene at 11:06. So we're off the record. (Break in proceedings at 10:56 a.m.) THE COURT: And our next witness is Adrien McKenzie.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you. I call to the stand virtually Mr. Adrien McKenzie. He should be accessing in through Zoom.

	Page 146			Page 148
1	WITNESS McKENZIE: See video	1	Q	Is it incidentally, is it normally true that your
2	ATTY MEYER: yes. Okay. We see you,	2	_	rebuttal testimony is significantly longer than your
3	Adrien, and hear you. So I think that part's done.	3		initial testimony?
4	Would you care to swear the witness in?	4	Α	Well, that all depends on the number of witnesses that
5	JUDGE BROWN: Yes. Would you ah.	5		I need to rebut and the extent of their testimony. So
6	Thank you.	6		it's not uncommon.
7	(Witness duly sworn.)	7	Q	Okay. Page 80, if you could turn to line 17. You
8	JUDGE BROWN: Thank you.	8		wrote, "By applying the DCF" and DCF here is what?
9	You may proceed.	9		Discount cash
10	ATTY MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor.	10	Α	Discounted cash flow.
11		11	Q	"model in a way that is inconsistent with the
12	DIRECT EXAMINATION	12		information that is available to investors and how they
13	BY ATTY MEYER:	13		use it, the use of GDP growth places the theoretical
14	Q And, Mr. McKenzie, you, I know, were listening in	14		assumption of a financial model ahead of investor
15	earlier. So you're aware that all of the exhibits,	15		behavior."
16	including cross-examination exhibits for you, were	16		Did I read that correctly?
17	entered through stipulation; so we won't go through any	17		Yes.
18	of that.	18	Q	Would you agree generally that a financial model is
19	I'll simply ask you, for the record, what is	19		only valuable to the extent that it accurately predicts
20	your what is your name? And what is your position?	20		or explains investor behavior?
21	And what are you testifying to here?	21		Yes. I would agree with that statement.
22	A My name is Adrien McKenzie. I'm the president of	22	Q	And in this particular section, your point here is that
23	FINCAP, Inc., which is a financial regulatory	23		the GDP rate is not used by investors; so it should not
24	consulting firm located in Austin, Texas. And I'm	24		be used in the model; correct? That's why you put that
25	testifying on the issue of rate of return on equity.	25		sentence where you did?
				Page 149
1	Q Thank you.	1	Α	Yeah. As I say in the next question, GDP growth rates
2	ATTY MEYER: And with that, he is available	2		aren't commonly referenced as a guide to future
3	for cross-examination.  JUDGE BROWN: I believe Staff will proceed.	3 4		expectations for individual firms. So it's not that investors don't pay attention to GDP. They certainly
4 5	No?	5		do. But when it comes to implementing the DCF model
6	ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: I guess I'll go	6		and trying to replicate the growth expectations that
7	first. Everyone is pointing at me, Your Honor, to	7		investors have, GDP is not that number.
8	start.	8	a	But as you say, the GDP growth is available to
9	JUDGE BROWN: Yes. That is agreed.	9	_	investors; correct?
10	Public Counsel, you'll excuse me, but I have a tendency	10	Α	Yes. Some projection of it is available, and multiple
11	to kind of go by row; so, actually, proceed.	11		projections are available from different sources.
12	ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Thank you.	12	Q	All right. Well, let's talk about the sentiment that
13	•	13		you expressed here, which is that financial models are
14	CROSS-EXAMINATION	14		valuable if they predict actual behavior and whether
15	BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL:	15		they predicted Avista's behavior.
16	Q Mr. McKenzie, can you hear me?	16		You'll accept that the goal of the Commission is
17	A Yes, I can. Thank you.	17		to set a return that is, quote, "reasonably sufficient
18	Q Do you have with you your rebuttal well, first of	18		to assure confidence in the financial soundness of a
19	all, do you have all of your the exhibits that were	19		utility to maintain its credit and raise money."
20	designated as cross exhibits and your testimony?	20		That's from Bluefield; correct?
21	A Yes, I do.	21	_	Yes. That is part of the Bluefield standards.
22	Q Okay. Can you turn to your rebuttal testimony page 80.	22	Q	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
23	A Did you say 80?	23		what is sufficient or necessary to assure consistency
24	Q Yes. Eight, zero.	24		in financial soundness to maintain credit and raise
25	A Okay.	25		money; correct?

Page 152

Page 153

A Right. And provide a fair return to investors that is equivalent to those available from investments of comparable risk.

- Q Do you alter your modeling to achieve a specific result? So, for example, in this case, you want -your client wants a high result. Do you change your modeling to achieve that result for them?
- 8 A No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- 9 Q So you would say you're consistent in your modeling?
- A Reasonably. I wouldn't say that there may be instances
  where I've altered certain aspects of models over time
  because of information. But generally, the approaches
  I use have been consistent over time.
- Q In this case, your modeling projects a range of 10.3 to
   11.3. And if you need a reference, it's in your
   initial testimony at page 6.
- 17 A Yes. That's correct.
- 18 Q And you say that 10.4 is a conservative ROE; is that 19 right?
- 20 A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 21 Q What do you mean by "conservative"?
- A It's at the lower end of my range.
- Q Do you mean that, if the Commission goes below 10.4 under your modeling, Avista will no longer be
- 25 financially sound?

Q So you're aware that Avista's currently on course for 2024 to meet its credit thresholds; correct?

- A Yes. I don't have any knowledge of that, but I believe that's a correct representation of what he said.
- 5 Q If you go to page 7 of your initial testimony...
  - A I'm there
  - Q In explaining the reasonableness of the ROE, which is about in the middle of page -- line 8, you list factors. One of them is funding significant capital expenditures exceeding 1.5 billion. Do you see that?
  - A Yes.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

25

1

- Q Does that come from the credit rating? Or does that come from the company's filing?
- A My recollection is that that came from the company. I would have to investigate further in my testimony to confirm that. If you'd like me to look, I can.
- 17 Q Is it true, however, that, in the last ten years -that every year, Avista has increased its capital base
  and needed capital funding; correct?
- 20 A Yes. Like pretty much every other utility in the industry.
- Q And you have testified to this factor in all of the Avista cases since 2015; correct?
- A I don't know that to be true.
  - Q Upon verification -- all of your prior testimony from

Page 151

Page 150

- A No. I'm not making any predictions about Avista's financial soundness based on a specific ROE outcome in this case. My purpose was to employ financial models to develop what I considered to be a fair range for investors' required return, and that's what the 10.3 to 11.3 represents.
- Q But you're not testifying that, unless they get the 10.4 -- which you've said is conservative -- that they won't be financially sound, are you?
- A No. I'm not testifying to that.
- 11 Q You're not testifying that, if they don't get 10.4, 12 that they won't be able to raise money, are you?
  - A No. But at the same time, I think the Commission also needs to be mindful of the impact of the ultimate ROE decision on the company's financial strength. We heard earlier testimony regarding S&P's negative outlook on the company. It downgraded the company in 2022, and the negative outlook is a signal to investors that there's a potential for a further downgrade in the future. And that increases cost to customers as well since more of the company's financing is provided by debt than equity.
  - Q Were you on the line -- or did you see the testimony of Mr. Christie earlier today?
- 25 A I did listen to his testimony, yes.

2015 is in the record but...

- A Well, it wouldn't surprise me. I mean, it's a key consideration for the credit rating agencies, for
- investors. Obviously, the company needs to be able to
- 5 have sufficient financial strength, not only to raise
- the capital but also to provide for the security of
- that capital in terms of coverage for interest,et cetera.
- 9 Q And more directly, it's literally in Bluefield that 10 they have to be able to raise money? That's the goal; 11 right?
- 12 A That's one of the goals, yes.
- 13 Q The next one is -- next factor you list is the hydro 14 reliance on hydroelectric generation and dependence on 15 natural gas.
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q That's the same that also has been in all of your testimony since 2015; correct?
- A I don't know that to be true either.
- 20 Q Because you haven't reviewed your prior testimony?
  - A Right.
- 22 Q If it is, would that surprise you?
- A No, it wouldn't.
- Q Now, you include here, as documented in the testimony
   of Company Witness Kinney, "the ERM exacerbates the

30 (Pages 150 to 153)

21

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18 19

20

22

23

24

25

Page 154

- risk to Avista." This isn't your opinion; you're just relying on Mr. Kinney; correct? You're not offering your own expertise on the ERM, are you?
- A I'm not an expert on the ERM, no. I do discuss the ERM later in my testimony and talk about the recognized issues that the investment community have with it. So. for example, if you look on page 18 of my direct, there I talk about what S&P views as a disadvantage relative to others.
- Q Okay. Your next point is the ability to earn fair ROE and mitigate exposure, which isn't actually an element. That's just a description, I think; correct?
- A Well, no. It is an element. I mean, one of the problems that Avista has confronted for many years now is a shortfall between what the Commission says is a fair and reasonable ROE and what the company is actually able to earn. Despite any regulatory mechanisms in place or multi-year rate plans, the actual allowed ROE has been higher than what the company's been able to earn.

So that should be a consideration for the Commission, I think, in setting the ROE. If you lowball the ROE, you're going to put the company in a position, again, of earning considerably less than the cost of equity.

company has the capability to maintain and build its credit standing while confronting potential challenges associated with funding infrastructure development necessary to meet the needs of its customers."

Did I read that correctly? 6 A Yes, you did.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q Now, earlier I'd asked you if your testimony was that the 10.4 percent was necessary to support financial integrity. And you said no, but this appears to say that. Are you backing off of this testimony? Or am I misreading it?
- 12 A No. I'm not backing off of my testimony at all. I 13 mean, I think this is a true statement, that the 14 company's financial integrity is a key issue. The 15 opportunity to earn a fair ROE is a key issue. And the 16 company's credit standings are key issues. And all of 17 those things should be considered by the Commission in 18 evaluating the relative ROE recommendations in this 19
- 20 Q Would it surprise you that that language appears almost 21 verbatim in all of your testimony since 2015?
- 22 A No. It wouldn't at all.
  - Q Okay. Do you know or have you done any analysis of your own modeling projections against actual Avista behavior?

Page 155

Page 157

Page 156

- Q So it's your testimony that there's a baked-in inefficiency in Avista that they can't make their awarded ROE?
- 4 A No.
  - Q Okay. Historically -- that is, in the last ten years -- they've been a little below their awarded ROE. That's what you're referring to?
- 8
  - Q Now that information is available to credit -- credit rating agencies; correct?
  - A Yes. In fact, they've commented on it. They've discussed the problem of attrition, specifically with respect to Avista, and pointed out that that undermines the company's credit strength.
  - Q And it's also available to investors? They're aware of that?
- 17 A Yes, they are.
  - Q Then the last point here is that the -- you make is the greater uncertainties associated with wildfire exposure; correct?
- 21 A Yes.
  - At the bottom of page 9, last bullet point, you write, "Continued support for Avista's financial integrity, including the opportunity to actually return a reasonable ROE, is imperative to ensure that the

- A You'd have to be more specific.
- Q Since 2015 you've presented testimony on behalf of Avista in which you model the range and made a conservative estimate. Do you know how that -- your conservative -- your conservative ROE from your modeling, how that compares to what was actually awarded by the Commission?
- 8 A Well, I'm going to disagree with your questions and 9 start with -- "conservative" is your word. I don't 10 know -- did I say that in every testimony? And I think, in this case, when I say "conservative," I'm 11 12 referring to the company's request, which is actually 13 not the midpoint of my range.

So I'm not sure how to answer your question. It's not -- I haven't prepared a conservative analysis. I've done what I would consider to be an accurate analysis using the models that I believe are relevant and applying them as I see that they should be applied in developing a range. I'm not characterizing my range as conservative.

- Q Well, your testimony will speak for itself. Do you know how your recommendation has differed from the actual award from the Commission since 2015?
- 24 A Well, not surprisingly I suppose, the Commission's 25 determinations have generally been lower than my

Page 158 Page 160 1 recommendations, just as they've probably been a little 1 yields since that reduction was announced --2 2 higher than Staff's or the AG. Q But they have gone down? 3 3 Q Okay. In your prior testimony, you testified about A -- pardon me? 4 something known as forecasted long-term treasury bond 4 Q Bond yields have gone down? 5 5 yields in your ECAPM. A Yes, but not dramatically. So the current triple-B 6 Are you familiar with this prospect or this --6 utility bond yield is about 5.4 percent. That's still 7 7 forecasted long-term treasury bond yields? over 220 basis points, I think, higher than when the 8 A Yes. I am familiar with that. 8 Commission set Avista's ROE at 9 and a half in its last 9 9 Q And as I understand it from your testimony, when litigated case. 10 interest rates were low, you predicted that the 10 Q Your current testimony doesn't make any adjustment for 11 interest rates would rise and then so adjusted your 11 that future bond yield fall. At least, I didn't see 12 it. Can you point to where in your testimony you 12 modeling to capture that future bond yield and then 13 adjusted your ECAPM for future bond yields? 13 modified your testimony accordingly. 14 14 Is that -- have I understood your testimony in A I addressed the issue of future bond yields in my 15 those prior cases --15 rebuttal. And actually, I take issue with Staff --16 16 A Yeah. I'm glad we're talking about this. I did look with Mr. Parcell's unsupported claim about falling bond 17 to projections -- not my own projections, but 17 yields in the future. And I point -- again, as I 18 18 independent projections from economists and the discussed in my last answer, I point to independent 19 investment community -- when interest rates were at 19 forecasts of top economists. This is on page 18 of my 20 20 historical low levels. And those projections rebuttal testimony. These forecasts were made on 21 21 demonstrated a very dramatic expected uptick in June 1st. Again, moves in the Fed funds rate have been 22 interest rates going forward. 22 widely anticipated, and they would be presumably known 23 23 So in that testimony, I argued that the Commission by economists at major banks. So there isn't a forecast that's showing a 24 should at least give some consideration to the fact 24 25 25 that capital costs were going to change -- at least dramatic change in bond yields going forward. And as Page 159 Page 161 1 investors expected them to do that. I've addressed 1 to the question -- how did I incorporate a 2 2 that in this testimony as well showing that the latest 50-basis-point downward move in the Fed funds rate? 3 projections of the top economists surveyed by Blue Chip 3 That happened in September. My rebuttal testimony was 4 showed that, basically, interest rates are expected to 4 already filed. 5 5 remain relatively flat. They're not expecting huge But again, it's meant that the long-term -- and 6 changes like they were back in that time when I used 6 we're looking at a spot yield which I think is not the 7 that information. 7 best measure. But if we look at a spot yield, we're 8 Q Are you aware of the recent 50-basis-point reduction in 8 talking about 5.4 for the triple-B utility bond yield. It's still considerably higher than when the Commission 9 interest rates from the Fed? 9 10 10 last set Avista's ROE. A Yeah. And let's be clear. It's not a 50-point --11 basis point reduction in interest rates. It's one 11 Q Mr. McKenzie, I understand your dispute with the Staff 12 12 specific rate. witness over the amount. I'm just curious as to why 13 13 Q Fair. you omitted it entirely from your initial testimony if, A It's the federal funds range, which is an overnight 14 as you testified, you attempt to keep your modeling 14 15 borrowing rate for banks. 15 consistent. 16 Q Now, typically, as the Fed rate falls, what happens to 16 A Because the data is inconsistent. As I explained, when 17 bond yields? 17 I relied on projected bond yields, there was data --1.8 A Well, typically when the Fed reduces the federal funds 18 the same source, Blue Chip forecasts -- which suggested 19 rate, it's a sign of easing monetary policy. So in 19 that investors expected a very dramatic upward move in 20 20 general, that would tend to reduce interest rates in interest rates, which coincidentally did happen. 21 21 the markets. Of course, markets are always looking Now what I'm telling the Commission is that, if 22 ahead. So they're forecasting continuously. This 22 you look at the same forecast today, the interest rates 23 23 downward move in the Fed funds rate that we just saw at are not expected to move dramatically. And that's why 24 24 the September meeting has been widely anticipated. I didn't incorporate it. 25 25 Q Wait -- in 2015 when you predicted there would be a There haven't been dramatic changes in long-term bond

Page 162 Page 164 markedly higher than the various benchmarks and 1 rise in interest rates, it was -- it happened? It 1 2 2 didn't, did it? It didn't happen until after COVID? historical relationships would suggest." 3 3 Interest rates remained low? Did I read that correctly? 4 4 A Okay. I didn't predict it. So I want to correct your A Yes. 5 5 Q The last line is "Put another way, even our most question. This is not my predictions. It's not 6 Mr. McKenzie making predictions about where interest 6 conservative benchmarks come in below the allowed rates 7 7 rates are going. It's me looking at data concerning of return on equity that regulators set today." 8 what investors expect. And at that time, they were 8 Did I read that correctly? 9 9 expected to increase over the next five-year period. A Yes. 10 They have increased, ultimately. 10 Q Are you aware of research that's emerging that utility 11 Q All right. In -- I have to find the exhibit number 11 commissions have set utility rates higher than financial modeling would ordinarily predict? 12 12 now -- what's been marked as Exhibit 24X, which was --13 it's the Werner & Jarvis article. Do you have a copy 13 A Well, I've seen a couple of articles to that effect. I 14 of that? strongly disagree with the premise --14 15 A Yes. Okay. I have that in front of me. 15 16 16 Q Have you had an opportunity to review that prior to A -- and there's a lot of problems with these articles. 17 17 But yes, I'm familiar that they're out there. 18 18 Q I suspected you would disagree. A Yes. I did review it. Not in depth, but I did review 19 19 You didn't address this concept in your rebuttal 20 Q When did you review it? 20 testimony, did you? 21 21 A Yesterday. A Let me look. Mr. Garrett often cites this type of 22 Q Have you had an opportunity to review the data 22 stuff; so I'm not sure if I addressed it or not. I 23 23 underlying this report -- or this article? actually think he took that section out of his 24 A No. And it's a working paper; it's not a published 24 testimony this time. 25 article. 25 Q It's -- I didn't find anywhere in your testimony where Page 163 Page 165 Q Fair enough. A working paper. You have not had a you mentioned this; so I'll --1 1 2 chance to review the data? 2 A Yeah. I don't think any of the witnesses cited to this 3 3 article in their testimony; so I wouldn't have had a 4 Q If you could turn to page 37 of that paper. 4 chance to respond to it in testimony. 5 A Okav. 5 Q Interest rates were very low for a really long period 6 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Excuse me. Is that 6 of time. You would agree with me? 2002 to around 7 the page of the exhibit? Or the page of the article? 7 2021? 8 ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: My computer's not 8 A Yes, they were. 9 loading it; so I don't know -- to answer that question. 9 Inflation was very low in that time period as well? 10 I will momentarily. 10 A Yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. 11 11 Q Now, typically, if interest rates are down and 12 ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Yeah. Page 37 of the 12 inflation is down, the modeling for discount cash flow 13 study. 13 or CAPM would ordinarily record a decrease in the ROEs; THE WITNESS: Of the paper? 14 14 right? I mean, that's the typical association. Low Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Yeah. It's 38 of --15 15 inflation means easier --16 16 A Well --17 Q -- 66. It starts with --17 Q -- access to capital? 1.8 A Great. 18 A -- you -- yeah. I mean, again, going back to our 19 Q -- "Conclusion" at the top. 19 earlier discussion about models and what they do and 20 A I'm there. Thank you. 20 whether they're working, of course any model is going Q Second full paragraph, they write, "Our analysis shows 21 21 to estimate the ROE with some degree of error. So it's 22 that the return on equity that utilities are allowed to 22 not impossible that you could have a situation where 23 earn has changed dramatically relative to the various 23 interest rates would decline and a DCF value might 24 financial benchmarks in the economy. We estimate that 24 increase. 25 the current approved average return on equity is 25 But generally, I would say that, obviously, I

1		
	Page 166	Page 168
1	certainly agree that the cost of equity moves in the	1 THE WITNESS: I don't
2	same direction of interest rates. But I think, coming	2 Q BY ATTY PEPPLE: It's on the printout, it's a
3	back to this article, as I document in my testimony,	3 fairly very small numbers, which I will apologize
4	the equity risk premium moves inversely to interest	4 in advance, but I don't think we will actually need to
5	rates. So when interest rates go down, the premium	5 look at them in detail; so
6	expands; so that actually would blunt some of the	6 A You know, I recall being sent that. But then, in the
7	impact of the ROE going down in tandem. It doesn't go	7 most recent set of exhibits that were actually
8	down in tandem with interest rates, and it doesn't rise	8 numbered, it doesn't seem to show up. Do you remember
9	as fast as interest rates either.	9 the number that was assigned preliminarily to that so I
10	Q You would agree, though, generally speaking, that in	10 can just pull it up?
11	terms of that equity principle, that lower risk	11 Q Well, what I can tell you is that if you open your
12	lower risks ought to have a smaller equity principle	12 work papers from your initial filing, it's the tab
13	than high risk investments; right? That's generally	13 that's "2023, 11 Market DCF."
14	true? It's a high risk; you need a higher invest	14 A Thanks. I'm there.
15	or higher return?	15 <b>Q Okay.</b>
16	A Okay. You said "principle" in your question	16 A Thank you.
17	Q I misspoke.	17 Q So my understanding of how you arrived at the
18	A I would agree with the proposition that a higher	18 11.7 percent number in the cost of equity columns for
19	risk investment requires a higher return, yes.	the CAPM and the ECAPM analyses is that you performed a
20	Q And a lower risk investment requires, typically, a	20 DCF analysis on the companies that are listed in this
21	lower risk or lower return; correct?	exhibit, which are all from the S&P 500; is that right?
22	A That's right.	22 A That's correct. Those are the dividend-paying
23	Q That's a general principle that applies?	23 companies in the S&P 500
24	A Yes. It's an important one.	24 <b>Q Okay.</b>
25	Q Okay. That's all the questions I have. Thank you.	25 A at the time I performed the analysis.
	Page 167	Page 169
1		
1 2	JUDGE BROWN: Counsel, did you want to	1 Q Okay. And in your CAPM and your ECAPM analyses, this
		1 Q Okay. And in your CAPM and your ECAPM analyses, this 2 11.7 percent reflects the expected market return for
2	JUDGE BROWN: Counsel, did you want to redirect now? Or would you like AWEC to proceed?	Q Okay. And in your CAPM and your ECAPM analyses, this 11.7 percent reflects the expected market return for this S&P 500 portfolio; is that right?
2	JUDGE BROWN: Counsel, did you want to redirect now? Or would you like AWEC to proceed?  ATTY MEYER: I would like AWEC to proceed.	Q Okay. And in your CAPM and your ECAPM analyses, this 11.7 percent reflects the expected market return for this S&P 500 portfolio; is that right? A Yes. It's, again, not the S&P 500, quite, because I
2 3 4	JUDGE BROWN: Counsel, did you want to redirect now? Or would you like AWEC to proceed?  ATTY MEYER: I would like AWEC to proceed. So far I have no redirect. Thank you.	Q Okay. And in your CAPM and your ECAPM analyses, this 11.7 percent reflects the expected market return for this S&P 500 portfolio; is that right? A Yes. It's, again, not the S&P 500, quite, because I
2 3 4 5	JUDGE BROWN: Counsel, did you want to redirect now? Or would you like AWEC to proceed? ATTY MEYER: I would like AWEC to proceed. So far I have no redirect. Thank you. JUDGE BROWN: All right.	Q Okay. And in your CAPM and your ECAPM analyses, this 11.7 percent reflects the expected market return for this S&P 500 portfolio; is that right?  A Yes. It's, again, not the S&P 500, quite, because I eliminate companies that don't pay dividends.
2 3 4 5 6	JUDGE BROWN: Counsel, did you want to redirect now? Or would you like AWEC to proceed? ATTY MEYER: I would like AWEC to proceed. So far I have no redirect. Thank you. JUDGE BROWN: All right. Proceed.	Q Okay. And in your CAPM and your ECAPM analyses, this 11.7 percent reflects the expected market return for this S&P 500 portfolio; is that right?  A Yes. It's, again, not the S&P 500, quite, because I eliminate companies that don't pay dividends.  Q Okay. Understood. Can you then now turn to what's
2 3 4 5 6 7	JUDGE BROWN: Counsel, did you want to redirect now? Or would you like AWEC to proceed? ATTY MEYER: I would like AWEC to proceed. So far I have no redirect. Thank you. JUDGE BROWN: All right. Proceed.	Q Okay. And in your CAPM and your ECAPM analyses, this 11.7 percent reflects the expected market return for this S&P 500 portfolio; is that right?  A Yes. It's, again, not the S&P 500, quite, because I eliminate companies that don't pay dividends.  Q Okay. Understood. Can you then now turn to what's been marked as AMM-36CX. That's one of the confidential exhibits I was talking to Mr. Christie
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JUDGE BROWN: Counsel, did you want to redirect now? Or would you like AWEC to proceed? ATTY MEYER: I would like AWEC to proceed. So far I have no redirect. Thank you. JUDGE BROWN: All right. Proceed. ATTY PEPPLE: Thank you, Judge.	Q Okay. And in your CAPM and your ECAPM analyses, this 11.7 percent reflects the expected market return for this S&P 500 portfolio; is that right?  A Yes. It's, again, not the S&P 500, quite, because I eliminate companies that don't pay dividends.  Q Okay. Understood. Can you then now turn to what's been marked as AMM-36CX. That's one of the confidential exhibits I was talking to Mr. Christie
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JUDGE BROWN: Counsel, did you want to redirect now? Or would you like AWEC to proceed? ATTY MEYER: I would like AWEC to proceed. So far I have no redirect. Thank you. JUDGE BROWN: All right. Proceed. ATTY PEPPLE: Thank you, Judge.  CROSS-EXAMINATION	Q Okay. And in your CAPM and your ECAPM analyses, this 11.7 percent reflects the expected market return for this S&P 500 portfolio; is that right?  A Yes. It's, again, not the S&P 500, quite, because I eliminate companies that don't pay dividends.  Q Okay. Understood. Can you then now turn to what's been marked as AMM-36CX. That's one of the confidential exhibits I was talking to Mr. Christie about, if you recall that. It's the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	JUDGE BROWN: Counsel, did you want to redirect now? Or would you like AWEC to proceed? ATTY MEYER: I would like AWEC to proceed. So far I have no redirect. Thank you. JUDGE BROWN: All right. Proceed. ATTY PEPPLE: Thank you, Judge.  CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTY PEPPLE:	1 Q Okay. And in your CAPM and your ECAPM analyses, this 2 11.7 percent reflects the expected market return for 3 this S&P 500 portfolio; is that right? 4 A Yes. It's, again, not the S&P 500, quite, because I eliminate companies that don't pay dividends. 6 Q Okay. Understood. Can you then now turn to what's been marked as AMM-36CX. That's one of the confidential exhibits I was talking to Mr. Christie about, if you recall that. It's the 10 A Yes. 11 Q one that starts with the email. And, again, I will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	JUDGE BROWN: Counsel, did you want to redirect now? Or would you like AWEC to proceed? ATTY MEYER: I would like AWEC to proceed. So far I have no redirect. Thank you. JUDGE BROWN: All right. Proceed. ATTY PEPPLE: Thank you, Judge.  CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTY PEPPLE: Q Good morning, Mr. McKenzie. Can you hear me?	1 Q Okay. And in your CAPM and your ECAPM analyses, this 2 11.7 percent reflects the expected market return for 3 this S&P 500 portfolio; is that right? 4 A Yes. It's, again, not the S&P 500, quite, because I eliminate companies that don't pay dividends. 6 Q Okay. Understood. Can you then now turn to what's 7 been marked as AMM-36CX. That's one of the 8 confidential exhibits I was talking to Mr. Christie 9 about, if you recall that. It's the 10 A Yes. 11 Q one that starts with the email. And, again, I will 12 note that this is a confidential exhibit, but I will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	JUDGE BROWN: Counsel, did you want to redirect now? Or would you like AWEC to proceed? ATTY MEYER: I would like AWEC to proceed. So far I have no redirect. Thank you. JUDGE BROWN: All right. Proceed. ATTY PEPPLE: Thank you, Judge.  CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTY PEPPLE: Q Good morning, Mr. McKenzie. Can you hear me? A Yes, I can. Thank you.	1 Q Okay. And in your CAPM and your ECAPM analyses, this 2 11.7 percent reflects the expected market return for 3 this S&P 500 portfolio; is that right? 4 A Yes. It's, again, not the S&P 500, quite, because I eliminate companies that don't pay dividends. 6 Q Okay. Understood. Can you then now turn to what's been marked as AMM-36CX. That's one of the confidential exhibits I was talking to Mr. Christie about, if you recall that. It's the 10 A Yes. 11 Q one that starts with the email. And, again, I will 12 note that this is a confidential exhibit, but I will 13 try to keep my questions in the public realm.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	JUDGE BROWN: Counsel, did you want to redirect now? Or would you like AWEC to proceed? ATTY MEYER: I would like AWEC to proceed. So far I have no redirect. Thank you. JUDGE BROWN: All right. Proceed. ATTY PEPPLE: Thank you, Judge.  CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTY PEPPLE: Q Good morning, Mr. McKenzie. Can you hear me? A Yes, I can. Thank you. Q Okay. I have a few questions for you about your CAPM	Q Okay. And in your CAPM and your ECAPM analyses, this 11.7 percent reflects the expected market return for this S&P 500 portfolio; is that right?  A Yes. It's, again, not the S&P 500, quite, because I eliminate companies that don't pay dividends.  Q Okay. Understood. Can you then now turn to what's been marked as AMM-36CX. That's one of the confidential exhibits I was talking to Mr. Christie about, if you recall that. It's the  A Yes.  Q one that starts with the email. And, again, I will note that this is a confidential exhibit, but I will try to keep my questions in the public realm.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	JUDGE BROWN: Counsel, did you want to redirect now? Or would you like AWEC to proceed?  ATTY MEYER: I would like AWEC to proceed.  So far I have no redirect. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: All right.  Proceed.  ATTY PEPPLE: Thank you, Judge.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY ATTY PEPPLE:  Q Good morning, Mr. McKenzie. Can you hear me?  A Yes, I can. Thank you.  Q Okay. I have a few questions for you about your CAPM and your ECAPM analyses. And those are presented in your Exhibits AMM-9 and AMM-10; is that right?  A Yes. That's correct.	1 Q Okay. And in your CAPM and your ECAPM analyses, this 2 11.7 percent reflects the expected market return for 3 this S&P 500 portfolio; is that right? 4 A Yes. It's, again, not the S&P 500, quite, because I eliminate companies that don't pay dividends. 6 Q Okay. Understood. Can you then now turn to what's 7 been marked as AMM-36CX. That's one of the 8 confidential exhibits I was talking to Mr. Christie 9 about, if you recall that. It's the 10 A Yes. 11 Q one that starts with the email. And, again, I will 12 note that this is a confidential exhibit, but I will 13 try to keep my questions in the public realm. 14 So as you can see just from the first page of this 15 exhibit, this provides the Willis Towers Watson
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	JUDGE BROWN: Counsel, did you want to redirect now? Or would you like AWEC to proceed?  ATTY MEYER: I would like AWEC to proceed.  So far I have no redirect. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: All right.  Proceed.  ATTY PEPPLE: Thank you, Judge.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY ATTY PEPPLE:  Q Good morning, Mr. McKenzie. Can you hear me?  A Yes, I can. Thank you.  Q Okay. I have a few questions for you about your CAPM and your ECAPM analyses. And those are presented in your Exhibits AMM-9 and AMM-10; is that right?  A Yes. That's correct.  Q Okay. I want to focus on the column titled "Cost of	1 Q Okay. And in your CAPM and your ECAPM analyses, this 2 11.7 percent reflects the expected market return for 3 this S&P 500 portfolio; is that right? 4 A Yes. It's, again, not the S&P 500, quite, because I 6 eliminate companies that don't pay dividends. 6 Q Okay. Understood. Can you then now turn to what's 7 been marked as AMM-36CX. That's one of the 8 confidential exhibits I was talking to Mr. Christie 9 about, if you recall that. It's the 10 A Yes. 11 Q one that starts with the email. And, again, I will 12 note that this is a confidential exhibit, but I will 13 try to keep my questions in the public realm. 14 So as you can see just from the first page of this 15 exhibit, this provides the Willis Towers Watson
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JUDGE BROWN: Counsel, did you want to redirect now? Or would you like AWEC to proceed?  ATTY MEYER: I would like AWEC to proceed.  So far I have no redirect. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: All right.  Proceed.  ATTY PEPPLE: Thank you, Judge.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY ATTY PEPPLE:  Q Good morning, Mr. McKenzie. Can you hear me?  A Yes, I can. Thank you.  Q Okay. I have a few questions for you about your CAPM and your ECAPM analyses. And those are presented in your Exhibits AMM-9 and AMM-10; is that right?  A Yes. That's correct.  Q Okay. I want to focus on the column titled "Cost of Equity." Do you see that it's the same it's	1 Q Okay. And in your CAPM and your ECAPM analyses, this 2 11.7 percent reflects the expected market return for 3 this S&P 500 portfolio; is that right? 4 A Yes. It's, again, not the S&P 500, quite, because I 6 eliminate companies that don't pay dividends. 6 Q Okay. Understood. Can you then now turn to what's 7 been marked as AMM-36CX. That's one of the 8 confidential exhibits I was talking to Mr. Christie 9 about, if you recall that. It's the 10 A Yes. 11 Q one that starts with the email. And, again, I will 12 note that this is a confidential exhibit, but I will 13 try to keep my questions in the public realm. 14 So as you can see just from the first page of this 15 exhibit, this provides the Willis Towers Watson 16 expected return for Avista's retirement plan. 17 Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JUDGE BROWN: Counsel, did you want to redirect now? Or would you like AWEC to proceed?  ATTY MEYER: I would like AWEC to proceed.  So far I have no redirect. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: All right.  Proceed.  ATTY PEPPLE: Thank you, Judge.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY ATTY PEPPLE:  Q Good morning, Mr. McKenzie. Can you hear me?  A Yes, I can. Thank you.  Q Okay. I have a few questions for you about your CAPM and your ECAPM analyses. And those are presented in your Exhibits AMM-9 and AMM-10; is that right?  A Yes. That's correct.  Q Okay. I want to focus on the column titled "Cost of Equity." Do you see that it's the same it's 11.7 percent in both studies. Do you see that?	1 Q Okay. And in your CAPM and your ECAPM analyses, this 2 11.7 percent reflects the expected market return for 3 this S&P 500 portfolio; is that right? 4 A Yes. It's, again, not the S&P 500, quite, because I 6 eliminate companies that don't pay dividends. 6 Q Okay. Understood. Can you then now turn to what's 7 been marked as AMM-36CX. That's one of the 8 confidential exhibits I was talking to Mr. Christie 9 about, if you recall that. It's the 10 A Yes. 11 Q one that starts with the email. And, again, I will 12 note that this is a confidential exhibit, but I will 13 try to keep my questions in the public realm. 14 So as you can see just from the first page of this 15 exhibit, this provides the Willis Towers Watson 16 expected return for Avista's retirement plan. 17 Do you see that? 18 A Yeah. I'll preface my answer by saying I'm not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	JUDGE BROWN: Counsel, did you want to redirect now? Or would you like AWEC to proceed?  ATTY MEYER: I would like AWEC to proceed.  So far I have no redirect. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: All right.  Proceed.  ATTY PEPPLE: Thank you, Judge.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY ATTY PEPPLE:  Q Good morning, Mr. McKenzie. Can you hear me?  A Yes, I can. Thank you.  Q Okay. I have a few questions for you about your CAPM and your ECAPM analyses. And those are presented in your Exhibits AMM-9 and AMM-10; is that right?  A Yes. That's correct.  Q Okay. I want to focus on the column titled "Cost of Equity." Do you see that it's the same — it's 11.7 percent in both studies. Do you see that?  A Yes.	1 Q Okay. And in your CAPM and your ECAPM analyses, this 2 11.7 percent reflects the expected market return for 3 this S&P 500 portfolio; is that right? 4 A Yes. It's, again, not the S&P 500, quite, because I 6 eliminate companies that don't pay dividends. 6 Q Okay. Understood. Can you then now turn to what's 7 been marked as AMM-36CX. That's one of the 8 confidential exhibits I was talking to Mr. Christie 9 about, if you recall that. It's the 10 A Yes. 11 Q one that starts with the email. And, again, I will 12 note that this is a confidential exhibit, but I will 13 try to keep my questions in the public realm. 14 So as you can see just from the first page of this 15 exhibit, this provides the Willis Towers Watson 16 expected return for Avista's retirement plan. 17 Do you see that? 18 A Yeah. I'll preface my answer by saying I'm not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	JUDGE BROWN: Counsel, did you want to redirect now? Or would you like AWEC to proceed? ATTY MEYER: I would like AWEC to proceed. So far I have no redirect. Thank you. JUDGE BROWN: All right. Proceed. ATTY PEPPLE: Thank you, Judge.  CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTY PEPPLE: Q Good morning, Mr. McKenzie. Can you hear me? A Yes, I can. Thank you. Q Okay. I have a few questions for you about your CAPM and your ECAPM analyses. And those are presented in your Exhibits AMM-9 and AMM-10; is that right? A Yes. That's correct. Q Okay. I want to focus on the column titled "Cost of Equity." Do you see that it's the same it's 11.7 percent in both studies. Do you see that? A Yes. Q Can you turn, if you have it, to what's been marked as	1 Q Okay. And in your CAPM and your ECAPM analyses, this 2 11.7 percent reflects the expected market return for 3 this S&P 500 portfolio; is that right? 4 A Yes. It's, again, not the S&P 500, quite, because I 6 eliminate companies that don't pay dividends. 6 Q Okay. Understood. Can you then now turn to what's 7 been marked as AMM-36CX. That's one of the 8 confidential exhibits I was talking to Mr. Christie 9 about, if you recall that. It's the 10 A Yes. 11 Q one that starts with the email. And, again, I will 12 note that this is a confidential exhibit, but I will 13 try to keep my questions in the public realm. 14 So as you can see just from the first page of this 15 exhibit, this provides the Willis Towers Watson 16 expected return for Avista's retirement plan. 17 Do you see that? 18 A Yeah. I'll preface my answer by saying I'm not 19 familiar with any of this information directly. But
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	JUDGE BROWN: Counsel, did you want to redirect now? Or would you like AWEC to proceed?  ATTY MEYER: I would like AWEC to proceed.  So far I have no redirect. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: All right.  Proceed.  ATTY PEPPLE: Thank you, Judge.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY ATTY PEPPLE:  Q Good morning, Mr. McKenzie. Can you hear me?  A Yes, I can. Thank you.  Q Okay. I have a few questions for you about your CAPM and your ECAPM analyses. And those are presented in your Exhibits AMM-9 and AMM-10; is that right?  A Yes. That's correct.  Q Okay. I want to focus on the column titled "Cost of Equity." Do you see that it's the same — it's 11.7 percent in both studies. Do you see that?  A Yes.  Q Can you turn, if you have it, to what's been marked as Exhibits AMM-37X, which is an excerpt of your work	1 Q Okay. And in your CAPM and your ECAPM analyses, this 2 11.7 percent reflects the expected market return for 3 this S&P 500 portfolio; is that right? 4 A Yes. It's, again, not the S&P 500, quite, because I 6 eliminate companies that don't pay dividends. 6 Q Okay. Understood. Can you then now turn to what's 7 been marked as AMM-36CX. That's one of the 8 confidential exhibits I was talking to Mr. Christie 9 about, if you recall that. It's the 10 A Yes. 11 Q one that starts with the email. And, again, I will 12 note that this is a confidential exhibit, but I will 13 try to keep my questions in the public realm. 14 So as you can see just from the first page of this 15 exhibit, this provides the Willis Towers Watson 16 expected return for Avista's retirement plan. 17 Do you see that? 18 A Yeah. I'll preface my answer by saying I'm not 19 familiar with any of this information directly. But 19 that looks to be an accurate characterization.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JUDGE BROWN: Counsel, did you want to redirect now? Or would you like AWEC to proceed? ATTY MEYER: I would like AWEC to proceed. So far I have no redirect. Thank you. JUDGE BROWN: All right. Proceed. ATTY PEPPLE: Thank you, Judge.  CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTY PEPPLE: Q Good morning, Mr. McKenzie. Can you hear me? A Yes, I can. Thank you. Q Okay. I have a few questions for you about your CAPM and your ECAPM analyses. And those are presented in your Exhibits AMM-9 and AMM-10; is that right? A Yes. That's correct. Q Okay. I want to focus on the column titled "Cost of Equity." Do you see that it's the same it's 11.7 percent in both studies. Do you see that? A Yes. Q Can you turn, if you have it, to what's been marked as Exhibits AMM-37X, which is an excerpt of your work papers	1 Q Okay. And in your CAPM and your ECAPM analyses, this 2 11.7 percent reflects the expected market return for 3 this S&P 500 portfolio; is that right? 4 A Yes. It's, again, not the S&P 500, quite, because I 6 eliminate companies that don't pay dividends. 6 Q Okay. Understood. Can you then now turn to what's 7 been marked as AMM-36CX. That's one of the 8 confidential exhibits I was talking to Mr. Christie 9 about, if you recall that. It's the 10 A Yes. 11 Q one that starts with the email. And, again, I will 12 note that this is a confidential exhibit, but I will 13 try to keep my questions in the public realm. 14 So as you can see just from the first page of this 15 exhibit, this provides the Willis Towers Watson 16 expected return for Avista's retirement plan. 17 Do you see that? 18 A Yeah. I'll preface my answer by saying I'm not 19 familiar with any of this information directly. But 10 that looks to be an accurate characterization. 21 Q Okay. You say you're not familiar with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	JUDGE BROWN: Counsel, did you want to redirect now? Or would you like AWEC to proceed?  ATTY MEYER: I would like AWEC to proceed.  So far I have no redirect. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: All right.  Proceed.  ATTY PEPPLE: Thank you, Judge.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY ATTY PEPPLE:  Q Good morning, Mr. McKenzie. Can you hear me?  A Yes, I can. Thank you.  Q Okay. I have a few questions for you about your CAPM and your ECAPM analyses. And those are presented in your Exhibits AMM-9 and AMM-10; is that right?  A Yes. That's correct.  Q Okay. I want to focus on the column titled "Cost of Equity." Do you see that it's the same — it's 11.7 percent in both studies. Do you see that?  A Yes.  Q Can you turn, if you have it, to what's been marked as Exhibits AMM-37X, which is an excerpt of your work	1 Q Okay. And in your CAPM and your ECAPM analyses, this 11.7 percent reflects the expected market return for this S&P 500 portfolio; is that right?  A Yes. It's, again, not the S&P 500, quite, because I eliminate companies that don't pay dividends.  Q Okay. Understood. Can you then now turn to what's been marked as AMM-36CX. That's one of the confidential exhibits I was talking to Mr. Christie about, if you recall that. It's the  A Yes.  Q one that starts with the email. And, again, I will note that this is a confidential exhibit, but I will try to keep my questions in the public realm.  So as you can see just from the first page of this exhibit, this provides the Willis Towers Watson expected return for Avista's retirement plan.  Do you see that?  A Yeah. I'll preface my answer by saying I'm not familiar with any of this information directly. But that looks to be an accurate characterization.  Q Okay. You say you're not familiar with the information. Are you familiar with Willis Towers

	Page 170		Page 172
1	shows various types of assets and returns in a table.	1	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Way too many binders.
2	Do you see that?	2	(Witness duly sworn.)
3	A Yes, I do.	3	JUDGE BROWN: All right. You may proceed.
4	Q There's large-cap stocks and mid-cap stocks?	4	
5	A Yes. I'm there.	5	DIRECT EXAMINATION
6	Q Okay. Which of these assets would you say is most	6	BY ATTY MEYER:
7	representative of the list of S&P 500 firms that you	7	Q Mr. Garbarino, are you situated yet?
8	included in the work paper that we were just reviewing?	8	A I
9	A That would be the first line, large-cap stock.	9	Q Okay. Great. For the record, please state your name,
10	Q Okay. And just without looking at the numbers, you	10	your employer, and your business title.
L1	would agree that the numbers listed for the large-cap	11	A Marcus Garbarino
L2	stocks for those mean returns are lower than the	12	(Reporter requests clarification.)
L3	11.7 percent that you calculated as an expected market	13	ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Press the button.
4	return; is that right?	14	THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
L5	A Yeah. They're considerably lower. I mean, there's	15	Marcus Garbarino. I work for Avista Utilities as
16	reasons for that, given that these are pension plan	16	a regulatory affairs manager.
.7	returns. But yes, they're lower.	17	Q BY ATTY MEYER: Very good. And you understand all your
18	Q Okay. Did Avista ask you to calculate projected	18	testimony has been entered already.
9	returns for its retirement plan?	19	ATTY MEYER: With that, the witness is
20	A No.	20	available for cross-examination.
21	Q Okay. To your knowledge, Avista is not using your DCF	21	JUDGE BROWN: AWEC, you may proceed.
22	or your CAPM or your ECAPM or your expected earnings	22	ATTY PEPPLE: Thank you, Judge.
23	analyses to inform the funding level of its retirement	23	ATTT LITEL. Hank you, oddgo.
24	plan. Would you agree with that?	24	////
25	A I'd agree with that.	25	///  ///
	Page 171		Page 173
1		1	Page 173
1 2	Q Okay. That's all my questions. Thank you.	1 2	
	Q Okay. That's all my questions. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: Do you have any redirect?		CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTY PEPPLE:
2	Q Okay. That's all my questions. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: Do you have any redirect?  ATTY MEYER: I do not. Thank you.	2	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTY PEPPLE: Q Good morning, Mr. Garbarino.
2	Q Okay. That's all my questions. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: Do you have any redirect?  ATTY MEYER: I do not. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: Any questions from the bench?	2 3	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTY PEPPLE: Q Good morning, Mr. Garbarino. A Good morning.
2 3 4	Q Okay. That's all my questions. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: Do you have any redirect?  ATTY MEYER: I do not. Thank you.	2 3 4	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTY PEPPLE: Q Good morning, Mr. Garbarino. A Good morning. Q I actually have some questions for you about the
2 3 4 5	Q Okay. That's all my questions. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: Do you have any redirect?  ATTY MEYER: I do not. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: Any questions from the bench?  All right. Witness McKenzie, you are excused.	2 3 4 5	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTY PEPPLE: Q Good morning, Mr. Garbarino. A Good morning. Q I actually have some questions for you about the cross-answering testimony that Dr. Dismukes filed for
2 3 4 5 6	Q Okay. That's all my questions. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: Do you have any redirect?  ATTY MEYER: I do not. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: Any questions from the bench?  All right. Witness McKenzie, you are excused.  THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTY PEPPLE: Q Good morning, Mr. Garbarino. A Good morning. Q I actually have some questions for you about the cross-answering testimony that Dr. Dismukes filed for Public Counsel. Do you have this with you?
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q Okay. That's all my questions. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: Do you have any redirect?  ATTY MEYER: I do not. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: Any questions from the bench?  All right. Witness McKenzie, you are excused.  THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.  JUDGE BROWN: We have a really interesting	2 3 4 5 6 7	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTY PEPPLE: Q Good morning, Mr. Garbarino. A Good morning. Q I actually have some questions for you about the cross-answering testimony that Dr. Dismukes filed for Public Counsel. Do you have this with you? A Yes. I believe I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Okay. That's all my questions. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: Do you have any redirect?  ATTY MEYER: I do not. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: Any questions from the bench?  All right. Witness McKenzie, you are excused.  THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.  JUDGE BROWN: We have a really interesting situation because, the next witness, it looks like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTY PEPPLE:  Q Good morning, Mr. Garbarino. A Good morning. Q I actually have some questions for you about the cross-answering testimony that Dr. Dismukes filed for Public Counsel. Do you have this with you? A Yes. I believe I do. Q Okay. And have you reviewed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Okay. That's all my questions. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: Do you have any redirect?  ATTY MEYER: I do not. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: Any questions from the bench?  All right. Witness McKenzie, you are excused.  THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.  JUDGE BROWN: We have a really interesting situation because, the next witness, it looks like their cross is going to be 90 minutes with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTY PEPPLE: Q Good morning, Mr. Garbarino. A Good morning. Q I actually have some questions for you about the cross-answering testimony that Dr. Dismukes filed for Public Counsel. Do you have this with you? A Yes. I believe I do. Q Okay. And have you reviewed ATTY MEYER: May he have a moment just to get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Okay. That's all my questions. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: Do you have any redirect?  ATTY MEYER: I do not. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: Any questions from the bench?  All right. Witness McKenzie, you are excused.  THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.  JUDGE BROWN: We have a really interesting situation because, the next witness, it looks like their cross is going to be 90 minutes with Public Counsel following with 60 minutes. So it might	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTY PEPPLE: Q Good morning, Mr. Garbarino. A Good morning, Q I actually have some questions for you about the cross-answering testimony that Dr. Dismukes filed for Public Counsel. Do you have this with you? A Yes. I believe I do. Q Okay. And have you reviewed ATTY MEYER: May he have a moment just to get to it? I'm sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q Okay. That's all my questions. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: Do you have any redirect?  ATTY MEYER: I do not. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: Any questions from the bench?  All right. Witness McKenzie, you are excused.  THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.  JUDGE BROWN: We have a really interesting situation because, the next witness, it looks like their cross is going to be 90 minutes with Public Counsel following with 60 minutes. So it might be a good point for us to adjourn for lunch and take a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTY PEPPLE:  Q Good morning, Mr. Garbarino. A Good morning. Q I actually have some questions for you about the cross-answering testimony that Dr. Dismukes filed for Public Counsel. Do you have this with you? A Yes. I believe I do. Q Okay. And have you reviewed ATTY MEYER: May he have a moment just to get to it? I'm sorry. ATTY PEPPLE: Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q Okay. That's all my questions. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: Do you have any redirect?  ATTY MEYER: I do not. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: Any questions from the bench?  All right. Witness McKenzie, you are excused.  THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.  JUDGE BROWN: We have a really interesting situation because, the next witness, it looks like their cross is going to be 90 minutes with Public Counsel following with 60 minutes. So it might be a good point for us to adjourn for lunch and take a half an hour and then reconvene	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTY PEPPLE: Q Good morning, Mr. Garbarino. A Good morning. Q I actually have some questions for you about the cross-answering testimony that Dr. Dismukes filed for Public Counsel. Do you have this with you? A Yes. I believe I do. Q Okay. And have you reviewed ATTY MEYER: May he have a moment just to get to it? I'm sorry. ATTY PEPPLE: Yeah. THE WITNESS: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Okay. That's all my questions. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: Do you have any redirect?  ATTY MEYER: I do not. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: Any questions from the bench?  All right. Witness McKenzie, you are excused.  THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.  JUDGE BROWN: We have a really interesting situation because, the next witness, it looks like their cross is going to be 90 minutes with Public Counsel following with 60 minutes. So it might be a good point for us to adjourn for lunch and take a half an hour and then reconvene  All right. Would it upset the order of things if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTY PEPPLE:  Q Good morning, Mr. Garbarino. A Good morning. Q I actually have some questions for you about the cross-answering testimony that Dr. Dismukes filed for Public Counsel. Do you have this with you? A Yes. I believe I do. Q Okay. And have you reviewed ATTY MEYER: May he have a moment just to get to it? I'm sorry. ATTY PEPPLE: Yeah. THE WITNESS: Okay. Q BY ATTY PEPPLE: Have you reviewed this testimony?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Okay. That's all my questions. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: Do you have any redirect?  ATTY MEYER: I do not. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: Any questions from the bench?  All right. Witness McKenzie, you are excused.  THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.  JUDGE BROWN: We have a really interesting situation because, the next witness, it looks like their cross is going to be 90 minutes with Public Counsel following with 60 minutes. So it might be a good point for us to adjourn for lunch and take a half an hour and then reconvene  All right. Would it upset the order of things if we were to do Garbarino right now?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTY PEPPLE:  Q Good morning, Mr. Garbarino. A Good morning. Q I actually have some questions for you about the cross-answering testimony that Dr. Dismukes filed for Public Counsel. Do you have this with you? A Yes. I believe I do. Q Okay. And have you reviewed ATTY MEYER: May he have a moment just to get to it? I'm sorry. ATTY PEPPLE: Yeah. THE WITNESS: Okay. Q BY ATTY PEPPLE: Have you reviewed this testimony? A Yes, I have.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 110 111 112 113 114 115	Q Okay. That's all my questions. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: Do you have any redirect?  ATTY MEYER: I do not. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: Any questions from the bench?  All right. Witness McKenzie, you are excused.  THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.  JUDGE BROWN: We have a really interesting situation because, the next witness, it looks like their cross is going to be 90 minutes with Public Counsel following with 60 minutes. So it might be a good point for us to adjourn for lunch and take a half an hour and then reconvene  All right. Would it upset the order of things if we were to do Garbarino right now?  ATTY MEYER: It would just upset Garbarino.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTY PEPPLE:  Q Good morning, Mr. Garbarino. A Good morning. Q I actually have some questions for you about the cross-answering testimony that Dr. Dismukes filed for Public Counsel. Do you have this with you? A Yes. I believe I do. Q Okay. And have you reviewed ATTY MEYER: May he have a moment just to get to it? I'm sorry. ATTY PEPPLE: Yeah. THE WITNESS: Okay. Q BY ATTY PEPPLE: Have you reviewed this testimony? A Yes, I have. Q Okay. Dr. Dismukes's cross-answering testimony appears
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 111 112 113 114 115 116	Q Okay. That's all my questions. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: Do you have any redirect?  ATTY MEYER: I do not. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: Any questions from the bench?  All right. Witness McKenzie, you are excused.  THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.  JUDGE BROWN: We have a really interesting situation because, the next witness, it looks like their cross is going to be 90 minutes with Public Counsel following with 60 minutes. So it might be a good point for us to adjourn for lunch and take a half an hour and then reconvene  All right. Would it upset the order of things if we were to do Garbarino right now?  ATTY MEYER: It would just upset Garbarino. That's all.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTY PEPPLE:  Q Good morning, Mr. Garbarino. A Good morning. Q I actually have some questions for you about the cross-answering testimony that Dr. Dismukes filed for Public Counsel. Do you have this with you? A Yes. I believe I do. Q Okay. And have you reviewed ATTY MEYER: May he have a moment just to get to it? I'm sorry. ATTY PEPPLE: Yeah. THE WITNESS: Okay. Q BY ATTY PEPPLE: Have you reviewed this testimony? A Yes, I have. Q Okay. Dr. Dismukes's cross-answering testimony appears to suggest that the class parity ratios that are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Okay. That's all my questions. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: Do you have any redirect?  ATTY MEYER: I do not. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: Any questions from the bench?  All right. Witness McKenzie, you are excused.  THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.  JUDGE BROWN: We have a really interesting situation because, the next witness, it looks like their cross is going to be 90 minutes with Public Counsel following with 60 minutes. So it might be a good point for us to adjourn for lunch and take a half an hour and then reconvene  All right. Would it upset the order of things if we were to do Garbarino right now?  ATTY MEYER: It would just upset Garbarino. That's all.  THE COURT: All right. So let's proceed with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTY PEPPLE:  Q Good morning, Mr. Garbarino. A Good morning. Q I actually have some questions for you about the cross-answering testimony that Dr. Dismukes filed for Public Counsel. Do you have this with you? A Yes. I believe I do. Q Okay. And have you reviewed ATTY MEYER: May he have a moment just to get to it? I'm sorry. ATTY PEPPLE: Yeah. THE WITNESS: Okay. Q BY ATTY PEPPLE: Have you reviewed this testimony? A Yes, I have. Q Okay. Dr. Dismukes's cross-answering testimony appears to suggest that the class parity ratios that are reflected in Mr. Miller's direct testimony would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q Okay. That's all my questions. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: Do you have any redirect?  ATTY MEYER: I do not. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: Any questions from the bench?  All right. Witness McKenzie, you are excused.  THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.  JUDGE BROWN: We have a really interesting situation because, the next witness, it looks like their cross is going to be 90 minutes with Public Counsel following with 60 minutes. So it might be a good point for us to adjourn for lunch and take a half an hour and then reconvene  All right. Would it upset the order of things if we were to do Garbarino right now?  ATTY MEYER: It would just upset Garbarino. That's all.  THE COURT: All right. So let's proceed with Mr. Garbarino.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTY PEPPLE: Q Good morning, Mr. Garbarino. A Good morning. Q I actually have some questions for you about the cross-answering testimony that Dr. Dismukes filed for Public Counsel. Do you have this with you? A Yes. I believe I do. Q Okay. And have you reviewed ATTY MEYER: May he have a moment just to get to it? I'm sorry. ATTY PEPPLE: Yeah. THE WITNESS: Okay. Q BY ATTY PEPPLE: Have you reviewed this testimony? A Yes, I have. Q Okay. Dr. Dismukes's cross-answering testimony appears to suggest that the class parity ratios that are reflected in Mr. Miller's direct testimony would be materially different if you ran your cost-of-service
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 20	Q Okay. That's all my questions. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: Do you have any redirect?  ATTY MEYER: I do not. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: Any questions from the bench?  All right. Witness McKenzie, you are excused.  THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.  JUDGE BROWN: We have a really interesting situation because, the next witness, it looks like their cross is going to be 90 minutes with Public Counsel following with 60 minutes. So it might be a good point for us to adjourn for lunch and take a half an hour and then reconvene  All right. Would it upset the order of things if we were to do Garbarino right now?  ATTY MEYER: It would just upset Garbarino. That's all.  THE COURT: All right. So let's proceed with Mr. Garbarino.  ATTY MEYER: Calling to the stand	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTY PEPPLE: Q Good morning, Mr. Garbarino. A Good morning. Q I actually have some questions for you about the cross-answering testimony that Dr. Dismukes filed for Public Counsel. Do you have this with you? A Yes. I believe I do. Q Okay. And have you reviewed ATTY MEYER: May he have a moment just to get to it? I'm sorry. ATTY PEPPLE: Yeah. THE WITNESS: Okay. Q BY ATTY PEPPLE: Have you reviewed this testimony? A Yes, I have. Q Okay. Dr. Dismukes's cross-answering testimony appears to suggest that the class parity ratios that are reflected in Mr. Miller's direct testimony would be materially different if you ran your cost-of-service study to reflect the rate increase that went into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 11 14 11 15 11 16 11 17 11 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	Q Okay. That's all my questions. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: Do you have any redirect?  ATTY MEYER: I do not. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: Any questions from the bench?  All right. Witness McKenzie, you are excused.  THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.  JUDGE BROWN: We have a really interesting situation because, the next witness, it looks like their cross is going to be 90 minutes with Public Counsel following with 60 minutes. So it might be a good point for us to adjourn for lunch and take a half an hour and then reconvene  All right. Would it upset the order of things if we were to do Garbarino right now?  ATTY MEYER: It would just upset Garbarino. That's all.  THE COURT: All right. So let's proceed with Mr. Garbarino.  ATTY MEYER: Calling to the stand Mr. Marcus Garbarino, who will enjoy his lunch much	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTY PEPPLE: Q Good morning, Mr. Garbarino. A Good morning. Q I actually have some questions for you about the cross-answering testimony that Dr. Dismukes filed for Public Counsel. Do you have this with you? A Yes. I believe I do. Q Okay. And have you reviewed ATTY MEYER: May he have a moment just to get to it? I'm sorry. ATTY PEPPLE: Yeah. THE WITNESS: Okay. Q BY ATTY PEPPLE: Have you reviewed this testimony? A Yes, I have. Q Okay. Dr. Dismukes's cross-answering testimony appears to suggest that the class parity ratios that are reflected in Mr. Miller's direct testimony would be materially different if you ran your cost-of-service study to reflect the rate increase that went into effect for Year 2 of your last multi-year rate plan.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 111 123 114 115 116 117 118 119 220 221 222	Q Okay. That's all my questions. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: Do you have any redirect?  ATTY MEYER: I do not. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: Any questions from the bench?  All right. Witness McKenzie, you are excused.  THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.  JUDGE BROWN: We have a really interesting situation because, the next witness, it looks like their cross is going to be 90 minutes with Public Counsel following with 60 minutes. So it might be a good point for us to adjourn for lunch and take a half an hour and then reconvene  All right. Would it upset the order of things if we were to do Garbarino right now?  ATTY MEYER: It would just upset Garbarino. That's all.  THE COURT: All right. So let's proceed with Mr. Garbarino.  ATTY MEYER: Calling to the stand  Mr. Marcus Garbarino, who will enjoy his lunch much more after this.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTY PEPPLE: Q Good morning, Mr. Garbarino. A Good morning. Q I actually have some questions for you about the cross-answering testimony that Dr. Dismukes filed for Public Counsel. Do you have this with you? A Yes. I believe I do. Q Okay. And have you reviewed ATTY MEYER: May he have a moment just to get to it? I'm sorry. ATTY PEPPLE: Yeah. THE WITNESS: Okay. Q BY ATTY PEPPLE: Have you reviewed this testimony? A Yes, I have. Q Okay. Dr. Dismukes's cross-answering testimony appears to suggest that the class parity ratios that are reflected in Mr. Miller's direct testimony would be materially different if you ran your cost-of-service study to reflect the rate increase that went into effect for Year 2 of your last multi-year rate plan. Do you recall that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Okay. That's all my questions. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: Do you have any redirect?  ATTY MEYER: I do not. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: Any questions from the bench?  All right. Witness McKenzie, you are excused.  THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.  JUDGE BROWN: We have a really interesting situation because, the next witness, it looks like their cross is going to be 90 minutes with Public Counsel following with 60 minutes. So it might be a good point for us to adjourn for lunch and take a half an hour and then reconvene  All right. Would it upset the order of things if we were to do Garbarino right now?  ATTY MEYER: It would just upset Garbarino. That's all.  THE COURT: All right. So let's proceed with Mr. Garbarino.  ATTY MEYER: Calling to the stand  Mr. Marcus Garbarino, who will enjoy his lunch much more after this.  JUDGE BROWN: All right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY ATTY PEPPLE:  Q Good morning, Mr. Garbarino.  A Good morning.  Q I actually have some questions for you about the cross-answering testimony that Dr. Dismukes filed for Public Counsel. Do you have this with you?  A Yes. I believe I do.  Q Okay. And have you reviewed  ATTY MEYER: May he have a moment just to get to it? I'm sorry.  ATTY PEPPLE: Yeah.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  Q BY ATTY PEPPLE: Have you reviewed this testimony?  A Yes, I have.  Q Okay. Dr. Dismukes's cross-answering testimony appears to suggest that the class parity ratios that are reflected in Mr. Miller's direct testimony would be materially different if you ran your cost-of-service study to reflect the rate increase that went into effect for Year 2 of your last multi-year rate plan.

	2 104	2 176
	Page 174	Page 176
1	Q BY ATTY PEPPLE: So if you look at page sorry	1 that better than I.
2	page 4 of his testimony, starting on line 15	2 Q Okay. That's fine.
3	ATTY MEYER: Just take a moment to refresh	3 My question to you, I think, is that Dr. Dismukes
4	yourself, if need be.	4 is not correct that residential customers have seen a
5	Q BY ATTY PEPPLE: Just that question and answer.	5 larger allocation of costs associated with Colstrip
6	A Okay.	6 over the years, is he?
7	Q Well, why don't you tell me what you think	7 A I'm trying to
8	Public Counsel is saying there.	8 ATTY MEYER: Follow that question? I don't.
9	A Just	9 Q BY ATTY PEPPLE: All right. Let me be more specific.
10	(Reporter requests clarification.)	10 So in your cost of service study, the share of
11	THE WITNESS: So I think they're trying to	11 total plant and service that is allocated to
12	say that the that the cost-of-service study didn't	12 Schedule 25 customers, for instance of that share, a
13	have the full effect of the prior rate case's increase.	13 larger percentage of that plant and service is
14	Q BY ATTY PEPPLE: Okay. And I guess I just want to	14 associated with Colstrip than the percentage that is
15	confirm that you've not performed a cost-of-service	allocated to residential customers. Would you agree
16	study reflecting the Year 2 rates from the last rate	with that? Or should we look at the study?
17	case. Is that correct?	17 A I guess I don't understand the question.
18	A That's correct.	18 Q Okay. Let's go to your Exhibit 2, and I'd like you to
19	Q Okay. And you have no basis to know whether the class	19 <b>go to page 34.</b>
20	parity ratios would change materially if you had run	20 A Okay. I'm there.
21	the cost of service study, do you?	Q Okay. So if you look at line 205, that is showing the
22	A That's correct.	steam production plant. Do you see that?
23	Q Okay. It's possible, in fact, that the residential	23 A Yes.
24	class parity ratio could be even farther from unity if	24 Q And residential customers are receiving approximately
25	you ran that cost of service study; is that correct?	\$50 million, where Schedule 25 customers are receiving
	Page 175	2 155
	1 age 173	Page 177
1	A Without running it, I wouldn't.	page 177  approximately 10 million; is that right?
1 2		
	A Without running it, I wouldn't.	approximately 10 million; is that right?
2	A Without running it, I wouldn't.  ATTY MEYER: Don't speculate if you don't	<ul> <li>approximately 10 million; is that right?</li> <li>A Right.</li> </ul>
2	A Without running it, I wouldn't.  ATTY MEYER: Don't speculate if you don't know.	<ul> <li>approximately 10 million; is that right?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Okay. Now if you go to page 35, line 265</li> </ul>
2 3 4	A Without running it, I wouldn't.  ATTY MEYER: Don't speculate if you don't know.  THE WITNESS: I	<ul> <li>approximately 10 million; is that right?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Okay. Now if you go to page 35, line 265</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q the total plant and service for residential customers is about 2.2 billion. And for Schedule 25</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	A Without running it, I wouldn't.  ATTY MEYER: Don't speculate if you don't know.  THE WITNESS: I  (Reporter requests clarification.)	<ul> <li>approximately 10 million; is that right?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Okay. Now if you go to page 35, line 265</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q the total plant and service for residential</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	A Without running it, I wouldn't.  ATTY MEYER: Don't speculate if you don't know.  THE WITNESS: I  (Reporter requests clarification.)  THE WITNESS: Sorry.	approximately 10 million; is that right?  A Right.  Q Okay. Now if you go to page 35, line 265  A Okay.  Q the total plant and service for residential customers is about 2.2 billion. And for Schedule 25 customers, it's about 236 million; is that right?  A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Without running it, I wouldn't.  ATTY MEYER: Don't speculate if you don't know.  THE WITNESS: I  (Reporter requests clarification.)  THE WITNESS: Sorry.  THE REPORTER: I didn't hear that last answer.  THE WITNESS: So no. Without running it, I	approximately 10 million; is that right?  A Right.  Q Okay. Now if you go to page 35, line 265  A Okay.  Q the total plant and service for residential customers is about 2.2 billion. And for Schedule 25 customers, it's about 236 million; is that right?  A Yes.  Q Okay. Now, would you accept, subject to check, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Without running it, I wouldn't.  ATTY MEYER: Don't speculate if you don't know.  THE WITNESS: I  (Reporter requests clarification.)  THE WITNESS: Sorry.  THE REPORTER: I didn't hear that last answer.  THE WITNESS: So no. Without running it, I wouldn't be able to answer the question.	approximately 10 million; is that right?  A Right.  Q Okay. Now if you go to page 35, line 265  A Okay.  Q the total plant and service for residential customers is about 2.2 billion. And for Schedule 25 customers, it's about 236 million; is that right?  A Yes.  Q Okay. Now, would you accept, subject to check, that the percentage of steam production plant allocated to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Without running it, I wouldn't.  ATTY MEYER: Don't speculate if you don't know.  THE WITNESS: I  (Reporter requests clarification.)  THE WITNESS: Sorry.  THE REPORTER: I didn't hear that last answer.  THE WITNESS: So no. Without running it, I wouldn't be able to answer the question.  Q BY ATTY PEPPLE: Okay. If you could turn to page 7 of	approximately 10 million; is that right?  A Right.  Q Okay. Now if you go to page 35, line 265  A Okay.  Q the total plant and service for residential customers is about 2.2 billion. And for Schedule 25 customers, it's about 236 million; is that right?  A Yes.  Q Okay. Now, would you accept, subject to check, that the percentage of steam production plant allocated to residential customers as a percentage of the total
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A Without running it, I wouldn't.  ATTY MEYER: Don't speculate if you don't know.  THE WITNESS: I  (Reporter requests clarification.)  THE WITNESS: Sorry.  THE REPORTER: I didn't hear that last answer.  THE WITNESS: So no. Without running it, I wouldn't be able to answer the question.  Q BY ATTY PEPPLE: Okay. If you could turn to page 7 of Dr. Dismukes's cross-answering testimony there.	approximately 10 million; is that right?  A Right.  Q Okay. Now if you go to page 35, line 265  A Okay.  Q the total plant and service for residential customers is about 2.2 billion. And for Schedule 25 customers, it's about 236 million; is that right?  A Yes.  Q Okay. Now, would you accept, subject to check, that the percentage of steam production plant allocated to residential customers as a percentage of the total electric plant and service is 2.2 percent?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A Without running it, I wouldn't.  ATTY MEYER: Don't speculate if you don't know.  THE WITNESS: I  (Reporter requests clarification.)  THE WITNESS: Sorry.  THE REPORTER: I didn't hear that last answer.  THE WITNESS: So no. Without running it, I wouldn't be able to answer the question.  Q BY ATTY PEPPLE: Okay. If you could turn to page 7 of Dr. Dismukes's cross-answering testimony there.  A Okay. I'm there.	approximately 10 million; is that right?  A Right.  Q Okay. Now if you go to page 35, line 265  A Okay.  Q the total plant and service for residential customers is about 2.2 billion. And for Schedule 25 customers, it's about 236 million; is that right?  A Yes.  Q Okay. Now, would you accept, subject to check, that the percentage of steam production plant allocated to residential customers as a percentage of the total electric plant and service is 2.2 percent?  A Subject to check
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Without running it, I wouldn't.  ATTY MEYER: Don't speculate if you don't know.  THE WITNESS: I  (Reporter requests clarification.)  THE WITNESS: Sorry.  THE REPORTER: I didn't hear that last answer.  THE WITNESS: So no. Without running it, I wouldn't be able to answer the question.  Q BY ATTY PEPPLE: Okay. If you could turn to page 7 of Dr. Dismukes's cross-answering testimony there.  A Okay. I'm there.  Q And if you look at line 15, he states that "Residential"	approximately 10 million; is that right?  A Right.  Q Okay. Now if you go to page 35, line 265  A Okay.  Customers is about 2.2 billion. And for Schedule 25 customers, it's about 236 million; is that right?  A Yes.  Q Okay. Now, would you accept, subject to check, that the percentage of steam production plant allocated to residential customers as a percentage of the total electric plant and service is 2.2 percent?  A Subject to check  Q Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Without running it, I wouldn't.  ATTY MEYER: Don't speculate if you don't know.  THE WITNESS: I  (Reporter requests clarification.)  THE WITNESS: Sorry.  THE REPORTER: I didn't hear that last answer.  THE WITNESS: So no. Without running it, I wouldn't be able to answer the question.  Q BY ATTY PEPPLE: Okay. If you could turn to page 7 of Dr. Dismukes's cross-answering testimony there.  A Okay. I'm there.  Q And if you look at line 15, he states that "Residential service and low load factor customers see a greater	approximately 10 million; is that right?  A Right.  Q Okay. Now if you go to page 35, line 265  A Okay.  Customers is about 2.2 billion. And for Schedule 25 customers, it's about 236 million; is that right?  A Yes.  Q Okay. Now, would you accept, subject to check, that the percentage of steam production plant allocated to residential customers as a percentage of the total electric plant and service is 2.2 percent?  A Subject to check  Q Okay.  A to check, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Without running it, I wouldn't.  ATTY MEYER: Don't speculate if you don't know.  THE WITNESS: I  (Reporter requests clarification.)  THE WITNESS: Sorry.  THE REPORTER: I didn't hear that last answer.  THE WITNESS: So no. Without running it, I wouldn't be able to answer the question.  Q BY ATTY PEPPLE: Okay. If you could turn to page 7 of Dr. Dismukes's cross-answering testimony there.  A Okay. I'm there.  Q And if you look at line 15, he states that "Residential service and low load factor customers see a greater decrease in overall electric bills with the removal of	approximately 10 million; is that right?  A Right.  Q Okay. Now if you go to page 35, line 265  A Okay.  C the total plant and service for residential customers is about 2.2 billion. And for Schedule 25 customers, it's about 236 million; is that right?  A Yes.  Q Okay. Now, would you accept, subject to check, that the percentage of steam production plant allocated to residential customers as a percentage of the total electric plant and service is 2.2 percent?  A Subject to check  Q Okay.  A to check, yes.  A And for Schedule 25, would you accept that that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Without running it, I wouldn't.  ATTY MEYER: Don't speculate if you don't know.  THE WITNESS: I  (Reporter requests clarification.)  THE WITNESS: Sorry.  THE REPORTER: I didn't hear that last answer.  THE WITNESS: So no. Without running it, I wouldn't be able to answer the question.  Q BY ATTY PEPPLE: Okay. If you could turn to page 7 of Dr. Dismukes's cross-answering testimony there.  A Okay. I'm there.  Q And if you look at line 15, he states that "Residential service and low load factor customers see a greater decrease in overall electric bills with the removal of Schedule 99 because these customers saw a larger	approximately 10 million; is that right?  A Right.  Q Okay. Now if you go to page 35, line 265  A Okay.  C the total plant and service for residential customers is about 2.2 billion. And for Schedule 25 customers, it's about 236 million; is that right?  A Yes.  Q Okay. Now, would you accept, subject to check, that the percentage of steam production plant allocated to residential customers as a percentage of the total electric plant and service is 2.2 percent?  A Subject to check  Q Okay.  A to check, yes.  A And for Schedule 25, would you accept that that percentage is 4.2 percent?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Without running it, I wouldn't.  ATTY MEYER: Don't speculate if you don't know.  THE WITNESS: I  (Reporter requests clarification.)  THE WITNESS: Sorry.  THE REPORTER: I didn't hear that last answer.  THE WITNESS: So no. Without running it, I wouldn't be able to answer the question.  Q BY ATTY PEPPLE: Okay. If you could turn to page 7 of Dr. Dismukes's cross-answering testimony there.  A Okay. I'm there.  Q And if you look at line 15, he states that "Residential service and low load factor customers see a greater decrease in overall electric bills with the removal of Schedule 99 because these customers saw a larger allocation of costs associated with the Colstrip	approximately 10 million; is that right?  A Right.  Q Okay. Now if you go to page 35, line 265  A Okay.  C the total plant and service for residential customers is about 2.2 billion. And for Schedule 25 customers, it's about 236 million; is that right?  A Yes.  Q Okay. Now, would you accept, subject to check, that the percentage of steam production plant allocated to residential customers as a percentage of the total electric plant and service is 2.2 percent?  A Subject to check  Q Okay.  A to check, yes.  A Subject to check, yes.  A Subject to check, yes.  A Subject to check, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Without running it, I wouldn't.  ATTY MEYER: Don't speculate if you don't know.  THE WITNESS: I  (Reporter requests clarification.)  THE WITNESS: Sorry.  THE REPORTER: I didn't hear that last answer.  THE WITNESS: So no. Without running it, I wouldn't be able to answer the question.  Q BY ATTY PEPPLE: Okay. If you could turn to page 7 of Dr. Dismukes's cross-answering testimony there.  A Okay. I'm there.  Q And if you look at line 15, he states that "Residential service and low load factor customers see a greater decrease in overall electric bills with the removal of Schedule 99 because these customers saw a larger allocation of costs associated with the Colstrip facility over the years."	approximately 10 million; is that right?  A Right.  Q Okay. Now if you go to page 35, line 265  A Okay.  C the total plant and service for residential customers is about 2.2 billion. And for Schedule 25 customers, it's about 236 million; is that right?  A Yes.  Q Okay. Now, would you accept, subject to check, that the percentage of steam production plant allocated to residential customers as a percentage of the total electric plant and service is 2.2 percent?  A Subject to check  Q Okay.  A to check, yes.  A to check, yes.  A Subject to check, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Without running it, I wouldn't.  ATTY MEYER: Don't speculate if you don't know.  THE WITNESS: I  (Reporter requests clarification.)  THE WITNESS: Sorry.  THE REPORTER: I didn't hear that last answer.  THE WITNESS: So no. Without running it, I wouldn't be able to answer the question.  Q BY ATTY PEPPLE: Okay. If you could turn to page 7 of Dr. Dismukes's cross-answering testimony there.  A Okay. I'm there.  Q And if you look at line 15, he states that "Residential service and low load factor customers see a greater decrease in overall electric bills with the removal of Schedule 99 because these customers saw a larger allocation of costs associated with the Colstrip facility over the years."  Do you see that?	approximately 10 million; is that right?  A Right.  Q Okay. Now if you go to page 35, line 265  A Okay.  C the total plant and service for residential customers is about 2.2 billion. And for Schedule 25 customers, it's about 236 million; is that right?  A Yes.  Q Okay. Now, would you accept, subject to check, that the percentage of steam production plant allocated to residential customers as a percentage of the total electric plant and service is 2.2 percent?  A Subject to check  Q Okay.  A to check, yes.  A to check, yes.  A Subject to check, yes.  A Subject to check, yes.  C And for Schedule 25, would you accept that that percentage is 4.2 percent?  A Subject to check, yes.  Q Okay. So that would be so in other words, as a percentage of production of electric plant and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Without running it, I wouldn't.  ATTY MEYER: Don't speculate if you don't know.  THE WITNESS: I  (Reporter requests clarification.)  THE WITNESS: Sorry.  THE REPORTER: I didn't hear that last answer.  THE WITNESS: So no. Without running it, I wouldn't be able to answer the question.  Q BY ATTY PEPPLE: Okay. If you could turn to page 7 of Dr. Dismukes's cross-answering testimony there.  A Okay. I'm there.  Q And if you look at line 15, he states that "Residential service and low load factor customers see a greater decrease in overall electric bills with the removal of Schedule 99 because these customers saw a larger allocation of costs associated with the Colstrip facility over the years."  Do you see that?  A Yes, I do.	approximately 10 million; is that right?  A Right.  Q Okay. Now if you go to page 35, line 265  A Okay.  C the total plant and service for residential customers is about 2.2 billion. And for Schedule 25 customers, it's about 236 million; is that right?  A Yes.  Q Okay. Now, would you accept, subject to check, that the percentage of steam production plant allocated to residential customers as a percentage of the total electric plant and service is 2.2 percent?  A Subject to check  Q Okay.  A to check, yes.  A to check, yes.  A Subject to check, yes.  A Subject to check, yes.  C Okay. So that would be so in other words, as a percentage of production of electric plant and service, Schedule 25 customers receive a higher
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Without running it, I wouldn't.  ATTY MEYER: Don't speculate if you don't know.  THE WITNESS: I  (Reporter requests clarification.)  THE WITNESS: Sorry.  THE REPORTER: I didn't hear that last answer.  THE WITNESS: So no. Without running it, I wouldn't be able to answer the question.  Q BY ATTY PEPPLE: Okay. If you could turn to page 7 of Dr. Dismukes's cross-answering testimony there.  A Okay. I'm there.  Q And if you look at line 15, he states that "Residential service and low load factor customers see a greater decrease in overall electric bills with the removal of Schedule 99 because these customers saw a larger allocation of costs associated with the Colstrip facility over the years."  Do you see that?  A Yes, I do.  Q Okay. First, Dr. Dismukes is correct that residential	approximately 10 million; is that right?  A Right.  Q Okay. Now if you go to page 35, line 265  A Okay.  Q the total plant and service for residential customers is about 2.2 billion. And for Schedule 25 customers, it's about 236 million; is that right?  A Yes.  Q Okay. Now, would you accept, subject to check, that the percentage of steam production plant allocated to residential customers as a percentage of the total electric plant and service is 2.2 percent?  A Subject to check  Q Okay.  A to check, yes.  Q And for Schedule 25, would you accept that that percentage is 4.2 percent?  A Subject to check, yes.  Q Okay. So that would be so in other words, as a percentage of production of electric plant and service, Schedule 25 customers receive a higher percentage of steam production plant, which would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Without running it, I wouldn't.  ATTY MEYER: Don't speculate if you don't know.  THE WITNESS: I  (Reporter requests clarification.)  THE WITNESS: Sorry.  THE REPORTER: I didn't hear that last answer.  THE WITNESS: So no. Without running it, I wouldn't be able to answer the question.  Q BY ATTY PEPPLE: Okay. If you could turn to page 7 of Dr. Dismukes's cross-answering testimony there.  A Okay. I'm there.  Q And if you look at line 15, he states that "Residential service and low load factor customers see a greater decrease in overall electric bills with the removal of Schedule 99 because these customers saw a larger allocation of costs associated with the Colstrip facility over the years."  Do you see that?  A Yes, I do.  Q Okay. First, Dr. Dismukes is correct that residential customers will see a larger decrease from the roll-off	approximately 10 million; is that right?  A Right.  Q Okay. Now if you go to page 35, line 265  A Okay.  C the total plant and service for residential customers is about 2.2 billion. And for Schedule 25 customers, it's about 236 million; is that right?  A Yes.  Q Okay. Now, would you accept, subject to check, that the percentage of steam production plant allocated to residential customers as a percentage of the total electric plant and service is 2.2 percent?  A Subject to check  Q Okay.  A to check, yes.  Q And for Schedule 25, would you accept that that percentage is 4.2 percent?  A Subject to check, yes.  Q Okay. So that would be so in other words, as a percentage of production of electric plant and service, Schedule 25 customers receive a higher percentage of steam production plant, which would include Colstrip. Would you agree to that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A Without running it, I wouldn't.  ATTY MEYER: Don't speculate if you don't know.  THE WITNESS: I  (Reporter requests clarification.)  THE WITNESS: Sorry.  THE REPORTER: I didn't hear that last answer.  THE WITNESS: So no. Without running it, I wouldn't be able to answer the question.  Q BY ATTY PEPPLE: Okay. If you could turn to page 7 of Dr. Dismukes's cross-answering testimony there.  A Okay. I'm there.  Q And if you look at line 15, he states that "Residential service and low load factor customers see a greater decrease in overall electric bills with the removal of Schedule 99 because these customers saw a larger allocation of costs associated with the Colstrip facility over the years."  Do you see that?  A Yes, I do.  Q Okay. First, Dr. Dismukes is correct that residential customers will see a larger decrease from the roll-off of Schedule 99; is that right?	approximately 10 million; is that right?  A Right.  Q Okay. Now if you go to page 35, line 265  A Okay.  - the total plant and service for residential customers is about 2.2 billion. And for Schedule 25 customers, it's about 236 million; is that right?  A Yes.  Q Okay. Now, would you accept, subject to check, that the percentage of steam production plant allocated to residential customers as a percentage of the total electric plant and service is 2.2 percent?  A Subject to check  Q Okay.  A to check, yes.  A to check, yes.  A And for Schedule 25, would you accept that that percentage is 4.2 percent?  A Subject to check, yes.  Q Okay. So that would be so in other words, as a percentage of production of electric plant and service, Schedule 25 customers receive a higher percentage of steam production plant, which would include Colstrip. Would you agree to that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Without running it, I wouldn't.  ATTY MEYER: Don't speculate if you don't know.  THE WITNESS: I  (Reporter requests clarification.)  THE WITNESS: Sorry.  THE REPORTER: I didn't hear that last answer.  THE WITNESS: So no. Without running it, I wouldn't be able to answer the question.  Q BY ATTY PEPPLE: Okay. If you could turn to page 7 of Dr. Dismukes's cross-answering testimony there.  A Okay. I'm there.  Q And if you look at line 15, he states that "Residential service and low load factor customers see a greater decrease in overall electric bills with the removal of Schedule 99 because these customers saw a larger allocation of costs associated with the Colstrip facility over the years."  Do you see that?  A Yes, I do.  Q Okay. First, Dr. Dismukes is correct that residential customers will see a larger decrease from the roll-off	approximately 10 million; is that right?  A Right.  Q Okay. Now if you go to page 35, line 265  A Okay.  C the total plant and service for residential customers is about 2.2 billion. And for Schedule 25 customers, it's about 236 million; is that right?  A Yes.  Q Okay. Now, would you accept, subject to check, that the percentage of steam production plant allocated to residential customers as a percentage of the total electric plant and service is 2.2 percent?  A Subject to check  Q Okay.  A to check, yes.  Q And for Schedule 25, would you accept that that percentage is 4.2 percent?  A Subject to check, yes.  Q Okay. So that would be so in other words, as a percentage of production of electric plant and service, Schedule 25 customers receive a higher percentage of steam production plant, which would include Colstrip. Would you agree to that?

JUDGE BROWN: Any redirect?  ATTY MEYER: No redirect. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: All right.  And hearing nothing from the bench, you are distribused. Thank you.  So at this time, well take a break for lunch. Yee, Counsel?  ATTY MEYER: Okay, I'll be the one that says it. Iheard an estimate of 30 minutes, and the murmuring firm hearing around the table is we're not surface and the murmuring firm hearing around the table is we're not surface and the murmuring firm hearing around the table is we're not surface and the murmuring firm hearing around the table is we're not surface and the murmuring firm hearing around the table is we're not surface and the murmuring firm hearing around the table is we're not surface and the murmuring firm hearing around the table is we're not surface and the murmuring firm hearing around the table is we're not surface and the murmuring firm hearing around the table is we're not surface and the murmuring firm hearing around the table is we're not surface and the murmuring firm hearing around the table is we're not surface and the murmuring firm hearing around the table is we're not surface and the murmuring firm hearing around the table is we're not surface and the murmuring firm hearing around the table is we're not surface and the murmuring firm hearing around the table is we're not surface and the murmuring firm hearing around the table is we're not surface. It is a little tougher up here than it used to be.  JUDGE BROWN: We'll adjourn for an hour and return at 1:00. We're off the record.  Page 179  (Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 pm. to 1:05 pm.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Your Horor.  Mr. Kinney to the stand.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Your Horor.  Mr. Kinney to the stand.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Your horor.  Mr. Kinney to the stand.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Your horor.  Mr. Kinney to the stand.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Your may be seated.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you		Page 178	Page 180
ATTY MEYER: No redirect. Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: All right. If looks like we're actually at a good pace. So is 45 acceptable? Or do you need more?  ATTY MEYER: You guys know the area around here. Its usually an hour. It's all title tougher up here than it used to be.  JUDGE BROWN: All right. If looks like we're actually at a good pace. So is 45 acceptable? Or do you need more?  ATTY MEYER: You guys know the area around here. Its usually an hour. It's a little tougher up here than it used to be.  JUDGE BROWN: All right. If hold you to staying on schedule if you – if we're going to take an hour. All right? All right.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: We'll adjourn for an hour and return at 1:00. We're off the record.  Fage 179  (Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 1.2:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And if you could please safet.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Your honor.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  Fage 179  A ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Your may be seated.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  Page 179  A A Scott Kinney. If you could please call which was a state or and you may proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  A So of this record, please state your name, you employer, and your position.  You employer, and your position.  A So of this record, please state your name, you employer, and your position.  You employer, and your position.  Thank you.  Thank you.  Thank you.  Thank you.  A TTY MEYER: And heneuse his material has presidance and your exployer person.  Thank you.  Thank you we have the and please take to price and prover cost adjustment is not – it's not have recording into the coronain has addressed before?  A That - that's correct.  A Total - that's correct.  A That is not that you've made something like the portfolio forces are ready in the portfolio power cost adjustment in the ready in the portfolio power soud please take to make a prover cost adjustment in so it is not have recording in the mediance of the prover of the pr	1	.IUDGE BROWN: Any redirect?	1 heen entered by stipulation. I tender him for cross
JUDGE BROWN: All right.  And hearing nothing from the bench, you are dismissed. Thank you.  So at this time, well take a break for lunch.  Nes, Coursael?  ATTY MEYER: Okay. I'll be the one that says it. I heard an estimate of 30 minutes, and the murmuring I'm hearing around the table is we've not studied in the murmuring I'm hearing around the table is we've not studied in the murmuring I'm hearing around the table is we've not studied in the murmuring I'm hearing around the table is we've not studied in the murmuring I'm hearing around the table is we've not studied in the murmuring I'm hearing around the table is we've not studied in the murmuring I'm hearing around the table is we've not studied in the murmuring I'm hearing around the table is we've not studied in the murmuring I'm hearing around the table is we've not studied in the murmuring I'm hearing around the table is we've not studied in the murmuring I'm hearing around the table is we've not studied in the murmuring I'm hearing around the table is we've not studied in the murmuring I'm hearing around the table is we've not studied in the murmuring I'm hearing around the table is we've not studied in the murmuring I'm hearing around the table is we've not studied in the murmuring I'm hearing around the table is we've not studied in the around a large of the exhibit; so — I'm the around a large of the exhibit; so — I'm the table is murmuring I'm hearing around the table is we've not studied in the around a large of the exhibit; so — I'm the table is the around a large of the exhibit; so — I'm the table is the around a large of the exhibit is one of the proform power costs adjustment, which is something that the forecast error adjustment is simply part of the proforma power costs adjustment, which is something that the Coreast error adjustment is not — it's not around the summary is not our net preserved in the province of the proforma power costs adjustment in other cases?  ATTY MEYER: Thank you. Vour mane, you mane, you forecast error adjustment in o		<del>-</del>	
And hearing nothing from the bench, you are dismissed. Thank you.  So at this time, we'll take a break for lunch.  Yes, Coursel?  ATTY MEYER: Okay. I'll be the one that says it. I heard an estimate of 30 minutes, and the murring i'm hearing around the table is we're not sure we can get lunch in 30 minutes. Is that negotiable? Is that - I don't know how we do this otherwise.  JUDGE BROWN: All right. It looks like we're actually at a good pace. So is 45 acceptable? Or do you need more?  ATTY MEYER: You guys know the area around here. It's usually an hour. It's a little tougher up here than it used to be.  JUDGE BROWN: All right. I'll hold you to saling on schedule if you - if we're going to take an hour. All right? All right.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: We'll adjourn for an hour and return at 1:00. We're off the record.  Page 179  (Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. I believe our next winess is Scott Kinney. If you could please call Mr. Kinney to the stand.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And if you could please raise your right hand.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  A Issect Thank you. For the record, please state your name, you employer, and your position.  A Scott Kinney. I work for Airsta Unities, vice president of energy resources.  A Scott Kinney. I work for Airsta Unities, vice president of energy resources.  ATTY MEYER: and procause his material has an author of the proform power cost adjustment is not – it's not any offerent than other assurptions we make were any offerent than other assurptions we make were as ease in core my different than other assurptions we make were as ease in core my different than other assurptions were always that the procrease in that we recognize in the region of the proform power cost adjustment in other cases?		•	· ·
dismissed. Thank you.  So at this time, we'll take a break for lunch.  ATTY MEYER: Okay. I'll be the one that says it. I heard an estimate of 30 minutes, and the mummuring I'm hearing around the table is we're not surround in the mummuring I'm hearing around the table is we're not surround in the mummuring I'm hearing around the table is we're not surround in the mummuring I'm hearing around the table is we're not surround in the mummuring I'm hearing around the table is we're not surround in the mummuring I'm hearing around the table is we're not surround in the mummuring I'm hearing around the table is we're not surround in the mummuring I'm hearing around the table is we're not surround in the mummuring I'm hearing around the table is we're not surround the table is we're not surround in the mummuring I'm hearing around the table is we're not surround the table is surround the surround the table is surround the		<b>g</b>	
So at this time, we'll take a break for lunch. Ye S, Counsel?  ATTY MEYER: Okay. I'll be the one that says it. I heard an estimate of 30 minutes, and the survey of the property of the stand of the survey of the survey of the stand of the survey of the surv	5		,
7 Yes, Coursel? 8 ATTY MEYER: Okay, I'll be the one that says 9 it. I heard an estimate of 30 minutes, and the 10 murmuring I'm hearing around the table is we're not 11 sure we can get funch in 30 minutes. Is that 12 negotiable? Is that – I don't know how we do this 13 otherwise. 14 JUDGE BROWN: All right. It looks like we're 15 actually at a good pace. So is 45 acceptable? Or do 16 you need more? 17 ATTY MEYER: You guys know the area around 18 here. It's usually an hour. It's a little tougher up 19 here than it used to be. 20 JUDGE BROWN: All right. I'll hold you to 21 staying on schedule if you – if we're going to take an 22 hour. All right? All right. 23 ATTY MEYER: Thank you. 24 JUDGE BROWN: We'll adjourn for an hour and 25 return at 1:00. We're off the record. 26 JUDGE BROWN: We'll adjourn for an hour and 27 return at 1:00. We're off the record. 27 ATTY MEYER: Thank you. You may be 28 seated. 38 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be 39 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be 30 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. 31 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. 32 And, Counsel, you may proceed. 33 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. 34 ATTY MEYER: Thank you. 35 And, Counsel, you may proceed. 36 ATTY MEYER: Thank you. 37 All set. 38 All set. 39 All set. 30 ATTY MEYER: And herease his material has 31 ATTY MEYER: And herease his material has 32 Over employer, and your position. 33 ATTY MEYER: And herease his material has 34 All set. 35 ATTY MEYER: And herease his material has	6	•	6 CROSS-EXAMINATION
ATTY MEYER: Okay. I'll be the one that says it. I heard an estimate of 30 minutes, and the murmuring I'm hearing around the fable is we're not sure we can get funch in 30 minutes, is that sure we can get funch in 30 minutes. Is that 12 negotiable? Is that I don't know how we do this otherwise.  JUDGE BROWN: All right. It looks like we're actually at a good pace. So is 45 acceptable? Or do you need more?  ATTY MEYER: You guys know the area around here. It's usually an hour. It's a little tougher up here than it used to be.  JUDGE BROWN: All right. I'll hold you to staying on schedule if you – if we're going to take an hour. All right? All right.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  Page 179  (Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12 12:00 pm. to 1:05 pm.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. I believe our next writness is Scott Kinney. If you could please call M. ATTY MEYER: Thank you, You may proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you, You Honor.  Mr. Kinney, to the stand.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you, You Honor.  Mr. Kinney, word was proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you, You may proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you, You may proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you, You may proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you, You may proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  ATTY MEYER: And hereause his material has you.  ATTY MEYER: And hereause	7		7 BY ATTY ROBERSON:
i. I. heard an estimate of 30 minutes, and the murmuring I'm hearing around the table is wer'e not sure we can get lunch in 30 minutes. Is that negotiable? Is that – I don't know how we do this otherwise.  JUDGE BROWN: All right. It looks like we're adult at a good pace. So is 45 acceptable? Or do you need more?  ATTY MEYER: You guys know the area around here. It's usually an hour. It's a little tougher up here than it used to be.  JUDGE BROWN: All right. I'll hold you to staying on schedule if you – if we're going to take an hour. All right? All right.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: We'll adjourn for an hour and return at 1:00. We're off the record.  Page 179  (Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. I believe our next witness is Scott Kinney. If you could please call of Witness duy our please take the stand.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you. Your Honor.  Mr. Kinney to the stand.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And if you could please raile your right hand.  (Witness duy our please state the stand.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  IPARTY MEYER: Thank you.  A Il sect that your was proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  Page 179  Page 181  A THANKEYER: Thank you.  Your employer, and your position.  A Soott Kinney. I work for Avista Utilities, vice presented to a recognize is that correctly one more supply expense. There's a lot our net ment than other assumptions we make the your one plower, and your position.  A Soott Kinney. I work for Avista Utilities, vice president of a nergy resources.  Page 179  A TITAMEYER: And hereares his material has a trip of the stand of a variables test one in the profolio adjustment is to every supply expense. There's a lot our net pressure supply expense.  Q A JI settle tougher up of the ment of the proformal profolio adjustment to be our net pressure supply expense.  A TIT MEYER: And hereares his material has and the settle position.  ATTY MEYER: And hereare	8		8 Q Good morning, Mr. Kinney. How are you?
10   murmuring I'm hearing around the table is we're not sure we can get lunch in 30 minutes. Is that negotiable? Is that –1 don't know how we do this otherwise.   12   negotiable? Is that –1 don't know how we do this otherwise.   13   3   UJDGE BROWN: All right. It looks like we're actually at a good pace. So is 45 acceptable? Or do you need more?   15   actually at a good pace. So is 45 acceptable? Or do you need more?   16   you need more?   17   ATTY MEYER: You guys know the area around here. It's usually an hour. It's a little tougher up here than it used to be.   18   JUDGE BROWN: All right. I'll hold you to saying on schedule if you –- if we're going to take an hour. All right? All right.   18   We're going to take an hour. All right? All right.   19   ATTY MEYER: Thank you.   19   20   And just so I – Tim clear and understand, at the lobstom, there's a sentence where you note that the forecast error adjustment, which is made in all cases; is   19   20   And just so I – Tim clear and understand, at the lobstom, there's a sentence where you note that the forecast error adjustment, which is made in all cases; is   19   20   And just so I – Tim clear and understand, at the lobstom, there's a sentence where you note that the forecast error adjustment, which is made in all cases; is   20   And just so I – Tim clear and understand, at the lobstom, there's a sentence where you note that the forecast error adjustment, which is made in all cases; is   20   And just so I – Tim clear and understand, at the lobstom, there's a sentence where you note that the forecast error adjustment, which is made in all cases; is   20   And just so I – Tim clear and understand, at the lobstom, there's a sentence where you note that the forecast error adjustment is part of the pro forma power costs adjustment, which is something that the forecast error adjustment is experienced.   2   A Can you state that again, please.   3   So in the very last sentence on that page, you testify that the forecast error adjustment is experienced.	9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
negotiable? Is that – I don't know how we do this otherwise.  JUDGE BROWN: All right. It looks like we're actually at a good pace. So is 45 acceptable? Or do you need more?  ATTY MEYER: You guys know the area around here. It's usually an hour. It's a little tougher up here than it used to be.  JUDGE BROWN: All right. I'll hold you to a staying on schedule if you – if we're going to take an hour. All right? All right.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  Page 179  (Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.)  JUDGE BROWN: We'll adjourn for an hour and return at 1:00. We're off the record.  Page 179  (Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.)  JUDGE BROWN: We'll adjourn for an hour and return at 1:00. We're off the record.  Page 179  (Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.)  JUDGE BROWN: We'll adjourn for an hour and return at 1:00. We're off the record.  Page 179  (Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.)  JUDGE BROWN: We'll adjourn for an hour and return at 1:00. We're off the record.  Page 179  (Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.)  JUDGE TROMPSON: Thank you. I believe our next witness is Scott Kinney. If you could please call wire. Thank you, Your Honor.  Mr. Kinney, would you please take the stand.  JUDGE BROWN: We'll adjourn for an hour and return at 1:00. We're off the record.  Page 179  I (Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 p.m.) to 1:05 p.m.)  JUDGE TROMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: We'll adjourn for an hour and return at 1:00. We're off the record.  Page 179  Page 181  That the correct?  A Can you state that again, please.  Q So in the very last entit again, please.  Q So in the very last entit again, please.  Q So in the very last entit again, please.  Q So in the very last entit again, please.  Q So in the very last entit aga	10	murmuring I'm hearing around the table is we're not	10 Q Excellent. All of my questions will concern your
negotiable? Is that I don't know how we do this otherwise.  JUDGE BROWN: All right. It looks like we're actually at a good pace. So is 45 acceptable? Or do you need more?  ATTY MEYER: You guys know the area around here. It's usually an hour. It's a little tougher up here than it used to be.  JUDGE BROWN: All right. I'll hold you to Judge BROWN: We'll adjourn for an hour and return at 1:00. We're off the record.  Page 179  (Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.)  JUDGE BROWN: We'll adjourn for an hour and return at 1:00. We're off the record.  Page 179  (Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. I believe our next witness is Scott Kinney. If you could please call Mr. Kinney to the stand.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor.  Mr. Kinney, would you please take the stand.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And if you could please raise your right hand.  (Witness duly sworn.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY ATTY MEYER:  Thank you.  A All set.  Q hall set?  Page 181  Lhave that in front of me.  I should not touch on any confidential information, and all d'my questions will deal with the actual page of the exhibit; so – if that helps  (Reporter requests clarification.)  ATTY MEYER: Yes. Thank you.  The ExPORTER: Yes. Thank you.  A Maty to BERSON: I'd like to start on page 8 of your rebutal testimony.  The ExPORTER: Yes. Thank you.  The ExPORTER: Yes. Thank you.  A Maty to BERSON: I'd like to start on page 8 of your rebutal testimony.  A I'm there.  A And just so I – I'm clear and understand, at the forecast error adjustment is part of the pro forma power costs adjustment, which is something that the torrect?  A Can you state that again, please.  Soit Kinney to the stand.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  A THY MEYER: Thank you.  A Mark you're saying there is that Avista ma	11		rebuttal testimony, which is Exhibit SJK-17T.
JUDGE BROWN: All right. It looks like we're actually at a good pace. So is 45 acceptable? Or do you need more?  ATTY MEYER: You guys know the area around here. It's usually an hour. It's a little tougher up here than it used to be.  JUDGE BROWN: All right. I'll hold you to staying on schedule if you if we're going to take an hour. All right? All right.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: We'll adjourn for an hour and return at 1:00. We're off the record.  Page 179  (Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.)  JUDGE BROWN: We'll adjourn for an hour and return at 1:00. Thank you. I believe our next witness is Scott Kinney. If you could please call Mr. Kinney to the stand.  JUDGE BROWN: We'll adjourn for an hour and return at 1:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. I believe our next witness is Scott Kinney. If you could please call Mr. Kinney to the stand.  JUDGE BROWN: We'll adjourn for an hour and return at 1:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. I believe our next witness is Scott Kinney. If you could please call Mr. Kinney to the stand.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And if you could please raise your right hand.  (Wilness duly sworn.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  A That hat's correct.  A That's correct.  A That's correct.  A That's correct.  A That hat's correct.  A That hat's correct.  A That hat's correct.  A Well, I – I would say that the portfolio adjustment than other cases in or any different than other assumptions we make when we try to set our next prover unprover post what two protion adjustment in the recognize is what our future costs will be. And what we recognize is when do rought into this case is not any different than other assumptions we make when we try to set our power unprover cost that, to set our power supply expense.  A Hank you.  A That we've Per And hereause his material has.	12		12 A I have that in front of me.
actually at a good pace. So is 45 acceptable? Or do you need more?  ATTY MEYER: You guys know the area around here. Its usually an hour. It's a little tougher up here than it used to be.  JUDGE BROWN: All right. I'll hold you to staying on schedule if you if we're going to take an hour. All right? All right.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: We'll adjourn for an hour and return at 1:00. We're off the record.  Page 179  (Whereupon a lunch break was taken from JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. I believe our next witness is Scott Kinney. If you could please call Mr. Kinney to the stand. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And if you could please raise your right hand.  (Witness duly sworn.) JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  Mr. Kinney, would you please take the stand. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  Mr. Kinney, would you please take the stand. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  Mr. Kinney, would you please take the stand. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And if you could please raise your right hand.  Witness duly sworn.) JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  Mr. Kinney, would you please take the stand. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  Mr. Kinney, would you please take the stand. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  Mr. Kinney, would you please take the stand.  Mr. Kinney, would you please take the stand.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  Mr. Kinney, would you please take the stand.  Mr. Kinney to the stand.  Mr. Kinney to the stand.  Mr. Kinney to the stand.  Mr. Kinney, would you please take the stand.  Mr. Kinney to th	13	otherwise.	13 Q I should not touch on any confidential information, and
16 you need more? 17 ATTY MEYER: You guys know the area around here. It's usually an hour. It's a little tougher up here than it used to be. 20 JUDGE BROWN: All right. I'll hold you to staying on schedule if you – if we're going to take an hour. All right? All right. 21 ATTY MEYER: Thank you. 22 JUDGE BROWN: We'll adjourn for an hour and return at 1:00. We're off the record. 23 ATTY MEYER: Thank you. Judge THOMPSON: Thank you. I believe our next witness is Scott Kinney. If you could please call M. Kinney to the stand. 25 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Your Honor. 26 Mr. Kinney to the stand. 27 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And if you could please raise your right hand. 28 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And if you could please raise your right hand. 29 please raise your right hand. 20 All set? 30 And, Counsel, you may proceed. 31 ATTY MEYER: Thank you. 32 All set. 33 Q All set? 34 All set. 45 All set. 46 All set. 57 A All set. 58 Q All set. 59 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Your mame, your employer, and your position. 58 A Counsel, you may proceed. 59 A All set. 50 A All set. 51 A THY MEYER: And heeause his material has. 51 ATTY MEYER: And heeause his material has. 51 ATTY MEYER: And heeause his material has. 52 ATTY MEYER: And heeause his material has. 53 ATTY MEYER: And heeause his material has. 54 ATTY MEYER: And heeause his material has. 55 ATTY MEYER: And heeause his material has. 56 ATTY MEYER: And heeause his material has. 57 ATTY MEYER: And heeause his material has. 58 ATTY MEYER: And heeause his material has. 59 ATTY MEYER: And heeause his material has. 50 ATTY MEYER: And heeause his material has. 51 ATTY MEYER: And head to up your suphy expense. 52 ATTY MEYER: And heeause his material has. 51 ATTY MEYER: And head to up your suphy expense. 52 ATTY MEYER: And heeause his material has. 51 ATTY MEYER: And heeause his material has. 52 ATTY MEYER: And heeause his material has. 52 ATTY MEYER: And head to up your suphy expense. 53 ATTY MEYER: And heeause his material has. 54 ATTY MEYER: And heeause his material has. 55 ATTY	14	JUDGE BROWN: All right. It looks like we're	all of my questions will deal with the actual page of
ATTY MEYER: You guys know the area around here. It's usually an hour. It's a little tougher up here than it used to be.   JUDGE BROWN: All right. I'll hold you to staying on schedule if you — if we're going to take an hour. All right? All right.   19	15	actually at a good pace. So is 45 acceptable? Or do	15 the exhibit; so if that helps
here. It's usually an hour. It's a little tougher up here than it used to be.  JUDGE BROWN: All right. I'll hold you to staying on schedule if you – if we're going to take an hour. All right? All right.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: We'll adjourn for an hour and return at 1:00. We're off the record.  Page 179  (Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. I believe our next witness is Scott Kinney. If you could please call Mr. Kinney, would you please take the stand.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And if you could please raise your right hand.  (Witness duly sworn.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  A Scott Kinney. I you may proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  A A All set?  A All set?  A All set?  A Thank you. For the record, please state your name, your employer, and your position.  A Scott Kinney. I work for Avista Utilities, vice president of energy resources.  ATTY MEYER: And because his material has.  ATTY MEYER: And because his material has.  ATTY MEYER: And because his material has.  ATTY MEYER: And hecause his material has.  The RERPORTER: Yes. Thank you.  A I'm there.  A And Just so I – I'm clear and understand, at the bottom, there's a sentence where you note that the forecast error adjustment is part of the pro forma power costs adjustment, which is saes in a lall cases; is  that correct?  A Can you state that again, please.  S os in the very last sentence on that page, you testify that the forecast error adjustment is simply part of the pro forma power cost adjustment, which is something that the forecast error adjustment is nevery case; is that correct.  Q And what you're saying there is that Avista makes a pro forma power cost adjustment is not – it's not something that the Commission has addressed before?  A Well, I – loudd say that the portfolio adjustment that we had brought into this case is not any different that we had brought into this case is not any diffe	16	you need more?	16 (Reporter requests clarification.)
JUDGE BROWN: All right. I'll hold you to staying on schedule if you if we're going to take an hour. All right? All right.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: We'll adjourn for an hour and return at 1:00. We're off the record.  Page 179  (Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 p.m. to 1:06 p.m.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. I believe our next witness is Scott Kinney. If you could please call Mr. Kinney to the stand.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And if you could please take the stand.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And if you could please asiate your right hand.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  A Scott Kinney. I work for Avista Utilities, vice president of energy resources.  ATTY MEYER: And because his material has.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  ATTY MEYER: And because his material has.  ATTY MEYER: And because	17	ATTY MEYER: You guys know the area around	17 ATTY ROBERSON: Is that better?
JUDGE BROWN: All right. I'll hold you to staying on schedule if you if we're going to take an hour. All right? All right.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you. JUDGE BROWN: We'll adjourn for an hour and return at 1:00. We're off the record.  Page 179  (Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.) JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. I believe our next witness is Scott Kinney. If you could please call Mr. Kinney to the stand. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And if you could please raise your right hand. (Witness duly sworn.) JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And if you could please raise your right hand. (Witness duly sworn.) JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated. ATTY MEYER: Thom you.  ATTY MEYER: And because his material has.  A All set.  Q Thank you. For the record, please state your name, your employer, and your position. ATTY MEYER: And because his material has.	18	here. It's usually an hour. It's a little tougher up	
staying on schedule if you if we're going to take an hour. All right? All right.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  JUDGE BROWN: We'll adjourn for an hour and return at 1:00. We're off the record.  Page 179  (Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. I believe our next witness is Scott Kinney. If you could please take the stand.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you. Your Honor.  Mr. Kinney, would you please take the stand.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And if you could please raise your right hand.  (Witness duly sworn.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  A All set:  Q Thank you. For the record, please state your name, your employer, and your position.  A Scott Kinney. I work for Avista Ultities, vice president of energy resources.  ATTY MEYER: And herause his material has.	19	here than it used to be.	19 Q BY ATTY ROBERSON: I'd like to start on page 8 of your
August   A	20	JUDGE BROWN: All right. I'll hold you to	20 rebuttal testimony.
ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  Page 179  (Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.)  Mr. Kinney to the stand.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you. And if you could please raise your right hand.  (Witness duly sworn.)  (Witness duly sworn.)  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  Page 179  bottom, there's a sentence where you note that the forecast error adjustment is part of the pro forma power costs adjustment, which is made in all cases; is  Page 181  that correct?  A Can you state that again, please.  Q So in the very last sentence on that page, you testify that the forecast error adjustment is simply part of the pro forma power cost adjustment is simply part of the pro forma power cost adjustment is simply part of the pro forma power cost adjustment is something that Avista makes in every case; is that correct?  A That's correct.  And, Counsel, you may proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY ATTY MEYER:  DIRECT EXAMINATION  Thank you. For the record, please state your name, your employer, and your position.  A Scott Kinney, I work for Avista Utilities, vice president of energy resources.  A Conyou state that again, please.  Q So in the very last sentence on that page, you testify that the forecast error adjustment is simply part of the pro forma power cost adjustment is simply part of the pro forma power cost adjustment in every case; correct?  A That's correct.  A That a Avista makes in every case; correct?  It's not that you've made something like the portfolio forecast error adjustment in other cases?  A Well, I - I would say that the portfolio adjustment that we had brought into this case is not any different than other assumptions we make when we try to set our net pres - net power supply expense. There's a lot of variables that come into play in trying to forecast what our future costs will be. And what we feel is prudent to bring into that methodology to set that, to set our power supply expense.  A There's another input that we feel is prudent to bring into that methodology to set that, to set o	21	staying on schedule if you if we're going to take an	21 A I'm there.
JUDGE BROWN: We'll adjourn for an hour and return at 1:00. We're off the record.  Page 179  (Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. I believe our 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.)  Mr. Kinney, to the stand.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor.  Mr. Kinney, would you please take the stand.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And if you could please raise your right hand.  (Witness duly sworn.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated.  And, Counsel, you may proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  A Scott Kinney. If you could please take the stand.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  A Scott Kinney would you please take the stand.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated.  ARTHY MEYER: Thank you.  A Scott Kinney. I work for Avista Utilities, vice president of energy resources.  A Scott Kinney. I work for Avista Utilities, vice president of energy resources.  A Scott Kinney. I work for Avista Utilities, vice president of energy resources.  A Gay. But setting aside how similar the adjustment is	22		22 Q And just so I I'm clear and understand, at the
Page 179  (Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. I believe our next witness is Scott Kinney. If you could please call ATTY MEYER: Thank you. You may be seated.  And, Counsel, you may proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY ATTY MEYER:  A Sequence of the record, please state your name, your employer, and your position.  A Scott Kinney. I work for Avista Utilities, vice president of energy resources.  A Thaty Meyers: And herause his material has.  Page 179  Page 181  that correct?  A Can you state that again, please.  Q So in the very last sentence on that page, you testify that the forecast error adjustment is simply part of the pro forma power cost adjustment, which is something that Avista makes in every case; is that correct?  A That's correct.  A That's correct.  A That's correct.  A That wou're saying there is that Avista makes a proforma power cost adjustment in every case; correct? It's not that you've made something like the portfolio forecast error adjustment in other cases?  A That - that's correct.  A Well, I - I would say that the portfolio adjustment than other assumptions we make when we try to set our net press - net power supply expense.  There's a lot of variables that come into play in trying to forecast what our future costs will be. And what we recognize isthere's another input that we feel is prudent to bring into that methodology to set that, to set our power cost adjustment is not - it's not that power cost adjustment in every case; is that correct?  A Thatthat's correct.  A Thatthat's correct.  A Well, II would say that the portfolio adjustment than other assumptions we make when we try to set our net	23		
Page 179  1 (Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.)  3 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. I believe our next witness is Scott Kinney. If you could please call Mr. Kinney to the stand.  4 ATTY MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor.  5 Mr. Kinney, would you please take the stand.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And if you could please raise your right hand.  (Witness duly sworn.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated.  And, Counsel, you may proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY ATTY MEYER:  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY ATTY MEYER:  A All set.  Q Thank you. For the record, please state your name, your employer, and your position.  A Scott Kinney. I work for Avista Utilities, vice president of energy resources.  A That's correct.  A Can you state that again, please.  A Can you state that again	24	JUDGE BROWN: We'll adjourn for an hour and	
1 (Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 2 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.) 3 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. I believe our 4 next witness is Scott Kinney. If you could please call 5 Mr. Kinney to the stand. 6 ATTY MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. 7 Mr. Kinney, would you please take the stand. 8 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And if you could please raise your right hand. 9 please raise your right hand. 1 (Witness duly sworn.) 1 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated. 1 And, Counsel, you may proceed. 2 And, Counsel, you may proceed. 3 And, Counsel, you may proceed. 4 That correct? 4 Can you state that again, please. 5 that the forecast error adjustment is simply part of the pro forma power cost adjustment, which is something that Avista makes in every case; is that correct? 6 And what you're saying there is that Avista makes a pro forma power cost adjustment in every case; correct? 8 It's not that you're made something like the portfolio forecast error adjustment in other cases? 9 A That that's correct. 10 Q All set? 11 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. 12 Seated. 13 And, Counsel, you may proceed. 14 ATTY MEYER: Thank you. 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION 16 BY ATTY MEYER: 17 Thank you. For the record, please state your name, your employer, and your position. 18 Q All set? 19 A All set. 19 A All set. 20 Q Thank you. For the record, please state your name, your employer, and your position. 21 A Scott Kinney. I work for Avista Utilities, vice president of energy resources. 22 Q Thank you. 23 A Soutt Kinney. I work for Avista Utilities, vice president of energy resources. 24 Q Thank you. 25 A That correct. 26 A Canyou state that again, please. 27 A Can yous tate that again, please. 28 A Can yous tate that again, please. 29 A Can yous tate that eport adjustment of the prof form apower cost adjustment, which is something that the forecast error adjustment in that Avista makes a pro forma power cost adjustment in the adjustment of the proforma power cost adjustment of the proforma power cost adjustment of the proforma power cost adjustment in t	25	return at 1:00. We're off the record.	power costs adjustment, which is made in all cases; is
2 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.) 3 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. I believe our 4 next witness is Scott Kinney. If you could please call 5 Mr. Kinney to the stand. 6 ATTY MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. 7 Mr. Kinney, would you please take the stand. 8 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And if you could please raise your right hand. 9 please raise your right hand. 10 (Witness duly sworn.) 11 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be 12 seated. 13 And, Counsel, you may proceed. 14 ATTY MEYER: Thank you. 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION 17 BY ATTY MEYER: 18 Q All set? 19 A All set. 10 Q Thank you. For the record, please state your name, your employer, and your position. 20 Q Thank you. 21 A Can you state that again, please. 22 Q Thank you. Out state that again, please. 23 Q So in the very last sentence on that page, you testify that the forecast error adjustment is simply part of the pro forma power cost adjustment is something that Avista makes in every case; is that correct? 24 A That's correct. 25 And what you're saying there is that Avista makes a pro forma power cost adjustment in other case; correct? It's not that you've made something like the portfolio forecast error adjustment in other cases? 10 A That's correct. 11 Ant 't hat's correct. 12 A That's correct. 13 Q So the forecast error adjustment in other cases? 14 A That 's correct. 15 A That 's correct. 16 Q All set? 17 A That's correct. 18 Q All set? 19 A All set? 10 A Scott Kinney. I work for Avista Utilities, vice president of energy resources. 20 Q Thank you. 21 A Scott Kinney. I work for Avista Utilities, vice president of energy resources. 22 A That the forecast error adjustment in other case; so that or it's not something that the Commission has addressed before? 23 A Scott Kinney. I work for Avista Utilities, vice president of energy resources. 24 Q Thank you. 25 A Count of the prof loin that the forecast error adjustment is simply part of the prof of the prof adjustment is simply part of the prof of the prof adjustment is simply part of the prof of the prof		5 100	
2 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.) 3 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. I believe our 4 next witness is Scott Kinney. If you could please call 5 Mr. Kinney to the stand. 6 ATTY MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. 7 Mr. Kinney, would you please take the stand. 8 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And if you could please raise your right hand. 9 please raise your right hand. 10 (Witness duly sworn.) 11 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be 12 seated. 13 And, Counsel, you may proceed. 14 ATTY MEYER: Thank you. 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION 17 BY ATTY MEYER: 18 Q All set? 19 A All set. 10 Q Thank you. For the record, please state your name, your employer, and your position. 20 Q Thank you. 21 A Scott Kinney. I work for Avista Utilities, vice president of energy resources. 22 A TTY MEYER: And hecause his material has. 23 A Can you state that again, please. 24 Q So in the very last sentence on that page, you testify that the forecast error adjustment is simply part of the pro forma power cost adjustment is something that Avista makes in every case; is that correct? 25 A That's correct. 26 And what you're saying there is that Avista makes a pro forma power cost adjustment in every case; correct? It's not that you're made something like the portfolio forecast error adjustment in other cases? 16 ATTY MEYER: Thank you. 17 A That's correct. 18 Q All set? 19 A All set? 10 A Scott Kinney. I work for Avista Utilities, vice president of energy resources. 20 Thank you. 21 A Scott Kinney. I work for Avista Utilities, vice president of energy resources. 22 A That we had brought into this case is not any different than other assumptions we make when we try to set our net pres net power supply expense. There's a lot of variables that come into play in trying to forecast what our future costs will be. And what we recognize is there's another input that we feel is prudent to bring into that methodology to set that, to set our power supply expense.		Page 1/9	Page 181
that the forecast error adjustment is simply part of the proforma power cost adjustment, which is something that Avista makes in every case; is that correct?  Mr. Kinney, would you please take the stand.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And if you could please raise your right hand.  (Witness duly sworn.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated.  And, Counsel, you may proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  A Scott Kinney. If you could please call  that the forecast error adjustment is simply part of the pro forma power cost adjustment, which is something that Avista makes in every case; is that correct?  A That's correct.  A That's correct.  A That's correct.  A That that's correct.  A Well, I I would say that the Commission has addressed before?  A Well, I I would say that the portfolio adjustment that we had brought into this case is not any different than other assumptions we make when we try to set our net press net power supply expense. There's a lot of variables that come into play in trying to forecast what our future costs will be. And what we recognize is there's another input that we feel is prudent to bring into that methodology to set that, to set our power supply expense.  A TTY MEYER: And because his material has	1		
the pro forma power cost adjustment, which is something that Avista makes in every case; is that correct?  Mr. Kinney, would you please take the stand.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And if you could please raise your right hand.  (Witness duly sworn.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated.  And, Counsel, you may proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY ATTY MEYER:  A All set.  Q Thank you. For the record, please state your name, your employer, and your position.  A Scott Kinney. I work for Avista Utilities, vice president of energy resources.  ATTY MEYER: And because his material has  the pro forma power cost adjustment, which is something that Avista makes in every case; is that correct?  A That's correct.  Q And what you're saying there is that Avista makes a pro forma power cost adjustment in every case; correct?  It's not that you've made something like the portfolio forecast error adjustment in other cases?  A That that's correct.  Q So the forecast error adjustment is not it's not something that we had brought into this case is not any different than other assumptions we make when we try to set our net pres net power supply expense. There's a lot of variables that corne into play in trying to forecast what our future costs will be. And what we recognize is there's another input that we feel is prudent to bring into that methodology to set that, to set our power supply expense.  Q Thank you.  ATTY MEYER: And because his material has		(Whereupon a lunch break was taken from	1 that correct?
that Avista makes in every case; is that correct?  A That's correct.	2	(Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.)	<ul><li>that correct?</li><li>A Can you state that again, please.</li></ul>
Mr. Kinney, would you please take the stand.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And if you could please raise your right hand.  (Witness duly sworn.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated.  And, Counsel, you may proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY ATTY MEYER:  A All set.  Q Thank you. For the record, please state your name, your employer, and your position.  ATTY MEYER: And because his material has  ATTY MEYER: And because his material has  ATTY MEYER: And because his material has  A That's correct.  A That's correct.  A That's correct.  A That's correct.  A That that's correct.  A Well, I would say that the portfolio adjustment that we had brought into this case is not any different than other assumptions we make when we try to set our net pres net power supply	2	(Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.) JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. I believe our	<ul> <li>that correct?</li> <li>A Can you state that again, please.</li> <li>Q So in the very last sentence on that page, you testify</li> </ul>
JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And if you could please raise your right hand.  (Witness duly sworn.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated.  And, Counsel, you may proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  A All set.  Q All set?  A All set.  Q Thank you. For the record, please state your name, your employer, and your position.  A Scott Kinney. I work for Avista Utilities, vice president of energy resources.  A TMY MEYER: And hecause his material has.  Q And what you're saying there is that Avista makes a pro forma power cost adjustment in every case; correct? It's not that you've made something like the portfolio forecast error adjustment in other cases?  A That that's correct.  A That that's correct.  A Well, I I would say that the Commission has addressed before?  A Well, I I would say that the portfolio adjustment that we had brought into this case is not any different than other assumptions we make when we try to set our net pres net power supply expense. There's a lot of variables that come into play in trying to forecast what our future costs will be. And what we recognize is there's another input that we feel is prudent to bring into that methodology to set that, to set our power supply expense.  Q Thank you.  A TTY MEYER: And hecause his material has.	2 3 4	(Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. I believe our next witness is Scott Kinney. If you could please call	<ul> <li>that correct?</li> <li>A Can you state that again, please.</li> <li>Q So in the very last sentence on that page, you testify</li> <li>that the forecast error adjustment is simply part of</li> </ul>
please raise your right hand.  (Witness duly sworn.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated.  And, Counsel, you may proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY ATTY MEYER:  A All set.  Q Thank you.  DIRECT description  A Scott Kinney. I work for Avista Utilities, vice president of energy resources.  ATTY MEYER: And because his material has.  pro forma power cost adjustment in every case; correct?  It's not that you've made something like the portfolio forecast error adjustment in other cases?  A That that's correct.  A That that's correct.  A Well, I I would say that the Commission has addressed before?  A Well, I I would say that the portfolio adjustment that we had brought into this case is not any different than other assumptions we make when we try to set our net pres net power supply expense. There's a lot of variables that come into play in trying to forecast what our future costs will be. And what we recognize is there's another input that we feel is prudent to bring into that methodology to set that, to set our power supply expense.  Q Thank you.  ATTY MEYER: And because his material has.  ATTY MEYER: And because his material has.	2 3 4 5	(Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. I believe our next witness is Scott Kinney. If you could please call Mr. Kinney to the stand.	<ul> <li>that correct?</li> <li>A Can you state that again, please.</li> <li>Q So in the very last sentence on that page, you testify</li> <li>that the forecast error adjustment is simply part of</li> <li>the pro forma power cost adjustment, which is something</li> </ul>
10 (Witness duly sworn.) 11 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be 12 seated. 13 And, Counsel, you may proceed. 14 ATTY MEYER: Thank you. 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION 17 BY ATTY MEYER: 18 Q All set: 19 A All set. 20 Q Thank you. For the record, please state your name, your employer, and your position. 21 A Scott Kinney. I work for Avista Utilities, vice president of energy resources. 22 Q Thank you. 25 ATTY MEYER: And because his material has 20 ATTY MEYER: And because his material has 21 ATTY MEYER: And because his material has 22 Q O Nay. But setting aside how similar the adjustment is	2 3 4 5 6	(Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. I believe our next witness is Scott Kinney. If you could please call Mr. Kinney to the stand.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor.  Mr. Kinney, would you please take the stand.	that correct?  A Can you state that again, please.  Q So in the very last sentence on that page, you testify that the forecast error adjustment is simply part of the pro forma power cost adjustment, which is something that Avista makes in every case; is that correct?
JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated.  12	2 3 4 5 6 7	(Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. I believe our next witness is Scott Kinney. If you could please call Mr. Kinney to the stand.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor.  Mr. Kinney, would you please take the stand.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And if you could	that correct?  A Can you state that again, please.  Q So in the very last sentence on that page, you testify that the forecast error adjustment is simply part of the pro forma power cost adjustment, which is something that Avista makes in every case; is that correct?  A That's correct.
seated.  And, Counsel, you may proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY ATTY MEYER:  A All set.  Q All set?  A All set.  Q Thank you. For the record, please state your name, your employer, and your position.  A Scott Kinney. I work for Avista Utilities, vice president of energy resources.  A ATTY MEYER: And because his material has.  12 A That that's correct.  3 Q So the forecast error adjustment is not it's not something that the Commission has addressed before?  4 Well, I I would say that the portfolio adjustment that we had brought into this case is not any different than other assumptions we make when we try to set our net pres net power supply expense. There's a lot of variables that come into play in trying to forecast what our future costs will be. And what we recognize is there's another input that we feel is prudent to bring into that methodology to set that, to set our power supply expense.  Q Thank you.  ATTY MEYER: And because his material has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	(Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. I believe our next witness is Scott Kinney. If you could please call Mr. Kinney to the stand.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor.  Mr. Kinney, would you please take the stand.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And if you could please raise your right hand.	that correct?  A Can you state that again, please.  Q So in the very last sentence on that page, you testify that the forecast error adjustment is simply part of the pro forma power cost adjustment, which is something that Avista makes in every case; is that correct?  A That's correct.  Q And what you're saying there is that Avista makes a
And, Counsel, you may proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY ATTY MEYER:  A All set.  Q Thank you. For the record, please state your name, your employer, and your position.  A Scott Kinney. I work for Avista Utilities, vice president of energy resources.  Q Thank you.  ATTY MEYER: And because his material has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. I believe our next witness is Scott Kinney. If you could please call Mr. Kinney to the stand.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor.  Mr. Kinney, would you please take the stand.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And if you could please raise your right hand.  (Witness duly sworn.)	that correct?  A Can you state that again, please.  Q So in the very last sentence on that page, you testify that the forecast error adjustment is simply part of the pro forma power cost adjustment, which is something that Avista makes in every case; is that correct?  A That's correct.  Q And what you're saying there is that Avista makes a pro forma power cost adjustment in every case; correct?
ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  14 something that the Commission has addressed before?  15 A Well, I I would say that the portfolio adjustment that we had brought into this case is not any different that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	(Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. I believe our next witness is Scott Kinney. If you could please call Mr. Kinney to the stand.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor.  Mr. Kinney, would you please take the stand.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And if you could please raise your right hand.  (Witness duly sworn.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be	that correct?  A Can you state that again, please.  Q So in the very last sentence on that page, you testify that the forecast error adjustment is simply part of the pro forma power cost adjustment, which is something that Avista makes in every case; is that correct?  A That's correct.  Q And what you're saying there is that Avista makes a pro forma power cost adjustment in every case; correct?  It's not that you've made something like the portfolio forecast error adjustment in other cases?
DIRECT EXAMINATION  15	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	(Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. I believe our next witness is Scott Kinney. If you could please call Mr. Kinney to the stand.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor.  Mr. Kinney, would you please take the stand.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And if you could please raise your right hand.  (Witness duly sworn.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated.	that correct?  A Can you state that again, please.  Q So in the very last sentence on that page, you testify that the forecast error adjustment is simply part of the pro forma power cost adjustment, which is something that Avista makes in every case; is that correct?  A That's correct.  Q And what you're saying there is that Avista makes a pro forma power cost adjustment in every case; correct?  It's not that you've made something like the portfolio forecast error adjustment in other cases?  A That that's correct.
DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY ATTY MEYER:  16	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. I believe our next witness is Scott Kinney. If you could please call Mr. Kinney to the stand.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor.  Mr. Kinney, would you please take the stand.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And if you could please raise your right hand.  (Witness duly sworn.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated.  And, Counsel, you may proceed.	that correct?  A Can you state that again, please.  Q So in the very last sentence on that page, you testify that the forecast error adjustment is simply part of the pro forma power cost adjustment, which is something that Avista makes in every case; is that correct?  A That's correct.  Q And what you're saying there is that Avista makes a pro forma power cost adjustment in every case; correct?  It's not that you've made something like the portfolio forecast error adjustment in other cases?  A That that's correct.  Q So the forecast error adjustment is not it's not
BY ATTY MEYER:  18	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	(Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. I believe our next witness is Scott Kinney. If you could please call Mr. Kinney to the stand.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor.  Mr. Kinney, would you please take the stand.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And if you could please raise your right hand.  (Witness duly sworn.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated.  And, Counsel, you may proceed.	that correct?  A Can you state that again, please.  Q So in the very last sentence on that page, you testify that the forecast error adjustment is simply part of the pro forma power cost adjustment, which is something that Avista makes in every case; is that correct?  A That's correct.  Q And what you're saying there is that Avista makes a pro forma power cost adjustment in every case; correct?  It's not that you've made something like the portfolio forecast error adjustment in other cases?  A That that's correct.  Q So the forecast error adjustment is not it's not something that the Commission has addressed before?
18 Q All set? 19 A All set. 20 Q Thank you. For the record, please state your name, your employer, and your position. 21 A Scott Kinney. I work for Avista Utilities, vice president of energy resources. 24 Q Thank you. 25 A All set. 26 A Scott Kinney. I work for Avista Utilities, vice president of energy resources. 27 A All set. 28 A Scott Kinney. I work for Avista Utilities, vice president of energy resources. 29 A ATTY MEYER: And because his material has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	(Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. I believe our next witness is Scott Kinney. If you could please call Mr. Kinney to the stand.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor.  Mr. Kinney, would you please take the stand.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And if you could please raise your right hand.  (Witness duly sworn.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated.  And, Counsel, you may proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.	that correct?  A Can you state that again, please.  Q So in the very last sentence on that page, you testify that the forecast error adjustment is simply part of the pro forma power cost adjustment, which is something that Avista makes in every case; is that correct?  A That's correct.  Q And what you're saying there is that Avista makes a pro forma power cost adjustment in every case; correct?  It's not that you've made something like the portfolio forecast error adjustment in other cases?  A That that's correct.  Q So the forecast error adjustment is not it's not something that the Commission has addressed before?  A Well, I I would say that the portfolio adjustment
A All set.  Q Thank you. For the record, please state your name, your employer, and your position.  A Scott Kinney. I work for Avista Utilities, vice president of energy resources.  Q Thank you.  ATTY MEYER: And because his material has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	(Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. I believe our next witness is Scott Kinney. If you could please call Mr. Kinney to the stand.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor.  Mr. Kinney, would you please take the stand.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And if you could please raise your right hand.  (Witness duly sworn.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated.  And, Counsel, you may proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.	that correct?  A Can you state that again, please.  Q So in the very last sentence on that page, you testify that the forecast error adjustment is simply part of the pro forma power cost adjustment, which is something that Avista makes in every case; is that correct?  A That's correct.  Q And what you're saying there is that Avista makes a pro forma power cost adjustment in every case; correct? It's not that you've made something like the portfolio forecast error adjustment in other cases?  A That that's correct.  Q So the forecast error adjustment is not it's not something that the Commission has addressed before?  A Well, I I would say that the portfolio adjustment that we had brought into this case is not any different
Thank you. For the record, please state your name, your employer, and your position.  A Scott Kinney. I work for Avista Utilities, vice president of energy resources.  Thank you. For the record, please state your name, what our future costs will be. And what we recognize is there's another input that we feel is prudent to bring into that methodology to set that, to set our power supply expense.  Thank you.  Thank you. For the record, please state your name, what our future costs will be. And what we recognize is there's another input that we feel is prudent to bring into that methodology to set that, to set our power supply expense.  Thank you.  Thank you. Q Okay. But setting aside how similar the adjustment is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	(Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. I believe our next witness is Scott Kinney. If you could please call Mr. Kinney to the stand.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor.  Mr. Kinney, would you please take the stand.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And if you could please raise your right hand.  (Witness duly sworn.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated.  And, Counsel, you may proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY ATTY MEYER:	that correct?  A Can you state that again, please.  Q So in the very last sentence on that page, you testify that the forecast error adjustment is simply part of the pro forma power cost adjustment, which is something that Avista makes in every case; is that correct?  A That's correct.  Q And what you're saying there is that Avista makes a pro forma power cost adjustment in every case; correct? It's not that you've made something like the portfolio forecast error adjustment in other cases?  A That that's correct.  Q So the forecast error adjustment is not it's not something that the Commission has addressed before?  A Well, I I would say that the portfolio adjustment that we had brought into this case is not any different than other assumptions we make when we try to set our
your employer, and your position.  A Scott Kinney. I work for Avista Utilities, vice president of energy resources.  A Try MEYER: And because his material has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. I believe our next witness is Scott Kinney. If you could please call Mr. Kinney to the stand.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor.  Mr. Kinney, would you please take the stand.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And if you could please raise your right hand.  (Witness duly sworn.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated.  And, Counsel, you may proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY ATTY MEYER:  Q All set?	that correct?  A Can you state that again, please.  Q So in the very last sentence on that page, you testify that the forecast error adjustment is simply part of the pro forma power cost adjustment, which is something that Avista makes in every case; is that correct?  A That's correct.  Q And what you're saying there is that Avista makes a pro forma power cost adjustment in every case; correct? It's not that you've made something like the portfolio forecast error adjustment in other cases?  A That that's correct.  Q So the forecast error adjustment is not it's not something that the Commission has addressed before?  A Well, I I would say that the portfolio adjustment that we had brought into this case is not any different than other assumptions we make when we try to set our net pres net power supply expense. There's a lot
A Scott Kinney. I work for Avista Utilities, vice president of energy resources.  ATTY MEYER: And because his material has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. I believe our next witness is Scott Kinney. If you could please call Mr. Kinney to the stand.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor.  Mr. Kinney, would you please take the stand.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And if you could please raise your right hand.  (Witness duly sworn.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated.  And, Counsel, you may proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY ATTY MEYER:  Q All set?  A All set.	that correct?  A Can you state that again, please.  Q So in the very last sentence on that page, you testify that the forecast error adjustment is simply part of the pro forma power cost adjustment, which is something that Avista makes in every case; is that correct?  A That's correct.  Q And what you're saying there is that Avista makes a pro forma power cost adjustment in every case; correct? lt's not that you've made something like the portfolio forecast error adjustment in other cases?  A That that's correct.  Q So the forecast error adjustment is not it's not something that the Commission has addressed before?  A Well, I I would say that the portfolio adjustment that we had brought into this case is not any different than other assumptions we make when we try to set our net pres net power supply expense. There's a lot of variables that come into play in trying to forecast
president of energy resources.  24	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. I believe our next witness is Scott Kinney. If you could please call Mr. Kinney to the stand.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor.  Mr. Kinney, would you please take the stand.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And if you could please raise your right hand.  (Witness duly sworn.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated.  And, Counsel, you may proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY ATTY MEYER:  Q All set?  A All set.  Q Thank you. For the record, please state your name,	that correct?  A Can you state that again, please.  Q So in the very last sentence on that page, you testify that the forecast error adjustment is simply part of the pro forma power cost adjustment, which is something that Avista makes in every case; is that correct?  A That's correct.  Q And what you're saying there is that Avista makes a pro forma power cost adjustment in every case; correct? lt's not that you've made something like the portfolio forecast error adjustment in other cases?  A That that's correct.  Q So the forecast error adjustment is not it's not something that the Commission has addressed before?  A Well, I I would say that the portfolio adjustment that we had brought into this case is not any different than other assumptions we make when we try to set our net pres net power supply expense. There's a lot of variables that come into play in trying to forecast what our future costs will be. And what we recognize
24 <b>Q</b> Thank you.  25 ATTY MEYER: And because his material has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. I believe our next witness is Scott Kinney. If you could please call Mr. Kinney to the stand.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor.  Mr. Kinney, would you please take the stand.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And if you could please raise your right hand.  (Witness duly sworn.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated.  And, Counsel, you may proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY ATTY MEYER:  Q All set?  A All set.  Q Thank you. For the record, please state your name, your employer, and your position.	that correct?  A Can you state that again, please.  Q So in the very last sentence on that page, you testify that the forecast error adjustment is simply part of the pro forma power cost adjustment, which is something that Avista makes in every case; is that correct?  A That's correct.  Q And what you're saying there is that Avista makes a pro forma power cost adjustment in every case; correct? It's not that you've made something like the portfolio forecast error adjustment in other cases?  A That that's correct.  Q So the forecast error adjustment is not it's not something that the Commission has addressed before?  A Well, I I would say that the portfolio adjustment that we had brought into this case is not any different than other assumptions we make when we try to set our net pres net power supply expense. There's a lot of variables that come into play in trying to forecast what our future costs will be. And what we recognize is there's another input that we feel is prudent to
25 ATTY MEYER: And hecause his material has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. I believe our next witness is Scott Kinney. If you could please call Mr. Kinney to the stand.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor.  Mr. Kinney, would you please take the stand.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And if you could please raise your right hand.  (Witness duly sworn.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated.  And, Counsel, you may proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY ATTY MEYER:  Q All set?  A All set.  Q Thank you. For the record, please state your name, your employer, and your position.  A Scott Kinney. I work for Avista Utilities, vice	that correct?  A Can you state that again, please.  Q So in the very last sentence on that page, you testify that the forecast error adjustment is simply part of the pro forma power cost adjustment, which is something that Avista makes in every case; is that correct?  A That's correct.  Q And what you're saying there is that Avista makes a pro forma power cost adjustment in every case; correct? It's not that you've made something like the portfolio forecast error adjustment in other cases?  A That that's correct.  Q So the forecast error adjustment is not it's not something that the Commission has addressed before?  A Well, I I would say that the portfolio adjustment that we had brought into this case is not any different than other assumptions we make when we try to set our net pres net power supply expense. There's a lot of variables that come into play in trying to forecast what our future costs will be. And what we recognize is there's another input that we feel is prudent to bring into that methodology to set that, to set our
to other adjustments that you've made as part of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. I believe our next witness is Scott Kinney. If you could please call Mr. Kinney to the stand.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor.  Mr. Kinney, would you please take the stand.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And if you could please raise your right hand.  (Witness duly sworn.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated.  And, Counsel, you may proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY ATTY MEYER:  Q All set?  A All set.  Q Thank you. For the record, please state your name, your employer, and your position.  A Scott Kinney. I work for Avista Utilities, vice president of energy resources.	that correct?  A Can you state that again, please.  G So in the very last sentence on that page, you testify that the forecast error adjustment is simply part of the pro forma power cost adjustment, which is something that Avista makes in every case; is that correct?  A That's correct.  A That's correct.  And what you're saying there is that Avista makes a pro forma power cost adjustment in every case; correct? It's not that you've made something like the portfolio forecast error adjustment in other cases?  A That that's correct.  G So the forecast error adjustment is not it's not something that the Commission has addressed before?  A Well, I I would say that the portfolio adjustment that we had brought into this case is not any different than other assumptions we make when we try to set our net pres net power supply expense. There's a lot of variables that come into play in trying to forecast what our future costs will be. And what we recognize is there's another input that we feel is prudent to bring into that methodology to set that, to set our power supply expense.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	(Whereupon a lunch break was taken from 12:00 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. I believe our next witness is Scott Kinney. If you could please call Mr. Kinney to the stand.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor.  Mr. Kinney, would you please take the stand.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And if you could please raise your right hand.  (Witness duly sworn.)  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be seated.  And, Counsel, you may proceed.  ATTY MEYER: Thank you.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY ATTY MEYER:  Q All set?  A All set.  Q Thank you. For the record, please state your name, your employer, and your position.  A Scott Kinney. I work for Avista Utilities, vice president of energy resources.  Q Thank you.	that correct?  A Can you state that again, please.  G So in the very last sentence on that page, you testify that the forecast error adjustment is simply part of the pro forma power cost adjustment, which is something that Avista makes in every case; is that correct?  A That's correct.  And what you're saying there is that Avista makes a pro forma power cost adjustment in every case; correct? It's not that you've made something like the portfolio forecast error adjustment in other cases?  A That that's correct.  G So the forecast error adjustment is not it's not something that the Commission has addressed before?  A Well, I I would say that the portfolio adjustment that we had brought into this case is not any different than other assumptions we make when we try to set our net pres net power supply expense. There's a lot of variables that come into play in trying to forecast what our future costs will be. And what we recognize is there's another input that we feel is prudent to bring into that methodology to set that, to set our power supply expense.  Q Okay. But setting aside how similar the adjustment is

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

bands?

A In the methodology we used?

those two numbers run through the dead and sharing

A I believe the methodology -- and subject to check -- I

think Witness Kalich is probably more appropriate to

maybe ask this question to to verify. But I believe it

was the total difference from actual to G -- than what

was filed in the GRC. So it was the total -- it was an

Page 182 Page 184 1 power cost adjustment update -- or power cost 1 average of the total difference on an annual basis. 2 adjustment -- the forecast error is novel; correct? 2 Q How -- well, if you can answer, how different is that 3 You've never -- Avista's never made an adjustment like 3 on average between what that value would be and -- for 4 that? 4 the year, not the average over the three years, but per 5 5 A That's correct. year -- what you're calculating for the error 6 Q On page 10 of your testimony, you state that, quote, 6 adjustment and what's booked into the ERM deferral? 7 7 "Aurora modeling standing alone simply cannot reflect A Well, it varies on an annual basis; so, you know, one 8 all these changes without some additional changes to 8 of the years, it was, I believe, \$24 million. And 9 input assumptions"; is that correct? 9 another year, it was 49-. So again, we were taking a 10 A That's correct. 10 three-year average to try to address that variation 11 Q Avista is not making the forecast error adjustment as 11 than can occur on an annual basis. 12 12 an input into the modeling; correct? It's taking the Q Okay. Is it fair to say that there's a relationship 13 modeling outputs and adjusting for what you think is 13 between the methodology you're using to calculate the 14 14 the error in the output; correct? forecast error adjustment and what gets booked into the 15 A That is correct. And -- because we recognize that we 15 **ERM deferral?** 16 16 could do it the other way as -- put it into the model. A I mean, there is some relation. I mean, we are using 17 But we wanted to bring this forward as something that 17 our methodology to try to set our future forecasts on 18 18 was of concern to the company in order for us to really our net power supply expense. But what the ERM is 19 set our net power supply expense. Now, recognizing, 19 trying to do and what this methodology is trying to 20 20 capture is the difference in the actuals versus what though, this was a previous methodology that we used in 21 direct which we have now changed in our rebuttal. 21 was set in our general rate cases. 22 Q But setting aside the change in methodology, you're 22 Q The difference between actuals and what was set is 23 23 not -- with a new methodology making adjustments to the what's booked into the ERM? 24 inputs to the models; correct? 24 A It's what's booked into the ERM and analyzed through 25 25 the ERM, yes. But --A Correct. Page 183 Page 185 Q So what was true in your opening testimony is still Q And how is it different -- sorry. 1 1 2 true? 2 A -- sorry. What we're seeing, though, is that that 3 A That's correct. 3 shows how different or how difficult it is to set our 4 4 Q Okay. On page 11, speaking of that new methodology, net power supply expense accurately. So what we are 5 5 that's where you begin setting it up; correct? proposing as a way to try to get that baseline set more 6 6 A Yes, it is. accurately -- based on the conditions that we're seeing 7 Q So Avista proposes taking the difference between 7 in the market or changes and embedding that back in to 8 8 forecast and net power expense and the actual net power try to set our baseline more appropriately. 9 expense for the last three years; correct? 9 Q Methodologically, what is the difference between what 10 A Yes. 10 gets booked into the ERM and what you're using to 11 Q If one were to look at an ERM filing, is the deferral 11 calculate the forecast error adjustment per year? balance equal to the difference between those two 12 12 A I mean, the ERM is set up, of course, to share that 13 values run through the dead and sharing bands? 13 difference between the company and our customers; 14 14 A Can you repeat the question. right? But again, what we're trying to address is 15 15 Q Is the amount of power cost variance that Avista books that -- that difference is a reality, which means that 16 into its ERM deferral equal to the difference between

16 we -- with the methodology we have in place to set our 17 power supply expense, we have not set it as accurately 18 as we could have. And so, the intent is to try to do a 19 better job of setting that baseline in our rate 20 cases --21 (Reporter requests clarification.) 22 THE WITNESS: -- by using an average of that 23 difference that we've observed. 24 Q BY ATTY ROBERSON: Setting aside the fact that you're 25 averaging over a couple of years, is the only real

	Page 186	Page 188
1	difference between what gets booked into the ERM and	1 ratepayers; correct?
2	what you're using to calculate that portfolio forecast	2 A Subject to check, yeah.
3	adjustment, the dead	3 Q So if Avista were to use a longer time period, the size
4	(Reporter requests clarification.)	4 of the forecast error would be reduced; correct?
5	ATTY ROBERSON: Yeah.	5 A Yes.
6	Q BY ATTY ROBERSON: The only difference between the	6 Q Returning now to the known and measurable topic, when
7	forecast error adjustment is the dead and sharing	you describe something as "known," what is your
8	bands?	8 understanding of that term in the context of utility
9	ATTY MEYER: Is that a question?	9 rate-making?
10	ATTY ROBERSON: Yes. Correct.	10 A Something that has been verified.
11	THE WITNESS: Correct.	11 Q And how does the Commission generally look to see
12	Q BY ATTY ROBERSON: So then, basically, what you're	if well, is that known? Or is that measurable I
13	doing to calculate the annual forecast error adjustment	13 guess is the first question.
14	is try to predict what you think will be booked into	14 A I'd say that's known.
15	the ERM at the end of the year; correct?	15 Q Do you see the two as distinct? Or do you see them as
16	A Well, yes. We're trying to predict, but we're trying	just kind of the same? Like, there's one known and
17	to base it on what is "known and measurable" from	17 measurable standard?
18	what's happened previously in our cases of actual	18 A I would say they're the same.
19	versus the net power supply expense that was set.	19 Q Okay. If an event has taken place and will not be in
20	Q I might want to pick the known and measurable topic up	place in the rate year you've verified it is it
21	in just a minute. But I have one more question here,	21 still known for purposes of rate-making?
22	which is can you think of another example where the	22 A Yes.
23	Commission allows a tracking and deferral mechanism and	23 Q So the Commission could set rates based on events that
24	allows a utility to predict what will be in that	are unrelated to rate year costs under that reading;
25	deferral mechanism before the difference materializes	25 correct?
	Page 187	
1	and then embed that into rates?	1 A Potentially. But again, we're trying to we're
2	A I'm not aware of any.	2 trying to figure out a good methodology to capture what
3	Q Okay. In your original proposal, Avista would have	we see as changes dramatic changes in the market
4	used five years' worth of data to calculate the	<ul> <li>4 that we think will be here for a long period of time.</li> <li>5 And that primarily is driven by the position of the</li> </ul>
5	portfolio forecast error; correct?	and man printers, the province of the
6 7	A I believe so.	9
8	Q Was it 2018 through 2022?	
_	A Yes.	, and a second s
9 10	Q And on rebuttal, you're proposing using three years; correct?	9 the goal as setting rates more accurately for the rate 10 year? Isn't that the purpose?
11		11 A Yes. That's the intent.
12		
13		12 Q So wouldn't you want to be looking at rate year events 13 for that?
14	A That's correct.  Q And in those years, Avista's power costs exceeded the	14 A Well, rate year again, that's setting our net
15	baseline; correct?	power supply expense is forecast; right? So it's
16	A Yes, they did.	16 difficult right? to predict and have all of the
17	Q But for '18, '19, and '20, that's the opposite	17 right inputs to try to set that, the net power supply
18	happened; right? The baseline was set higher than the	18 expense level. So we take a lot of different inputs,
19	actual power costs?	and we do averages on some of those inputs to try to
20	A I would have to check on that. I'm not sure on those	20 get a better indication to, again, try to drive
21	specific years.	21 accuracy in setting our power supply expense.
22	Q Subject to check?	22 Q Does, say, the variability in power costs in 2021
23	A Yeah. Subject to check.	23 affect the variability of power costs in 2024?
24	Q So Avista, in revamping its methodology, has eliminated	24 A Under these conditions, yes.
25	the years where the error was biased towards	25 <b>Q How so?</b>

25

A It would be -- yes. They would -- that's correct.

Q So to the extent that you're not capturing those

Page 190 Page 192 offsetting factors, the ERM baseline would be set too 1 A Because the market has shifted. Primarily, like I 1 2 2 indicated, the region as a whole -- the resource high; correct? 3 3 acquisition of the region has changed dramatically. A Correct. 4 4 Q Sitting here now, can you tell the Commission what's We've gone from a sufficient excess, having excess 5 5 going to cause the variability between whatever's capacity energy in our region, to a shortage or even --6 or a neutral position, which -- because of that 6 embedded in rates and whatever's recovered through the 7 7 ERM? situation -- has greatly increased the power prices in 8 8 A Not with complete certainty. But I -- we -- but I can the region. 9 9 And so, that's something that we've seen since say that, again, with the current market conditions 10 '21, 2022, which is a change that we've seen in the 10 that we've seen for the last a couple of years and 11 market. And so, we were trying to capture that and 11 understanding that it's going to take a significant 12 12 trying to accurately set our power supply expense. amount of build by all utilities in the region to 13 13 Q And I think I understand that. I think my question is change the situation from a resource adequacy 14 more -- is there a causative link between variability 14 perspective. 15 and power costs in 2021 and variability and power costs 15 So it's similar to what we, I think, last observed 16 16 in 2024? I understand that you're testifying that it with the energy crisis in the early 2000s. And that 17 reflects a different market. But what happened in 2021 17 took several years for the region to change its 18 doesn't affect power costs in 2024; correct? 18 position to where it was surplus again and people could 19 A Not all of it. But there are some components that do 19 rely on the market. And so, we're in a similar situation now where it's -- this -- these conditions 20 still have an impact when it comes to, again, the 20 21 21 position of the region as a whole. are going to exist for quite a while until we 22 Q Okay. Every year Avista's incurring new costs; 22 collectively build enough resources to change the 23 23 correct? current conditions. 24 Q Okay. Circling back to the known and measurable 24 A That's correct. 25 Q And maybe buying from different suppliers, different 25 standard, I guess Avista is calculating the portfolio Page 193 Page 191 parties, those kinds of things; correct? 1 1 forecast error adjustment as 29 million; correct? 2 A That's correct. 2 A Correct. 3 Q So if you're changing your procurement and you want to 3 Q You don't have receipts in hand for a \$29 million 4 expenditure that you haven't incurred yet; correct? 4 capture offsetting factors, don't you need to look at 5 5 A For future year? rate year events to do that? 6 Q For power costs variability. 6 A Well, we capture the offsets in our annual ERM review. 7 A That's correct. 7 Q Yes. And I'm worried less about that right now than 8 Q Or signed contracts that reflect power costs 8 the baseline that's being embedded in rates. 9 variability of \$29 million? 9 A Can you repeat your question. 10 A Not specific, no. 10 Q How does looking at past procurement capture future 11 Q Ledger entries? 11 offsets if you're buying from new suppliers, buying new 12 Α No. 12 quantities, those kinds of things? 13 Q Do you have any documents that would prove that your 13 A Well, I mean, I guess as we get into new years, there rate year power cost variability is going to be 14 14 are new opportunities. But one of the other things 15 \$29 million other than the documents that went into 15 that we are observing is the lack of liquidity in the 16 calculating the adjustment proposed here? 16 market and the -- be able -- the ability to find 17 A No 17 partners to transact in in the current situation. 18 Q I just have one more topic, actually. It turns out I 18 Q I understand that. I'm just not sure how that matters 19 was wildly pessimistic with my cross estimates. 19 to the question of offsets. 20 At the very bottom of your testimony -- I believe 20 A Well, we will pick up offsets, of course, in the rate 21 it's on page 30, but we've already established that I'm 21 years. 22 not very good at that. I'm hoping --22 Q Which would be captured in the ERM, but not in the 23 A What page again? setting of the baseline here; correct? 23

24

25

Q -- page 30.

A Okay. I'm there.

	Page 194		Page 196
1	Q And one of the arguments you make against incorporating	1	A Just a second.
2	CCA costs into dispatch logic is that it would export	2	Q Sure.
3	the CCA into Idaho; correct?	3	A I'm there.
4	A That's correct.	4	Q And now I need to make sure I'm there.
5	Q Avista operates in both territories?	5	If you could turn to page 2 of that document.
6	A That's correct.	6	A I'm there.
7	Q Does Idaho have a PUC?	7	Q At page or line 11, Mr. Gomez, who was the Staff
8	A Yes, they do.	8	witness in that matter Mr. Johnson summarizes what
9	Q Is it the Idaho PUC?	9	Mr. Gomez was asking for. And you'll see that, on
10	A Yes, it is.	10	line 14, he says "he," meaning Mr. Gomez, contends
11	Q Does it have similar power over rates as this	11	that "Since the company's actual power supply expenses
12	commission has?	12	have been lower than authorized level included in base
13	A Yes, it does.	13	rates in five of the last six years, there must be some
14	Q So it would be citing rates that it would deem fair,	14	inherent or intentional bias in the company's power
15	just, reasonable, and sufficient?	15	cast" "forecasting methodology that consistently
16	A That's correct.	16	overstates power costs," and that "this has harmed
17	Q Is it possible that it could disallow those costs or	17	customers and unduly benefited the company."
18	impute revenues to Avista that would eliminate any	18	Did I read this correctly?
19	exporting of the CCA?	19	A Yes.
20	A Can you restate that.	20	Q In this rate case, you are arguing that your power
21	Q Is it possible that the Idaho PUC could take action	21	forecasts consistently understate power costs and that
22	that would either disallow costs or impute revenue to	22	
	•	23	this has harmed the company and unduly benefited
23	Avista that would eliminate the effect of attempting to		customers; is that correct?
24	export the C CCA, as you put it? Sorry.	24	A Actually, what we're trying to do is bring in
25	A Yes.	25	methodology that could work either way depending on the
	Page 195		Page 197
1	Q I'm done. Thank you.	1	conditions.
2	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.	2	Q We'll get to your three years flexibility going
3	Public Counsel.	3	your way. But for right now, the last three years that
4	ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Thank you, Your	4	you've included, it's because you're forecasting
5	Honor.	5	understated power costs?
6		6	A That's correct.
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION	7	Q Okay. Let's look at Avista's position in 2017. If
8	BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL.	8	you'd turn to page 12 of Mr. Gomez's testimony.
9	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Kinney.	9	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Sorry. Mr. Gomez's
10	A Good afternoon.	10	testimony?
11	Q You started with Avista in 1999; is that correct?	11	ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: I'm sorry.
12	A That's correct.	12	Mr. Johnson's testimony.
13	Q And in 2013 you became the director of pub	13	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Thank you.
14	power supply?	14	ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: I apologize.
	A That's correct.	15	ATTY MEYER: What page number, please?
15		16	ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Page 12, line 9 is
15 16	Q And then in 2022, the VP of energy resources?		•
	<ul><li>Q And then in 2022, the VP of energy resources?</li><li>A That's correct.</li></ul>	17	what I'm interested in.
16	<del></del>		wnat I'm Interested In. THE WITNESS: Line 9, you said?
16 17	A That's correct.	17	
16 17 18	A That's correct.  Q Were you employed with Avista in 2017 when	17 18	THE WITNESS: Line 9, you said?
16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A That's correct.</li> <li>Q Were you employed with Avista in 2017 when</li> <li>William Johnson testified about power costs for Avista?</li> <li>A Yes, I was.</li> </ul>	17 18 19	THE WITNESS: Line 9, you said? ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Mm-hmm. THE WITNESS: Is that the table?
16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A That's correct.</li> <li>Q Were you employed with Avista in 2017 when</li> <li>William Johnson testified about power costs for Avista?</li> <li>A Yes, I was.</li> </ul>	17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: Line 9, you said? ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Mm-hmm. THE WITNESS: Is that the table? ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: No. It's page 12
16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A That's correct.</li> <li>Q Were you employed with Avista in 2017 when William Johnson testified about power costs for Avista?</li> <li>A Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q What was your relationship with Mr. Johnson?</li> <li>A I was his manager.</li> </ul>	17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: Line 9, you said? ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Mm-hmm. THE WITNESS: Is that the table? ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: No. It's page 12 line 9 starts, "And, again, I want to emphasize"
16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A That's correct.</li> <li>Q Were you employed with Avista in 2017 when         William Johnson testified about power costs for Avista?         A Yes, I was.     </li> <li>Q What was your relationship with Mr. Johnson?</li> </ul>	17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Line 9, you said? ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Mm-hmm. THE WITNESS: Is that the table? ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: No. It's page 12

	Page 198	Page 200
1	ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Ah. In the PDF it's	interests of full transparency on the issue, Avista
2	page 13 to 17.	2 separated out this feature of the pro forma power
3	ATTY MEYER: Are you there	supply adjustment rather than attempt to integrate it
4	THE WITNESS: Where are you pointing me to?	4 within a complex Aurora model."
5	Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: It's below the table. Line	5 Did I read that correctly?
6	9 starts, "And, again"	6 A That's correct.
7	A On page 13?	7 Q Will you agree with me now that making a significant
8	ATTY MEYER: Yes.	change to power forecasting that would have a
9	THE WITNESS: Okay. Okay. I'm there.	9 65.8 million or \$29 million impact should never be
10	ATTY MEYER: Okay.	buried in the complex Aurora model?
11	Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Just to be really clear so	11 A It should not. Well, yes. Without some conversation
12	we're all on the same page, it reads, "And again, I	12 around that, yes.
13	want to emphasize that the methodology for rate case	13 Q All right. I want to talk a little bit about the 3
14	forecasted power costs was thoroughly vetted by all	versus 5 years. Have you done any kind of analysis of
15 16	parties in a prior rate case proceedings and approved by the Commission. They were not developed by Avista	how accurately the current version of the forecast models would predict variability if it was 5 years
17	without any scrutiny. Avista has not somehow changed	17 versus 3 years?
18	the methodology to work only in its favor."	18 A I have not.
19	Did I read that correctly?	19 Q How about 10 years?
20	A Yes.	20 A No.
21	Q Did you vet the forecast methodology with	21 Q How about 20 years?
22	Public Counsel prior to your filing in January?	22 A I have not, but I could I would defer to
23	A We did not. We did not have time to do so.	23 Witness Kalich. He might have something to say on
24	Q Did you vet the current version of that methodology	24 that.
25	with Public Counsel prior to your rebuttal testimony in	25 Q I suspect we will be talking to Mr. Kalich about this.
	,	
	Page 199	Page 201
1	August?	1 You could do one year too; right?
2	A We did not.	2 A Yes.
3	Q Did you vet it with Staff?	3 Q It would be rational to assume that volatility is
4	A We did not.	4 measured more accurately in terms of recent time versus
5	Q Did you vet it with any party in this matter?	5 over a longer period of time. Fair?
6	A We did not.	over a longer period of time. I all :
7		6 A That's fair.
	Q You put your heads together and you came up with a	
8	Q You put your heads together and you came up with a methodology that you liked, but you did not vet it;	6 A That's fair.
9	Q You put your heads together and you came up with a methodology that you liked, but you did not vet it; correct?	6 A That's fair. 7 <b>Q But you didn't do that?</b>
9 10	<ul> <li>Q You put your heads together and you came up with a methodology that you liked, but you did not vet it; correct?</li> <li>A That's not correct. We were when we were putting</li> </ul>	6 A That's fair. 7 Q But you didn't do that? 8 A We picked up a three-year average. 9 Q Right. Why three years? Why not six months? Why not six weeks? Why not three months as how you do for
9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q You put your heads together and you came up with a methodology that you liked, but you did not vet it; correct?</li> <li>A That's not correct. We were when we were putting our case together, we recognized that we had not been</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A That's fair.</li> <li>Q But you didn't do that?</li> <li>A We picked up a three-year average.</li> <li>Q Right. Why three years? Why not six months? Why not six weeks? Why not three months as how you do for forward cost?</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q You put your heads together and you came up with a methodology that you liked, but you did not vet it; correct?</li> <li>A That's not correct. We were when we were putting our case together, we recognized that we had not been able to adequately predict net power supply expense</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A That's fair.</li> <li>Q But you didn't do that?</li> <li>A We picked up a three-year average.</li> <li>Q Right. Why three years? Why not six months? Why not six weeks? Why not three months as how you do for forward cost?</li> <li>A Because of the variation we were seeing.</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q You put your heads together and you came up with a methodology that you liked, but you did not vet it; correct?</li> <li>A That's not correct. We were when we were putting our case together, we recognized that we had not been able to adequately predict net power supply expense baseline. And when we looked at the data, we</li> </ul>	A That's fair.  Representation of the variation we were seeing.  A That's fair.  But you didn't do that?  A We picked up a three-year average.  Right. Why three years? Why not six months? Why not six weeks? Why not three months as how you do for forward cost?  A Because of the variation we were seeing.  Now, this new methodology that you adjusted to
9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q You put your heads together and you came up with a methodology that you liked, but you did not vet it; correct?</li> <li>A That's not correct. We were when we were putting our case together, we recognized that we had not been able to adequately predict net power supply expense baseline. And when we looked at the data, we recognized that there was an opportunity to more</li> </ul>	A That's fair.  Representation of the variation we were seeing.  A That's fair.  But you didn't do that?  A We picked up a three-year average.  Right. Why three years? Why not six months? Why not six weeks? Why not three months as how you do for forward cost?  A Because of the variation we were seeing.  Now, this new methodology that you adjusted to three years you claim was a simpler method that
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q You put your heads together and you came up with a methodology that you liked, but you did not vet it; correct?</li> <li>A That's not correct. We were when we were putting our case together, we recognized that we had not been able to adequately predict net power supply expense baseline. And when we looked at the data, we recognized that there was an opportunity to more correctly set power supply expense. And that's why we</li> </ul>	A That's fair.  But you didn't do that?  Representation of the process of the variation we were seeing.  Now, this new methodology that you adjusted to three years you claim was a simpler method that accounts for offsetting factors?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q You put your heads together and you came up with a methodology that you liked, but you did not vet it; correct?</li> <li>A That's not correct. We were when we were putting our case together, we recognized that we had not been able to adequately predict net power supply expense baseline. And when we looked at the data, we recognized that there was an opportunity to more correctly set power supply expense. And that's why we included the portfolio in our methodology.</li> </ul>	A That's fair.  But you didn't do that?  A We picked up a three-year average.  Right. Why three years? Why not six months? Why not six weeks? Why not three months as how you do for forward cost?  A Because of the variation we were seeing.  Now, this new methodology that you adjusted to three years you claim was a simpler method that accounts for offsetting factors?  A Yes.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q You put your heads together and you came up with a methodology that you liked, but you did not vet it; correct?</li> <li>A That's not correct. We were when we were putting our case together, we recognized that we had not been able to adequately predict net power supply expense baseline. And when we looked at the data, we recognized that there was an opportunity to more correctly set power supply expense. And that's why we included the portfolio in our methodology.</li> <li>Q And in 2017 Staff proposed a methodology to more</li> </ul>	A That's fair.  But you didn't do that?  A We picked up a three-year average.  Right. Why three years? Why not six months? Why not six weeks? Why not three months as how you do for forward cost?  A Because of the variation we were seeing.  Now, this new methodology that you adjusted to three years you claim was a simpler method that accounts for offsetting factors?  A Yes.  We picked up a three-year average.  Now, three years? Why not six months? Why not six weeks? Why not six months? Why not six m
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q You put your heads together and you came up with a methodology that you liked, but you did not vet it; correct?</li> <li>A That's not correct. We were when we were putting our case together, we recognized that we had not been able to adequately predict net power supply expense baseline. And when we looked at the data, we recognized that there was an opportunity to more correctly set power supply expense. And that's why we included the portfolio in our methodology.</li> <li>Q And in 2017 Staff proposed a methodology to more accurately forecast cost. And you complained that it</li> </ul>	A That's fair.  But you didn't do that?  A We picked up a three-year average.  Right. Why three years? Why not six months? Why not six weeks? Why not three months as how you do for forward cost?  A Because of the variation we were seeing.  Now, this new methodology that you adjusted to three years you claim was a simpler method that accounts for offsetting factors?  A Yes.  What were the offsetting factors in 2023?  A I don't have them. I can't say that I could state
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q You put your heads together and you came up with a methodology that you liked, but you did not vet it; correct?</li> <li>A That's not correct. We were when we were putting our case together, we recognized that we had not been able to adequately predict net power supply expense baseline. And when we looked at the data, we recognized that there was an opportunity to more correctly set power supply expense. And that's why we included the portfolio in our methodology.</li> <li>Q And in 2017 Staff proposed a methodology to more accurately forecast cost. And you complained that it hadn't that it wasn't vetted, unlike the methodology</li> </ul>	A That's fair.  But you didn't do that?  A We picked up a three-year average.  Right. Why three years? Why not six months? Why not six weeks? Why not three months as how you do for forward cost?  A Because of the variation we were seeing.  Now, this new methodology that you adjusted to three years you claim was a simpler method that accounts for offsetting factors?  A Yes.  What were the offsetting factors in 2023?  A I don't have them. I can't say that I could state them. Again, I think Mr. Kalich might be able to in
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q You put your heads together and you came up with a methodology that you liked, but you did not vet it; correct?</li> <li>A That's not correct. We were when we were putting our case together, we recognized that we had not been able to adequately predict net power supply expense baseline. And when we looked at the data, we recognized that there was an opportunity to more correctly set power supply expense. And that's why we included the portfolio in our methodology.</li> <li>Q And in 2017 Staff proposed a methodology to more accurately forecast cost. And you complained that it</li> </ul>	A That's fair.  But you didn't do that?  A We picked up a three-year average.  Right. Why three years? Why not six months? Why not six weeks? Why not three months as how you do for forward cost?  A Because of the variation we were seeing.  Now, this new methodology that you adjusted to three years you claim was a simpler method that accounts for offsetting factors?  A Yes.  What were the offsetting factors in 2023?  A I don't have them. I can't say that I could state them. Again, I think Mr. Kalich might be able to in more appropriately answer that question.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q You put your heads together and you came up with a methodology that you liked, but you did not vet it; correct?</li> <li>A That's not correct. We were when we were putting our case together, we recognized that we had not been able to adequately predict net power supply expense baseline. And when we looked at the data, we recognized that there was an opportunity to more correctly set power supply expense. And that's why we included the portfolio in our methodology.</li> <li>Q And in 2017 Staff proposed a methodology to more accurately forecast cost. And you complained that it hadn't that it wasn't vetted, unlike the methodology that everybody had agreed to; correct?</li> </ul>	A That's fair.  But you didn't do that?  A We picked up a three-year average.  Right. Why three years? Why not six months? Why not six weeks? Why not three months as how you do for forward cost?  A Because of the variation we were seeing.  Now, this new methodology that you adjusted to three years you claim was a simpler method that accounts for offsetting factors?  A Yes.  What were the offsetting factors in 2023?  A I don't have them. I can't say that I could state them. Again, I think Mr. Kalich might be able to in more appropriately answer that question.  What were the offsetting factors in 2022?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q You put your heads together and you came up with a methodology that you liked, but you did not vet it; correct?</li> <li>A That's not correct. We were when we were putting our case together, we recognized that we had not been able to adequately predict net power supply expense baseline. And when we looked at the data, we recognized that there was an opportunity to more correctly set power supply expense. And that's why we included the portfolio in our methodology.</li> <li>Q And in 2017 Staff proposed a methodology to more accurately forecast cost. And you complained that it hadn't that it wasn't vetted, unlike the methodology that everybody had agreed to; correct?</li> <li>A That's correct.</li> </ul>	A That's fair.  But you didn't do that?  A We picked up a three-year average.  Right. Why three years? Why not six months? Why not six weeks? Why not three months as how you do for forward cost?  A Because of the variation we were seeing.  Now, this new methodology that you adjusted to three years you claim was a simpler method that accounts for offsetting factors?  A Yes.  What were the offsetting factors in 2023?  A I don't have them. I can't say that I could state them. Again, I think Mr. Kalich might be able to in more appropriately answer that question.  What were the offsetting factors in 2022?  A Same response.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q You put your heads together and you came up with a methodology that you liked, but you did not vet it; correct?</li> <li>A That's not correct. We were when we were putting our case together, we recognized that we had not been able to adequately predict net power supply expense baseline. And when we looked at the data, we recognized that there was an opportunity to more correctly set power supply expense. And that's why we included the portfolio in our methodology.</li> <li>Q And in 2017 Staff proposed a methodology to more accurately forecast cost. And you complained that it hadn't that it wasn't vetted, unlike the methodology that everybody had agreed to; correct?</li> <li>A That's correct.</li> <li>Q Let's turn now to your rebuttal testimony, page 8 of</li> </ul>	A That's fair.  But you didn't do that?  A We picked up a three-year average.  Right. Why three years? Why not six months? Why not six weeks? Why not three months as how you do for forward cost?  A Because of the variation we were seeing.  Now, this new methodology that you adjusted to three years you claim was a simpler method that accounts for offsetting factors?  A Yes.  What were the offsetting factors in 2023?  A I don't have them. I can't say that I could state them. Again, I think Mr. Kalich might be able to in more appropriately answer that question.  What were the offsetting factors in 2022?  A Same response.  What were the offsetting factors in 2021?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q You put your heads together and you came up with a methodology that you liked, but you did not vet it; correct?</li> <li>A That's not correct. We were when we were putting our case together, we recognized that we had not been able to adequately predict net power supply expense baseline. And when we looked at the data, we recognized that there was an opportunity to more correctly set power supply expense. And that's why we included the portfolio in our methodology.</li> <li>Q And in 2017 Staff proposed a methodology to more accurately forecast cost. And you complained that it hadn't that it wasn't vetted, unlike the methodology that everybody had agreed to; correct?</li> <li>A That's correct.</li> <li>Q Let's turn now to your rebuttal testimony, page 8 of your rebuttal testimony.</li> </ul>	A That's fair.  But you didn't do that?  A We picked up a three-year average.  Right. Why three years? Why not six months? Why not six weeks? Why not three months as how you do for forward cost?  A Because of the variation we were seeing.  Now, this new methodology that you adjusted to three years you claim was a simpler method that accounts for offsetting factors?  A Yes.  What were the offsetting factors in 2023?  A I don't have them. I can't say that I could state them. Again, I think Mr. Kalich might be able to in more appropriately answer that question.  What were the offsetting factors in 2022?  A Same response.  What were the offsetting factors in 2021?  A I mean it's the things that we would have evaluated in
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q You put your heads together and you came up with a methodology that you liked, but you did not vet it; correct?</li> <li>A That's not correct. We were when we were putting our case together, we recognized that we had not been able to adequately predict net power supply expense baseline. And when we looked at the data, we recognized that there was an opportunity to more correctly set power supply expense. And that's why we included the portfolio in our methodology.</li> <li>Q And in 2017 Staff proposed a methodology to more accurately forecast cost. And you complained that it hadn't that it wasn't vetted, unlike the methodology that everybody had agreed to; correct?</li> <li>A That's correct.</li> <li>Q Let's turn now to your rebuttal testimony, page 8 of your rebuttal testimony.</li> <li>A I'm there.</li> </ul>	A That's fair.  Record Right. Why three years? Why not six months? Why not six weeks? Why not three months as how you do for forward cost?  A Because of the variation we were seeing.  Now, this new methodology that you adjusted to three years you claim was a simpler method that accounts for offsetting factors?  A Yes.  What were the offsetting factors in 2023?  A I don't have them. I can't say that I could state them. Again, I think Mr. Kalich might be able to in more appropriately answer that question.  What were the offsetting factors in 2022?  A Same response.  What were the offsetting factors in 2021?

Page 202 Page 204 1 Q How do you -- how does the averaging of the three 1 clear that the majority of Avista's energy cost 2 factors which you don't know lead to a more accurate 2 variations are due to fluctuations in continental 3 power forecast? 3 commodities in markets -- particularly natural gas 4 4 A We receive a change in the ability -- or, actually, the prices and natural gas basis spreads -- which have a 5 5 ability to, we feel, accurately predict our net power downstream impact on electricity market prices. 6 supply expense. And so, we were looking for an 6 "It is notable that the ERM resulted in 7 7 opportunity to better -- to better set our baseline under-forecasts of Avista's energy costs during the 8 rates. And so, that's why we brought in this 8 years in which natural gas prices were generally rising 9 9 adjustment that really is an adjustment to inputs and over-forecasts during the years in which natural 10 because we weren't getting accuracy to set the 10 gas prices were generally falling." 11 baseline. 11 Did I read that correctly? 12 12 Q If you could turn to -- it's Exhibit 20X, Attachment B. A Yes. Was that accurate? 13 It was our original 2A, if that --13 Q A I'm there. 14 14 Α Yes. 15 Q This was a -- after the 2017 rate case, it's true that 15 Q So in 2020 you were aware of your issue with -- or 16 Avista then held a series of workshops about improving 16 exposure to natural gas basis spreads; correct? 17 its power cost forecasts; correct? 17 18 18 Q That's the implied heat market rate that you talk about A That's correct. 19 Q One of the things that you did in response to that was 19 in your testimony; correct? 20 20 to consult or have a consultant review to determine if A Well, yes. I mean, the implied market heat rate is 21 there was any bias in the power forecasting; correct? 21 comparison -- to be your power price to your gas price. 22 A That's correct. 22 Q Right. That's what they're talking about? Natural gas 23 23 Q And that company was -- that produced this is -- this basis spreads? 24 Attachment B, that's their report; correct? 24 A Yes. 25 25 Okay. And the idea behind this is -- you sell a whole A That's correct. Page 203 Page 205 Q E3, I think they call themselves. bunch of gas-generated power, and if the price of 1 1 2 2 I want to turn to page 3 of that document, natural gas goes up and brings the price close to the 3 paragraph 3A. 3 costs or the electricity price, you don't make as much 4 4 money. But if there's a big spread, you make a lot of ATTY MEYER: Again, which exhibits, please? 5 5 ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: This is SJK-20X, money? 6 6 Attachment B. A Yeah. We sell, on behalf of our customers, our excess 7 7 energy, yes, to monetize that value. ATTY MEYER: Thank you. 8 8 THE WITNESS: I'm there. Q That was known in 2020? 9 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So, Counsel, just to 9 The prices that were in 2020 were known, yes. 10 10 Q But you knew that the natural gas basis spreads were a clarify, the document I have refers to it as 11 factor in why your forecasts were high or low? 11 Attachment A. 12 ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Yes. And the --12 ATTY MEYER: Do you understand the question? 13 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: That's the E3 report. 13 THE WITNESS: I don't. 14 14 Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: E3 pointed out that the --Is that what we're looking at? 15 15 ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Yes. It is natural gas's base spreads, which have a downstream 16 Attachment A. But on the exhibit list that we got 16 impact, were particularly critical to your 17 17 over-forecasting and under-forecasting such that, when from --18 18 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Just wanting to natural gas prices were generally rising, you 19 19 clarify. Okay. Thank you. under-forecast. And when you -- natural gas prices 20 Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: And this was -- this report 20 were generally falling, you over-forecast; correct? 21 21 was issued in part of -- as part of that workshop A Correct. 22 between 2017 and 2020? 22 Q Did you adjust your power cost projections of future 23 23 natural gas thermal value in 2020 after E3 pointed out A That's correct. 24 Q Paragraph A -- 3A, it says, "While E3 was unable to 24 this -- this vulnerability? 25 verify all of Avista's calculations, it is nonetheless 25 A We didn't -- we didn't adjust. We modeled based on the

	Page 206		Page 208
1	forward market prices.	1	would help you? You would make more money on your
2	Q Let's turn back to your rebuttal testimony on page 5.	2	sales; correct?
3	Here you begin a list. It goes through this it's	3	A Yes. On behalf of our customers.
4	next page of six factors that underpin your need for a	4	Q Your problem is you're having difficulty forecasting
5	forecast error adjustment; correct?	5	how much money you're going to make in the future;
6	A Correct.	6	correct?
7	Q The first factor is market dynamics. The fundamentals,	7	A It's not a forecast of what the difficulty we're
8	you said, have changed; correct?	8	seeing is the power prices that we model. And our
9	A Correct.	9	general rate case has a premium built into it for a lot
10	Q And that's because of availability of lack of	10	of different reasons that I've laid out in my rebuttal
11	availability of longer-term bilateral trades. What do	11	testimony. And then, when we actually get to the
12	you mean by "longer-term" here, incidentally?	12	prompt months where we can actually act on our position
13	A Beyond typically, longer term is beyond 12 months.	13	and sell in the market, it's at a lower price than what
14	Q And it's true that you also mentioned that this part	14	was than what we had modeled. And we are having
15	of the market fundamentals is resources adequacy in the	15	difficulties finding partners to trade with.
16	Pacific Northwest; correct?	16	Q I will accept that you can't control future gas prices
17	A That's correct.	17	and electricity prices, probably, as well. You can
18	Q Is it your testimony then that your exposure to this	18	control your forecasting; correct?
19	market dynamic is going to last as long as utilities	19	A Well, our forecasts are based on forward market prices.
20	don't create new generation?	20	Q I know. But you're the one that makes the forecast;
21	A That's correct.	21	correct? Avista you have 100 percent control over
22	Q How long is it going to take utilities to create new	22	what you put into your forecast?
23	generation?	23	A We contract for those forward prices. Somebody else
24	A That's something that I can't answer.	24	does the analysis for us.
25	Q What's the horizon?	25	Q But you have 100 percent control over the inputs that
	Page 207		Page 209
1	A I would say for a long period of time.	1	go into that modeling?
2	Q Okay. Now, the second point you mentioned here is the	2	A Not on the forward power prices. We do when we set our
3	forward premium in the implied heat rate market heat	3	net power supply expense through our methodology, but
4	rate; correct?	4	not we don't calculate the forward price.
5	A Correct.	5	Q Right. Someone you pay someone to do it for you?
6	Q And that's, as you indicated earlier, the distinction	6	A That's correct.
7	between the difference between natural gas and	7	Q And then you input it?
8	electricity prices; correct?	8	A Yes.
9	A Correct.	9	Q And you do three months average?
10	Q Now, in sub 3, you say the value of your thermal	10	A Yes. According to our methodology.
11	feet fleet has increased tenfold from 15 million to	11	Q You could change it to six?
12	300 million; is that correct?	12	A But that's not what we had agreed to or what was
	A That's correct.	13	traditionally done.
13	Q How much of that is because of increased generation,	14	Q You could do it to nine months? You could change it?
14		15	A Yes, we could.
14 15	gas generation?		O Consequence and the land of the former to the
14 15 16	A It's not from increased. It's recognizing the value of	16	Q So you have control over what the inputs are into your
14 15 16 17	A It's not from increased. It's recognizing the value of our existing portfolio.	16 17	modeling; correct?
14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A It's not from increased. It's recognizing the value of our existing portfolio.</li> <li>Q Right. So the basically, the price of electricity</li> </ul>	16 17 18	modeling; correct?  A Yeah.
14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A It's not from increased. It's recognizing the value of our existing portfolio.</li> <li>Q Right. So the basically, the price of electricity has gone up?</li> </ul>	16 17 18 19	modeling; correct?  A Yeah.  Q And that's what needs to be changed here? Not the
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A It's not from increased. It's recognizing the value of our existing portfolio.</li> <li>Q Right. So the basically, the price of electricity has gone up?</li> <li>A Prices of electricity definitely has gone up, while the</li> </ul>	16 17 18 19 20	modeling; correct?  A Yeah.  Q And that's what needs to be changed here? Not the market, but your forecast?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A It's not from increased. It's recognizing the value of our existing portfolio.</li> <li>Q Right. So the basically, the price of electricity has gone up?</li> <li>A Prices of electricity definitely has gone up, while the price of gas has stayed more stable.</li> </ul>	16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>modeling; correct?</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q And that's what needs to be changed here? Not the market, but your forecast?</li> <li>A Again, it's a methodology that we use that we've</li> </ul>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A It's not from increased. It's recognizing the value of our existing portfolio.</li> <li>Q Right. So the basically, the price of electricity has gone up?</li> <li>A Prices of electricity definitely has gone up, while the price of gas has stayed more stable.</li> <li>Q Okay. Well, it's spiked, and now it's come back down?</li> </ul>	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>modeling; correct?</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q And that's what needs to be changed here? Not the market, but your forecast?</li> <li>A Again, it's a methodology that we use that we've that we have had this conversations with through our</li> </ul>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A It's not from increased. It's recognizing the value of our existing portfolio.</li> <li>Q Right. So the basically, the price of electricity has gone up?</li> <li>A Prices of electricity definitely has gone up, while the price of gas has stayed more stable.</li> <li>Q Okay. Well, it's spiked, and now it's come back down?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> </ul>	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>modeling; correct?</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q And that's what needs to be changed here? Not the market, but your forecast?</li> <li>A Again, it's a methodology that we use that we've that we have had this conversations with through our modeling workshops. And that's what set the 90-day.</li> </ul>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A It's not from increased. It's recognizing the value of our existing portfolio.</li> <li>Q Right. So the basically, the price of electricity has gone up?</li> <li>A Prices of electricity definitely has gone up, while the price of gas has stayed more stable.</li> <li>Q Okay. Well, it's spiked, and now it's come back down?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Now, ordinarily, you would expect, since you're selling</li> </ul>	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>modeling; correct?</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q And that's what needs to be changed here? Not the market, but your forecast?</li> <li>A Again, it's a methodology that we use that we've that we have had this conversations with through our modeling workshops. And that's what set the 90-day.</li> <li>Q And that was part of the vetting process that we</li> </ul>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A It's not from increased. It's recognizing the value of our existing portfolio.</li> <li>Q Right. So the basically, the price of electricity has gone up?</li> <li>A Prices of electricity definitely has gone up, while the price of gas has stayed more stable.</li> <li>Q Okay. Well, it's spiked, and now it's come back down?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> </ul>	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>modeling; correct?</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q And that's what needs to be changed here? Not the market, but your forecast?</li> <li>A Again, it's a methodology that we use that we've that we have had this conversations with through our modeling workshops. And that's what set the 90-day.</li> </ul>

21

22

23

24

25

A As of that time, that is no longer the case as we've

bad -- poor hydro situation.

going to be in 2025?

A We don't know.

worked our way through the summer months because of our

Q What are the hydro conditions and natural gas prices

WUTC v. Avista Corporation d/b/a Avista Utilities		Docket Nos. UE-240006 and UG-240007 (Consolidated) - Vol.
		Page 210 Page 212
1	A That's correct.	1 Q What are they going to be in 2026?
2	Q - 2007 to 2020? But you haven't done that	
3	A No. Because we did not have time.	idea of what the forward market prices are based on the
4	Q All right. Let's look at your original testimo	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
5	page 69. This is SJK-1T.	5 Q And generally, we're going to assume the prices are
6	A Page 69; is that correct? Okay. I'm there.	6 high because of the scarcity; correct?
7	Q In your original testimony, you identify that	
8	big-ticket items in 2022 and 2023 are differen	
9	witnessed approximately 35 percent run-up	·
10	prices, which could have helped you with his	•
11	revenues. However, because natural gas pr	
12	above 95 percent were about 95 percent a	
13	forecast, the relationship between electricity	
14	natural gas fell, dropping the value of our th	
15	fleet; correct?	15 future?
16	A Correct.	16 A It doesn't help predict. What it does is factor in
17	Q In 2023, the main driver was the poor hydro	
18	correct?	18 Q Let's answer the pertinent question. How does it
19	A That was, yes, one of the factors, yes.	19 predict future gas prices?
20	Q And natural gas prices actually fell 6 perce	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
21	forecast; correct?	21 Q How does it predict electricity prices?
22	A That's correct.	22 A It it doesn't predict them exactly. But what it
23	Q But electricity prices fell by double that am	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
24	that's why you had problems?	24 more recent trends to try to do a better job of setting
25	A Not in 2023.	our net power supply expense.
		Page 211 Page 213
1	Q Natural gas prices through	1 Q And how does it predict the market rate? The implied
2	A Oh, hang on. Sorry. Sorry. Didn't read all the v	
3	through that. Yes. So the heat rate collapsed on	
4	Q So in 2022 both prices went up, and the gap -	
5	rate was small. In 2023 both of them fell. But	5 <b>testimony</b>
6	because of the differential, the gap remained s	mall; 6 A Do you have the page number?
7	correct?	7 Q I'm looking that up right now. It's on page 60.
8	A Yeah. We lost the yes. In 2023, we had hydr	o 8 ATTY MEYER: Say that again. I'm sorry.
9	low hydro. And, again, the power prices fell; so the	e 9 Your direct testimony page what?
10	revenue that we could achieve from selling that e	xcess 10 ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: 60. Six, zero. It's
11	power was significantly reduced. That's 2023.	Illustration Number 7, the implied market heat rate.
12	Q In 2024 your natural gas prices were low agai	n; 12 ATTY MEYER: Thank you.
13	correct?	13 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm there.
14	A Yes, they were.	14 Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Is the information contained
15	Q And your hydro was bad?	in this chart accurate?
16	A Yes.	16 A To the best of my knowledge.
17	Q But in the second quarter earnings report, yo	ur ERM 17 Q And there are positive and negative deltas here.
18	differential between forecast and actual is suc	h that 18 A That's correct.
19	it's going to fall within the dead bands; correct	? Q What does the positive and negative refer to? I
0.0		00 distributions are formal booth in bot lithing its forms

think -- I was confused by this, but I think it's from

Q Okay. So you made a forecast that could calculate an

much the actuals differed from that? Or...

implied heat rate, and then this chart is measuring how

your forecast; is that right?

A This -- I believe that's the case.

20

21

22

23

24

	Page 214	Page 216
1	A I believe it's the it's the difference in the	1 conditions do not reflect the median conditions," end
2	actuals versus what was forecast based on the market	2 quote.
3	prices.	Now, he's talking about hydro forecast. Would you
4	Q Okay. So when it's positive, Avista made more money on	4 agree with me that you should be cautious in using the
5	its thermal fleet. When it's negative, they made less	5 short historical dataset?
6	money than projected; correct?	6 A I think it's something you have to consider, yes.
7	A Correct.	7 Q And your contention is there has been more volatility
8	Q In 2020 there is a negative 4,000 I'm not sure what	8 and that we aren't in the median time period but in a
9	the units are here, Btu's divided by kilowatt hours	9 whole new world; correct?
10	but negative 4,000 anyway?	10 A That's correct.
11	A Yes.	11 Q Does the adoption of the current version of the
12	Q And went all the way up to positive in 2021?	12 forecast adjustment assist you in better
13	A Correct.	predicting well, I'll strike that. I think I
14	Q Dropped in 2022?	14 already asked that question; so I'll move on.
15	A Yes.	Does the proposed ERM adjustment predict the
16	Q And then went back up in 2023?	volatility in the future years?
17	A Yes.	17 A No. It does not predict.
18	Q Do you know what 2024's implied delta is? Is it back	18 Q And the application of this adjustment is not going to
19	up? Or	address the root problem of acquiring new resources in
20	A I don't I do not know.	20 the coming years, is it?
21	Q And so you're does your forecast methodology capture	21 A Can you restate that.
22	or predict what the next development will be?	22 Q Sure. Adopting this ERM modification is not going to
23	A No.	23 incentivize the company to build more power plants?
24 25	Q I'm curious as to why we aren't in a power cast	24 A No. Their modifications, no. That's something we do 25 through our integrated resource plan.
23	power cost workshop with Avista right now trying to	25 through our integrated resource plan.
	Page 215	Page 217
1		
1 2	find variables that correlate with this problem, which	1 Q And are you acquiring any new resources this year in
		Q And are you acquiring any new resources this year in your integrated resource plan?
2	find variables that correlate with this problem, which is a known problem for Avista. Has been for a very	Q And are you acquiring any new resources this year in your integrated resource plan?
2	find variables that correlate with this problem, which is a known problem for Avista. Has been for a very long time. You knew about it in 2020 when E3 called it	Q And are you acquiring any new resources this year in your integrated resource plan?  A Not well, we are bringing on some additional
2 3 4	find variables that correlate with this problem, which is a known problem for Avista. Has been for a very long time. You knew about it in 2020 when E3 called it out.	Q And are you acquiring any new resources this year in your integrated resource plan?  Not well, we are bringing on some additional resources that we previously contracted for.
2 3 4 5	find variables that correlate with this problem, which is a known problem for Avista. Has been for a very long time. You knew about it in 2020 when E3 called it out.  Why isn't that the appropriate methodology for the	Q And are you acquiring any new resources this year in your integrated resource plan?  A Not well, we are bringing on some additional resources that we previously contracted for.  G If you turned to page 52 of your testimony, it's
2 3 4 5 6	find variables that correlate with this problem, which is a known problem for Avista. Has been for a very long time. You knew about it in 2020 when E3 called it out.  Why isn't that the appropriate methodology for the Commission to approach here, which is to actually talk	Q And are you acquiring any new resources this year in your integrated resource plan?  A Not well, we are bringing on some additional resources that we previously contracted for.  G If you turned to page 52 of your testimony, it's Illustration Number 5.
2 3 4 5 6 7	find variables that correlate with this problem, which is a known problem for Avista. Has been for a very long time. You knew about it in 2020 when E3 called it out.  Why isn't that the appropriate methodology for the Commission to approach here, which is to actually talk about variables and predict and how predictive they	Q And are you acquiring any new resources this year in your integrated resource plan?  A Not well, we are bringing on some additional resources that we previously contracted for.  Q If you turned to page 52 of your testimony, it's Illustration Number 5.  A I'm there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	find variables that correlate with this problem, which is a known problem for Avista. Has been for a very long time. You knew about it in 2020 when E3 called it out.  Why isn't that the appropriate methodology for the Commission to approach here, which is to actually talk about variables and predict and how predictive they are?	Q And are you acquiring any new resources this year in your integrated resource plan?  A Not well, we are bringing on some additional resources that we previously contracted for.  Q If you turned to page 52 of your testimony, it's Illustration Number 5.  A I'm there.  Q This shows the deltas the ERM deltas from 2003 to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	find variables that correlate with this problem, which is a known problem for Avista. Has been for a very long time. You knew about it in 2020 when E3 called it out.  Why isn't that the appropriate methodology for the Commission to approach here, which is to actually talk about variables and predict and how predictive they are?  A We've suggested that in rebuttal.	Q And are you acquiring any new resources this year in your integrated resource plan?  A Not well, we are bringing on some additional resources that we previously contracted for.  Q If you turned to page 52 of your testimony, it's illustration Number 5.  A I'm there.  Q This shows the deltas the ERM deltas from 2003 to 2022; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	find variables that correlate with this problem, which is a known problem for Avista. Has been for a very long time. You knew about it in 2020 when E3 called it out.  Why isn't that the appropriate methodology for the Commission to approach here, which is to actually talk about variables and predict and how predictive they are?  A We've suggested that in rebuttal.  But you also want them this commission to put the thumb on the scale so that  ATTY MEYER: Object to the form of the	Q And are you acquiring any new resources this year in your integrated resource plan?  A Not well, we are bringing on some additional resources that we previously contracted for.  G If you turned to page 52 of your testimony, it's Illustration Number 5.  A I'm there.  G This shows the deltas the ERM deltas from 2003 to 2022; correct?  A Correct.  A Correct.  A And these are the things you're averaging in your current methodology; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	find variables that correlate with this problem, which is a known problem for Avista. Has been for a very long time. You knew about it in 2020 when E3 called it out.  Why isn't that the appropriate methodology for the Commission to approach here, which is to actually talk about variables and predict and how predictive they are?  A We've suggested that in rebuttal.  But you also want them this commission to put the thumb on the scale so that  ATTY MEYER: Object to the form of the question. That's argumentative. We're doing no such	Q And are you acquiring any new resources this year in your integrated resource plan?  A Not well, we are bringing on some additional resources that we previously contracted for.  If you turned to page 52 of your testimony, it's Illustration Number 5.  I'm there.  Chis shows the deltas the ERM deltas from 2003 to 2022; correct?  A Correct.  And these are the things you're averaging in your current methodology; correct?  A That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	find variables that correlate with this problem, which is a known problem for Avista. Has been for a very long time. You knew about it in 2020 when E3 called it out.  Why isn't that the appropriate methodology for the Commission to approach here, which is to actually talk about variables and predict and how predictive they are?  A We've suggested that in rebuttal.  Q But you also want them this commission to put the thumb on the scale so that  ATTY MEYER: Object to the form of the question. That's argumentative. We're doing no such thing. There's no thumb on the scale.	Q And are you acquiring any new resources this year in your integrated resource plan?  A Not well, we are bringing on some additional resources that we previously contracted for.  If you turned to page 52 of your testimony, it's illustration Number 5.  I'm there.  Q This shows the deltas the ERM deltas from 2003 to 2022; correct?  A Correct.  A Correct.  A Correct.  A That's correct.  A That's correct.  A And you'll see that, early on in 2003 to 2008, there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	find variables that correlate with this problem, which is a known problem for Avista. Has been for a very long time. You knew about it in 2020 when E3 called it out.  Why isn't that the appropriate methodology for the Commission to approach here, which is to actually talk about variables and predict and how predictive they are?  A We've suggested that in rebuttal.  But you also want them this commission to put the thumb on the scale so that  ATTY MEYER: Object to the form of the question. That's argumentative. We're doing no such thing. There's no thumb on the scale.  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: I'll withdraw that	Q And are you acquiring any new resources this year in your integrated resource plan?  A Not well, we are bringing on some additional resources that we previously contracted for.  Q If you turned to page 52 of your testimony, it's illustration Number 5.  A I'm there.  Q This shows the deltas the ERM deltas from 2003 to 2022; correct?  A Correct.  Q And these are the things you're averaging in your current methodology; correct?  A That's correct.  A That's correct.  A And you'll see that, early on in 2003 to 2008, there were over-collections I'm sorry
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	find variables that correlate with this problem, which is a known problem for Avista. Has been for a very long time. You knew about it in 2020 when E3 called it out.  Why isn't that the appropriate methodology for the Commission to approach here, which is to actually talk about variables and predict and how predictive they are?  A We've suggested that in rebuttal.  But you also want them this commission to put the thumb on the scale so that  ATTY MEYER: Object to the form of the question. That's argumentative. We're doing no such thing. There's no thumb on the scale.  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: I'll withdraw that and ask a new question.	Q And are you acquiring any new resources this year in your integrated resource plan?  A Not well, we are bringing on some additional resources that we previously contracted for.  Q If you turned to page 52 of your testimony, it's illustration Number 5.  I'm there.  Q This shows the deltas the ERM deltas from 2003 to 2022; correct?  A Correct.  Q And these are the things you're averaging in your current methodology; correct?  A That's correct.  A That's correct.  And you'll see that, early on in 2003 to 2008, there were over-collections I'm sorry under-collections. You needed surcharges?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	find variables that correlate with this problem, which is a known problem for Avista. Has been for a very long time. You knew about it in 2020 when E3 called it out.  Why isn't that the appropriate methodology for the Commission to approach here, which is to actually talk about variables and predict and how predictive they are?  A We've suggested that in rebuttal.  But you also want them this commission to put the thumb on the scale so that  ATTY MEYER: Object to the form of the question. That's argumentative. We're doing no such thing. There's no thumb on the scale.  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: I'll withdraw that and ask a new question.	Q And are you acquiring any new resources this year in your integrated resource plan?  A Not well, we are bringing on some additional resources that we previously contracted for.  Q If you turned to page 52 of your testimony, it's illustration Number 5.  A I'm there.  Q This shows the deltas the ERM deltas from 2003 to 2022; correct?  A Correct.  Q And these are the things you're averaging in your current methodology; correct?  A That's correct.  A That's correct.  A And you'll see that, early on in 2003 to 2008, there were over-collections I'm sorry under-collections. You needed surcharges?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	find variables that correlate with this problem, which is a known problem for Avista. Has been for a very long time. You knew about it in 2020 when E3 called it out.  Why isn't that the appropriate methodology for the Commission to approach here, which is to actually talk about variables and predict and how predictive they are?  A We've suggested that in rebuttal.  But you also want them this commission to put the thumb on the scale so that  ATTY MEYER: Object to the form of the question. That's argumentative. We're doing no such thing. There's no thumb on the scale.  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: I'll withdraw that and ask a new question.  BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: You want them to adopt the \$29 million portfolio adjustment error while we do	Q And are you acquiring any new resources this year in your integrated resource plan?  A Not well, we are bringing on some additional resources that we previously contracted for.  Q If you turned to page 52 of your testimony, it's illustration Number 5.  A I'm there.  This shows the deltas the ERM deltas from 2003 to 2022; correct?  A Correct.  A Correct.  A That's correct.  A That's correct.  A That's correct.  A And you'll see that, early on in 2003 to 2008, there were over-collections I'm sorry under-collections. You needed surcharges?  A Correct.  C From 2011 until 2020, we needed refunds? Under
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	find variables that correlate with this problem, which is a known problem for Avista. Has been for a very long time. You knew about it in 2020 when E3 called it out.  Why isn't that the appropriate methodology for the Commission to approach here, which is to actually talk about variables and predict and how predictive they are?  A We've suggested that in rebuttal.  But you also want them this commission to put the thumb on the scale so that  ATTY MEYER: Object to the form of the question. That's argumentative. We're doing no such thing. There's no thumb on the scale.  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: I'll withdraw that and ask a new question.  BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: You want them to adopt the \$29 million portfolio adjustment error while we do that; correct?	Q And are you acquiring any new resources this year in your integrated resource plan?  A Not well, we are bringing on some additional resources that we previously contracted for.  If you turned to page 52 of your testimony, it's illustration Number 5.  I'm there.  This shows the deltas the ERM deltas from 2003 to 2022; correct?  A Correct.  And these are the things you're averaging in your current methodology; correct?  A That's correct.  And you'll see that, early on in 2003 to 2008, there were over-collections I'm sorry under-collections. You needed surcharges?  A Correct.  C From 2011 until 2020, we needed refunds? Under there were over-collections?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	find variables that correlate with this problem, which is a known problem for Avista. Has been for a very long time. You knew about it in 2020 when E3 called it out.  Why isn't that the appropriate methodology for the Commission to approach here, which is to actually talk about variables and predict and how predictive they are?  A We've suggested that in rebuttal.  But you also want them this commission to put the thumb on the scale so that  ATTY MEYER: Object to the form of the question. That's argumentative. We're doing no such thing. There's no thumb on the scale.  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: I'll withdraw that and ask a new question.  BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: You want them to adopt the \$29 million portfolio adjustment error while we do that; correct?  A Yes. To help better set the accuracy of our net's	Q And are you acquiring any new resources this year in your integrated resource plan?  A Not well, we are bringing on some additional resources that we previously contracted for.  Q If you turned to page 52 of your testimony, it's illustration Number 5.  A I'm there.  Q This shows the deltas the ERM deltas from 2003 to 2022; correct?  A Correct.  Q And these are the things you're averaging in your current methodology; correct?  A That's correct.  A That's correct.  Q And you'll see that, early on in 2003 to 2008, there were over-collections I'm sorry under-collections. You needed surcharges?  A Correct.  R From 2011 until 2020, we needed refunds? Under there were over-collections?  A Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	find variables that correlate with this problem, which is a known problem for Avista. Has been for a very long time. You knew about it in 2020 when E3 called it out.  Why isn't that the appropriate methodology for the Commission to approach here, which is to actually talk about variables and predict and how predictive they are?  A We've suggested that in rebuttal.  But you also want them this commission to put the thumb on the scale so that  ATTY MEYER: Object to the form of the question. That's argumentative. We're doing no such thing. There's no thumb on the scale.  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: I'll withdraw that and ask a new question.  BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: You want them to adopt the \$29 million portfolio adjustment error while we do that; correct?  A Yes. To help better set the accuracy of our net's power supply expense in our case.	Q And are you acquiring any new resources this year in your integrated resource plan?  A Not well, we are bringing on some additional resources that we previously contracted for.  Q If you turned to page 52 of your testimony, it's Illustration Number 5.  I'm there.  Q This shows the deltas the ERM deltas from 2003 to 2022; correct?  A Correct.  Q And these are the things you're averaging in your current methodology; correct?  A That's correct.  A That's correct.  A And you'll see that, early on in 2003 to 2008, there were over-collections I'm sorry under-collections. You needed surcharges?  A Correct.  C From 2011 until 2020, we needed refunds? Under there were over-collections?  A Correct.  A Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	find variables that correlate with this problem, which is a known problem for Avista. Has been for a very long time. You knew about it in 2020 when E3 called it out.  Why isn't that the appropriate methodology for the Commission to approach here, which is to actually talk about variables and predict and how predictive they are?  A We've suggested that in rebuttal.  But you also want them this commission to put the thumb on the scale so that  ATTY MEYER: Object to the form of the question. That's argumentative. We're doing no such thing. There's no thumb on the scale.  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: I'll withdraw that and ask a new question.  BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: You want them to adopt the \$29 million portfolio adjustment error while we do that; correct?  A Yes. To help better set the accuracy of our net's power supply expense in our case.  We'll get to Mr. Kalich in a moment. He testifies I	Q And are you acquiring any new resources this year in your integrated resource plan?  A Not well, we are bringing on some additional resources that we previously contracted for.  Q If you turned to page 52 of your testimony, it's illustration Number 5.  I'm there.  Q This shows the deltas the ERM deltas from 2003 to 2022; correct?  A Correct.  Q And these are the things you're averaging in your current methodology; correct?  A That's correct.  A That's correct.  A And you'll see that, early on in 2003 to 2008, there were over-collections I'm sorry under-collections. You needed surcharges?  A Correct.  G From 2011 until 2020, we needed refunds? Under there were over-collections?  A Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	find variables that correlate with this problem, which is a known problem for Avista. Has been for a very long time. You knew about it in 2020 when E3 called it out.  Why isn't that the appropriate methodology for the Commission to approach here, which is to actually talk about variables and predict and how predictive they are?  A We've suggested that in rebuttal.  But you also want them this commission to put the thumb on the scale so that  ATTY MEYER: Object to the form of the question. That's argumentative. We're doing no such thing. There's no thumb on the scale.  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: I'll withdraw that and ask a new question.  BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: You want them to adopt the \$29 million portfolio adjustment error while we do that; correct?  A Yes. To help better set the accuracy of our net's power supply expense in our case.  We'll get to Mr. Kalich in a moment. He testifies I want give you a chance as well. He testifies and	Q And are you acquiring any new resources this year in your integrated resource plan?  A Not well, we are bringing on some additional resources that we previously contracted for.  If you turned to page 52 of your testimony, it's Illustration Number 5.  I'm there.  I'm there.  Chis shows the deltas the ERM deltas from 2003 to 2022; correct?  A Correct.  A Correct.  And these are the things you're averaging in your current methodology; correct?  A That's correct.  And you'll see that, early on in 2003 to 2008, there were over-collections I'm sorry under-collections. You needed surcharges?  A Correct.  Chis Shows the deltas the ERM deltas from 2003 to 2003 to 2003 to 2004.  A Correct.  A That's correct?  A That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	find variables that correlate with this problem, which is a known problem for Avista. Has been for a very long time. You knew about it in 2020 when E3 called it out.  Why isn't that the appropriate methodology for the Commission to approach here, which is to actually talk about variables and predict and how predictive they are?  A We've suggested that in rebuttal.  But you also want them this commission to put the thumb on the scale so that  ATTY MEYER: Object to the form of the question. That's argumentative. We're doing no such thing. There's no thumb on the scale.  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: I'll withdraw that and ask a new question.  BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: You want them to adopt the \$29 million portfolio adjustment error while we do that; correct?  A Yes. To help better set the accuracy of our net's power supply expense in our case.  We'll get to Mr. Kalich in a moment. He testifies I	Q And are you acquiring any new resources this year in your integrated resource plan?  A Not well, we are bringing on some additional resources that we previously contracted for.  Q If you turned to page 52 of your testimony, it's illustration Number 5.  I'm there.  Q This shows the deltas the ERM deltas from 2003 to 2022; correct?  A Correct.  Q And these are the things you're averaging in your current methodology; correct?  A That's correct.  A That's correct.  A And you'll see that, early on in 2003 to 2008, there were over-collections I'm sorry under-collections. You needed surcharges?  A Correct.  G From 2011 until 2020, we needed refunds? Under there were over-collections?  A Correct.

Page 218 Page 220 1 three-year average. Have you gone and done an analysis 1 that you don't support that adjustment. And the 2 2 of how these numbers would have changed over time had reasons that you give there are that the adjustment for 3 3 we applied this three-year approach for knocking off COB transmission was not included in previous cases and 4 the -- I mean, the -- trying to smooth out the swings? 4 is not included in the agreed power supply methodology; 5 5 correct? A No, we have not. 6 Q Wouldn't you agree that it's important for us to know 6 A Correct. 7 7 to determine whether, in the future, should your model Q So I'm just trying to understand the principle or the 8 ever get its feet back under it, it would even out the 8 standard for inclusion in -- of changes for power cost 9 9 refunds as well? methodology. The company has said that it's not a 10 A Yeah. But I think the numbers speak for themselves. 10 basis for inclusion for Mr. Mullins's adjustment 11 And the conditions that drove these numbers are 11 because it wasn't included in previous cases and it's 12 12 different based on the conditions in the market. not included in the agreed power supply modeling 13 Q How much difference does it make? Do you know? If you 13 methodology. But you also just testified that neither 14 14 go back and backcast, are we talking about reallocating is a forecaster adjustment. 15 the same money in different places? Or what's the --15 A That's correct. But the magnitudes are significantly do you know? 16 16 different. 17 A I do not know. 17 Q And so, is it your testimony that, because it's a -- an 18 Q Thank you. That's all the questions I have. 18 adjustment that the company believes is in its -- would 19 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. I believe AWEC 19 increase power costs at a time where you find that 20 20 has some cross for this witness as well. there has been under-recovery of power costs -- there's 21 21 ATTY MOSER: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor. a risk of under-recovery -- that that's a basis for 22 22 inclusion of an adjustment; but if it goes the other 23 23 **CROSS-EXAMINATION** way, then it's not? 24 BY ATTY MOSER: 24 A Can you repeat the question. 25 25 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Kinney. How are you? Q Well, we've got two sides of the coin; right? The Page 219 Page 221 1 A Good. 1 company's forecaster adjustment is an increase to net 2 Q Good. I'm going to hope to keep things pretty brief 2 power costs; correct? 3 and build on some of the questions that you were asked 3 A Yes. 4 by Mr. Roberson and Mr. O'Neill. 4 Q And then, Mr. Mullins's would be a decrease to net 5 A Okav. 5 power costs? 6 Q So my first question for you -- I believe we reached an 6 A That's correct. 7 area of agreement or point of agreement that the 7 Q And so, are you saying that, because it's an increase 8 forecast error adjustment is a new adjustment in this 8 to net power costs at a time where the company is 9 proceeding? 9 concerned about under-recovery of power costs, that 10 A That's correct. 10 that is enough to overtake the standard that you've Q Okay. So it was not included in a previous case, and 11 11 articulated for rejecting Mr. Mullins's adjustment? 12 it was not included in the agreed power supply modeling 12 A Well, I believe that our response to this question was 13 methodology; correct? 13 we've -- that we were actually taking into 14 A It was not. 14 consideration, actually, this transmission revenue 15 Q Okay. Can I have you, please, turn to your rebuttal 15 through the modeling and the adjustment and the 16 testimony on page 30. 16 agreements that are made in the model. But I think 17 A Okay. I'm there. 17 that might be an oversight from what we actually used 18 Q Okay. And starting on line 7 is a Q&A where you're 18 to do when it comes to COB transmission, which I 19 responding to an adjustment made by Mr. Mullins; is 19 believe was a five-year average in previous cases. 20 that correct? 20 Q When you say "oversight," are you saying that this is 21 A That's correct. 21 now an adjustment that the company would accept? Or... 22 Q Okay. And it's related to transmission rates to the 22 A Yes. I think we'd be willing to do that. 23 California-Oregon border, or COB; correct? 23 Q Okay. No further questions. Thank you. 24 A That's correct. 24 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. 25 Q And then, if we move down to the next Q&A, you state 25 Any commissioner questions? Or -- sorry. Let's

Page 222 Page 224 Q Okay. And this three-year methodology on rebuttal made 1 move to redirect first. 1 2 2 ATTY MEYER: Yes, I do. Thank you. use of actual information; correct? 3 3 A That's correct. 4 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 4 Q In what sense it doesn't make use of actual 5 5 information? BY ATTY MEYER: 6 Q So let's return -- let's return to basics, here. 6 A It uses the actual ERM that has vetted through a 7 7 You were asked any number of questions that get process with the Commission and parties that captures, 8 to -- perhaps the same central question is -- how do we 8 basically, our actual net power supply expense versus 9 9 set the baseline of the ERM correctly? Do you what was modeled in our rate case. 10 understand that to be a central objective of what we're 10 Q And that vetting process through the ERM review carries 11 doing here? 11 with it the opportunity to audit contracts, receipts, 12 12 invoices, everything you could imagine; correct? 13 Q And why is that so important in this power supply 13 A Yes, it does. market? 14 Q So there is no wanted documentation backing that up as 14 15 A Because we can't -- the company doesn't have full 15 part of the ERM review process; correct? control of setting what is actually happening in the 16 16 A That's correct. 17 market. Again, it's a resource adequacy concern in the 17 Q Okay. Now, you've proposed power supply adjustments 18 18 that have been in your work with the company for years region that is driving a premium in the forward price 19 that has really escalated the value of our thermal 19 and for decades. Isn't it true that this commission 20 20 plants, which is actually a good thing for our has approved power supply adjustments, generally 21 21 customers. They get the benefit of that, but the stated? company is taking a lot of the risk of that revenue not 22 22 A Yes. 23 23 materializing. And that's what is -- we're seeing Q Okay. And those power supply adjustments are pro forma 24 that's actually happening. 24 in the sense that they make use of reasonable 25 Q So without doing something -- and that something in 25 assumptions; correct? Page 223 Page 225 this case was a portfolio adjustment -- how will that 1 1 A That's correct. 2 baseline as currently established accurately or more 2 Q And what are some of those reasonable assumptions that 3 accurately reflect changed market conditions? 3 are routinely incorporated into that pro forma 4 A Because it's factoring in what we've seen in the last 4 adjustment? 5 couple of years where we've seen a dramatic change in 5 A An average or median hydro year. Maintenance outages 6 the market itself. 6 of our generating facilities are based on an average. 7 Q So is it fair to say the company's attempting to do 7 Q What about three months of forward pricing? 8 something by way of an adjustment to get to a more 8 Α Yes. Yes. 9 accurate baseline? 9 What about weather-normalizing loads? 10 A Yes. That was the intent --10 A Yes. That is all built in into our methodology. 11 Okay. Q 11 Q Using power plant operating performance over some 12 A -- of the adjustment. 12 average period? Q And is it conversely -- have any of the other parties 13 13 suggested any fix or any approach that would more 14 14 Q So these are all assumptions that have been routinely 15 accurately set that baseline? 15 made as part of power supply adjustments? 16 A No, they have not. 16 A That's correct. 17 Q So if the -- you said the market conditions are 17 Q And yet that process has survived, if you will, 18 changing. Now, you chose to -- on rebuttal chose to go 18 challenges that -- it's not known and measurable. In 19 back and utilize a look back for three years -- not 19 fact, this commission has issued a policy statement 20 five, three years. Now you didn't -- did you pick 20 essentially allowing for those kind of pro forming 21 three because it benefited the company as opposed to 21 adjustments; correct? 22 22 A Yes. 23 A No. We were just trying to put together a methodology 23 Q All right. So in -- to argue that this adjustment --24 that we felt would more accurately produce a net power 24 this portfolio adjustment is somehow out of bounds 25 supply expense. 25 because it's not known and measurable, how is that

	Page 226		Page 228
1	different than what the Commission already does	1	peers with regards to how we the percentage of the
2	routinely?	2	dead band compared to net power supply expense for
3	A It is the known and measurable isn't any different	3	us compared to our peers, we're about one and a half to
4	than any of the other inputs into our power supply	4	two times greater exposure through the dead band in the
5	methodology. There's similar averages and similar	5	current construct of the ERM.
6	projections or forecasts that are used.	6	Q Thank you. That's all I have. Thank you. Appreciate
7	Q So in a nutshell, the portfolio adjustment is no more	7	your time.
8	nor less known and measurable than what we do otherwise	8	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.
9	in power supply adjusting?	9	Any questions from the bench?
10	A That's correct.	10	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I do have a few.
11	ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: That question is	11	Good afternoon.
12	leading.	12	THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.
13	Q BY ATTY MEYER: Next question	13	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So this may we've
14	JUDGE THOMPSON: Hold on, Counsel.	14	been talking about this issue of the this new
15	Public Counsel, can you please restate that.	15	forecast error issue in the context of the ERM and the
16	ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Yeah. The question	16	dead bands and the sharing bands.
17	is leading. That was an argument, not a question.	17	So as you just said, in addition to your proposal
18	JUDGE THOMPSON: Could you	18	to reduce the dead bands and the sharing bands on the
19	ATTY MEYER: I'm moving on, if that helps.	19	ERM, you've discussed this need to address the forecast
20	JUDGE THOMPSON: okay. Thank you.	20	error; correct?
21	ATTY MEYER: Okay.	21	THE WITNESS: Yes.
22	Q BY ATTY MEYER: So does Aurora modeling standing alone	22	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So in your
23	reflect anymore this market transformation?	23	rebuttal testimony, if you look at Exhibit 17T page 9,
24	A Not not very well. We're finding it more difficult	24	on lines 13 and 14, you state, "The ERM does not
25	that the Aurora model itself is able to produce the	25	capture forecast error; it simply allocates it through
	Page 227		Page 229
1	conditions that are currently in the market.	1	the sharing bands." Do you see that?
2	conditions that are currently in the market.  Q And does is there any inherent not inherent	2	the sharing bands." Do you see that?  THE WITNESS: Yes.
2	conditions that are currently in the market.  Q And does is there any inherent not inherent flaw but does the Aurora modeling serve to over	2 3	the sharing bands." Do you see that?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So does that mean
2 3 4	conditions that are currently in the market.  Q And does is there any inherent not inherent flaw but does the Aurora modeling serve to over state the value of thermal assets in this changing	2 3 4	the sharing bands." Do you see that?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So does that mean that, when you're reducing you're proposing to
2 3 4 5	conditions that are currently in the market.  Q And does is there any inherent not inherent flaw but does the Aurora modeling serve to over state the value of thermal assets in this changing environment?	2 3 4 5	the sharing bands." Do you see that?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So does that mean that, when you're reducing you're proposing to reduce the dead bands and the sharing bands of the ERM
2 3 4 5 6	conditions that are currently in the market.  Q And does is there any inherent not inherent flaw but does the Aurora modeling serve to over state the value of thermal assets in this changing environment?  A Yes, it does. Based on the inputs that it uses, the	2 3 4 5 6	the sharing bands." Do you see that?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So does that mean that, when you're reducing you're proposing to reduce the dead bands and the sharing bands of the ERM and recovering the forecast error on top of that,
2 3 4 5 6 7	conditions that are currently in the market.  Q And does is there any inherent not inherent flaw but does the Aurora modeling serve to over state the value of thermal assets in this changing environment?  A Yes, it does. Based on the inputs that it uses, the information that goes into the into the model	2 3 4 5 6 7	the sharing bands." Do you see that?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So does that mean that, when you're reducing you're proposing to reduce the dead bands and the sharing bands of the ERM and recovering the forecast error on top of that, you're essentially, what used to be a forecast error
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	conditions that are currently in the market.  Q And does is there any inherent not inherent flaw but does the Aurora modeling serve to over state the value of thermal assets in this changing environment?  A Yes, it does. Based on the inputs that it uses, the information that goes into the into the model that we're seeing that that's the case.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the sharing bands." Do you see that?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So does that mean that, when you're reducing you're proposing to reduce the dead bands and the sharing bands of the ERM and recovering the forecast error on top of that, you're essentially, what used to be a forecast error within the dead bands those dead bands are reduced,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	conditions that are currently in the market.  Q And does is there any inherent not inherent flaw but does the Aurora modeling serve to over state the value of thermal assets in this changing environment?  A Yes, it does. Based on the inputs that it uses, the information that goes into the into the model that we're seeing that that's the case.  Q So at the end of the day if we choose or I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the sharing bands." Do you see that?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So does that mean that, when you're reducing you're proposing to reduce the dead bands and the sharing bands of the ERM and recovering the forecast error on top of that, you're essentially, what used to be a forecast error within the dead bands those dead bands are reduced, and now you're adding something in addition on top of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	conditions that are currently in the market.  Q And does is there any inherent not inherent flaw but does the Aurora modeling serve to over state the value of thermal assets in this changing environment?  A Yes, it does. Based on the inputs that it uses, the information that goes into the into the model that we're seeing that that's the case.  Q So at the end of the day if we choose or I'm sorry if the Commission chooses to accept	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the sharing bands." Do you see that?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So does that mean that, when you're reducing you're proposing to reduce the dead bands and the sharing bands of the ERM and recovering the forecast error on top of that, you're essentially, what used to be a forecast error within the dead bands those dead bands are reduced, and now you're adding something in addition on top of that that that's almost having a double-effect?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	conditions that are currently in the market.  Q And does is there any inherent not inherent flaw but does the Aurora modeling serve to over state the value of thermal assets in this changing environment?  A Yes, it does. Based on the inputs that it uses, the information that goes into the into the model that we're seeing that that's the case.  Q So at the end of the day if we choose or I'm sorry if the Commission chooses to accept recommendations of the other parties and essentially do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the sharing bands." Do you see that?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So does that mean that, when you're reducing you're proposing to reduce the dead bands and the sharing bands of the ERM and recovering the forecast error on top of that, you're essentially, what used to be a forecast error within the dead bands those dead bands are reduced, and now you're adding something in addition on top of that that that's almost having a double-effect?  THE WITNESS: It can, depending on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	conditions that are currently in the market.  Q And does is there any inherent not inherent flaw but does the Aurora modeling serve to over state the value of thermal assets in this changing environment?  A Yes, it does. Based on the inputs that it uses, the information that goes into the into the model that we're seeing that that's the case.  Q So at the end of the day if we choose or I'm sorry if the Commission chooses to accept recommendations of the other parties and essentially do nothing about addressing transformations in the power	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the sharing bands." Do you see that?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So does that mean that, when you're reducing you're proposing to reduce the dead bands and the sharing bands of the ERM and recovering the forecast error on top of that, you're essentially, what used to be a forecast error within the dead bands those dead bands are reduced, and now you're adding something in addition on top of that that that's almost having a double-effect?  THE WITNESS: It can, depending on the situation. Again, we feel that it's important first to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	conditions that are currently in the market.  Q And does — is there any inherent — not inherent flaw — but does the Aurora modeling serve to over state the value of thermal assets in this changing environment?  A Yes, it does. Based on the inputs that it uses, the information that goes into the — into the model — that we're seeing that that's the case.  Q So at the end of the day if we choose — or I'm sorry — if the Commission chooses to accept recommendations of the other parties and essentially do nothing about addressing transformations in the power supply market, where does that leave the company with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the sharing bands." Do you see that?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So does that mean that, when you're reducing you're proposing to reduce the dead bands and the sharing bands of the ERM and recovering the forecast error on top of that, you're essentially, what used to be a forecast error within the dead bands those dead bands are reduced, and now you're adding something in addition on top of that that that's almost having a double-effect?  THE WITNESS: It can, depending on the situation. Again, we feel that it's important first to set the baseline, which is what we're trying to do with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	conditions that are currently in the market.  Q And does — is there any inherent — not inherent flaw — but does the Aurora modeling serve to over state the value of thermal assets in this changing environment?  A Yes, it does. Based on the inputs that it uses, the information that goes into the — into the model — that we're seeing that that's the case.  Q So at the end of the day if we choose — or I'm sorry — if the Commission chooses to accept recommendations of the other parties and essentially do nothing about addressing transformations in the power supply market, where does that leave the company with the ERM and the baseline in the ERM?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the sharing bands." Do you see that?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So does that mean that, when you're reducing you're proposing to reduce the dead bands and the sharing bands of the ERM and recovering the forecast error on top of that, you're essentially, what used to be a forecast error within the dead bands those dead bands are reduced, and now you're adding something in addition on top of that that that's almost having a double-effect?  THE WITNESS: It can, depending on the situation. Again, we feel that it's important first to set the baseline, which is what we're trying to do with our proposed adjustment.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	conditions that are currently in the market.  Q And does is there any inherent not inherent flaw but does the Aurora modeling serve to over state the value of thermal assets in this changing environment?  A Yes, it does. Based on the inputs that it uses, the information that goes into the into the model that we're seeing that that's the case.  Q So at the end of the day if we choose or I'm sorry if the Commission chooses to accept recommendations of the other parties and essentially do nothing about addressing transformations in the power supply market, where does that leave the company with the ERM and the baseline in the ERM?  A Well, what we've seen is that we will work our way	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the sharing bands." Do you see that?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So does that mean that, when you're reducing you're proposing to reduce the dead bands and the sharing bands of the ERM and recovering the forecast error on top of that, you're essentially, what used to be a forecast error within the dead bands those dead bands are reduced, and now you're adding something in addition on top of that that that's almost having a double-effect?  THE WITNESS: It can, depending on the situation. Again, we feel that it's important first to set the baseline, which is what we're trying to do with our proposed adjustment.  And then, beyond that, again, the ERM is set up to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	conditions that are currently in the market.  Q And does is there any inherent not inherent flaw but does the Aurora modeling serve to over state the value of thermal assets in this changing environment?  A Yes, it does. Based on the inputs that it uses, the information that goes into the into the model that we're seeing that that's the case.  Q So at the end of the day if we choose or I'm sorry if the Commission chooses to accept recommendations of the other parties and essentially do nothing about addressing transformations in the power supply market, where does that leave the company with the ERM and the baseline in the ERM?  A Well, what we've seen is that we will work our way through all of the dead bands and see significant risk	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the sharing bands." Do you see that?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So does that mean that, when you're reducing you're proposing to reduce the dead bands and the sharing bands of the ERM and recovering the forecast error on top of that, you're essentially, what used to be a forecast error within the dead bands those dead bands are reduced, and now you're adding something in addition on top of that that that's almost having a double-effect?  THE WITNESS: It can, depending on the situation. Again, we feel that it's important first to set the baseline, which is what we're trying to do with our proposed adjustment.  And then, beyond that, again, the ERM is set up to capture the difference between what actually happens
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	conditions that are currently in the market.  Q And does is there any inherent not inherent flaw but does the Aurora modeling serve to over state the value of thermal assets in this changing environment?  A Yes, it does. Based on the inputs that it uses, the information that goes into the into the model that we're seeing that that's the case.  Q So at the end of the day if we choose or I'm sorry if the Commission chooses to accept recommendations of the other parties and essentially do nothing about addressing transformations in the power supply market, where does that leave the company with the ERM and the baseline in the ERM?  A Well, what we've seen is that we will work our way through all of the dead bands and see significant risk and impact to the company's revenue. And we don't see	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the sharing bands." Do you see that?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So does that mean that, when you're reducing you're proposing to reduce the dead bands and the sharing bands of the ERM and recovering the forecast error on top of that, you're essentially, what used to be a forecast error within the dead bands those dead bands are reduced, and now you're adding something in addition on top of that that that's almost having a double-effect?  THE WITNESS: It can, depending on the situation. Again, we feel that it's important first to set the baseline, which is what we're trying to do with our proposed adjustment.  And then, beyond that, again, the ERM is set up to capture the difference between what actually happens and what was in the forecast. But what we're seeing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	conditions that are currently in the market.  Q And does is there any inherent not inherent flaw but does the Aurora modeling serve to over state the value of thermal assets in this changing environment?  A Yes, it does. Based on the inputs that it uses, the information that goes into the into the model that we're seeing that that's the case.  Q So at the end of the day if we choose or I'm sorry if the Commission chooses to accept recommendations of the other parties and essentially do nothing about addressing transformations in the power supply market, where does that leave the company with the ERM and the baseline in the ERM?  A Well, what we've seen is that we will work our way through all of the dead bands and see significant risk and impact to the company's revenue. And we don't see it as a instead of incentivizing behavior, it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the sharing bands." Do you see that?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So does that mean that, when you're reducing you're proposing to reduce the dead bands and the sharing bands of the ERM and recovering the forecast error on top of that, you're essentially, what used to be a forecast error within the dead bands those dead bands are reduced, and now you're adding something in addition on top of that that that's almost having a double-effect?  THE WITNESS: It can, depending on the situation. Again, we feel that it's important first to set the baseline, which is what we're trying to do with our proposed adjustment.  And then, beyond that, again, the ERM is set up to capture the difference between what actually happens and what was in the forecast. But what we're seeing is, even with that adjustment, there's concern that we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	conditions that are currently in the market.  Q And does is there any inherent not inherent flaw but does the Aurora modeling serve to over state the value of thermal assets in this changing environment?  A Yes, it does. Based on the inputs that it uses, the information that goes into the into the model that we're seeing that that's the case.  Q So at the end of the day if we choose or I'm sorry if the Commission chooses to accept recommendations of the other parties and essentially do nothing about addressing transformations in the power supply market, where does that leave the company with the ERM and the baseline in the ERM?  A Well, what we've seen is that we will work our way through all of the dead bands and see significant risk and impact to the company's revenue. And we don't see it as a instead of incentivizing behavior, it's behavior that the company can no longer really control.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the sharing bands." Do you see that?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So does that mean that, when you're reducing you're proposing to reduce the dead bands and the sharing bands of the ERM and recovering the forecast error on top of that, you're essentially, what used to be a forecast error within the dead bands those dead bands are reduced, and now you're adding something in addition on top of that that that's almost having a double-effect?  THE WITNESS: It can, depending on the situation. Again, we feel that it's important first to set the baseline, which is what we're trying to do with our proposed adjustment.  And then, beyond that, again, the ERM is set up to capture the difference between what actually happens and what was in the forecast. But what we're seeing is, even with that adjustment, there's concern that we will still work through the current dead bands because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	conditions that are currently in the market.  Q And does is there any inherent not inherent flaw but does the Aurora modeling serve to over state the value of thermal assets in this changing environment?  A Yes, it does. Based on the inputs that it uses, the information that goes into the into the model that we're seeing that that's the case.  Q So at the end of the day if we choose or I'm sorry if the Commission chooses to accept recommendations of the other parties and essentially do nothing about addressing transformations in the power supply market, where does that leave the company with the ERM and the baseline in the ERM?  A Well, what we've seen is that we will work our way through all of the dead bands and see significant risk and impact to the company's revenue. And we don't see it as a instead of incentivizing behavior, it's behavior that the company can no longer really control. It's outside of our control, and we will work we are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the sharing bands." Do you see that?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So does that mean that, when you're reducing you're proposing to reduce the dead bands and the sharing bands of the ERM and recovering the forecast error on top of that, you're essentially, what used to be a forecast error within the dead bands those dead bands are reduced, and now you're adding something in addition on top of that that that's almost having a double-effect?  THE WITNESS: It can, depending on the situation. Again, we feel that it's important first to set the baseline, which is what we're trying to do with our proposed adjustment.  And then, beyond that, again, the ERM is set up to capture the difference between what actually happens and what was in the forecast. But what we're seeing is, even with that adjustment, there's concern that we will still work through the current dead bands because of the situation in the market and the resource
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	conditions that are currently in the market.  Q And does is there any inherent not inherent flaw but does the Aurora modeling serve to over state the value of thermal assets in this changing environment?  A Yes, it does. Based on the inputs that it uses, the information that goes into the into the model that we're seeing that that's the case.  Q So at the end of the day if we choose or I'm sorry if the Commission chooses to accept recommendations of the other parties and essentially do nothing about addressing transformations in the power supply market, where does that leave the company with the ERM and the baseline in the ERM?  A Well, what we've seen is that we will work our way through all of the dead bands and see significant risk and impact to the company's revenue. And we don't see it as a instead of incentivizing behavior, it's behavior that the company can no longer really control. It's outside of our control, and we will work we are working through the dead bands, which is a significant	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the sharing bands." Do you see that?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So does that mean that, when you're reducing you're proposing to reduce the dead bands and the sharing bands of the ERM and recovering the forecast error on top of that, you're essentially, what used to be a forecast error within the dead bands those dead bands are reduced, and now you're adding something in addition on top of that that that's almost having a double-effect?  THE WITNESS: It can, depending on the situation. Again, we feel that it's important first to set the baseline, which is what we're trying to do with our proposed adjustment.  And then, beyond that, again, the ERM is set up to capture the difference between what actually happens and what was in the forecast. But what we're seeing is, even with that adjustment, there's concern that we will still work through the current dead bands because of the situation in the market and the resource adequacy concerns that are driving a significant
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	conditions that are currently in the market.  Q And does is there any inherent not inherent flaw but does the Aurora modeling serve to over state the value of thermal assets in this changing environment?  A Yes, it does. Based on the inputs that it uses, the information that goes into the into the model that we're seeing that that's the case.  Q So at the end of the day if we choose or I'm sorry if the Commission chooses to accept recommendations of the other parties and essentially do nothing about addressing transformations in the power supply market, where does that leave the company with the ERM and the baseline in the ERM?  A Well, what we've seen is that we will work our way through all of the dead bands and see significant risk and impact to the company's revenue. And we don't see it as a instead of incentivizing behavior, it's behavior that the company can no longer really control. It's outside of our control, and we will work we are working through the dead bands, which is a significant risk to us.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the sharing bands." Do you see that?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So does that mean that, when you're reducing you're proposing to reduce the dead bands and the sharing bands of the ERM and recovering the forecast error on top of that, you're essentially, what used to be a forecast error within the dead bands those dead bands are reduced, and now you're adding something in addition on top of that that that's almost having a double-effect?  THE WITNESS: It can, depending on the situation. Again, we feel that it's important first to set the baseline, which is what we're trying to do with our proposed adjustment.  And then, beyond that, again, the ERM is set up to capture the difference between what actually happens and what was in the forecast. But what we're seeing is, even with that adjustment, there's concern that we will still work through the current dead bands because of the situation in the market and the resource adequacy concerns that are driving a significant premium in the forwards that, actually, you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	conditions that are currently in the market.  Q And does is there any inherent not inherent flaw but does the Aurora modeling serve to over state the value of thermal assets in this changing environment?  A Yes, it does. Based on the inputs that it uses, the information that goes into the into the model that we're seeing that that's the case.  Q So at the end of the day if we choose or I'm sorry if the Commission chooses to accept recommendations of the other parties and essentially do nothing about addressing transformations in the power supply market, where does that leave the company with the ERM and the baseline in the ERM?  A Well, what we've seen is that we will work our way through all of the dead bands and see significant risk and impact to the company's revenue. And we don't see it as a instead of incentivizing behavior, it's behavior that the company can no longer really control. It's outside of our control, and we will work we are working through the dead bands, which is a significant risk to us.  So that's why we had we are proposing an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the sharing bands." Do you see that?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So does that mean that, when you're reducing you're proposing to reduce the dead bands and the sharing bands of the ERM and recovering the forecast error on top of that, you're essentially, what used to be a forecast error within the dead bands those dead bands are reduced, and now you're adding something in addition on top of that that that's almost having a double-effect?  THE WITNESS: It can, depending on the situation. Again, we feel that it's important first to set the baseline, which is what we're trying to do with our proposed adjustment.  And then, beyond that, again, the ERM is set up to capture the difference between what actually happens and what was in the forecast. But what we're seeing is, even with that adjustment, there's concern that we will still work through the current dead bands because of the situation in the market and the resource adequacy concerns that are driving a significant premium in the forwards that, actually, you know, again is good for our customers for the value of our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	conditions that are currently in the market.  Q And does is there any inherent not inherent flaw but does the Aurora modeling serve to over state the value of thermal assets in this changing environment?  A Yes, it does. Based on the inputs that it uses, the information that goes into the into the model that we're seeing that that's the case.  Q So at the end of the day if we choose or I'm sorry if the Commission chooses to accept recommendations of the other parties and essentially do nothing about addressing transformations in the power supply market, where does that leave the company with the ERM and the baseline in the ERM?  A Well, what we've seen is that we will work our way through all of the dead bands and see significant risk and impact to the company's revenue. And we don't see it as a instead of incentivizing behavior, it's behavior that the company can no longer really control. It's outside of our control, and we will work we are working through the dead bands, which is a significant risk to us.  So that's why we had we are proposing an adjustment. And we are also proposing adjustment to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE WITNESS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So does that mean that, when you're reducing you're proposing to reduce the dead bands and the sharing bands of the ERM and recovering the forecast error on top of that, you're essentially, what used to be a forecast error within the dead bands those dead bands are reduced, and now you're adding something in addition on top of that that that's almost having a double-effect?  THE WITNESS: It can, depending on the situation. Again, we feel that it's important first to set the baseline, which is what we're trying to do with our proposed adjustment.  And then, beyond that, again, the ERM is set up to capture the difference between what actually happens and what was in the forecast. But what we're seeing is, even with that adjustment, there's concern that we will still work through the current dead bands because of the situation in the market and the resource adequacy concerns that are driving a significant premium in the forwards that, actually, you know, again is good for our customers for the value of our thermal plants, but we are not being we've not been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	conditions that are currently in the market.  Q And does is there any inherent not inherent flaw but does the Aurora modeling serve to over state the value of thermal assets in this changing environment?  A Yes, it does. Based on the inputs that it uses, the information that goes into the into the model that we're seeing that that's the case.  Q So at the end of the day if we choose or I'm sorry if the Commission chooses to accept recommendations of the other parties and essentially do nothing about addressing transformations in the power supply market, where does that leave the company with the ERM and the baseline in the ERM?  A Well, what we've seen is that we will work our way through all of the dead bands and see significant risk and impact to the company's revenue. And we don't see it as a instead of incentivizing behavior, it's behavior that the company can no longer really control. It's outside of our control, and we will work we are working through the dead bands, which is a significant risk to us.  So that's why we had we are proposing an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the sharing bands." Do you see that?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So does that mean that, when you're reducing you're proposing to reduce the dead bands and the sharing bands of the ERM and recovering the forecast error on top of that, you're essentially, what used to be a forecast error within the dead bands those dead bands are reduced, and now you're adding something in addition on top of that that that's almost having a double-effect?  THE WITNESS: It can, depending on the situation. Again, we feel that it's important first to set the baseline, which is what we're trying to do with our proposed adjustment.  And then, beyond that, again, the ERM is set up to capture the difference between what actually happens and what was in the forecast. But what we're seeing is, even with that adjustment, there's concern that we will still work through the current dead bands because of the situation in the market and the resource adequacy concerns that are driving a significant premium in the forwards that, actually, you know, again is good for our customers for the value of our

	Page 230		Page 232
1	to transact.	1	DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	So again, we're going to correct baseline make	2	BY ATTY MEYER:
3	the baseline as accurate as we can, but what we're	3	Q Thank you. Mr. Howell, for the record, please state
4	seeing is still an inability, potentially, where	4	your name and your employer.
5	actuals will be move us well beyond the dead bands	5	A David Howell, Avista Utilities.
6	without us being able to have much control in that.	6	Q And understand that your testimony has been entered
7	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay.	7	and – as have the other exhibits; so you are available
8	Chair Danner, did you want to ask anything?	8	for cross-examination. Thank you.
9		9	
	CHAIR DANNER: Not right now.	10	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.
10 11	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay.		Public Counsel, you've indicated cross for this
	I think much of this has been covered. I think	11	witness.
12	I'm done. Thank you.		ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Yes, Your Honor.
13	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you.	13	Thank you.
14	That concludes the questions, and you may be	14	ODOGO EVANINATION
15	excused.	15	CROSS-EXAMINATION
16	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	16	BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL:
17	ATTY MEYER: I'll call Mr. Kalich to the	17	Q Mr. Howell, there was a back-and-forth between me and
18	stand, please.	18	Mr. Meyer about your testimony. And there were some
19	JUDGE THOMPSON: Before Witness Kalich comes	19	questions that were provided. Have you had a chance to
20	up, can we just have a moment to discuss how we want to	20	review those?
21	proceed with the order of witnesses. I know that he's	21	A Yes.
22	next on the list; but given time, we want to make sure	22	Q Also, there were exhibits cross exhibits that are
23	we use this afternoon as efficiently as possible.	23	very detailed, your 2020 Wildfire Plan and your 2023
24	We're going to actually ask if it's okay with the	24	update. I'm mostly interested in the total amounts
25	company that we call Witness Howell next, and then	25	that you if you want to refer to them. Roughly
	Page 231		Page 233
1	we'll take a break and move on to Kalich from there.	1	speaking, however, in 2020 when you first created the
2	ATTY MEYER: Sure. Very well. Thank you.	2	wire wildfire plan, you intended to spend
3	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.	3	approximately \$328 million; is that correct?
4	ATTY MEYER: So I will say that, while the	4	A There's both capital and expense that we've included in
5	witness is situating himself, we did have a PSPS event	5	the wildfire plan.
6	yesterday in our system in Spokane. First first of	6	Q And I think, combined between the two, it was
7	its kind. And if the Commission is interested, this	7	328 million. Does that sound right?
8	witness could provide just a very brief recap of what	8	A With verification, yes.
9	happened and how it concluded.	9	Q And that's approximately that's over ten years?
10	CHAIR DANNER: I think, for my purposes, I'm	10	A Correct.
11	very interested, but I would not want to use this forum	11	Q In your current testimony, you provided a spreadsheet,
12	to have that discussion. But I defer to my colleague.	12	and that total amount, both capital and operation
13	I think that I would prefer that we just	13	expense, is 437 million; is that correct?
14	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I appreciate we just	14	A With verification, yes.
15	keep going with the hearing but do eventually would	15	Q In terms of the capital investment, there was about a
16	like to have a recap on that.	16	15 percent increase. To what do you attribute that
17	ATTY MEYER: Very good. Happy to provide	17	increase?
18	CHAIR DANNER: Thank you for the offer.	18	A The majority of our capital is attributed to what we
19	ATTY MEYER: Yeah. Thank you.	19	refer to as "grid hardening." And we've determined
20	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. I'll go ahead and	20	that we're going to pursue some undergrounding and
21	swear you in.	21	covered conductor. And you'll see there's a year that
22	(Witness duly sworn.)	22	we actually have an increased capital, and that'll be
23	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be	23	the year that we'll be exploring the undergrounding and
24	seated.	24	covered conductor.
25	Please proceed, Counsel. Thank you.	25	Q And that's 2026?

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q And is Avista willing to commit to continue to develop

A That tool has been developed, but we are continuing to

and are willing to share it with our peers and have

look at ways to enhance that tool as we move forward

Q Now, I want to talk to you about the progress you've

that dashboard tool?

offered it to others.

Page 234 Page 236 1 A That is correct. 1 made over the last four years since the implementation 2 2 Q Okay. In the same time period, there's 100 percent -of the plan. You mentioned your hardening plan. 3 3 more than a 100 percent increase in operations and That's various things, but it includes replacing wooden 4 management. And from my rough look, it appeared to be 4 crossbeams with fiberglass. It includes wrapping poles 5 5 in fire retardant -- I don't know if it is a mesh. primarily in terms of vegetation management; is that 6 6 A It is a mesh. correct? 7 7 Q Yeah. Fire retardant mesh replacing steel -- wooden A That's correct. 8 Q Why has there been a 100 percent increase in vegetation 8 with steel. How many lines -- or how many miles of 9 9 management? lines have you hardened? 10 A Our vegetation management program within the wildfire 10 A I'm assuming it's in my testimony. But if you could 11 plan, we refer to it as "enhanced vegetation 11 refer to me where it's at, I would validate that. I 12 12 management." But it's really focused on risk trees, so don't have it off the top of my head. 13 dead, dying, and diseased trees. We made a commitment 13 Q It wasn't actually in your testimony. It was in the 14 14 to do annual risk tree inspections. And once we 2023 wildfire plan, which is more than a year and a 15 started that process, we found over double the number 15 little bit old. At the time, it was about 14 percent. 16 Does that sound right? 16 of risk trees that we had originally estimated would 17 need to be removed from the system. 17 A With verification, yes. 18 Q So that's why the increases -- is your -- you have to 18 Q And do you know how much you've done in the last year? 19 take more trees down? 19 A I'd have to look in the plan to determine -- do you 20 A That is correct. 20 mean 20- -- this year? '24? 21 21 Q Okay. Q Yeah. 22 A That's the goal as part of the risk tree, just to 22 A It's ongoing. I don't know the current status. 23 23 mitigate those by removal. Q Is there enough -- well, it's also true that you do not Q Mr. Howell, will Avista agree to provide justification 24 24 track ignition events; is that correct? 25 for any budget changes greater than 15 percent from 25 A We do. I heard your opening statement. We do track Page 235 Page 237 plan in your annual wildfire resiliency plan 1 1 ignition events at some level. But to the degree that 2 communicated in the next GRC? 2 those -- that we're capturing all of them, it's really 3 A Yes. 3 allocated to text fields within our existing outage 4 Q Can you describe your fire weather dashboard tool and 4 management system. 5 the status of sharing that tool with other utilities. 5 Our existing outage management system is focused 6 A Our fire weather dashboard is a tool that we use -- we 6 on outages and cause of outages. It has been -- it 7 use it for -- for long-term enhancement, so 7 wasn't designed, when it was originally created, for 8 grid-hardening decisions, but more importantly, for 8 tracking of fire-related events. We do have the 9 transient weather conditions on our system. 9 ability, though, to add text that we've been trying to 10 10 So it models weather, and it takes into account add as we know -- have known events. housing, fuels, weather conditions, and then makes a 11 11 As an example, if we have a pole fire -- common 12 12 prediction of what the potential risk is -- the event in the utility industry, especially when you 13 13 operating risk is to our system. We have shared that don't have a fiberglass crossarm. We will denote that 14 through our peer groups, the Northwest Utility Forum 14 in the text field, and we know that we have a potential 15 that we initiated as part of the development of our 15 spark event or heat event on our system. 16 wildfire plan, as well as -- we actually have an 16 Q And in 20- -- next year, 2025, you're deploying a new 17 article in ESRI in which we've shared the development 17 technology; is that correct? 1.8 of our tool and how it's put together. 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q What is that technology?

events?

A It's an ADMS, so advanced distribution management

Q Will that system have the ability to track ignition

A It will. We're going to refer to them as heat events

on our system; so if I could explain a little bit of

Page 238

Page 240

how it's going to look different.

Currently, if we have an event on our system -let's just say that the wind blows a tree into a line
and we have an outage. That event is what we call
"rolled up." It is -- it's stored on our system at the
next upstream device that we could take -- we could -a fuse, for example -- meaning all customers downstream
of that will be out of power; so it stops at that fuse.

We don't know if that fuse was 100 feet or if it was a half a mile from that event; so we don't have an accurate location of that tree contacting the line.

But we do know we have an outage, and we know the number of customers because that's how that system was built.

As we move forward, our intention would be to say that we have cause -- tree came into contact with a line due to weather -- and that we have a heat event associated with that and that we could then accurately map the GIS location of the actual event so that we know what zone within our wildfire dashboard or within our WUI map that we actually have the event that occurred on our line.

- 23 Q So you have better data?
  - A We'll have much better data.
  - Q And that will be deployed in 2026; correct?

Well, that's -- illustrates a point I have for
 you. If paying for grid hardening and replacing
 fiberglass eliminates the need for pole fires, why
 would you need to also wrap them?

- A Our wildfire plan has, really, three objectives: safety, reliability, and then protecting our financial interests. Reliability, if you have a wildfire that starts outside of our system -- so we want to prevent spark events. That would be something perpetuating from our system. But we do have reliability concerns if a wildfire starts outside of our system and a fire burns through our system. If we have -- and especially transmission poles is where we're focusing the fire mesh wrap -- if that fire goes through a right-of-way and we have an old wood pole, there's a high probability that that pole will start on fire and then we'll lose transmission, which impacts reliability for our customers.
- Q Have you been able to -- or have you conducted a cost-benefit analysis for the various strategies -- say, wooden crossarms, substations, interrupters -- and I can't remember what the exact -- re- -- it's a new kind of substation that lets you cut out power better. Reclosers, I think.
- 25 A Reclosers.

Page 239

Page 241

- A That is the current plan.
- Q You did include some metrics that you do track -- pole fires, tree fall-ins, tree grow-ins, overhead equipment, spark events; correct?
- 5 A Correct.
  - Q And you have been tracking how often those occur? For example, pole fires were 154 in 2021 and 67 in 2023.

    And that's actually up from 2022 when it was 56; correct?
  - A With verification, yes, I'd have to look at that table.
  - Q Have you done any analysis to determine whether the hardening -- the hardened lines have fewer pole fires, fewer tree fall-ins, fewer overhead equipment events, fewer spark events?
  - A I'll use the fiberglass crossarms as an example. And this is part of the reason that it ended up in our plan -- was we know that if we install a fiberglass crossarm, we can almost eliminate pole fires. And the reason for that is that you get additional insulation that's associated with the fiberglass over the wood. You get tracking on wood when you get contaminants. And so, early on we know that that is almost -- will eliminate pole fires on our system.
- Q I just needed to cough. I wasn't going to interrupt you.

- Q Have you done a cost-benefit analysis for each of those strategies in terms of the amount of reduction of wildfires?
  - A What we're trying to do is reduce spark events from our system. Not every spark event results in a wildfire adjacent to our system. But we know that, if we can reduce spark events or -- in the future, I'm going to use this term -- "heat events," something that creates something that has the potential for a fire, that's what we're going to achieve. And we want to make sure that we achieve that during the right times of the year. The winter, those are less risky than they are during significant wind events and dry, low-humidity conditions.

When we developed our wildfire plan, we did an initial risk analysis. We tried to create an understanding of what the mitigative actions -- what the benefit of those was going to be. But we do have plans for creating -- creating -- using a -- so that was done internally. We're going to use a third party to do a similar analysis to understand what the benefits are. Our ultimate goal is that the benefit of the mitigation is more valuable than the costs that we're implementing.

Q And have you done that cost-benefit analysis in this

		Page 242			Page 244
1	testimony presented?		1		(Reporter requests clarification.)
2	A We're presenting it within our 2023	plan, which	2	Q	BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Will you commit to studying
3	references back to the original cost-		3		that in the next general rate case?
4	that was completed. That was done		4	Α	Thank you. Yes. As part of our risk analysis, just to
5	subject matter experts from across t		5		further build on that, within our hardening we have
6	Q And are you willing to commit to		6		what I'll call "base grid hardening." That's our
7	cost-benefit analysis?		7		traditional grid hardening that we started with as part
8	A Yes.		8		of our plan. We added undergrounding and covered
9	Q Can you tell me how many spark	events caused a wildfire	9		conductor.
10	in the last four years in your serv		10		And we want to understand the benefits of both of
11	A No.	•	11		those kind of added grid-hardening tools that we're
12	Q Can you tell me how much overh	nead equipment failures	12		going to put on our tool kit to mitigate wildfire. We
13	there were 641 last year caused		13		want to make sure that we're applying them in the right
14	service territory?	-	14		locations, kind of building on your question to me. We
15	A No.		15		want to make sure undergrounding is done in the right
16	Q How about tree fall-ins or grow-in	ns? Can you tell me	16		place or traditional grid hardening is done in the
17	how many of those caused wildfir	•	17		right place or covered conductor, where we need to
18	A No.		18		apply that.
19	Q How about full fires?		19		Will Avista commit to doing a full cost-benefit
20	A No. As I indicated earlier, our goal	is to reduce	20		analysis for the next general rate case?
21	spark events not your wildfire is		21	Α	Yes.
22	by spark events, but it has to have s	•	22	Q	That's all the questions I have. Thank you.
23	around it. Simply put, if we have a		23		JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.
24	the winter when there's snow on the		24		Any redirect?
25	likelihood of a wildfire. So there's no		25		ATTY MEYER: No redirect. Thank you.
		Page 243			Page 245
1	correlation. But if we have a spar		1		JUDGE THOMPSON: Any questions from the
2	windy, gusty day with perpetuated	d winds, there's a	2		bench?
3	higher probability of a wildfire.		3		COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I have a couple
4	So our focus has been on red		4		questions.
5	across our system as opposed to		5		Good afternoon, Mr. Howell.
6	asking, which is reduction or kr		6		THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.
7	Wildfire has external factors that	influence its	7		COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So as the company
8	initiation.		8		obviously so I guess wildfire the response to
	Q In any kind of safety plan like t	-	9		wildfire potential ignitions has changed over time.
9		aignificant refurns			
10	investment will get quite a bit of	•	10		Over the last few years, this issue has become more
10 11	in terms of safety. You'll reduce	e risk a lot, but	11		Over the last few years, this issue has become more prevalent in the Northwest; correct?
10 11 12	in terms of safety. You'll reduce then, as marginal investments of	e risk a lot, but occur after, the	11 12		Over the last few years, this issue has become more prevalent in the Northwest; correct?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Correct.
10 11 12 13	in terms of safety. You'll reduce then, as marginal investments o reduction gets smaller and sma	e risk a lot, but occur after, the	11 12 13		Over the last few years, this issue has become more prevalent in the Northwest; correct?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Correct.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And you're learning
10 11 12 13 14	in terms of safety. You'll reduce then, as marginal investments of reduction gets smaller and sma with that?	e risk a lot, but occur after, the ller. Would you agree	11 12 13 14		Over the last few years, this issue has become more prevalent in the Northwest; correct?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Correct.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And you're learning from your peers of what's working and what's not?
10 11 12 13 14 15	in terms of safety. You'll reduce then, as marginal investments of reduction gets smaller and sma with that?  A In those areas that we've applied	e risk a lot, but occur after, the ller. Would you agree	11 12 13 14 15		Over the last few years, this issue has become more prevalent in the Northwest; correct?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Correct.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And you're learning from your peers of what's working and what's not?  THE WITNESS: Correct.
10 11 12 13 14 15	in terms of safety. You'll reduce then, as marginal investments of reduction gets smaller and sma with that?  A In those areas that we've applied would agree with that.	e risk a lot, but occur after, the ller. Would you agree	11 12 13 14 15 16		Over the last few years, this issue has become more prevalent in the Northwest; correct?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Correct.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And you're learning from your peers of what's working and what's not?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So one of the first
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in terms of safety. You'll reduce then, as marginal investments or reduction gets smaller and sma with that?  A In those areas that we've applied would agree with that.  Q Okay. On the undergrounding.	e risk a lot, but occur after, the ller. Would you agree the mitigation, I	11 12 13 14 15 16 17		Over the last few years, this issue has become more prevalent in the Northwest; correct?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Correct.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And you're learning from your peers of what's working and what's not?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So one of the first treatments was to put up covered conductor to
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	in terms of safety. You'll reduce then, as marginal investments of reduction gets smaller and small with that?  A In those areas that we've applied would agree with that.  Q Okay. On the undergrounding lines that you are considering upon the safety.	e risk a lot, but occur after, the ller. Would you agree the mitigation, I	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		Over the last few years, this issue has become more prevalent in the Northwest; correct?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Correct.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And you're learning from your peers of what's working and what's not?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So one of the first treatments was to put up covered conductor to potentially prevent an ignition; correct?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in terms of safety. You'll reduce then, as marginal investments of reduction gets smaller and small with that?  A In those areas that we've applied would agree with that.  Q Okay. On the undergrounding lines that you are considering up those hardened already?	e risk a lot, but occur after, the ller. Would you agree the mitigation, I	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		Over the last few years, this issue has become more prevalent in the Northwest; correct?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Correct.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And you're learning from your peers of what's working and what's not?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So one of the first treatments was to put up covered conductor to potentially prevent an ignition; correct?  THE WITNESS: We have not used within
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	in terms of safety. You'll reduce then, as marginal investments of reduction gets smaller and small with that?  A In those areas that we've applied would agree with that.  Q Okay. On the undergrounding lines that you are considering unthose hardened already?  A I don't know the answer to that.	e risk a lot, but occur after, the ller. Would you agree I the mitigation, I you've identified 13 ndergrounding. Are	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		Over the last few years, this issue has become more prevalent in the Northwest; correct?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Correct.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And you're learning from your peers of what's working and what's not?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So one of the first treatments was to put up covered conductor to potentially prevent an ignition; correct?  THE WITNESS: We have not used within since our wildfire plan was developed, we have not had
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in terms of safety. You'll reduce then, as marginal investments of reduction gets smaller and small with that?  A In those areas that we've applied would agree with that.  Q Okay. On the undergrounding lines that you are considering unthose hardened already?  A I don't know the answer to that.  Q If we are paying to harden the limits them.	e risk a lot, but beccur after, the ller. Would you agree I the mitigation, I you've identified 13 ndergrounding. Are ine and then	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		Over the last few years, this issue has become more prevalent in the Northwest; correct?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Correct.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And you're learning from your peers of what's working and what's not?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So one of the first treatments was to put up covered conductor to potentially prevent an ignition; correct?  THE WITNESS: We have not used within since our wildfire plan was developed, we have not had covered conductor in our plan. It wasn't we just
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in terms of safety. You'll reduce then, as marginal investments of reduction gets smaller and small with that?  A In those areas that we've applied would agree with that.  Q Okay. On the undergrounding lines that you are considering unthose hardened already?  A I don't know the answer to that.  Q If we are paying to harden the lunderground it, it feels like that	e risk a lot, but beccur after, the ller. Would you agree I the mitigation, I you've identified 13 ndergrounding. Are ine and then un-hardening	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		Over the last few years, this issue has become more prevalent in the Northwest; correct?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Correct.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And you're learning from your peers of what's working and what's not?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So one of the first treatments was to put up covered conductor to potentially prevent an ignition; correct?  THE WITNESS: We have not used within since our wildfire plan was developed, we have not had covered conductor in our plan. It wasn't we just had a base grid hardening which was primarily
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in terms of safety. You'll reduce then, as marginal investments or reduction gets smaller and sma with that?  A In those areas that we've applied would agree with that.  Q Okay. On the undergrounding, lines that you are considering unthose hardened already?  A I don't know the answer to that.  Q If we are paying to harden the lunderground it, it feels like that investment might have been not	e risk a lot, but beccur after, the ller. Would you agree I the mitigation, I you've identified 13 andergrounding. Are line and then un-hardening t prudent. Have you or	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		Over the last few years, this issue has become more prevalent in the Northwest; correct?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Correct.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And you're learning from your peers of what's working and what's not?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So one of the first treatments was to put up covered conductor to potentially prevent an ignition; correct?  THE WITNESS: We have not used within since our wildfire plan was developed, we have not had covered conductor in our plan. It wasn't we just had a base grid hardening which was primarily replacing small copper conductor, fiberglass crossarms,
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	in terms of safety. You'll reduce then, as marginal investments or reduction gets smaller and smawith that?  A In those areas that we've applied would agree with that.  Q Okay. On the undergrounding lines that you are considering unthose hardened already?  A I don't know the answer to that.  Q If we are paying to harden the lunderground it, it feels like that investment might have been not will you commit to studying that	e risk a lot, but beccur after, the ller. Would you agree I the mitigation, I you've identified 13 andergrounding. Are line and then un-hardening t prudent. Have you or	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		Over the last few years, this issue has become more prevalent in the Northwest; correct?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Correct.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And you're learning from your peers of what's working and what's not?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So one of the first treatments was to put up covered conductor to potentially prevent an ignition; correct?  THE WITNESS: We have not used within since our wildfire plan was developed, we have not had covered conductor in our plan. It wasn't we just had a base grid hardening which was primarily replacing small copper conductor, fiberglass crossarms, animal guards but we're exploring covered conductor
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in terms of safety. You'll reduce then, as marginal investments or reduction gets smaller and sma with that?  A In those areas that we've applied would agree with that.  Q Okay. On the undergrounding, lines that you are considering unthose hardened already?  A I don't know the answer to that.  Q If we are paying to harden the lunderground it, it feels like that investment might have been not	e risk a lot, but beccur after, the ller. Would you agree I the mitigation, I you've identified 13 andergrounding. Are line and then un-hardening t prudent. Have you or	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		Over the last few years, this issue has become more prevalent in the Northwest; correct?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Correct.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And you're learning from your peers of what's working and what's not?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So one of the first treatments was to put up covered conductor to potentially prevent an ignition; correct?  THE WITNESS: We have not used within since our wildfire plan was developed, we have not had covered conductor in our plan. It wasn't we just had a base grid hardening which was primarily replacing small copper conductor, fiberglass crossarms,

#### Page 248 Page 246 1 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So you 1 THE WITNESS: 5.000. 2 wouldn't -- as you're evaluating going forward, as 2 CHAIR DANNER: -- that were in the area of 3 3 Public Counsel suggested, you're going to evaluate in the fire? Or was this -- so I'm sorry. I've confused 4 which areas covered conductor might be more beneficial 4 it with two different things --5 5 THE WITNESS: That's okay. I appreciate the and undergrounding may be more beneficial, but you 6 wouldn't do covered conductor and then underground the 6 question. 7 7 same line? Related to risk tree -- and we committed to an 8 THE WITNESS: That's not how we would test 8 annual risk tree inspection -- essentially, we're 9 9 what we're going to do. We need to better understand looking for dead, dying, and diseased. I'm not aware 10 the cost and installation of covered conductor and the 10 that we've changed our inspection criteria. But we do 11 cost of undergrounding. Historically, both of those 11 that know we've historically -- recent history has had 12 have been more than the traditional grid hardening. 12 a beetle infestation, which has impacted our system. 13 They may produce greater benefits, and so the offsets 13 And so, if you have a beetle kill that comes into an 14 may be worth the investment for our customers and risk 14 area, it can impact an area within a 12-month cycle. 15 reduction. 15 And so, we've been -- we only initiated this a 16 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And part of that 16 couple years ago to do the annual risk tree inspection. 17 cost-benefit is looking at the risk -- the risk profile 17 So our -- our assumption was that, the first couple of 18 18 of the area and what you might be -- what sort of years, we're going to see a higher volume of risk trees 19 ignition or what sort of resilience you might --19 removed because we haven't done the annual risk tree 20 20 benefit you might be gaining? inspection and we know we've had this more near-term 21 THE WITNESS: That is correct. Just as a 21 beetle infestation that's caused a kill. 22 simple analysis, if you had open area but it was 22 Related to the Gray fire -- and this is where 23 upstream of significant development, you might use a 23 variability comes into our risk tree program. And I 24 traditional grid hardening because you have very low 24 actually had land in this area of this Gray fire, and 25 25 risk of something being blown into a line because of a so I saw the impact of it. But we had -- you know, Page 247 Page 249 1 weather event. 1 that Gray fire impacted over 250 homes. It -- large 2 But if you have significant number of trees in an 2 swath of land. Trees were -- essentially everything 3 area that are difficult to trim back, you may choose to 3 was burned, and those trees were still standing 4 underground if you have significant development 4 adjacent to power lines. Those immediately, the next 5 downstream, potentially, of where you're -- where 5 day, became a risk tree because, if we didn't remove it 6 you're going to be installing that. But you wouldn't 6 after the fire, we were going to be removing it for 7 go in and do a traditional and then underground it. 7 three or four years. And it had a high probability of 8 You would choose to do a traditional, a covered 8 dying and then a significant wind event blowing into 9 conductor or an undergrounding, as you make an informed 9 those 10 10 decision on your risk model. So we have some variability in our risk tree COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. And that's part 11 11 program. We know that we have kind of a standard 12 12 of that benefit cost of these various treatments that annual risk tree program. But if we have a significant 13 you're committing to do going forwards? 13 event like the Gray fire adjacent to our facilities. THE WITNESS: That's correct. 14 14 we're going to have to go remove those trees. And 15 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. 15 that's what that additional 5,000 trees was that we 16 CHAIR DANNER: I just have a follow-up. 16 indicated 17 Good afternoon. 17 CHAIR DANNER: Okay. And then, just one last 1.8 THE WITNESS: Good afternoon. 18 question. 19 CHAIR DANNER: So we're talking about risk 19 When you're looking at risk trees, the risk 20 trees, and you're finding more and more every year. So 20 is both that they could blow into a line and bring the 21 you found 19,000 in '22 and then 22,000 in '23. Are 21 line down, but the other is that they are themselves 22 you changing the definition of risk? Is it more 2.2 combustible? I mean, what -- is that the criteria? Or 23 23 frequent inspections? What -- tell me what's driving is it mostly just how they would impact your 24 this dramatic increase. And then, also, the Gray fire, 24 infrastructure by falling into them -- or contact? 25 that was -- you cleared out 3,000 -- 5,000 trees --25 THE WITNESS: We have criteria for what is a

Page 250 Page 252 1 your rebuttal testimony, if you have a copy of that in 1 healthy tree and what is a non-healthy tree -- for 2 2 example, dead, dying, and diseased. We could also have front of you. 3 3 I'd like to start with something of a general a codominant stem, which means a branch stem that has 4 4 discussion about the company's view of the ERM. On the potential of breaking off during a wind event. But 5 5 page 34, at lines 17 through 20, you testified, quote, it's not so much the fuel that we're concerned with. 6 6 "In the case where MPE is under-forecast, the ERM There's lots of fuels that are in the area. The 7 7 becomes punitive to the company"; correct? concern is when you have significant wind events that 8 you have a tree or a branch that gets broken off of 8 9 9 Q And then, a little bit later, at the end of page 35, something and comes in contact with our facilities. 10 That's what we're trying to address with this program. 10 specifically the last sentence which starts on 35 and 11 11 continues on to the next page, you testified that, CHAIR DANNER: Okay. Thank you. That's 12 12 without the inclusion of the forecast error adjustment, helpful. No other questions. 13 13 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. I think that quote, "the company almost certainly will continue to 14 be" --14 concludes the questions for this witness. 15 You may be dismissed. 15 (Reporter requests clarification.) Q BY ATTY ROBERSON: -- "continue to be on the losing end 16 16 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 17 JUDGE THOMPSON: And at this time, I'm going 17 of the ERM"; correct? 18 to recommend we take a brief recess. Is ten minutes 18 A Could you direct me to where that is? I don't see that 19 sufficient for the parties? I'm seeing nods. Okay. 19 at the bottom of page 35. 20 20 Q I've done it again. Let's see if we can find it. Let's reconvene at 2:51, and we'll be off the record ATTY ROBERSON: It's on the next page? Thank 21 for now. Thank you. 21 22 (Break in proceedings at 2:41 p.m.) 22 you. JUDGE THOMPSON: And next I believe we have 23 THE WITNESS: Yeah. The sentence reads, "In 23 24 Witness Kalich. 24 this case, the company has demonstrated that, without a 25 25 forecast error adjustment, the company almost certainly And if you could please raise your right hand, Page 251 Page 253 1 I'll swear you in. 1 will continue to be on the losing end of the ERM." 2 (Witness duly sworn.) 2 Q BY ATTY ROBERSON: Before the ERM, did Avista have a 3 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be 3 tracking and deferral mechanism for its power costs? 4 seated. 4 A We did not. 5 And, Counsel, you may tender. 5 Q And with the ERM, Avista and ratepayers share the risks 6 ATTY MEYER: Thank you. 6 of deviation from the power cost forecast; correct? 7 7 A From whatever is authorized in rates, yes. 8 DIRECT EXAMINATION 8 Q And so, before the ERM, Avista had all the downside of 9 BY ATTY MEYER: 9 kind of power costs escalation above what was 10 Q For the record, would you please state your name, your 10 authorized in rates and all of the benefits of 11 employer, and position. 11 under-forecasting; correct? 12 A Yeah. My name is Clint Kalich. I'm employed by Avista 12 A And that was a completely different time in our 13 Corporation. 13 business. Prices for a decade were \$15 to \$20 a Q And your testimony and other exhibits have been 14 14 megawatt hour. We just hadn't seen the variability and 15 introduced already; so you are -- so you are available 15 volatility that, ultimately, the energy crisis, I 16 for cross. 16 think, highlighted to the entire West Coast. And we're 17 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. 17 seeing something similar here today. 18 Staff, you may proceed. 18 Q Okay. Well, with the changed environment, if the 19 ATTY ROBERSON: Thank you. 19 Commission were to reject the forecast error adjustment 20 20 and the changes to the ERM, should it abolish the ERM 21 CROSS-EXAMINATION 21 itself? 22 BY ATTY ROBERSON: 22 A No. sir. 23 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Kalich. How are you? 23 Q And why would Avista want to continue with a mechanism 24 A I'm well. Good afternoon. 24 that is punitive and with which it is on the losing end 25 Q As with your colleagues, all of my questions concern 25

1.8

Page 254

2.0

A Avista's not asking to do that, although I would suggest that our original proposal to have a 95/5 sharing of costs would resolve a lot of the concerns associated with the forecast error. But since we are at a point where there's concern over removing the dead band -- sharing, it becomes more important than ever.

I mean, as I look back on my history and my testimony, the testimony of other parties including Staff, there was a large concern that the company was unable to -- I hesitate to use the word "accurately" -- but in this case, to correctly forecast what power supply expense was. And at the time, Staff was concerned because our forecasts were modestly above -- excuse me -- above what our actual costs were. So Staff was in a position of being concerned about those -- the over-recovery of -- for lack of a better phrase.

- Q Yes. But my question was why would Avista want to continue on with a mechanism that is, quote, "punitive," and with which it's constantly on the losing end of, absent those changes?
- A Well, I think you have to look at what the alternatives are, and maybe that's where you're going here.

  Certainly, having an ERM in place is of benefit to the

Page 256

- A The only modification I'd make there is -- I think I'd replace, in hindsight, the word "driven" by the word "measured." So forecast error is a measure of the difference between -- between authorized and actual expense. So the choice of "driven" probably wasn't the best word, and maybe that's part of the confusion there. But it measures the deltas.
  - Q Okay. This is going to sound foolish, but I have to ask it. Avista does not contract for the purchase of forecast error; correct?
  - A I would say, in totality, no.
- Q And it doesn't have receipts for the purchase of forecast error?
  - A Okay. I think I see where you're going there. Forecast error, again, is the result of cost and expenditures and outcomes that occur in the normal ongoing business.

So, for example, we have a contract with Chelan County PUD for a certain volume of energy at a certain price. And to the extent that the volume of energy -- because it's hydro-based -- is less or more, we have more -- well, actually, the Chelan contract's a fixed price contract. So if we had less or more energy, it would be -- we'd pay the same. And therefore, there would be a cost associated with the performance

Page 257

Page 255

corporation. But we're showing, in this case, that having an ERM that has a correct baseline is really the most -- is a hugely significant piece and has been testified to in previous proceedings.

- Q That was my next question, actually. So I guess, in summary, Avista gets enough benefit from the ERM that it wouldn't want to see it go away even if there are no changes to it?
- A Well, I can -- I can think of a number of analogies that I could use to help illustrate the box you're placing me in. But something is better than nothing, but that doesn't mean one shouldn't strive to get a better outcome, ultimately, for all parties involved.
- Q Fair enough. Okay.

I'd like to talk about the forecast error itself. And if I did this correctly, I'm thinking of page 24, lines 6 through 7.

- A This is the -- Witness Wilson, your witness -- on the forecast error difference not being an expense.
- Q Yeah. I want to ask you specifically about your testimony. And here I'm quoting – tell me if I'm reading this correctly – "Forecast error is by definition a cost, as it is driven by the differences between authorized and actual expenses"; is that correct?

differing from the normalized assumption going into what that Chelan cost was.

So I guess, at that point, I would have to back up and say that these are reflective of costs that we do incur in our business in our contract base.

- Q So -- but there's a difference between, I think, the cost you're speaking of and the forecast error; correct? Avista is paying Chelan for the delivery of power; right?
- A We're paying Chelan for the actual output of their project for which we have to make assumptions to normalize our power supply expense on. So Chelan doesn't guarantee us a delivery.
- Q So to the extent that Avista's paying a cost for service, it's paying for the delivery of that power; correct?
- A It's -- we're paying a certain amount of dollars in the Chelan example, and we get the power that's created by those hydroelectric facilities.
- 20 Q But you don't pay Chelan for the forecast error involved with the contract; right?
  - A There's no premium or any additional payment to Chelan to guarantee their deliveries, if that's what you mean.
  - Q So that's a no? There's no payment associated with the forecast error?

# Page 258 Page 260 1 A I'm struggling to understand the question. But we 1 reflected in an inventory or a service purchase or a 2 don't -- we pay a certain level for an expected output. 2 good purchase? 3 And depending on the weather and the hydro flows, we 3 ATTY MEYER: Excuse me. Where would what 4 get more or less energy. And the cost is substantially 4 being reflected? 5 the same. 5 ATTY ROBERSON: The portfolio forecast error. 6 Q I guess my question is -- you're saying it's not a THE WITNESS: So I'll do my best there. I'm 6 7 7 cost -- or it is a cost -- sorry. You're saying this not involved in those audits with your staff. But, for 8 is a cost. What is the commodity or the service that 8 example, if you looked at -- at our filings with the 9 Avista is purchasing when it pays this cost? 9 federal government, you would see purchases of 10 A Let me try a little different way. So if you -- you 10 wholesale power. You would see the revenues -- or the 11 contract for a certain quantity of power from Chelan. 11 expenditure, in this case -- associated with that And if that delivery ends up being less than the 12 12 replacement power in this example. 13 forecast and power supply expense, our cost is going 13 Q BY ATTY ROBERSON: And that will be reflected on the out and replacing that energy and -- potentially in the 14 14 books, but you're saying that this is separate and 15 wholesale market or -- additional natural gas --15 apart from that; correct? Because you're modeling 16 (Reporter requests clarification.) 16 those costs; right? 17 THE WITNESS: Yes. Certainly. Sorry. 17 A It -- I am modeling costs associated with normalized 18 So we would incur additional costs that would be 18 power supply expense. So we make a number of -- trying 19 measured as part of that -- as part of our power supply 19 to think of the best word to use -- we make a number of assumptions. One of the very important assumptions is 20 expense that then would go through the annual ERM 20 21 review. 21 median hydro conditions, which we know don't happen 22 Q BY ATTY ROBERSON: And those would be embedded in the 22 year to year. We don't expect median hydro. We're 23 annual ERM review in the form of payment for different 23 pretty pleased if we get it, but we have bad and good 2.4 service; right? Power from another supplier? Where 24 hydro years. 25 are you actually exchanging money for the forecast 25 Similarly, we use forward market prices because, Page 259 Page 261 1 error? 1 after a lot of conversations with the various parties 2 2 A And maybe that's where we're -- talking, you know, in this room and internally within our company, it was 3 beside each other here. 3 determined that, even though those -- using a 4 So when there is -- when the forecast, in this 4 three-month average of forward gas and electric prices 5 5 example, of hydro output is above what the actual is not a great indicator of what market prices that 6 delivery was, it becomes an expense in the form of 6 we'll experience are. They provide, maybe, a best 7 additional commodity energy. We have to procure from 7 estimate. Similarly on loads, we use 8 the marketplace, be it natural gas to fire a turbine or 8 weather-normalized loads and historical outages and 9 9 commodity electricity from the wholesale marketplace. planned maintenance. 10 10

Either one of those expenses are tracked and are a cost

incurred by the company.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q And I understand that. But where is the cost separate and apart from the cost -- well, who do you buy the service or the commodity from, separate and apart from the replacement power? Who gets the money from the forecast error in exchange for a service or a commodity?
- A And, again, maybe we're not communicating well here. The -- what we're measuring there with that adjustment is where the estimates -- maybe it's good to think of it as an estimate -- where our best estimates aren't correct. So we have to replace that energy. I don't know how otherwise to say it. I'm sorry.
- Q I guess I'm just trying to figure out, for an auditor like the Commission, where on your books would that be

So it's -- there's a set of normalized assumptions which -- you know, this goes back decades in how power supply expense is determined. And you expect variation from that. And there's normal variation and normal variability and volatility, and then there's major shifts in the marketplace. I spoke to one earlier where we had the energy crisis where we moved from \$15, \$18 power for decades all of a sudden to seeing prices where -- we hadn't seen before. We're hitting price caps on the West Coast.

So there are -- there's normal variability, and then there's major market shifts which magnify the -you know, that delta between what you forecasted and what, ultimately, you experience.

Q That might be a good place to pivot. Let's turn to what I hope is page 22, line 6 through 7.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

#### Page 262 Page 264 1 1 A Seems I wrote my testimony backwards. PPA -- ultimately, the megawatt hours that were bid in 2 Gotta keep you on your toes. 2 for that PPA that the owners expected to generate. 3 A 6 through 7, you said? 3 So we diverge away when data doesn't exist to 4 4 Q Yeah. maybe provide a full expectation of what we think the 5 5 A Forecast error being the difference between actual prediction will be. 6 revenues and cost? That question? 6 Q Okay. You spoke of normalization as essentially an 7 7 Q The question -- again, I'm concerned with, I guess, the average. Why does rate-making employ an average? 8 first sentence of the answer after the word "no." And 8 A I don't want to nitpick differences. I don't think it 9 tell me if I read this correctly. "A forecast error 9 picks averages. I just gave an example with -- with 10 adjustment is only included to get the expected level 10 the Rattlesnake Wind Farm. When there's a reason to 11 of MPE correct under normalized conditions." 11 diverge from something that's an expected experience --12 12 A Yes. same thing with maintenance outages. We generally --Q What is normalization? 13 13 it isn't necessarily an outage, but it's a reflection 14 A I've given some examples already, but the idea is to 14 of some -- some averaging. But we can potentially --15 come up with -- sometimes people define it as averages. 15 if we think there's something that won't be averaged, 16 16 So in the case of forced outages at our plants, we we would adjust for that. So again, it's normalized; 17 might -- we've used a five-year average to represent 17 so we try to come up with something that we think will 18 18 what we think in the future forced outages will be represent the future most reasonably. 19 because we don't know, really, what they're going to 19 Q So would it be better to say that Avista is looking for 20 20 a norm based on past data? be. Similarly, on hydro there's an 80-year water 21 record, and we use median conditions for that. 21 A We are trying to project what we think our power supply 22 So those things become normalized. We're having 22 expense will be, actually, in the future test period. 23 23 to make, essentially, forecasting assumptions, which is So it's not a reflection of what it's been; it's a 24 actually rather different than many areas of our 24 reflection of our hope that we can achieve a cost that 25 25 business because we are making projections here, approximates what our future is. Page 263 Page 265 1 substantially -- substantial assumptions about the 1 Q But speaking more generally, not just with the forecast 2 2 future based on -- on the best information we can, error, meaning hydro conditions -- I believe you said 80 years' data; correct? 3 which -- we've define that as normalized. 3 4 4 If everything came out as we would want -- maybe 5 "want" is the wrong word because we would want more 5 Q So that's looking back a significant amount of time in 6 zero-cost energy; right? Keep rates down. But based 6 order to project into the future? 7 on what we think is a reasonable expectation of the 7 A Absolutely. 8 future, what would the cost be? If, ultimately, that 8 Q Weather normalization is ten years; is that correct? 9 estimate -- something changes -- then you're going to 9 A Weather normalization? 10 10 Q Yeah. end up with some type of an adjustment to reflect -- in 11 11 A Subject to check, I think it's 20. But certainly, the Chelan example, an underage of generation from the 12 contract. 12 it's -- to your point, it's many years. 13 13 Q A couple of follow-ups there. So from what I just Q In your testimony on page 39, you list a number of heard, obviously, it uses normalized data to adjust 14 examples that are normalized. Most of those are at 14 15 cost to arrive at an expected rate year cost. Is that 15 least five years; correct? Power plant operating 16 a fair characterization of what you just said? 16 performance, transportation contracts -- feel like 17 A Yes. Although I think it's important not -- to 17 there was one other... 18 recognize as well, within those assumptions that are 18 A Yeah. It does talk about, yeah, generation -- hydro 19 made, if there are extenuating circumstances, we might 19 generation based on median water. The contribution of 20 20 change the -- examples -- a good example, I think, in our power plants, based on a five-year average of how 21 21 they can offset peak loads, which are correlated with this case would be our Rattlesnake Project. And 22 22 higher prices -- those types of things, yes. actually, Staff identified an error that the company 23 23 made, and we adjusted for that difference. And because Q But here Avista is picking a much shorter time period;

there wasn't five years of data, we have a much shorter

time frame. We used the information from the -- the

24

25

correct?

A We are. And for me, as a -- statistician-type

Page 268

Page 266

individuals, for pretty obvious reasons.

So there's -- without getting into statistics, you may have heard somewhere in your experience normalized -- normal distribution. And that's what we do with data that -- obvious things like weather, temperature, those types of things are generally somewhat normal. But other things, like the current situation where we have a pretty significant deficiency as a region of capacity, those aren't things that really are normal anymore. So they don't -- it doesn't make a lot of sense to me at all to just take long-term averages, anything like that. Because they don't reflect what's going on today.

Some things aren't just -- like the weather, they aren't random. They're results and outcomes based on years of choices that are made, whether it's by companies or policymakers, those types of things. So certain aspects just don't lend themself well necessarily to using those types of averages.

And the example of power prices, we don't use any -- really any historical information. In fact, there's not 80 years' worth of price discovery data available: so...

Q But for the way that Avista is calculating the forecast error adjustment, the ERM's been in effect since --

of the Commission to use that long-run average. And that's, again, because it's normal. It's random. What we're seeing now isn't a normal, random occurrence. It's the outcome of markets based on significant

It's the outcome of markets based on significant changes to the underlying fundamentals of it.

So I don't view today's conditions a random walk. It's based on a set of choices that really are substantially outside the control of this company. We have adequate resources to meet our peak load requirements; others in the marketplace do not. So even while we have adequate resources to meet our customer loads, we're exposed to the volatility and the extreme price variance and variability that are caused by the fact that the region itself doesn't have enough capacity.

So I view the last few years and many years into the future -- going to take us years to figure this out. We're trying to -- not only are we dealing with a deficit, we're dealing with a major transition, some pretty exciting new resources and technologies. And we have to figure out as an industry how to solve that. So we have to solve the deficit problem and solve the technology problem and ensure, of course, we can keep the lights on.

So those are things that are going to take many

Page 267

what? -- 2003? You do have data going back 20 years?

A Yeah. We have data back to 2003. And yeah, it's in my testimony and Witness Kinney's as well.

- Q And in your testimony, you identify essentially three different ERM areas; correct? 2003 to 2008, there's surcharges; 2009 to 2020, there's rebates for customers; and then the current era? Is that --
- A Yeah. There's these general trends that seem to be sustained. And if you look at the fundamentals of the marketplace, you can see why those trends occur. And actually, that's what we've seen in recent years. We're seeing that return to a different condition of the market being short.

So -- so you're right. There -- really, in the ERM, if you look at it, there's, yeah, that -- almost an S-curve type of a view to it.

- Q And I guess my question is -- wouldn't it be better to include data from all of those three areas just so you're getting a representative sample of what the ERM looks like?
- A If -- again, if all of the data was normalized, if it was random -- more is more. In fact, I -- Johannes, Marian, and I went around decades ago -- around normalization on hydro and what data should be used. And in that case, ultimately, it was the determination

Page 269

- years to figure out. A lot of the things folks are talking about today are not commercial technologies, and there aren't a lot of good choices for utilities built today.
  - Q Could you have had this conversation, hypothetically, with someone else sitting in my chair in 2009 and have it sound very similar? A change in market fundamentals due to the fracking boom? Drops in natural gas prices?
  - A I thank you for that question. Because I think -- I think it's really important to reflect what you know and what you understand. And if you look back at Avista's testimony, we've talked about the variability in the market that's always made us uncomfortable. We've always been uncomfortable with the exposure to risk, and I think that's a natural position for any utility in front of the Commission asking for cost recovery.

What we're seeing here in the past few years is something, fundamentally, that we just haven't seen before. I mean, the fact that our -- our two big gas-fired plants -- and, actually, I'll call Rathdrum's -- we got three big gas-fired plants, 8- -- maybe 7-, 800 megawatts. Those together used to be worth \$10- or \$30 million. And that's what they were justified and rate based under their prudency review.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 270

Customers are now getting something that's almost three times the entirety of our net power supply expense.

So we have this variability in the markets because no longer do gas and electricity move together like they have for so, so long. We have an exposure here where we're building in hundreds of -- literally hundreds of millions of dollars in value for customers. Customers benefit tremendously from that. It's a wonderful outcome. But we end up wearing any shortage. And what we're seeing in the marketplace is a clear overestimation of the operating margin on those plants by the time we get into the realtime operations.

At the same time, unfortunately, we can't forward transact and lock in that value for the customer.

There just simply is not an electric market -- the forward electric market that's willing to pick up those positions on our gas plants.

So that's really what, to me, is -- I mean, it makes all -- almost makes me think the pleas we've had in previous proceedings were pretty trivial relative to what we're experiencing today. And it's demonstrated by the increase, the massive increase in the value of these thermal plants.

I never would have forecasted you could basically buy a big gas plant with the revenues on our portfolio Page 272

- situation. These aren't traditional costs that are
  measured and the deltas are tracked in -- because, by
- definition, the pro forma -- the normalization is a
- forecast. And that's, I think, uniquely different from
- 5 those other tracking mechanisms.
- Q BY ATTY ROBERSON: I guess two things. One, so it's
   not a "No" that you can't think of another situation
   like this?
- 9 A I can't, no.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

5

6

7

Q And then, I guess the follow-up is -- setting aside that question of, you know, pre-embedding the deferral -- actually, I think I have what I need.

Let's move on.

Page 33, between lines 7 and 8, which -- if it helps, it's the underlined line. There you testify that, absent some manner of error adjustment, the company's, quote, "set up," end quote, because, quote, "the baseline is skewed against," end quote, it; is that correct?

- A Yes. That's substantially what's written there.
- Q So on page 38, you testify about the difference between Avista's direct and rebuttal proposals for the forecast error; correct?
- A That's not how I would interpret page 38. Is there a question you had?

Page 271

Page 273

- every year. I mean, it's that big a number that's going into rate base today.
  - Q You were here for the cross of Mr. Kinney; correct?
- 4 A I was, yes
- 5 Q Did you hear my question to him about whether he could 6 think of another case where the Commission allowed a 7 utility to track and defer costs and then pre-embed 8 those costs into rates before the deferral 9 materialized?
- 10 A I did hear that question, yes.
  - Q He couldn't. Can you?
  - A My vocabulary escapes me, the best way to describe this question. But there's a huge difference between traditional tracking of costs that are based on actual expenses, where we only track costs that -- that go into a bucket to being recovered versus something where, right from the get-go, we're building in costs that -- we don't expect many of those costs to materialize. It's been demonstrated for years that we've not been able to recover what would be the expected value of these gas plants. Huge value. We'll still capture huge -- maybe out of that --

(Reporter requests clarification.)
THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. Sorry.

So to me, this is a completely different type of a

- Q Well, between lines 7 and 11, you talk about the difference between the two; correct?
- 3 A Between the -- oh, between the direct and the rebuttal?
- 4 Q Yeah.
  - A Propose -- yes, sir.
  - Q So the dollar amount on direct was 65.8 million; correct?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And on rebuttal, it's 29.7 million?
- 10 A That's correct.
- 11 Q Subject to check, that's a difference of roughly 12 \$36.1 million; correct?
- 13 A It's a 55 percent reduction.
- 14 Q Should the Commission see the original proposal as 15 skewing the baseline against customers by 55 percent or 16 \$36.1 million?
- A I actually stand by the \$65.8 million. The change was made in response to the various parties and a desire to offer up a methodology that would be based on actual data, actual experienced data through the actual versus authorized. So you end up with a much lower number that's something that, probably at least amongst the parties here, is more defensible and more achievable.

So kind of back to your earlier question, getting something's better than nothing. So getting

24

Page 276 Page 274 1 this year. That's part of the regional resources; 1 45 percent's better than getting zero. So trying to be 2 2 responsive to the parties' concerns. correct? 3 3 A But Avista is 1 percent of the Western Interconnect. I -- earlier in the discussion with 4 4 We're five percent-ish of the Northwest. So even to Witness Kinney, I think there was -- and I think you 5 5 the best of our ability, I -- it's certainly had some concerns that we didn't have time to do 6 6 unreasonable for Avista to build out the capacity workshops. So from my perspective, putting zero in is 7 7 needed to support the region's energy and capacity not the right answer. I proposed workshops in 8 rebuttal, which may be able to help us refine the 8 needs. So we have to react. We've done our part, in 9 9 my view, and we have to react to what the rest of the methodology here that we land on. But the 30 million's 10 10 certainly better than zero, even if it isn't 66 million marketplace has or has not done. 11 11 Q Again, then why aren't we talking about a variable that that I had in my direct. 12 12 Q That's all I have. Thank you. tracks the impact of that regional scarcity on 13 13 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. electricity prices? 14 A I think we are. 14 Public Counsel? 15 15 Q All right. Let's -- if you could turn to page 36 of 16 **CROSS-EXAMINATION** 16 your testimony. And I'm going to project this again so 17 17 BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: that everybody can see it, I hope. 18 Q I'll start right there, Mr. Kalich. Is that your 18 ATTY MEYER: This is on the direct testimony? ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: It is exhibit -- hold 19 testimony then that, methodologically, the current 19 20 proposal is unsound? 20 on. 21 21 A Not at all. I would just say it may not reflect all of ATTY MEYER: This is what you had up earlier; right? 22 the risks that the company is facing. It's going to 22 23 ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Yeah. I put it up a 23 move us in the right direction. 24 24 Q You said that the goal of forecasting is to project a little bit ago. 20X is the exhibit number. 25 reasonable expectation of reality. Do you recall that 25 Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Do you recognize this chart? Page 275 Page 277 testimony? 1 1 A I created it, yes. 2 A I don't. I'm a little bit embarrassed by the use of 2 Q Is it accurate? 3 the word "reality." But certainly an attempt to get 3 A Yeah. 4 4 Q The blue line here represents what you call the the costs to reflect what the normalized value is 5 5 expected to be or will be -- expect to happen under cumulative cost share that the customers, I guess, 6 6 absorb; is that right? normalized condition. 7 7 Q You've testified that the major reason that there's a A It's the cost that we spent -- prudently spent -- that 8 8 problem in the current market -- or difference in the ultimately customers paid for, with the red line being 9 current market is that we are facing regional scarcity; 9 the cost that the company absorbed. 10 correct? 10 Q And the reason why there's an upward trend through 2008 11 A Regional scarcity is one of the facets. There's a 11 is because Avista was over -- no -- under-recovering 12 12 and needed to do surcharges? number of other ones I could opine on --13 Q Why aren't we coming up a variable that links that 13 A That's correct. 14 regional scarcity with its impact on prices? Why are 14 Q And in 2009 there's a bit of a flat line, and then it 15 15 we just adding 65 or 30 million to the baseline? declines. And that's because Avista started 16 If the goal is to create a reasonable expectation 16 over-collecting and having to do refunds? 17 of reality, and the issue is scarcity is affecting 17 A So after 17 years with the ERM in place, we've managed 18 18 prices, why aren't we talking about how scarcity to get back to zero, yes. 19 19 affects prices? Q Okay. And that's a little bit odd. So it means that 20 A I think your outcome's the same. Again, the scarcity, 20 the customers were getting a share of the overage from 21 21 as I expressed earlier, is not created by Avista; and 2009 to 2020. And that's why their amount is 22 22 therefore, we have to react to the scarcity and reflect declining? 23 23 those costs in our base power supply expense. A That's correct. 24 Q What do you mean, it's not created by Avista? You have 24 Q But what was actually happening in that time period was 25 plans for creating generation. You're not creating any 25 that Avista was keeping a percentage of the share?

Page 278 Page 280 Q I'm not implying anything. This is an allocation 1 More revenue? 1 between two parties of risk. You're saying that that 2 A Well, I view it differently in the sense that Avista 2 3 3 blue line is too low and the red line is too high. had expended tens of millions of dollars of company 4 4 money for prudently incurred cost that, ultimately, we A No. I'm not saying that at all. I'm saying the 5 5 got to receive back over -- like I said, it took estimates used --6 6 Q Well, let's --17 years for us to ultimately write that back to zero. 7 7 Q In all times from 2003 to 2023, you'll see that the A -- that went through authorized versus actuals was not 8 blue line is above that of Avista? 8 set correctly. So we're trying to do a better job of 9 A Yes. 9 setting actual -- the actuals -- excuse me -- the 10 Q And when there are surcharges, the blue line is rap---10 authorized so that the actual -- the delta between the 11 is vastly above the red line. I mean, in 2009 it's a 11 actuals and the authorized isn't so -- any longer or so \$20 million difference than currently. In 2003 it's a 12 12 large -- either direction. \$40 million difference; correct? 13 13 Q Let's move on. 14 A Those are the values. 14 And were you present for the testimony of 15 Q If the Commission were to adopt your proposal for a 15 Mr. Christie? 16 portfolio adjustment, these -- blue line would go up, 16 A I was, yes. 17 and the red line would go down; correct? 17 Q Did you hear that the ERM balance for 2024 is within 18 18 the dead band? A Only to the extent that that cost exceeded -- exceeded 19 the forecast. 19 A I'm not sure if he said that or not. My understanding 20 Q So we're talking about a loss to the consumers that 20 is the value is 17 million projected through end of the 21 would go up as a result of the changes to the ER; 21 year -- I think one of you all made a comment based 22 22 on -- through Q2 -- that the current projections will 23 A No. You're saying that the company should eat on 2.3 be well through the dead band into the 90/10 sharing. 24 absorbed costs that were incurred on the benefit of 24 Q Well, it was actually your second quarter report that 25 25 said it was going to be within the dead band. customers. Page 279 Page 281 Q In any case, this chart would look different because 1 1 In any case --2 the blue line would be higher and the red line lower? 2 A That's changed, yes. 3 A The -- could you restate the question. I'm sorry. I 3 Q -- do you know what 2024 looks like in terms of your 4 4 want to make sure I got the line of questioning -- I'm implied heat rate -- market heat rate? 5 5 sorry --A What 2024 -- no, sir. I don't have that data in front 6 Q I will rephrase the question. 6 of me 7 A That works. 7 Q There are two variables in an implied market heat rate; 8 Q We adopt your proposed forecast adjustment and 8 correct? There the price of gas and price of 9 alteration to the ERM baseline, the blue line is going 9 electricity. And it's the relationship between the 10 to get higher, and the red line will get lower? 10 two; correct? 11 A No. Actually, that's not at all what would happen. If 11 A That's right. 12 the forecast adjustment is there, you would see a 12 Q Have you done any analysis of how your proposed ERM 13 straight line of zero across it capped out -- it will 13 adjustment would more closely align the projections of 14 be closer at zero all the way through. So both parties 14 your thermal fleet value into the future? 15 will be at zero because the cost will be estimated 15 ATTY MEYER: Do you understand the question? 16 correctly. Customers will pay what -- prudently --16 THE WITNESS: I'm just pausing. Could you 17 costs are incurred, and the company won't absorb 17 try it a different way? Let me see if -- I think I 18 18 understand the question. I want to make sure I 19 Q And they would be locked in at the current delta 19 understand it. 20 between them? 20 Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: The main problem that you're 21 A Oh, you mean the current delta here on... 21 having with your future -- with your forecasts is that 22 22 you value your gas production, the delta, at more than 23 A So you're implying that the company should -- for those 23 what is actually happening in real life. That is, the 24 costs the company absorbed, they shouldn't recover 24 price of gas is closer than the price of electricity; 25 those? 25 right?

25

express themselves through high electricity prices and

low natural gas prices.

Page 282 Page 284 1 1 A Yes, sir. And what we generally, then, have seen is -- we 2 Q That's what's happening? 2 get closer to delivery -- so next day, even the next 3 3 A (No audible response.) month, next hour -- the price of electricity comes 4 Q In 2024 that delta is low enough that you're at 4 down; natural gas stays pretty stable. I mean, it has 5 5 17 million or so, right at the dead band levels. In a downward trajectory. No question. But the 6 2023 you were not. There was a huge delta; correct? 6 magnitude, the issue we're talking about here is --7 7 A The delta was, I think, 23 million in '23. You might that value on your gas plants, this huge \$300 million 8 be referring to '22 where it was approaching 50-. 8 value moves down and becomes -- this isn't -- subject 9 9 Q Fair. '22, '23 -- high. to check, but it's an example -- you get \$200 million 10 Why isn't that coming back to normal? I mean, if 10 11 there was -- there was a war in Iraq that caused the 11 So the gas price didn't move a lot, but the 12 12 gas prices to go up; right? I mean, not in Iraq, in electricity price -- once everybody's right close to 13 Ukraine: correct? 13 delivery, they know what their resource positions are. 14 14 Yes. They're not as concerned -- they're concerned about the 15 Q Since then -- and we can go through each of the 15 deficits in the marketplace. So they don't want to 16 exhibits, but the gas prices in the United States have 16 sell forward; so you have these large premiums. 17 dropped -- right? -- back towards normal? 17 When you get there, everybody's out rushing to 18 18 A They -- I don't know that I would want to debate balance because they've been conservative in the 19 whether the gas prices are normal, but they're 19 forwards because they're concerned about resource 20 20 certainly low. adequacy, and so we're stuck taking the --21 Q They're under \$4 per million --21 (Reporter requests clarification.) 22 A Closer to \$2 at AECO, yeah. 2.2 THE WITNESS: The natural reaction to that, Q And they're -- we're -- the United States reserves are 23 23 at least historically, would have been to go out and 24 currently fairly full on natural gas, which is a 24 try to do some hedging -- buy some gas, sell some of 25 predictor that prices -- natural gas prices are going 25 that power forward to cover those positions. But there Page 283 Page 285 1 just isn't liquidity anymore. We can't lock any of 1 to stay low. 2 A Right. 2 that in, really, anymore. 3 Q You don't know what your hydro year is going to be next 3 So we're almost entirely exposed -- and that's 4 4 really a key issue, a key thing that has changed in the year, do you? 5 5 A We don't have any indication of what hydro will be next marketplace in the last two or three or four years. We 6 6 see this great value out there. We build it into year. We're using budgeted normals. 7 7 rates. And then, we get to the point where we can Q So isn't it true that your forecast is going to get 8 8 better? I mean, for 2024, 2025 when you've got low gas actually transact it, buy gas and sell electricity, the 9 9 price of electricity's collapsed on us. 10 10 Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: In your testimony have you A I don't think so. I think there's a fundamental 11 misunderstanding of what we're trying to do here, which 11 done a data analysis of the rate of collapse as it 12 12 approaches the future? Like, does it collapse by a set is reflect a chronic overestimation of that implied 13 market heat rate. 13 rate? Does it -- is there a formula or an algorithm 14 14 that you could write to capture that collapse in this Just for the commissioners, the implied market 15 15 heat rate is not rocket science. It's the mid-C price current market of scarcity? 16 divided by the price of natural gas; so it's a fairly 16 A I didn't do that analysis. All of it was provided to 17 17 your witness. He could have done those analyses -simple piece of math. What we see the forward 18 18 Q He didn't -- that analysis in your testimony, and I'm markets -- and I was able to demonstrate it. In my 19 19 asking if you did it. direct testimony, I had data going back to 2011 all the 20 way back. And what we've seen here in the more recent 20 A As a work -- no. There was -- there was no look back 21 at that. I mean, there is definitely trending analysis 21 years is -- when you look 14 months forward, you see a 22 premium -- the market just simply isn't willing to 22 that -- the analysis to show it goes all the way back 23 23 to 2011, as I said earlier. So the data exists. So if transact; so you end up with these large premiums which

that's what you mean by analysis was done, yes, it was.

24

25

But --

Page 286 Page 288 1 Q Are there any --1 And does it -- what is the relationship of the ERM 2 ATTY MEYER: Please allow the witness to 2 in terms of its regression analysis, the average miss, 3 3 to the implied heat rate -- market heat rate? What's finish his response. 4 4 Would you direct Counsel to do so. the -- what's the statistical correlation? 5 5 JUDGE THOMPSON: Yeah. Let's please be sure A First of all, I don't know what your expectation was 6 6 coming in or what my testimony would be. My testimony not to talk over one another. And so, if 7 7 Public Counsel is asking a question, let's let him is what it is. But as far as coming up with a 8 finish. And if the witness is providing a response, 8 mathematical way to do this work to reflect the changes 9 9 let's go ahead and hold the next question until that we've seen in the marketplace -- you've probably heard 10 response is finished, please, unless the response is 10 this before: If you could predict prices perfectly, 11 not directive to the question. Thank you. 11 you'd probably be doing something else associated with 12 12 ATTY MEYER: Thank you. a beverage and a beach. 13 Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: I've completely lost track 13 So the bottom line is there aren't simple 14 14 of where we were; so I don't know if you were speaking relationships like that. And in absence of that, you 15 15 look for something that is related to it. And I think 16 A I'll let you speak. 16 it's pretty clear that the differences between our --17 Q Have you looked for variables that track that collapse? 17 the authorized and actuals provide a decent place to 18 Natural gas delta collapse? 18 land. 19 A I would say my analysis was more reactionary in the 19 Q So your proposal is to take a three-year average. You 20 20 sense that it's quantifying what actually occurred. had three years that are high in terms of the 21 Company Witness Kinney talked about the fundamentals, 21 under-forecast of the -- under-forecasted value -- or 22 and we talk about -- in testimony, we talk about EIM. 22 over-forecasted value -- excuse me -- underachievement 23 23 We talk about resources adequacy. We talk about the of that forecast. 24 shift to renewables. We talk about CCA. We talk about 24 And your proposal averaged that out and added to 25 a lot variables. 25 the next year, 2025, and then shift by one year, add Page 287 Page 289 the two high years against the current low year, and 1 And I would say, in totality, individual specific 1 2 2 correlations -- I don't think a statistician would have then add that forward. You're not actually increasing 3 success identifying those tight R squares -- again, to 3 the accuracy in your test- -- your projections; you're 4 use another statistical term. But in totality, when 4 decreasing it. What you're doing is taking a high and 5 you look at them together, they express themself in a 5 averaging it out --6 dataset that we've used that I did on direct that 6 ATTY MEYER: Object --7 showed a rather large number, at least relative to what 7 ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: I haven't finished my 8 our rebuttal position was. And they expressed 8 question. 9 themselves as well through our ERM filings that we 9 ATTY MEYER: Well, there is no question. 10 based our rebuttal case upon. 10 That's my objection. It's testifying. If he has a 11 Q Where in your testimony did you talk about the 11 question, put the question to the witness, please. 12 R squared value of your forecast versus any variable? 12 ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: I'll try to restate 13 A So I was just using that as an example. I said I 13 it again. 14 don't -- wouldn't expect there to be a strong R square 14 JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. If we can go ahead 15 statistic. You were asking if there was an analysis or 15 and restate the question. 16 some type of a -- my words -- an equation or Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: All you're doing is shifting 16 17 representation or way to express those mathematically. 17 these three years into the next year. That's not 18 And I was just saying, assuming you were looking for a 18 making it more accurate; that's just shifting the loss. 19 mathematical representation that could be translated to 19 JUDGE THOMPSON: Can we have a question, 20 a power supply expense forecast, that there wouldn't be 20 please. 21 a way to do it. 21 ATTY MEYER: Where is the question? 22 Q I mean, to be honest with you, that's kind of what I 22 Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Correct? 23 expected to read in testimony about the change in your 23 A You're asking me if -- if you take a historical period 24 forecast. It's not there. This is just a calculation 24 and apply it to a future period, it's... 25 of average error, something I could do, math-wise. 25 Q All you're doing is taking the average highs and

Page 290 Page 292 1 shifting it forward one year to the next year for your 1 the market with forward contracts subjects the company 2 baseline forecast. That's what your proposal is. Take 2 and any other party in the market to a very high margin 3 a three-year average, add it to the baseline for this 3 call relative to history; is that correct? 4 4 year, and presume you'd add it to the baseline for the A Yes. 5 5 next year and year after that -- you're just shifting Q And it -- these changed conditions related to the high 6 whatever the losses or gains in that year forward a 6 electric prices, that affects all participants? 7 7 year; correct? A It does 8 A I think a person could imply that's -- I mean, that's 8 Q That includes Puget Sound Energy, for example? 9 9 the basic math. The simpleton math is certainly that. A Yes. 10 But what I view it as is a reflection of conditions 10 Q And what was marked as Exhibit 9 -- it's 20- -- it's 11 11 CGK-27X now -- this is the testimony of Brennan Mueller we've seen in the marketplace for a number of years and 12 12 we expect to continue for many years. from April 30th of this year. Did you have a chance to 13 And if you look at history of that illustration 13 review that? 14 A I did, yeah. that you had in the exhibit, it shows this circumstance 14 15 will carry, whether it's up or down, extend for many 15 Q Can you turn to page 12. 16 years. So I view it quite a bit differently than that. 16 ATTY MEYER: I'm sorry. I lost the exhibit 17 Q I often aspire to be accused of being a simpleton. 17 reference number. What is that? 18 A Oh, sorry. I didn't accuse you of --18 ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: It was R9, but it's 19 Q Simple truths are often very profound. You're 19 27X -- CGK-27X. ATTY MEYER: Thank you. 20 averaging losses and gains and asking it to be applied. 20 21 You're not talking about improving your forecast; 21 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Did you say page... 22 correct? 22 Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: I did. Page 12. 23 23 A I believe that using recent historical conditions --A Page 12 of 29? Or 15 of 32 -- I see the exhibit 24 outcomes based on actual conditions will be a better 24 number --25 25 Q Yeah. Let me pull it up so I have it. Hang on a forecasting of the future than ignoring the Page 291 Page 293 1 circumstances of history -- of recent history. second. 1 2 Q Let's talk about -- let's talk about hedging. 2 A Maybe you're referring to Table 2. 3 Normally, hedging is a practice that reduces the impact 3 THE WITNESS: Sorry. Sorry about... 4 of volatility. That's the traditional definition; 4 Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Yeah. I'm actually page 12 5 5 correct? of 29 on the bottom. 6 A Yes. 6 A Okay. I'm there. 7 7 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I'm sorry, Counsel. Q And you claim that there's a decrease in liquidity, 8 8 particularly in the bilateral market; is that correct? There's two exhibits included in this, at least that I 9 A For certain products that we need to hedge our gas --9 have. One that's an April 2024, and one's a 10 our gas plant portfolio, certainly. 10 September 2024. I might have this incorrectly in my 11 Q What you actually say -- and this is on page 29 of your 11 book but... 12 12 testimony from 7 to 8. ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: I hope you have it A Of, again, rebuttal? I think --13 13 incorrectly in your book because I only have the April 14 Q Yes. I'm sorry. Rebuttal. 14 version. 15 CHAIR DANNER: Did you say page 28? 15 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: All right. So let's ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: 29, lines 7 to 8. 16 16 go with the April version. Thank you. 17 CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. 17 ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: I apologize. THE WITNESS: I'm there. 18 18 Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: And actually -- and I even 19 Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: You write, "Premiums in the 19 got the page number -- page 13 is the page I'm most 20 marketplace for these forward contracts are high, 20 interested in, lines 1 to 3. 21 21 meaning that we cannot transact under favorable terms "The 19.7 million net increase relative to rates 22 even when forward market prices show an opportunity." 22 from the spot market purchases and sales was more than 23 Did I read that correctly? 23 offset by benefits from financial hedge contracts that 24 A Yes. 24 were 57.9 million higher than forecasted in rates." 25 Q With increased market volatility, taking a position in 25 Did I read that correctly?

A I've done that work after the fact. Again, when the

Page 294 Page 296 1 A Yes. 1 work was originally done, it was more to reflect 2 Q So in 2023 Puget Sound Energy was able to do these 2 conditions we're seeing in the marketplace that had 3 financial hedge contracts on their power costs and 3 changed. So when that occurred, it made sense to go 4 were -- was able to generate 57.9 million in revenue. 4 back about three years when we started seeing these 5 5 I take it that, when you say that it's not possible to major changes. So you can certainly go back and add 6 hedge, your position will be that PSE's different than 6 two more years. The forecast error will go down. 7 7 Avista? Simple math. 8 A I can't purport to say what specific hedges Puget's 8 But again, trying to reflect the future, not all 9 9 talking about here. I can only say that we are unable of the past -- and I guess what that means is -- the 10 to find counter-parties to hedge the surplus natural 10 more -- most recent couple three years is, in my view, 11 gas-fired generation that we have. 11 uniquely different than the years prior to that, at Q Have you talked to Puget Sound about how they were able 12 12 least a number of the years. Certainly, if you went to generate \$59.7 million in financial hedge contracts 13 13 back to the early years of the ERM, you'd see some 14 to offset their power costs in 2023? 14 similar type of deltas between the actual and 15 A I have not. Although I have no reason to believe that 15 authorized. 16 Q You... 16 it had to do with their gas-fired portfolio. And I 17 don't see any reference to that here. 17 Page 54 of your testimony. 18 Q Fair. Could be that's the difference. Is it possible 18 A I'm there. 19 that Puget Sound Energy's hedging professionals are 19 Q This is testimony that you gave in response to 20 20 testimony from public counsel's expert about the EIM just better than Avista's? benefits? 21 A Puget Sound Energy has a gross deficiency for meeting 21 A Yes. 22 their peak load obligations and has to buy, out of the 22 Q And if you look at line 6, you'll -- you've pointed 23 power supply market, substantial energy quantities. 23 24 Q Do you know whether those financial head contracts were 24 out, for example, there's only 25 months of actual EIM 25 bilateral --25 data to evaluate? Page 295 Page 297 1 ATTY MEYER: Was the witnessing finished with 1 A That's right. 2 his last answer? 2 Q Then you go on to say, at the bottom, on line 13 to 15, 3 Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: I'm sorry. I didn't mean 3 "One should be cautious when using the short historical 4 4 to. I thought you were. dataset, especially when conditions do not reflect 5 5 median conditions." Did I read that correctly? A I think you got the cue right. Yes, I was done. 6 6 ATTY MEYER: You were done. Thank you. A Yes. 7 7 ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: I thought I had a Q You stand by that testimony? 8 8 good read there. Now, I've forgotten my question, but A I do. And I think everybody in this room should be it was a good objection. I'll just -- I'll leave it. 9 9 cautious when we move away from, say, a 5-year average 10 That's fine. Thank you. 10 or a 20-year average. There should be reasoning why 11 Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: I want to go a little bit 11 you do it. And I think I've explained, as did the over ground that Mr. Roberson covered. So I don't want 12 12 Company Witness Kinney, why we chose '18 through 20- --13 to repeat it all. Do you remember hearing him asking 13 excuse me, '21, '22, and '23. 14 you about why you picked three years versus five years? 14 Q What I remember is -- the question was -- did you 15 15 Versus ten years? Versus four years? choose the years that had the most loss to the company, 16 A You mean in regard to using the historical actuals 16 and his answer was yes. Is that what you remember? 17 versus authorized? 17 A His answer was that those happened to be the 18 18 Q Mm-hmm. three years. You didn't ask them if there was a reason 19 19 A We had a, yeah, short conversation there. Sure. that you might not include the other two years. 20 Q Did you run any analysis of whether or not a 20 Q Okay. If -- in the early years, 2003 to 2008, where 21 21 five-year -- or adjustment that was based on there were significant under-collections and search 22 22 five years, rather, would be more or less predictive of options, what was the -- was there volatility in 23 the future volatility in the heat rate -- market heat 23 fundamental market changes in that time frame? 24 rate? 24 A Yeah. During the energy crisis, absolutely.

Q Well, the energy crisis was 2000-ish. That's Enron;

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 298

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

23

24

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

right? 2003 to 2008, was there a fundamental change in market that caused the implied market heat rate to change so that your forecasts were off back then?

A So yeah. This is important. So in that period of time, it wasn't so much that the implied market heat rate was down. What was driving our costs at that time was a collapse in natural gas prices from -- pushing close to \$10, working their way down to \$2.

So again, we had a completely different market circumstance for that period of roughly a decade. So -- and I think we have testimony explaining, through all of those periods, just a few tens of millions of dollars was the value of our gas leak.

What's happens in the last three years is it's gone up to be 300 million and really reflects -- and that is, to me personally, the crux of this -- of this adjustment -- is the fact that the market conditions really have pivoted in the last three years. And we see no reason that that won't continue until the region can build out capacity for the region.

- Q You didn't, in 2008, suggest that we modify your forecasts to better capture the change in natural gas prices, did you?
- A There were a number of parties that wanted to do something different. And we recognized that we were

Page 300

- and it was, I believe, a couple cycles before -- before that. But I don't know specifically.
  - Q You settled on three months as an average for those forwards?
  - A 60 days, which approximates three trading months, yes.
  - Q There's a difference between forwards and futures -(Reporter requests clarification.)
  - Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: There's a difference between forward prices and future price market; correct?
- 10 A I don't think in our business there is.
  - Q Okay. Have you considered altering that forecast -- those forecast price values?
- 13 A I think that would be a good thing to consider going 14 forward. We -- in my rebuttal testimony, I talked 15 about some power supply workshops. That may be 16 something we should consider to address that. For 17 example, that implied market heat rate. Maybe it's 18 better to use an historical implied market heat rate 19 anchored off the gas price versus putting gases and 20 electric in. But in the interest of the time we had 21 for this case, there just wasn't time for a workshop.
- Q Why not just take the time to get it right, then?
  - A I think you'd be asking the company to absorb substantial -- potentially tens of millions of dollars in losses. We're making a best effort here to get an

Page 299

overstating the costs in 2017. We did a workshop that ultimately -- we weren't able to come up with a methodology to address the specifics of the drivers of those costs. So --

- Q Well, now you're -- sorry. Just to interrupt, you've jumped -- period. So we've got the first period, where there were surcharges. Then there -- the long period where there were refunds. And then now there's a short period of surcharges; right?
- A That's right.
- Q So I want to talk about that first period where there were surcharges. You didn't -- in 2008 you didn't come in and say, "We need to change the forecast modeling," did you?
- A In 2008 -- now you're asking me to go back far enough in time to determine what we were doing at that point. I actually honestly cannot recall and would have to go research that if we had even moved yet to today's methodology, which is to use forwards. I don't think we had. I think we still were putting gas prices into Aurora, pushing the button and generating electricity prices.
- Q When did you add forwards?
- A I can say with certainty that we added forwards after the power supply methodology process happened but --

estimate that's reasonable that is based on actual historical experience and actual expenditures and actual errors.

- Q You know that the -- you know the maximum amount you could lose next year through the dead bands. I mean, that's a knowable quantity. It's not hundreds of millions of dollars. It's a set rate. I mean, you could do the calculations; right?
- A So you're suggesting that we just absorb the dead band and the 10 percent as opposed to making any adjustment at all?

(Reporter requests clarification.)

- Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: As opposed to the consumers, yes, I am. Why wouldn't we do that?
- A Because the cost that we demonstrate in those ERM proceedings are based on prudently incurred costs.

  They're not errors or choices the company made that were improved. So customers should recover -- should pay for those costs.
- Q Customers will pay for the cost. That's what the ERM does. They pay a surcharge; right?
- A No, sir.
- Q All right. Well, we can disagree. Thank you.
  JUDGE THOMPSON: Is that all the gues

JUDGE THOMPSON: Is that all the questions?
ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Yeah.

Page 301

	Page 302		Page 304
1	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay.	1	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2	AWEC?	2	BY ATTY MEYER:
3		3	Q I think your testimony was fairly clear, as I
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION	4	understood it, Mr. Kalich. But you proposed, as you
5	BY ATTY MOSER:	5	just mentioned, that the company would willingly
6	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Kalich. How are you?	6	participate in workshops to try and get to the bottom
7	A I'm well. Good afternoon.	7	of this through that process; correct?
8	Q Good. I have just a few questions for you, and I'm	8	A That's correct.
9	going to try do it without pointing you to specific	9	Q And at best, that would not lead to possible solutions
10	exhibits. But if I need to, I can. They're pretty	10	or changes that could be implemented until the next
11	high level.	11	rate filing general rate filing; correct?
12	Would you agree that the forecast error adjustment	12	A Likely, it could. My recollection, subject to check,
13	is a pretty controversial adjustment in this case?	13	is the workshops proceedings we did last time took a
14	A With between AWEC, Staff, and the interveners, yes.	14	little over two years; so I think we had one rate
15	Q Yes. Yes. There's not agreement among the parties?	15	filing where we essentially just provided an update on
16	A Absolutely not.	16	the process.
17	Q And going back to 2017 the 2017 rate case, there was	17	Q So if that is the only approach to take here, just meet
18	also a lot of consternation among the non-company	18	and confer rather than in a workshop rather than
19	parties about the way that the company was is or	19	address here and now in this case for the next
20	was forecasting its power cost in that case; correct?	20	two years of the rate plan, will the company be
21 22	A Yes.	21 22	adversely affected financially?
23	Q And that's what got us into a series of workshops to get to the current methodology today?	23	A That's why we proposed the adjustment that we did here based on the methodology we included. Because we
24	A That's correct.	24	needed to make sure that we could get a better
25	Q Okay. And then the exhibits that we included as cross	25	approximation of power supply expense in the interim.
	Chay: 7 and anon the exhibite that he moladed de creece		аррголиналог ог ромог варру влючное ит ите инстип.
	Page 303		Page 305
1	exhibits provide some updates on how that process went	1	Q So simply put, why not do both? Is that your position?
2	as we moved through it. So there's your direct and	2	Recover now
3	rebuttal testimony from the 2019 rate case and then	3	A I would welcome doing both.
4	your testimony in the 2020 rate case. And generally	4	Q Okay. Thank you. That's all I have.
5	speaking, when I reviewed those documents, I took it	5	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.
6	as the company's experience through that process was	6	And do we have any questions from the bench?
7	favorable. Would you agree?	7	Okay. You may be dismissed at this time. Thank
8	A Absolutely.	8	you for your testimony.
9	Q And so, in this case where we're faced with another	9 10	THE WITNESS: Thank you.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. At this time, would
10	significant controversial issue in the company's power	11	the company be willing to call Witness Andrews?
11	cost forecast, wouldn't it be a benefit to work through	12	ATTY MEYER: We would be.
12 13	this issue in a collaborative workshop process?  A And we're offering to do that on rebuttal	13	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.
14	<ul><li>A And we're offering to do that on rebuttal.</li><li>Q Okay. So the company is willing to engage in that</li></ul>	14	ATTY MEYER: Thank you.
15	process going forward; it's just that you're not	15	JUDGE THOMPSON: And I do not believe we have
16	willing to remove the request for an adjustment?	16	any cross from the parties for this witness, but I just
17	A Yeah. We're just simply, we need to have better	17	wanted to double-check if that is still the case.
18	recovery of our costs.	18	Okay. I'm seeing head nods. Thank you.
19	Q Okay. Thank you.	19	MS. ANDREWS: Good afternoon.
	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.	20	JUDGE THOMPSON: Good afternoon.
20	Is there any redirect?	21	Are you ready?
20 21	10 1110.0 011, 100110011	22	MS. ANDREWS: I am.
	ATTY MEYER: Just a follow-on to that last a		HIDOE THOMBSON, Ober 16 con and all all
21	•	23	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. If you could please
21 22	ATTY MEYER: Just a follow-on to that last a	23 24	raise your right hand.
21 22 23	ATTY MEYER: Just a follow-on to that last a	23	

trying to make determinations in what our capital

## Page 306 Page 308 1 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be 1 investment is going to be in that rate year. 2 seated. 2 So the provisional capital report, in my opinion, 3 3 Counsel, you may proceed. takes into consideration the fact that we have the 4 4 ATTY MEYER: Thank you. And her opportunity to provide what we -- we provide what we 5 5 qualifications are attached to her testimony; so she's can in the case. And in our case, we have thousands of 6 available for cross-examination. 6 pages of documentation that we've provided to this 7 7 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Commissioners, commission in this case around what we expect our 8 I'll give you just a second. 8 capital investment to be through business cases and 9 9 CHAIR DANNER: Yeah. If you would give me materials. 10 just a second to get my materials here. 10 But with the provisional capital report, we have 11 All right. Good afternoon. I think I'm ready. 11 the opportunity to provide what actually happens 12 THE WITNESS: Afternoon. 12 through this commission. And if we, for example, trade 13 CHAIR DANNER: So my question -- in your 13 out -- you know, we determine, as we go through the 14 rebuttal testimony, which is at EMA-16, at page 18, you 14 year -- and we look at our capital investment monthly 15 respond to AWEC's capital investment testimony 15 to determine if the levels that we're spending, what 16 16 addressing the need for flexibility in capital projects we're doing, is appropriate for operations. We may 17 and expenditures over the course of a multi-year rate 17 have to shift as things -- as we -- as those -- as we 18 plan and the documentation provided to support the 18 go through the year and we go into the next year. 19 expenditures. And you testified, "The company 19 If we change and add a new business case, for 20 continues to experience earnings erosion despite 20 example, then when we provided our capital report --21 implementation of multi-year rate plans," but you don't 21 which we have done now for '22 and '23 -- any new 22 directly address AWEC's concern related to the 22 business cases, we provided with that provisional cap 23 23 inclusion of new business cases at the time of report. And I will say that they are typically few and 24 provisional plant review. 24 far between. There may be variances between what we 25 Setting aside your argument about flexibility, do 25 thought we were going to spend or the timing of when Page 307 Page 309 1 you believe the provisional plant review process -- a 1 that investment goes in, but there has been a pretty 2 2 form of compliance process is appropriate for wholly limited number of new business cases that maybe was 3 new projects to be introduced? 3 contemplated here. So at least that's what our 4 THE WITNESS: Good question. I do. And I 4 experience has been. 5 5 So I think there's opportunity -- because there is think that this -- sorry? 6 JUDGE THOMPSON: If you could please turn on 6 limited new business cases, there's plenty opportunity 7 7 your microphone. for parties to review that information. And it's after 8 THE WITNESS: Oh. I talk loud enough, I 8 the fact. It's actuals, now, that they get to review. 9 thought it was on. 9 And if this commission approves what Staff has 10 10 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. That's just for proposed, parties will have six months to review that 11 11 the folks online. Thank you. information, which is actually more than they typically 12 12 THE WITNESS: Sorry about that. Try that do in a rate case. 13 13 again. Gather my thoughts again. CHAIR DANNER: But the -- you're saying 14 14 I do. And I think that the review process that -- the information is there for proper prudency 15 contemplates the fact that, when we file -- when we 15 review, even though we're constrained to a two-year 16 file a rate case -- a two-year rate plan, especially, 16 rate plan, that we're not -- you know, we're not 17 or any multi-year rate plan with this commission -- we 17 finding ourselves outside of that bounds of that rate 18 will make assumptions and projections of assumed plan. 18 plan? 19 We obviously built in, in this case, capital investment 19 THE WITNESS: Absolutely not. I mean, unless 20 for Calendar '25 and '26. That is many months from the 20 I'm misunderstanding what you're asking me, I feel like 21 2.1 time we file our rate case, put our materials together, we're -- we're providing ample information when we file 22 22 determine the investment that we are going to include our case. We determine the level of rate base. The 23 23 Commission approves the level of rate base that the in our rate plan. That is many years -- could be 24 24 12 months, 24, 36, depending on the year -- that we're company then has to come back and prove that that level

that you approved actually happened. And if it didn't,

24

25

that and doing an entirely different project, which is

different than the programmatic. How do you think

that -- how would you speak to that?

## Page 310 Page 312 1 then, obviously, it's subject to refund, and so 1 THE WITNESS: Well, I think, again, when 2 customers are protected. And under this methodology, 2 we're talking about -- you know, here we were -- built 3 3 it also protects the company. up the capital investment. And even though we had an 4 4 CHAIR DANNER: Okay. opportunity to update things during the process, at 5 5 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So that's under a least for the first three off four months of this year, 6 6 portfolio basis review; correct? we're still talking about what could happen in '25 and 7 7 THE WITNESS: Yes. 8 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So if the Commission, 8 There is -- I can't think of anything that comes 9 9 in our policy statement, had said, "No. We're going to to mind right now because the majority, 80-plus or more 10 do a project-by-project review," then this would not be 10 percent of the capital investment that we've built into 11 the case? 11 our case, you can see within the testimony is projects 12 12 THE WITNESS: It would be -- I think if you or business cases that are kind of ongoing or -- you 13 did a project-by-project review, which -- I understand 13 know what types they are. So there's -- pretty limited 14 that is what AWEC's witness Mr. Mullins is 14 amount of new business cases. And I would think that, 15 suggesting -- I think that you put -- I think it just 15 if we made that choice, there's a good, solid business 16 16 puts too much at risk. And it might be different in reason for it. 17 the days when we were only building in -- you know, we 17 And when we file our capital report, to describe 18 18 were only allowed to build in capital investment even that -- we should be describing that to you. We should be giving you the business case. We should be 19 before any rates went into effect. And we were only 19 20 20 dealing typically with one-year rate plans. explaining why we chose to use that -- to include that 21 When you're talking about multi-year rate plans, 21 project. And, by the way, if something else got moved to the wayside, we explain why that project also got 22 it makes it very difficult on the company to assume 22 23 23 that, when we put our materials together, let's say -moved and we moved in a different direction. It's just 24 we finalized numbers in November/December of last year, 24 operational. And I can't -- I can't think of an 25 and we filed our case in January. We're talking about 25 example right now, but I think it's important to have Page 311 Page 313 1 1 a two-year rate plan with capital investment that's that flexibility. 2 going to occur in '25 and '26. 2 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So for the provisional 3 And so, what you run into is -- the company needs 3 reviews -- and this is still somewhat new for the 4 to have the ability to operate its business. And there 4 Commission -- we haven't had any of those contested 5 may be choices that have to be made as we actually get 5 before the Commission at this point: correct? 6 into that two-year rate plan to run our business 6 THE WITNESS: We have not. Because we've had 7 appropriately. Examples are -- we have a wildfire that 7 two reports in front of you, 20- -- our Calendar 2022 8 comes through, and now we have new capital investment 8 and Calendar 2023. It was reviewed, and Staff filed 9 to replace that wildfire. Are we supposed to not do 9 reports with the Commission stating that they had no 10 that and stick to the -- what was so scripted? I just 10 concerns that we met our obligations or we met -- we --11 think --11 in both years, I believe our overall net rate base was 12 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I think there's --12 higher than what the Commission had approved. And so, 13 THE WITNESS: -- we don't want to be 13 there wasn't any contested because I don't think there penalized for that. Sorrv. 14 14 was anything to contest. And the Commission accepted 15 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: No. I'm sorry to 15 those reports. 16 speak over you. 16 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So in your rebuttal 17 So I think there may be a difference between some 17 testimony at page 23, lines 6 through 8, you testified 18 of those investments that are essentially -- you know, 18 that the company has a long-standing practice of 19 for years we talked about pole replacement. That's 19 constraining the level of capital investment. 20 sort of a program -- programmatic level of investment 20 Do you see that? 21 versus -- I'm thinking developing one plant or 21 THE WITNESS: I do. 22 investment in a specific project and then not doing 22 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So, you know, we just

talked about those 2022 and 2023 provisional plant

reviews. Are you aware that those -- the documents

that support those plant reviews reflect that the

23

24

1.8

2.1

# Page 314

company exceeded the level of capital investment authorized by the 2022 GRC final order and settlement agreement?

THE WITNESS: I do.

COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So how does this represent cost control on behalf of company if we approve a rate and then the company comes back in provisional capital and that's a larger amount?

THE WITNESS: Well, again, as I mentioned earlier, we're trying to determine the investments way in advance of when it actually occurs. So I would expect that costs would change. I think we also saw -- it's not surprising to me that our capital investment for even the projects -- even if we had nothing new -- and I don't remember there -- I don't recall there being any real big changes in, like, new investment that -- business cases we had to include that wasn't already included in the case. I think there was maybe one or two, that I recall.

But it's not surprising to me that the cost would be higher because, at the time we filed those business cases and determined what we thought those plant investments were going to be, a lot has changed. We talked about inflationary pressures and cost increases. Those obviously would also have an impact on those incurred. And it was, you know, several million

dollars above what the Commission approved on a revenue requirement basis. I'm not even talking on investment. You know, I would hope the doors -- close, that the company might be able to seek recovery of that besides

just in the next rate case. Otherwise, it's just regulatory lag that we've experience before but...

COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So where the company is expending a level of capital supplement that exceeds your projected capital investments that we improved in the general rate case and that the company continues to argue earnings erosion, how does spending in excess of what we agreed to and that we authorized in the rate case and assessed a rate of return based on that -- how does that not contribute to earnings erosion?

THE WITNESS: Well, obviously, if, as we move through time, any of our expected costs -- whether it be capital investment or expenses -- if we spend more than what we anticipate, there's going to be earnings erosion.

The question is -- are the actions that the company made -- were they prudent to make those investments? And I think that what Mr. Christie's testimony showed is that -- one of the things that we're running up against is that we have a level of

Page 315

Page 317

Page 316

investments that we were making in '22, in '23, in '24, from when we first had initial expectations of what those costs would be. That -- obviously, inflationary has kind of hit across the whole utility, including capital investment cost.

COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So not only are you saying that the company can add additional projects that weren't included, but the company can increase what was already authorized in the case in those provisional plants reviews?

THE WITNESS: Well, what are -- we were tasked to do, of course, was to provide support of what actually happened each of those years compared to what the Commission authorized. And so, we -- I don't believe the door is closed on that if, let's say, we come to find out that whatever happened -- prudently incurred capital investment was significantly higher than what the Commission had approved. We aren't -- we weren't able to defer that or build that into recovery. Of course, any change in investment would just be -- would be a part of our test period in the future case.

I don't know if the doors closed that -- let's say we come to find that we have some large -- maybe a large wildfire or something -- some larger capital investment that occurred that was necessary -- prudent capital investment that we're doing annually, whether it be 500, 525. We have hundreds of millions of dollars in other projects that our company is saying, "Hey, we need to do these, but we were having to manage what is right for right now in each year," and that changes over time.

And so, you've got a combination of more and more investment that's necessary to run our business, to provide reliable service for our customers. We have costs pressures from inflationary things that's also causing -- those capital investments that we originally thought maybe would cost this are costing more. We have issues, like this says, with project delays or just being able to have -- you know, we contract a lot of some of that work that -- you know, do you have the contracts involved?

I mean, all those things put pressure on the overall cost. It doesn't mean that the company isn't managing its costs or doing the best that it can to keep its costs down for its customers, but it's all those things that kind of fall in the mix that put pressure on those costs.

We're doing the best that we can, and it may likely -- you know, we're trying to keep our costs where -- what we've included in the cases and -- so we

21

22

23

24

25

reliable utility.

our business, do the right thing for customers, have a

It's not about spending up to whatever you

utility, doing the right thing for our customers, and

doing what we have to do in order to keep -- you know,

approve. It's about doing the right thing for the

## Page 318 Page 320 1 don't have as much erosion. But I think it's going to 1 keep the utility running where it should be to be reliable. 2 be difficult for the company to say, like, for anyone, 2 3 3 the -- you know, what you budget and what you expect is CHAIR DANNER: Okay. Do you have -- oh, all 4 4 that -- exactly what things come to. right. 5 5 I think what you look at is that -- I don't think Thank you very much. All right. That's all I 6 it was huge increases above what we had built in to the 6 have. Thank you very much. 7 case. But it also was not below; and therefore, there 7 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. I believe that's 8 was no need for a determination of refund to customers. 8 all the questions. You may be dismissed. 9 9 CHAIR DANNER: So how do we keep these Okay. At this time, we will take a quick 10 situations where the capital investment exceeds the 10 ten-minute recess, and then we plan to go ahead and 11 11 finish up with Witness Bonfield, if nobody has any projects costs from -- you say it doesn't happen often; 12 12 so how do we make this continue to be the exception and objection to that. Surveying the room... 13 not become the norm? I mean, it's -- I'm trying to 13 ATTY MEYER: And just in the interest of 14 14 figure out how to put -- you say, "Oh, we're doing our completeness, we also have Witness Miller who might 15 best to make sure we're controlling costs." 15 have just a bit of cross. I don't know. It would be 16 How do we do our best to make sure that you're 16 nice just to finish the entire panel of everyone today. 17 trying to control costs? 17 JUDGE THOMPSON: I believe that the cross for 18 THE WITNESS: Well, you know, it's -- our 18 Witness Miller originally was from Public Counsel. 19 capital investment, we -- the information that we're 19 When I sent out the revised updated cross list, I 20 20 providing, we provide in the record we provide through changed that time estimate in the Public Counsel time 21 the provisional capital report. It's really no 21 column but did not think to change it in the total time 22 different than previous cases where the prudency of 22 estimate. And so, subject -- I'm going to look to my 23 23 those investments are -- can be looked at and reviewed right. Commissioners, do you have any questions for... 24 and -- I'm not really sure what else to say. 24 CHAIR DANNER: I have no questions for Miller 25 25 I mean -- I mean, commissioner -- or -if there's no cross of Miller. Page 319 Page 321 ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: I think we'd 1 commissioner -- excuse me -- Kevin Christie, in his 1 2 2 direct testimony, talks -- explains our process, our discussed at the beginning of the hearing -- the 3 vetting process of capital. And I -- there is a few 3 company and Public Counsel came to an agreement on the 4 4 testimony. That's the new exhibit that got filed. But people in this room that sit on monthly -- what we call 5 CPG, or capital project committee -- that's not guite 5 AWEC wanted to ask questions that followed up on those 6 right -- but that monthly review our capital to make 6 answers 7 JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. sure -- are we -- we have all this investment that 7 8 needs to be done. Are we doing what we should be doing 8 ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: It's a fair --9 now and as we move forward? And there is a lot of 9 two-page document; so I don't think it's going to be a 10 10 vetting to say, "This project needs to wait. This" -lot, honestly. 11 11 ATTY PEPPLE: I was anticipating 15 minutes "now we need" -- you know, "If this project is less, 12 12 then we're going to" -- you know, "can we use it here or so. 13 13 where it's needed?" JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. So then, after a ten-minute break, we'll go ahead and proceed with 14 I mean, there is so much analysis that's done by 14 15 the company from the top down of trying to determine 15 Witness Miller and then finish up with 16 the right capital investment that should be done in a 16 Witness Bonfield, if that's okay with the court 17 year. That's why I feel confident and comfortable that 17 reporter. Is that... 18 what we're including in these cases are prudent and 18 THE REPORTER: (No audible response.) 19 need recovery from this commission in order to manage JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. 19

ATTY MEYER: Thank you.

the record, and we will come back at 4:40.

(Break in proceedings at 4:30 p.m.)

JUDGE THOMPSON: Before we swear in

Witness Miller, I'm going to turn to Public Counsel for

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. We will be off

20

21

22

23

24

Page 322 Page 324 1 a quick housekeeping matter. 1 Public Counsel cross exhibit that I believe has been 2 2 ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Thank you, Your marked JDM-20X. It's a series of cross questions and 3 3 answers that have been stipulated into the record. Honor. In my opening statement, I referenced from 4 4 comments that are from the public comment matrix that Do you have that? 5 5 Public Counsel is in the process of preparing and will A Yes. 6 6 Q Okay. So in this document, you testify that an equal be filing in a week's time from now. 7 7 spread of the rate increase from your initial testimony I provided to the parties copies of those 8 comments, and I'm going to provided them to the bench 8 makes a modest movement toward rate parity on a return 9 9 ratio basis. Do you recall that? as well so that you have them because those -- that 10 exhibit won't be filed for a week. And that's -- and I 10 A I do. 11 move for -- I don't know if I can -- if you want, I can 11 JUDGE THOMPSON: And, Mr. Miller, if you 12 12 move for those to be admitted as an exhibit now and could please speak into the mic. Thank you. And if 13 then replaced with the pub- -- the full matrix. That 13 you could just repeat your answer. Thank you. 14 14 might be most logical thing to do. THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. 15 JUDGE THOMPSON: Yeah. We'll go ahead and 15 Q BY ATTY PEPPLE: It does not, however, make any 16 treat it as a motion for leave to file, and that will 16 movement toward rate parity on a parity ratio basis, 17 be granted. 17 though, does it? 18 So if you could follow up in writing, perhaps 18 A That's correct. On a revenue to --19 Wednesday after -- or if we get done early tomorrow and 19 (Reporter requests clarification.) 20 20 ATTY PEPPLE: Did you get that answer? you have time, whenever's convenient, and we'll go 21 21 ahead and expect to see that. But the motion is (Reporter requests clarification.) 22 granted. 22 Q BY ATTY PEPPLE: Sorry. Could you repeat that answer. 23 23 ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Thank you. A I said that is correct. It does not make movement on a JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Are we ready to turn 24 24 revenue-to-cost basis. 25 25 Q Okay. And can you just explain briefly what the to Witness Miller? Okay. Page 325 Page 323 1 difference is between parity ratio and return ratio. 1 If you could please raise your right hand, I'll 2 swear you in. 2 A Yeah. So when we talk about a return ratio, it's 3 (Witness duly sworn.) 3 measuring the rate of return by each schedule. So 4 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be 4 their relationship, their proportional rate of return 5 5 to the overall rate of return at present rates as seated. 6 And, Counsel, you may proceed. 6 compared to revenue to cost, which is their overall 7 ATTY MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. 7 revenue compared to their allocated cost from the cost 8 8 of service model. 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION 9 The return ratio ends up being a smaller delta 10 BY ATTY MEYER: 10 between the ratios; so it's a little bit more -- the 11 Q For the record, please state your name, your employer, 11 ratios involve -- they're directionally the same as the 12 12 and your position. return ratio, but they are more, I guess, diverse. And 13 A My name is Joseph Miller. I work for Avista Utilities 13 it's easier to make a little bit of movement in that as senior manager of rates and tariffs. 14 14 ratio. 15 Q Okay. So I guess maybe -- is another way to say it 15 ATTY MEYER: With all of the exhibit material 16 being entered, the witness is available for cross. 16 that, with a return ratio, absolute dollar amount of 17 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. 17 subsidy from one class to another does not change? 18 A That is correct. AWEC, you may proceed. 18 19 ATTY PEPPLE: Thank you, Your Honor. 19 Q Okay. So I guess, if we're interested in moving rate 20 20 classes closer to unity relative to each other, what we 21 CROSS-EXAMINATION 21 care about is parity ratio, not return ratio; is that 22 BY ATTY PEPPLE: 22 right? 23 23 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Miller. A It might be that would be a more accurate ratio to look 24 A Good afternoon. 24 at. And I think that's acknowledged in the cost of 25 Q My questions for you are in response to a 25 service -- the WAC rules governing the cost of service,

	Page 326	Page 328
1	which identifies the revenue-to-cost ratio as the ratio	1 Avista is awarded everything it asks for, and the
2	to show amongst the parties.	2 Commission adopts the rate spread from your rebuttal
3	Q Okay. Thank you.	3 testimony, the incremental impact to residential
4	Now, you also testified in this exhibit that the	4 customers, relative to a uniform increase in the first
5	Commission awarded Avista its if the Commission	5 year, would be about 9 million. And in the second
6	award Avista its full rate increase from its rebuttal	6 year, the incremental impact would be about 14 million;
7	testimony and adopted AWEC's rate spread, the result	7 is that right? Subject to check?
8	would be a \$23 million increase for residential	8 A Yes. To subject to check.
9	customers above what that class would experience at an	9 Q Okay. And then, at the same time that residential
10	equal spread. Do you recall that?	10 customers see the second-year rate increase, they will
11	A I do.	also see a \$17 million reduction associated with
12	Q Okay. And to be clear, that \$23 million number is an	12 Colstrip; right?
13	aggregate number over both years of the rate plan; is	13 A Yes. That's correct.
14	that right?	14 Q Okay. So in terms of a build impact, would you agree
15	A That's correct.	that, if the Commission ordered a uniform spread of the
16	Q So if we're only looking at the first year of the rate	rate increase, residential customers would actually see
17	plan, the difference is closer to 9 million. Would you	17 a lower than average increase in Year 2?
18	agree with that?	18 A Yes. Subject to check.
19	A Subject to check, yes.	19 Q Okay. One other topic you covered in this exhibit is
20	Q And in addition, residential customers will see an	20 battery storage. You testified that the cost of
21	offsetting reduction when Colstrip rolls out of rates	battery storage is expected to decrease in the future.
22	at the end of 2025; is that right?	22 Do you recall that?
23	A Yes. That's correct.	23 A Yeah. It's per the EIA report that's provided. That
24	Q And that reduction for residential customers is about	24 report indicated that battery storage
25	17 million. Would you agree with that?	25 (Reporter requests clarification.)
	Page 327	Page 329
1	A Subject to check.	1 THE WITNESS: was going to increase.
2	Q Sure. If you could, do you have Exhibit 4 from your	2 Q BY ATTY PEPPLE: I'm sorry. Was going to increase? Or
3	JDM-4?	3 decrease?
4	A I do.	4 A Excuse me. Decrease.
5	Q Okay. And then, just looking at the first page there,	5 Q Okay. Are you familiar with ELCC, or effective load
6	for Rate Year 2, there's a column that says	6 carrying capability?
7	"Schedule 99, Colstrip rate adjustment." Do you see	7 A lam not.
8	that?	8 Q Okay. I thought you might not be. I have an exhibit
9	A Yes, I do.	9 that I would like to hand out, which is just an excerpt
10	Q And what's the negative dollar value for residential	of Avista's integrated resource plan.
11	customers there?	11 ATTY PEPPLE: I have a few copies for the
12	A It is approximately 16.9 million.	parties if you don't mind me approaching.
13	Q Okay. And then, for Schedule 25 customers, that number	13 JUDGE THOMPSON: Please go ahead and
14	is a little less than 600,000; is that right?	14 approach.
15	A That's correct.	15 Q BY ATTY PEPPLE: Okay. Do you have that in front of
16	Q And the allocations of those cost reductions associated	16 <b>you?</b>
17	with removing Colstrip are not due to how Colstrip has	17 A I do.
18	been historically allocated to customers classes, but	18 Q Could you turn to the second page where it says
19	is instead how the settlement allocated Schedule 29	19 "qualifying capacity credit."
20	99 revenues to customer classes; is that right?	20 ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Can you mark this
21	A It's my recollection that the Colstrip revenue spread	21 <b>JDM-21X?</b>
22	was based on a pro rata allocation of the company's	22 ATTY PEPPLE: Yes. Is that
	prior rate case spread.	23 Can we mark this exhibit as JDM-21X?
23	prior rate case spread.	- Car No mark the Carlot do CDM 2170.
23 24	Q So I guess, just to put a finer point on our discussion	24 JUDGE THOMPSON: Let's let's go ahead and
	·	

	Page 330		Page 332
1	Does anybody object to this exhibit being	1	Q So, in other words, while storage may get cheaper over
2	admitted?	2	time, in order to meet Avista's capacity needs, at
3	ATTY MEYER: Avista does not.	3	least according to this forecast, Avista will also have
4	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. I am seeing no	4	to purchase more storage resources.
5	objection; so we will go ahead and admit this exhibit	5	Would you agree with that?
6	as JDM-21X.	6	A Yes, I'd agree with that.
7	(Exhibit JDM-21X admitted.)	7	Q Okay. That's it. Thank you.
8	ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Your Honor, if I may,	8	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.
9	I just want to reserve the right to if I I have	9	Mr. Meyer, do you have any redirect?
10	to review it. I may want to put the entire IRP in just	10	ATTY MEYER: I do not.
11	for completeness. But for now, I don't have an	11	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. And do we have any
12	objection.	12	questions from the bench?
13	ATTY MEYER: I understand. I'll have to go	13	Okay. Thank you for your testimony. You may be
14	back, but I believe the entire IRP is already in. I	14	dismissed at this time.
15	don't object to this as just being an excerpt from that	15	ATTY MEYER: While the next witness.
16	because it sort of concentrates the focus for this	16	Mr. Bonfield, is taking the stand, just a word about my
17	cross. So I think we're already there.	17	process for tomorrow.
18	ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Okay.	18	I had limited cross planned for two of the Staff
19	I will accept Mr. Meyer's representation. But if,	19	witnesses. I rather than have them fret overnight
20	for whatever reason, that's not true, I may file a	20	about whether it'll be crossed or crossed about what,
21	subsequent written motion. But for now, I have no	21	I'm telling you now, I don't have any cross for them.
22	objection.	22	So that's I think that should help. That would be
23	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you.	23	Betty and
24	ATTY MEYER: JK2, if that helps.	24	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you.
25	JUDGE THOMPSON: JK2. Okay.	25	(Reporter requests clarification.)
			(,
	Page 331		Page 333
1	Counsel, you may proceed with questioning.	1	ATTY MEYER: Yeah. Two the witnesses are
2	ATTY PEPPLE: Okay. Thank you.	2	Sofya and Betty Erdahl. I had 15 minutes a piece set
3	Q BY ATTY PEPPLE: So if you look at qualifying capacity	3	aside. I will not be using that tomorrow.
4	credit, here they're talking about QCC, which is the	4	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you for that.
5	qualifying capacity credit under the Western Resource	5	And I believe the commissioners may have questions for
6	Adequacy Program. And they equate it to ELCC, which is	6	one or both of those witnesses, and so we ask that they
7	effective load carrying capability.	7	still be available. But I do appreciate that; so thank
8	Do you see that discussion there?	8	you very much.
9	A I do.	9	Mr. Bonfield, if you could please raise your right
10	Q Okay. And I'll represent to you that ELCC generally	10	hand, I'll swear you in.
11	describes a resource's ability to contribute to a	11	(Witness duly sworn.)
12	utility's capacity need.	12	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may be
13	And so, if you look at the bottom of this page	13	seated.
14	going onto this next page, it says that "Avista expects	14	And, Counsel, you may proceed.
15	the WRAP will lower QCC values over time as more	16	ATTY MEYER: Thank you.
16	variable energy resources and storage are added to the	17	DIRECT EXAMINATION
17	system."	18	BY ATTY MEYER:
18	And then if you look at the graph, it shows the	19	Q For the record, your employer, your name, your
19	QCC estimate for wind, solar, six-hour storage, and	20	position, please.
20	12-hour storage. Do you see that?	21	A Good evening. Shawn Bonfield, Avista Utilities, senior
21	A Ido.	22	manager of regulatory policy and strategy.
	Q And you see how as more more storage is added to the	23	ATTY MEYER: And before we proceed with
22			,
23	system, the lower the QCC or the ELCC value is? Do you	24	•
23 24	agree with that?	24 25	further cross, may I, with your permission, just ask a
23			•

Page 334

Witness Christie having to do with CEIP costs? I believe he suggested that Mr. Bonfield might be better.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Yes. You may proceed with those guestions.

ATTY MEYER: All right. Thank you.

- Q BY ATTY MEYER: Do you recall, Mr. Bonfield, the exchange where Mr. Christie was asked about whether there were CEIP costs during this rate period and he deferred that to you; so what is your response?
- A Yes. I do recall that. In terms of our CEIP deferral that was approved by the Commission, it was originally approved in 2022, and it was modified earlier this year. What was originally approved is that we would be able to defer two pieces. One was related to what we call our Named Communities Investment Fund. And the other was related to incremental cost to implement our CEIP.

For the Named Communities Investment Fund, there was no end date prescribed for when that deferral would end or when we were allowed to stop deferring costs. For the other implemental cost to implement the CEIP, the first order allowed us to defer those costs up until the rate effective period of this current rate case, December 21st, 2024.

At the time of filing this case, we didn't have

So there are no costs -- what I would call material costs that were happening during the course of this upcoming rate plan, if approved by the Commission,

and there are no resource additions that we're seeking to defer within that approved deferral mechanism.

- Q So what, if anything, does that have to say about whether the Commission should approve a one- or two-year rate plan based on synchronizing with CEIP?
- A In my opinion, it has no bearing on the decision of the one- or two-year rate plan. They're completely separate from one another. As I mentioned, those incremental costs to implement the CEIP were -- many of them are one-time in nature. There were a limited amount that were ongoing. But they weren't for resources -- resource additions, which are obviously much larger in scale. So I don't see that they're related to each other. And rather, I would say they're mutually exclusive -- that the rate plan proposed in this case isn't reflective or shouldn't be held up because of the small amount of costs we're deferring to implement a CEIP.
- Q Thank you.

ATTY MEYER: And now he's available for other cross-examination.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.

Page 335

Page 337

Page 336

material balances deferred for those other incremental costs, and so we did not include them in this case. Earlier this year, we filed an amended petition seeking the approval to continue to defer those incremental costs for the end of the CEIP period or the end of 2025. And then, the Named Community Investment Fund portion would also continue on as previously approved.

The Commission, by way of Order 02, approved that in -- I think it was the July time frame. So we are continuing to defer those incremental costs. We have a pending tariff filing in front of the Commission as we speak where we're seeking to recover \$1.9 million for the time period of June 2024. \$1.1 million of that is the incremental cost to implement our CEIP, really related to conditions that were approved by the Commission. Much of those costs are one-time in nature. There are some labor costs, but others are one-time in nature, such as consulting fees for a distributing energy resources study, and so we don't expect most of those costs to continue on.

The remainder of what has been deferred to date is for that Named Communities Investment Fund. And with the approval to continue to defer through the end of the CEIP period, our plan is to use the tariff rider mechanism to recover those costs through the deferral.

Public Counsel, I believe you've indicated cross.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL:

- Q Good afternoon, Mr. Bonfield. Can you confirm that Avista is committed to considering equity and equity impact in its rate cases?
- A We are committed to that, yes.
- Q You're aware that the Commission defined energy justice and I'm going to quote here "focuses on ensuring that individuals have access to energy that is affordable, safe, and sustainable and affords them an ability to sustain a decent lifestyle." And that comes from your last rate case, final order 1040, paragraph 106.
- 16 A I do recall that.
- 17 Q And you've testified in this matter on your rebuttal 18 testimony -- on page 8, if you want to refer to it --19 that the Commission should continue to consider 20 affordability in its decision-making?
  - A That's correct.
  - Q Have you reviewed the testimony of TEP Witness Colton?
- 23 A Yes, I have.
  - Q And if you turn to page 3 of your -- I'm sorry -- rebuttal testimony -- that's wrong page. Hang on a

21

22

23

24

25

changed, both in terms of the number of eligible

A That's correct. Eligible low-income households as

200 percent of federal poverty level.

Q And it's now 141,000?

households as well as the saturation rate as of today.

determined by 80 percent of area meeting income of

Page 338 Page 340 Q If you turn to Table 2 in your original testimony --1 second while I get the right page number. 1 2 2 I apologize for the delay. that's on page 19... 3 3 Okay. It's page 4. The -- line 1 to line 3, you A Okay. 4 testified, "Per my direct testimony in this case, one 4 Q You will -- you'll see on Table 2 that the total 5 5 of Avista's primary avenues for addressing distributed through -- from October through December of 6 affordability is through its low-income rate assistance 6 2023 was \$4.5 million; is that correct? 7 program, LIRAP"; is that correct? 7 A That's correct. 8 A That's correct. 8 Q For a three-month period, if you were to annualize it 9 9 Q You do not, in your testimony -- rebuttal testimony -to a year, that would be about \$18 million? 10 offer any alternative analyses to what Mr. Colton did, 10 A That is not accurate. You can't annualize those 11 do you? 11 numbers. We implemented our bill discount program on 12 12 A I do not specifically provide responsive analysis to October 1 of 2023. So to use the first, essentially, 13 the work that he had done. What I do is reference many 13 four months and extrapolate that out further, I don't 14 believe would be accurate in terms of the saturation 14 of the mechanisms and reporting that we have in place 15 to major affordability, I would say, through 15 and increase we've seen since we've started that 16 16 performance-based rate-making mechanisms, the program. 17 multi-year rate plan, reporting mechanisms required by 17 Through the month of August, for example, we have 18 18 now provided just under \$27 million worth of assistance the Commission, through previous low-income needs 19 assessments and other metrics, I reference what we are 19 through the LIRAP program. 20 20 providing, and that speaks to affordability. Q Through August of this year? 21 21 Q Mr. Colton used some of those metrics to examine the A Through August of this year. There's one month 22 248,000 households in your service area and performed 22 remaining in the program year, which -- today's the 23 23 analyses of the rate impacts or the energy burden last day of that program on I don't have data for. 24 24 impacts of this rate increase; correct? Q So that would be the total program year, roughly? 25 25 A Through the end of September it would make it... A That's my understanding, yes. Page 339 Page 341 1 Q Do you have any reason to doubt his number about the 1 (Reporter requests clarification.) 2 248,000 households in your service area? 2 THE WITNESS: Sorry. 3 A I do. According to our analysis, we have 302,000 3 For the first 11 months of the program year, we 4 households that we serve in Washington state. 4 provided nearly \$27 million in direct assistance to our 5 Q Okay. But you didn't provide that in your rebuttal 5 6 Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: If you turn to page 25 of testimony or any analysis -- or redo his analysis of 6 7 the impact of rate increases on affordability? 7 your original testimony... 8 A No. We did not have time to redo his work. 8 A Okay. 9 Q Okay. Let's talk then about LIRAP. In your initial 9 Q Line 11 to 12, you indicate that the current total 10 10 LIRAP funding is approximately 22 million per year? testimony on page 19, line 6... 11 A Again, yes. That's what we indicated. At the time of 11 12 12 Q You testified that the LIRAP program -- the total filing, that was the funding that was being collected 13 13 energy assistance saturation rate was up to 24 percent to support the program. on December 31st, 2023; is that correct? 14 14 Q Okay. 15 A That's correct. 15 A Much has changed since the time of preparing my direct 16 Q And I think that means that, as of December of 2023, 16 17 you were reaching 24 percent of the 129,266 eligible 17 Q So we should substitute in 27 for that 22 million? Or 1.8 residential ratepayers that you were aware of in 2023? 18 a little bit more than 20? 19 A At that point in time, that's correct. The data has 19 A It's all -- point in time. It changes every day. So

if you were to ask me yesterday how much we provided,

it would be different than tomorrow. So it depends on

the time frame in which you're looking for.

A As of the end of August, we are at approximately

Q What is your current saturation rate?

37 percent saturation rate.

20

21

22

23

24

20

21

22

23

24

25

saturation of 53 percent. And then there in

updated to 75,187.

difference?

parentheses it's 51,071. That needs to be corrected?

A Yes. That's the number that I reference that should be

Q Are there any -- in terms of the projected budget, is

there an error in the projected budget for the

Page 344 Page 342 1 Q If you will look at your rebuttal testimony, page 7... 1 A There is not. The budget was accurate. It was -- the 2 2 A I'm there. 51,071 was just pulled from an incorrect cell of the 3 3 Q You write -- this is as of July of this year -- "the correct work paper that supported this filing. So it 4 4 saturation rate through July was 29 percent." was simply just a translation error of pulling the 5 5 A That's correct. And now, one month later, we're about wrong cell value. 6 37 percent, which shows the tremendous growth we're 6 Q So the total of LIRAP was 27,770,000? 7 7 experiencing month to month, the amount of customers A For electric only. 8 8 Q And then, how much for electric? we're reaching. 9 9 Q What is your current projection for your saturation A For the gas portion -- the direct -- the total direct 10 rate in 2025? 10 service budget was 35.8 million. So the difference 11 A First, we'll start in 2024. Based on when we're at 11 between 27.8 and 35.8, roughly 8 million for gas. 12 12 Q And that's the 50 -today at roughly 37 percent, it's likely that we will 13 13 achieve 40 percent or even slightly higher by the end A 53 --14 14 of this calendar year. For the budget that we've Q -- saturation, yes. 15 prepared for the 2024-2025 program year, which extends 15 (Reporter requests clarification.) ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: I apologize. 16 16 from October 1st of this year through September 30th of 17 2025, we based our budget forecast on serving 17 Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: How much -- if we were to 18 51 percent of the eligible population. 18 project that, then, for reaching all of the customers 19 Q What was marked as cross Exhibit 2 -- it's now 19 that potentially qualify, do you know what that amount 20 SJB-10X... 20 would be? 21 21 A I have it. A For 100 percent saturation level, I don't know that 22 Q This is the tariff filing -- make sure I have it here 22 number. What I do know is that, you know, as I 23 23 as well -- for electric customers. mentioned, today is the last day of the program year 24 A Again, I was slightly off from that cross exhibit. I 24 implementing the full discount. It's been tremendously 25 25 successful in helping make energy service affordable said 51. It's actually a projection of 53 percent Page 343 Page 345 1 saturation level -- is what this budget is based off 1 for those that are eligible and participating, but the 2 2 of. And I will say that the number of customers program will inevitably change. 3 referenced at 51,071 is incorrect. The number should 3 We have just started post-enrollment income 4 be 75,187. And that's on page 13 --4 verification, and so that process will lead to, 5 5 Q 13. potentially, changes in the amount of discount that 6 A -- of 16, last paragraph. 6 customers are receiving. And so, we have -- still have 7 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Could you repeat that 7 a lot to learn and a lot to access about the success of 8 number. 8 the program. So it's hard to project what 100 percent 9 THE WITNESS: Yes. So page 13 of 16, the 9 saturation level may look like in the future. Where we 10 10 53 percent saturation rate equates to 75,187 customers. are constrained is -- it's discussed within this filing 11 Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Okay. So if you turn to 11 is -- there's a 5 percent cap on what we're essentially 12 page 13 of the document -- 13 of 16 on the bottom. 12 allowed to provide in direct service funding to support 13 13 A Yep. this program and bill discount. Q So it says there, for the 2004-2005 program year, 14 14 And so, that 5 percent, as we discussed within 15 Avista now estimates that approximately 141,863 15 this filing, we're already brushing up against that 16 customers would potentially qualify for its LIRAP. 16 17 This nearly 10 percent increase in potentially eligible 17 Q Do you track your penetration rates at various income 1.8 customers coupled with anticipated increase in 18

A I am not aware that we are currently tracking the

participation rate by income level. We know the

discount that a customer receives is based on income

and household size. So I do believe that information

is likely available. But again, we have not come up

with our -- the reporting that we will provide on this

program in collaboration with our Energy Assistance

19

20

21

22

23

24

	Page 346	Page 348
1	Advisory Group. That work is ongoing for how we will	1 ATTY MEYER: Be more specific, just so I'm
2	assess the bill discount, including factors as what	2 clear on what you propose.
3	you just mentioned in terms of income level and who's	3 ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: He's referring to a
4	participating.	4 document. I don't know what it is.
5	Q Your bill discount program is tiered; is that correct?	5 THE WITNESS: It's the same report that
6	A That's correct.	6 you've included as Cross Exhibit 9X but updated through
7	Q The people at the lowest receive the biggest discount;	the month of July. It's the next monthly report.
8	the people at the top receive the smallest discount?	8 ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Okay. I'd like to
9	A Yes. Correct.	9 mark that exhibit.
10	Q Do you know the penetration rate of people who qualify	10 ATTY MEYER: And we would have no objection,
11	for the lowest level or not the most amount of	11 Tad.
12	assistance the	12 ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Okay. And so, we'll
13	A Zero percent income receive a 94 percent discount. I	13 figure out how to get copies distributed.
14	don't know the saturation level for the customers	14 JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. And does any other
15	within that category.	party have an objection to that? Okay.
16	Q How about at the top? Do you know the saturation rate?	16 We will go ahead and admit that and update. What
17	A I don't know the saturation rates saturation rates	would the number of that exhibit are we going to
18	at each individual level.	18 replace 9X? Or are we going to
19	Q Are you aware roughly of what the federal poverty level	19 ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: I was just we can
20	is currently for individuals in 2024?	20 make it another we can make it 12X, if we want. I
21	A I've seen the table of FPL, but I cannot recall it, off	21 was going to say 9XA because it's an updated version;
22	the top of my head. I know it depends on household	22 So
23	size and whatnot.	23 JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you.
24	Q For an individual, that would be about \$15,000. Does	24 ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Which do you want me
25	that sound about right?	25 to do?
	that sound about right:	25 10 40:
	Page 347	Page 349
1		
_	A I would agree, subject to check.	JUDGE THOMPSON: Could we have copies of that
2	<ul><li>A I would agree, subject to check.</li><li>Q And for a family of four, it's \$31,000.</li></ul>	JUDGE THOMPSON: Could we have copies of that tomorrow? Would that be possible?
3		·
	Q And for a family of four, it's \$31,000.	2 tomorrow? Would that be possible?
3	<ul><li>Q And for a family of four, it's \$31,000.</li><li>A Subject to check. I will take your word for it.</li></ul>	<ul> <li>tomorrow? Would that be possible?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes.</li> </ul>
3 4	<ul> <li>Q And for a family of four, it's \$31,000.</li> <li>A Subject to check. I will take your word for it.</li> <li>Q Let's look at what was marked as -9X. This is your monthly update from August.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> </ul>	tomorrow? Would that be possible? THE WITNESS: Yes. ATTY MEYER: Yes.
3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q And for a family of four, it's \$31,000.</li> <li>A Subject to check. I will take your word for it.</li> <li>Q Let's look at what was marked as -9X. This is your monthly update from August.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q And this includes both all of your jurisdiction; so</li> </ul>	tomorrow? Would that be possible?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  ATTY MEYER: Yes.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. And that will be admitted.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: 12X.
3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q And for a family of four, it's \$31,000.</li> <li>A Subject to check. I will take your word for it.</li> <li>Q Let's look at what was marked as -9X. This is your monthly update from August.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q And this includes both all of your jurisdiction; so it's not just Washington. So if you turn to page 10,</li> </ul>	tomorrow? Would that be possible? THE WITNESS: Yes. ATTY MEYER: Yes. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. And that will be admitted. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: 12X. ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: 12 12X.
3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q And for a family of four, it's \$31,000.</li> <li>A Subject to check. I will take your word for it.</li> <li>Q Let's look at what was marked as -9X. This is your monthly update from August.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q And this includes both all of your jurisdiction; so it's not just Washington. So if you turn to page 10, that's where Washington starts. And if you look at</li> </ul>	tomorrow? Would that be possible?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  ATTY MEYER: Yes.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. And that will be admitted.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: 12X.  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: 12 12X.  (Exhibit SJB-12X admitted.)
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q And for a family of four, it's \$31,000.</li> <li>A Subject to check. I will take your word for it.</li> <li>Q Let's look at what was marked as -9X. This is your monthly update from August.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q And this includes both all of your jurisdiction; so it's not just Washington. So if you turn to page 10, that's where Washington starts. And if you look at page 11, that's your "My Energy Discount"</li> </ul>	tomorrow? Would that be possible?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  ATTY MEYER: Yes.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. And that will be admitted.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: 12X.  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: 12 12X.  (Exhibit SJB-12X admitted.)  Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: And I don't have 12X in
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q And for a family of four, it's \$31,000.</li> <li>A Subject to check. I will take your word for it.</li> <li>Q Let's look at what was marked as -9X. This is your monthly update from August.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q And this includes both all of your jurisdiction; so it's not just Washington. So if you turn to page 10, that's where Washington starts. And if you look at page 11, that's your "My Energy Discount"</li> <li>A Yes.</li> </ul>	tomorrow? Would that be possible?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  ATTY MEYER: Yes.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. And that will be admitted.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: 12X.  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: 12 12X.  (Exhibit SJB-12X admitted.)  Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: And I don't have 12X in front of me; so I'm going to ask you questions about
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q And for a family of four, it's \$31,000.</li> <li>A Subject to check. I will take your word for it.</li> <li>Q Let's look at what was marked as -9X. This is your monthly update from August.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q And this includes both all of your jurisdiction; so it's not just Washington. So if you turn to page 10, that's where Washington starts. And if you look at page 11, that's your "My Energy Discount" A Yes.</li> <li>Q where you identify 41,000 participants?</li> </ul>	tomorrow? Would that be possible?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  ATTY MEYER: Yes.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. And that will be admitted.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: 12X.  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: 12 12X.  (Exhibit SJB-12X admitted.)  Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: And I don't have 12X in front of me; so I'm going to ask you questions about 9X, which is the older version.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q And for a family of four, it's \$31,000.</li> <li>A Subject to check. I will take your word for it.</li> <li>Q Let's look at what was marked as -9X. This is your monthly update from August.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q And this includes both all of your jurisdiction; so it's not just Washington. So if you turn to page 10, that's where Washington starts. And if you look at page 11, that's your "My Energy Discount" A Yes.</li> <li>Q where you identify 41,000 participants?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> </ul>	tomorrow? Would that be possible?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  ATTY MEYER: Yes.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. And that will be admitted.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: 12X.  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: 12 12X.  (Exhibit SJB-12X admitted.)  Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: And I don't have 12X in front of me; so I'm going to ask you questions about 9X, which is the older version.  A It is an identical report just
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q And for a family of four, it's \$31,000.</li> <li>A Subject to check. I will take your word for it.</li> <li>Q Let's look at what was marked as -9X. This is your monthly update from August.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q And this includes both all of your jurisdiction; so it's not just Washington. So if you turn to page 10, that's where Washington starts. And if you look at page 11, that's your "My Energy Discount" A Yes.</li> <li>Q where you identify 41,000 participants?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Is this accurate as of August of 2024?</li> </ul>	tomorrow? Would that be possible?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  ATTY MEYER: Yes.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. And that will be admitted.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: 12X.  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: 12 12X.  (Exhibit SJB-12X admitted.)  Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: And I don't have 12X in front of me; so I'm going to ask you questions about 9X, which is the older version.  A It is an identical report just  Q Different.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q And for a family of four, it's \$31,000.</li> <li>A Subject to check. I will take your word for it.</li> <li>Q Let's look at what was marked as -9X. This is your monthly update from August.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q And this includes both all of your jurisdiction; so it's not just Washington. So if you turn to page 10, that's where Washington starts. And if you look at page 11, that's your "My Energy Discount" A Yes.</li> <li>Q where you identify 41,000 participants?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Is this accurate as of August of 2024?</li> <li>A No. As I mentioned, this was through month end July of</li> </ul>	tomorrow? Would that be possible?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  ATTY MEYER: Yes.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. And that will be admitted.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: 12X.  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: 12 12X.  (Exhibit SJB-12X admitted.)  Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: And I don't have 12X in front of me; so I'm going to ask you questions about 9X, which is the older version.  A It is an identical report just  Q Different.  A so
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q And for a family of four, it's \$31,000.</li> <li>A Subject to check. I will take your word for it.</li> <li>Q Let's look at what was marked as -9X. This is your monthly update from August.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q And this includes both all of your jurisdiction; so it's not just Washington. So if you turn to page 10, that's where Washington starts. And if you look at page 11, that's your "My Energy Discount" A Yes.</li> <li>Q where you identify 41,000 participants?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Is this accurate as of August of 2024?</li> <li>A No. As I mentioned, this was through month end July of 2024. As of the end of August in 2024, the number of</li> </ul>	tomorrow? Would that be possible?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  ATTY MEYER: Yes.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. And that will be admitted.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: 12X.  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: 12 12X.  (Exhibit SJB-12X admitted.)  Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: And I don't have 12X in front of me; so I'm going to ask you questions about 9X, which is the older version.  A It is an identical report just  Q Different.  A so  If you look at the My Energy Discount, the 91
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q And for a family of four, it's \$31,000.</li> <li>A Subject to check. I will take your word for it.</li> <li>Q Let's look at what was marked as -9X. This is your monthly update from August.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q And this includes both all of your jurisdiction; so it's not just Washington. So if you turn to page 10, that's where Washington starts. And if you look at page 11, that's your "My Energy Discount" A Yes.</li> <li>Q where you identify 41,000 participants?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Is this accurate as of August of 2024?</li> <li>A No. As I mentioned, this was through month end July of 2024. As of the end of August in 2024, the number of active participants has increased from 40,000 41,110</li> </ul>	tomorrow? Would that be possible?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  ATTY MEYER: Yes.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. And that will be admitted.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: 12X.  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: 12 12X.  (Exhibit SJB-12X admitted.)  Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: And I don't have 12X in front of me; so I'm going to ask you questions about 9X, which is the older version.  A It is an identical report just  Q Different.  A so  If you look at the My Energy Discount, the 91  94 percent and 74 percent, kind of teal and orange
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q And for a family of four, it's \$31,000.</li> <li>A Subject to check. I will take your word for it.</li> <li>Q Let's look at what was marked as -9X. This is your monthly update from August.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q And this includes both all of your jurisdiction; so it's not just Washington. So if you turn to page 10, that's where Washington starts. And if you look at page 11, that's your "My Energy Discount" Yes.</li> <li>Q where you identify 41,000 participants?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Is this accurate as of August of 2024?</li> <li>A No. As I mentioned, this was through month end July of 2024. As of the end of August in 2024, the number of active participants has increased from 40,000 41,110 to 50,931 significance increase in the month of July</li> </ul>	tomorrow? Would that be possible?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  ATTY MEYER: Yes.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. And that will be admitted.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: 12X.  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: 12 12X.  (Exhibit SJB-12X admitted.)  Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: And I don't have 12X in front of me; so I'm going to ask you questions about 9X, which is the older version.  A It is an identical report just  Q Different.  A so  Q If you look at the My Energy Discount, the 91  94 percent and 74 percent, kind of teal and orange  A Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q And for a family of four, it's \$31,000.</li> <li>A Subject to check. I will take your word for it.</li> <li>Q Let's look at what was marked as -9X. This is your monthly update from August.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q And this includes both all of your jurisdiction; so it's not just Washington. So if you turn to page 10, that's where Washington starts. And if you look at page 11, that's your "My Energy Discount" Yes.</li> <li>Q where you identify 41,000 participants?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Is this accurate as of August of 2024?</li> <li>A No. As I mentioned, this was through month end July of 2024. As of the end of August in 2024, the number of active participants has increased from 40,000 41,110 to 50,931 significance increase in the month of July for those customers participating.</li> </ul>	tomorrow? Would that be possible?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  ATTY MEYER: Yes.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. And that will be admitted.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: 12X.  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: 12 12X.  (Exhibit SJB-12X admitted.)  Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: And I don't have 12X in front of me; so I'm going to ask you questions about 9X, which is the older version.  A It is an identical report just  Q Different.  A so  Q If you look at the My Energy Discount, the 91  94 percent and 74 percent, kind of teal and orange  A Yes.  Q Both of those are below 5,000?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q And for a family of four, it's \$31,000.</li> <li>A Subject to check. I will take your word for it.</li> <li>Q Let's look at what was marked as -9X. This is your monthly update from August.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q And this includes both all of your jurisdiction; so it's not just Washington. So if you turn to page 10, that's where Washington starts. And if you look at page 11, that's your "My Energy Discount" Yes.</li> <li>Q where you identify 41,000 participants?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Is this accurate as of August of 2024?</li> <li>A No. As I mentioned, this was through month end July of 2024. As of the end of August in 2024, the number of active participants has increased from 40,000 41,110 to 50,931 significance increase in the month of July for those customers participating.</li> <li>Q Do you have in front of you a document that you're</li> </ul>	tomorrow? Would that be possible?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  ATTY MEYER: Yes.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. And that will be admitted.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: 12X.  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: 12 12X.  (Exhibit SJB-12X admitted.)  Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: And I don't have 12X in front of me; so I'm going to ask you questions about 9X, which is the older version.  A It is an identical report just  Q Different.  A so  Q If you look at the My Energy Discount, the 91  94 percent and 74 percent, kind of teal and orange  A Yes.  Q Both of those are below 5,000?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q And for a family of four, it's \$31,000.</li> <li>A Subject to check. I will take your word for it.</li> <li>Q Let's look at what was marked as -9X. This is your monthly update from August.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q And this includes both all of your jurisdiction; so it's not just Washington. So if you turn to page 10, that's where Washington starts. And if you look at page 11, that's your "My Energy Discount" A Yes.</li> <li>Q where you identify 41,000 participants?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Is this accurate as of August of 2024?</li> <li>A No. As I mentioned, this was through month end July of 2024. As of the end of August in 2024, the number of active participants has increased from 40,000 41,110 to 50,931 significance increase in the month of July for those customers participating.</li> <li>Q Do you have in front of you a document that you're referring to? Otherwise, your facility with numbers is</li> </ul>	tomorrow? Would that be possible?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  ATTY MEYER: Yes.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. And that will be admitted.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: 12X.  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: 12 12X.  (Exhibit SJB-12X admitted.)  Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: And I don't have 12X in front of me; so I'm going to ask you questions about 9X, which is the older version.  A It is an identical report just  Q Different.  A so  Q If you look at the My Energy Discount, the 91  94 percent and 74 percent, kind of teal and orange  A Yes.  Q Both of those are below 5,000?  A I see that.  With that combined, I think they add up to 5,000.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q And for a family of four, it's \$31,000.</li> <li>A Subject to check. I will take your word for it.</li> <li>Q Let's look at what was marked as -9X. This is your monthly update from August.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q And this includes both all of your jurisdiction; so it's not just Washington. So if you turn to page 10, that's where Washington starts. And if you look at page 11, that's your "My Energy Discount" A Yes.</li> <li>Q where you identify 41,000 participants?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Is this accurate as of August of 2024?</li> <li>A No. As I mentioned, this was through month end July of 2024. As of the end of August in 2024, the number of active participants has increased from 40,000 41,110 to 50,931 significance increase in the month of July for those customers participating.</li> <li>Q Do you have in front of you a document that you're referring to? Otherwise, your facility with numbers is pretty spectacular. Would you object to making that</li> </ul>	tomorrow? Would that be possible?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  ATTY MEYER: Yes.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. And that will be admitted.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: 12X.  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: 12 12X.  (Exhibit SJB-12X admitted.)  Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: And I don't have 12X in front of me; so I'm going to ask you questions about 9X, which is the older version.  A It is an identical report just  Q Different.  A so  Q If you look at the My Energy Discount, the 91  94 percent and 74 percent, kind of teal and orange  A Yes.  Q Both of those are below 5,000?  A I see that.  Q With that combined, I think they add up to 5,000.  Did you look at Mr. Colton's numbers about how
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q And for a family of four, it's \$31,000.</li> <li>A Subject to check. I will take your word for it.</li> <li>Q Let's look at what was marked as -9X. This is your monthly update from August.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q And this includes both all of your jurisdiction; so it's not just Washington. So if you turn to page 10, that's where Washington starts. And if you look at page 11, that's your "My Energy Discount" A Yes.</li> <li>Q where you identify 41,000 participants?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Is this accurate as of August of 2024?</li> <li>A No. As I mentioned, this was through month end July of 2024. As of the end of August in 2024, the number of active participants has increased from 40,000 41,110 to 50,931 significance increase in the month of July for those customers participating.</li> <li>Q Do you have in front of you a document that you're referring to? Otherwise, your facility with numbers is</li> </ul>	tomorrow? Would that be possible?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  ATTY MEYER: Yes.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. And that will be admitted.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: 12X.  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: 12 12X.  (Exhibit SJB-12X admitted.)  Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: And I don't have 12X in front of me; so I'm going to ask you questions about 9X, which is the older version.  It is an identical report just  Q Different.  A so  Q If you look at the My Energy Discount, the 91  94 percent and 74 percent, kind of teal and orange  A Yes.  Q Both of those are below 5,000?  A I see that.  Q With that combined, I think they add up to 5,000.  Did you look at Mr. Colton's numbers about how many households are below that number, 5,000 or
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q And for a family of four, it's \$31,000.</li> <li>A Subject to check. I will take your word for it.</li> <li>Q Let's look at what was marked as -9X. This is your monthly update from August.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q And this includes both all of your jurisdiction; so it's not just Washington. So if you turn to page 10, that's where Washington starts. And if you look at page 11, that's your "My Energy Discount" Yes.</li> <li>Q where you identify 41,000 participants?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Is this accurate as of August of 2024?</li> <li>A No. As I mentioned, this was through month end July of 2024. As of the end of August in 2024, the number of active participants has increased from 40,000 41,110 to 50,931 significance increase in the month of July for those customers participating.</li> <li>Q Do you have in front of you a document that you're referring to? Otherwise, your facility with numbers is pretty spectacular. Would you object to making that exhibit that an exhibit?</li> </ul>	tomorrow? Would that be possible?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  ATTY MEYER: Yes.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. And that will be admitted.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: 12X.  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: 12 12X.  (Exhibit SJB-12X admitted.)  Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: And I don't have 12X in front of me; so I'm going to ask you questions about 9X, which is the older version.  It is an identical report just  Q Different.  A so  Q If you look at the My Energy Discount, the 91  94 percent and 74 percent, kind of teal and orange  A Yes.  Q Both of those are below 5,000?  A I see that.  Q With that combined, I think they add up to 5,000.  Did you look at Mr. Colton's numbers about how many households are below that number, 5,000 or
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q And for a family of four, it's \$31,000.</li> <li>A Subject to check. I will take your word for it.</li> <li>Q Let's look at what was marked as -9X. This is your monthly update from August.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q And this includes both all of your jurisdiction; so it's not just Washington. So if you turn to page 10, that's where Washington starts. And if you look at page 11, that's your "My Energy Discount" A Yes.</li> <li>Q where you identify 41,000 participants?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Is this accurate as of August of 2024?</li> <li>A No. As I mentioned, this was through month end July of 2024. As of the end of August in 2024, the number of active participants has increased from 40,000 41,110 to 50,931 significance increase in the month of July for those customers participating.</li> <li>Q Do you have in front of you a document that you're referring to? Otherwise, your facility with numbers is pretty spectacular. Would you object to making that exhibit that an exhibit?</li> </ul>	tomorrow? Would that be possible?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  ATTY MEYER: Yes.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. And that will be admitted.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: 12X.  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: 12 12X.  (Exhibit SJB-12X admitted.)  Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: And I don't have 12X in front of me; so I'm going to ask you questions about 9X, which is the older version.  A It is an identical report just  Q Different.  A so  If you look at the My Energy Discount, the 91  94 percent and 74 percent, kind of teal and orange  A Yes.  Q Both of those are below 5,000?  A I see that.  With that combined, I think they add up to 5,000.  Did you look at Mr. Colton's numbers about how many households are below that number, 5,000 or below 50 percent of the poverty line?

	Dago 250	Dago 252
	Page 350	Page 352
1	numbers specifically.	that budget, which is why it's an adaptive management
2	Q Do you know what percentage of penetration that would	of the program to review and modify as needed in
3	suggest?	3 collaboration with those on an advisory including
4	A I do not know that.	4 Public Counsel.
5	Q On the next page, you've got a year-to-date 2024, and	5 Q That sounds like a lot of words where you're going to
6	then you've got billed accounts, 310,703. Why is that	6 have to lower the number of people that you can help.
7	such a big number in comparison to the 40,000	7 A We will not lower the number of people we can help. We
8	participants?	8 will continue to help all that seek assistance. We
9	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Counsel, what page are	9 will design the program in a way so that all customers
10	we on?	10 that want to participate can participate.
11	ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Page 12 of exhibit	11 Q Mr. Colton also did analysis of renters in your service
12	9X SJB-9X.	12 area. Did you read that as well?
13	THE WITNESS: I don't manage the day-to-day	13 A Again, I read his testimony. I don't recall all of the
14	aspects and didn't perform this analysis myself, and so	14 work that he did in testimony.
15	I don't know the answer to that question.	15 Q Do you have any alternative testimony to offer about
16	Q BY ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Okay.	16 the impact of rate increases on renters?
17	Mr. Colton testified about the impact that	17 A I do not.
18	inflation has on lower income households and that it is	18 Q Do you have the capacity to model the impact of these
19	different than higher income households. Do you	19 various percentage increases in rates and how
20	remember that testimony?	20 they're how they would impact the various classes of
21	A Vaguely, yes.	21 your in your bill discount program?
22	Q Did you do any analysis of the way inflation affects	22 A Possibly, but I am not the expert on the data analysis
23	lower income households?	that we're able to perform to say yes or no to that
24	A I did not.	24 question.
25	Q Did you do any analysis of the way inflation is	25 Q And then, the last in your testimony, do you discuss
	Page 351	Page 353
1		
1 2	different for lower income households that have higher	1 the steps that that families have to take to manage
2	different for lower income households that have higher proportion of rent, higher proportion of food expenses,	the steps that that families have to take to manage their own or their energy bills, even with the
2	different for lower income households that have higher proportion of rent, higher proportion of food expenses, higher proportion of energy burden, for example?	the steps that that families have to take to manage their own or their energy bills, even with the discount program?
2	different for lower income households that have higher proportion of rent, higher proportion of food expenses, higher proportion of energy burden, for example?  A No, we did not.	the steps that that families have to take to manage their own or their energy bills, even with the discount program?  A I do not address that.
2 3 4	different for lower income households that have higher proportion of rent, higher proportion of food expenses, higher proportion of energy burden, for example?  A No, we did not.  Q Would you disagree with Mr. Colton if he testifies that	the steps that that families have to take to manage their own or their energy bills, even with the discount program?  A I do not address that.  Q That's all the questions I have. Thank you.
2 3 4 5	different for lower income households that have higher proportion of rent, higher proportion of food expenses, higher proportion of energy burden, for example?  A No, we did not.	the steps that that families have to take to manage their own or their energy bills, even with the discount program?  A I do not address that.  Q That's all the questions I have. Thank you.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6	different for lower income households that have higher proportion of rent, higher proportion of food expenses, higher proportion of energy burden, for example?  A No, we did not.  Q Would you disagree with Mr. Colton if he testifies that low-income customers have less ability to adapt to rate increases?	the steps that that families have to take to manage their own or their energy bills, even with the discount program?  A I do not address that.  Q That's all the questions I have. Thank you.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  The Energy Project, you've been waiting
2 3 4 5 6 7	different for lower income households that have higher proportion of rent, higher proportion of food expenses, higher proportion of energy burden, for example?  A No, we did not.  Q Would you disagree with Mr. Colton if he testifies that low-income customers have less ability to adapt to rate	the steps that that families have to take to manage their own or their energy bills, even with the discount program?  A I do not address that.  That's all the questions I have. Thank you.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  The Energy Project, you've been waiting patiently all day. You may proceed with cross.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	different for lower income households that have higher proportion of rent, higher proportion of food expenses, higher proportion of energy burden, for example?  A No, we did not.  Q Would you disagree with Mr. Colton if he testifies that low-income customers have less ability to adapt to rate increases?  A I would disagree in the fact that he did not consider	the steps that that families have to take to manage their own or their energy bills, even with the discount program?  A I do not address that.  Q That's all the questions I have. Thank you.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  The Energy Project, you've been waiting patiently all day. You may proceed with cross.  ATTY ZAKAI: Thank you, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	different for lower income households that have higher proportion of rent, higher proportion of food expenses, higher proportion of energy burden, for example?  A No, we did not.  Q Would you disagree with Mr. Colton if he testifies that low-income customers have less ability to adapt to rate increases?  A I would disagree in the fact that he did not consider the effects of our LIRAP program in his analysis. In	the steps that that families have to take to manage their own or their energy bills, even with the discount program?  A I do not address that.  Q That's all the questions I have. Thank you.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  The Energy Project, you've been waiting patiently all day. You may proceed with cross.  ATTY ZAKAI: Thank you, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	different for lower income households that have higher proportion of rent, higher proportion of food expenses, higher proportion of energy burden, for example?  A No, we did not.  Q Would you disagree with Mr. Colton if he testifies that low-income customers have less ability to adapt to rate increases?  A I would disagree in the fact that he did not consider the effects of our LIRAP program in his analysis. In fact, he didn't mention our LIRAP program whatsoever or	the steps that that families have to take to manage their own or their energy bills, even with the discount program?  A I do not address that.  C That's all the questions I have. Thank you.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  The Energy Project, you've been waiting patiently all day. You may proceed with cross.  ATTY ZAKAI: Thank you, Your Honor.  CROSS-EXAMINATION
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	different for lower income households that have higher proportion of rent, higher proportion of food expenses, higher proportion of energy burden, for example?  A No, we did not.  Q Would you disagree with Mr. Colton if he testifies that low-income customers have less ability to adapt to rate increases?  A I would disagree in the fact that he did not consider the effects of our LIRAP program in his analysis. In fact, he didn't mention our LIRAP program whatsoever or bill discount in the work he did. And so, I would	the steps that that families have to take to manage their own or their energy bills, even with the discount program?  A I do not address that.  Q That's all the questions I have. Thank you.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  The Energy Project, you've been waiting patiently all day. You may proceed with cross.  ATTY ZAKAI: Thank you, Your Honor.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY ATTY ZAKAI:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	different for lower income households that have higher proportion of rent, higher proportion of food expenses, higher proportion of energy burden, for example?  A No, we did not.  Q Would you disagree with Mr. Colton if he testifies that low-income customers have less ability to adapt to rate increases?  A I would disagree in the fact that he did not consider the effects of our LIRAP program in his analysis. In fact, he didn't mention our LIRAP program whatsoever or bill discount in the work he did. And so, I would disagree in the fact of that statement. Because the	the steps that that families have to take to manage their own or their energy bills, even with the discount program?  A I do not address that.  Q That's all the questions I have. Thank you.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  The Energy Project, you've been waiting patiently all day. You may proceed with cross.  ATTY ZAKAI: Thank you, Your Honor.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY ATTY ZAKAI:  Q Good afternoon, Mr. Bonfield.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	different for lower income households that have higher proportion of rent, higher proportion of food expenses, higher proportion of energy burden, for example?  A No, we did not.  Q Would you disagree with Mr. Colton if he testifies that low-income customers have less ability to adapt to rate increases?  A I would disagree in the fact that he did not consider the effects of our LIRAP program in his analysis. In fact, he didn't mention our LIRAP program whatsoever or bill discount in the work he did. And so, I would disagree in the fact of that statement. Because the intention of the bill discount and LIRAP was to make	the steps that that families have to take to manage their own or their energy bills, even with the discount program?  A I do not address that.  Data That's all the questions I have. Thank you.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  The Energy Project, you've been waiting patiently all day. You may proceed with cross.  ATTY ZAKAI: Thank you, Your Honor.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY ATTY ZAKAI:  Q Good afternoon, Mr. Bonfield.  A Good afternoon.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	different for lower income households that have higher proportion of rent, higher proportion of food expenses, higher proportion of energy burden, for example?  A No, we did not.  Q Would you disagree with Mr. Colton if he testifies that low-income customers have less ability to adapt to rate increases?  A I would disagree in the fact that he did not consider the effects of our LIRAP program in his analysis. In fact, he didn't mention our LIRAP program whatsoever or bill discount in the work he did. And so, I would disagree in the fact of that statement. Because the intention of the bill discount and LIRAP was to make energy service available, and it's doing just that.	the steps that that families have to take to manage their own or their energy bills, even with the discount program?  A I do not address that.  Data That's all the questions I have. Thank you.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  The Energy Project, you've been waiting patiently all day. You may proceed with cross.  ATTY ZAKAI: Thank you, Your Honor.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY ATTY ZAKAI:  A Good afternoon, Mr. Bonfield.  A Good afternoon.  Just have one line of questions for you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	different for lower income households that have higher proportion of rent, higher proportion of food expenses, higher proportion of energy burden, for example?  A No, we did not.  Q Would you disagree with Mr. Colton if he testifies that low-income customers have less ability to adapt to rate increases?  A I would disagree in the fact that he did not consider the effects of our LIRAP program in his analysis. In fact, he didn't mention our LIRAP program whatsoever or bill discount in the work he did. And so, I would disagree in the fact of that statement. Because the intention of the bill discount and LIRAP was to make energy service available, and it's doing just that.  Q For the 53,000 or 53 percent that you currently	the steps that that families have to take to manage their own or their energy bills, even with the discount program?  A I do not address that.  Q That's all the questions I have. Thank you.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  The Energy Project, you've been waiting patiently all day. You may proceed with cross.  ATTY ZAKAI: Thank you, Your Honor.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY ATTY ZAKAI:  Q Good afternoon, Mr. Bonfield.  A Good afternoon.  Q I just have one line of questions for you.  You mentioned in your prior exchange with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	different for lower income households that have higher proportion of rent, higher proportion of food expenses, higher proportion of energy burden, for example?  A No, we did not.  Q Would you disagree with Mr. Colton if he testifies that low-income customers have less ability to adapt to rate increases?  A I would disagree in the fact that he did not consider the effects of our LIRAP program in his analysis. In fact, he didn't mention our LIRAP program whatsoever or bill discount in the work he did. And so, I would disagree in the fact of that statement. Because the intention of the bill discount and LIRAP was to make energy service available, and it's doing just that.  Q For the 53,000 or 53 percent that you currently reach?	the steps that that families have to take to manage their own or their energy bills, even with the discount program?  A I do not address that.  Q That's all the questions I have. Thank you.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  The Energy Project, you've been waiting patiently all day. You may proceed with cross.  ATTY ZAKAI: Thank you, Your Honor.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY ATTY ZAKAI:  Q Good afternoon, Mr. Bonfield.  A Good afternoon.  I just have one line of questions for you.  You mentioned in your prior exchange with Public Counsel you speculated a bit about, you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	different for lower income households that have higher proportion of rent, higher proportion of food expenses, higher proportion of energy burden, for example?  A No, we did not.  Q Would you disagree with Mr. Colton if he testifies that low-income customers have less ability to adapt to rate increases?  A I would disagree in the fact that he did not consider the effects of our LIRAP program in his analysis. In fact, he didn't mention our LIRAP program whatsoever or bill discount in the work he did. And so, I would disagree in the fact of that statement. Because the intention of the bill discount and LIRAP was to make energy service available, and it's doing just that.  Q For the 53,000 or 53 percent that you currently reach?  A And growing.	the steps that that families have to take to manage their own or their energy bills, even with the discount program?  A I do not address that.  Q That's all the questions I have. Thank you.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  The Energy Project, you've been waiting patiently all day. You may proceed with cross.  ATTY ZAKAI: Thank you, Your Honor.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY ATTY ZAKAI:  Q Good afternoon, Mr. Bonfield.  A Good afternoon.  Q I just have one line of questions for you.  You mentioned in your prior exchange with Public Counsel you speculated a bit about, you know, what actions you might take if the what actions you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	different for lower income households that have higher proportion of rent, higher proportion of food expenses, higher proportion of energy burden, for example?  A No, we did not.  Q Would you disagree with Mr. Colton if he testifies that low-income customers have less ability to adapt to rate increases?  A I would disagree in the fact that he did not consider the effects of our LIRAP program in his analysis. In fact, he didn't mention our LIRAP program whatsoever or bill discount in the work he did. And so, I would disagree in the fact of that statement. Because the intention of the bill discount and LIRAP was to make energy service available, and it's doing just that.  Q For the 53,000 or 53 percent that you currently reach?  A And growing.  That means that there's 47 percent who don't have that benefit at all; correct?  A So f today, but we hope that we will find those	the steps that that families have to take to manage their own or their energy bills, even with the discount program?  A I do not address that.  Q That's all the questions I have. Thank you.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  The Energy Project, you've been waiting patiently all day. You may proceed with cross.  ATTY ZAKAI: Thank you, Your Honor.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY ATTY ZAKAI:  Q Good afternoon, Mr. Bonfield.  A Good afternoon.  I just have one line of questions for you.  You mentioned in your prior exchange with  Public Counsel you speculated a bit about, you know, what actions you might take in response to the costs of these programs
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	different for lower income households that have higher proportion of rent, higher proportion of food expenses, higher proportion of energy burden, for example?  A No, we did not.  Q Would you disagree with Mr. Colton if he testifies that low-income customers have less ability to adapt to rate increases?  A I would disagree in the fact that he did not consider the effects of our LIRAP program in his analysis. In fact, he didn't mention our LIRAP program whatsoever or bill discount in the work he did. And so, I would disagree in the fact of that statement. Because the intention of the bill discount and LIRAP was to make energy service available, and it's doing just that.  Q For the 53,000 or 53 percent that you currently reach?  A And growing.  Q That means that there's 47 percent who don't have that benefit at all; correct?  A As of today, but we hope that we will find those customers and get them connected to that benefit.	the steps that that families have to take to manage their own or their energy bills, even with the discount program?  A I do not address that.  Data That's all the questions I have. Thank you.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  The Energy Project, you've been waiting patiently all day. You may proceed with cross.  ATTY ZAKAI: Thank you, Your Honor.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY ATTY ZAKAI:  Q Good afternoon, Mr. Bonfield.  A Good afternoon.  Just have one line of questions for you.  You mentioned in your prior exchange with  Public Counsel you speculated a bit about, you know, what actions you might take in response to the costs of these programs hitting the 5 percent arc specified in what we commonly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	different for lower income households that have higher proportion of rent, higher proportion of food expenses, higher proportion of energy burden, for example?  A No, we did not.  Q Would you disagree with Mr. Colton if he testifies that low-income customers have less ability to adapt to rate increases?  A I would disagree in the fact that he did not consider the effects of our LIRAP program in his analysis. In fact, he didn't mention our LIRAP program whatsoever or bill discount in the work he did. And so, I would disagree in the fact of that statement. Because the intention of the bill discount and LIRAP was to make energy service available, and it's doing just that.  Q For the 53,000 or 53 percent that you currently reach?  A And growing.  Q That means that there's 47 percent who don't have that benefit at all; correct?  A so of today, but we hope that we will find those customers and get them connected to that benefit.  Q Until you hit the 5 percent cap?	the steps that that families have to take to manage their own or their energy bills, even with the discount program?  A I do not address that.  Data That's all the questions I have. Thank you.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  The Energy Project, you've been waiting patiently all day. You may proceed with cross.  ATTY ZAKAI: Thank you, Your Honor.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY ATTY ZAKAI:  Q Good afternoon, Mr. Bonfield.  A Good afternoon.  Just have one line of questions for you.  You mentioned in your prior exchange with  Public Counsel you speculated a bit about, you know, what actions you might take if the what actions you might take in response to the costs of these programs hitting the 5 percent arc specified in what we commonly refer to as SB 5295; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	different for lower income households that have higher proportion of rent, higher proportion of food expenses, higher proportion of energy burden, for example?  A No, we did not.  Q Would you disagree with Mr. Colton if he testifies that low-income customers have less ability to adapt to rate increases?  A I would disagree in the fact that he did not consider the effects of our LIRAP program in his analysis. In fact, he didn't mention our LIRAP program whatsoever or bill discount in the work he did. And so, I would disagree in the fact of that statement. Because the intention of the bill discount and LIRAP was to make energy service available, and it's doing just that.  Q For the 53,000 or 53 percent that you currently reach?  A And growing.  Q That means that there's 47 percent who don't have that benefit at all; correct?  A so of today, but we hope that we will find those customers and get them connected to that benefit.  Q Until you hit the 5 percent cap?  A We may hit the 5 percent cap and redesign the program	the steps that that families have to take to manage their own or their energy bills, even with the discount program?  A I do not address that.  Data That's all the questions I have. Thank you.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  The Energy Project, you've been waiting patiently all day. You may proceed with cross.  ATTY ZAKAI: Thank you, Your Honor.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY ATTY ZAKAI:  Q Good afternoon, Mr. Bonfield.  A Good afternoon.  Just have one line of questions for you.  You mentioned in your prior exchange with  Public Counsel you speculated a bit about, you know, what actions you might take if the what actions you might take in response to the costs of these programs hitting the 5 percent arc specified in what we commonly refer to as SB 5295; correct?  A That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	different for lower income households that have higher proportion of rent, higher proportion of food expenses, higher proportion of energy burden, for example?  A No, we did not.  Q Would you disagree with Mr. Colton if he testifies that low-income customers have less ability to adapt to rate increases?  A I would disagree in the fact that he did not consider the effects of our LIRAP program in his analysis. In fact, he didn't mention our LIRAP program whatsoever or bill discount in the work he did. And so, I would disagree in the fact of that statement. Because the intention of the bill discount and LIRAP was to make energy service available, and it's doing just that.  Q For the 53,000 or 53 percent that you currently reach?  A And growing.  Q That means that there's 47 percent who don't have that benefit at all; correct?  A As of today, but we hope that we will find those customers and get them connected to that benefit.  Q Until you hit the 5 percent cap?  A We may hit the 5 percent cap and redesign the program or adjust the discount amount so that any eligible	the steps that that families have to take to manage their own or their energy bills, even with the discount program?  A I do not address that.  Q That's all the questions I have. Thank you.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  The Energy Project, you've been waiting patiently all day. You may proceed with cross.  ATTY ZAKAI: Thank you, Your Honor.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY ATTY ZAKAI:  Q Good afternoon, Mr. Bonfield.  A Good afternoon.  Q I just have one line of questions for you.  You mentioned in your prior exchange with  Public Counsel you speculated a bit about, you know, what actions you might take if the what actions you might take in response to the costs of these programs hitting the 5 percent arc specified in what we commonly refer to as SB 5295; correct?  A That's correct.  Q Have you discussed with the low income advisory group
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	different for lower income households that have higher proportion of rent, higher proportion of food expenses, higher proportion of energy burden, for example?  A No, we did not.  Q Would you disagree with Mr. Colton if he testifies that low-income customers have less ability to adapt to rate increases?  A I would disagree in the fact that he did not consider the effects of our LIRAP program in his analysis. In fact, he didn't mention our LIRAP program whatsoever or bill discount in the work he did. And so, I would disagree in the fact of that statement. Because the intention of the bill discount and LIRAP was to make energy service available, and it's doing just that.  Q For the 53,000 or 53 percent that you currently reach?  A And growing.  Q That means that there's 47 percent who don't have that benefit at all; correct?  A so of today, but we hope that we will find those customers and get them connected to that benefit.  Q Until you hit the 5 percent cap?  A We may hit the 5 percent cap and redesign the program	the steps that that families have to take to manage their own or their energy bills, even with the discount program?  A I do not address that.  Q That's all the questions I have. Thank you.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  The Energy Project, you've been waiting patiently all day. You may proceed with cross.  ATTY ZAKAI: Thank you, Your Honor.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY ATTY ZAKAI:  Q Good afternoon, Mr. Bonfield.  A Good afternoon.  Q I just have one line of questions for you.  You mentioned in your prior exchange with  Public Counsel you speculated a bit about, you know, what actions you might take in response to the costs of these programs hitting the 5 percent arc specified in what we commonly refer to as SB 5295; correct?  A That's correct.  Q Have you discussed with the low income advisory group

Page 354 Page 356 1 interpretation of that? 1 emitters. And so, there are multiple ways to comply. 2 2 A I appreciate the question. And we realize that we were You can either reduce your emissions, or there's a cap 3 3 approaching the cap for direct service days before we invest or cap-and-trade-based programs, and we can 4 4 made the filing in front of the Commission. We have purchase allowances to offset our emissions. So while 5 5 the statewide cap may go down over time, there is not a raised the issue with our Energy Assistance Advisory 6 6 specific requirement that any emitter -- individual Group with -- many of these parties sitting at these 7 7 tables are a part of and are partners there, which we emitter must reduce their emissions. 8 8 Q But as you just stated, the emissions cap does decrease are grateful for. 9 9 And it's a question that is open and we're seeking over time. Do you agree with that? 10 guidance on. We would like to hear from our advisory 10 A Yes. For the state as a whole. 11 11 Q And according to the act, by 2050, the statewide group members what their opinions are of that. I think 12 12 we're looking to the Commission as well to say, how emissions cap will be reduced to a level 95 percent 13 below the 1999 -- 1990 emissions level. Accurate? 13 should this cap be applied? Is it a strict 5 percent? 14 14 A That is correct. And if it is, we have some decisions to make as an 15 advisory group for where to go. 15 Q Do you agree that there are multiple covered entities 16 16 whose emissions all count towards the statewide --If it's something different than that, I think 17 we'd like to know that because that informs those 17 statewide cap that you just mentioned? 18 18 discussions as well. And I think you all are a part of A Yes. The CCA covers the majority of sectors within the 19 those discussions. And yes, we'd love to hear the 19 20 20 Q And given all those sectors, all those covered entities opinions of The Energy Project and Staff and 21 21 Public Counsel as well. under the act, all of those entities will need to 22 Q Thanks. And so, it's fair to say that those 22 purchase allowances to cover their emissions in each 23 23 conversations haven't occurred in full yet? year as well; right? 24 A That is correct. 24 A Those will be the options before them. They can either 25 Q Thank you. No further questions, Your Honor. 25 reduce their emissions or purchase allowances. I would Page 355 Page 357 1 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And Sierra Club, 1 say it's still unclear what will happen if the State of 2 are you still online? 2 Washington links with Quebec and California. That 3 ATTY SMITH: Yeah. Sierra Club is still 3 expands the market of availability for allowances. 4 There's also secondary markets. online. Thank you very much. 4 5 5 And it's also price dependent on what is the most 6 cost-effective, lowest reasonable cost to comply with 6 **CROSS-EXAMINATION** 7 the act while serving our customers as well as -- the 7 BY ATTY SMITH: 8 other industries will probably do the same. And so, it 8 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Bonfield. 9 may be a lot, buying allowances today, but in the 9 Α Good afternoon. 10 future, it may be alternative fuels or something 10 Q I have a couple of -- I have a couple of questions 11 different. So there are a lot that could occur between 11 about the company's approach to complying with 12 now and 2050 for how emitters comply with the act and Washington's Climate Commitment Act. And my questions 12 13 the declining statewide cap. 13 refer to rebuttal testimony on pages 50 to 55. 14 14

Do you still have that before you? A Yes, I do. Q On page 50 of your rebuttal, you stated that the CCA does not, in fact, require a reduction of natural gas usage or reduction of actual emissions from the -- from

20 A Yes.

15

16

17

18

19

25

- 21 Q That's on page 50.
- 22 A Yes. That is accurate.
- 23 Q But the CCA says "a statewide cap on covered greenhouse 24 gas emissions"; is that correct?
  - A It is a statewide cap. It is not a cap on individual

natural gas utilities. Is that accurate?

Q Thank you. I'm just going with what we know now. And 15 is it true that, if a covered entity cannot purchase 16 enough allowances to cover its emission, it could be

17 subject to penalty under the CCA?

- A That is my understanding.
- 19 Q And if a covered entity reduces its emissions, it will 20 have to purchase fewer allowances to meet CCA 21 obligations. That's kind of what we talked about; 22 correct?
- 23 A If reducing the emissions is more cost effective than 24 purchasing an allowance, then yes, that's likely what 25 an emitter may do, resulting in the need to buy

	Page 358		Page 360
1	additional allowances.	1	assessment the last one we performed I have the
2	Q But if all of the covered entities continue emitting at	2	date here. I believe it was in '21-'22 time frame. So
3	or near current levels and comply with the CCA only by	3	it looks at the service territory to identify the
4	purchasing allowances, then as the statewide emissions	4	number of low-income customers that we serve as well
5	cap decreases, statewide emissions will exceed the cap	5	as it went beyond that, and it also looked at the
6	at some point; isn't that correct?	6	number of customers that had a high energy burden, the
7	A That could be possible. I'm not an expert on all of	7	energy burden as a whole for all of our customers, and
8	the details related to the Climate Commitment Act as	8	the amount of assistance that would be needed to reduce
9	well as the potential linkage with other markets as	9	the high energy burden to a level that was acceptable
10	well as the secondary market for the ability to buy	10	by industry standard, 6 percent or 3 percent depending
11	allowances.	11	on fuel type.
12	Based on my understanding, there are enough	12	And so, it's a point-in-time data to look at more
13	allowances today and in the near term or midterm, if	13	than just eligible population, but also the
14	you would call it, for entities to buy the allowances	14	effectiveness of the programs that are in place to
15	they need to meet their compliance obligation.	15	serve those customers. So it also includes
16	Q But setting aside any idea that we may partner with	16	demographics and what you're doing to reach those
17	another nation or another entity, I'm just focusing	17	customers.
18	on this is a state law. I'm focusing on what that	18	And energy burden assessment is more looking at,
19	CCA reads today. And given what we just talked about,	19	on a more regular basis, what is the energy burden of
20	is it truly accurate to say that the CCA will not	20	our customers, which is the total energy bill divided
21	require a reduction of natural gas usage or a reduction	21	by a customer's income or we use averages for the
22	of actual emissions from natural gas utilities, at	22	most part. And so, it's a more granular metric to
23	least at some point?	23	track over time, simply how the effectiveness of
24	A The law does not require that. That may be an outcome,	24	your programs each year is what I would say,
25	but the law does not specifically require a reduction	25	which we're providing those metrics already through
	Page 359		Page 361
1		1	
1 2	of emissions from a natural gas utility or emitter.	1 2	our performance-based rate-making metrics of that
1 2 3		1 2 3	
2	of emissions from a natural gas utility or emitter.  Q Thank you Mr. Bonfield. I don't have any further questions.	2	our performance-based rate-making metrics of that began in 2022 of what the energy burden is of our customers that we serve.
2	of emissions from a natural gas utility or emitter.  Q Thank you Mr. Bonfield. I don't have any further	2 3	our performance-based rate-making metrics of that began in 2022 of what the energy burden is of our
2 3 4	of emissions from a natural gas utility or emitter.  Q Thank you Mr. Bonfield. I don't have any further questions.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.	2 3 4	our performance-based rate-making metrics of that began in 2022 of what the energy burden is of our customers that we serve.  CHAIR DANNER: When did you complete your
2 3 4 5	of emissions from a natural gas utility or emitter.  Q Thank you Mr. Bonfield. I don't have any further questions.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  Does the company have any redirect?	2 3 4 5	our performance-based rate-making metrics of that began in 2022 of what the energy burden is of our customers that we serve.  CHAIR DANNER: When did you complete your last EBA? And when did you complete your last LINA?
2 3 4 5 6	of emissions from a natural gas utility or emitter.  Q Thank you Mr. Bonfield. I don't have any further questions.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  Does the company have any redirect?  ATTY MEYER: We do not.	2 3 4 5 6	our performance-based rate-making metrics of that began in 2022 of what the energy burden is of our customers that we serve.  CHAIR DANNER: When did you complete your last EBA? And when did you complete your last LINA?  THE WITNESS: Give me one second here. Our
2 3 4 5 6 7	of emissions from a natural gas utility or emitter.  Q Thank you Mr. Bonfield. I don't have any further questions.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  Does the company have any redirect?  ATTY MEYER: We do not.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7	our performance-based rate-making metrics of that began in 2022 of what the energy burden is of our customers that we serve.  CHAIR DANNER: When did you complete your last EBA? And when did you complete your last LINA?  THE WITNESS: Give me one second here. Our last low-income needs assessment was completed in 2021. I would say, what we would classify as our energy burden assessment or the metrics that we calculate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of emissions from a natural gas utility or emitter.  Q Thank you Mr. Bonfield. I don't have any further questions.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  Does the company have any redirect?  ATTY MEYER: We do not.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you.  Commissioners, do you have any questions?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	our performance-based rate-making metrics of that began in 2022 of what the energy burden is of our customers that we serve.  CHAIR DANNER: When did you complete your last EBA? And when did you complete your last LINA?  THE WITNESS: Give me one second here. Our last low-income needs assessment was completed in 2021. I would say, what we would classify as our energy burden assessment or the metrics that we calculate to look at energy burden it's provided on an annual
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	of emissions from a natural gas utility or emitter.  Q Thank you Mr. Bonfield. I don't have any further questions.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  Does the company have any redirect?  ATTY MEYER: We do not.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you.  Commissioners, do you have any questions?  CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you.  Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Good evening.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	our performance-based rate-making metrics of that began in 2022 of what the energy burden is of our customers that we serve.  CHAIR DANNER: When did you complete your last EBA? And when did you complete your last LINA?  THE WITNESS: Give me one second here. Our last low-income needs assessment was completed in 2021. I would say, what we would classify as our energy burden assessment or the metrics that we calculate to look at energy burden it's provided on an annual basis, with the most recent year being calendar year
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of emissions from a natural gas utility or emitter.  Q Thank you Mr. Bonfield. I don't have any further questions.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  Does the company have any redirect?  ATTY MEYER: We do not.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you.  Commissioners, do you have any questions?  CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you.  Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Good evening.  CHAIR DANNER: Still afternoon. Still	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	our performance-based rate-making metrics of that began in 2022 of what the energy burden is of our customers that we serve.  CHAIR DANNER: When did you complete your last EBA? And when did you complete your last LINA?  THE WITNESS: Give me one second here. Our last low-income needs assessment was completed in 2021. I would say, what we would classify as our energy burden assessment or the metrics that we calculate to look at energy burden it's provided on an annual basis, with the most recent year being calendar year 2023. We provided that as part of our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of emissions from a natural gas utility or emitter.  Q Thank you Mr. Bonfield. I don't have any further questions.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  Does the company have any redirect?  ATTY MEYER: We do not.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you.  Commissioners, do you have any questions?  CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you.  Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Good evening.  CHAIR DANNER: Still afternoon. Still afternoon. If we get into the evening, I would blame	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	our performance-based rate-making metrics of that began in 2022 of what the energy burden is of our customers that we serve.  CHAIR DANNER: When did you complete your last EBA? And when did you complete your last LINA?  THE WITNESS: Give me one second here. Our last low-income needs assessment was completed in 2021. I would say, what we would classify as our energy burden assessment or the metrics that we calculate to look at energy burden it's provided on an annual basis, with the most recent year being calendar year 2023. We provided that as part of our performance-based rate-making metrics. And it's also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of emissions from a natural gas utility or emitter.  Q Thank you Mr. Bonfield. I don't have any further questions.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  Does the company have any redirect?  ATTY MEYER: We do not.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you.  Commissioners, do you have any questions?  CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you.  Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Good evening.  CHAIR DANNER: Still afternoon. Still afternoon. If we get into the evening, I would blame Mr. Meyer for wanting to have a long lunch.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	our performance-based rate-making metrics of that began in 2022 of what the energy burden is of our customers that we serve.  CHAIR DANNER: When did you complete your last EBA? And when did you complete your last LINA?  THE WITNESS: Give me one second here. Our last low-income needs assessment was completed in 2021. I would say, what we would classify as our energy burden assessment or the metrics that we calculate to look at energy burden it's provided on an annual basis, with the most recent year being calendar year 2023. We provided that as part of our performance-based rate-making metrics. And it's also part of our clean energy implementation plan customer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of emissions from a natural gas utility or emitter.  Q Thank you Mr. Bonfield. I don't have any further questions.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  Does the company have any redirect?  ATTY MEYER: We do not.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you.  Commissioners, do you have any questions?  CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you.  Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Good evening.  CHAIR DANNER: Still afternoon. Still afternoon. If we get into the evening, I would blame Mr. Meyer for wanting to have a long lunch.  Thank you. All right. So throughout the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	our performance-based rate-making metrics of that began in 2022 of what the energy burden is of our customers that we serve.  CHAIR DANNER: When did you complete your last EBA? And when did you complete your last LINA?  THE WITNESS: Give me one second here. Our last low-income needs assessment was completed in 2021. I would say, what we would classify as our energy burden assessment or the metrics that we calculate to look at energy burden it's provided on an annual basis, with the most recent year being calendar year 2023. We provided that as part of our performance-based rate-making metrics. And it's also part of our clean energy implementation plan customer benefit indicators.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of emissions from a natural gas utility or emitter.  Q Thank you Mr. Bonfield. I don't have any further questions.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  Does the company have any redirect?  ATTY MEYER: We do not.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you.  Commissioners, do you have any questions?  CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you.  Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Good evening.  CHAIR DANNER: Still afternoon. Still afternoon. If we get into the evening, I would blame Mr. Meyer for wanting to have a long lunch.  Thank you. All right. So throughout the testimony of both TEP and NWEC, both refer to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	our performance-based rate-making metrics of that began in 2022 of what the energy burden is of our customers that we serve.  CHAIR DANNER: When did you complete your last EBA? And when did you complete your last LINA?  THE WITNESS: Give me one second here. Our last low-income needs assessment was completed in 2021. I would say, what we would classify as our energy burden assessment or the metrics that we calculate to look at energy burden it's provided on an annual basis, with the most recent year being calendar year 2023. We provided that as part of our performance-based rate-making metrics. And it's also part of our clean energy implementation plan customer benefit indicators.  CHAIR DANNER: And do you see the way you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of emissions from a natural gas utility or emitter.  Q Thank you Mr. Bonfield. I don't have any further questions.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  Does the company have any redirect?  ATTY MEYER: We do not.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you.  Commissioners, do you have any questions?  CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you.  Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Good evening.  CHAIR DANNER: Still afternoon. Still afternoon. If we get into the evening, I would blame Mr. Meyer for wanting to have a long lunch.  Thank you. All right. So throughout the testimony of both TEP and NWEC, both refer to the energy burden analysis, or the EBA, and a low-income	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	our performance-based rate-making metrics of that began in 2022 of what the energy burden is of our customers that we serve.  CHAIR DANNER: When did you complete your last EBA? And when did you complete your last LINA?  THE WITNESS: Give me one second here. Our last low-income needs assessment was completed in 2021. I would say, what we would classify as our energy burden assessment or the metrics that we calculate to look at energy burden it's provided on an annual basis, with the most recent year being calendar year 2023. We provided that as part of our performance-based rate-making metrics. And it's also part of our clean energy implementation plan customer benefit indicators.  CHAIR DANNER: And do you see the way you're using these reports to be consistent with the way the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of emissions from a natural gas utility or emitter.  Q Thank you Mr. Bonfield. I don't have any further questions.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  Does the company have any redirect?  ATTY MEYER: We do not.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you.  Commissioners, do you have any questions?  CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you.  Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Good evening.  CHAIR DANNER: Still afternoon. Still afternoon. If we get into the evening, I would blame Mr. Meyer for wanting to have a long lunch.  Thank you. All right. So throughout the testimony of both TEP and NWEC, both refer to the energy burden analysis, or the EBA, and a low-income needs assessment. Witnesses for both and witness	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	our performance-based rate-making metrics of that began in 2022 of what the energy burden is of our customers that we serve.  CHAIR DANNER: When did you complete your last EBA? And when did you complete your last LINA?  THE WITNESS: Give me one second here. Our last low-income needs assessment was completed in 2021. I would say, what we would classify as our energy burden assessment or the metrics that we calculate to look at energy burden it's provided on an annual basis, with the most recent year being calendar year 2023. We provided that as part of our performance-based rate-making metrics. And it's also part of our clean energy implementation plan customer benefit indicators.  CHAIR DANNER: And do you see the way you're using these reports to be consistent with the way the advocacy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	of emissions from a natural gas utility or emitter.  Q Thank you Mr. Bonfield. I don't have any further questions.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  Does the company have any redirect?  ATTY MEYER: We do not.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you.  Commissioners, do you have any questions?  CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you.  Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Good evening.  CHAIR DANNER: Still afternoon. Still afternoon. If we get into the evening, I would blame Mr. Meyer for wanting to have a long lunch.  Thank you. All right. So throughout the testimony of both TEP and NWEC, both refer to the energy burden analysis, or the EBA, and a low-income needs assessment. Witnesses for both and witness for both reference different years for the most recent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	our performance-based rate-making metrics of that began in 2022 of what the energy burden is of our customers that we serve.  CHAIR DANNER: When did you complete your last EBA? And when did you complete your last LINA?  THE WITNESS: Give me one second here. Our last low-income needs assessment was completed in 2021. I would say, what we would classify as our energy burden assessment or the metrics that we calculate to look at energy burden it's provided on an annual basis, with the most recent year being calendar year 2023. We provided that as part of our performance-based rate-making metrics. And it's also part of our clean energy implementation plan customer benefit indicators.  CHAIR DANNER: And do you see the way you're using these reports to be consistent with the way the advocacy  (Reporter requests clarification.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of emissions from a natural gas utility or emitter.  Q Thank you Mr. Bonfield. I don't have any further questions.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  Does the company have any redirect?  ATTY MEYER: We do not.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you.  Commissioners, do you have any questions?  CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you.  Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Good evening.  CHAIR DANNER: Still afternoon. Still afternoon. If we get into the evening, I would blame Mr. Meyer for wanting to have a long lunch.  Thank you. All right. So throughout the testimony of both TEP and NWEC, both refer to the energy burden analysis, or the EBA, and a low-income needs assessment. Witnesses for both and witness for both reference different years for the most recent LINA.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	our performance-based rate-making metrics of that began in 2022 of what the energy burden is of our customers that we serve.  CHAIR DANNER: When did you complete your last EBA? And when did you complete your last LINA?  THE WITNESS: Give me one second here. Our last low-income needs assessment was completed in 2021. I would say, what we would classify as our energy burden assessment or the metrics that we calculate to look at energy burden it's provided on an annual basis, with the most recent year being calendar year 2023. We provided that as part of our performance-based rate-making metrics. And it's also part of our clean energy implementation plan customer benefit indicators.  CHAIR DANNER: And do you see the way you're using these reports to be consistent with the way the advocacy  (Reporter requests clarification.)  CHAIR DANNER: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of emissions from a natural gas utility or emitter.  Q Thank you Mr. Bonfield. I don't have any further questions.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  Does the company have any redirect?  ATTY MEYER: We do not.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you.  Commissioners, do you have any questions?  CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you.  Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Good evening.  CHAIR DANNER: Still afternoon. Still afternoon. If we get into the evening, I would blame Mr. Meyer for wanting to have a long lunch.  Thank you. All right. So throughout the testimony of both TEP and NWEC, both refer to the energy burden analysis, or the EBA, and a low-income needs assessment. Witnesses for both and witness for both reference different years for the most recent LINA.  What do you see is the difference between the EBA	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	our performance-based rate-making metrics of that began in 2022 of what the energy burden is of our customers that we serve.  CHAIR DANNER: When did you complete your last EBA? And when did you complete your last LINA?  THE WITNESS: Give me one second here. Our last low-income needs assessment was completed in 2021. I would say, what we would classify as our energy burden assessment or the metrics that we calculate to look at energy burden it's provided on an annual basis, with the most recent year being calendar year 2023. We provided that as part of our performance-based rate-making metrics. And it's also part of our clean energy implementation plan customer benefit indicators.  CHAIR DANNER: And do you see the way you're using these reports to be consistent with the way the advocacy  (Reporter requests clarification.)  CHAIR DANNER: Thank you.  So basically, the question I had was is it your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of emissions from a natural gas utility or emitter.  Q Thank you Mr. Bonfield. I don't have any further questions.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  Does the company have any redirect?  ATTY MEYER: We do not.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you.  Commissioners, do you have any questions?  CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you.  Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Good evening.  CHAIR DANNER: Still afternoon. Still afternoon. If we get into the evening, I would blame Mr. Meyer for wanting to have a long lunch.  Thank you. All right. So throughout the testimony of both TEP and NWEC, both refer to the energy burden analysis, or the EBA, and a low-income needs assessment. Witnesses for both and witness for both reference different years for the most recent LINA.  What do you see is the difference between the EBA and the LINA? That is, the difference between the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	our performance-based rate-making metrics of that began in 2022 of what the energy burden is of our customers that we serve.  CHAIR DANNER: When did you complete your last EBA? And when did you complete your last LINA?  THE WITNESS: Give me one second here. Our last low-income needs assessment was completed in 2021. I would say, what we would classify as our energy burden assessment or the metrics that we calculate to look at energy burden it's provided on an annual basis, with the most recent year being calendar year 2023. We provided that as part of our performance-based rate-making metrics. And it's also part of our clean energy implementation plan customer benefit indicators.  CHAIR DANNER: And do you see the way you're using these reports to be consistent with the way the advocacy  (Reporter requests clarification.)  CHAIR DANNER: Thank you.  So basically, the question I had was is it your understanding that you're using these reports the same
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of emissions from a natural gas utility or emitter.  Q Thank you Mr. Bonfield. I don't have any further questions.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Does the company have any redirect? ATTY MEYER: We do not. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. Commissioners, do you have any questions? CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you. Good afternoon. THE WITNESS: Good evening. CHAIR DANNER: Still afternoon. Still afternoon. If we get into the evening, I would blame Mr. Meyer for wanting to have a long lunch. Thank you. All right. So throughout the testimony of both TEP and NWEC, both refer to the energy burden analysis, or the EBA, and a low-income needs assessment. Witnesses for both and witness for both reference different years for the most recent LINA.  What do you see is the difference between the EBA and the LINA? That is, the difference between the energy burden analysis and the low-income needs	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	our performance-based rate-making metrics of that began in 2022 of what the energy burden is of our customers that we serve.  CHAIR DANNER: When did you complete your last EBA? And when did you complete your last LINA?  THE WITNESS: Give me one second here. Our last low-income needs assessment was completed in 2021. I would say, what we would classify as our energy burden assessment or the metrics that we calculate to look at energy burden it's provided on an annual basis, with the most recent year being calendar year 2023. We provided that as part of our performance-based rate-making metrics. And it's also part of our clean energy implementation plan customer benefit indicators.  CHAIR DANNER: And do you see the way you're using these reports to be consistent with the way the advocacy  (Reporter requests clarification.)  CHAIR DANNER: Thank you.  So basically, the question I had was is it your understanding that you're using these reports the same way the advocates are?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	of emissions from a natural gas utility or emitter.  Q Thank you Mr. Bonfield. I don't have any further questions.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.  Does the company have any redirect?  ATTY MEYER: We do not.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you.  Commissioners, do you have any questions?  CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you.  Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Good evening.  CHAIR DANNER: Still afternoon. Still afternoon. If we get into the evening, I would blame Mr. Meyer for wanting to have a long lunch.  Thank you. All right. So throughout the testimony of both TEP and NWEC, both refer to the energy burden analysis, or the EBA, and a low-income needs assessment. Witnesses for both and witness for both reference different years for the most recent LINA.  What do you see is the difference between the EBA and the LINA? That is, the difference between the energy burden analysis and the low-income needs assessment?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	our performance-based rate-making metrics of that began in 2022 of what the energy burden is of our customers that we serve.  CHAIR DANNER: When did you complete your last EBA? And when did you complete your last LINA?  THE WITNESS: Give me one second here. Our last low-income needs assessment was completed in 2021. I would say, what we would classify as our energy burden assessment or the metrics that we calculate to look at energy burden it's provided on an annual basis, with the most recent year being calendar year 2023. We provided that as part of our performance-based rate-making metrics. And it's also part of our clean energy implementation plan customer benefit indicators.  CHAIR DANNER: And do you see the way you're using these reports to be consistent with the way the advocacy  (Reporter requests clarification.)  CHAIR DANNER: Thank you.  So basically, the question I had was is it your understanding that you're using these reports the same way the advocates are?  THE WITNESS: I do believe we're using them
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of emissions from a natural gas utility or emitter.  Q Thank you Mr. Bonfield. I don't have any further questions.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Does the company have any redirect? ATTY MEYER: We do not. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. Commissioners, do you have any questions? CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you. Good afternoon. THE WITNESS: Good evening. CHAIR DANNER: Still afternoon. Still afternoon. If we get into the evening, I would blame Mr. Meyer for wanting to have a long lunch. Thank you. All right. So throughout the testimony of both TEP and NWEC, both refer to the energy burden analysis, or the EBA, and a low-income needs assessment. Witnesses for both and witness for both reference different years for the most recent LINA.  What do you see is the difference between the EBA and the LINA? That is, the difference between the energy burden analysis and the low-income needs	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	our performance-based rate-making metrics of that began in 2022 of what the energy burden is of our customers that we serve.  CHAIR DANNER: When did you complete your last EBA? And when did you complete your last LINA?  THE WITNESS: Give me one second here. Our last low-income needs assessment was completed in 2021. I would say, what we would classify as our energy burden assessment or the metrics that we calculate to look at energy burden it's provided on an annual basis, with the most recent year being calendar year 2023. We provided that as part of our performance-based rate-making metrics. And it's also part of our clean energy implementation plan customer benefit indicators.  CHAIR DANNER: And do you see the way you're using these reports to be consistent with the way the advocacy  (Reporter requests clarification.)  CHAIR DANNER: Thank you.  So basically, the question I had was is it your understanding that you're using these reports the same way the advocates are?

## Page 362 Page 364 1 refinement and to understand the methodologies and 1 those here, including the translation of our website to 2 calculations in turning -- including the income and 2 Spanish, our PSPS map into Spanish. We're looking at 3 inputs that go into those analyses. So we're using 3 our IVR into Spanish. We do much more communications. 4 4 them in the same format. There is -- there was some And so, I don't know that all the parties were 5 5 confusion over the terminology "low-income needs aware of all the activities that have been undertaken. 6 assessment" and "energy burden assessment." But in I do believe we have the right groups in place to 6 7 7 reality, I think where we're all headed is the same continue these conversations, and we're committed to 8 direction. 8 doing that. I don't know that we need a directive to 9 9 Does there need to be continued refinement working do more. We understand the expectations around the 10 with our advisory groups on the metrics that are 10 CEIP and collaborating with our advisors, which we've 11 provided to understand them and monitor them? Yes. We 11 done for a lengthy period of time. And we have very 12 12 should continue to do that, and we plan to with our successful advisory groups, in my opinion, in terms of 13 advisory groups. 13 how we work together. So I think the mechanics are all 14 CHAIR DANNER: Okay. Thank you for that. there. I know it's a continued discussion. I believe 14 15 I wanted to ask you another question about the 15 we have what's in place, what is needed to continue 16 16 language access plans. In your rebuttal testimony on those discussions. 17 page 33, you discuss the -- your company's 17 CHAIR DANNER: Okay. And you mentioned 18 collaboration with the three advisory groups related to 18 Spanish. And, I mean, what is going on with regard to 19 work on a multi-language strategy -- and the CEIP -- of 19 other languages besides Spanish? 20 a participation plan. Do you recall it? 20 THE WITNESS: I appreciate the question. And 21 THE WITNESS: I do recall it, yeah. 21 so, if you look at page 31 of my rebuttal testimony, 22 CHAIR DANNER: You note that Avista consults 22 lines 11 through 19, really, 97.9 percent of our 23 23 with these groups on an annual basis. And since its customers speak English. And so, those that don't, 24 work began, and it was in August 2023, how many times 24 it's 2.1 percent. And you can see the demographic 25 has Avista consulted with each of the advisory groups 25 makeup of that. 1 percent -- percent -- 1 percent of Page 363 Page 365 1 on the language strategy? 1 non-English speakers speak Spanish. Going down that 2 2 THE WITNESS: We have monthly meetings with list, you can see a half percent --3 our equity advisory group, and we have quarterly 3 CHAIR DANNER: Sorry. You said 1 percent of 4 meetings or sometimes every other month with our Energy 4 non-English speakers? 5 Assistance Advisory Group. Language access isn't 5 THE WITNESS: Correct. 1 percent of the 6 overall population -always a topic at the monthly meetings, but it has been 6 7 talked about multiple times. 7 CHAIR DANNER: Okay. 8 As I mentioned in the rebuttal testimony, we also 8 THE WITNESS: -- of our customer base 9 9 have our public participation plan, which -- yes, it's speaks --10 required as part of your CEIP, but it's actually much 10 CHAIR DANNER: So you've got 97 percent --11 broader than that. It speaks to helping our 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. Sorry. 12 12 communication outreach and engagement strategy with our CHAIR DANNER: -- 2.1 other --13 13 customers for our program participation to the CEIP THE WITNESS: Yes. Thank you. 14 itself. And so, that's a docket -- document that we 14 CHAIR DANNER: -- which serves .9 that I 15 talk to our advisory group about before it's filed. 15 haven't --THE WITNESS: Correct. That is correct. And 16 It's coming up again. We have a timeline we're working 16 17 on to do that work for May 1st of 2025, which involves 17 that's where that -- the rest of that list comes in. 1.8 input from our advisory group. So... 18 The half percent prefer Asian-Pacific island. Half 19 CHAIR DANNER: So is it your view you're 19 percent prefer other Indo-European language. 20 coordinating -- you think you're coordinating often 20 The challenge with those two other categories 21 2.1 enough? You don't need to increase it? Or... is -- it's not a single language like Spanish. It's 22 THE WITNESS: I do believe so. And I think 22 actually made up of many languages. And so, there are 23 23 what had -- pointed out in testimony -- is that I don't very, very, small pocket of customers that speak 24 24 know that all the parties are aware of all the another language. So we do have translation services 25 activities we've undertaken. We've included some of 25 available through our call center that translate to 240

	Page 366		Page 368
1	languages. So we have a resource available to those	1	markets?
2	customers.	2	THE WITNESS: That would be reasonable. I
3	We also have to balance the cost of performing	3	know that our market will expand in the near term, but
4	some of those translation activities. I believe it	4	I can't speak to the specifics as we get out further
5	was we did a survey related to our CEIP in Spanish,	5	into the future about the scale of how big that market
6	Russian and I want to say Ukraine. I'm forgetting	6	will be.
7	the third language. And so we did that work, and the	7	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. I
8	response was zero or next to zero. And so we have to	8	have no further questions.
9	balance looking at.	9	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.
10	CHAIR DANNER: You mean the response from	10	I think that concludes the questions for you,
11	THE WITNESS: those groups	11	Mr. Bonfield. And you may be seated.
12	(Reporter requests clarification.)	12	At this point in time, we will go ahead and end
13	THE WITNESS: The uptake for customers that	13	the proceeding.
14	responded in those other language was zero or near	14	CHAIR DANNER: I actually, let me ask
15	zero. And so, we recognize that we need to do more	15	I'm the questions I just asked Mr. Bonfield, I would
16	with language access, but we also have to balance the	16	also like to ask those of Charlee Thompson of NWEC, who
17	cost and time and resources to look at those other	17	I think is available. Well, she's we're on topic,
18	language and do that translation when the pockets are	18	and she has some scheduling problems tomorrow; so I
19	so very small.	19	think we could do this very quickly.
20	So there may be other paths to take through	20	Counsel for NWEC?
21	community partners to reach those customers, but it is	21	MS. THOMPSON: Chair Dan, it looks like our
22	an ongoing conversation about what do you do beyond	22	counsel had to drop off. But if you give me a minute,
23	Spanish when so few of our customers speak other	23	I can reach out to him and see if he can rejoin. Or we
24	languages that aren't Spanish?	24	can do this tomorrow too.
25	CHAIR DANNER: So right now, there's no plans	25	CHAIR DANNER: Okay. We can do it tomorrow.
	Page 367		Page 369
			rage 309
1		1	
1 2	for a website in any other language besides English or	1 2	You've heard the questions; so anyway.
	for a website in any other language besides English or Spanish?		You've heard the questions; so anyway.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. And tomorrow your
2	for a website in any other language besides English or	2	You've heard the questions; so anyway.
2	for a website in any other language besides English or Spanish?  THE WITNESS: At this moment, no. But it is	2 3	You've heard the questions; so anyway.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. And tomorrow your availability is just restricted from noon to 1:00; is
2 3 4	for a website in any other language besides English or Spanish?  THE WITNESS: At this moment, no. But it is a continued discussion and evaluation for if and when we should do that.	2 3 4	You've heard the questions; so anyway.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. And tomorrow your availability is just restricted from noon to 1:00; is that correct?
2 3 4 5	for a website in any other language besides English or Spanish?  THE WITNESS: At this moment, no. But it is a continued discussion and evaluation for if and when we should do that.  CHAIR DANNER: Okay. Thank you. No further	2 3 4 5	You've heard the questions; so anyway.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. And tomorrow your availability is just restricted from noon to 1:00; is that correct?  MS. THOMPSON: Yes. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6	for a website in any other language besides English or Spanish?  THE WITNESS: At this moment, no. But it is a continued discussion and evaluation for if and when we should do that.	2 3 4 5 6	You've heard the questions; so anyway.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. And tomorrow your availability is just restricted from noon to 1:00; is that correct?  MS. THOMPSON: Yes. That's correct.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7	for a website in any other language besides English or Spanish?  THE WITNESS: At this moment, no. But it is a continued discussion and evaluation for if and when we should do that.  CHAIR DANNER: Okay. Thank you. No further questions.	2 3 4 5 6 7	You've heard the questions; so anyway.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. And tomorrow your availability is just restricted from noon to 1:00; is that correct?  MS. THOMPSON: Yes. That's correct.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. Okay. And do we have any other housekeeping
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	for a website in any other language besides English or Spanish?  THE WITNESS: At this moment, no. But it is a continued discussion and evaluation for if and when we should do that.  CHAIR DANNER: Okay. Thank you. No further questions.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Commissioner Rendahl?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	You've heard the questions; so anyway.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. And tomorrow your availability is just restricted from noon to 1:00; is that correct?  MS. THOMPSON: Yes. That's correct.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you.  Okay. And do we have any other housekeeping matters before we end today?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	for a website in any other language besides English or Spanish?  THE WITNESS: At this moment, no. But it is a continued discussion and evaluation for if and when we should do that.  CHAIR DANNER: Okay. Thank you. No further questions.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Commissioner Rendahl?  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Just one follow-up.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	You've heard the questions; so anyway.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. And tomorrow your availability is just restricted from noon to 1:00; is that correct?  MS. THOMPSON: Yes. That's correct.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. Okay. And do we have any other housekeeping matters before we end today?  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Your Honor, I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	for a website in any other language besides English or Spanish?  THE WITNESS: At this moment, no. But it is a continued discussion and evaluation for if and when we should do that.  CHAIR DANNER: Okay. Thank you. No further questions.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Commissioner Rendahl?  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Just one follow-up.  Good afternoon. Almost good evening.  THE WITNESS: Almost.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	You've heard the questions; so anyway.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. And tomorrow your availability is just restricted from noon to 1:00; is that correct?  MS. THOMPSON: Yes. That's correct.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. Okay. And do we have any other housekeeping matters before we end today?  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Your Honor, I think that the only other so TEP Witness Colton is only
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	for a website in any other language besides English or Spanish?  THE WITNESS: At this moment, no. But it is a continued discussion and evaluation for if and when we should do that.  CHAIR DANNER: Okay. Thank you. No further questions.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Commissioner Rendahl?  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Just one follow-up. Good afternoon. Almost good evening.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	You've heard the questions; so anyway.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. And tomorrow your availability is just restricted from noon to 1:00; is that correct?  MS. THOMPSON: Yes. That's correct.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. Okay. And do we have any other housekeeping matters before we end today?  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Your Honor, I think that the only other so TEP Witness Colton is only available in the morning; so maybe we could start with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	for a website in any other language besides English or Spanish?  THE WITNESS: At this moment, no. But it is a continued discussion and evaluation for if and when we should do that.  CHAIR DANNER: Okay. Thank you. No further questions.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Commissioner Rendahl?  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Just one follow-up.  Good afternoon. Almost good evening.  THE WITNESS: Almost.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So you mentioned that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	You've heard the questions; so anyway.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. And tomorrow your availability is just restricted from noon to 1:00; is that correct?  MS. THOMPSON: Yes. That's correct.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. Okay. And do we have any other housekeeping matters before we end today?  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Your Honor, I think that the only other so TEP Witness Colton is only available in the morning; so maybe we could start with Mr. Colton.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	for a website in any other language besides English or Spanish?  THE WITNESS: At this moment, no. But it is a continued discussion and evaluation for if and when we should do that.  CHAIR DANNER: Okay. Thank you. No further questions.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Commissioner Rendahl?  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Just one follow-up.  Good afternoon. Almost good evening.  THE WITNESS: Almost.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So you mentioned that the potential linkage this is on the CCA topic.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	You've heard the questions; so anyway.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. And tomorrow your availability is just restricted from noon to 1:00; is that correct?  MS. THOMPSON: Yes. That's correct.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. Okay. And do we have any other housekeeping matters before we end today?  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Your Honor, I think that the only other so TEP Witness Colton is only available in the morning; so maybe we could start with Mr. Colton.  JUDGE THOMPSON: And I believe that's true for Witness Mullins as well; correct?  ATTY PEPPLE: Well, Witness Mullins just has
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	for a website in any other language besides English or Spanish?  THE WITNESS: At this moment, no. But it is a continued discussion and evaluation for if and when we should do that.  CHAIR DANNER: Okay. Thank you. No further questions.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Commissioner Rendahl?  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Just one follow-up.  Good afternoon. Almost good evening.  THE WITNESS: Almost.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So you mentioned that the potential linkage this is on the CCA topic.  THE WITNESS: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	You've heard the questions; so anyway.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. And tomorrow your availability is just restricted from noon to 1:00; is that correct?  MS. THOMPSON: Yes. That's correct.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. Okay. And do we have any other housekeeping matters before we end today?  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Your Honor, I think that the only other so TEP Witness Colton is only available in the morning; so maybe we could start with Mr. Colton.  JUDGE THOMPSON: And I believe that's true for Witness Mullins as well; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	for a website in any other language besides English or Spanish?  THE WITNESS: At this moment, no. But it is a continued discussion and evaluation for if and when we should do that.  CHAIR DANNER: Okay. Thank you. No further questions.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Commissioner Rendahl?  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Just one follow-up.  Good afternoon. Almost good evening.  THE WITNESS: Almost.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So you mentioned that the potential linkage this is on the CCA topic.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: The potential linkage with California and Quebec and Washington would expand	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	You've heard the questions; so anyway.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. And tomorrow your availability is just restricted from noon to 1:00; is that correct?  MS. THOMPSON: Yes. That's correct.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. Okay. And do we have any other housekeeping matters before we end today?  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Your Honor, I think that the only other so TEP Witness Colton is only available in the morning; so maybe we could start with Mr. Colton.  JUDGE THOMPSON: And I believe that's true for Witness Mullins as well; correct?  ATTY PEPPLE: Well, Witness Mullins just has a time zone issue. It's not really an availability issue; so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	for a website in any other language besides English or Spanish?  THE WITNESS: At this moment, no. But it is a continued discussion and evaluation for if and when we should do that.  CHAIR DANNER: Okay. Thank you. No further questions.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Commissioner Rendahl?  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Just one follow-up.  Good afternoon. Almost good evening.  THE WITNESS: Almost.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So you mentioned that the potential linkage this is on the CCA topic.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: The potential linkage with California and Quebec and Washington would expand the supply of carbon allowances for Avista and covered	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	You've heard the questions; so anyway.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. And tomorrow your availability is just restricted from noon to 1:00; is that correct?  MS. THOMPSON: Yes. That's correct.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. Okay. And do we have any other housekeeping matters before we end today?  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Your Honor, I think that the only other so TEP Witness Colton is only available in the morning; so maybe we could start with Mr. Colton.  JUDGE THOMPSON: And I believe that's true for Witness Mullins as well; correct?  ATTY PEPPLE: Well, Witness Mullins just has a time zone issue. It's not really an availability issue; so  JUDGE THOMPSON: Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	for a website in any other language besides English or Spanish?  THE WITNESS: At this moment, no. But it is a continued discussion and evaluation for if and when we should do that.  CHAIR DANNER: Okay. Thank you. No further questions.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Commissioner Rendahl?  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Just one follow-up.  Good afternoon. Almost good evening.  THE WITNESS: Almost.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So you mentioned that the potential linkage this is on the CCA topic.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: The potential linkage with California and Quebec and Washington would expand	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	You've heard the questions; so anyway.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. And tomorrow your availability is just restricted from noon to 1:00; is that correct?  MS. THOMPSON: Yes. That's correct.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. Okay. And do we have any other housekeeping matters before we end today?  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Your Honor, I think that the only other so TEP Witness Colton is only available in the morning; so maybe we could start with Mr. Colton.  JUDGE THOMPSON: And I believe that's true for Witness Mullins as well; correct?  ATTY PEPPLE: Well, Witness Mullins just has a time zone issue. It's not really an availability issue; so  JUDGE THOMPSON: Right.  ATTY PEPPLE: I think I guess the only
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	for a website in any other language besides English or Spanish?  THE WITNESS: At this moment, no. But it is a continued discussion and evaluation for if and when we should do that.  CHAIR DANNER: Okay. Thank you. No further questions.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Commissioner Rendahl?  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Just one follow-up.  Good afternoon. Almost good evening.  THE WITNESS: Almost.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So you mentioned that the potential linkage this is on the CCA topic.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: The potential linkage with California and Quebec and Washington would expand the supply of carbon allowances for Avista and covered entities in Washington.  Are you aware that the California and Quebec	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	You've heard the questions; so anyway.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. And tomorrow your availability is just restricted from noon to 1:00; is that correct?  MS. THOMPSON: Yes. That's correct.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. Okay. And do we have any other housekeeping matters before we end today?  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Your Honor, I think that the only other so TEP Witness Colton is only available in the morning; so maybe we could start with Mr. Colton.  JUDGE THOMPSON: And I believe that's true for Witness Mullins as well; correct?  ATTY PEPPLE: Well, Witness Mullins just has a time zone issue. It's not really an availability issue; so  JUDGE THOMPSON: Right.  ATTY PEPPLE: I think I guess the only Dr. Kaufman, I know, was the commissioners may have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	for a website in any other language besides English or Spanish?  THE WITNESS: At this moment, no. But it is a continued discussion and evaluation for if and when we should do that.  CHAIR DANNER: Okay. Thank you. No further questions.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Commissioner Rendahl?  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Just one follow-up.  Good afternoon. Almost good evening.  THE WITNESS: Almost.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So you mentioned that the potential linkage this is on the CCA topic.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: The potential linkage with California and Quebec and Washington would expand the supply of carbon allowances for Avista and covered entities in Washington.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	You've heard the questions; so anyway.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. And tomorrow your availability is just restricted from noon to 1:00; is that correct?  MS. THOMPSON: Yes. That's correct.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. Okay. And do we have any other housekeeping matters before we end today?  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Your Honor, I think that the only other so TEP Witness Colton is only available in the morning; so maybe we could start with Mr. Colton.  JUDGE THOMPSON: And I believe that's true for Witness Mullins as well; correct?  ATTY PEPPLE: Well, Witness Mullins just has a time zone issue. It's not really an availability issue; so  JUDGE THOMPSON: Right.  ATTY PEPPLE: I think I guess the only Dr. Kaufman, I know, was the commissioners may have some questions for him. He's not available after 1:00
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	for a website in any other language besides English or Spanish?  THE WITNESS: At this moment, no. But it is a continued discussion and evaluation for if and when we should do that.  CHAIR DANNER: Okay. Thank you. No further questions.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Commissioner Rendahl?  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Just one follow-up.  Good afternoon. Almost good evening.  THE WITNESS: Almost.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So you mentioned that the potential linkage this is on the CCA topic.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: The potential linkage with California and Quebec and Washington would expand the supply of carbon allowances for Avista and covered entities in Washington.  Are you aware that the California and Quebec current markets also have declining market caps?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	You've heard the questions; so anyway.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. And tomorrow your availability is just restricted from noon to 1:00; is that correct?  MS. THOMPSON: Yes. That's correct.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. Okay. And do we have any other housekeeping matters before we end today?  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Your Honor, I think that the only other so TEP Witness Colton is only available in the morning; so maybe we could start with Mr. Colton.  JUDGE THOMPSON: And I believe that's true for Witness Mullins as well; correct?  ATTY PEPPLE: Well, Witness Mullins just has a time zone issue. It's not really an availability issue; so  JUDGE THOMPSON: Right.  ATTY PEPPLE: I think I guess the only Dr. Kaufman, I know, was the commissioners may have some questions for him. He's not available after 1:00 tomorrow; so my hope is that the hearing may be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	for a website in any other language besides English or Spanish?  THE WITNESS: At this moment, no. But it is a continued discussion and evaluation for if and when we should do that.  CHAIR DANNER: Okay. Thank you. No further questions.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Commissioner Rendahl?  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Just one follow-up.  Good afternoon. Almost good evening.  THE WITNESS: Almost.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So you mentioned that the potential linkage this is on the CCA topic.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: The potential linkage with California and Quebec and Washington would expand the supply of carbon allowances for Avista and covered entities in Washington.  Are you aware that the California and Quebec current markets also have declining market caps?  THE WITNESS: I am aware of that. I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	You've heard the questions; so anyway.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. And tomorrow your availability is just restricted from noon to 1:00; is that correct?  MS. THOMPSON: Yes. That's correct.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. Okay. And do we have any other housekeeping matters before we end today?  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Your Honor, I think that the only other so TEP Witness Colton is only available in the morning; so maybe we could start with Mr. Colton.  JUDGE THOMPSON: And I believe that's true for Witness Mullins as well; correct?  ATTY PEPPLE: Well, Witness Mullins just has a time zone issue. It's not really an availability issue; so  JUDGE THOMPSON: Right.  ATTY PEPPLE: I think I guess the only Dr. Kaufman, I know, was the commissioners may have some questions for him. He's not available after 1:00 tomorrow; so my hope is that the hearing may be concluded by then, but we'll see.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	for a website in any other language besides English or Spanish?  THE WITNESS: At this moment, no. But it is a continued discussion and evaluation for if and when we should do that.  CHAIR DANNER: Okay. Thank you. No further questions.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Commissioner Rendahl?  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Just one follow-up.  Good afternoon. Almost good evening.  THE WITNESS: Almost.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So you mentioned that the potential linkage this is on the CCA topic.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: The potential linkage with California and Quebec and Washington would expand the supply of carbon allowances for Avista and covered entities in Washington.  Are you aware that the California and Quebec current markets also have declining market caps?  THE WITNESS: I am aware of that. I don't know all of the details of their two markets, but I am	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	You've heard the questions; so anyway.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. And tomorrow your availability is just restricted from noon to 1:00; is that correct?  MS. THOMPSON: Yes. That's correct.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. Okay. And do we have any other housekeeping matters before we end today?  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Your Honor, I think that the only other so TEP Witness Colton is only available in the morning; so maybe we could start with Mr. Colton.  JUDGE THOMPSON: And I believe that's true for Witness Mullins as well; correct?  ATTY PEPPLE: Well, Witness Mullins just has a time zone issue. It's not really an availability issue; so  JUDGE THOMPSON: Right.  ATTY PEPPLE: I think I guess the only Dr. Kaufman, I know, was the commissioners may have some questions for him. He's not available after 1:00 tomorrow; so my hope is that the hearing may be concluded by then, but we'll see.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. It sounds like we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	for a website in any other language besides English or Spanish?  THE WITNESS: At this moment, no. But it is a continued discussion and evaluation for if and when we should do that.  CHAIR DANNER: Okay. Thank you. No further questions.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Commissioner Rendahl?  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Just one follow-up.  Good afternoon. Almost good evening.  THE WITNESS: Almost.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So you mentioned that the potential linkage this is on the CCA topic.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: The potential linkage with California and Quebec and Washington would expand the supply of carbon allowances for Avista and covered entities in Washington.  Are you aware that the California and Quebec current markets also have declining market caps?  THE WITNESS: I am aware of that. I don't know all of the details of their two markets, but I am aware that they also have a form of decline in cap.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	You've heard the questions; so anyway.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. And tomorrow your availability is just restricted from noon to 1:00; is that correct?  MS. THOMPSON: Yes. That's correct.  JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. Okay. And do we have any other housekeeping matters before we end today?  ATTY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Your Honor, I think that the only other so TEP Witness Colton is only available in the morning; so maybe we could start with Mr. Colton.  JUDGE THOMPSON: And I believe that's true for Witness Mullins as well; correct?  ATTY PEPPLE: Well, Witness Mullins just has a time zone issue. It's not really an availability issue; so  JUDGE THOMPSON: Right.  ATTY PEPPLE: I think I guess the only Dr. Kaufman, I know, was the commissioners may have some questions for him. He's not available after 1:00 tomorrow; so my hope is that the hearing may be concluded by then, but we'll see.

	Page 370
1	
1	list really quickly.
2	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: We could probably
3	start with Mullins.
4	JUDGE THOMPSON: That's what I'm thinking as
5	well is we'll probably start with Mullins in the
6	morning to deal with the time constraint. And then, if
7	it's okay with the parties, we'll move back on track
8	from there. Does that sound acceptable?
9	ATTY ZAKAI: Yes. And just to confirm, TEP
10	Witness Colton is now excused?
11	JUDGE THOMPSON: That is correct.
12	ATTY ZAKAI: Yeah. Thank you.
13	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And the commissioners
14	will have questions for Staff Witness Erdahl and
15	Hillstead is my understanding.
16	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. And so, the plan for
17	the morning is we'll go with Witness Mullins
18	first Mullins and then Staff witnesses, and we'll
19	proceed from there. Because it sounds like
20	Charlee Thompson is available all but the noon-to-1:00
21	hour. And I'm hoping that we'll get there before noon.
22	So at this time, we'll go ahead and conclude for
23	the day and be off the record.
24	(Proceedings adjourned at 5:49 p.m.)
25	* * *
	Page 371
1	Page 371
1	CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER
1 2	CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER STATE OF OREGON )
2	CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER STATE OF OREGON ) ) ss.
	CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER STATE OF OREGON )
2	CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER STATE OF OREGON ) ) ss.
2 3 4	CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER STATE OF OREGON ) ) ss. COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH )  This is to certify that I, Stephanie C. Rhinehart, a Washington Certified Court Reporter, Oregon Certified
2 3 4 5 6 7	CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER STATE OF OREGON )
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER STATE OF OREGON ) , ss. COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH )  This is to certify that I, Stephanie C. Rhinehart, a Washington Certified Court Reporter, Oregon Certified Shorthand Reporter, and Registered Professional Reporter reported the within and foregoing proceedings; said
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER STATE OF OREGON ) , ss. COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH )  This is to certify that I, Stephanie C. Rhinehart, a Washington Certified Court Reporter, Oregon Certified Shorthand Reporter, and Registered Professional Reporter reported the within and foregoing proceedings; said proceedings taken before me on the date herein set forth;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER STATE OF OREGON ) , ss. COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH )  This is to certify that I, Stephanie C. Rhinehart, a Washington Certified Court Reporter, Oregon Certified Shorthand Reporter, and Registered Professional Reporter reported the within and foregoing proceedings; said proceedings taken before me on the date herein set forth; and that I was authorized to and did report said
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER STATE OF OREGON ) ss. COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH )  This is to certify that I, Stephanie C. Rhinehart, a Washington Certified Court Reporter, Oregon Certified Shorthand Reporter, and Registered Professional Reporter reported the within and foregoing proceedings; said proceedings taken before me on the date herein set forth; and that I was authorized to and did report said proceedings.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER STATE OF OREGON ) ss. COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH )  This is to certify that I, Stephanie C. Rhinehart, a Washington Certified Court Reporter, Oregon Certified Shorthand Reporter, and Registered Professional Reporter reported the within and foregoing proceedings; said proceedings taken before me on the date herein set forth; and that I was authorized to and did report said proceedings. I further certify that the foregoing transcript is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER STATE OF OREGON ) ss. COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH )  This is to certify that I, Stephanie C. Rhinehart, a Washington Certified Court Reporter, Oregon Certified Shorthand Reporter, and Registered Professional Reporter reported the within and foregoing proceedings; said proceedings taken before me on the date herein set forth; and that I was authorized to and did report said proceedings.  I further certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the proceedings; that said
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER STATE OF OREGON ) ss. COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH )  This is to certify that I, Stephanie C. Rhinehart, a Washington Certified Court Reporter, Oregon Certified Shorthand Reporter, and Registered Professional Reporter reported the within and foregoing proceedings; said proceedings taken before me on the date herein set forth; and that I was authorized to and did report said proceedings.  I further certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the proceedings; that said proceedings were taken by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my supervision; and that I am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER STATE OF OREGON ) ss. COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH )  This is to certify that I, Stephanie C. Rhinehart, a Washington Certified Court Reporter, Oregon Certified Shorthand Reporter, and Registered Professional Reporter reported the within and foregoing proceedings; said proceedings taken before me on the date herein set forth; and that I was authorized to and did report said proceedings.  I further certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the proceedings; that said proceedings were taken by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my supervision; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER STATE OF OREGON ) ss. COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH )  This is to certify that I, Stephanie C. Rhinehart, a Washington Certified Court Reporter, Oregon Certified Shorthand Reporter, and Registered Professional Reporter reported the within and foregoing proceedings; said proceedings taken before me on the date herein set forth; and that I was authorized to and did report said proceedings.  I further certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the proceedings; that said proceedings were taken by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my supervision; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER STATE OF OREGON ) ss. COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH )  This is to certify that I, Stephanie C. Rhinehart, a Washington Certified Court Reporter, Oregon Certified Shorthand Reporter, and Registered Professional Reporter reported the within and foregoing proceedings; said proceedings taken before me on the date herein set forth; and that I was authorized to and did report said proceedings.  I further certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the proceedings; that said proceedings were taken by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my supervision; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER STATE OF OREGON ) ss. COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH )  This is to certify that I, Stephanie C. Rhinehart, a Washington Certified Court Reporter, Oregon Certified Shorthand Reporter, and Registered Professional Reporter reported the within and foregoing proceedings; said proceedings taken before me on the date herein set forth; and that I was authorized to and did report said proceedings.  I further certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the proceedings; that said proceedings were taken by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my supervision; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.  IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER STATE OF OREGON ) ss. COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH )  This is to certify that I, Stephanie C. Rhinehart, a Washington Certified Court Reporter, Oregon Certified Shorthand Reporter, and Registered Professional Reporter reported the within and foregoing proceedings; said proceedings taken before me on the date herein set forth; and that I was authorized to and did report said proceedings.  I further certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the proceedings; that said proceedings were taken by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my supervision; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER STATE OF OREGON ) ss. COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH )  This is to certify that I, Stephanie C. Rhinehart, a Washington Certified Court Reporter, Oregon Certified Shorthand Reporter, and Registered Professional Reporter reported the within and foregoing proceedings; said proceedings taken before me on the date herein set forth; and that I was authorized to and did report said proceedings.  I further certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the proceedings; that said proceedings were taken by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my supervision; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.  IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER STATE OF OREGON ) ss. COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH )  This is to certify that I, Stephanie C. Rhinehart, a Washington Certified Court Reporter, Oregon Certified Shorthand Reporter, and Registered Professional Reporter reported the within and foregoing proceedings; said proceedings taken before me on the date herein set forth; and that I was authorized to and did report said proceedings.  I further certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the proceedings; that said proceedings were taken by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my supervision; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.  IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER STATE OF OREGON ) ss. COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH )  This is to certify that I, Stephanie C. Rhinehart, a Washington Certified Court Reporter, Oregon Certified Shorthand Reporter, and Registered Professional Reporter reported the within and foregoing proceedings; said proceedings taken before me on the date herein set forth; and that I was authorized to and did report said proceedings.  I further certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the proceedings; that said proceedings were taken by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my supervision; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.  IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 7th day of October, 2024.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER STATE OF OREGON ) ss. COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH )  This is to certify that I, Stephanie C. Rhinehart, a Washington Certified Court Reporter, Oregon Certified Shorthand Reporter, and Registered Professional Reporter reported the within and foregoing proceedings; said proceedings taken before me on the date herein set forth; and that I was authorized to and did report said proceedings.  I further certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the proceedings; that said proceedings were taken by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my supervision; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.  IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 7th day of October, 2024.  /s/Stephanie C. Rhinehart, RPR Washington CCR No. 22013531 Expires 05/26/2025
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER STATE OF OREGON ) ss. COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH )  This is to certify that I, Stephanie C. Rhinehart, a Washington Certified Court Reporter, Oregon Certified Shorthand Reporter, and Registered Professional Reporter reported the within and foregoing proceedings; said proceedings taken before me on the date herein set forth; and that I was authorized to and did report said proceedings.  I further certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the proceedings; that said proceedings were taken by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my supervision; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.  IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 7th day of October, 2024.  /s/Stephanie C. Rhinehart, RPR Washington CCR No. 22013531 Expires 05/26/2025 Oregon CSR No. 22-0014
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER STATE OF OREGON ) ss. COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH )  This is to certify that I, Stephanie C. Rhinehart, a Washington Certified Court Reporter, Oregon Certified Shorthand Reporter, and Registered Professional Reporter reported the within and foregoing proceedings; said proceedings taken before me on the date herein set forth; and that I was authorized to and did report said proceedings.  I further certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the proceedings; that said proceedings were taken by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my supervision; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.  IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 7th day of October, 2024.  /s/Stephanie C. Rhinehart, RPR Washington CCR No. 22013531 Expires 05/26/2025

	acceptable 178:15	150:4,7 211:10	289:2 290:3,4	adjust 205:22,25
A (0.2 ( 145.19	360:9 370:8	241:10,11 264:24	296:5 299:23	263:14 264:16
<b>a.m</b> 69:2,6 145:18	accepted 313:14	342:13	308:19 315:7	351:24
AAG 70:5	access 165:17	acknowledge 77:4	349:21	adjusted 158:11
ability 128:6	337:11 345:7	77:22	added 78:9 129:13	160:13 201:13
132:17 154:10	362:16 363:5	acknowledged	244:8,11 288:24	263:23
191:16 202:4,5	366:16	325:24	299:24 331:16,22	adjusting 93:16
237:9,22 276:5	accessing 145:22	acquainted 77:10	adding 99:17 229:9	182:13 226:9
311:4 331:11	accompanying 96:5	acquiring 216:19	275:15	adjustment 42:10
337:13 351:6	101:13	217:1	addition 83:22	42:19 44:4 46:20
358:10	accord- 101:12	acquisition 190:3	129:16,23 132:6	50:20,21 53:17
able 69:22 85:16	account 235:10	act 92:21 208:12	228:17 229:9	77:24 78:2 80:13
87:11 88:24	accounting 45:6,7	355:12 356:11,21	326:20	90:8,13,17,22
101:18 111:23	46:6 61:24 62:3	357:7,12 358:8	additional 75:20	114:19 160:10
114:20 123:1,13	122:4	action 93:7 109:1	127:13,18 130:9	180:24,25 181:4,5
128:25 129:10	accounts 201:15	194:21	134:15 182:8	181:9,11,13,15,24
130:5 134:10	350:6	actions 241:17	217:3 239:19	182:1,2,3,11
142:24 151:12	accuracy 133:24	316:21 353:18,18	249:15 257:22	184:6,14 185:11
153:4,10 154:17	189:21 202:10	active 347:17	258:15,18 259:7	186:3,7,13 189:7
154:20 175:10,25	215:20 289:3	activities 363:25	315:7 358:1	193:1,16 200:3
191:16 199:12	accurate 85:14	364:5 366:4	additions 53:17,19	202:9,9 206:5
201:19 226:25	116:7 125:14	actual 116:8 127:9	336:4,15	212:13 215:18
229:25 230:6	131:15 132:14	149:14 154:19	address 75:17	216:12,15,18
240:19 271:20	137:11,16 138:6	156:24 157:23	164:19 184:10	219:8,8,19 220:1
274:8 283:18	143:18 157:16	180:14 183:8,24	185:14 216:19	220:2,10,14,18,22
294:2,4,12 299:2 315:19 316:5	169:20 202:2	186:18 187:19	228:19 250:10	221:1,11,15,21
317:14 334:14	204:13 213:15	196:11 211:18	299:3 300:16	223:1,8,12 225:4
352:23	223:9 230:3	224:2,4,6,8	304:19 306:22	225:23,24 226:7
abolish 253:20	238:11 277:2	238:19 254:15	329:25 353:4	227:24,24 229:14
<b>absence</b> 288:14	289:18 325:23	255:24 256:4	addressed 76:9	229:18 252:12,25
absent 254:22	340:10,14 344:1	257:10 259:5	159:1 160:14	253:19 259:19
272:16	347:14 355:19,22	262:5 271:14	164:22 181:14	262:10 263:10
<b>absolute</b> 325:16	356:13 358:20	273:19,20,20	addressing 227:12	266:25 272:16
absolutely 265:7	accurately 148:19	280:9,10 290:24	306:16 338:5	278:16 279:8,12
297:24 302:16	185:4,6,17 189:9	296:14,24 301:1,2	adequacy 189:6	281:13 295:21
303:8 309:19	190:12 199:18	301:3 355:18	192:13 206:15	298:17 301:10
<b>absorb</b> 81:11,11	200:15 201:4	358:22	222:17 229:21	302:12,13 303:16
277:6 279:17	202:5 223:2,3,15	actuals 184:20,22	284:20 286:23	304:22 327:7
300:23 301:9	223:24 238:18	213:25 214:2	331:6	adjustments 50:10
<b>absorbed</b> 277:9	254:11	230:5 280:7,9,11	adequate 268:9,11	50:11 58:24 59:11
278:24 279:24	accuse 290:18	288:17 295:16	adequately 199:12	85:12 90:4 181:25
<b>absorption</b> 81:12	accused 290:17	309:8	adjacent 241:6	182:23 224:17,20
accept 140:20	accustomed 77:18	adapt 351:6	249:4,13	224:23 225:15,21
149:16 177:9,16	81:7	adaptive 352:1	adjourn 171:11	<b>ADM</b> 39:3,7 40:2
208:16 221:21	achievable 273:23	add 73:24 134:10	178:24	41:2 42:2 43:2
227:10 330:19	achieve 98:12	237:9,10 288:25	adjourned 370:24	44:2 45:2 46:2
			_	

				1 age 070
47:2 48:2 49:2	<b>affairs</b> 96:3 172:16	326:18,25 328:14	78:21 79:10 141:5	41:3,6,8,13
50:2 51:2 52:2	affect 189:23	331:24 332:5,6	143:20 286:2	<b>AMM-2</b> 39:13
53:2 54:3 55:2	190:18	347:1 353:25	allowance 57:24	<b>AMM-20X</b> 40:12
56:2 57:2 58:2	affordability 66:16	356:9,15	357:24	AMM-21X 40:14
59:2 60:3 61:2	337:20 338:6,15	agreed 71:23 102:3	allowances 356:4	AMM-22X 40:15
62:2 63:2,21 64:2	338:20 339:7	102:4 147:9	356:22,25 357:3,9	AMM-23X 40:17
64:22 65:2,22	affordable 337:12	199:20 209:12	357:16,20 358:1,4	<b>AMM-24X</b> 40:18
66:2,23 67:2,23	344:25	219:12 220:4,12	358:11,13,14	<b>AMM-25X</b> 40:19
68:2	<b>affords</b> 337:12	316:13	367:17,25	<b>AMM-26X</b> 40:20
Administration	<b>afternoon</b> 195:9,10	agreement 52:10	<b>allowed</b> 40:8 85:7	<b>AMM-27X</b> 40:22
43:15,22	218:25 228:11,12	52:11,13,15,17	154:19 163:22	<b>AMM-28X</b> 41:3
administrative	230:23 245:5,6	88:4 91:2 219:7,7	164:6 271:6	<b>AMM-29X</b> 41:5
34:14 35:2 69:7,9	247:17,18 251:23	302:15 314:3	310:18 334:20,22	<b>AMM-3</b> 39:14
78:19	251:24 302:6,7	321:3	345:12	<b>AMM-30X</b> 41:8
admission 71:3	305:19,20 306:11	agreements 221:16	allowing 225:20	<b>AMM-31X</b> 41:10
admit 330:5 348:16	306:12 323:23,24	<b>ah</b> 146:5 198:1	<b>allows</b> 81:14 88:5	<b>AMM-32X</b> 41:13
<b>admitted</b> 75:15,16	337:5 353:13,14	ahead 71:13 95:25	103:18 186:23,24	<b>AMM-33X</b> 41:15
96:7 322:12 330:2	355:8,9 359:10,12	143:22 148:14	alter 88:18 150:4	AMM-34CX
330:7 349:6,9	359:13 367:10	159:22 231:20	alteration 86:5	137:22
<b>ADMS</b> 237:20	<b>AG</b> 158:2	286:9 289:14	130:2 279:9	<b>AMM-34XC</b> 41:16
adopt 79:13 215:17	<b>AGA-1T</b> 39:8	320:10 321:14	alterations 85:10	<b>AMM-35X</b> 41:18
278:15 279:8	<b>AGA-2</b> 39:10	322:15,21 329:13	85:11 86:9	<b>AMM-36CX</b> 136:3
adopted 326:7	agencies 101:16	329:24 330:5	altered 150:11	136:10 169:7
Adopting 216:22	102:22 116:2,7	348:16 368:12	altering 300:11	<b>AMM-36XC</b> 41:19
adoption 216:11	118:25 142:9	370:22	alternative 47:7	<b>AMM-37X</b> 41:20
adopts 328:2	143:9,17,24 153:3	<b>al</b> 44:5 67:20	51:12 338:10	167:22
<b>Adrien</b> 37:14 39:11	155:10	Alberta 43:9	352:15 357:10	<b>AMM-38X</b> 41:21
39:13 40:6 41:3,5	agency 93:7 122:18	Alexander 39:8	alternatives 254:23	<b>AMM-39XC</b> 41:22
41:8,10,13 145:20	127:7,15,20 129:4	Alexis 39:8	ameliorate 102:18	<b>AMM-4</b> 39:16
145:22 146:3,22	142:6 143:3	algorithm 285:13	103:4	<b>AMM-5</b> 39:17
advance 168:4	agency's 121:24	align 281:13	amended 335:3	<b>AMM-6</b> 39:19
314:11	143:5	alignment 109:5	American 54:16	<b>AMM-7</b> 39:20
advanced 237:20	aggregate 326:13	140:4	66:3	<b>AMM-8</b> 39:21
adverse 112:20	aggressively 97:25	All-Source 52:12	<b>AMM-10</b> 39:23	<b>AMM-9</b> 39:22
adversely 304:21	98:7	alleviate 91:9	167:15	167:15
advisors 364:10	agnostic 91:20	allocate 88:2	AMM-10T 41:11	Amortization
advisory 48:24	<b>ago</b> 100:25 248:16	allocated 176:11,15	AMM-11 39:25	46:12
65:3 346:1 352:3	267:23 276:24	177:10 237:3	AMM-12 40:3	amount 87:21
353:23 354:5,10 354:15 362:10,13	<b>agree</b> 106:19 140:2	325:7 327:18,19 allocates 228:25	AMM-13 40:4	102:7 112:25
362:18,25 363:3,5	148:18,21 165:6 166:1,10,18	allocation 50:3	<b>AMM-14</b> 40:5 <b>AMM-15T</b> 40:6	115:17,23 119:9 123:3 127:25
363:15,18 364:12	170:11,24,25	80:7 175:18 176:5	AMM-16 40:7	130:12 139:14,18
advocacy 361:18	176:11,24,23	280:1 327:22	<b>AMM-17</b> 40:9	143:1 161:12
advocates 361:23	200:7 216:4 218:6	<b>allocations</b> 107:8	<b>AMM-18</b> 40:10	183:15 192:12
<b>AECO</b> 282:22	234:24 243:13,16	327:16	<b>AMM-19X</b> 40:11	210:23 233:12
<b>AECO-C</b> 43:9	302:12 303:7	allow 71:9 72:9	AMM-1T 39:11	241:2 257:17
11100 0 13.7	302.12 303.1	WALC 11 . 7 12.7	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	211.2237.17
		-	-	-

265:5 273:6	305:11,19,22	131:25 168:3	314:7 319:23	arguments 90:12
277:21 301:4	anger 87:17	197:14 293:17	336:7	90:20,23 194:1
312:14 314:8	animal 245:24	338:2 344:16	approved 40:9	Arlt 82:14
325:16 336:14,20	ANN 35:4	appearances 35:1	113:21 122:25	arrearages 83:12
342:7 344:19	<b>Anna</b> 41:23	36:1 63:24 69:16	142:5 144:20	arrive 263:15
345:5 346:11	announced 160:1	appeared 234:4	163:25 198:15	arrived 168:17
351:24 360:8	annual 99:8,13,25	appearing 69:18	224:20 309:25	article 162:13,23
amounts 232:24	100:8 139:10	70:1,5,17	313:12 315:18	162:25 163:7
<b>ample</b> 309:21	184:1,7,11 186:13	appears 156:9,20	316:2 327:25	165:3 166:3
<b>AMS-1T</b> 41:23	191:6 234:14	173:16	334:11,12,13	235:17
<b>AMS-2</b> 41:25	235:1 248:8,16,19	Appendix 48:14	335:7,8,15 336:3	<b>articles</b> 164:13,16
<b>AMS-3</b> 42:3	249:12 258:20,23	application 216:18	336:5	articulated 221:11
<b>AMS-4</b> 42:4	361:10 362:23	applied 157:18	<b>approves</b> 309:9,23	Asian-Pacific
<b>AMS-5</b> 42:6	<b>annualize</b> 340:8,10	218:3 243:15	approving 109:19	365:18
analogies 255:9	annually 122:23	290:20 354:13	approximately	aside 130:14
analyses 39:14	123:25 317:1	applies 92:20	115:16 124:6	181:24 182:22
167:14 168:19	<b>answer</b> 105:2	166:23	176:24 177:1	185:24 272:10
169:1 170:23	110:16 124:21	<b>apply</b> 88:9 134:5	210:9 233:3,9	306:25 333:3
285:17 338:10,23	130:17 145:5,8	244:18 289:24	327:12 341:10,24	358:16
362:3	149:22 157:14	applying 148:8	343:15	asked 72:3 93:18
analysis 39:16	160:18 163:9	157:18 244:13	approximates	105:3 133:7,7,17
55:21 60:7,8,13	169:18 174:5	appreciate 72:17	264:25 300:5	133:23 134:2
62:20 130:8 138:9	175:8,10,25 184:2	76:20 81:22	approximation	141:17 156:7
156:23 157:15,17	201:20 206:24	145:11 228:6	304:25	216:14 219:3
163:21 168:20,25	212:18 243:20	231:14 248:5	<b>Apr</b> 41:9,12 44:4	222:7 334:7
200:14 208:24	262:8 274:7 295:2	333:7 354:2	<b>April</b> 292:12 293:9	368:15
218:1 239:11	297:16,17 324:13	364:20	293:13,16	asking 74:11 86:3
240:20 241:1,16	324:20,22 350:15	appreciated 72:19	arc 353:20	86:12 108:19
241:21,25 242:3,7	answered 81:20	<b>approach</b> 40:3,10	area 136:24 178:17	127:24 130:2,3
244:4,20 246:22	answers 72:2 321:6	88:8 215:6 218:3	219:7 246:18,22	141:24 143:17
281:12 285:11,16	324:3	223:14 304:17	247:3 248:2,14,14	196:9 243:6 254:1
285:18,21,22,24	Anthony 54:21	329:14 355:11	248:24 250:6	269:16 285:19
286:19 287:15	anticipate 316:19	approaches 150:12	338:22 339:2,24	286:7 287:15
288:2 295:20	anticipated 159:24	285:12	352:12	289:23 290:20
319:14 338:12	160:22 343:18	approaching 282:8	areas 140:7 243:15	295:13 299:15
339:3,6,6 350:14	anticipating 321:11	329:12 354:3	246:4 262:24	300:23 309:20
350:22,25 351:9	antithetical 79:8,9	appropriate 94:5	267:5,18	asks 328:1
352:11,22 359:17	anybody 330:1	112:13 183:22	argue 78:21 225:23	aspects 150:11
359:23	anymore 226:23	215:5 307:2	316:12	266:18 350:14
analyst 106:19,22	266:10 285:1,2	308:16	argued 158:23	<b>aspire</b> 290:17
107:3	anyway 72:3	appropriately	arguing 196:20	assess 346:2
analyzed 184:24 anchored 300:19	214:10 369:1	185:8 201:20	<b>argument</b> 79:1 105:16 226:17	assessed 316:14
Anderson 46:15	<b>apart</b> 259:13,14 260:15	311:7	306:25	assessment 47:3,4 52:3 359:18,24
Andrews 38:10	<b>Apologies</b> 71:12	<b>approval</b> 335:4,23 <b>approve</b> 90:10	argumentative	360:1,18 361:7,9
45:25 46:8 88:14	apologize 103:2	91:19 141:20,25	134:18 215:13	362:6,6
73.23 40.0 00.14	apologize 103.4	71.17 141.40,43	137.10 413.13	302.0,0
			•	•

		<b>50.10.15.15.5</b>		100 04 100 10
assessments 338:19	assuring 84:8	72:12,15,17 73:3	244:25 251:6,9,19	129:24 130:13
Asset 39:22,23	Asymmetric 62:20	73:16 74:1,3,4,8,9	251:22 252:16,21	199:1 340:17,20
assets 137:4 170:1	asymmetrical	74:12,16 76:3,17	253:2 258:22	340:21 341:24
170:6 227:4	78:10	82:1,5 89:23 92:1	260:3,5,13 272:6	347:5,14,16
assigned 168:9	Atitsogbe 59:20	93:24 94:7,15,17	274:17 276:18,19	362:24
assist 216:12	Att 53:3 63:3	94:23 95:10,15,24	276:21,23,25	<b>Aurora</b> 182:7 200:4
assistance 48:11	<b>Attach</b> 40:14,16	95:25 96:8,11,14	281:15,20 285:10	200:10 226:22,25
51:15,17,19,20	43:8 57:22	101:10,12 108:18	286:2,12,13 289:6	227:3 299:21
65:3 93:6 338:6	attached 306:5	108:22 110:3,7	289:7,9,12,16,21	<b>Austin</b> 146:24
339:13 340:18	attachment 39:4	131:11,12,22,25	289:22 291:16,19	<b>Authorization</b> 45:6
341:4 345:25	40:19 41:16,19	132:3 134:17,21	292:16,18,20,22	authorized 68:4,6,8
346:12 352:8	43:7 44:19,21	135:7,11,17,20	293:4,12,17,18	196:12 253:7,10
354:5 360:8 363:5	45:16,18,19,21,23	136:10,12 139:23	295:1,3,6,7,11	255:24 256:4
associated 45:7	49:15,16,18 53:3	139:24,25 140:1,2	300:8 301:13,25	273:21 280:7,10
155:19 156:3	54:6,11 56:6,16	140:23,25 141:7	302:5 303:22	280:11 288:17
175:18 176:5,14	57:11,13 58:7,9	141:13,16 143:7	304:2 305:12,14	295:17 296:15
238:18 239:20	58:10,12 59:5	143:10,12,15	306:4 320:13	314:2 315:9,14
254:4 256:25	62:10,24 63:4	144:12,13 145:12	321:1,8,11,20	316:13 371:10
257:24 260:11,17	74:6 116:13	145:21,25 146:2	322:2,23 323:7,10	availability 93:5
288:11 327:16	120:19 139:5	146:10,13 147:2,6	323:15,19,22	132:21 206:10,11
328:11	202:12,24 203:6	147:12,15 163:8	324:15,20,22	357:3 369:3,16
association 87:14	203:11,16	163:12,15 167:3,7	329:2,11,15,20,22	available 94:12
165:14	Attachments 56:18	167:10,25 168:2	330:3,8,13,18,24	96:8 147:2 148:12
<b>assume</b> 201:3 212:5	attain 118:23	171:3,15,19 172:6	331:2,3 332:10,15	149:8,10,11 150:2
310:22	attempt 85:21	172:13,17,19,22	333:1,15,18,23	155:9,15 172:20
assumed 121:4	98:11 161:14	173:2,10,12,14,24	334:5,6 336:23	232:7 251:15
307:18	200:3 275:3	173:25 174:1,3,5	337:4 341:6	266:23 306:6
assumes 122:13	attempting 194:23	174:14 175:2,11	343:11 344:16,17	323:16 333:7
123:24 124:18	223:7	176:8,9 178:2,8	347:24 348:1,3,8	336:23 345:23
assuming 120:5	attention 76:7,22	178:17,23 179:6	348:10,12,19,24	351:14 365:25
124:23 127:23	80:14 149:4	179:14,17,25	349:4,8,10 350:11	366:1 368:17
129:8 135:2 141:7	attorney 35:12,17	180:4,7,17,19	350:16 353:9,12	369:11,21 370:20
236:10 287:18	87:7 132:18	185:24 186:5,6,9	355:3,7 359:6	<b>Avenue</b> 35:17 36:9
327:25	attribute 233:16	186:10,12 195:4,8	369:9,15,19 370:9	avenues 338:5
assumption 121:24	attributed 233:18	197:11,14,15,16	370:12	average 55:9 68:11
122:1 123:9	attrition 155:12	197:19,21,23	<b>Auction</b> 58:4,5,6	91:16 99:25 127:7
124:25 148:14	<b>Atty</b> 37:2,3,4,5,11	198:1,3,5,8,10,11	audible 282:3	163:25 184:1,3,4
248:17 257:1	37:12,12,13,13,15	203:4,5,7,12,15	321:18	184:10 185:22
assumptions	37:16,16,18,19,21	203:20 205:12,14	audience 81:19	201:8 209:9 218:1
121:16 129:13	37:21,22,22,23	213:8,10,12,14	<b>audit</b> 224:11	221:19 225:5,6,12
181:17 182:9	38:3,4,7,7,8,8,9	215:12,15,17	auditor 259:24	261:4 262:17
224:25 225:2,14	38:14,14,16,17,17	218:21,24 222:2,5	audits 260:7	264:7,7 265:20
257:11 260:20,20	38:18 69:17 70:1	226:11,13,16,19	<b>Aug</b> 40:23 43:15	268:1 287:25
261:10 262:23	70:4,7,10,13,16	226:21,22 230:17	51:15	288:2,19 289:25
263:1,18 307:18	70:19,22 71:5,10	231:2,4,17,19	<b>August</b> 100:9	290:3 297:9,10
<b>assure</b> 149:18,23	71:12,14,21 72:5	232:2,12,16 244:2	116:18,21 129:13	300:3 328:17
			l	I

avaraged 264.15	142.10 144.1	62.14 15 67.4	62.20 70.0 11	202.10 20 205.20
averaged 264:15 288:24	142:19 144:1 150:24 152:18,23	63:14,15 67:4 68:10 73:22 80:25	63:20 70:9,11 72:1 76:5 89:22	283:19,20 285:20 285:22 296:4,5,13
	,	81:10 82:22 83:8	90:3,6 91:1,2,19	298:3 299:15
averages 189:19	154:1,14 155:2,13 156:24 157:3		, , , ,	302:17 309:24
226:5 262:15		83:25 84:8,22	135:14 167:2,3	
264:9 266:12,19	170:18,21 172:15	85:2,12,15 86:18	172:21 218:19	314:7 321:22
360:21	179:22 181:6,8	87:21 89:13 90:4	302:2,14 321:5	330:14 370:7
averaging 123:24	182:11 183:7,15	90:9,16,18 91:8	323:18	back-and-forth
185:25 202:1	187:3,24 188:3	91:10,13 92:5	<b>AWEC's</b> 90:12	232:17
212:13 217:11	189:7 192:25	93:16 101:25	306:15,22 310:14	backcast 218:14
264:14 289:5	194:5,18,23	103:3,23 104:17	326:7	Background 55:3
290:20	195:11,18,19	107:18 111:23	AWEC-DR-121	<b>backing</b> 156:10,12
Avista 34:9,9 35:6	198:16,17 200:1	116:12 117:5	41:21	224:14
35:8 39:6 40:14	202:16 208:21	142:12 149:15	AWEC-DR-121C	backward 79:13
40:15 41:4,6,9,11	214:4,25 215:2	151:1 152:1	41:22	backwards 262:1
41:14,15,21,22	232:5 234:24	155:23 160:8	AWEC-DR-123	<b>bad</b> 211:15,22
44:16 45:6,10,12	235:19 244:19	161:10 169:16	49:17,18	260:23
46:10 47:3,4,12	251:12 253:2,5,8	182:3 187:14	AWEC-DR-125	<b>BAE-10</b> 54:21
48:14,18 49:19,20	253:23 254:19	190:22 195:25	60:24	<b>BAE-1T</b> 54:4
51:13,15,16,18,20	255:6 256:9 257:8	197:7 203:25	AWEC-DR-128	<b>BAE-2</b> 54:5
53:10,14 55:4,6,8	258:9 264:19	204:1,7 254:1	41:15	<b>BAE-3</b> 54:7
55:22,24 57:17	265:23 266:24	257:14 269:12	AWEC-DR-128C	<b>BAE-4</b> 54:9
62:9 65:3,12,13	275:21,24 276:3,6	272:22 294:20	41:16	<b>BAE-5</b> 54:10
65:15,16 66:3,8,9	277:11,15,25	329:10 332:2	AWEC-DR-130	<b>BAE-6</b> 54:12
66:10,18,19,20,21	278:2,8 294:7	338:5	41:18	<b>BAE-7</b> 54:14
67:7,9,10,12,13	323:13 326:5,6	award 127:10	AWEC-DR-130C	<b>BAE-8</b> 54:15
67:15 69:13,14,18	328:1 330:3	157:23 326:6	41:19	<b>BAE-9</b> 54:18
70:2 71:5,23	331:14 332:3	awarded 85:6		bailout 84:12
75:15 76:16 77:3	333:21 337:6	116:8 133:9 155:3	B	baked-in 155:1
81:2,3,15,16	343:15 362:22,25	155:6 157:7 326:5	<b>B</b> 39:4 53:3,3 57:11	<b>balance</b> 64:7 92:14
82:12,15 83:3,17	367:17	328:1	59:5 202:12,24	93:1,11 114:7
83:20 84:2,4,10	<b>Avista's</b> 40:19 43:5	awarding 127:17	203:6	126:3 144:25
84:17,20 85:7	43:16,18 44:14,19	awards 138:25	<b>back</b> 74:19 77:21	145:5 183:12
86:7,12,22 87:23	44:20 45:6,9,14	aware 114:25 115:4	80:19 83:6 84:12	280:17 284:18
89:18,20 90:20	45:15,17,19,21,23	116:10,23 138:20	107:11 117:3	366:3,9,16
91:1,3 92:11	48:6,7 49:9,10,11	138:24 146:15	128:10 129:11	Balanced 84:3
93:12 96:1 102:4	49:12,13,14,16,17	152:1 155:15	159:6 165:18	balances 335:1
102:10,17 104:7	53:3,4,6,7 54:5,7	159:8 164:10	166:3 185:7	<b>Balancing</b> 46:7
105:18,20,23	54:9,10,12,14	187:2 204:15	192:24 206:2	ballpark 100:16
107:2 112:21	56:5,7,9,10,12,13	248:9 313:24	207:22 214:16,18	<b>band</b> 81:12 132:11
113:4 114:3,25	56:15,17,19,23,24	337:9 339:18	217:21 218:8,14	228:2,4 254:6
115:4,18 116:19	57:3,5,7,9,10,12	345:19 346:19	223:19,19 242:3	280:18,23,25
120:18 121:22	57:14,15,20,21	363:24 364:5	247:3 254:8 257:3	282:5 301:9
123:1 127:21	59:3,4,12,14,21	367:19,21,23	261:11 265:5	<b>bands</b> 78:10 183:13
133:7 136:17	59:23,24 62:6,7	<b>AWEC</b> 35:20 40:19	267:1,2 269:11	183:18 186:8
137:11,15,18	62:18,21,23 63:3	48:6,7 57:10	273:24 277:18	211:19 227:16,21
138:20 139:14,17	63:5,6,8,9,11,12	60:20,21,22,23,25	278:5,6 282:10,17	228:16,16,18,18

229:1,5,5,8,8,19	223:2,9,15 227:14	98:10,21 99:11	270:8 278:24	366:22
230:5 301:5	229:13 230:2,3	101:5 102:13	303:11 351:19,21	<b>BGM-1T</b> 63:22
<b>banks</b> 101:16	255:2 272:18	105:13 106:8,11	361:15	<b>BGM-2</b> 63:24
159:15 160:23	273:15 275:15	106:16 107:11	benefited 196:17	<b>BGM-3</b> 64:3
<b>bar</b> 217:21	279:9 290:2,3,4	108:13 110:1	196:22 223:21	<b>BGM-4</b> 64:4
Barbara 83:14	<b>basic</b> 290:9	111:4 113:24	benefits 87:25	<b>BGM-5</b> 64:6
<b>barring</b> 119:6,21	basically 78:19	117:15 118:25	106:5,10 241:22	<b>BGM-6</b> 64:7
121:14 122:5	159:4 186:12	120:7,7 123:11,12	244:10 246:13	<b>BGM-7</b> 64:9
124:9	207:18 224:8	123:15 124:4,17	253:10 293:23	<b>BGM-8T</b> 64:10
base 42:14,24	270:24 361:21	125:7 127:15	296:21	<b>bias</b> 196:14 202:21
64:19 83:20,22	basics 222:6	130:1,23 131:15	Benjamin 53:16	<b>biased</b> 187:25
85:1,7 89:15	<b>Basin</b> 52:15	133:15 136:2	best 82:23 91:22	<b>bid</b> 264:1
91:21 121:16,18	<b>basis</b> 55:11 118:7	138:8 141:18	104:19 143:4	big 205:4 217:21
123:20 125:1	137:6 159:11	142:1 147:4 152:3	161:7 213:16	269:20,22 270:25
127:9,16,19,20	160:7 174:19	157:17 173:8	256:6 259:21	271:1 314:16
134:11 152:18	184:1,7,11 204:4	179:3 183:21,23	260:6,19 261:6	350:7 368:5
186:17 196:12	204:16,23 205:10	184:8 187:6	263:2 271:12	big-ticket 210:8
205:15 244:6	220:10,21 310:6	193:20 213:22	276:5 300:25	biggest 346:7
245:22 257:5	316:3 324:9,16,24	214:1 218:19	304:9 317:19,23	<b>bilateral</b> 80:3 85:17
271:2 275:23	360:19 361:11	219:6 221:12,19	318:15,16	206:11 291:8
309:22,23 313:11	362:23	250:23 265:2	<b>bet</b> 133:10	294:25
365:8	<b>battery</b> 328:20,21	290:23 294:15	Beta 61:12	<b>bill</b> 48:5 51:15,20
<b>based</b> 74:25 78:8	328:24	300:1 305:15	<b>better</b> 78:21 85:16	60:15,16 93:4
119:14 123:11	<b>BDM-1CT</b> 44:3	307:1 313:11	106:14,17,20,24	340:11 345:13
130:3,4 131:16	<b>beach</b> 288:12	315:15 320:7,17	107:8 110:15	346:2,5 351:11,13
138:8 151:2 185:6	bearing 336:9	324:1 330:14	125:23 126:1	352:21 360:20
188:23 205:25	bedeviling 89:10	333:5 334:2 337:1	145:1 176:1	<b>billed</b> 350:6
208:19 212:3	beetle 248:12,13,21	340:14 345:22	180:17 185:19	<b>billion</b> 83:21,21,22
214:2 218:12	<b>began</b> 99:4 361:2	360:2 361:24	189:20 202:7,7	83:25 113:1
225:6 227:6 263:2	362:24	363:22 364:6,14	212:24 215:20	152:10 177:6
263:6 264:20	beginning 86:11	366:4 369:13	216:12 238:23,24	<b>bills</b> 83:17 175:16
265:19,20 266:15	99:5 321:2	<b>believes</b> 77:3 142:4	240:23 246:9	353:2
268:4,7 269:25	<b>begins</b> 97:22	220:18	254:17 255:11,13	binders 172:1
271:14 273:19	<b>behalf</b> 69:18 70:1	<b>bench</b> 39:2 77:12	264:19 267:17	<b>bit</b> 95:2 132:23
280:21 287:10	70:11,14,17,19,23	145:14 171:4	273:25 274:1,10	144:13 200:13
290:24 295:21	75:24 95:4 135:1	178:4 228:9 245:2	280:8 283:8	227:25 236:15
301:1,16 304:23	157:2 205:6 208:3	305:6 322:8	290:24 294:20	237:25 243:10
316:14 327:22	314:6	332:12	298:22 300:18	252:9 275:2
336:8 342:11,17	behavior 93:13	benchmarks	303:17 304:24	276:24 277:14,19
343:1 345:21	148:15,20 149:14	163:24 164:1,6	334:2	290:16 295:11
358:12	149:15 156:25	beneficial 246:4,5	Betty 54:4 332:23	320:15 325:10,13
<b>baseline</b> 80:19,21	227:18,19	<b>benefit</b> 107:7 128:3	333:2	341:18 353:17
185:5,8,19 187:15	<b>Behle</b> 36:17	128:5 140:16	beverage 288:12	<b>blame</b> 359:13
187:18 191:8,23	<b>believe</b> 73:14 74:14	222:21 241:18,22	beyond 130:8	blending 118:25
192:1 199:13	75:12,18,24 77:6	246:20 247:12	206:13,13 229:15	<b>blow</b> 249:20
202:7,11 222:9	96:5,18 97:20	254:25 255:6	230:5 360:5	blowing 249:8
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

blown 246:25	155:22 180:23	<b>Brown</b> 34:15 35:2	<b>burden</b> 78:20	119:3,9 123:9
blows 81:12,14	193:20 252:19	69:4,6,24 70:3,6,9	338:23 351:3	170:13
238:3	288:13 293:5	70:12,15,18,21,24	359:17,23 360:6,7	calculating 184:5
blue 85:25 86:5	297:2 304:6	71:8,11,13 72:4,7	360:9,18,19 361:2	192:25 193:16
121:17 159:3	331:13 343:12	72:14,16 73:2	361:9,10 362:6	266:24
161:18 277:4	bottomless 89:16	74:17 76:8 81:24	<b>buried</b> 200:10	calculation 58:21
278:8,10,16 279:2	bounce 83:6	82:3 89:22 91:24	<b>burned</b> 249:3	58:23 59:8,10
279:9 280:3	<b>bounds</b> 225:24	93:23 94:6,14,16	<b>burns</b> 240:12	123:7 287:24
<b>Bluefield</b> 149:20,21	309:17	94:21,25 95:18,22	<b>business</b> 39:10 45:4	calculations 58:3
153:9	<b>box</b> 35:8,13 121:17	96:10 108:21	46:23 48:21,23	64:3,5 203:25
<b>blunt</b> 166:6	255:10	110:1 131:21,23	52:4 53:23 64:9	301:8 362:2
<b>board</b> 40:22 44:16	<b>BR-1</b> 39:4	132:2 134:19	69:13 125:17	<b>calendar</b> 119:5,6
50:12 84:8,10	<b>Bradley</b> 63:22,24	135:6,9,14 140:24	172:10 253:13	307:20 313:7,8
87:14 88:10	64:11	141:3,9 143:11,14	256:17 257:5	342:14 361:11
111:13 112:8,11	bragged 84:9	143:20 144:11	262:25 300:10	California 66:13
113:22,23 114:3,6	<b>branch</b> 250:3,8	145:13 146:5,8	306:23 308:8,19	357:2 367:16,19
114:11,18,22	<b>break</b> 93:14 125:16	147:4,9 167:1,5	308:22 309:2,6	California-Oregon
140:5,14	125:18 141:2,4,11	171:2,4,7,22,25	311:4,6 312:12,14	219:23
<b>board's</b> 113:24	145:17,18 178:6	172:3,21 178:1,3	312:15,19 314:17	<b>call</b> 95:10 117:19
<b>body</b> 81:12	179:1 231:1	178:14,20,24	314:21 317:8	145:21 179:4
<b>bond</b> 55:14 110:13	250:22 321:14,23	brushing 345:15	319:20	203:1 230:17,25
110:17 158:4,7,12	breaking 250:4	<b>Btu's</b> 214:9	businesses 50:24	238:4 244:6
159:17,25 160:4,6	breaks 74:23,24	<b>bucket</b> 271:16	84:1,21 89:17	269:21 277:4
160:11,13,14,16	75:11	<b>budget</b> 45:16 86:19	<b>button</b> 172:13	292:3 305:11
160:25 161:8,17	breathing 103:1	234:25 318:3	299:21	319:4 334:15
<b>Bonfield</b> 38:15 51:3	Brennan 44:3	342:14,17 343:1	<b>buy</b> 259:13 270:25	336:1 347:24
51:9 82:21 144:17	292:11	343:23,24 344:1	284:24 285:8	358:14 365:25
145:3,4,8 320:11	Brewing 54:15	344:10 352:1	294:22 357:25	<b>called</b> 93:3 111:22
321:16 332:16	<b>brief</b> 72:9 89:24	<b>budgeted</b> 283:6	358:10,14	126:14 215:3
333:9,21 334:2,6	92:2 219:2 231:8	<b>budgets</b> 89:5	<b>buying</b> 190:25	<b>Calling</b> 171:19
337:5 353:13	250:18	<b>build</b> 85:20 156:1	191:11,11 357:9	<b>calls</b> 82:11 143:8
355:8 359:2	briefing 88:24	192:12,22 216:23		candidate 144:17
368:11,15	90:14 94:3	219:3 244:5 276:6	<u>C</u>	cap 308:22 345:11
<b>bonus</b> 134:15	<b>briefly</b> 82:7 93:17	285:6 298:20	C 34:24 35:21	345:16 351:22,23
book 293:11,13	324:25	310:18 315:19	41:19 73:10,13,20	354:3,13 355:23
<b>booked</b> 184:6,14,23	<b>briefs</b> 87:9	328:14	73:23,24 88:9	355:25,25 356:2,5
184:24 185:10	<b>bring</b> 98:19 181:22	building 244:14	194:24 371:5,22	356:8,12,17
186:1,14	182:17 196:24	270:6 271:17	CA 36:5,14	357:13 358:5,5
<b>books</b> 183:15	249:20	310:17	CAISO 64:9	367:23
259:25 260:14	bringing 217:3	<b>built</b> 80:7 208:9	Calcs 57:22	cap-and-trade-b
<b>boom</b> 269:8	<b>brings</b> 205:2	225:10 238:14	calculate 170:18	356:3
Bootstrap 63:17	<b>broader</b> 363:11	269:4 307:19	184:13 185:11	capability 156:1
<b>border</b> 219:23	<b>broke</b> 126:17	312:2,10 318:6	186:2,13 187:4	329:6 331:7
borrowing 159:15	<b>broken</b> 250:8	<b>bullet</b> 122:10	209:4 213:23	capacity 47:8,9
<b>bottom</b> 83:5 103:10	<b>brought</b> 181:16	129:12 155:22	361:9	190:5 266:9
118:8 125:18	202:8	<b>bunch</b> 205:1	calculated 110:11	268:15 276:6,7
1		I	l	I

				<u> </u>
298:20 329:19	285:14 298:22	224:9 227:8 244:3	cause 192:5 237:6	276:5 282:20
331:3,5,12 332:2	captured 76:1	244:20 252:6,24	238:16	290:9 291:10
352:18	191:22	254:12 255:1	<b>caused</b> 117:6 242:9	296:5,12
capital 39:10,19,22	captures 224:7	260:11 262:16	242:13,17,21	certainty 192:8
39:23 43:20 46:4	capturing 191:25	263:21 267:25	248:21 268:13	299:24
46:23 49:4,5	237:2	271:6 279:1 281:1	282:11 298:2	CERTIFICATE
50:24 53:17,19,20	<b>carbon</b> 57:24	287:10 300:21	<b>causes</b> 126:2	371:1
53:23 55:4,8	367:17	302:13,17,20	<b>causing</b> 317:11	<b>Certified</b> 371:6,6
64:17 76:24 82:19	carbon-emission	303:3,4,9 304:19	caution 75:9	<b>certify</b> 371:5,12
83:22,25 88:13	86:20	305:17 307:16,19	cautious 215:24	cetera 71:7 122:4
89:5 90:8,12	care 55:4 77:15	307:21 308:5,5,7	216:4 297:3,9	153:8
117:21 122:17,22	114:9 146:4	308:19 309:12,22	Caviglia 36:17	<b>CFO</b> 117:20 118:10
123:3,20 127:21	325:21	310:11,25 312:11	70:22,23 94:23	<b>CGK</b> 58:7,12 74:2
128:7 134:11,16	carefully 89:2	312:19 314:18	<b>CCA</b> 58:15 194:2,3	CGK-10 42:19
135:1 142:24	Carrie 136:5	315:9,21 316:6,11	194:19,24 286:24	53:14
152:9,18,19 153:6	<b>carries</b> 224:10	316:14 318:7	355:16,23 356:18	CGK-11 42:21
153:7 158:25	carry 64:8 290:15	327:23 334:24,25	357:17,20 358:3	CGK-12 42:22
165:17 233:4,12	carrying 117:24	335:2 336:19	358:19,20 367:13	CGK-13 42:24
233:15,18,22	329:6 331:7	337:14 338:4	CCCT 52:18	CGK-14 43:3
306:15,16 307:19	case 45:4 52:4	371:17	CCR 34:25 371:23	CGK-15 43:4
307:25 308:2,8,10	76:22 77:3,15	case's 174:13	<b>CEIP</b> 78:22,24	CGK-16XC 43:5
308:14,20 310:18	79:2,7 80:14	cases 39:10 46:23	109:5,10,11	73:19
311:1,8 312:3,10	81:23 87:3 90:2,7	50:24 53:23 68:5	144:14,21,21	CGK-17X 43:7
312:17 313:19	90:21,23,25 93:4	68:7,9 106:11,14	334:1,8,10,17,21	CGK-18XC 43:8
314:1,8,13 315:5	93:18 94:20	106:25 108:4	335:5,14,24 336:8	<b>CGK-19X</b> 43:9
315:17,24 316:9	103:11 107:21,22	152:23 158:15	336:12,21 362:19	CGK-1T 42:7
316:10,18 317:1	108:3 109:2 115:1	180:25 181:11	363:10,13 364:10	CGK-2-6 43:8
317:11 318:10,19	115:5,23 117:4	184:21 185:20	366:5	CGK-20X 43:11
318:21 319:3,5,6	119:15 120:15	186:18 220:3,11	<b>cell</b> 344:2,5	CGK-21X 43:13
319:16	121:16,18 122:6	221:19 306:23	Census 66:4	CGK-22X 43:14
capitalization	122:11,25 123:5	308:8,22 309:2,6	center 80:23	<b>CGK-23X</b> 73:8,16
81:13	123:11,13,21	312:12,14 314:17	365:25	<b>CGK-23XC</b> 43:16
<b>CAPM</b> 55:15 61:11	124:11 125:1	314:22 317:25	central 222:8,10	CGK-24X 43:18
61:12,13,14,15	127:9,16,19,20	318:22 319:18	cents 111:12 126:5	CGK-25X 43:19
165:13 167:13	130:1,11,12,14	337:7	132:9	CGK-26X 43:22
168:19 169:1	134:8,16,21	cash 102:16,17,21	<b>CEO</b> 84:7 113:16	CGK-27X 44:3
170:22	138:20 141:25	103:3,6 117:7,12	certain 77:23	292:11,19
<b>capped</b> 279:13	150:5,14 151:3	117:20,23,25	119:12 139:13	CGK-28X 44:5
<b>caps</b> 261:19 367:20	156:19 157:11	118:17 119:22	150:11 256:19,19	CGK-29X 44:7
captioned 69:12	160:9 174:17	124:15,16,19	257:17 258:2,11	CGK-2C 42:9
capture 85:13	181:6,9,16 195:25	139:12 144:1,4,9	266:18 291:9	<b>CGK-3</b> 42:10
132:18 158:12	196:20 198:13,15	148:9,10 165:12	certainly 142:2,9	CGK-30X 44:9
184:20 189:2	199:11 202:15	cast 196:15 214:24	149:4 166:1	CGK-31X 44:11
190:11 191:4,6,10	208:9 211:20	categories 365:20	252:13,25 254:25	<b>CGK-4</b> 42:11
214:21 228:25	213:22 215:21	category 346:15	258:17 265:11	CGK-5 42:12
229:16,25 271:22	219:11 223:1	causative 190:14	274:10 275:3	CGK-6 42:14
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				1 age 666
CGK-7T 42:15	182:21 190:3	112:24 113:7	108:10,11 112:19	<b>Clint</b> 38:6 42:7,15
43:12	198:17 206:8	133:13 134:4	133:19 242:22	43:12 74:15
CGK-8 42:17	209:19 218:2	138:3 140:20,21	263:19 291:1	251:12
CGK-9C 42:18	223:3 245:9	141:2 177:9,13,15	cite 136:9	close 76:18 89:8
chair 35:5 38:5,11	248:10 253:18	177:18 183:21	cited 165:2	205:2 284:12
38:12,18 40:22	281:2 285:4 292:5	187:20,22,23	cites 164:21	298:8 316:4
74:13 136:8,11	296:3 314:23	188:2 265:11	citing 78:19 194:14	closed 315:15,22
230:8,9 231:10,18	320:20 339:20	273:11 284:9	<b>claim</b> 89:13 160:16	closely 89:13
247:16,19 248:2	341:15	304:12 326:19	201:14 291:7	281:13
249:17 250:11	<b>changes</b> 40:13 51:7	327:1 328:7,8,18	clarification 72:8	<b>closer</b> 131:23
269:6 291:15,17	77:5,14,23 90:9	347:1,3	131:10 167:24	279:14 281:24
306:9,13 309:13	117:21 143:6	cheek 86:12	172:12 174:10	282:22 284:2
310:4 318:9 320:3	159:6,25 182:8,8	<b>Chelan</b> 52:9,11	175:5 180:16	325:20 326:17
320:24 359:9,12	185:7 189:3,3	256:18,22 257:2,8	185:21 186:4	<b>closing</b> 81:17,17
361:4,16,20	220:8 234:25	257:10,12,18,20	244:1 252:15	<b>Club</b> 36:11,13
362:14,22 363:19	253:20 254:22	257:22 258:11	258:16 271:23	66:22 67:4,7,9,10
364:17 365:3,7,10	255:8 263:9 268:5	263:11	284:21 300:7	67:12,13,15,20
365:12,14 366:10	278:21 288:8	<b>chief</b> 96:2,2,25 97:3	301:12 324:19,21	70:18,20 94:16,18
366:25 367:6	296:5 297:23	<b>Chip</b> 159:3 161:18	328:25 332:25	355:1,3
368:14,21,25	304:10 314:16	choice 256:5	341:1 344:15	Coalition 36:7,8
challenge 365:20	317:6 341:19	312:15	361:19 366:12	Coast 253:16
challenges 47:6	345:5	<b>choices</b> 266:16	<b>clarify</b> 74:13 92:20	261:19
117:2 156:2	changing 191:3	268:7 269:3	203:10,19	<b>COB</b> 219:23 220:3
225:18	223:18 227:4	301:17 311:5	class 173:17 174:19	221:18
<b>chance</b> 88:23	247:22	<b>choose</b> 227:9 247:3	174:24 325:17	codominant 250:3
110:23 163:2	characterization	247:8 297:15	326:9	Coefficients 61:12
165:4 215:23	169:20 263:16	chooses 227:10	<b>classes</b> 325:20	coin 220:25
232:19 292:12	characterize 97:14	<b>chose</b> 223:18,18	327:18,20 352:20	coincidentally
<b>change</b> 86:21 122:5	characterizing	297:12 312:20	classify 361:8	161:20
130:12 142:20	157:19	<b>Christie</b> 37:10 49:3	<b>clean</b> 361:14	<b>Cold</b> 66:14
150:6 158:25	Charge 64:9	49:8 73:5 74:14	cleanup 144:14	coldest 83:16
160:25 174:20	<b>Charges</b> 60:7,9,11	83:2 95:8,11,16	<b>clear</b> 72:6 107:18	collaborating
182:22 189:8	60:12	95:25 96:1,4,8,15	127:21 132:17	364:10
190:10 192:13,17	<b>Charlee</b> 64:23,25	110:8 135:21	159:10 180:22	collaboration
192:22 200:8	94:9 368:16	141:5,17 151:24	198:11 204:1	345:25 352:3
202:4 209:11,14	370:20	169:8 280:15	270:10 288:16	362:18
223:5 263:20	<b>chart</b> 85:22 113:3	319:1 334:1,7	304:3 326:12	collaborative
269:7 273:17	213:15,24 276:25	Christie's 316:23	348:2	303:12
287:23 298:1,3,22	279:1	chronic 283:12	cleared 247:25	<b>collapse</b> 285:11,12
299:13 308:19	Charter 48:21	chunk 85:9	clearly 77:18	285:14 286:17,18
314:12 315:20	charts 46:3 130:4	<b>circle</b> 74:19	103:10	298:7
320:21 325:17	<b>chat</b> 75:8,10	Circling 192:24	Clearwater 52:16	collapsed 211:3
345:2	cheaper 332:1	circulating 72:17	Cleve 35:22	285:9
<b>changed</b> 77:1,13	check 74:23 98:4,8	circumstance	client 150:6	collateral 79:21
79:16 81:21 132:7	98:13 99:4 100:18	290:14 298:10	climate 86:21	colleague 231:12
133:1 163:23	102:14 109:23,24	circumstances	355:12 358:8	colleagues 251:25
	l	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I

				<u>_</u>
collected 341:12	41:11,14 48:13	338:18 354:4,12	<b>common</b> 44:16	274:22 277:9
collectively 192:22	53:10	Commission's	55:9 237:11	278:3,23 279:17
<b>Colstrip</b> 89:4 91:14	comment 82:9	157:24	commonly 149:2	279:23,24 286:21
175:18 176:5,14	83:14,14 280:21	commissioner 35:4	353:20	292:1 297:12,15
177:23 326:21	322:4	37:23 38:4,11,19	communicated	300:23 301:17
327:7,17,17,21	commented 155:11	54:18 69:19,20	235:2	302:19 303:14
328:12	comments 67:4	73:15 163:6,11	communicating	304:5,20 305:11
Colton 65:23,24	87:16 92:2 322:4	172:1 197:9,13,24	259:18	306:19 309:24
92:19 337:22	322:8	203:9,13,18	communication	310:3,22 311:3
338:10,21 350:17	commercial 269:2	221:25 228:10,13	363:12	313:18 314:1,6,7
351:5 352:11	commission 34:3,6	228:22 229:3	communications	315:7,8 316:5,8
369:10,12,25	34:18 35:11 40:9	230:7,10 231:14	45:3 92:21,23,25	316:11,22 317:3
370:10	77:19 81:18 84:13	245:3,7,13,16	364:3	317:18 318:2
<b>Colton's</b> 83:1,6	85:6 87:2,10	246:1,16 247:11	Communities	319:15 321:3
349:22	88:20 90:3,22,24	247:15 293:7,15	334:15,18 335:22	333:25 359:5
Columbia 52:15	91:5,20,21 93:3	310:5,8 311:12,15	community 66:3	company's 45:7
<b>column</b> 126:14	93:14,18,21	313:2,16,22 314:5	93:7 154:6 158:19	48:23 97:15
167:17 320:21	103:23 104:2,4,10	315:6 316:8	335:6 366:21	122:16 142:3,4
327:6	104:11,15,23	318:25 319:1	companies 54:19	151:15,21 152:13
<b>columns</b> 168:18	105:5,17 109:19	343:7 349:7 350:9	55:9,11,12,15,18	154:20 155:14
combination	115:21 122:5	367:8,9,12,15,24	168:20,23 169:5	156:14,16 157:12
105:23 317:7	127:10 128:13	368:7 370:2,13	266:17	196:11,14 221:1
combined 233:6	129:3 141:19,20	commissioners	companies' 138:9	223:7 227:17
349:21	141:25 144:20	69:9 77:12 94:8	company 69:16	252:4 272:17
combustible 249:22	149:16 150:23	283:14 306:7	77:14 78:17 79:3	303:6,10 327:22
<b>come</b> 77:6 100:6	151:13 154:15,22	320:23 333:5	79:17,25 80:1,9	353:25 355:11
135:8 152:12,13	156:17 157:7,23	359:8 369:20	81:16 86:1,2 87:4	362:17
164:6 181:19	158:23 160:8	370:13	88:6 104:5 109:6	comparable 150:3
207:22 262:15	161:9,21 181:14	commissions	109:11 110:18	compared 132:21
264:17 299:2,12	186:23 188:11,23	164:11	114:20 124:18	228:2,3 315:13
309:24 315:16,23	192:4 194:12	<b>commit</b> 235:19	128:2,3 133:4,17	325:6,7
318:4 321:22	198:16 215:6,10	242:6 243:24	141:24 142:3,15	compares 157:6
345:23	224:7,19 225:19	244:2,19	151:17,17 152:14	comparison 58:9
comes 142:18 149:5	226:1 227:10	commitment	153:4,25 154:16	58:10 60:15,17
190:20 221:18	231:7 253:19	113:24 234:13	154:23 156:1	133:20 204:21
230:19 248:13,23	259:25 268:1	355:12 358:8	182:18 185:13	350:7
250:9 284:3 311:8	269:16 271:6	committed 114:4	189:8 196:17,22	compensation
312:8 314:7	273:14 278:15	114:11,13 248:7	202:23 216:23	87:14,18,21 88:3
337:13 365:17	307:17 308:7,12	337:6,8 364:7	220:9,18 221:8,21	115:1,5,6,9
comfort 82:11	309:9,23 310:8	committee 48:23	222:15,22 223:21	compete 142:24
comfortable 319:17	313:4,5,9,12,14	100:19 319:5	224:18 227:13,19	Competitive 61:18
<b>coming</b> 76:10 86:13	315:14,18 316:2	committing 247:13	230:25 242:5	Compilation 40:12
166:2 216:20	319:19 326:5,5	commodities 204:3	245:7 252:7,13,24	Complainant 34:7
275:13 282:10	328:2,15 334:11	commodity 43:20	252:25 254:10	complained 199:18
288:6,7 363:16	335:8,11,16 336:3	258:8 259:7,9,14	259:11 261:2	complete 81:9
Comm'n 41:4,6,9	336:7 337:9,19	259:17	263:22 268:8	192:8 361:4,5
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	İ		l	ı
<b>completed</b> 68:5,7,9	230:14 250:14	confusion 256:6	constraint 370:6	continue 103:19
242:4 361:7	368:10	362:5	constraints 74:22	121:22 122:2
completely 253:12	Conclusion 163:19	connected 351:21	construct 228:5	123:24 128:6
271:25 286:13	concurrence	<b>Connor</b> 34:15 35:3	constructive	129:9 235:19
298:9 336:10	130:20	69:10	119:14 123:5	252:13,16 253:1
completeness	condition 267:12	consecutive 84:11	129:8 131:18	253:23 254:20
320:14 330:11	275:6	111:13 113:22	<b>consult</b> 202:20	290:12 298:19
complex 200:4,10	conditions 54:19	conservative	consultant 136:21	318:12 335:4,7,20
compliance 109:10	185:6 189:24	150:18,21 151:8	202:20 212:4	335:23 337:19
307:2 358:15	192:9,20,23 197:1	157:4,5,5,9,11,15	consulted 362:25	351:25 352:8
complicated 98:24	210:17 211:23	157:20 164:6	consulting 146:24	358:2 362:12
123:15	216:1,1 218:11,12	284:18	335:18	364:7,15
<b>comply</b> 356:1 357:6	223:3,17 227:1	<b>consider</b> 80:17 89:1	consults 362:22	continued 97:24
357:12 358:3	235:9,11 241:14	137:15 138:13	consumers 278:20	98:6 121:18
complying 355:11	260:21 262:11,21	142:9 157:16	301:13	155:23 362:9
component 115:6	265:2 268:6	216:6 300:13,16	<b>cont</b> 36:1 38:1 40:1	364:14 367:4
138:11	290:10,23,24	337:19 351:8	41:1 42:1 43:1	continues 252:11
components 190:19	292:5 296:2 297:4	considerably 80:16	44:1 45:1 46:1	306:20 316:11
212:17	297:5 298:17	154:24 161:9	47:1 48:1 49:1	continuing 235:21
computer's 163:8	335:15	170:15	50:1 51:1 52:1	335:10
concentrates	conducted 240:19	consideration	53:1 54:1 55:1	continuously
330:16	conductor 233:21	142:17 153:3	56:1 57:1 58:1	159:22
concentration	233:24 244:9,17	154:21 158:24	59:1 60:1 61:1	contract 52:6
89:10	245:17,21,23,24	221:14 308:3	62:1 63:1 64:1	208:23 256:9,18
concept 41:25 42:3	246:4,6,10 247:9	considered 112:9	65:1 66:1 67:1	256:23 257:5,21
53:15 164:19	<b>confer</b> 74:4 304:18	138:6 151:4	68:1	258:11 263:12
<b>concern</b> 78:17 80:8	conferred 71:23	156:17 300:11	<b>contact</b> 93:6 238:16	317:14
92:20 96:17	confidence 149:18	considering 243:18	249:24 250:9	contract's 256:22
180:10 182:18	confident 319:17	337:6	contacting 238:11	contracted 217:4
222:17 229:18	confidential 41:16	consistency 149:23	contained 125:13	contracts 193:8
250:7 251:25	41:19 43:5,8	consistent 118:7	213:14	224:11 265:16
254:5,10 306:22	50:11 56:3,6,15	150:9,13 161:15	Containing 54:21	291:20 292:1
concerned 72:25	58:7,12 59:17	361:17	contaminants	293:23 294:3,13
114:18,22 115:21	73:12,23 74:6	consistently 196:15	239:21	294:24 317:16
221:9 250:5	120:8,13 136:4,13	196:21	contemplated	contribute 316:15
254:14,16 262:7	136:14 137:23	consolidated 34:8	309:3	331:11
284:14,14,19	169:8,12 180:13	44:7,9,11 53:12	contemplates	contribution 103:3
concerning 162:7	<b>confirm</b> 116:24	53:14 58:13	307:15	265:19
concerns 229:21	133:1 152:16	125:17 126:8	contends 196:10	<b>control</b> 80:6 144:6
240:10 254:3	174:15 337:5	constantly 254:21	contention 216:7	144:7 208:16,18
274:2,5 313:10	370:9	consternation	<b>contest</b> 313:14	208:21,25 209:16
concessions 87:1	<b>confirmed</b> 126:8,11	302:18	<b>contested</b> 87:3 90:7	222:16 227:19,20
conclude 370:22	confronted 154:14	constrained 309:15	313:4,13	230:6 268:8 314:6
concluded 231:9	confronting 156:2	345:10	<b>context</b> 109:3 188:8	318:17
369:23	confused 213:20	constraining	228:15	controlling 318:15
concludes 104:10	248:3	313:19	continental 204:2	controversial
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

302:13 303:10	128:1,4 131:16	224:2,3,12,15,16	198:19 199:15	334:16,21 335:14
convenient 322:20	133:5 134:12	224:25 225:1,16	200:5 204:11	357:6,23 366:3,17
conversation	136:18 137:8	225:21 226:10	222:9 254:12	cost-benefit 240:20
112:11 200:11	139:11,12,15	228:20 230:2	255:16,22 262:9	241:1,25 242:3,7
269:5 295:19	140:6 148:24	233:3,10,13 234:1	279:16 280:8	244:19 246:17
366:22	149:9,20,25	234:6,7,20 236:24	291:23 293:25	cost-effective 357:6
conversations	150:17 152:2,4,19	237:17 238:25	297:5	cost-of-service
209:22 261:1	152:23 153:18	239:4,5,9 245:11	correlate 215:1	173:19 174:12,15
354:23 364:7	154:2,12 155:10	245:12,15,18	correlated 265:21	<b>costing</b> 317:12
conversely 223:13	155:20 162:4	246:21 247:14	correlation 98:14	costs 45:7 60:7,9
Conversion 58:23	166:21 167:16	252:7,17 253:6,11	243:1 288:4	78:24 85:13
59:9	168:22 174:17,18	255:2,25 256:10	correlations 287:2	103:22,25 104:2,5
Cool 98:9	174:22,25 175:22	257:8,16 259:22	<b>cost</b> 40:4,7,9 42:13	109:10,15,17,18
cooperative 141:8	176:4 181:1,6,7,9	260:15 262:11	42:23 43:11 44:4	109:20 114:22
coordinating	181:12 182:2,5,9	265:3,8,15,24	45:11 46:17 48:16	129:18,25 141:20
363:20,20	182:10,12,14,15	267:5 271:3	50:18 55:12,15	141:25 142:5,8
copies 322:7 329:11	182:24,25 183:3,5	272:19,23 273:2,7	61:16 64:16,17	144:15,21,21
348:13 349:1	183:9 186:10,11	273:10,12 275:10	76:24 79:20 82:18	158:25 175:18
copper 245:23	186:15 187:5,10	276:2 277:13,23	90:7,8,12,22 91:8	176:5 180:25
<b>copy</b> 162:13 252:1	187:11,13,15	278:13,17,22	91:10 104:23,25	181:20 187:14,19
<b>core</b> 131:4,8,13	188:1,4,25 190:18	281:8,10 282:6,13	105:6 130:6	188:24 189:22,23
<b>Corp</b> 41:4,6,9,11	190:23,24 191:1,2	289:22 290:7,22	138:21 151:20	190:15,15,18,22
41:14 44:16 45:6	191:23,24 192:2,3	291:5,8 292:3	154:25 166:1	193:6,8 194:2,17
48:14 53:10	193:1,2,4,7 194:3	300:9 302:20,24	167:17 168:18	194:22 195:19
corporate 44:14	194:4,6,16 195:11	304:7,8,11 310:6	174:21,25 176:10	196:16,21 197:5
corporation 34:9	195:12,15,17	313:5 324:18,23	181:5,9 182:1,1	198:14 204:7
35:6,8 39:6 75:15	196:23 197:6	325:18 326:15,23	183:15 193:14	205:3 220:19,20
251:13 255:1	199:9,10,20,21	327:15 328:13	199:18 201:11	221:2,5,8,9
<b>correct</b> 73:11 97:1	200:6 202:17,18	337:21 338:7,8,24	202:17 204:1	241:23 253:3,9
97:2,6,8,10,16,25	202:21,22,24,25	339:14,15,19,23	205:22 214:25	254:3,15 257:4
98:12,20 99:19	203:23 204:16,19	340:6,7 342:5	220:8 246:10,11	258:18 260:16,17
100:20 102:1,4,5	205:20,21 206:5,6	344:3 346:5,6,9	247:12 253:6	271:7,8,14,15,17
102:8,20 103:5	206:8,9,16,17,21	351:19 353:21,22	255:23 256:15,25	271:18 272:1
105:10,18 106:6	207:4,5,8,9,12,13	354:24 355:24	257:2,7,14 258:4	275:4,23 278:24
106:15 107:3,4,14	207:23 208:2,6,18	356:14 357:22	258:7,7,8,9,13	279:17,24 294:3
107:15,20,22,24	208:21 209:6,17	358:6 365:5,16,16	259:10,12,13	294:14 298:6
108:2,7,23,24,25	210:1,6,15,16,18	369:4,5,14 370:11	262:6 263:8,15,15	299:1,4 301:16,19
109:8,21 111:8,11	210:21,22 211:7	371:13	264:24 269:16	303:18 314:12
111:14,17,20,24	211:13,19 212:6,7	corrected 343:20	277:5,7,9 278:4	315:3 316:17
112:2 113:18	213:18 214:6,7,13	corrections 72:23	278:18 279:15	317:10,19,20,22
115:2 116:3,4,9	215:19 216:9,10	correctly 103:14	301:15,20 302:20	317:24 318:11,15
116:16 117:14	217:9,10,12,13,17	114:1 117:10	303:11 314:6,20	318:17 334:1,8,20
120:23 121:6,15	217:20,22,23	121:20 129:20	314:24 315:5	334:22 335:2,5,10
122:9 124:5,8,16	219:10,13,20,21	131:6 132:12	317:12,18 325:6,7	335:16,17,20,25
124:20 125:20,23	219:23,24 220:5,6	148:16 156:5	325:7,24,25	336:1,2,12,20
126:24 127:22,25	220:15 221:2,6	164:3,8 196:18	327:16 328:20	353:19
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				1 ago 00 1
<b>cough</b> 239:24	<b>coupled</b> 343:18	101:25 103:7	96:13 110:6	358:3 367:20
counsel 35:15 43:4	course 77:16 84:23	110:18 116:14,19	135:19 146:16	currently 127:10
43:16,18 45:14,15	84:25 104:4	117:8,12,12 118:3	147:3,14 167:9	145:6 152:1 223:2
45:17,19,21,23	113:13 121:14	149:19,24 152:2	172:20 173:1	227:1 238:2
53:4,6,7 56:5 59:4		152:12 153:3	180:6 195:7	278:12 282:24
60:2 62:6,7,9,23	152:1 159:21	155:9,9,14 156:2	218:23 232:8,15	345:19 346:20
63:3,5,6,8,9,11,12	165:20 185:12	156:16 329:19	251:21 274:16	351:15
63:14 70:6,8	191:20 268:23	331:4,5	302:4 306:6	Curriculum 60:5
71:17,22 73:5	306:17 315:12,20	credit's 103:3	323:21 336:24	61:4,22 62:17
74:17 75:21 82:4	336:2	credits 122:13	337:3 353:11	Curtailment 52:6
88:24 89:3 91:4,6	<b>court</b> 145:19	126:2	355:6	customary 80:2
110:1,20 111:1,5	171:17 321:16	<b>crisis</b> 84:13,15	<b>crossarm</b> 237:13	customer 46:12
115:8 125:5	371:6	93:15 111:19	239:18	60:7,8,10,12 64:7
131:21 141:17,18	cover 96:23 284:25	192:16 253:15	crossarms 239:15	76:5 82:14 92:12
147:10 167:1	356:22 357:16	261:16 297:24,25	240:21 245:23	92:13,14,15,24
171:10 173:7	coverage 153:7	criteria 248:10	crossbeams 236:4	93:4 101:25 117:7
174:8 178:7	covered 104:7	249:22,25	crossed 332:20,20	117:12 122:13
179:13 195:3	144:3 230:11	critical 205:16	crux 298:16	129:14,16 130:7
198:22,25 203:9	233:21,24 244:8	cross 71:6 73:18	<b>CSR</b> 34:25 371:24	132:11 138:22
226:14,15 231:25	244:17 245:17,21	75:19 96:10 108:1	CT-1T 64:23	268:12 270:14
232:10 246:3	245:24 246:4,6,10	111:1 135:7,12,15	<b>CT-2</b> 64:25	327:20 345:21
251:5 274:14	247:8 295:12	135:24,25 138:15	<b>CT-3</b> 65:3	351:25 361:14
286:4,7 293:7	328:19 355:23	147:20 171:9	CT-4T 65:4	365:8
306:3 320:18,20	356:15,20 357:15	180:1 193:19	cue 295:5	customer's 360:21
321:3,25 322:5	357:19 358:2	218:20 232:10,22	cumulative 43:11	<b>Customer-Related</b>
323:6 324:1 331:1	367:17	251:16 271:3	99:15 135:12,15	60:7,9
333:14 337:1	covers 83:15	302:25 305:16	277:5	customer/10
350:9 352:4	356:18	320:15,17,19,25	curious 102:3	132:11
353:17 354:21	<b>COVID</b> 84:17	323:16 324:1,2	161:12 214:24	customers 85:25
368:20,22 371:16	112:1,3 162:2	330:17 332:18,21	current 84:24	86:8 87:17 91:6
<b>counsel's</b> 296:20	<b>CPG</b> 319:5	333:24 337:1	90:16 92:9 93:11	91:13,15,22 92:4
<b>count</b> 356:16	<b>craft</b> 145:10	342:19,24 348:6	118:3 119:16	92:7 93:1,9
counter-parties	<b>create</b> 104:18	353:8	122:25 134:21	102:14 103:20,22
294:10	106:17 206:20,22	cross-answering	144:24 160:5,10	104:9 114:9 115:6
country 89:11	241:16 275:16	57:18 59:15 60:18	163:25 191:17	123:4 128:5 135:2
County 69:1	created 130:9	62:11 63:18 64:10	192:9,23 198:24	140:13,16,18
256:19 371:3	233:1 237:7	64:18 65:4,17	200:15 216:11	151:20 156:4
<b>couple</b> 72:23	257:18 275:21,24	67:18 75:23 95:3	217:12,25 228:5	175:15,17,23
100:19,21,25	277:1	173:6,16 175:12	229:19 233:11	176:4,12,15,24,25
135:24 138:18	creates 241:8	cross-examination	236:22 239:1	177:6,7,11,21
142:22 164:13	creating 241:19,19	37:12,12,13,16,16	266:7 267:7	185:13 196:17,23
185:25 192:10	275:25,25	37:19,21,22,22	274:19 275:8,9	205:6 208:3
223:5 245:3	creation 142:18	38:4,7,8,8,14,17	279:19,21 280:22	222:21 229:23
248:16,17 263:13	credit 46:12 54:16	38:17,18 71:18,24	285:15 289:1	238:7,13 240:18
296:10 300:1	55:6 64:7 86:15	72:21 73:4,9	302:23 334:23	246:14 267:7
303:23 355:10,10	91:12 93:13	75:14,21,22 96:9	341:9,23 342:9	270:1,7,8 273:15
		l	I	I

277:5,8,20 278:25	366:25 367:6	44:22 54:24 60:4	248:9 250:2 254:6	329:3,4 356:8
279:16 301:18,20	368:14,25	60:5,18 61:3	280:18,23,25	decreases 358:5
310:2 317:9,20	dashboard 235:4,6	69:17 70:1 232:5	282:5 301:5,9	decreasing 289:4
318:8 319:20,24	235:20 238:20	david.meyer@av	deal 71:2 180:14	<b>DED-10T</b> 60:18
326:9,20,24	data 40:12,19	35:10	370:6	<b>DED-11X</b> 60:20
327:11,13,18	42:17 43:3,4,16	Davison 35:22	dealing 77:18	<b>DED-12X</b> 60:21
328:4,10,16 341:5	43:18 44:19,20	day 80:9 82:13	268:18,19 310:20	<b>DED-13X</b> 60:22
342:7,23 343:2,10	45:14,16,18,19,21	227:9 243:2 249:5	<b>debate</b> 282:18	<b>DED-14X</b> 60:23
343:16,18 344:18	45:22,23 48:6,7	284:2 340:23	<b>debt</b> 49:6 61:17,18	<b>DED-15X</b> 60:24
345:6 346:14	49:14,16,19,21	341:19 344:23	117:21,23 121:5	<b>DED-16X</b> 60:25
347:19 351:6,21	50:9,11 51:13	353:8 370:23	124:11,12,14	<b>DED-1T</b> 60:4
352:9 357:7 360:4	53:3,5,6,8 54:6,7	371:20	128:11,14,16,18	<b>DED-2</b> 60:5
360:6,7,15,17,20	54:9,10,12,14	day-to-day 82:20	128:19,21,23,25	<b>DED-3</b> 60:7
361:3 363:13	55:22,24 56:6,7,9	350:13	129:1,2,2 151:22	<b>DED-4</b> 60:8
364:23 365:23	56:10,12,13,15,17	days 87:7,12 300:5	<b>Dec</b> 53:10	<b>DED-5</b> 60:10
366:2,13,21,23	56:19,23,24 57:3	310:17 354:3	decade 212:9	<b>DED-6</b> 60:12
<b>cut</b> 100:17 101:18	57:5,7,9,10,12,14	<b>DCF</b> 39:20 40:5	253:13 298:10	<b>DED-7</b> 60:13
110:11,12 240:23	57:15 59:3,5,12	41:20 55:12 61:6	decades 224:19	<b>DED-8</b> 60:15
<b>CV</b> 56:4 64:25 65:7	59:14,21,23,24	61:7,8,10 148:8,8	261:11,17 267:23	<b>DED-9</b> 60:16
65:10	62:4,6,8,9,18,21	149:5 165:23	December 58:4	dedicated 84:9
cycle 93:15,15	62:23 63:3,5,7,8	168:13,20 170:21	334:24 339:14,16	<b>deem</b> 194:14
107:19 140:14	63:10,11,13,14,15	<b>DCP-10</b> 55:13	340:5	defensible 273:23
248:14	65:13,16 66:3	DCP-11 55:15	decent 288:17	<b>defer</b> 144:16
cycles 300:1	67:7,9,10,12,13	<b>DCP-12</b> 55:16	337:13	200:22 231:12
Cynthia 82:10	67:15 84:2 97:4	DCP-13 55:18	decided 130:11	271:7 315:19
	116:13 120:19	<b>DCP-14</b> 55:19	decides 91:21 104:2	334:14,22 335:4
D	139:4,5 161:16,17	<b>DCP-15</b> 55:20	decision 91:22	335:10,23 336:5
<b>D</b> 44:3 56:3 58:14	162:7,22 163:2	<b>DCP-16</b> 55:21	137:9 151:15	<b>deferral</b> 109:13,17
64:13 65:24	187:4 199:13	DCP-17 55:22	247:10 336:9	109:19 144:15,20
<b>d/b/a</b> 34:9	238:23,24 263:14	DCP-18 55:24	decision-making	144:25 145:5
<b>Daily</b> 57:23	263:24 264:3,20	DCP-1T 54:24	337:20	183:11,16 184:6
<b>Dan</b> 368:21	265:3 266:5,22	DCP-2 55:3	decisions 82:24	184:15 186:23,25
<b>Danner</b> 35:5 38:5	267:1,2,18,21,24	DCP-3 55:4	118:2 137:3	253:3 271:8
38:11,12,18 74:13	273:20,20 281:5	DCP-4 55:5	138:12 235:8	272:12 334:10,19
136:8,11 230:8,9	283:19 285:11,23	<b>DCP-5</b> 55:6	354:14	335:25 336:5
231:10,18 247:16	296:25 339:19 <sup>°</sup>	DCP-6 55:8	<b>decline</b> 100:14	deferrals 122:3
247:19 248:2	340:23 352:22	<b>DCP-7</b> 55:9	118:16 165:23	deferred 109:18
249:17 250:11	360:12	<b>DCP-8</b> 55:11	367:23	333:25 334:9
291:15,17 306:9	dataset 215:25	<b>DCP-9</b> 55:12	declined 99:20	335:1,21
306:13 309:13	216:5 287:6 297:4	<b>De</b> 83:14	declines 277:15	deferring 109:15
310:4 318:9 320:3	date 125:20,24	dead 78:10 81:12	declining 277:22	109:17 334:20
320:24 359:9,12	130:13 334:19	183:13,17 186:3,7	357:13 367:20	336:20
361:4,16,20	335:21 360:2	211:19 227:16,21	Decoupling 46:18	deficiency 266:8
362:14,22 363:19	371:9	228:2,4,16,18	decrease 165:13	294:21
364:17 365:3,7,10	dated 111:8	229:5,8,8,19	175:16,23 221:4	deficit 268:19,22
365:12,14 366:10	<b>David</b> 35:5,7 38:2	230:5 234:13	291:7 328:21	deficits 284:15
	- <del>,</del>			

<b>define</b> 103:16,17	depending 143:3	85:4 90:1,19	214:1 218:13	difficulty 79:18
262:15 263:3	143:21 196:25	117:5 154:17	229:16 255:19	128:14 129:6
defined 337:9	229:11 258:3	306:20	256:4 257:6 262:5	208:4,7
definitely 207:20	307:24 360:10	detail 46:3 72:15	263:23 271:13	<b>Dillon</b> 50:14
285:21	depends 148:4	168:5	272:21 273:2,11	DiLuciano 46:22
definition 247:22	341:21 346:22	detailed 42:6	275:8 278:12,13	46:24
255:23 272:3	deployed 238:25	232:23	294:18 300:6,8	<b>diluted</b> 125:16
291:4	deploying 237:16	details 358:8	311:17 325:1	126:9 132:9
degree 165:21	depreciation 128:9	367:22	326:17 343:25	direct 37:11,15,18
237:1	<b>depth</b> 162:18	<b>Determinants</b> 61:8	344:10 359:21,22	37:21 38:3,7,14
degrees 82:12,13	describe 73:22	determination	differences 81:1	38:16 39:8,11
delay 338:2	103:25 104:13	267:25 318:8	255:23 264:8	41:3,5,8,10,13,23
delays 317:13	107:12 108:11,22	determinations	288:16	42:7 44:3,7,11,12
<b>deliver</b> 103:19	188:7 235:4	157:25 307:25	<b>different</b> 60:15,17	44:22 45:25 46:14
deliveries 257:23	271:12 312:17	determine 202:20	79:17 90:4 119:2	46:15,22 47:14
<b>delivery</b> 257:8,13	described 127:16	218:7 236:19	119:3 149:11	48:19 49:3,22
257:15 258:12	describes 331:11	239:11 299:16	173:19 181:16	50:14,17,22 51:3
259:6 284:2,13	describing 312:18	307:22 308:13,15	184:2 185:1,3	51:22 52:25 53:16
delta 78:5 214:18	description 39:3,7	309:22 314:10	189:18 190:17,25	53:22 54:4,24
261:22 279:19,21	39:14 40:2 41:2	319:15	190:25 208:10	58:18 59:20 60:4
280:10 281:22	42:2 43:2 44:2	determined 233:19	210:8 218:12,15	61:3,21 62:15
282:4,6,7 286:18	45:2 46:2 47:2	261:3,12 314:22	220:16 226:1,3	63:22 64:12,23
325:9	48:2 49:2 50:2	339:24	238:1 248:4	65:6,8,23 66:5,24
deltas 52:24 213:17	51:2 52:2 53:2	determines 104:15	253:12 258:10,23	67:24 95:14 113:4
217:8,8 256:7	54:3 55:2 56:2	develop 151:4	262:24 267:5,12	146:12 154:7
272:2 296:14	57:2 58:2 59:2	235:19	271:25 272:4	172:5 173:18,24
demographic	60:3 61:2 62:2	developed 198:16	279:1 281:17	179:16 182:21
364:24	63:2,21 64:2,22	235:21 241:15	294:6 296:11	213:9 232:1 251:8
demographics	65:2,22 66:2,23	245:20	298:9,25 310:16	252:18 272:22
360:16	67:2,23 68:2	developing 157:19	311:23,24 312:23	273:3,6 274:11
demonstrate 131:3	73:11,22,24	311:21	318:22 341:21	276:18 283:19
283:18 301:15	154:12	development 156:3	349:14 350:19	286:4 287:6 303:2
demonstrated	<b>Descriptions</b> 42:11	214:22 235:15,17	351:1 354:16	319:2 323:9
158:21 252:24	42:21	246:23 247:4	357:11 359:19	333:17 338:4
270:21 271:19	deserve 76:22	deviation 253:6	differential 133:16	341:4,15 344:9,9
demonstrates	design 352:9	device 238:6	211:6,18	345:12 354:3
92:19 113:24	designated 111:2	<b>Dholakia</b> 43:19	differently 278:2	directed 87:17
<b>Dennis</b> 44:12 67:20	130:19 135:24	Diagram 44:14	290:16	direction 166:2
84:7	147:20	dialogue 77:12	differing 257:1	274:23 280:12
<b>Dennison</b> 66:24	designation 72:25	differed 157:22	<b>difficult</b> 79:4 104:9	312:23 362:8
67:3,19	73:10,20 111:4	213:25	104:20 185:3	directionally
<b>denote</b> 237:13	designed 79:10	<b>difference</b> 183:7,12	189:16 226:24	325:11
densest 87:6	149:22 237:7	183:16,24 184:1	247:3 310:22	directive 286:11
<b>Department</b> 52:22	<b>desire</b> 273:18	184:20,22 185:9	318:2	364:8
dependence 153:14	desperate 89:17	185:13,15,23	difficulties 108:5	directly 153:9
dependent 357:5	<b>despite</b> 84:19,21	186:1,6,25 207:7	208:15	169:19 306:22
	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

director 50:12	discussion 105:13	84:3,4 89:14	96:17 180:9	<b>DPV-3X</b> 44:15
87:14,15 139:6,13	143:1 144:14	111:14 112:22	186:13 215:13	125:6
139:15,17,18	165:19 231:12	113:5,8 114:21,23	222:11,25 244:19	<b>DPV-4X</b> 44:16
195:13	252:4 274:3	114:24 118:6,11	288:11 289:4,16	<b>DPV-5X</b> 44:18
directors 84:8	327:24 331:8	134:11 142:21	289:25 299:16	130:19
113:22 114:3	364:14 367:4	169:5	305:3 308:16	<b>DPV-6X</b> 44:19
139:9 140:5,13	discussions 354:18	<b>DJG-10</b> 61:13	311:22,23 317:1	116:12
directors' 138:19	354:19 364:16	<b>DJG-11</b> 61:14	317:19,23 318:14	<b>DPV-7X</b> 44:20
138:22 140:8	diseased 234:13	<b>DJG-12</b> 61:15	319:8,8,23,24,25	120:18
disadvantage 154:8	248:9 250:2	<b>DJG-13</b> 61:16	351:14 360:16	<b>Dr</b> 40:14,15 43:6,7
disagree 157:8	dismissed 145:15	<b>DJG-14</b> 61:17	364:8	43:8 49:9,10,11
164:14,18 301:23	178:5 250:15	<b>DJG-15</b> 61:18	dollar 273:6 325:16	49:12,13 57:20,21
351:5,8,12	305:7 320:8	<b>DJG-16</b> 61:20	327:10	58:7,7 66:8,9,10
disallow 194:17,22	332:14	<b>DJG-1T</b> 61:3	dollars 83:25	66:18,19,20,21
<b>disallows</b> 104:23,25	<b>Dismukes</b> 60:4,5,19	<b>DJG-2</b> 61:4	123:16 257:17	73:23 173:6,16
105:5	173:6 175:22	<b>DJG-3</b> 61:5	270:7 278:3	175:12,22 176:3
disclose 129:23	176:3	<b>DJG-4</b> 61:6	298:13 300:24	369:20
disconnected 92:16	<b>Dismukes's</b> 173:16	<b>DJG-5</b> 61:7	301:7 316:2 317:3	<b>DR-126</b> 60:25
disconnection 92:5	175:12	<b>DJG-6</b> 61:8	door 315:15	<b>draft</b> 109:7
92:7,13,21,22	disparities 66:11	<b>DJG-7</b> 61:10	doors 315:22 316:4	dramatic 158:21
93:2,9,12,16	<b>dispatch</b> 42:9,18	<b>DJG-8</b> 61:11	<b>double</b> 210:23	159:25 160:25
disconnections	194:2	<b>DJG-9</b> 61:12	234:15	161:19 189:3
92:4	<b>dispute</b> 89:3 161:11	docket 41:4,6,9,11	double-check	223:5 247:24
discount 134:6	distinct 188:15	41:14 45:8 48:14	305:17	dramatically 77:1
148:9 165:12	distinction 207:6	53:10 56:21 65:19	double-effect	160:5 161:23
340:11 344:24	distribute 75:20	78:21 145:7	229:10	163:23 190:3
345:5,13,21 346:2	distributed 47:12	195:25 363:14	doubt 115:18	<b>DRH-10X</b> 45:14
346:5,7,8,13	83:24 340:5	<b>Dockets</b> 34:7 69:11	133:21,24 134:3	<b>DRH-11X</b> 45:15
347:10 349:16	348:13	document 118:4	339:1	<b>DRH-12X</b> 45:17
351:11,13,24	distributing 335:19	121:4 125:12	<b>Doumit</b> 69:20	<b>DRH-13X</b> 45:19
352:21 353:3	distribution 237:20	127:15 131:20	downgrade 102:24	<b>DRH-14X</b> 45:21
<b>Discounted</b> 148:10	266:4	136:15 137:2,3	115:22 118:14	<b>DRH-15X</b> 45:23
discounts 82:15	<b>diverge</b> 264:3,11	140:18 166:3	142:10 151:19	<b>DRH-1T</b> 44:22
discovery 64:6,15	diverse 325:12	196:5 203:2,10	downgraded	<b>DRH-2</b> 44:23
266:22	divided 214:9	321:9 324:6	151:17	<b>DRH-3</b> 44:24
discretionary	283:16 360:20	343:12 347:20	downside 121:9	<b>DRH-4</b> 45:3
124:15,19,24	dividend 44:17	348:4 363:14	253:8	<b>DRH-5</b> 45:4
discuss 76:24,25	61:7 84:10 111:10	documentation	downstream 204:5	<b>DRH-6X</b> 45:6
154:4 230:20	111:23 112:9,12	52:18 224:14	205:15 238:7	<b>DRH-7X</b> 45:9
352:25 362:17	112:12,15,17,18	306:18 308:6	247:5	<b>DRH-8X</b> 45:10
discussed 130:21	113:21,23 123:25	documented	downward 159:23	<b>DRH-9X</b> 45:12
142:11 155:12	142:11,12,13,13	153:24	161:2 284:5	<b>drive</b> 189:20
160:18 228:19	142:22	documents 193:13	<b>DPD-4X</b> 111:4	<b>driven</b> 85:18 189:5
321:2 345:10,14	dividend-paying	193:15 303:5	<b>DPD-4XC</b> 111:2	255:23 256:2,5
353:23	168:22	313:24	<b>DPV-1T</b> 44:12	<b>driver</b> 210:17
discussing 97:14	dividends 83:24	doing 69:13 81:8	<b>DPV-2</b> 44:14	drivers 299:3
	l		l	I

driving 222:18	285:23 314:10	effectively 90:17	<b>element</b> 154:11,13	emitting 358:2
229:21 247:23	334:12 335:3	effectiveness	<b>elicit</b> 74:10	emphasize 197:22
298:6	early 102:15 192:16	360:14,23	eligible 92:12	198:13
<b>drop</b> 368:22	217:14 239:22	effects 351:9	339:17,20,23	Empirical 39:23
<b>dropped</b> 75:7 80:16	296:13 297:20	efficiently 230:23	342:18 343:17	<b>employ</b> 151:3 264:7
214:14 282:17	322:19	<b>effort</b> 300:25	345:1 351:24	employed 195:18
dropping 99:19	earn 83:8 103:18	efforts 90:1	360:13	251:12 371:16
210:14	115:16 154:10,17	<b>EIA</b> 43:14,22 48:9	eliminate 169:5	employees 115:15
<b>Drops</b> 269:8	154:20 156:15	48:10 328:23	194:18,23 239:18	115:18
<b>drove</b> 218:11	163:23	<b>Eight</b> 147:24	239:23	<b>employer</b> 95:17,17
<b>DRs</b> 60:20,21,22,23	earned 116:9	<b>EIM</b> 286:22 296:20	eliminated 187:24	172:10 179:21
<b>dry</b> 241:13	earning 154:24	296:24	eliminates 90:18	232:4 251:11
due 92:25 93:1,5	<b>earnings</b> 40:3,10	<b>either</b> 106:18	240:3	323:11 333:19
101:20 117:7	44:15,18 84:22,24	153:19 166:9	Elizabeth 38:10	<b>ended</b> 239:16
123:4 124:13,14	125:3,6,16 126:9	194:22 196:25	45:25	ends 258:12 325:9
126:2,7 129:16	130:20 131:5	259:10 280:12	<b>EMA-16</b> 306:14	<b>energy</b> 36:2,7,8
204:2 238:17	132:4,9 170:22	356:2,24	EMA-1T 45:25	43:9,14,22 44:4
269:8 327:17	211:17 306:20	<b>ELCC</b> 329:5 331:6	EMA-2C 46:3	47:12 48:9,10,11
dues 87:14	316:12,15,19	331:10,23	EMA-3 46:4	51:25 60:13 65:3
duly 95:21 146:7	easier 165:15	Electic 60:15	EMA-446:6	65:21 70:12,14
172:2 179:10	325:13	<b>electric</b> 39:25 46:7	<b>EMA-5C</b> 46:7	82:25 84:13 85:14
231:22 251:2	<b>easing</b> 159:19	46:12,20 47:15,16	<b>EMA-6T</b> 46:8	85:17 90:9 91:24
305:25 323:3	eat 278:23	47:17,24 48:18	<b>EMA-7</b> 46:10	92:3,8,10 93:5,11
333:11	<b>EBA</b> 359:17,21	49:23 50:5,6,18	<b>EMA-8</b> 46:12	93:15,20 111:19
<b>duties</b> 114:5,5	361:5	50:20,21 51:23	email 94:7 136:5	135:3 144:4
<b>duty</b> 114:6	<b>ECAPM</b> 158:5	54:19 55:16 58:20	169:11	179:23 190:5
<b>dying</b> 234:13 248:9	160:13 167:14	58:22,23,25 59:5	embarrassed 275:2	192:16 195:16
249:8 250:2	168:19 169:1	59:17 60:7,10	embarrassingly	204:1,7 205:7
<b>dynamic</b> 206:19	170:22	61:24 62:12 64:3	86:22	253:15 256:19,20
dynamics 206:7	Ecology 52:22 58:4	66:11 68:5,7 78:3	<b>embed</b> 187:1	256:23 258:4,14
	58:5,6	129:14 175:16	embedded 191:8	259:7,22 261:16
<u>E</u>	Econometric 62:20	177:12,20 261:4	192:6 258:22	263:6 276:7 292:8
E 34:15 35:2 44:19	economic 40:23	270:15,16 292:6	embedding 185:7	294:2,21,23
49:15 60:5 61:23	55:5 62:4 101:21	300:20 342:23	emergency 69:21	297:24,25 331:16
69:6 116:13	economists 158:18	344:7,8	emerging 164:10	335:19 337:9,11
<b>E3</b> 203:1,13,24	159:3 160:19,23	electricity 204:5	emission 357:16	338:23 339:13
205:14,23 215:3	<b>economy</b> 163:24	205:3 207:8,18,20	emissions 52:18	344:25 345:25
Earle 43:13 62:15	<b>EDIT</b> 46:6	207:25 208:17	355:18,24 356:2,4	347:10 349:16
62:17 63:19	<b>edits</b> 73:25	210:13,23 212:21	356:7,8,12,13,16	351:3,14 353:2,7
earlier 93:9 118:21	<b>effect</b> 164:13	259:9 270:4	356:22,25 357:19	354:5,20 359:17
124:1 146:15	173:21 174:13	276:13 281:9,24	357:23 358:4,5,22	359:23 360:6,7,9
151:16,24 156:7	194:23 266:25	283:24 284:3,12	359:1	360:18,19,20
165:19 207:6	310:19	285:8 299:21	<b>emitter</b> 356:6,7	361:2,8,10,14
242:20 261:15	effective 129:13	electricity's 285:9	357:25 359:1	362:6 363:4
273:24 274:3	329:5 331:7	Electrification	emitters 356:1	<b>Energy's</b> 294:19
275:21 276:21	334:23 357:23	67:17	357:12	<b>engage</b> 303:14
			I	I

	1	1	1	
engagement 363:12	68:4,6,8,11 85:4	<b>ERP</b> 61:13	165:21 178:9	everybody's 284:12
<b>English</b> 364:23	124:4,7,9 146:25	<b>error</b> 90:8,13,17	259:21 261:7	284:17
367:1	151:22 154:25	104:16 114:19	263:9 301:1	everyone's 75:2
Engrossed 48:4	163:22,25 164:7	165:21 180:24	320:20,22 331:19	<b>evidence</b> 86:8 91:8
enhance 140:8	166:1,4,11,12	181:4,11,13 182:2	estimated 234:16	128:13,24 129:5
235:22	167:18 168:18	182:11,14 184:5	279:15	evidentiary 34:12
enhanced 234:11	337:6,6 363:3	184:14 185:11	estimates 136:17	69:11
enhancement 235:7	equivalent 150:2	186:7,13 187:5,25	137:1,18,20,25	<b>ex-</b> 114:14
<b>enjoy</b> 171:20	<b>ER</b> 278:21	188:4 193:1 206:5	138:5,8,13 193:19	exacerbates 153:25
<b>Enron</b> 297:25	era 86:20 267:7	215:18 219:8	259:20,21 280:5	exact 100:14
ensure 93:8 155:25	<b>Erdahl</b> 54:4 333:2	228:15,20,25	343:15	123:23 240:22
268:23	370:14	229:6,7 252:12,25	et 44:5 67:20 71:7	exactly 137:1
ensuring 337:11	<b>ERM</b> 42:14,25	253:19 254:4	122:4 153:8	212:22 318:4
<b>entered</b> 146:17	43:11 52:24 78:7	255:15,19,22	ETRM 52:4	examination 37:11
172:18 180:1	78:13 79:24,24	256:3,10,13,15	evaluate 246:3	37:13,15,18,21,23
232:6 323:16	80:8,11,19,20,21	257:7,20,25 259:1	296:25	38:3,7,9,14,16
<b>entire</b> 253:16	80:24,25 85:10,11	259:16 260:5	evaluated 201:24	74:5 95:14 118:21
320:16 330:10,14	86:1,7,9 90:19	262:5,9 263:22	evaluating 156:18	141:15 146:12
entirely 81:9	122:3 130:3 132:8	265:2 266:25	246:2	172:5 179:16
161:13 285:3	143:5,6 153:25	272:16,23 287:25	evaluation 46:18	222:4 232:1 251:8
311:23	154:3,4,4 183:11	296:6 302:12	367:4	304:1 323:9
entirety 270:2	183:16 184:6,15	343:24 344:4	<b>evening</b> 333:21	333:17
entities 105:20	184:18,23,24,25	<b>errors</b> 106:21 301:3	359:11,13 367:10	examine 89:13
356:15,20,21	185:10,12 186:1	301:17	event 119:7,7,21	338:21
358:2,14 367:18	186:15 191:6,22	escalated 222:19	120:5 121:14	example 150:5
<b>entity</b> 357:15,19	192:1,7 201:25	escalation 253:9	188:19 231:5	154:7 186:22
358:17	204:6 211:17	escapes 271:12	237:12,15,15	237:11 238:7
<b>entries</b> 193:11	212:13 216:15,22	especially 215:25	238:2,4,10,17,19	239:7,15 250:2
environment 99:12	217:8 222:9 224:6	237:12 240:12	238:21 241:5	256:18 257:18
108:7,12 118:16	224:10,15 227:14	297:4 307:16	242:23 243:1	259:5 260:8,12
142:7 227:5	227:14,25 228:5	<b>ESRI</b> 235:17	247:1 249:8,13	263:11,20 264:9
253:18	228:15,19,24	essence 72:2	250:4	266:20 284:9
Environmental	229:5,15 252:4,6	essentially 113:5	events 52:6 54:16	287:13 292:8
54:22	252:17 253:1,2,5	225:20 227:11	86:23 188:23	296:24 300:17
<b>equal</b> 110:19	253:8,20,20	229:7 248:8 249:2	189:12 191:5	308:12,20 312:25
183:12,16 324:6	254:25 255:2,6	262:23 264:6	236:24 237:1,8,10	340:17 351:3
326:10	258:20,23 267:5	267:4 304:15	237:23,24 239:4	examples 262:14
equate 331:6	267:15,19 277:17	311:18 340:12	239:13,14 240:9	263:20 265:14
<b>equates</b> 343:10	279:9 280:17	345:11	241:4,7,8,13	311:7
equation 287:16	281:12 287:9	establish 80:18	242:9,21,22 243:4	exceed 358:5
equipment 45:24	288:1 296:13	137:7,19	250:7	exceeded 187:14
239:4,13 242:12	301:15,20	established 101:23	eventually 231:15	278:18,18 314:1
<b>equitable</b> 48:21,23	ERM's 266:25	193:21 223:2	ever-increasing	exceeding 152:10
227:25	<b>erosion</b> 306:20	<b>estimate</b> 61:11,13	89:14	exceeds 316:9
<b>equity</b> 40:7,9 55:9	316:12,15,20	100:4 138:14	everybody 199:20	318:10
61:14,16 66:16	318:1	157:4 163:24	276:17 297:8	Excellent 180:10
	l	l	l	

				. 1
exception 71:16	323:15 324:1	308:7 314:12	270:2 275:23	270:5
318:12	326:4 327:2	318:3 322:21	287:20 304:25	express 283:24
<b>excerpt</b> 43:11 44:5	328:19 329:8,23	335:20	expenses 196:11	287:5,17
52:24 65:19	330:1,5,7 342:19	expectation 123:19	255:24 259:10	expressed 149:13
167:22 329:9	342:24 347:23,23	263:7 264:4	271:15 316:18	275:21 287:8
330:15	348:6,9,17 349:9	274:25 275:16	351:2	<b>extend</b> 290:15
excess 190:4,4	350:11	288:5	experience 55:3	<b>extends</b> 342:15
205:6 211:10	<b>exhibits</b> 39:2,5 40:1	expectations 84:24	261:6,23 264:11	extensive 209:25
316:12	41:1 42:1 43:1	101:8 131:5 149:3	266:3 301:2 303:6	<b>extent</b> 80:10 104:5
exchange 110:22	44:1 45:1 46:1	149:6 315:2 364:9	306:20 309:4	144:5 148:5,19
141:22 259:16	47:1 48:1 49:1	<b>expected</b> 40:3,10	316:7 326:9	191:25 256:20
334:7 353:16	50:1 51:1 52:1	127:4 129:17	experienced 85:15	257:14 278:18
exchanging 258:25	53:1 54:1 55:1	132:9 136:16	273:20	extenuating 112:19
exciting 268:20	56:1 57:1 58:1	137:4 158:21	experiencing	133:19 263:19
Excluding 64:8	59:1 60:1 61:1	159:1,4 161:19,23	270:21 342:7	external 243:7
exclusive 336:18	62:1 63:1 64:1	162:9 169:2,16	expert 115:8	<b>extra</b> 127:8
<b>excuse</b> 78:4 117:9	65:1 66:1 67:1	170:13,22 258:2	136:24 154:4	extract 84:20
147:10 163:6	68:1 71:3,6,6,15	262:10 263:15	296:20 352:22	extracted 83:25
254:15 260:3	72:21,21 73:4,19	264:2,11 271:21	358:7	84:17
280:9 288:22	75:13,14,15,16,19	275:5 287:23	expertise 132:24	extrapolate 340:13
297:13 319:1	75:21,23 96:4,5	316:17 328:21	154:3	extreme 54:16
329:4	110:20,23 135:24	expecting 159:5	<b>experts</b> 133:3 242:5	268:13
excused 171:5	135:25 146:15,16	<b>expects</b> 331:14	expired 117:16	
230:15 370:10	147:19,20 167:15	expended 278:3	<b>Expires</b> 371:23,24	<u>F</u>
<b>executive</b> 87:13,18	167:22 168:7	expending 316:9	<b>explain</b> 237:25	<b>faced</b> 97:15 303:9
87:23 115:1,5,6,9	169:8 203:4 232:7	expenditure 193:4	312:22 324:25	facets 275:11
<b>Exh</b> 41:3,6,8,11,13	232:22,22 251:14	260:11	explained 161:16	<b>facilities</b> 225:6
43:8,12 44:3 53:9	282:16 293:8	expenditures 49:5	297:11	249:13 250:9
53:14 56:21 58:7	302:10,25 303:1	152:10 256:16	explaining 152:7	257:19
<b>exhibit</b> 39:1 49:7	exist 192:21 264:3	301:2 306:17,19	298:11 312:20	<b>facility</b> 175:19 347:21
71:15,16 72:19	existing 51:4	expense 46:7,10	explains 148:20	
73:9,11 74:5,15	121:19,22 207:17	50:15 79:19 81:6	319:2	facing 274:22 275:9
82:9 85:23,24	237:3,5	137:4 181:18,23	explanation 88:19	<b>fact</b> 84:21 85:4 91:12 127:17
96:19 111:1,1	exists 91:11 285:23	182:19 183:8,9	explicitly 77:4	130:5 139:17
113:15 115:12	<b>expand</b> 367:16	184:18 185:4,17	122:10 140:12	155:11 158:24
125:4 130:18	368:3	186:19 189:15,18	<b>exploring</b> 233:23	174:23 185:24
136:4,13 137:23	expanding 94:3	189:21 190:12	245:24	225:19 266:21
139:4,21,23	123:20	199:12,15 202:6	exponentially 85:8	267:22 268:14
162:11,12 163:7	<b>expands</b> 166:6	209:3 212:25	export 194:2,24	269:20 295:25
168:21 169:12,15	357:3	215:21 223:25	<b>exporting</b> 194:19	298:17 307:15
169:25 176:18	expansion 89:4	224:8 228:2 233:4	<b>exposed</b> 268:12	308:3 309:8 351:8
180:11,15 202:12	expect 119:8,13	233:13 254:13	285:3	351:10,12 355:17
203:16 228:23	162:8 207:24	255:19 256:5	<b>exposure</b> 81:3	factor 58:23 59:9
276:19,24 290:14	260:22 261:12	257:12 258:13,20 259:6 260:18	154:11 155:20 204:16 206:18	86:15 98:24,25
292:10,16,23 321:4 322:10,12	271:18 275:5			99:1 118:20 142:8
321.4 322:10,12	287:14 290:12	261:12 264:22	228:4 269:14	>>.1 110.20 1 12.0
L	ı	ı	1	1

				. r ago oo r
152:22 153:13	158:6,8 164:17	357:20	<b>Finally</b> 91:18 93:17	<b>firm</b> 146:24
175:15 205:11	169:19,21,22	<b>FFO</b> 117:19,19	financial 45:20	<b>firms</b> 149:3 170:7
206:7 212:16	329:5	120:22 143:2	84:20 85:2 96:2	<b>first</b> 36:9 52:9 71:2
factoring 223:4	<b>families</b> 82:22,24	<b>FFO-to-date</b> 121:4	97:1,3 104:8,18	77:20 79:23 87:13
factors 92:11 93:13	83:5 84:1,6,21	fiberglass 236:4	112:10,14 116:2,5	90:18 92:10,24,25
93:16 101:21	353:1	237:13 239:15,17	117:6 131:3,16,17	93:18 95:7 107:23
108:13 118:5,13	<b>family</b> 69:21 83:10	239:20 240:3	131:17 140:5	128:16 130:25
126:7 133:15	83:10 347:2	245:23	146:23 148:14,18	131:1,1 135:23
134:8 142:17	<b>far</b> 72:24 120:6	fiduciary 114:6	149:13,18,24	147:7,18 169:14
143:25 144:6	129:7 167:4 288:7	<b>field</b> 237:14	151:2,3,15 153:5	170:9 175:22
152:9 191:4 192:1	299:15 308:24	<b>fields</b> 237:3	155:23 156:8,14	188:13 206:7
201:15,17,21,23	<b>Farm</b> 264:10	<b>Fifth</b> 35:17	163:24 164:12	219:6 222:1
202:2 206:4	farther 174:24	<b>figure</b> 135:2 189:2	240:6 293:23	229:12 231:6,6
210:19 243:7	<b>fast</b> 166:9	259:24 268:17,21	294:3,13,24	233:1 245:16
346:2	<b>favor</b> 198:18	269:1 318:14	371:17	248:17 262:8
facts 79:22	favorable 291:21	348:13	financially 150:25	288:5 299:6,11
failures 242:12	303:7	<b>file</b> 58:9,10 75:19	151:9 304:21	312:5 315:2
fair 89:12 98:4,14	favorite 125:11	75:22,25 95:3	financing 151:21	326:16 327:5
102:17 103:18	<b>fear</b> 86:16	107:19 307:15,16	<b>FINCAP</b> 146:23	328:4 334:22
106:3 107:5,16	feature 200:2	307:21 309:21	<b>find</b> 81:2,3 111:6	340:12 341:3
109:4 114:21	Feb 41:4 48:9	312:17 322:16	162:11 164:25	342:11 370:18
115:24 120:9	February 84:7	330:20	191:16 215:1	<b>five</b> 90:7 187:4
121:2,23 125:14	111:8 114:17	<b>filed</b> 96:4 107:23	220:19 252:20	196:13 223:20,22
128:8 134:14	<b>Fed</b> 101:2,12 159:9	161:4 173:6	294:10 315:16,23	263:24 265:15
135:3 143:1 150:1	159:16,18,23	183:25 310:25	351:20	276:4 295:14,22
151:4 154:10,16	160:21 161:2	313:8 314:21	<b>finding</b> 106:21	five-year 162:9
156:15 159:13	<b>federal</b> 40:22 62:4	321:4 322:10	208:15 226:24	221:19 262:17
163:1 184:12	97:24 98:6,11,19	335:3 363:15	247:20 309:17	265:20 295:21
194:14 201:5,6	98:22 100:19	<b>filing</b> 78:23,24 79:5	<b>fine</b> 72:4 176:2	<b>fix</b> 223:14
223:7 255:14	101:14,14,18	106:20 107:19,23	295:10	<b>fixed</b> 256:22
263:16 282:9	159:14,18 260:9	108:10 110:13	finer 327:24	flag 71:14 76:4,7
294:18 321:8	339:25 346:19	116:20 133:7,8,18		Flames 54:21
354:22	feel 143:24 144:18	152:13 168:12	286:8 320:11,16	<b>flat</b> 57:17 159:5
fairly 102:20 168:3	181:21 202:5	183:11 198:22	321:15	277:14
282:24 283:16	229:12 265:16	304:11,11,15	finished 286:10	flaw 227:3
304:3	309:20 319:17	322:6 334:25	289:7 295:1	fleet 79:19 132:19
<b>fall</b> 102:15 103:5	feels 91:22 243:22	335:11 341:12	fire 235:4,6 236:5,7	132:20 207:11
110:12 160:11	fees 138:19,22	342:22 344:3	237:11 240:11,13	210:15 214:5
211:19 317:21	335:18	345:10,15 354:4	240:14,16 241:9	281:14
<b>fall-ins</b> 239:3,13	feet 207:11 218:8	<b>filings</b> 79:11 106:6	247:24 248:3,22	fleshed 90:14
242:16	238:9 Fall 210:14:20:22	107:5,9 122:6	248:24 249:1,6,13	flexibility 88:16
<b>falling</b> 144:10	<b>fell</b> 210:14,20,23	134:7 260:8 287:9	259:8	197:2 306:16,25
160:16 204:10	211:5,9	<b>final</b> 52:3 61:10,15	fire-related 237:8	313:1
205:20 249:24	<b>felt</b> 223:24	72:18 314:2	fires 239:3,7,12,18	floor 121:15
falls 159:16	<b>fewer</b> 85:17 107:3	337:14	239:23 240:3	Flotation 40:4
familiar 119:18	239:12,13,13,14	finalized 310:24	242:19	flow 79:23 102:16
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	1

		Ī	Ī	i
102:17,21 103:4	214:21 216:3,12	forma 42:10,11,19	<b>fourth</b> 124:9	fundamentally
117:7,20 118:17	219:8 228:15,19	42:21 49:23,25	<b>FPL</b> 346:21	269:19
124:15,19 141:4	228:25 229:6,7,17	180:24 181:5,9	fracking 269:8	fundamentals
144:1,4,9 148:10	252:12,25 253:6	189:7 200:2	fragment 103:14	206:7,15 267:9
165:12	253:19 254:4,12	224:23 225:3	frame 102:20	268:5 269:7
flows 258:3	255:15,19,22	272:3	263:25 297:23	286:21
fluctuations 204:2	256:3,10,13,15	<b>format</b> 71:25 362:4	335:9 341:22	<b>funding</b> 117:25
<b>focus</b> 106:23 140:9	257:7,20,25	<b>forming</b> 225:20	360:2	137:5,8 152:9,19
167:17 243:4	258:13,25 259:4	Forms 46:4	framing 76:21	156:3 170:23
330:16	259:16 260:5	<b>formula</b> 285:13	Francisco 36:5	341:10,12 345:12
focused 129:2	262:5,9 265:1	Forsyth 46:14	free 144:18	<b>funds</b> 120:22
234:12 237:5	266:24 272:4,22	<b>forth</b> 48:22 371:9	freezing 82:16	159:14,18,23
<b>focuses</b> 337:10	278:19 279:8,12	Fortnightly 40:20	frequent 247:23	160:21 161:2
focusing 240:13	283:7 287:12,20	<b>forum</b> 231:11	<b>fret</b> 332:19	further 123:6
358:17,18	287:24 288:23	235:14	Freyer 82:10 86:11	145:12 151:19
<b>folks</b> 269:1 307:11	290:2,21 296:6	forward 77:11	Friday 94:8	152:15 221:23
<b>follow</b> 97:3 100:22	299:13 300:11,12	81:23 94:2 103:8	<b>front</b> 80:23 110:25	244:5 333:24
176:8 322:18	302:12 303:11	130:14 132:6,16	116:15 117:1	340:13 354:25
<b>follow-on</b> 303:22	332:3 342:17	133:1 135:1 137:6	125:10 136:6	359:2 367:6 368:4
<b>follow-up</b> 72:1	forecasted 158:4,7	158:22 160:25	162:15 180:12	368:8 371:12
110:10 247:16	198:14 261:22	182:17 201:11	252:2 269:16	<b>fuse</b> 238:7,8,9
272:10 367:9	270:24 293:24	206:1 207:3	281:5 313:7	<b>future</b> 89:16 129:7
<b>follow-ups</b> 263:13	forecaster 220:14	208:19,23 209:2,4	329:15 335:11	130:14 149:2
followed 321:5	221:1	212:3 222:18	347:20 349:11	151:20 158:12
following 79:22	forecasting 159:22	225:7 235:22	354:4	160:11,13,14,17
171:10	196:15 197:4	238:15 246:2	<b>fuel</b> 42:13,23 250:5	181:20 184:17
<b>food</b> 82:25 351:2	200:8 202:21	260:25 261:4	360:11	191:10 193:5
foolish 256:8	208:4,18 262:23	270:13,16 283:17	fuels 235:11 250:6	205:22 208:5,16
<b>forced</b> 262:16,18	274:24 290:25	283:21 284:16,25	357:10	212:15,19,20
forecast 45:11	302:20	289:2 290:1,6	<b>full</b> 84:2 117:4	216:16 218:7
80:13 90:8,13,17	forecasts 85:13	291:20,22 292:1	132:10 163:21	241:7 262:18
104:16 124:20	160:19,20 161:18	300:9,14 303:15	174:13 200:1	263:2,8 264:18,22
129:17 131:17	184:17 196:21	319:9	222:15 242:19	264:25 265:6
137:10,16 160:24	202:17 205:11	forwards 229:22	244:19 264:4	268:17 281:14,21
161:22 180:24	208:19 226:6	247:13 284:19	282:24 322:13	285:12 289:24
181:4,11,13,19	254:14 281:21	299:19,23,24	326:6 344:24	290:25 295:23
182:2,11 183:8	298:3,22	300:4,6	354:23	296:8 300:9
184:14 185:11	<b>foregoing</b> 371:8,12	<b>found</b> 234:15	<b>fully</b> 87:3	315:21 328:21
186:2,7,13 187:5	foremost 92:24	247:21	<b>function</b> 80:21,22	345:9 357:10
188:4 189:15	<b>forget</b> 141:3	foundation 77:1	<b>fund</b> 76:6 101:14	368:5
193:1 198:21	forgetting 366:6	four 83:11 86:16	127:18 128:17	<b>futures</b> 43:13 57:23
199:18 200:15	<b>forgotten</b> 295:8	140:7 236:1	334:15,18 335:6	57:24 300:6
202:3 206:5 208:7	<b>form</b> 108:18 134:17	242:10 249:7	335:22	G
208:20,22 209:20	141:24 215:12	285:5 295:15	fundamental 144:7	<b>G</b> 43:12 53:9 63:24
210:13,21 211:18	258:23 259:6	312:5 340:13	283:10 297:23	183:24
213:21,23 214:2	307:2 367:23	347:2	298:1	103.44
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I

<b>gaining</b> 246:20	gases 300:19	give 89:15 136:9	275:16	300:13 302:9,17
gains 290:6,20	<b>Gather</b> 307:13	158:24 215:23	<b>goals</b> 153:12	303:15 307:22
gap 211:4,6	<b>gauging</b> 100:13	220:2 306:8,9	goes 103:13 150:23	308:1,25 310:9
Garbarino 37:17	Gaurang 43:19	361:6 368:22	205:2 206:3	311:2 314:23
50:17 171:14,15	<b>GDF-1T</b> 46:14	given 74:22 108:16	220:22 227:7	316:19 318:1
171:18,20,23	<b>GDP</b> 148:13,23	130:11,13 170:16	240:14 261:11	319:12 320:22
172:7,11,15 173:3	149:1,4,7,8	230:22 262:14	285:22 309:1	321:9,25 322:8
Garrett 61:3,21,23	<b>gears</b> 138:18	356:20 358:19	Goetz 36:8 70:16	329:1,2 331:14
62:11 87:16,25	<b>Gehrke</b> 65:5,8,10	<b>giving</b> 82:6 312:19	70:17 93:24 94:7	337:10 348:17,18
115:8 164:21	65:18	<b>glad</b> 145:11 158:16	94:15	348:21 349:11
Garrett's 87:19	<b>Gehrke's</b> 93:20	Glenrose 47:9	going 72:22 76:23	352:5 357:14
115:13	general 35:12 63:17	<b>Global</b> 43:20 54:15	82:18 85:21 86:24	364:18 365:1
gas 43:13,15,21,23	159:20 166:23	<b>Gloria</b> 36:12 70:19	87:7,10 98:2	<b>Golo</b> 59:20
46:7,12,17,21	184:21 208:9	gloria.smith@sie	102:7,18 103:5	Gomez 196:7,9,10
47:18,20,21 48:3	243:24 244:3,20	36:15	106:25 118:23	Gomez's 197:8,9
49:25 50:5,8 52:7	252:3 267:8	<b>go</b> 70:3 71:13 73:19	120:3 122:1,2,14	<b>good</b> 70:4,7,10,13
59:7,8,10,11,18	304:11 316:11	94:6 95:9,25	123:1,13,24	70:16,22,24 71:8
60:8,12,16 62:3	General's 35:17	102:25 117:3	124:19 128:14,24	74:12 92:1 93:24
62:14 64:4 67:5	generally 91:19	120:9 121:16	129:5 130:5,14	96:15,16 101:24
68:9,11,12 82:17	98:18 101:24	127:1 131:19	138:17 140:25	103:12 110:8,9
153:15 204:3,4,8	136:23 148:18	137:6 143:22	143:21 154:23	114:9 119:14
204:10,16,21,22	150:12 157:25	146:17 147:6,11	157:8 158:22,25	135:21,22 143:24
205:2,10,18,19,23	165:25 166:10,13	152:5 166:5,7	160:25 162:7	145:2 167:11
207:7,15,21	188:11 204:8,10	176:18,19 177:3	165:18,20 166:7	171:11 172:17
208:16 210:11,14	205:18,20 212:5	209:1 218:14	171:9 178:21	173:3,4 178:15
210:20 211:1,12	224:20 264:12	223:18 229:25	192:5,11,21	180:8 189:2
211:23 212:7,14	265:1 266:6 284:1	231:20 247:7	193:14 197:2	193:22 195:9,10
212:19,20 258:15	303:4 331:10	249:14 255:7	206:19,22 208:5	218:25 219:1,2
259:8 261:4 269:8	generate 264:2	258:20 271:15	211:19,24 212:1,5	222:20 228:11,12
270:4,17,25	294:4,13	278:16,17,21	215:24 216:18,22	229:23 231:17
271:21 281:8,22	generating 117:23	282:12,15 284:23	219:2 230:2,24	245:5,6 247:17,18
281:24 282:12,16	225:6 299:21	286:9 289:14	231:15 233:20	251:23,24 259:20
282:19,24,25	generation 39:10	293:16 295:11	237:24 238:1	260:2,23 261:24
283:8,16,25 284:4	42:13,23 117:7	296:3,5,6 297:2	239:24 241:7,10	263:20 269:3
284:7,11,24 285:8	132:19 153:14	299:15,17 308:13	241:18,20 244:12	295:8,9 300:13
286:18 291:9,10	206:20,23 207:14	308:18,18 320:10	246:2,3,9 247:6	302:6,7,8 305:19
298:7,13,22	207:15 263:11	321:14 322:15,20	247:13 248:18	305:20 306:11
299:20 300:19	265:18,19 275:25	329:13,24 330:5	249:6,14 250:17	307:4 312:15
344:9,11 355:17 355:19,24 358:21	294:11	330:13 348:16 354:15 356:5	254:24 256:8,14 257:1 258:13	323:23,24 333:21 337:5 353:13,14
358:22 359:1	get-go 271:17	362:3 368:12	262:19 263:9	355:8,9 359:10,11
gas's 205:15	<b>getting</b> 136:14 138:15 202:10	370:17,22	266:13 267:1	367:10,10
gas \$ 203:13 gas-fired 269:21,22	266:2 267:19	goal 93:8 149:16	268:17,25 271:2	Gotta 262:2
294:11,16	270:1 273:24,25	153:10 189:9	274:22 276:16	Gotta 202:2 Governance 42:4
gas-generated	270.1 273.24,23	234:22 241:22	274.22 276.16 279:9 280:25	governing 325:25
205:1	<b>GIS</b> 238:19	242:20 274:24	282:25 283:3,7,19	government 260:9
203.1	<b>G1</b> 0 230.17	272.20 217.27	202.23 203.3,1,19	50 ver innent 200.9
	•	•	•	•

G 40.00		1	ļ. , , , , , , -	1212112
Governors 40:22	<b>grow</b> 85:7	171:23 179:9	headed 362:7	136:21 137:7
grant 42:5,6 46:14	<b>grow-ins</b> 239:3	193:3 250:25	heads 199:7	140:16 208:1
80:25	242:16	305:24 323:1	headwinds 97:15	212:14,16 215:20
<b>granted</b> 37:8,8,9	<b>growing</b> 351:17	329:9 333:10	104:18	255:10 274:8
76:15 95:5 322:17	<b>grown</b> 83:20	371:19	health 66:12 84:20	332:22 352:6,7,8
322:22	<b>growth</b> 39:21 61:8	<b>handle</b> 78:20	<b>healthy</b> 103:19	helped 210:10
Grants 42:3	84:19,25 140:9,10	hang 211:2 292:25	250:1	<b>helpful</b> 250:12
granular 360:22	142:13 148:13	337:25	hear 80:8 81:1	<b>helping</b> 142:23
<b>graph</b> 331:18	149:1,6,8 342:6	<b>happen</b> 144:24	82:13,21 83:1,19	344:25 363:11
grateful 354:8	guarantee 257:13	161:20 162:2	83:23 84:19 85:9	<b>helps</b> 111:6 180:15
<b>Gray</b> 247:24	257:23	260:21 275:5	85:11,15 86:2,14	226:19 272:15
248:22,24 249:1	guards 245:24	279:11 312:6	86:18,21 146:3	330:24
249:13	guess 107:7,17	318:11 357:1	147:16 167:11	Henry 43:22
<b>GRC</b> 67:16 109:21	108:15 119:17	happened 101:1	175:7 271:5,10	hereunto 371:19
133:17 183:25	136:15 138:10	108:3 161:3 162:1	280:17 354:10,19	hesitate 254:11
235:2 314:2	147:6 174:14	186:18 187:18	heard 89:25 151:15	<b>Hey</b> 317:4
<b>GRCs</b> 107:1,23	176:17 188:13	190:17 231:9	178:9 236:25	<b>high</b> 86:3 98:15,15
great 79:18 80:8	191:13 192:25	297:17 299:25	263:14 266:3	99:13 101:7 150:6
84:14 111:22	245:8 255:5 257:3	309:25 315:13,16	288:9 369:1	166:13,14 192:2
163:18 172:9	258:6 259:24	happening 222:16	hearing 34:12	205:11 212:6,7
261:5 285:6	262:7 267:17	222:24 277:24	69:11 71:2 76:4	240:15 249:7
greater 81:3,4	272:6,10 277:5	281:23 282:2	76:14 79:15 178:4	280:3 282:9
113:14 155:19	296:9 325:12,15	336:2	178:10 231:15	283:24 288:20
175:15 228:4	325:19 327:24	<b>happens</b> 159:16	295:13 321:2	289:1,4 291:20
234:25 246:13	369:19	229:16 298:14	369:22	292:2,5 302:11
greatest 84:15	<b>guidance</b> 126:9,11	308:11	heart 77:2	360:6,9
greatly 190:7	129:12 132:4	<b>happy</b> 82:2 231:17	heat 204:18,20	high-inflationary
greenhouse 355:23	354:10	Harbor 35:22	207:3,3 211:3,4	108:6,12
<b>grid</b> 42:3 233:19	<b>guide</b> 149:2	hard 122:19 129:7	213:2,11,24	high-level 130:8
240:2 244:6,7,16	guidelines 139:6,9	345:8	237:15,24 238:17	higher 84:22 85:5
245:22 246:12,24	140:4,12	<b>harden</b> 86:19	241:8 281:4,4,7	86:6 87:23 129:18
grid-hardening	<b>gusty</b> 243:2	243:21	283:13,15 288:3,3	129:24,25 154:19
235:8 244:11	<b>guys</b> 178:17	hardened 236:9	295:23,23 298:2,5	158:2 160:7 161:9
gross 294:21		239:12 243:19	300:17,18	164:1,11 166:14
<b>ground</b> 242:24	<u>H</u>	hardening 233:19	<b>Heather</b> 113:20	166:15,18,19
295:12	<b>H</b> 40:23	236:2 239:12	<b>heating</b> 82:16,16	177:21 187:18
<b>group</b> 39:17,20,21	half 83:16 100:17	240:2 244:5,6,7	hedge 85:16 291:9	210:10 243:3
40:5 61:5,17 65:3	111:12 160:8	244:16 245:22	293:23 294:3,6,10	248:18 265:22
83:13 346:1	171:12 228:3	246:12,24	294:13	279:2,10 293:24
353:23 354:6,11	238:10 365:2,18	<b>harmed</b> 196:16,22	hedges 294:8	313:12 314:21
354:15 363:3,5,15	365:18	<b>Hayes</b> 36:4	<b>hedging</b> 79:20,21	315:17 342:13
363:18	halfway 132:5	head 78:11 100:5	80:2 284:24 291:2	350:19 351:1,2,3
<b>groups</b> 48:24	Hall 54:21	100:15 112:23	291:3 294:19	highest 83:12
235:14 362:10,13	Hamada 61:20	113:9 133:10	held 202:16 336:19	highlighted 140:7
362:18,23,25	hammered 83:3	236:12 294:24	<b>help</b> 83:15 91:9	253:16
364:6,12 366:11	hand 95:20 124:16	305:18 346:22	93:14 106:12	highlights 100:23
			<u> </u>	l

1.1.200.25	222 2 222 7 10	267.24.202.2.5	1. 1. 105.10	
highs 289:25	322:3 323:7,19	267:24 283:3,5	imbalanced 85:18	importance 88:25
Hillstead 58:18	330:8 353:9	hydro-based	immediately 249:4	143:5
59:16 370:15	354:25 369:9	256:21	impact 68:10 77:13	<b>important</b> 80:17,18
hindsight 256:2	honoring 140:15	hydroelectric	78:3 92:4,18	88:22 90:6 114:7
Historic 40:12	hope 79:14 81:18	153:14 257:19	99:15,16 110:11	137:11 142:15
historical 130:3	219:2 261:25	<b>Hydzik</b> 50:22	123:17 129:18,23	166:24 218:6
158:20 164:2	264:24 276:17	hypothetical 104:2	130:7 132:8 142:6	222:13 229:12
215:25 216:5	293:12 316:4	hypothetically	151:14 166:7	254:6 260:20
261:8 266:21	351:20 369:22	103:21 104:23	190:20 200:9	263:17 269:10
289:23 290:23	<b>hoping</b> 193:22	105:5 269:5	204:5 205:16	298:4 312:25
295:16 297:3	370:21	т	227:17 248:14,25	importantly 235:8
300:18 301:2	<b>horizon</b> 206:25	<u>I</u>	249:23 275:14	impossible 165:22
historically 155:5	<b>hour</b> 171:12 178:18	ICE 57:23	276:12 291:3	improved 301:18
246:11 248:11	178:22,24 253:14	<b>Idaho</b> 194:3,7,9,21	314:25 328:3,6,14	316:10
284:23 327:18	284:3 370:21	idea 204:25 212:3	337:7 339:7	improvement
<b>history</b> 55:6 84:15	hourly 115:15,17	262:14 358:16	350:17 352:16,18	110:18 126:23
92:7,10 93:10	hours 214:9 264:1	identical 349:13	352:20	improves 212:10
248:11 254:8	household 60:14	identification	impacted 248:12	improving 202:16
290:13 291:1,1	345:22 346:22	72:20 96:6	249:1	290:21
292:3	households 83:7	identified 243:17	impacts 66:12	<b>impute</b> 194:18,22
hit 315:4 351:22,23	338:22 339:2,4,21	263:22	82:21 240:17	inability 124:9
<b>hitting</b> 261:18	339:23 349:23	<b>identifier</b> 73:10,13	338:23,24	230:4
353:20	350:18,19,23	73:21	imperative 155:25	incented 88:8
<b>Hmm</b> 98:3	351:1	identifies 326:1	implement 91:5	incentive 80:5
hold 139:13 178:20	housekeeping 95:2	identify 210:7	334:16,21 335:14	incentives 79:25
226:14 276:19	322:1 369:7	267:4 347:12	336:12,21	88:5,9
286:9	<b>housing</b> 235:11	360:3	implemental	incentivize 216:23
holders 85:4	Howell 38:2 44:22	identifying 77:17	334:21	incentivizing
holding 90:25	230:25 232:3,5,17	90:15 287:3	implementation	227:18
holds 139:18	234:24 245:5	<b>IEP</b> 52:6	52:4,19 122:11	inception 79:24
home 82:17	<b>Hub</b> 43:22	<b>Ignacio</b> 43:19	236:1 306:21	incidentally 148:1
homes 249:1	huge 159:5 271:13	ignition 86:22	361:14	206:12
honest 287:22	271:21,22 282:6	236:24 237:1,22	implemented 117:8	include 75:14
honestly 299:17	284:7 318:6	245:18 246:19	304:10 340:11	103:23 104:17
321:10	hugely 255:3	ignitions 245:9	implementing	138:21 153:24
honor 69:17 70:8	hundred 113:10	<b>ignore</b> 86:4 96:22	149:5 241:24	177:23 239:2
70:10,22 71:10,21	hundreds 87:3,8	ignoring 290:25	344:24	267:18 297:19
72:12 76:3,17	270:6,7 301:6	<b>II</b> 34:15 35:2 69:6	<b>implied</b> 40:7,9	307:22 312:20
82:6 89:23 92:1	317:2	<b>III</b> 34:12	61:13 204:18,20	314:17 335:2
93:25 94:17 95:10	hydro 52:15 153:13	illustrate 89:9	207:3 213:1,11,24	included 127:8
110:4 114:8 132:1	210:17 211:8,9,15	255:10	214:18 281:4,7	170:8 196:12
135:8,17 140:23	211:22,23 212:2	illustrates 240:1	283:12,14 288:3	197:4 199:16
143:8,10 144:12	212:10 216:3	illustration 43:11	298:2,5 300:17,18	219:11,12 220:3,4
146:10 147:7	225:5 258:3 259:5	52:24 213:4,11	imply 290:8	220:11,12 233:4
171:6 179:6 195:5	260:21,22,24	217:6 290:13	imply 250.6 implying 279:23	262:10 293:8
218:21 232:12	262:20 265:2,18	imagine 224:12	280:1	302:25 304:23
210.21 232.12	202.20 203.2,10		200.1	302.23 304.23
	•	•	•	•

			_	
314:18 315:8	173:20 174:13	109:20 190:2	inflationary 99:12	inspection 248:8,10
317:25 348:6	220:19 221:1,7	207:6 232:10	314:24 315:3	248:16,20
363:25	233:16,17 234:3,8	242:20 249:16	317:10	inspections 234:14
includes 131:18	247:24 270:22,22	328:24 337:1	influence 243:7	247:23
138:24 236:3,4	293:21 315:8	341:11	<b>inform</b> 93:5 138:2	install 239:17
292:8 347:7	324:7 326:6,8	indicates 132:6	170:23	installation 246:10
360:15	328:4,10,16,17	indication 189:20	information 43:14	installing 247:6
including 146:16	329:1,2 338:24	283:5	43:22 62:20 116:1	instance 125:22
155:24 254:9	340:15 343:17,18	indicator 103:12	116:7 120:8,14	176:12
315:4 319:18	347:18 363:21	261:5	125:13 136:14	instances 150:10
346:2 352:3 362:2	increased 84:10	indicators 55:5,16	148:12 150:12	insulation 239:19
364:1	114:24 152:18	55:20 361:15	155:9 159:7	insurance 46:3,7
<b>inclusion</b> 220:8,10	162:10 190:7	indifferent 108:9	169:19,22 180:13	54:18,18 87:15
220:22 252:12	207:11,14,16	individual 88:12	212:4 213:14	integrate 200:3
306:23	233:22 291:25	149:3 287:1	224:2,5 227:7	integrated 48:18
income 48:11 60:14	347:17	346:18,24 355:25	263:2,25 266:21	51:23 216:25
89:16 339:24	increases 44:16	356:6	309:7,11,14,21	217:2 329:10
345:3,17,20,21	47:15,16,17,18,20	individualized	318:19 345:22	integrity 155:23
346:3,13 350:18	47:21,24 48:3	88:12,15,17,19	informed 247:9	156:9,14
350:19,23 351:1	82:19 89:19	individually 74:21	<b>informs</b> 354:17	Intelligence 43:20
353:23 360:21	111:23 117:8,9	individuals 83:4	infrastructure	intend 74:9
362:2	151:20 234:18	266:1 337:11	156:3 249:24	<b>intended</b> 80:21,22
incomes 92:19	314:24 318:6	346:20	inherent 196:14	233:2
inconsistent 148:11	339:7 351:7	Indo-European	227:2,2	<b>intent</b> 80:7 185:18
161:16	352:16,19	365:19	<b>initial</b> 133:7,8,18	189:11 223:10
incorporate 161:1	increasing 89:10	industries 357:8	134:6 148:3	intention 238:15
161:24	112:9 289:2	industry 61:18	150:16 152:5	351:13
incorporated 225:3	increasingly 89:17	87:13 152:21	161:13 168:12	intentional 196:14
incorporating	incremental 130:10	237:12 268:21	241:16 243:9	intentions 107:18
194:1	328:3,6 334:16	360:10	315:2 324:7 339:9	inter-class 91:10
incorrect 343:3	335:1,4,10,14	inefficiency 155:2	initially 107:20	interact 143:23
344:2	336:12	inevitably 345:2	initiated 235:15	interaction 143:16
incorrectly 293:10	incur 257:5 258:18	inference 63:17	248:15	Interconnect 276:3
293:13	incurred 193:4	infestation 248:12	initiation 243:8	interest 40:11,13
increase 58:20 59:7	259:11 278:4,24	248:21	<b>input</b> 181:21 182:9	72:10 82:1 88:7
64:19 68:10 76:5	279:17 301:16	<b>inflation</b> 62:5 83:2	182:12 209:7	93:25 94:19,24
83:22 85:10 89:6	315:17 316:1	83:4 84:16 97:9	363:18	97:25 98:7,15,16
90:18 91:6,9,15	incurring 190:22	97:18 98:12,15,16	<b>inputs</b> 137:9	98:20,23 99:7
91:16 97:25 98:7	incurs 104:6	98:19,22 99:2,8,9	182:24 189:17,18	101:13,18 153:7
112:15 113:21	independent	99:13,15,16,19,20	189:19 202:9	158:10,11,19,22
114:21,23,25	158:18 160:18	99:21 100:1,2,8,8	208:25 209:16	159:4,9,11,20
115:4 119:10	Index 37:1 38:1	100:12,13,14,17	226:4 227:6 362:3	161:20,22 162:1,3
122:11 124:1	39:1 61:6	101:20 108:2,17	insecurity 92:8,10	162:6 165:5,11,23
127:11 129:15	indicate 94:10	110:17 112:3	93:11,15	166:2,4,5,8,9
130:6 134:11	199:25 341:9	165:9,12,15	inside 144:6	300:20 320:13
162:9 165:24	indicated 88:1	350:18,22,25	Insights 43:20	371:17
		I	I	I

interested 132:3,25	109:9 150:2	225:19	<b>JDD-1T</b> 46:22	<b>JDW-22C</b> 57:15
197:17 231:7,11	166:13 243:12	issues 75:5,11	<b>JDD-2</b> 46:23	<b>JDW-23</b> 57:17
232:24 293:20	311:18 314:10,23	76:21,23,25,25	<b>JDD-3T</b> 46:24	JDW-24TCr 57:18
325:19	315:1 316:10,23	77:15,17,17 78:12	<b>JDD-4C</b> 47:3	<b>JDW-25</b> 57:20
interesting 171:7	317:11 318:23	78:14 87:4 90:1,3	<b>JDD-5</b> 47:4	<b>JDW-26</b> 57:21
interests 84:9 140:5	investor 68:11	90:5,7 94:20	<b>JDD-6</b> 47:6	<b>JDW-27</b> 57:23
140:13,13 200:1	148:14,20	103:4 106:21	<b>JDD-7</b> 47:8	<b>JDW-28C</b> 58:3
240:7	investor-owned	154:6 156:16	<b>JDD-8</b> 47:9	<b>JDW-29</b> 58:4
<b>interim</b> 304:25	105:22	317:13	<b>JDD-9</b> 47:11	<b>JDW-30</b> 58:5
internally 241:20	investors 84:8	<b>issuing</b> 128:18	<b>JDM-10</b> 48:3	<b>JDW-31</b> 58:6
242:4 261:2	142:17 148:12,23	it'll 332:20	<b>JDM-11X</b> 48:4	<b>JDW-32C</b> 58:7
interpret 272:24	149:4,7,9 150:1	items 210:8	<b>JDM-12X</b> 48:6	<b>JDW-33</b> 58:9
interpretation	151:18 153:4	<b>IVR</b> 364:3	<b>JDM-13X</b> 48:7	<b>JDW-34</b> 58:10
353:24 354:1	155:15 159:1		<b>JDM-14X</b> 48:9	<b>JDW-35C</b> 58:12
interrupt 239:24	161:19 162:8	J	<b>JDM-15X</b> 48:10	<b>JDW-36X</b> 58:13
299:5	investors' 151:5	<b>J</b> 35:7 52:25 56:21	<b>JDM-16X</b> 48:11	<b>JDW-37X</b> 58:15
interrupters 240:21	invoices 224:12	<b>JAD-10</b> 67:15	<b>JDM-17X</b> 48:12	<b>JDW-38X</b> 58:17
Interruption	<b>involve</b> 325:11	<b>JAD-11</b> 67:16	<b>JDM-18X</b> 48:13	<b>JDW-3C</b> 56:5
171:24	involved 133:15	<b>JAD-12T</b> 67:18	<b>JDM-19X</b> 48:16	<b>JDW-4</b> 56:7
intervener 87:4	255:13 257:21	<b>JAD-13</b> 67:20	<b>JDM-1T</b> 47:14	<b>JDW-5</b> 56:9
107:7	260:7 317:16	<b>JAD-1T</b> 66:24	<b>JDM-2</b> 47:15	<b>JDW-6</b> 56:10
interveners 302:14	involves 363:17	<b>JAD-2</b> 67:3	<b>JDM-20X</b> 48:17	<b>JDW-7</b> 56:12
introduced 251:15	<b>IQ</b> 43:20	<b>JAD-3</b> 67:4	324:2	<b>JDW-8</b> 56:13
307:3	Iraq 282:11,12	<b>JAD-4</b> 67:6	<b>JDM-21X</b> 48:18	<b>JDW-9C</b> 56:15
inventory 260:1	IRP 52:7 67:5	<b>JAD-5</b> 67:7	329:21,23 330:6,7	<b>Jeff</b> 35:12 70:4
inversely 166:4	109:7 330:10,14	<b>JAD-6</b> 67:9	<b>JDM-3</b> 47:16	jeff.roberson@at
<b>invest</b> 356:3	<b>Isaac</b> 54:21	<b>JAD-7</b> 67:10	<b>JDM-4</b> 47:17 327:3	35:14
invest- 166:14	<b>island</b> 365:18	<b>JAD-8</b> 67:12	<b>JDM-5</b> 47:18	<b>Jerome</b> 40:22 43:19
investigate 152:15	<b>issuance</b> 124:4,7	<b>JAD-9</b> 67:13	<b>JDM-6</b> 47:20	<b>Jim</b> 66:24 67:3,19
investment 85:4	issuances 49:6	<b>James</b> 34:15 35:2	<b>JDM-7</b> 47:21	<b>JK2</b> 330:24,25
127:22 128:2	issue 91:2,7,18,20	69:6	<b>JDM-8T</b> 47:23	<b>job</b> 88:21 96:24
154:6 158:19	94:11 102:16,18	Jan 41:14 43:23	<b>JDM-9</b> 47:24	106:20,24 185:19
166:19,20 233:15	106:24 112:17,18	48:10	<b>JDW-10</b> 56:17	212:24 280:8
243:10,23 246:14	119:11 124:9	January 45:11	<b>JDW-11</b> 56:19	<b>Joel</b> 46:15
306:15 307:19,22	126:1 128:16,19	54:20 82:18	<b>JDW-12</b> 56:21	<b>Johannes</b> 267:22
308:1,8,14 309:1	128:23 129:1,2,10	198:22 310:25	<b>JDW-13</b> 56:23	<b>John</b> 56:3,4 57:19
310:18 311:1,8,20	146:25 156:14,15	<b>Jarvis</b> 40:18 162:13	<b>JDW-14</b> 56:24	58:14 95:3
311:22 312:3,10	160:14,15 200:1	<b>Jason</b> 48:19 136:5	<b>JDW-15</b> 57:3	Johnson 53:9
313:19 314:1,13	204:15 228:14,15	JCA-1T 46:15	<b>JDW-16</b> 57:5	195:19,21,24
314:16 315:5,17	245:10 275:17	JCA-2 46:17	<b>JDW-17</b> 57:7	196:8
315:20,25 316:3	284:6 285:4	JCA-3 46:18	<b>JDW-18</b> 57:9	<b>Johnson's</b> 197:12
316:18 317:1,8	303:10,12 354:5	JCA-4 46:20	<b>JDW-19</b> 57:10	<b>Joint</b> 53:12
318:10,19 319:7	369:16,17	JCA-5 46:21	<b>JDW-1CTr</b> 56:3	<b>Joseph</b> 38:13 47:14
319:16 334:15,18	<b>issued</b> 76:10 101:12	jcaviglia@parso	<b>JDW-2</b> 56:4	47:23 323:13
335:6,22	114:17 116:19,23	36:19	<b>JDW-20C</b> 57:12	<b>Josh</b> 46:22,24
investments 89:5	130:20 203:21	<b>JDD-10</b> 47:12	<b>JDW-21</b> 57:14	Journal 54:22
		<u> </u>		

<b>JP</b> 138:1,3	333:12 334:3	317:20,24 318:9	<b>KJC-2</b> 49:4	113:19 116:8,18
<b>JRT-1T</b> 48:19	336:25 348:14,23	319:25 320:1	<b>KJC-3C</b> 49:5	116:20 122:14,17
<b>JRT-2</b> 48:21	349:1,5 353:6	<b>keeping</b> 142:12	<b>KJC-4</b> 49:7	122:20 123:18
<b>JRT-3</b> 48:22	355:1 359:4,7	277:25	<b>KJC-4T</b> 96:19	124:21 133:8
<b>JRT-4</b> 48:24	367:8 368:9 369:2	Kenneth 50:14	<b>KJC-5T</b> 49:8	141:2 144:24
judge 69:4,7,10,24	369:6,13,18,24	<b>Kevin</b> 37:10 49:3,8	<b>KJC-6X</b> 49:9	145:4 146:14
70:3,6,9,12,15,18	370:4,11,16	73:5 74:14 95:8	<b>KJC-7X</b> 49:10	152:24 153:19
70:21,24 71:8,11	<b>JUDGES</b> 34:14	95:11 96:1 319:1	<b>KJC-8X</b> 49:11	156:23 157:4,10
71:13 72:4,7,14	35:2	key 76:21 78:14	<b>KJC-9X</b> 49:12	157:22 163:9
72:16 73:2 74:17	<b>July</b> 43:21 335:9	153:2 156:14,15	<b>KJS-10C</b> 50:11	168:6 174:19
76:8 81:24 82:3	342:3,4 347:15,18	156:16 285:4,4	<b>KJS-11</b> 50:12	175:3 178:12,17
89:22 91:24 93:23	348:7	Kickoff 48:22	<b>KJS-1T</b> 49:22	184:7 202:2
94:6,14,16,21,25	<b>jump</b> 103:8	<b>kill</b> 248:13,21	<b>KJS-2</b> 49:23	208:20 211:25
95:18,22 96:10	<b>jumped</b> 299:6	kilowatt 214:9	<b>KJS-3</b> 49:25	212:2 214:18,20
108:21 110:1	<b>June</b> 43:9 48:15	kind 75:1 99:2	<b>KJS-4</b> 50:3	218:6,13,16,17
131:21,23 132:2	58:6 76:6 83:18	102:16 134:15	<b>KJS-5T</b> 50:4	229:22 230:21
134:19 135:6,9,14	126:20 144:25	147:11 188:16	<b>KJS-6</b> 50:5	236:5,18,22
140:24 141:3,9	160:21 335:13	200:14 207:25	<b>KJS-7</b> 50:6	237:10,14 238:9
143:11,14,20	jurisdiction 347:7	225:20 231:7	<b>KJS-8</b> 50:8	238:12,12,20
144:11 145:13	<b>justice</b> 337:10	240:23 243:9	<b>KJS-9</b> 50:9	239:17,22 241:6
146:5,8 147:4,9	justification 234:24	244:11,14 249:11	<b>KLD-1T</b> 50:14	243:20 248:11,20
167:1,5,7 171:2,4	justifications	253:9 273:24	<b>KLD-2</b> 50:15	248:25 249:11
171:7,22,25 172:3	115:23	287:22 312:12	<b>KMH-10</b> 59:9	259:2,23 260:21
172:21,22 178:1,3	justified 141:21	315:4 317:21	<b>KMH-11</b> 59:11	261:11,22 262:19
178:14,20,24	142:1,5 269:25	349:17 357:21	<b>KMH-12</b> 59:12	269:10 272:11
179:3,8,11 180:2	<b>Justina</b> 36:17 70:23	kinds 106:21 108:9	<b>KMH-13</b> 59:14	281:3 282:18
195:2 218:19		191:1,12	<b>KMH-14T</b> 59:15	283:3 284:13
221:24 226:14,18	K	<b>Kinney</b> 37:20	<b>KMH-15C</b> 59:17	286:14 288:5
226:20 228:8	<b>Kalich</b> 38:6 42:7,15	51:22 52:21,25	<b>KMH-16C</b> 59:18	294:24 300:2
230:13,19 231:3	43:12 44:7,9,11	77:9 130:15	<b>KMH-1T</b> 58:18	301:4,4 308:13
231:20,23 232:9	74:15 77:9 130:15	132:22 153:25	<b>KMH-2</b> 58:20	309:16 310:17
244:23 245:1	132:23 183:22	154:2 179:4,5,7	<b>KMH-3</b> 58:21	311:18 312:2,13
250:13,17,23	200:23,25 201:19	179:22 180:8	<b>KMH-4</b> 58:23	313:22 315:22
251:3,17 274:13	215:22 230:17,19	195:9 218:25	<b>KMH-5</b> 58:24	316:1,4 317:14,15
286:5 289:14,19	231:1 250:24	271:3 274:4	<b>KMH-6C</b> 59:3	317:24 318:3,18
301:24 302:1	251:12,23 274:18	286:21 297:12	<b>KMH-7</b> 59:4	319:11,12,25
303:20 305:5,10	302:6 304:4	<b>Kinney's</b> 267:3	<b>KMH-8</b> 59:6	320:15 322:11
305:13,15,20,23	<b>Kalich's</b> 57:17	<b>kit</b> 244:12	<b>KMH-9</b> 59:8	344:19,21,22,22
306:1,7 307:6,10	85:23	<b>KJC-10X</b> 49:13	knew 205:10 215:3	345:20 346:10,14
320:7,17 321:7,13	<b>Kaufman</b> 64:12,14	<b>KJC-11X</b> 49:14	knocking 218:3	346:16,17,22
321:19,21,24	64:18 369:20	<b>KJC-12X</b> 49:16	know 74:11 75:8	348:4 350:2,4,15
322:15,24 323:4	<b>Kaylene</b> 49:22 50:4	<b>KJC-13X</b> 49:17	93:4 99:6,8,21,22	353:17 354:17
323:17 324:11	keep 75:4 161:14	139:2,24	99:23,25 100:6,8	357:14 363:24
329:13,24 330:4	169:13 219:2	<b>KJC-14X</b> 49:19	100:24 101:1	364:4,8,14 367:22
330:23,25 332:8	231:15 262:2	<b>KJC-15XC</b> 49:20	102:10 106:16	368:3 369:20
332:11,24 333:4	263:6 268:23	<b>KJC-1T</b> 49:3	109:22 112:8,21	knowable 301:6
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

large-cap   170:49   lend 266:18   langle   170:11   lend 266:18   len			Ī	Ī	
152:3 170:21	knowledge 77:8	large-cap 170:4,9	Legislative 48:11	345:18 358:3	243:18 249:4
213:16   Shown 104:16   Insert 104:16   Insert 106:22   Insert 17:17:17:17:17:18   Insert 17:17:17:17:18   Insert 17:17:17:18   Insert 17:17:18   Insert 17:18   Insert 1	100:13 143:4	170:11	<b>lend</b> 266:18	liability 54:18,21	252:5 255:17
Image   128-12   175:17,23 176:5   176:13 314:8   186:17,20 188:6,7   176:13 314:8   186:17,20 188:6,7   188:12,14,16,21   189:8 192:24   189:8 192:24   189:8 192:24   189:8 192:24   189:8 192:24   189:8 192:25   184:13 145:4   1	152:3 170:21	largely 80:4 90:20	length 92:17	86:17	272:14 273:1
158:4 160:22	213:16	91:1 119:13	142:11 144:2	Liberty 36:18	291:16 293:20
186:17.20 188:6.7   176:13 314:8   lessened 99:16   lifestyle 337:13   linkage 358:9   367:13.15   lights 66:14 268:24   links 275:13 357:2   links 199:8   links 275:13 357:2   links 275:13 357:2   links 199:8   links 275:13 357:2   links 275:13 357:2   links 199:8   links	<b>known</b> 104:16	larger 128:12	lengthy 141:6	lieu 71:24	313:17 364:22
188:12,14,16,21   largest 85:9   largest 85:9   late 102:15   late 102	158:4 160:22	175:17,23 176:5	364:11	life 281:23	link 98:11 190:14
189:8 192:24	186:17,20 188:6,7	176:13 314:8	lessened 99:16	lifestyle 337:13	linkage 358:9
205:8,9 215:2   225:18,25 226:3,8   246:10:215   117:3 120:17   117:3 120:17   118:415:19:2   125:3 126:12   118:415:19:2   125:3 126:12   118:415:19:2   125:3 126:12   118:415:19:2   125:3 126:12   118:415:19:2   125:3 126:12   118:415:19:2   130:18 131:19   128:10 129:11   118:415:19:2   130:18 131:19   128:10 129:11   118:415:19:2   130:18 131:19   128:10 129:11   118:415:19:336:13   128:42:269:7,10   171:17 176:18   133:61:3   128:66:27:120:19   108:17 358:18,24   206:2 210:4   336:13   128:66:37:219:77:18   130:81:335:18   128:66:37:219:77:18   130:81:335:18   128:66:37:219:77:18   130:81:335:18   128:66:37:219:77:18   130:81:335:18   130:81:335:18   130:81:335:18   133:61:3	188:12,14,16,21	315:24 336:16	<b>let's</b> 69:15 71:1	<b>lights</b> 66:14 268:24	367:13,15
225:18,25 226:3,8 237:10 243:6	189:8 192:24	largest 85:9	73:6 79:1,2	liked 199:8	links 275:13 357:2
Age   Part   P	205:8,9 215:2	lastly 144:13 145:4	104:15 109:5	likelihood 242:25	liquidity 191:15
Kristen 59:15         Latimer 36:17         128:10 129:11         limited 74:20 79:20         339:12 340:19           L         Latimer 65:6,7         130:18 131:19         89:19 309:2,6         341:10 343:16           L         L         L         43:21 435:2         149:12 159:10         312:13 332:18         344:63 351:9,10,13           L         54:22 69:7,10         171:17 176:18         336:13         Lisa 67:24           62:17 1 20:19         108:17 358:18,24         206:2 210:4         36:15         LiNA 359:20,22         66:3 72:19 77:18           Jack 93:13         labels 93:13         LC 67:6         222:6,6 238:3         83:10 85:25 86:1         Lisa 67:24           Lack 95:19 88:25         LDK-2 64:12         250:20 252:20         86:3,5,5 87:20,22         86:3,7:19 77:18           191:15 206:10         254:17         LDK-5 64:17         293:15 310:23         89:9 97:23 98:2,3         365:21,7 370:1         170:17 170:7         13:5:142:13,15         13:5:142:13,15         148:7 151:23         15:e1 66:3 72:19 77:18           land 248:24 249:2         LDK-7 64:19         329:24 339:9         329:22 329:24         13:5:142:13,15         14:6:14         16:15:25           language 156:20         365:19,21,24         366:7,14,16,18         365:19,21,24         366:1,14         37:	225:18,25 226:3,8	late 102:15	117:3 120:17	likewise 94:18	285:1 291:7
Lauren 65:6,7   law 34:14 35:2   149:12 159:10   312:13 332:18   344:6 3519,10,13	237:10 243:6	latest 159:2	125:3 126:12	<b>limit</b> 72:10	<b>LIRAP</b> 338:7 339:9
L L44:21 49:16 62:17 120:19 labels 93:13 labor 335:17 Lacey 34:20 lack 85:19 88:25 89:1 114:18 191:15 206:10 254:17 lag 316:7 laid 208:10 Lancaster 52:13,18 Lance 64:12,13,18 land 228:10 Lancaster 52:13,18 land 238:10 Lancaster 52:13,18 land 248:24 249:2 274:9 288:18 land scape 77:2 79:16 81:21 language 156:20 362:16 363:1,5 365:19,21,24 366:7,14,16,18 367:1 language 364:19 365:22 366:1,24 language 364:19 365:22 366:1,24 language 364:19 365:22 366:1,24 language 362:10 28	Kristen 59:15	Latimer 36:17	128:10 129:11	limited 74:20 79:20	339:12 340:19
L L44:21 49:16 62:17 120:19 labels 93:13 358:25 Lacey 34:20 lack 85:19 88:25 label 89:11 14:18 191:15 206:10 254:17 LDK-5 64:17 LDK-6 64:18 Lancaster 52:13,18 Lancaster 52:13,18 Lancaster 52:13,18 Lancaster 52:13,18 land 248:24 249:2 274:9 288:18 landscape 77:2 79:16 81:21 language 156:20 362:16 363:1.5 laeds 142:20 language 364:19 365:19,21,24 366:7,14,16,18 366:7,14,16,18 366:7,14,16,18 1anguages 364:19 365:22 366:1,24 languages 364:19 365:22 366:1,24 language 364:19 365:22 366:1,24 language 38:21 language 364:19 365:22 366:1,24 language 38:21 language 364:19 365:22 366:1,24 language 38:21 language 364:19 365:22 366:1,24 language 156:20 362:16 363:1.5 language 364:19 365:22 366:1,24 language 364:19 365:22 366:1,24 language 38:21	Kristin 58:18	<b>Lauren</b> 65:6,7	130:18 131:19	89:19 309:2,6	341:10 343:16
L L44:21 49:16 62:17 120:19 labels 93:13 labor 335:17 Lacey 34:20 lack 85:19 88:25 lack 85:19 88:25 layer 34:10 layer 34:11 l			149:12 159:10	312:13 332:18	344:6 351:9,10,13
L44:21 49:16 62:17 120:19 105:21,23 108:12 108:17 358:18,24 325:18 labels 93:13 358:25 121:18 221:25 222:66 238:3 89:1 114:18 LDK-1TC 64:12 250:20 252:20 261:24 272:13 89:1 114:18 LDK-3 64:15 256:17 254:17 LDK-5 64:17 Lanca 59:20,22 274:9 288:18 land 248:24 249:2 274:9 288:18 land 248:24 249:2 274:9 288:18 landscape 77:2 laguage 156:20 362:16 363:1,5 365:19,21,24 366:7,14,16,18 367:1 languages 364:19 365:22 366:1,24 larguages 364:19 366:21 283:23 284:16 287:7 leeway 98:23 legal 109:3 lose: 200:2 210:4 221:18 221:25 221:8 221:25 221:8 221:25 222:60:20 361:5 line 42:11,21 46:3 38:11 08:25:2 86:1 line 42:11,21 46:3 38:11 08:29:28 38:31 08:25 86:1 line 42:11,21 46:3 38:10 85:25 86:1 line 42:11,21 46:3 38:10 86:3,5,5 87:20,22 28:33 106:4,78 109:2 110:40:47 110:41 176:11 18ted 168:20 170:11 146:14 176:21 177:3 189:21 99:25 219:18 128:21 99:25 219:18 128:21 199:25 219:18 129:22 267:20 129:22 267:20 128:31,1,17,22 129:21 18:31:20 129:22 267:20 129:22 267:20 129:22 267:20 129:22 267:20 129:22 267:20 129:22 267:20 120:42 27:13 120:41 170:11 120:41 120:41 120:41 120:41 120:41 120:41 120:41 12		54:22 69:7,10	171:17 176:18	336:13	1 ' '
108:17 358:18,24   206:2 210:4   361:5   152:8 153:13   153:8 153:15   153:8 153:13   153:8 15		· ·	197:7 199:22	LINA 359:20,22	list 58:24 59:11
labels 93:13   labor 335:17			206:2 210:4		66:3 72:19 77:18
Lacey 34:20         LDK-1TC 64:12         250:20 252:20         86:3,5,5 87:20,22         206:3 230:22           lack 85:19 88:25         By:1 114:18         LDK-2 64:13         261:24 272:13         89:9 97:23 98:2,3         265:13 320:19           191:15 206:10         LDK-3 64:15         276:15 280:6,13         106:4,7,8 109:6         365:2,17 370:1           192:15 206:10         LDK-4 64:16         286:5,7,9 291:2,2         131:5 142:13,15         listed 168:20           1ad 208:10         LDK-5 64:17         293:15 310:23         148:7 151:23         170:11         listed 168:20           Lancaster 52:13,18         LDK-7 64:19         329:24 339:9         174:2 175:14         listen 151:25         listen 151:25           land 248:24 249:2         274:9 288:18         landscape 77:2         144:8,9 200:2         93:2         199:25 219:18         literally 87:5 153:9           landscape 77:2         19:16 81:21         lead ing 226:12,17         lead 19:25 112:11         199:25 219:18         litigated 160:9           language 156:20         365:19,21,24         365:19,21,24         366:7,14,16,18         367:1         277:15 277:48,14         158:11 78:18           large 85:19 105:23         large 85:19 105:23         13:13 13:19         279:2,2,4,9,10,13         236:15 237:25         227:2 276:24		358:25	212:18 221:25	line 42:11,21 46:3	152:8 153:13
lack 85:19 88:25         LDK-2 64:13         261:24 272:13         89:9 97:23 98:2,3         265:13 320:19           89:1 114:18         191:15 206:10         254:17         LDK-3 64:15         276:15 280:6,13         106:47,8 109:6         365:2,17 370:1           1ag 316:7         LDK-5 64:17         293:15 310:23         148:7 151:23         170:11         listed 168:20           Lancaster 52:13,18         LDK-7 64:19         329:24 339:9         174:2 175:14         listen 151:25         listen 151:25           Lancaster 52:13,18         Lanca 64:12,13,18         Land 248:24 249:2         274:9 288:18         286:19,33         279:16 81:21         189:18 196:12         199:25 219:18         literally 87:5 153:9         146:14           landscape 77:2         79:16 81:21         leading 226:12,17         137:8 170:23         238:3,11,17,22         little 82:14 103:1         131:23 13:23         144:13 15:6         144:13 15:6         145:10 245:13         131:20 313:19         279:25,24,9,10,13         131:23 13:23         144:13 15:6         155:15 25         158:1 178:18         131:23 13:23         144:13 15:5         146:14         146:14         146:14         146:14         146:14         146:14         146:14         146:14         146:14         146:14         146:14         145:10 245:13         130:23 10:23         249		LC 67:6	222:6,6 238:3	83:10 85:25 86:1	170:7 203:16
89:1 114:18 191:15 206:10 254:17 lag 316:7 laid 208:10 LDK-5 64:17 LDK-6T 64:18 LDK-7 64:19 lead 106:14,16 land 248:24 249:2 274:9 288:18 landscape 77:2 79:16 81:21 language 156:20 362:16 363:1,5 365:19,21,24 366:7,14,16,18 366:7,14,16,18 366:7,14,16,18 366:2,17 370:1 languages 364:19 365:2,2 366:1,24 languages 364:19 365:2,2 366:1,24 language 150:23 language 150:23 language 85:19 language 366:1,24 langua	•	<b>LDK-1TC</b> 64:12	250:20 252:20	86:3,5,5 87:20,22	206:3 230:22
191:15 206:10   254:17   LDK-4 64:16   286:5,7,9 291:2,2   131:5 142:13,15   listed 168:20   170:11   listen 151:25   listening 143:11   Lancaster 52:13,18   LDK-7 64:19   lead 106:14,16   118:5,14 142:9   land 248:24 249:2   274:9 288:18   landscape 77:2   79:16 81:21   leading 226:12,17   leads 142:20   leak 298:13   237:1 258:2   249:20,21 261:25   listening 143:11   listen 151:25   listen 15:		<b>LDK-2</b> 64:13	261:24 272:13	89:9 97:23 98:2,3	265:13 320:19
254:17		<b>LDK-3</b> 64:15	276:15 280:6,13	106:4,7,8 109:6	365:2,17 370:1
lag 316:7         LDK-6T 64:18         315:15,22 329:24         152:8 164:5 170:9         listen 151:25           laid 208:10         LDK-7 64:19         329:24 339:9         174:2 175:14         listening 143:11           Lanca 64:12,13,18         land 248:24 249:2         274:9 288:18         118:5,14 142:9         letter 52:22 67:20         196:7,10 197:16         literally 87:5 153:9           landscape 77:2         79:16 81:21         leading 226:12,17         leading 226:12,17         leads 142:20         leak 298:13         137:8 170:23         199:25 219:18         little 82:14 103:1           language 156:20         362:16 363:1,5         365:19,21,24         learn 345:7         262:10 302:11         272:15 277:48,114         158:1 178:18           366:7,14,16,18         145:10 245:13         31:20 313:19         31:20 313:19         279:2,2,4,9,10,13         236:23 297:2         275:25 95:3 138:17           languages 364:19         365:22 366:1,24         227:13 295:9         344:21 345:9,20         346:3,11,14,18,19         34:19 349:24         309:22 276:24           large 85:19 105:23         123:21 129:14         leaves 80:9         356:12,13 360:9         344:21 345:9,20         338:3,3 339:10         277:19 295:11           laves 80:12 283:23         128:10 293:11         128:21 23:1         128:21 23:1         128:22 27:12 22:		<b>LDK-4</b> 64:16	286:5,7,9 291:2,2	131:5 142:13,15	<b>listed</b> 168:20
laid 208:10         LDK-7 64:19         329:24 339:9         174:2 175:14         listening 143:11           Lancaster 52:13,18         Lance 64:12,13,18         lead 106:14,16         347:4         176:21 177:3         listening 143:11           land 248:24 249:2         144:8,9 202:2         93:2         197:18,22 198:5         270:6           landscape 77:2         leading 226:12,17         leading 226:12,17         137:8 170:23         238:3,11,17,22         little 82:14 103:1           language 156:20         362:16 363:1,5         leak 298:13         237:1 258:2         249:20,21 261:25         144:13 155:6           365:19,21,24         366:7,14,16,18         145:10 245:13         311:20 313:19         279:2,2,4,9,10,13         236:15 237:25           languages 364:19         365:22 366:1,24         129:14         227:13 295:9         344:21 345:9,20         338:3,3 339:10         277:19 295:11           large 85:19 105:23         123:21 129:14         leaves 80:9         356:12,13 360:9         366:12,13 360:9         16evels 60:15,17         179:2 123:1         16evels 60:15,17         179:2 123:1         16evels 60:12         16evels 60:12         16evels 60:12         16evels 60:12		<b>LDK-5</b> 64:17	293:15 310:23	148:7 151:23	170:11
Lancaster 52:13,18         lead 106:14,16         347:4         176:21 177:3         146:14         146:14           Lance 64:12,13,18         land 248:24 249:2         144:8,9 202:2         93:2         196:7,10 197:16         literally 87:5 153:9         270:6           landscape 77:2         144:8,9 202:2         93:2         197:18,22 198:5         litigated 160:9         litigated 17:2         litigated 160:9         litigated 17:2 <t< th=""><th>C</th><th><b>LDK-6T</b> 64:18</th><th>315:15,22 329:24</th><th>152:8 164:5 170:9</th><th><b>listen</b> 151:25</th></t<>	C	<b>LDK-6T</b> 64:18	315:15,22 329:24	152:8 164:5 170:9	<b>listen</b> 151:25
Lance 64:12,13,18         118:5,14 142:9         letter 52:22 67:20         196:7,10 197:16         literally 87:5 153:9           land 248:24 249:2         274:9 288:18         304:9 345:4         level 102:25 112:11         199:25 219:18         literally 87:5 153:9           landscape 77:2         leading 226:12,17         189:18 196:12         243:21 246:7,25         little 82:14 103:1           language 156:20         leak 298:13         237:1 258:2         249:20,21 261:25         144:13 155:6           362:16 363:1,5         learn 345:7         262:10 302:11         272:15 277:48,14         158:1 178:18           365:19,21,24         learning 85:16         309:22,23,24         278:8,10,11,16,17         200:13 227:25           367:1         leave 75:19,20,22         314:1 316:9,25         280:3,3 288:13         252:9 258:10           languages 364:19         365:22 366:1,24         227:13 295:9         344:21 345:9,20         338:3,3 339:10         277:19 295:11           large 85:19 105:23         322:16         346:3,11,14,18,19         341:9 349:24         304:14 325:10,13           249:1 254:10         Ledger 193:11         levels 60:15,17         lines 86:17,19         Living 66:12         Liz 46:8           280:12 283:23         legal 109:3         142:11 158:20         107:12 228:24         LLP 36:4		<b>LDK-7</b> 64:19	329:24 339:9	174:2 175:14	listening 143:11
land 248:24 249:2         144:8,9 202:2         93:2         197:18,22 198:5         270:6         litigated 160:9         little 82:14 103:1         landscape 77:2         137:8 170:23         137:8 170:23         199:25 219:18         little 82:14 103:1         little 82:14 103:1         little 82:14 103:1         landscape 77:2         137:8 170:23         243:21 246:7,25         little 82:14 103:1         landscape 77:2         131:23 132:23         landscape 73:2         249:20,21 261:25         144:13 155:6         landscape 73:2         144:13 155:6         landscape 73:2         144:13 155:6         158:1 178:18         158:1 178:18         158:1 178:18         158:1 178:18         158:1 178:18         158:1 178:18         200:13 227:25         279:2,2,4,9,10,13         236:15 237:25         237:25 276:24,9,10,13         236:15 237:25         238:3,3 288:13         252:9 258:10         259:29 258:10         259:29 258:10         275:25 95:3 138:17         296:23 297:2         275:2 276:24         277:19 295:11         304:14 325:10,13         327:14 341:18         249:1 254:10         249:1 254:10         249:1 254:10         249:1 254:10         249:2 23:11         249:1 253:1         279:12,19	· ·	<b>lead</b> 106:14,16	347:4	176:21 177:3	146:14
274:9 288:18       304:9 345:4       level 102:25 112:11       199:25 219:18       Litigated 160:9         landscape 77:2       179:16 81:21       leads 142:20       leads 142:20       189:18 196:12       243:21 246:7,25       litigated 160:9         362:16 363:1,5       leak 298:13       237:1 258:2       249:20,21 261:25       144:13 155:6         365:19,21,24       learn 345:7       262:10 302:11       272:15 277:4,8,14       158:1 178:18         366:7,14,16,18       145:10 245:13       311:20 313:19       279:2,2,4,9,10,13       236:15 237:25         367:1       leave 75:19,20,22       314:1 316:9,25       280:3,3 288:13       252:9 258:10         365:22 366:1,24       227:13 295:9       344:21 345:9,20       338:3,3 339:10       277:19 295:11         3123:21 129:14       322:16       346:3,11,14,18,19       341:9 349:24       304:14 325:10,13         249:1 254:10       280:12 283:23       284:16 287:7       leeway 98:23       levels 60:15,17       lines 86:17,19       Liz 46:8         280:12 287:7       legal 109:3       142:11 158:20       107:12 228:24       LLP 36:4		118:5,14 142:9	letter 52:22 67:20	196:7,10 197:16	<b>literally</b> 87:5 153:9
landscape 77:2         leading 226:12,17         137:8 170:23         238:3,11,17,22         little 82:14 103:1           language 156:20         leak 298:13         189:18 196:12         243:21 246:7,25         131:23 132:23           362:16 363:1,5         learn 345:7         262:10 302:11         272:15 277:4,8,14         158:1 178:18           365:19,21,24         145:10 245:13         311:20 313:19         279:2,2,4,9,10,13         236:15 237:25           367:1         leave 75:19,20,22         314:1 316:9,25         280:3,3 288:13         252:9 258:10           languages 364:19         365:22 366:1,24         227:13 295:9         344:21 345:9,20         338:3,3 339:10         277:19 295:11           large 85:19 105:23         322:16         346:3,11,14,18,19         341:9 349:24         304:14 325:10,13           123:21 129:14         leaves 80:9         356:12,13 360:9         353:15         327:14 341:18           249:1 254:10         Ledger 193:11         levels 60:15,17         lines 86:17,19         Living 66:12           128:11         19:2 123:1         19:2 123:1         19:2 123:1         107:12 228:24           128:12         128:20         107:12 228:24         128:24		144:8,9 202:2	93:2	197:18,22 198:5	
Teach   Teac		304:9 345:4	level 102:25 112:11	199:25 219:18	litigated 160:9
language 156:20         leak 298:13         237:1 258:2         249:20,21 261:25         144:13 155:6           362:16 363:1,5         learn 345:7         262:10 302:11         272:15 277:4,8,14         158:1 178:18           366:7,14,16,18         145:10 245:13         311:20 313:19         279:2,2,4,9,10,13         236:15 237:25           367:1         145:10 245:13         311:20 313:19         279:2,2,4,9,10,13         236:15 237:25           14arge 85:19 105:23         75:25 95:3 138:17         339:25 343:1         296:23 297:2         275:2 276:24           123:21 129:14         227:13 295:9         344:21 345:9,20         338:3,3 339:10         277:19 295:11           249:1 254:10         356:12,13 360:9         356:12,13 360:9         353:15         327:14 341:18           280:12 283:23         1eeway 98:23         119:2 123:1         19:2 123:1         107:12 228:24         12x 46:8           123:21 281:0         109:3         142:11 158:20         107:12 228:24         12P 36:4	_	<b>leading</b> 226:12,17	137:8 170:23	238:3,11,17,22	little 82:14 103:1
362:16 363:1,5       362:16 363:1,5       262:10 302:11       272:15 277:4,8,14       158:1 178:18         365:19,21,24       366:7,14,16,18       309:22,23,24       279:2,2,4,9,10,13       236:15 237:25         367:1       145:10 245:13       311:20 313:19       279:2,2,4,9,10,13       236:15 237:25         languages 364:19       365:22 366:1,24       227:13 295:9       314:1 316:9,25       280:3,3 288:13       252:9 258:10         large 85:19 105:23       322:16       346:3,11,14,18,19       341:9 349:24       304:14 325:10,13         123:21 129:14       249:1 254:10       280:12 283:23       284:16 287:7       199:2 123:1       19:2 123:1       19:2 123:1       107:12 228:24       107:12 228:24         107:12 228:24       107:12 228:24       11P:2 123:1       107:12 228:24       11P:2 128:4		leads 142:20	189:18 196:12	243:21 246:7,25	131:23 132:23
365:19,21,24       learning 85:16       309:22,23,24       278:8,10,11,16,17       200:13 227:25         366:7,14,16,18       145:10 245:13       311:20 313:19       279:2,2,4,9,10,13       236:15 237:25         367:1       leave 75:19,20,22       314:1 316:9,25       280:3,3 288:13       252:9 258:10         365:22 366:1,24       227:13 295:9       344:21 345:9,20       338:3,3 339:10       277:19 295:11         large 85:19 105:23       322:16       346:3,11,14,18,19       341:9 349:24       304:14 325:10,13         123:21 129:14       leaves 80:9       356:12,13 360:9       353:15       327:14 341:18         249:1 254:10       Ledger 193:11       levels 60:15,17       lines 86:17,19       Living 66:12         280:12 283:23       legal 109:3       142:11 158:20       107:12 228:24       LLP 36:4		leak 298:13	237:1 258:2	249:20,21 261:25	144:13 155:6
366:7,14,16,18       145:10 245:13       311:20 313:19       279:2,2,4,9,10,13       236:15 237:25         367:1       leave 75:19,20,22       314:1 316:9,25       280:3,3 288:13       252:9 258:10         365:22 366:1,24       227:13 295:9       344:21 345:9,20       338:3,3 339:10       277:19 295:11         large 85:19 105:23       322:16       346:3,11,14,18,19       341:9 349:24       304:14 325:10,13         123:21 129:14       leaves 80:9       356:12,13 360:9       353:15       327:14 341:18         249:1 254:10       Ledger 193:11       levels 60:15,17       lines 86:17,19       Living 66:12         280:12 283:23       legal 109:3       142:11 158:20       107:12 228:24       LLP 36:4	-	learn 345:7	262:10 302:11	272:15 277:4,8,14	158:1 178:18
367:1       leave 75:19,20,22       314:1 316:9,25       280:3,3 288:13       252:9 258:10         languages 364:19       365:22 366:1,24       227:13 295:9       344:21 345:9,20       338:3,3 339:10       277:19 295:11         large 85:19 105:23       322:16       346:3,11,14,18,19       341:9 349:24       304:14 325:10,13         123:21 129:14       leaves 80:9       356:12,13 360:9       353:15       127:14 341:18         249:1 254:10       Ledger 193:11       levels 60:15,17       lines 86:17,19       Living 66:12         280:12 283:23       legal 109:3       142:11 158:20       107:12 228:24       LLP 36:4		learning 85:16	309:22,23,24	278:8,10,11,16,17	200:13 227:25
languages 364:19         75:25 95:3 138:17         339:25 343:1         296:23 297:2         275:2 276:24           365:22 366:1,24         227:13 295:9         344:21 345:9,20         338:3,3 339:10         277:19 295:11           large 85:19 105:23         322:16         346:3,11,14,18,19         341:9 349:24         304:14 325:10,13           123:21 129:14         leaves 80:9         356:12,13 360:9         353:15         327:14 341:18           249:1 254:10         Ledger 193:11         levels 60:15,17         lines 86:17,19         Living 66:12           280:12 283:23         legal 109:3         142:11 158:20         107:12 228:24         LLP 36:4		145:10 245:13	311:20 313:19	279:2,2,4,9,10,13	236:15 237:25
365:22 366:1,24       227:13 295:9       344:21 345:9,20       338:3,3 339:10       277:19 295:11         large 85:19 105:23       322:16       346:3,11,14,18,19       341:9 349:24       304:14 325:10,13         123:21 129:14       leaves 80:9       356:12,13 360:9       353:15       327:14 341:18         249:1 254:10       Ledger 193:11       levels 60:15,17       lines 86:17,19       Living 66:12         280:12 283:23       legal 109:3       142:11 158:20       97:12,19 98:1       Liz 46:8         107:12 228:24       LLP 36:4		leave 75:19,20,22	314:1 316:9,25	280:3,3 288:13	252:9 258:10
large 85:19 105:23       322:16       346:3,11,14,18,19       341:9 349:24       304:14 325:10,13         123:21 129:14       leaves 80:9       356:12,13 360:9       353:15       327:14 341:18         249:1 254:10       Ledger 193:11       levels 60:15,17       lines 86:17,19       Living 66:12         280:12 283:23       leeway 98:23       119:2 123:1       97:12,19 98:1       Liz 46:8         284:16 287:7       legal 109:3       142:11 158:20       107:12 228:24       LLP 36:4	0 0	75:25 95:3 138:17	339:25 343:1	296:23 297:2	275:2 276:24
123:21 129:14       leaves 80:9       356:12,13 360:9       353:15       327:14 341:18         249:1 254:10       Ledger 193:11       levels 60:15,17       lines 86:17,19       Living 66:12         280:12 283:23       leeway 98:23       119:2 123:1       97:12,19 98:1       Liz 46:8         284:16 287:7       legal 109:3       142:11 158:20       107:12 228:24       LLP 36:4	,	227:13 295:9	344:21 345:9,20	338:3,3 339:10	277:19 295:11
249:1 254:10 280:12 283:23 284:16 287:7  Ledger 193:11 leeway 98:23 legal 109:3  Letyes 60:15,17 119:2 123:1 142:11 158:20  Join 107:12 228:24  Living 66:12 Liz 46:8 LLP 36:4	C	322:16	, , , ,	341:9 349:24	304:14 325:10,13
280:12 283:23   leeway 98:23   119:2 123:1   97:12,19 98:1   Liz 46:8   LLP 36:4		leaves 80:9	356:12,13 360:9	353:15	327:14 341:18
284:16 287:7   legal 109:3   142:11 158:20   107:12 228:24   LLP 36:4		<b>Ledger</b> 193:11	levels 60:15,17	lines 86:17,19	<b>Living</b> 66:12
112.11 130.20 107.12 220.21 EEE 30.1		leeway 98:23	119:2 123:1	97:12,19 98:1	<b>Liz</b> 46:8
315:23,24   legislation 79:10   282:5 308:15   236:8,9 239:12   LM-1T 65:6		<b>legal</b> 109:3	142:11 158:20	107:12 228:24	<b>LLP</b> 36:4
	315:23,24	legislation 79:10	282:5 308:15	236:8,9 239:12	LM-1T 65:6

LM-2 65:7	168:5 174:1	297:15	350:23 351:1	301:10 315:1
load 175:15 268:9	175:14 176:16,21	losses 290:6,20	350:23 331:1	347:22
294:22 329:5	183:11 188:11	300:25	lowering 82:24	manage 79:25 80:2
331:7	191:4 197:7 210:4	lost 211:8 286:13	lowest 43:23 346:7	86:19 104:19
loading 163:9	213:4 223:19	292:16	346:11 357:6	108:14 130:14
loads 225:9 261:7,8	228:23 234:4	lot 164:16 181:18	lunch 74:25 171:11	317:4 319:19
265:21 268:12	235:22 236:19	189:18 205:4	171:20 178:6,11	350:13 353:1
local 93:6	238:1 239:10	208:9 222:22	179:1 359:14	managed 277:17
located 146:24	254:8,23 267:9,15	243:11 254:3	LVP-1T 67:24	management 87:20
<b>location</b> 238:11,19	269:11 279:1	261:1 266:11	LVP-2 68:3	87:22 89:5 115:16
locations 244:14	283:21 285:20	269:1,3 284:11	LVP-3 68:4	115:17 234:4,5,9
lock 270:14 285:1	287:5 288:15	286:25 302:18	LVP-4 68:6	234:10,12 237:4,5
locked 279:19	290:13 296:23	314:23 317:14	LVP-5 68:8	237:20 352:1
logic 194:2	308:14 318:5	319:9 321:10	<b>LVP-6</b> 68:10	manager 172:16
logical 322:14	320:22 325:23	345:7,7 352:5		195:22 323:14
long 71:19 74:25	331:3,13,18 342:1	357:9,11	<u>M</u>	333:22
92:8 128:3 165:5	345:9 347:4,9	lots 250:6	<b>M</b> 39:13 41:3,5,8	managing 317:19
189:4 206:19,22	349:16,22 360:12	<b>loud</b> 307:8	41:10,13	manner 272:16
207:1 215:3 270:5	361:10 364:21	<b>love</b> 354:19	<b>M/B</b> 55:18,19	Manual 64:9
299:7 359:14	366:17 369:25	low 48:11 89:7	macroeconomic	<b>Manuel</b> 53:22
<b>long-run</b> 268:1	looked 199:13	117:7 158:10,20	97:4	map 238:19,21
long-standing	260:8 286:17	162:3 165:5,9,14	magnify 261:21	364:2
313:18	318:23 360:5	175:15 205:11	magnitude 284:6	maps 44:14
long-term 49:5	<b>looking</b> 102:22,24	211:9,12 242:24	magnitudes 220:15	<b>Mar</b> 40:21
158:4,7 159:25	106:20 108:10	246:24 280:3	main 90:7 210:17	March 58:5
161:5 235:7	140:2 159:21	282:4,20 283:1,8	281:20	Marcus 37:17
266:11	161:6 162:7	283:25 289:1	maintain 111:23	50:17 171:20
longer 78:8,14 80:1	170:10 189:12	353:23	134:12,25 149:19	172:11,15
148:2 150:24	191:10 202:6	low-humidity	149:24 156:1	margin 123:16
188:3 201:5	203:14 213:7	241:13	maintaining 90:15	126:15,19 129:16
206:13 211:20	246:17 248:9	low-income 51:17	maintenance 225:5 261:9 264:12	270:11 292:2
227:19 270:4	249:19 264:19	51:19 83:4 84:6		marginal 243:12
280:11	265:5 287:18	92:4 338:6,18	<b>major</b> 106:5 121:14 160:23 261:14,21	Marian 267:23
longer-term 206:11	326:16 327:5	339:23 351:6	268:19 275:7	mark 61:21,23
206:12	341:22 354:12	359:17,23,25	296:5 338:15	62:11 87:16,19
look 73:3 74:25	360:18 364:2	360:4 361:7 362:5	majority 123:21,22	329:20,23 348:9
77:11 81:23 87:10	366:9	lowball 154:23	135:2 204:1	marked 73:8 96:6
93:19 94:2 113:15	looks 92:11 169:20	lower 92:19 98:22	233:18 312:9	120:18 125:4,6
118:1,4 121:3	171:8 178:14	110:17,17 150:22	356:18	136:3 139:2
125:3 126:12,17	267:20 281:3	157:25 166:11,12	makeup 364:25	162:12 167:21
126:18 128:10	360:3 368:21	166:20,21,21	making 137:3	169:7 195:23
129:11 130:18	<b>Loop</b> 34:19	170:12,15,17	151:1 162:6	292:10 324:2
136:15 139:21 143:25 152:16	lose 240:17 301:5 losing 79:5 252:16	196:12 208:13 212:8 273:21	182:11,23 189:7	342:19 347:4 markedly 78:2
154:7 158:16	253:1,24 254:22	279:2,10 328:17	200:7 262:25	164:1
161:7,22 164:21	loss 278:20 289:18	331:15,23 350:18	289:18 300:25	market 41:20 42:12
101.7,44 104.41	1035 4 / 0.40 409.10	331.13,23 330.18	207.10 500.25	<b>11141 NCL</b> 41.20 42.12
	1	1	·	·

				<u> </u>
42:22 43:20 57:23	marks 113:22	39:11,13 40:6	188:12,17 189:8	<b>MEG-1T</b> 61:21
81:4,13 100:19	Mary 82:14	41:3,5,8,10,13	192:24 225:18,25	MEG-2 61:22
101:5,8 112:19	massive 270:22	110:15 135:25	226:3,8	MEG-3 61:24
132:6,16 133:1	mastery 101:23	138:16 145:20,22	measure 102:4	MEG-4 62:3
138:14 168:13	match 88:25	145:24 146:1,14	161:7 256:3	MEG-5 62:4
169:2 170:13	matched 66:3	146:22 147:16	measured 201:4	MEG-6 62:6
185:7 189:3 190:1	material 122:16,16	161:11 162:6	256:3 258:19	<b>MEG-7</b> 62:7
190:11,17 191:16	122:17 142:4	167:11 171:5	272:2	MEG-8 62:9
192:9,19 204:5,18	179:25 323:15	mean 75:6 77:17	measures 75:9	<b>MEG-9T</b> 62:11
204:20 206:1,7,15	335:1 336:2	88:17 103:13	256:7	megawatt 253:14
206:19 207:3	materialize 271:19	104:13 109:12	measuring 213:24	264:1
208:13,19 209:20	materialized 271:9	118:23 124:17	259:19 325:3	megawatts 269:23
212:3 213:1,2,11	materializes 186:25	150:21,23 153:2	mechanics 364:13	members 354:11
214:2 218:12	materializing	154:13 156:13	mechanism 44:4	mention 351:10
222:14,17 223:3,6	222:23	165:14,18 170:12	46:7 79:23 81:13	mentioned 80:15
223:17 226:23	materially 173:19	170:15 184:16,16	90:10,22 109:13	122:10 136:12
227:1,13 229:20	174:20	185:12 191:13	109:17,18 135:4	165:1 206:14
258:15 260:25	materials 306:10	201:24 204:20	144:5,9 186:23,25	207:2 236:2 304:5
261:5,21 267:13	307:21 308:9	206:12 218:4	253:3,23 254:20	314:9 336:11
269:7,13 270:15	310:23	229:3 236:20	335:25 336:5	344:23 346:3
270:16 275:8,9	<b>math</b> 111:18	249:22 254:8	mechanisms 39:17	347:15 353:16
281:4,7 283:13,14	283:17 290:9,9	255:12 257:23	121:19,23 122:3	356:17 363:8
283:22 285:15	296:7	269:20 270:18	154:18 272:5	364:17 367:12
288:3 291:8,22,25	<b>math-wise</b> 287:25	271:1 275:24	338:14,16,17	Mercifully 76:23
292:1,2 293:22	mathematical	278:11 279:21	median 216:1,8	merely 93:10
294:23 295:23	287:19 288:8	282:10,12 283:8	225:5 260:21,22	mesh 236:5,6,7
297:23 298:2,2,5	mathematically	284:4 285:21,24	262:21 265:19	240:14
298:9,17 300:9,17	287:17	287:22 290:8	297:5	met 71:22 124:5
300:18 357:3	matrix 42:6 45:20	295:3,16 301:5,7	medical 84:15	313:10,10
358:10 367:20	46:4 322:4,13	309:19 317:17,18	meet 78:11 84:23	method 46:6
368:3,5	matter 69:8 78:16	318:13,25,25	119:10,20 121:14	201:14
marketplace 259:8	93:12 94:4 133:21	319:14 364:18	122:1 123:2,8,13	methodologically
259:9 261:15	145:6 196:8 199:5	366:10	123:19 124:7	185:9 274:19
267:10 268:10	242:5 322:1	<b>meaning</b> 196:10	126:10 152:2	methodologies
270:10 276:10	329:25 337:17	238:7 265:2	156:4 268:9,11	362:1
284:15 285:5	matters 75:17	291:21	304:17 332:2	methodology 50:3
288:9 290:11	191:18 369:8	meaningful 109:9	357:20 358:15	53:14 181:22
291:20 296:2	maturities 124:11	means 86:5 88:18	meeting 75:8	182:20,22,23
markets 54:19	124:12,14 128:15	111:16 122:17	100:24,25 101:1	183:4,19,21
85:18 129:9,9,15	128:22,25 129:3,6	165:15 185:15	159:24 294:21	184:13,17,19
144:6,7 159:21,21	maturity 128:11	250:3 277:19	339:24	185:16 187:24
204:3 268:4 270:3	maximizing 113:25	296:9 339:16	meetings 100:22,23	189:2 196:15,25
283:18 357:4	114:4,11	351:18	363:2,4,6	198:13,18,21,24
358:9 367:20,22	<b>maximum</b> 301:4	meant 79:24 161:5	meets 100:19	199:8,16,17,19
368:1	<b>McCloy</b> 65:6,7	measurable 104:16	MEG-10 62:12	201:13 209:3,10
marking 72:20	<b>McKENZIE</b> 37:14	186:17,20 188:6	MEG-11 62:14	209:21 214:21
	<u> </u>			<u> </u>

	_	_		. 1
215:5 217:12	226:21,22 230:17	113:11 122:22	mistake 74:16	150:11 151:3
219:13 220:4,9,13	231:2,4,17,19	123:20,25 124:4	mistaken 73:8	157:17 165:19
223:23 224:1	232:2,18 244:25	126:21,21,23	misunderstanding	182:24 200:16
225:10 226:5	251:6,9 260:3	127:3,4,5,8,19	283:11 309:20	235:10
273:19 274:9	276:18,21 281:15	128:11 130:9	Mitchell 56:21	modest 324:8
299:3,19,25	286:2,12 289:6,9	133:8,14,17,18,23	mitigate 104:19	modestly 254:14
302:23 304:23	289:21 292:16,20	134:2,22 176:25	154:11 234:23	modification
310:2	295:1,6 303:22	177:1,7 184:8	244:12	216:22 256:1
metric 102:21,23	304:2 305:12,14	193:1,3,9,15	mitigation 41:25	modifications
119:13 360:22	306:4 320:13	200:9,9 207:11,12	47:8,9 241:23	216:24
metrics 51:4,6,11	321:20 323:7,10	215:18 233:3,7,13	243:15	modified 78:7
51:12 66:17 116:6	323:15 330:3,13	269:24 273:6,9,12	mitigative 241:17	80:15,22 158:13
117:6 119:2	330:24 332:9,10	273:16,17 274:10	mix 317:21	334:12
121:13 144:9	332:15 333:1,15	275:15 278:12,13	<b>MJG-1T</b> 50:17	<b>modify</b> 79:23 90:21
239:2 338:19,21	333:18,23 334:5,6	280:20 282:5,7,21	<b>MJG-2</b> 50:18	298:21 352:2
360:25 361:1,9,13	336:23 348:1,10	284:7,9 293:21,24	<b>MJG-3</b> 50:20	<b>moment</b> 78:14
362:10	349:4 359:6,14	294:4,13 298:15	<b>MJG-4</b> 50:21	173:10 174:3
<b>Meyer</b> 35:7 37:2,11	<b>Meyer's</b> 330:19	316:1 326:8,12,17	<b>Mm-hmm</b> 197:19	215:22 230:20
37:13,15,18,21,23	mic 131:24 324:12	326:25 327:12	295:18	367:3
38:3,7,9,14,16	MICHAEL 36:8	328:5,6,11 335:12	model 39:20,22,24	momentarily
69:17,18 70:1,1	microphone 76:19	335:13 340:6,9,18	40:5 42:9,18	163:10
71:5 72:12,15,17	307:7	341:4,10,17	53:17 59:17,19	<b>Monday</b> 69:2,4
73:3,16 74:1,3,8	microphones 75:4	344:10,11	61:20 124:21	monetary 159:19
74:12,16 76:17	mid-2020 43:23	<b>million's</b> 274:9	148:11,14,18,24	monetize 81:6
89:25 90:19 95:10	mid-C 283:15	millions 270:7	149:5 157:3	205:7
95:15,24,25 96:8	<b>mid-cap</b> 170:4	278:3 298:12	165:20 182:16	monetizing 79:18
101:10 108:18	middle 87:20,22	300:24 301:7	200:4,10 208:8	money 83:3 84:18
132:18 134:17	115:15,17 152:8	317:2	217:25 218:7	85:25 86:10 102:7
135:7,11,17	midpoint 157:13	mind 136:2 312:9	221:16 226:25	102:11 112:21
139:23,25 141:7	midterm 358:13	329:12	227:7 247:10	113:8 123:8
141:13,16 143:10	Mihaly 36:4	mindful 151:14	325:8 352:18	134:22 149:19,25
143:12,15 144:12	Mike 70:17	minimum 89:15	modeled 205:25	151:12 153:10
144:13 145:12,21	mike@nwenergy	139:14,19	208:14 224:9	205:4,5 208:1,5
145:25 146:2,10	36:10	minor 72:23 73:25	modeling 53:14	214:4,6 218:15
146:13 147:2	mile 238:10	minute 186:21	121:25 149:22	258:25 259:15
167:3 171:3,15,19	miles 236:8	368:22	150:4,7,9,14,24	278:4
172:6,17,19	Miller 38:13 47:14	minutes 72:11	156:24 157:6	monitor 362:11
173:10,24 174:3	47:23 71:17	74:20 76:21,24 82:8 171:9,10	158:12 161:14	month 83:16
175:2 176:8 178:2 178:8,17,23 179:6	175:25 320:14,18	178:9,11 250:18	164:12 165:12	116:23 284:3
178:8,17,23 179:6	320:24,25 321:15 321:25 322:25	321:11 333:2	182:7,12,13 209:1	340:17,21 342:5,7
186:9 197:15,23	321:25 322:25 323:13,23 324:11	Miscellaneous	209:17,23 219:12 220:12 221:15	342:7 347:15,18 348:7 363:4
198:3,8,10 203:4	Miller's 173:18	46:10	226:22 227:3	monthly 51:15,20
203:7 205:12	million 78:2,2	mislead 116:5	260:15,17 299:13	308:14 319:4,6
213:8,12 215:12	83:24 84:4,5 86:7	misreading 156:11	models 63:17 64:17	347:5 348:7 363:2
222:2,5 226:13,19	86:8,18,23 113:4	misspoke 166:17	85:2,5 149:13	363:6
222.2,3 220.13,19	00.0,10,23 113.4	misspoke 100.17	05.2,5 147.15	303.0
	•	•	•	•

months 79:6 83:16	324:16,23 325:13	<b>nation</b> 358:17	123:18 130:10	184:18 185:4
92:11 126:18,18	moves 160:21	National 40:7	133:3 134:22,24	186:19 189:14,17
126:19,19,22,24	166:1,4 284:8	68:11	134:25 142:24	199:12 202:5
201:9,10 206:13	moving 101:22	natural 43:13,15,22	148:5 150:15	209:3 212:25
208:12 209:9,14	107:11 226:19	46:7,12,17 47:18	166:14 168:4	221:1,4,8 223:24
211:21 225:7	325:19	47:20,21 48:3	174:4 178:16	224:8 228:2 270:2
283:21 296:24	MPE 252:6 262:11	49:25 50:5,8 52:7	191:4 196:4 206:4	293:21 313:11
300:3,5 307:20,24	Mueller 44:3	59:7,8,10,11,18	228:19 234:17	net's 215:20
309:10 312:5	292:11	60:8,12,16 64:4	240:3,4 244:17	neutral 190:6
340:13 341:3	Mullins 63:22,24	68:11 82:17	246:9 272:12	Neutrality 64:9
Moody's 116:14,17	64:11 219:19	153:15 204:3,4,8	291:9 299:13	never 182:3,3 200:9
116:19,25 117:19	310:14 369:14,15	204:9,16,22 205:2	302:10 303:17	270:24
110:19,23 117:19	,	, ,	306:16 317:4	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	370:3,5,17,18	205:10,15,18,19		never-ending
<b>Morgan</b> 138:1,3 <b>morning</b> 69:21	Mullins's 88:11	205:23 207:7	318:8 319:11,19 331:12 356:21	367:25 nevertheless 104:24
O	220:10 221:4,11	210:11,14,20		105:6
70:4,7,10,13,16	multi-language 362:19	211:1,12,23	357:25 358:15	
70:22,25 92:1,2		212:14 258:15	362:9 363:21	new 82:19,20 85:17
93:24 96:15,16	multi-year 79:9	259:8 269:8,15	364:8 366:15	86:14 88:5 108:12 108:17 129:1,2
110:8,9 135:21,22	105:10,13 107:24	282:24,25 283:16	needed 88:7 89:15	,
167:11 173:3,4	122:11 154:18	283:25 284:4,22	127:7 152:19	130:7 182:23
180:8 369:11	173:21 306:17,21	286:18 294:10	217:16,18 239:24	183:4 190:22
370:6,17	307:17 310:21	298:7,22 355:17	276:7 277:12	191:11,11,13,14
Moser 35:21 37:22	338:17	355:19 358:21,22	304:24 319:13	201:13 206:20,22
38:8 70:10,11	multiple 106:25	359:1	352:2 360:8	215:16 216:9,19
218:21,24 302:5	149:10 356:1,15	nature 335:17,18	364:15	217:1 219:8
motion 37:8,9	363:7	336:13	needs 84:20 87:2	228:14 237:16
75:19,20,22,24	multitude 90:2	near 74:10 102:20	88:3 98:23 151:14	240:22 268:20
76:5 95:2,5	MULTNOMAH	103:4 358:3,13	153:4 156:4	306:23 307:3
322:16,21 330:21	371:3	366:14 368:3	209:19 276:8	308:19,21 309:2,6
motions 37:8 75:18	Municipal 87:20	near-term 124:14	311:3 319:8,10	311:8 312:14
76:1,13,14	municipality	248:20	332:2 338:18	313:3 314:14,16
move 92:18 105:9	115:16	nearly 341:4	343:20 359:18,23	321:4
135:1 159:23	murmuring 178:10	343:17	359:25 361:7	news 101:15,17
161:2,19,23	muted 75:4	necessarily 264:13	362:5	nice 40:20 320:16
216:14 219:25	mutually 336:18	266:19	negative 124:19,23	Nicole 50:22
222:1 230:5 231:1	mystery 98:10	necessary 88:20	126:3 132:9	night 72:22 82:13
235:22 238:15	N	89:20 137:7	151:16,18 213:17	nine 209:14
270:4 272:13	N 66:6	149:23 156:4,8	213:19 214:5,8,10	nitpick 264:8
274:23 280:13	name 82:10 95:16	315:25 317:8	327:10	NLH-1T 50:22
284:11 297:9	146:20,22 172:9	necessitating 83:3	negligent 86:17	NLH-2 50:24
316:16 319:9	179:20 232:4	need 72:24 77:23	negotiable 178:12	nods 250:19 305:18
322:11,12 370:7	251:10,12 323:11	79:23 83:19 85:3	neither 220:13	non-company
moved 261:16	323:13 333:19	87:1 88:12,15	371:16	302:18
299:18 303:2	Named 334:15,18	89:19 95:19	net 79:19 81:6	non-English 365:1
312:21,23,23	335:6,22	107:13 119:10	128:9 181:18,18	365:4
movement 324:8	333.0,44	122:7,8 123:4,8	182:19 183:8,8	non-healthy 250:1
	l	l ————————————————————————————————————	l	ı

	I	İ	Ī	Ī
non-i.i.d 63:17	notify 93:1	170:10,11 183:17	344:16,17 347:24	occurrences 108:13
Non-Utility 40:5	<b>Nov</b> 54:17	218:2,10,11	348:3,8,12,19,24	occurs 314:11
50:12	novel 182:2	310:24 340:11	349:8,10 350:11	Oct 45:8 56:22
Non-Wires 47:6	November/Dece	347:21 349:22	350:16 369:9	October 340:5,12
nonconfidential	310:24	350:1	<b>O&amp;M</b> 46:10	342:16 371:20
74:7	<b>NPE</b> 58:3	nutshell 226:7	<b>Oakland</b> 36:14	<b>odd</b> 277:19
nonpayment 92:13	Nucleus 52:3	<b>NV</b> 36:18	<b>object</b> 71:5,15,19	offer 86:13 101:17
92:16	<b>number</b> 39:3,7 40:2	<b>NW</b> 36:7,8	108:18 134:17	231:18 273:19
noon 94:11 369:3	41:2 42:2 43:2	<b>NWEC</b> 53:3 64:21	143:7 215:12	338:10 352:15
370:21	44:2 45:2 46:2	65:11,13,16 70:15	289:6 330:1,15	<b>offered</b> 235:24
noon-to-1:00	47:2 48:2 49:2	70:17 88:23 93:20	347:22	offering 154:2
370:20	50:2 51:2 52:2	93:23,25 359:16	<b>objection</b> 72:1 95:4	303:13
Nordman 54:21	53:2 54:3 55:2	368:16,20	144:11 289:10	<b>Office</b> 35:12,17
norm 264:20	56:2 57:2 58:2		295:9 320:12	54:18
318:13	59:2 60:3 61:2	0	330:5,12,22	<b>officer</b> 87:15 96:2,3
<b>normal</b> 77:16	62:2 63:2,21 64:2	O'Neill 35:16 37:3	348:10,15	97:1,3
256:16 261:13,13	64:22 65:2,22	37:12,16,22 38:4	objections 71:4	<b>offset</b> 46:4 117:8
261:20 266:4,7,10	66:2,23 67:2,23	38:8,17 70:7,8	76:12	129:17 130:5
268:2,3 282:10,17	68:2 73:15,23	71:21 74:1,4,9	objective 137:14	265:21 293:23
282:19	85:24 111:3	82:5 89:25 110:3	222:10	294:14 356:4
normalization	112:23 113:6,9	110:7 131:11,12	objectives 240:5	<b>offsets</b> 46:4 191:6
50:21 262:13	120:19 123:23	131:21,22,25	obligation 92:15	191:11,19,20
264:6 265:8,9	126:2 127:3 136:2	132:3 134:21	358:15	246:13
267:24 272:3	139:25 142:17	140:23,25 143:7	obligations 51:8	offsetting 129:24
normalize 257:12	148:4 149:7	147:6,12,15 163:8	294:22 313:10	191:4 192:1
normalized 46:21	162:11 168:9,18	163:12,15 172:13	357:21	201:15,17,21,23
257:1 260:17	197:15 213:6,11	195:4,8 197:11,14	observe 75:6	326:21
261:10 262:11,22	217:6 222:7	197:16,19,21	observed 185:23	<b>oh</b> 118:9 167:25
263:3,14 264:16	234:15 238:13	198:1,5,11 203:5	192:15	211:2 273:3
265:14 266:4	247:2 255:9	203:12,15,20	observing 191:15	279:21 290:18
267:21 275:4,6	260:18,19 265:13	205:14 213:10,14	<b>obvious</b> 266:1,5	307:8 318:14
normally 148:1	271:1 273:21	215:15,17 219:4	obviously 153:4	320:3
291:3	275:12 276:24	226:11,16 232:12	165:25 245:8	okay 70:12,24 71:8
normals 283:6	287:7 290:11	232:16 244:2	263:14 307:19	72:4 73:3,13,16
North 47:11 54:16	292:17,24 293:19	274:17 276:19,23 276:25 281:20	310:1 314:25	73:20,24 74:8
<b>Northwest</b> 206:16	296:12 298:24	285:10 286:13	315:3 316:16	94:25 96:17 99:2
235:14 245:11	309:2 326:12,13	289:7,12,16,22	336:15	100:19 101:9,22
276:4	327:13 338:1	291:16,19 292:18	occur 119:25	103:8 105:9
notable 204:6	339:1,20 343:2,3	291.10,19 292.18	184:11 239:6	107:11 109:3,4
note 93:17 97:24	343:8,21 344:22	292.22 293.4,12 293:17,18 295:3,7	243:12 256:16	110:25 117:3
136:3 141:1	347:16 348:17	295:17,18 295:3,7	267:10 311:2	119:5,9,24 120:16
169:12 180:23	349:23 350:7	301:13,25 321:1,8	357:11	121:16 123:18,22
362:22	352:6,7 360:4,6	301:13,25 321:1,8	occurred 238:22	127:7,17 128:20
noted 74:18	numbered 168:8	330:8,18 337:4	286:20 296:3	133:4 136:7 137:1
Notes 45:24	numbers 133:25	341:6 343:11	315:25 354:23	137:7,10,15,22,25
<b>notice</b> 58:17 72:22	134:14 168:3	J <del>1</del> 1.0 J <del>1</del> J.11	occurrence 268:3	138:5,13,17,24
L	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I

				<u> </u>
139:2,4,8,13,21	321:16,19 322:24	236:25 322:3	134:25 141:21	257:10 258:2
140:8,22 143:12	322:25 324:6,25	operate 80:19	171:13 182:18	259:5
143:13 145:4	325:15,19 326:3	311:4	230:21 265:6	<b>outputs</b> 182:13
146:2 147:22,25	326:12 327:5,13	operates 194:5	314:2 319:19,25	outreach 93:3
148:7 154:10	328:9,14,19 329:5	operating 108:6	332:2 334:22	363:12
155:5 156:23	329:8,15 330:4,18	117:5 225:11	335:8 337:14	outset 80:15
158:3 162:4,15	330:23,25 331:2	235:13 265:15	<b>ordered</b> 328:15	<b>outside</b> 120:15
163:5,11,16	331:10 332:7,11	270:11 351:25	ordinarily 164:12	144:6 227:20
166:16,25 167:13	332:13,24 333:4	operation 86:17	165:13 207:24	240:8,11 268:8
167:17 168:15,24	338:3 339:5,9	233:12	<b>Oregon</b> 67:6 371:2	309:17
169:1,6,21,25	340:3 341:8,14	operational 312:24	371:6,24	outstanding 75:18
170:6,10,18,21	343:11 347:6	operations 89:4	organization	75:25 76:6 124:12
171:1 172:9,14	348:8,12,14,15,23	117:21,25 120:22	119:22	over- 137:12
173:9,13,16 174:6	349:5 350:16	128:17,23 131:4,9	original 187:3	over-collecting
174:14,19,23	359:7 362:14	134:12 234:3	202:13 210:4,7	277:16
175:11,13,22	364:17 365:7	270:12 308:16	213:4 242:3 254:2	over-collections
176:2,18,20,21	367:6,14 368:7,25	opine 275:12	273:14 340:1	217:15,19
177:3,4,9,14,19	369:2,6,7,24	<b>opinion</b> 116:14,19	341:7	over-forecast
177:25,25 178:8	370:7,16	154:1 308:2 336:9	originally 234:16	205:20
181:24 183:4	<b>old</b> 236:15 240:15	364:12	237:7 296:1	over-forecasted
184:12 187:3	older 349:12	opinions 101:17	317:11 320:18	288:22
188:19 189:7	Olympia 35:13	354:11,20	334:11,13	over-forecasting
190:22 192:24	omitted 161:13	opportunities 42:5	<b>Orin</b> 47:8	205:17
193:25 197:7	once 135:12 234:14	191:14	ought 166:12	over-forecasts
198:9,9,10 203:18	284:12	opportunity 71:19	outage 45:24 237:3	204:9
203:19 204:25	one's 76:3 293:9	76:20 81:22 82:6	237:5 238:4,12	over-recovery
207:2,22 210:6	one- 336:7,10	94:3 115:10 117:2	264:13	254:17
213:23 214:4	one-time 335:16,18	155:24 156:15	outages 225:5	overage 277:20
219:5,11,15,17,18	336:13	162:16,22 199:14	237:6,6 261:8	overall 126:4
219:22 221:23	one-year 78:16,19	202:7 224:11	262:16,18 264:12	132:20 175:16
223:11 224:1,17	79:2 133:20	291:22 308:4,11	outcome 79:15	313:11 317:18
224:23 226:20,21	310:20	309:5,6 312:4	119:14,14 123:5	325:5,6 365:6
228:22 230:7,10	ones 73:7 85:20	oppose 91:3	135:3 151:2	overestimation
230:13,24 231:20	120:22,24 275:12	opposed 223:21	255:13 268:4	270:11 283:12
234:2,21 243:17	ongoing 128:17,22	243:5 301:10,13	270:9 358:24	overhead 45:24
246:1 247:11,15	236:22 256:17	opposite 187:17	371:18	239:3,13 242:12
248:5 249:17	312:12 336:14	<b>option</b> 112:14	outcome's 275:20	overnight 159:14
250:11,19 253:18	346:1 366:22	<b>options</b> 79:20	outcomes 129:8	332:19
255:14 256:8,14	online 75:8 307:11	297:22 356:24	131:18 140:16	overrule 144:11
264:6 277:19	355:2,4	ORAL 37:7	256:16 266:15	oversight 221:17
289:14 293:6	open 100:19 168:11	<b>orange</b> 349:17	290:24	221:20
297:20 300:11	246:22 354:9	order 44:5 45:6	outgoing 113:16	overstates 196:16
302:1,25 303:14	<b>opening</b> 37:2,3,4,5	48:14 67:6 69:20	outlook 40:23	overstating 299:1
303:19 305:4,7,10	72:9 74:19 76:15	76:9 79:19 87:2	121:6,8 132:4	overtake 221:10
305:18,23 310:4	82:2 89:24 94:1	98:18 105:7	151:16,18	owes 92:14
320:3,9 321:7,13	94:18,24 183:1	109:19 114:8	output 182:14	<b>Owned</b> 68:11
			^	

owners 264:2	197:16,21,23,24	50:17,19,23,24	paid 84:2 86:8 88:3	345:20 362:20
ownership 139:6	198:2,7,12 199:22	51:3,5,6,8,9,11,12	112:21 113:4	363:9,13
140:4	203:2 206:2,4	51:14,22,24,25	139:10 277:8	particular 103:7
140.4	210:5,6 213:6,7,9	52:3,5,6,7,8,10,11	panel 320:16	148:22
P	217:5 219:16	52:12,14,15,17,18	paper 41:25 42:3	particularly 72:21
<b>P</b> 36:8	228:23 252:5,9,11	52:20,21,23 53:12	53:15 162:24	204:3 205:16
P.C 35:22	252:19,21 255:16	53:15,16,18,19,21	163:1,4,14 170:8	291:8
<b>p.m</b> 179:2,2 250:22	261:25 265:13	53:22,23 54:4,6,8	344:3	parties 74:24 75:16
321:23 370:24	272:14,21,24	54:9,11,13,14,17	papers 167:23	76:2 77:18,22
<b>P.O</b> 35:8,13	276:15 291:11,15	54:20,23,24 55:3	168:12	78:18 79:11
pace 178:15	292:15,21,22,23	55:4,5,7,8,10,11	paragraph 98:10	103:11,21 104:3
Pacific 206:16	293:4,19,19,19	55:12,14,15,17,18	117:4 118:8 132:5	106:13 120:15
PacifiCorp 81:2,11	295.4,19,19,19	55:19,20,21,23,24	132:6 163:21	141:11 191:1
81:14 90:21	313:17 327:5			198:15 223:13
PacifiCorp's 80:24	329:18 331:13,14	56:3,4,8,9,11,12 56:14,18,20,22,23	203:3,24 337:15 343:6	224:7 227:11
81:5				250:19 254:9
pacing 75:2	337:18,24,25 338:1,3 339:10	56:25 57:4,6,8,9 57:14,16,19,20,22	Parcell 54:24 Parcell's 160:16	250:19 254:9 255:13 261:1
pad 134:14	, and the second			
page 41:17,17 56:6	340:2 341:6 342:1 343:4,9,12 347:8	57:24 58:3,4,5,6,8 58:9,11,12,14,16	pardon 160:3 parentheses 343:20	273:18,23 279:14 280:2 298:24
56:16 57:11,13,17	′ ′	58:19,20,22,23,25	_	
58:17 60:20,21,22	347:10 350:5,9,11 355:16,21 362:17	, , , ,	parity 173:17	302:15,19 305:16
60:23,24,25 67:11	364:21	59:3,7,8,10,11,13	174:20,24 324:8	309:7,10 322:7 326:2 329:12
68:7,9,12 73:19		59:14,16,17,20,22	324:16,16 325:1 325:21	
96:21,22,23,24	page-numbered 130:25	59:23,25 60:4,6,7		353:24 354:6
97:11,22 98:2,3		60:9,11,12,14,15	Parsons 36:17	363:24 364:4 370:7 371:17
101:22 103:8	pages 34:10 39:9	60:17,19 61:3,4,5 61:6,7,9,10,11,12	<b>part</b> 85:19 115:1,5 117:3 149:21	parties' 90:1 274:2
105:9,14,14,15	39:10,12,13,15,16			-
106:4 107:11,18	39:18,19,20,21,22 39:24,25 40:3,4,5	61:13,14,15,16,17 61:19,20,21,23,24	180:24 181:4,25 203:21,21 206:14	partner 358:16 partners 191:17
109:6 117:4 118:4	40:6,8,9,10 41:15	62:3,5,6,8,10,11	209:24 224:15	208:15 354:7
121:3,6,7,8,17	41:18,19,20,24	62:13,14,16,17,19	209.24 224.13	366:21
125:10,12 126:12	42:3,5,6,8,9,10,11	62:20,22,24 63:4	235:15 234.22	parts 142:19
127:1 128:10	42:3,5,0,8,9,10,11	63:5,7,8,10,11,13	244:4,7 246:16	party 46:10 72:11
129:11 130:25	42:13,14,10,17,18	63:14,16,17,19,23	247:11 256:6	74:20 75:6 108:20
131:1,19 139:21	42.20,21,23,23	63:24 64:3,5,6,8,9	258:19,19 276:1,8	199:5 241:20
139:25 140:1	44:11,13,14,22,23	64:11,12,14,15,16	315:21 354:7,18	292:2 348:15
147:22 148:7	44:11,13,14,22,23	64:17,18,20,24	360:22 361:12,14	pass 104:12,24
150:16 152:5,8	· ·	65:3,5,6,7,9,10,11	363:10	105:6
154:7 155:22	46:5,6,7,9,11,13	65:12,14,15,16,19		
160:19 163:4,7,7	46:14,16,17,19,22	, , , ,	part's 146:3	passed 103:22
163:12 169:14,25	46:23,25 47:3,5,7	65:23,25 66:4,5,7	participants 292:6	104:11,13
174:1,2 175:11	47:8,10,11,13,14	66:8,9,10,11,13	347:12,17 350:8 <b>participate</b> 107:8	<b>passing</b> 145:10 <b>past-due</b> 93:11
176:19 177:3	47:15,16,17,19,20 47:22,23,24 48:3	66:14,15,17,18,19	304:6 351:25	-
180:14,19 181:3	, ,	66:20,21,25 67:3		paths 366:20
182:6 183:4	48:20,21,23,24	67:5,6,8,9,12,14	352:10,10	patiently 353:8
193:21,23,24	49:3,4,6,8,18,22	67:15,17,19,20,24	<b>participating</b> 345:1 346:4 347:19	pausing 281:16
196:5,7 197:8,15	49:24 50:3,4,5,7,8	68:3,5 87:5,9		pay 84:18 85:25
170.5,1 171.0,13	50:10,11,13,14,16	308:6 355:13	participation	86:2,7 87:13,23
	<u> </u>		ı	1

113:8,13 115:17	352:6,7	356:12 360:10,10	<b>Perry</b> 67:24	108:23 136:18,22
128:7 149:4 169:5	<b>Pepple</b> 35:21 37:4	364:22,24,25,25	persist 66:11 77:6	137:2,8,13,20
209:5 256:24	37:13,16,19 38:14	364:25 365:2,3,5	person 72:3 290:8	137.2,8,13,20
	, ,		1 -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
257:20 258:2	70:11 71:10,12,14	365:10,18,19	personally 298:16	169:16 170:16,19
279:16 301:19,20	72:5 76:3 89:23	percent's 274:1	perspective 90:6	170:24 173:21
301:21	135:20 136:10,12	percent-ish 276:4	102:21 123:16	216:25 217:2
paying 83:17 91:16	139:24 140:1,2	percentage 176:13	140:9 189:6	232:23 233:2,5
240:2 243:21	167:7,10,25 168:2	176:14 177:10,11	192:14 274:6	234:11 235:1,1,16
257:8,10,14,15,17	172:22 173:2,12	177:17,20,22	pertinent 212:18	236:2,2,14,19
payment 257:22,24	173:14,25 174:1,5	228:1 277:25	pessimistic 193:19	239:1,17 240:5
258:23	174:14 175:11	350:2 352:19	<b>petition</b> 45:6 335:3	241:15 242:2
payments 123:25	176:9 321:11	percentages 143:2	phonetic 136:5	243:9 244:8
pays 258:9	323:19,22 324:15	perception 143:18	<b>phrase</b> 254:18	245:20,21 304:20
<b>PBR</b> 51:4,6,10,12	324:20,22 329:2	perceptions 142:7	phrased 103:2	306:18 307:16,17
<b>PC</b> 60:20,21,22,23	329:11,15,22	perfectly 288:10	pick 186:20 191:20	307:18,23 309:16
60:24,25	331:2,3 369:15,19	<b>perform</b> 350:14	223:20 270:16	309:18 311:1,6
<b>PC3</b> 130:18	perceive 142:18	352:23	<b>picked</b> 201:8	320:10 326:13,17
<b>PC4</b> 116:11	percent 82:23 83:8	performance 52:18	295:14	327:25 329:10
<b>PCAM</b> 52:19	83:10,21 84:25	116:2 117:5 130:3	<b>picking</b> 265:23	335:24 336:3,8,10
<b>PDF</b> 96:22 198:1	85:3 88:2 89:7,9	142:20,21 225:11	pickle 98:3	336:18 338:17
peak 100:6 265:21	98:12 113:14	256:25 265:16	picks 264:9	361:14 362:12,20
268:9 294:22	118:1,3,6,6,11,12	performance-bas	picture 81:10	363:9 370:16
peaked 99:6,9,21	118:19,24,24	338:16 361:1,13	125:10	planned 261:9
99:23 100:1	120:24 121:1,2,5	performed 168:19	piece 255:3 283:17	332:18
peer 235:14	124:1,3 127:24	168:25 174:15	333:2	planning 47:6
peers 142:12,14,16	132:10,11 134:6	338:22 360:1	<b>pieces</b> 334:14	48:21,23 121:22
142:24,25 228:1,3	138:21 143:2,3	performing 366:3	<b>Pilot</b> 67:17	127:13
235:23 245:14	156:8 160:6	period 83:23 99:17	<b>pivot</b> 261:24	plans 71:1 86:12
pejorative 108:25	167:19 168:18	100:18 124:20	pivoted 298:18	88:9 154:18
<b>penalized</b> 80:10,11	169:2 170:13	134:10 144:22	<b>place</b> 100:10 144:8	241:19 275:25
311:14	177:12,17 208:21	162:9 165:5,9	154:18 185:16	306:21 310:20,21
penalty 80:5,5	208:25 210:9,12	188:3 189:4 201:5	188:19,20 244:16	362:16 366:25
357:17	210:12,20 233:16	207:1 216:8	244:17 254:25	plant 42:12,23
pendency 108:3	234:2,3,8,25	225:12 234:2	261:24 277:17	176:11,13,22
pending 145:6	236:15 273:13,15	264:22 265:23	288:17 338:14	177:5,10,12,20,22
335:11	276:3 301:10	277:24 289:23,24	360:14 364:6,15	225:11 265:15
penetration 345:17	312:10 339:13,17	298:4,10 299:6,6	places 148:13	270:25 291:10
346:10 350:2	339:24,25 341:25	299:7,9,11 315:21	218:15	306:24 307:1
penny 128:7	342:4,6,12,13,18	334:8,23 335:5,13	placing 255:11	311:21 313:23,25
pension 136:22	342:25 343:10,17	335:24 340:8	plan 44:23 45:3,8,9	314:22
137:5,17 138:12	343:19 344:21	364:11	45:11,13,16 47:5	plants 216:23
170:16	345:8,11,14	Periodic 122:6	48:18 49:24 51:23	222:20 229:24
people 83:13 89:6	346:13,13 349:17	periods 298:12	78:16,19 79:9	262:16 265:20
89:17 101:17	349:17,24 351:15	permission 333:24	82:11,23 91:17	269:21,22 270:11
192:18 262:15	351:18,22,23	perpetuated 243:2	105:10,13 107:14	270:17,23 271:21
319:4 346:7,8,10	353:20 354:13	perpetuating 240:9	107:20,24 108:16	284:7 315:10
317.7 370.7,0,10	333.20 337.13		107.20,27 100.10	207.7 313.10
	-	-	•	•

	•	ī	-	
<b>play</b> 181:19	pole 237:11 239:2,7	294:6 305:1	182:1,1,19 183:8	272:11
Playbook 47:7	239:12,18,23	323:12 333:20	183:8,15 184:18	Pre-tax 126:15
pleas 270:19	240:3,15,16	positions 94:2	185:4,17 186:19	<b>pre-WC</b> 117:20
please 74:11 75:8	311:19	270:17 284:13,25	187:14,19 189:15	118:10,18
94:6 95:9,16	poles 236:4 240:13	positive 101:20	189:17,21,22,23	<b>predict</b> 85:3 149:14
97:11 98:1 111:3	policies 92:6	126:3 213:17,19	190:7,12,15,15,18	162:4 164:12
131:23 134:20	policy 51:25 58:15	214:4,12	193:6,8,14 194:11	186:14,16,24
139:25 172:9	58:17 142:12,13	possible 106:12	195:14,19 196:11	189:16 199:12
179:4,7,9,20	159:19 225:19	115:22 137:11	196:14,16,20,21	200:16 202:5
181:2 197:15	310:9 333:22	174:23 194:17,21	197:5 198:14	212:14,16,19,20
203:4 219:15	policymakers	230:23 294:5,18	199:12,15 200:2,8	212:21,22 213:1
226:15 230:18	266:17	304:9 349:2 358:7	202:3,5,17,21	214:22 215:7
231:25 232:3	poor 210:17 211:22	possibly 80:21	204:21 205:1,22	216:15,17 288:10
250:25 251:10	Poor's 55:13,19	352:22	208:8 209:2,3	predicted 85:5
286:2,5,10 289:11	120:21 123:19	post-enrollment	210:9 211:9,11	149:15 158:10
289:20 305:23	<b>poorer</b> 142:21	345:3	212:7,25 214:24	161:25
307:6 323:1,11	<b>poorly</b> 103:2	potential 47:13	214:25 215:21	predicting 101:5
324:12 329:13	popped 86:15	71:16 102:24	216:23 219:12	216:13
333:9,20	population 342:18	137:4 151:19	220:4,8,12,19,20	prediction 235:12
<b>pleased</b> 260:23	360:13 365:6	156:2 235:12	221:2,5,8,9	264:5
<b>plenty</b> 309:6	populations 92:5	237:14 241:9	222:13 223:24	predictions 151:1
pocket 365:23	portfolio 77:24	245:9 250:4 358:9	224:8,17,20,23	162:5,6
<b>pockets</b> 366:18	78:1 88:13,16	367:13,15	225:11,15 226:4,9	predictive 215:7
<b>point</b> 69:20 71:8	104:15 114:19	potentially 107:10	227:12 228:2	295:22
74:22 91:2 98:5	169:3 181:10,15	189:1 230:4	238:8 240:23	predictor 282:25
100:10 121:7	186:2 187:5	245:18 247:5	249:4 253:3,6,9	predicts 148:19
129:12 143:5	192:25 199:16	258:14 264:14	254:12 257:9,12	preface 125:13
148:22 154:10	207:17 215:18	300:24 343:16,17	257:15,18 258:11	169:18
155:18,22 159:11	223:1 225:24	344:19 345:5	258:13,19,24	<b>prefer</b> 89:21 231:13
160:12,17,18	226:7 260:5	<b>poverty</b> 83:10 89:9	259:15 260:10,12	365:18,19
171:11 207:2	270:25 278:16	89:13 339:25	260:18 261:11,17	preference 135:13
219:7 240:1 254:5	291:10 294:16	346:19 349:24	264:21 265:15,20	<b>prefiled</b> 71:3,18
257:3 265:12	310:6	<b>Powell</b> 40:23	266:20 270:2	75:13 96:4
285:7 299:16	<b>portion</b> 139:10,12	power 42:14,24	275:23 284:25	preliminarily 168:9
313:5 327:24	139:12 335:7	44:4 52:9,11,13	287:20 294:3,14	<b>premise</b> 92:9,17
339:19 341:19	344:9	52:15,16 53:14	294:23 299:25	164:14
358:6,23 368:12	Portland 35:23	66:12 76:25 77:1	300:15 302:20	premium 39:25
point-in-time	position 95:17	77:14,21 78:15	303:10 304:25	55:21 61:14 166:4
360:12	104:8 110:15	79:16,19 80:1,13	<b>PPA</b> 93:19,22	166:5 207:3 208:9
pointed 155:13	142:4 146:20	81:6,20 85:13,18	264:1,2	222:18 229:22
205:14,23 296:23	154:24 179:21	86:17 88:4 90:8	<b>PPAs</b> 82:20 88:4,7	257:22 283:22
363:23	189:5 190:6,21	90:22 114:22	practice 291:3	premiums 283:23
pointing 147:7	192:18 197:7	119:7,22 129:9,18	313:18	284:16 291:19
198:4 302:9	208:12 251:11	129:25,25 130:6	Practices 64:9	prepared 43:13
points 77:21 118:13	254:16 269:15	144:6 180:25	pre-embed 271:7	157:15 342:15
160:7	287:8 291:25	181:5,9,18,23	pre-embedding	preparing 322:5

341:15	<b>price</b> 43:9 142:20	198:15,22,25	38:1 145:18	352:2,9,21 353:3
pres- 181:18	204:21,21 205:1,2	296:11 327:23	171:24 198:15	363:13
prescribed 334:19	205:3 207:18,21	353:16	250:22 255:4	programmatic
present 68:5,7,9,12	207:25 208:13	prioritize 92:6	270:20 301:16	311:20,24
125:8 280:14	209:4 222:18	pro 42:10,11,19,21	304:13 321:23	programs 93:6
325:5	256:20,23 261:18	49:23,25 180:24	370:24 371:8,9,11	353:19 356:3
presentation 48:22	266:22 268:13	181:5,9 189:7	371:13,14	360:14,24
65:3 125:7,8,14	281:8,8,24,24	200:2 224:23	process 75:1 80:7	progress 235:25
presented 157:2	283:15,16 284:3	225:3,20 272:3	80:12 81:18	project 36:2 47:8
167:14 242:1	284:11,12 285:9	327:22	102:10 209:24	65:21 70:12,14
presenter 125:7	300:9,12,19 357:5	probability 240:16	224:7,10,15	88:19 91:25 92:3
presenting 77:7	prices 43:13,21,23	243:3 249:7	225:17 234:15	93:20 257:11
81:23 242:2	61:6 132:7,16	probably 87:24	299:25 303:1,6,12	263:21 264:21
presents 91:8	133:1 190:7 204:4	158:1 183:22	303:15 304:7,16	265:6 274:24
president 146:22	204:5,8,10 205:9	208:17 256:5	307:1,2,14 312:4	276:16 311:22,23
179:23	205:18,19 206:1	273:22 288:9,11	319:2,3 322:5	312:21,22 317:13
presiding 69:8	207:8,20 208:8,16	357:8 370:2,5	332:17 345:4	319:5,10,11
press 101:9,10	208:17,19,23	<b>problem</b> 81:5 132:2	processing 107:13	344:18 345:8
111:8 114:14,16	209:2 210:10,11	155:12 208:4	procure 259:7	353:7 354:20
130:19 172:13	210:20,23 211:1,4	215:1,2 216:19	procurement 191:3	project-by-project
Press-Release	211:9,12,23 212:3	268:22,23 275:8	191:10	310:10,13
44:18	212:5,7,7,14,19	281:20	<b>produce</b> 223:24	projected 84:24
pressure 54:16	212:20,21 214:3	problematic 79:21	226:25 246:13	161:17 170:18
317:17,22	253:13 260:25	92:6	produced 202:23	214:6 280:20
pressures 112:10	261:4,5,17 265:22	problems 154:14	production 176:22	316:10 343:23,24
314:24 317:10	266:20 269:8	164:16 210:24	177:10,20,22	projection 118:22
presumably 160:22	275:14,18,19	368:18	281:22	119:5,17,19
presume 290:4	276:13 282:12,16	procedural 94:4	products 291:9	149:10 342:9,25
<b>pretty</b> 143:24	282:19,25,25	<b>proceed</b> 76:15 95:1	Professional 67:3	projections 149:11
152:20 219:2	283:9,24,25	95:6,18,23 96:10	371:7	156:24 158:17,17
260:23 266:1,8	288:10 291:22	112:13 123:3	professionals 85:16	158:18,20 159:3
268:20 270:20	292:6 298:7,23	135:14 141:10,12	294:19	205:22 226:6
284:4 288:16	299:20,22 300:9	143:20 146:9	<b>profile</b> 55:3 246:17	262:25 280:22
302:10,13 309:1	pricing 39:22,23	147:4,11 167:2,3	<b>profound</b> 79:3 81:1	281:13 289:3
312:13 347:22	225:7	167:6 171:17	290:19	307:18
prevalent 245:11	primarily 117:6	172:3,21 179:13	<b>program</b> 51:17,19	projects 88:13
prevent 240:8	189:5 190:1 234:5	180:3 230:21	84:5 234:10	150:14 306:16
245:18	245:22	231:25 251:18	248:23 249:11,12	307:3 312:11
previous 182:20	primary 338:5	306:3 321:14	250:10 311:20	314:14 315:7
219:11 220:3,11	<b>principle</b> 166:11,12	323:6,18 331:1	331:6 338:7	317:3 318:11
221:19 255:4	166:16,23 220:7	333:14,23 334:3	339:12 340:11,16	prompt 208:12
270:20 318:22	printout 168:2	353:8 370:19	340:19,22,23,24	<b>proper</b> 309:14
338:18	<b>prior</b> 92:14 93:11	<b>proceeding</b> 69:23	341:3,13 342:15	<b>properly</b> 103:22
previously 129:15	107:21,21 152:25	109:2 219:9 368:13	343:14 344:23	104:11,13
186:18 217:4 335:7	153:20 158:3,15 162:16 174:13		345:2,8,13,25	<b>proportion</b> 351:2,2 351:3
333.1	102.10 1/4:13	proceedings 37:1	346:5 351:9,10,23	331.3
				1

proportional 325:4	91:3 151:21	71:17,22 73:5	purpose 139:22	quantifying 286:20
proposal 65:11	232:19 233:11	75:21 82:4,9	140:3,8 151:3	Quantitative 39:14
78:7 79:13 187:3	285:16 304:15	83:14 88:24 89:3	189:10	quantities 191:12
228:17 254:2	306:18 308:6,20	91:4,6 110:1		294:23
273:14 274:20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	111:1 115:8 125:5	<b>purposes</b> 137:17 140:3 188:21	
	308:22 322:7,8			<b>quantity</b> 258:11
278:15 288:19,24	328:23 340:18	131:21 141:17,18	231:10	301:6
290:2	341:4,20 361:10	147:10 169:13	pursue 233:20	quarter 124:10
proposals 89:2 93:8	361:12 362:11	171:10 173:7	pushed 108:15	125:6,19,19,19,22
103:11 272:22	<b>provides</b> 92:3	174:8 195:3	<b>pushing</b> 298:7	125:24,25 126:5
<b>propose</b> 273:5	128:5 136:16	198:22,25 226:15	299:21	126:11 131:4
348:2	139:5 169:15	232:10 246:3	put 79:7 92:15	132:8 133:2
proposed 42:14,24	<b>providing</b> 105:17	274:14 286:7	93:12 104:7	211:17 280:24
47:15,16,17,18,20	286:8 309:21	296:20 320:18,20	107:13,15,16	quarterly 111:10
47:21,24 48:3	318:20 338:20	321:3,25 322:4,5	116:22 145:1	130:20 363:3
51:6,7,10 58:20	360:25	324:1 337:1 352:4	148:24 154:23	Quebec 357:2
59:6 64:19 68:10	provisional 53:20	353:17 354:21	164:5 182:16	367:16,19
85:12 114:25	88:13 306:24	363:9	194:24 199:7	question 80:23
115:4 193:16	307:1 308:2,10,22	published 101:15	208:22 215:10	103:2 104:22
199:17 216:15	313:2,23 314:8	162:24	223:23 235:18	108:15,19,21
224:17 229:14	315:10 318:21	<b>PUC</b> 67:6 194:7,9	242:23 244:12	110:10,16 134:5
274:7 279:8	<b>Proxy</b> 55:9,11,12	194:21	245:17 276:23	134:18,23 136:14
281:12 304:4,22	55:15,18 61:5,17	<b>PUD</b> 52:9,11	289:11 305:1	143:8 144:19
309:10 336:18	prudence 109:20	256:19	307:21 310:15,23	145:1,2,9 149:1
proposes 183:7	prudency 269:25	<b>Puget</b> 44:3 292:8	317:17,21 318:14	157:14 161:1
proposing 77:3,24	309:14 318:22	294:2,12,19,21	327:24 330:10	162:5 163:9
88:16 185:5 187:9	prudent 104:6	<b>Puget's</b> 81:5 107:21	<b>puts</b> 310:16	166:16 174:5
227:23,24 229:4	142:8 181:21	294:8	<b>putting</b> 199:10	175:10 176:3,8,17
proposition 166:18	243:23 315:25	<b>pull</b> 119:22 168:10	274:6 299:20	183:14,23 186:9
prosecute 79:7	316:22 319:18	292:25	300:19	186:21 188:13
prospect 158:6	prudently 79:25	<b>pulled</b> 344:2		190:13 191:9,19
protected 310:2	277:7 278:4	pulling 344:4	Q Q A 210 10 25	201:20 205:12
protecting 240:6	279:16 301:16	<b>pulse</b> 75:2	<b>Q&amp;A</b> 219:18,25	212:18 215:13,16
protects 310:3	315:16	punitive 252:7	<b>Q2</b> 280:22	216:14 219:6
<b>prove</b> 193:13	<b>PSE</b> 67:16 93:4	253:24 254:21	QCC 331:4,15,19	220:24 221:12
309:24	105:18,20 106:1	<b>purchase</b> 52:9,11	331:23	222:8 226:11,13
provide 79:24	107:2 108:16	52:13,15,16 256:9	Qualification 64:13	226:16,17 243:5
88:19 98:22 115:7	<b>PSE's</b> 107:19 294:6	256:12 260:1,2	qualifications	244:14 248:6
116:7 150:1 153:6	<b>PSPS</b> 231:5 364:2	332:4 356:4,22,25	39:13 61:22 65:24	249:18 254:19
231:8,17 234:24	<b>pub-</b> 195:13 322:13	357:15,20	66:6 67:3 68:3	255:5 258:1,6
261:6 264:4	<b>public</b> 35:15 40:20	<b>purchases</b> 42:12,22	306:5	262:6,7 267:17
288:17 303:1	43:4,16,18 45:14	260:9 293:22	qualify 82:15 83:15	269:9 271:5,10,13
308:4,4,11 315:12	45:15,17,19,21,23	purchasing 82:25	343:16 344:19	272:11,25 273:24
317:9 318:20,20	53:4,6,7 56:5 59:4	258:9 357:24	346:10	279:3,6 281:15,18
338:12 339:5	60:2 62:6,7,9,23	358:4	qualifying 329:19	284:5 286:7,9,11
345:12,24	63:3,5,6,8,9,11,12	purport 294:8	331:3,5	289:8,9,11,11,15
provided 72:20	63:14 70:6,8	purports 72:18	Quality 54:16	289:19,21 295:8

				<u> </u>
297:14 306:13	103:10,12 113:15	105:13 106:6,11	337:14 338:6,17	120:17,21 121:24
307:4 316:21	114:2 131:2,15	107:14,20,24	338:23,24 339:7	122:18 123:7
350:15 352:24	149:17 182:6	108:10,16,23	339:13,21 341:23	124:18 127:15,20
354:2,9 361:21	215:24 216:2	109:2 110:11,12	341:25 342:4,10	142:6,9 143:4,9
362:15 364:20	252:5,13 254:20	110:13 115:1,5,23	343:10 345:20	143:16,24 152:12
question-and-an	272:17,17,17,18	116:7 117:9	346:10,16 351:6	153:3 155:10
71:25	337:10	119:11,16 122:6	352:16	ratings 49:4,7 55:6
questioning 115:12	quoting 255:21	122:11,25 127:14	rate-making 188:9	86:15 115:22
279:4 331:1	quoting 233.21	127:23 142:13	188:21 264:7	118:2 123:17
questions 37:23	R	146:25 148:23	338:16 361:1,13	127:7 129:3,4
38:4,5,11,11,12	<b>R</b> 287:3,12,14	154:18 159:12,15	rated 116:16,20,24	134:25
38:18,19 71:25	<b>R9</b> 292:18	159:16,19,23	117:1	ratio 117:22 118:1
72:1 74:11 81:19	<b>Racial</b> 66:11	160:21 161:2	Ratemaking 45:7	118:18,23,24
81:19 94:9,13	raise 94:4 95:19	173:20,21 174:13	ratepayer 104:24	119:4 121:4,5
96:17,21 135:23	135:1 149:19,24	173.20,21 174.13	ratepayers 84:18	174:24 324:9,16
135:23 138:18	151:12 153:5,10	185:19 188:20,24	89:15 104:11,14	325:1,1,2,9,12,14
140:22 141:18	171:23 179:9	189:9,12,14 191:5	105:6 188:1 253:5	325:16,21,21,23
145:14 157:8	250:25 305:24	191:20 193:14	339:18	326:1,1
166:25 167:13	323:1 333:9	196:20 198:13,15	rates 40:13 55:12	rational 201:3
169:13 171:1,4	raised 77:21 78:12	202:15 204:18,20	55:15 62:5 89:6	ratios 55:8,10
173:5 177:25	111:10,14 113:23	207:3,4 208:9	89:12 90:10 91:19	61:17,18 143:2
180:10,14 218:18	354:5	211:3,5 213:1,2	97:25 98:7,15,17	173:17 174:20
219:3 221:23,25	raises 98:11,19	213:11,24 224:9	98:20,23 99:7	325:10,11
219.3 221.23,23	<b>Ramon</b> 56:21	244:3,20 263:15	101:13,18 104:7	<b>Rattlesnake</b> 57:17
230:14 232:19	ran 173:19 174:25	269:25 271:2	110:17 120:2	263:21 264:10
244:22 245:1,4	random 266:15	281:4,4,7 283:13	128:8 138:22	RCW 48:12
250:12,14 251:25	267:22 268:2,3,6	283:15 285:11,13	149:1 158:10,11	RDC-1T 65:23
301:24 302:8	range 150:14,22	288:3,3 295:23,24	158:19,22 159:4,9	RDC-11 03.23 RDC-2 65:24
303:23 305:6	151:4 157:3,13,19	298:2,6 300:17,18	158:19,22 159:4,9	RDC-2 65:24 RDC-3 66:3
320:8,23,24 321:5	157:19 159:14	301:7 302:17	161:22 162:1,3,7	re- 240:22
323:25 324:2	rap- 278:10	303:3,4 304:11,11	161.22 162.1,5,7	reach 82:23 123:12
332:12 333:5,25	rata 327:22	304:14,20 306:17	165:23 166:2,5,5	351:16 360:16
334:4 349:11	rate 39:21 40:11	306:21 307:16,16	166:8,9 174:16	366:21 368:23
353:5,15 354:25	49:24 51:17,19	307:17,21,23	187:1 188:23	reached 219:6
355:10,12 359:3,8	61:11 65:11 68:5	308:1 309:12,16	189:9 191:8 192:6	reaches 84:12
367:7 368:8,10,15	68:7,9,12 78:3,16	309:17,22,23	194:11,14 196:13	reaching 339:17
369:1,21,25	78:20,23,24 79:2	310:20,21 311:1,6	202:8 219:22	342:8 344:18
370:14	79:9 82:19 83:20	310.20,21 311.1,0	253:7,10 263:6	react 275:22 276:8
quick 110:10 320:9	84:25 85:1,6,7	316:6,11,13,14	271:8 285:7	276:9
322:1 329:25	87:3 89:14,18	324:7,8,16 325:3	293:21,24 310:19	reaction 112:20
quickly 368:19	90:10,18,21 91:1	325:4,5,19 326:6	323:14 325:5	284:22
370:1	91:3,6,9,14,16,17	326:7,13,16 327:6	326:21 345:17	reactionary 286:19
quite 107:15 169:4	98:12 99:9,13,16	327:7,23,25 328:2	346:17,17 352:19	read 69:22 77:25
192:21 243:10	99:19,20,21 100:1	328:10,16 334:8	Rathdrum's 269:22	82:9 83:12,13,19
290:16 319:5	100:8,9,13,14	334:23,23 336:3,8	rating 54:15 102:22	85:2 87:6,9,17,18
quote 97:24 98:6	101:2,14 105:10	336:10,18 337:7	116:2,14 118:3,25	88:4,6,11,14
quote 77.24 90.0		330.10,10 337.7	110.2,1+110.3,23	00.7,0,11,14
	·		•	•

101 0 17 100 11	l			
101:9,15 103:14	realtime 270:12	272:22 273:3,9	304:12 327:21	135:12,16 141:6
114:1 115:13	reason 115:18	274:8 287:8,10	recommend 91:21	141:10,15 145:12
117:10 121:20	133:21,24 134:3	291:13,14 300:14	250:18	167:2,4 171:2
129:20 131:6	239:16,19 264:10	303:3,13 306:14	recommendation	178:1,2 222:1,4
132:12 148:16	275:7 277:10	313:16 326:6	91:7 157:22	244:24,25 303:21
156:5 164:3,8	294:15 297:18	328:2 337:17,25	recommendations	304:1 332:9 359:5
196:18 198:19	298:19 312:16	338:9 339:5 342:1	156:18 158:1	redo 339:6,8
200:5 204:11	330:20 339:1	355:13,16 362:16	227:11	reduce 79:19 98:23
211:2 262:9	reasonable 104:6	363:8 364:21	recommended 91:5	139:18 159:20
287:23 291:23	138:14 154:16	recall 141:22 168:6	recommending	228:18 229:5
293:25 295:8	155:25 194:15	169:9 173:22	93:21	241:4,7 242:20
297:5 352:12,13	224:24 225:2	274:25 299:17	Reconciliation	243:11 356:2,7,25
reading 188:24	263:7 274:25	314:15,19 324:9	46:10	360:8
255:22	275:16 301:1	326:10 328:22	reconvene 145:17	<b>reduced</b> 78:1 81:7
reads 198:12	357:6 368:2	334:6,10 337:16	171:12 250:20	86:12 188:4
252:23 358:19	reasonableness	346:21 349:25	record 69:15 71:22	211:11 229:8
ready 305:21	152:7	352:13 362:20,21	72:24 89:14 95:16	356:12 371:15
306:11 322:24	reasonably 104:6	recap 231:8,16	111:16 133:21	reduces 159:18
real 77:12 78:16	149:17 150:10	receipts 193:3	145:17 146:19	291:3 357:19
81:15,16 82:20	264:18	224:11 256:12	153:1 165:13	reducing 229:4
185:25 242:24	reasoning 297:10	receive 87:21 91:13	172:9 178:25	243:4 357:23
281:23 314:16	reasons 170:16	123:5 139:9	179:20 232:3	<b>reduction</b> 101:2,3,6
reality 185:15	208:10 220:2	177:21 202:4	250:20 251:10	101:13,20 159:8
274:25 275:3,17	266:1	278:5 346:7,8,13	262:21 318:20	159:11 160:1
362:7	rebates 267:6	received 80:14	321:22 323:11	241:2 243:6,13
realize 354:2	<b>rebut</b> 148:5	123:16 133:18	324:3 333:19	246:15 273:13
realized 82:17	rebuttal 40:6 42:15	receives 345:21	370:23 371:13	326:21,24 328:11
reallocate 86:10	43:11 44:9 46:8	receiving 82:11	recover 271:20	355:17,18 358:21
reallocating 218:14	46:24 47:23 49:8	176:24,25 345:6	279:24 301:18	358:21,25
really 76:25 79:1,3	50:4,6,8,10,11	recess 250:18	305:2 335:12,25	reductions 327:16
79:8,14 81:1	51:9 52:21 53:9	320:10	recovered 142:8	<b>refer</b> 96:21 213:19
122:20 134:24	77:20,25,25 78:4	Recession 84:14	192:6 271:16	232:25 233:19
142:19,23 145:2	78:6 91:4 96:18	111:22	recovering 229:6	234:11 236:11
165:5 171:7	97:7,23 110:14	Reclosers 240:24	recovery 84:17	237:24 337:18
182:18 198:11	119:16 123:11,12	240:25	90:9 112:4 135:3	353:21 355:13
202:9 222:19	123:21 127:18	recognition 79:15	144:5 269:17	359:16
227:19 234:12	129:22 147:18,22	recognize 77:5	303:18 315:19	reference 150:15
237:2 240:5 255:2	148:2 160:15,20	132:23 181:20	316:5 319:19	292:17 294:17
262:19 266:10,21	161:3 164:19	182:15 263:18	Recurring 51:7	338:13,19 343:21
267:14 268:7	180:11,20 182:21	276:25 366:15	red 86:1,3,5 277:8	359:19
269:10 270:18	187:9 195:24	recognized 136:23	278:11,17 279:2	referenced 86:11
285:2,4 298:15,18	198:25 199:22,23	154:5 199:11,14	279:10 280:3	149:2 322:3 343:3
318:21,24 329:25	206:2 208:10	298:25	redacted 44:3	references 242:3
335:14 364:22	215:9 219:15	recognizing 182:19	redesign 351:23	referred 122:7
369:16 370:1	223:18 224:1	207:16	redirect 37:13,23	124:1
<b>realm</b> 169:13	228:23 252:1	recollection 152:14	38:9 71:20 135:6	referring 103:25
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	l

				<u> </u>
107:21 116:21	192:12,17 222:18	137:2 184:16	248:19	311:19
120:7 121:13	266:9 268:14	relationship 98:16	removing 249:6	replacing 236:3,7
127:15 132:17	298:19,20	98:18 184:12	254:5 327:17	240:2 245:23
155:7 157:12	<b>region's</b> 276:7	195:21 210:13	<b>Rendahl</b> 35:4 37:23	258:14
282:8 293:2	regional 60:10,12	281:9 288:1 325:4	38:4,11,19 69:19	replicate 149:6
347:21 348:3	142:14,16 275:9	relationships 164:2	73:15 163:6,11	report 44:4,25
refers 73:12 124:15	275:11,14 276:1	288:14	172:1 197:9,13,24	46:18 47:8,9,13
203:10	276:12	relative 154:8	203:9,13,18	48:11 52:3,8,12
refinance 128:25	Registered 371:7	156:18 163:23	228:10,13,22	53:20 57:23 125:3
refinancing 124:11	regression 288:2	270:20 287:7	229:3 230:7,10	162:23 202:24
128:14 129:6	regular 143:16	292:3 293:21	231:14 245:3,7,13	203:13,20 211:17
<b>refine</b> 274:8	360:19	325:20 328:4	245:16 246:1,16	280:24 308:2,10
refinement 362:1,9	regularly 143:23	relatively 159:5	247:11,15 293:7	308:20,23 312:17
<b>reflect</b> 173:20	regulation 103:12	release 101:9,10	293:15 310:5,8	318:21 328:23,24
182:7 193:8 216:1	103:16,17,17	111:8 114:15,16	311:12,15 313:2	348:5,7 349:13
223:3 226:23	regulator 43:10	130:19	313:16,22 314:5	371:10
263:10 266:13	103:24 105:7	relevant 157:17	315:6 316:8 343:7	reported 34:24
269:10 274:21	regulators 164:7	reliability 240:6,7	349:7 350:9 367:8	68:4,6,8 69:1
275:4,22 283:12	regulatory 39:17	240:10,17	367:9,12,15,24	371:8
288:8 296:1,8	63:24 96:2 118:16	reliable 317:9	368:7 370:2,13	reporter 131:10
297:4 313:25	121:19,19,23	319:21 320:2	Renewable 52:8	167:24 172:12
reflected 173:18	122:2 131:18	reliance 153:14	renewables 286:24	174:10 175:5,7
260:1,4,13	141:21 142:7	<b>relied</b> 161:17	<b>Reno</b> 36:18	180:16,18 185:21
reflecting 174:16	146:23 154:17	relief 127:23	rent 351:2	186:4 244:1
reflection 264:13	172:16 316:7	rely 137:18 192:19	renters 92:18	252:15 258:16
264:23,24 290:10	333:22	relying 154:2	352:11,16	271:23 284:21
reflective 257:4	Reinforcement	remain 76:18 78:15	<b>rep</b> 75:6,6	300:7 301:12
336:19	47:11	129:10 159:5	repeat 69:24	321:17,18 324:19
reflects 169:2	reiterate 90:24	remainder 335:21	109:16 111:3	324:21 328:25
190:17 298:15	reject 93:21 253:19	remained 162:3	127:12 183:14	332:25 341:1
refresh 174:3	rejected 90:23	211:6	191:9 220:24	344:15 361:19
refund 122:13	rejecting 80:24	remaining 110:19	295:13 324:13,22	366:12 371:1,6,7
310:1 318:8	90:16 221:11	340:22	343:7	371:7
refunds 217:18	rejoin 368:23	remains 76:6 117:5	repercussions 79:3	reporting 51:8
218:9 277:16	related 42:5 76:4	118:18	81:21	338:14,17 345:24
299:8	109:10 134:13	remember 168:8	rephrase 108:21	reports 87:6 313:7
regard 74:23,24	144:1,4 219:22	240:22 295:13	115:3 134:20	313:9,15 361:17
75:2,9 76:12	248:7,22 288:15	297:14,16 314:15	279:6	361:22
78:12 79:13	292:5 306:22	350:20	replace 256:2	represent 262:17
102:10 295:16	334:14,16 335:15	remind 75:3 115:11	259:22 311:9	264:18 314:6
364:18	336:17 358:8	reminding 76:8	348:18	331:10
regarding 151:16	362:18 366:5	removal 89:4	replaced 128:22	representation
regardless 107:1	371:16	175:16 234:23	322:13	152:4 287:17,19
regards 228:1	relates 117:25	remove 249:5,14	replacement	330:19
<b>region</b> 189:6 190:2 190:3,5,8,21	125:25 <b>relation</b> 136:17	303:16 removed 234:17	113:19 128:21 259:15 260:12	representative 75:7 170:7 267:19
170.3,3,0,41	1 <b>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 </b>	1 CHIOVEU 454.1 /	239.13 200.12	1/0./ 20/.19
	1	1	1	1

				<u> </u>
represented 90:13	355:17 358:21,24	331:5 336:4,15	66:20,21 67:7,9	155:24 163:22,25
represents 151:6	358:25	366:1	67:10,12,13,15	164:7 166:15,19
277:4	required 130:12	resource's 331:11	73:12,23 116:12	166:21 169:2,16
republish 72:24	139:13 151:5	resources 47:12	120:18 139:4	170:14 178:25
request 40:19	338:17 363:10	51:25 83:5 85:19	201:22 202:19	222:6,6 267:12
42:17 43:3,4,17	requirement 50:6,8	109:7 179:23	221:12 245:8	316:14 324:8
43:18 44:19,21	58:20,21 59:6,8	192:22 195:16	273:18 282:3	325:1,2,3,4,5,9,12
45:18,19,21,23	59:17,19 61:24	206:15 212:12	286:3,8,10,10	325:16,21
48:6,8 49:15,16	62:3,13,14 64:3,4	216:19 217:1,4	296:19 321:18	returned 102:11,13
49:19,21 51:13	78:5 79:6 90:5	268:9,11,20 276:1	323:25 334:9	102:14
53:3,5,6,8 54:6,8	103:23 104:17	286:23 331:16	353:19 366:8,10	returning 101:25
54:9,11,13,14	130:10 316:3	332:4 335:19	responses 45:14,15	102:11 103:6
55:23,24 56:6,8,9	356:6	336:15 366:17	50:9,11 64:6,15	188:6
56:11,12,14,15,17	requirements	resourcing 42:4	71:18	returns 55:14 68:4
56:19,23,25 57:3	140:15 268:10	<b>Resp</b> 40:14,15	responsive 274:2	68:6,8 114:8
57:5,7,9,11,12,14	requires 166:19,20	respect 81:20 90:19	338:12	116:8,9 170:1,12
57:16 59:3,5,13	Rescinding 58:17	91:18 138:6	rest 77:15 276:9	170:17,19 243:10
59:14,22,23,25	research 164:10	155:13	365:17	revamping 187:24
62:6,8,9,19,22,23	299:18	respond 165:4	restate 194:20	revenue 46:21 50:6
63:3,5,7,8,10,11	reserve 40:22 62:4	306:15	216:21 226:15	50:8,15,21 58:20
63:13,14,16 65:14	97:24 98:6,19,22	responded 366:14	279:3 289:12,15	58:21 59:6,8,17
65:16 67:8,9,11	101:18 330:9	Respondent 34:10	restricted 369:3	59:18 61:24 62:3
67:12,14,15 80:25	<b>Reserve's</b> 98:11	35:6	result 91:15 132:8	62:12,14 64:3,4
80:25 86:9 116:13	reserved 75:10	responding 219:19	150:5,6,7 256:15	78:5 79:6 90:4
120:19 139:4,5	reserves 282:23	responds 77:21	278:21 326:7	103:23 104:17
157:12 303:16	residential 60:15	response 40:19	resulted 204:6	119:9 127:11
361:25	60:16 91:13,15	41:15,21,22 43:5	resulting 357:25	130:10 194:22
requested 90:9	174:23 175:14,22	43:16,18 44:19,20	results 39:16 42:9	211:10 221:14
119:16 120:3	176:4,15,24 177:5	45:17,19,21,23	42:18 61:10,14,15	222:22 227:17
123:1 127:10,18	177:11 326:8,20	48:6,7 49:9,10,11	61:20 129:18,25	278:1 294:4 316:2
138:21	326:24 327:10	49:12,13,14,16,17	131:3,16,17 241:5	324:18 325:6,7
requests 45:14,16	328:3,9,16 339:18	49:19,20 51:10,13	266:15	327:21
50:9,11 64:6	residents 89:8	53:3,4,6,7 54:5,7	retainer 139:10	revenue-to-cost
75:11 131:10	residual 102:14	54:9,10,12,14	retardant 236:5,7	324:24 326:1
167:24 172:12	resilience 246:19	55:22,24 56:5,7,9	retirement 136:18	revenues 194:18
174:10 175:5	resiliency 44:23,24	56:10,12,13,15,17	137:2,8,12,20	210:11 260:10
180:16 185:21	45:3,4,8,9,10,11	56:19,23,24 57:3	138:2,7 169:16	262:6 270:25
186:4 244:1	45:13 235:1	57:5,7,9,10,12,14	170:19,23	327:20
252:15 258:16	resolve 90:3 254:3	57:15,20,21 58:14	retiring 113:16	review 52:19 88:13
271:23 284:21	resorted 82:16	59:3,4,12,14,21	<b>return</b> 68:11 85:3,6	88:15,17,17
300:7 301:12	resource 48:18	59:23,24 60:20,21	93:19,22 102:7	109:20 110:23
324:19,21 328:25	51:23 107:7 189:6	60:22,23,24,25	103:18 127:22,24	115:10 138:11
332:25 341:1	190:2 192:13	62:6,7,9,18,21,23	136:16 137:4,10	162:16,18,18,20
344:15 361:19	216:25 217:2	63:3,5,6,8,9,11,12	137:18,19,25	162:22 163:2
366:12	222:17 229:20	63:14,15 65:13,16	146:25 149:17	191:6 201:25
require 109:7	284:13,19 329:10	66:8,9,10,18,19	150:1 151:5	202:20 224:10,15
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	

				<u> </u>
232:20 258:21,23	179:9 185:14	150:3 154:1 166:4	252:16,21 253:2	152:7 154:10,16
269:25 292:13	187:18 189:15,16	166:11,13,14,19	258:22 260:5,13	154:19,22,23
306:24 307:1,14	189:17 191:7	166:20,21 220:21	272:6 295:12	155:3,7,25 156:15
309:7,8,10,15	197:3 200:13	222:22 227:16,22	Roberson's 110:10	156:18 157:5
310:6,10,13 319:6	201:1,9 204:22	234:12,14,16,22	<b>Robert</b> 43:13 62:15	160:8 161:10
330:10 349:25	207:18 209:5	235:12,13 241:16	62:17 63:19	165:21 166:7
352:2	210:4 213:7,21	243:11 244:4	Robinson 35:16	<b>ROEs</b> 40:8,9
reviewed 115:14	214:25 220:25	246:14,17,17,25	37:3,12,16,22	165:13
130:7 153:20	225:23 230:9	247:10,19,22	38:4,8,17 70:7,8	<b>Roger</b> 65:23,24
173:9,14 303:5	233:7 236:16	248:7,8,16,18,19	71:21 74:1,4,9	roll-off 175:23
313:8 318:23	241:11 244:13,15	248:23 249:5,10	82:5 110:3,7	<b>rolled</b> 238:5
337:22	244:17 250:25	249:12,19,19	131:11,12,22,25	<b>rolls</b> 91:14 326:21
reviewing 102:24	257:9,21 258:24	269:15 280:2	132:3 134:21	<b>room</b> 103:1 261:2
170:8	260:16 263:6	310:16	140:23,25 143:7	297:8 319:4
reviews 313:3,24	267:14 271:17	Risk-Free 61:11	147:6,12,15 163:8	320:12
313:25 315:10	274:7,18,23	risks 166:12 253:5	163:12,15 172:13	root 216:19
revised 40:12 56:3	276:15,22 277:6	274:22	195:4,8 197:11,14	Rosentrater 113:20
57:18 78:7 320:19	281:11,25 282:5	risky 241:12	197:16,19,21	rosy 84:20
<b>RFP</b> 52:8,12	282:12,17 283:2	<b>RJM-3CT</b> 56:21	198:1,5,11 203:5	rough 234:4
Rhinehart 34:24	284:12 293:15	<b>RLE-10</b> 63:8	203:12,15,20	roughly 100:17
371:5,22	295:5 297:1 298:1	<b>RLE-11</b> 63:9	205:14 213:10,14	124:3 232:25
rider 91:14 335:24	299:9,10 300:22	<b>RLE-12</b> 63:11	215:15,17 226:11	273:11 298:10
<b>right</b> 69:9 71:1	301:8,21,23	<b>RLE-13</b> 63:12	226:16 232:12,16	340:24 342:12
72:7 73:6 74:16	305:24 306:11	<b>RLE-14</b> 63:14	244:2 274:17	344:11 346:19
76:14,18 80:18,20	312:9,25 317:5,5	<b>RLE-15</b> 63:15	276:19,23,25	<b>routinely</b> 225:3,14
95:20 103:21	319:6,16,20,23,24	<b>RLE-16</b> 63:17	281:20 285:10	226:2
104:3 110:18	320:4,5,23 323:1	<b>RLE-17T</b> 63:18	286:13 289:7,12	row 147:11
112:17 113:12,17	325:22 326:14,22	<b>RLE-1TC</b> 62:15	289:16,22 291:16	<b>RPR</b> 34:24 371:22
115:20 117:20,24	327:14,20 328:7	<b>RLE-2</b> 62:17	291:19 292:18,22	<b>rule</b> 104:4 143:21
118:2,15,17 119:4	328:12 330:9	<b>RLE-3</b> 62:18	293:4,12,17,18	rules 325:25
120:3,25 122:1,8	333:9 334:5 338:1	<b>RLE-4</b> 62:20	295:3,7,11 300:8	RULINGS 37:7
122:21 126:5,23	346:25 356:23	<b>RLE-5</b> 62:21	301:13,25 321:1,8	
129:11 131:19	359:9,15 364:6	<b>RLE-6C</b> 62:23	322:2,23 329:20	183:13,17 295:20
139:6,19 140:10	366:25 369:18	<b>RLE-7C</b> 63:3	330:8,18 337:4	311:3,6 317:8
141:9 144:24	right-of-way	<b>RLE-8</b> 63:5	341:6 343:11	run-up 119:23
145:13 149:12	240:14	<b>RLE-9</b> 63:6	344:16,17 347:24	210:9
150:1,19 153:11	rightfully 90:23	road 78:23	348:3,8,12,19,24	<b>running</b> 175:1,9
153:21 162:11	ripping 86:24	Roberson 35:12	349:8,10 350:11	316:25 320:1
165:14 166:13,22	Risbeck 40:17	37:12,21 38:7	350:16 369:9	runs 138:14
167:5,15 168:21	rise 158:11 162:1	70:4,4 82:1 96:11	<b>robust</b> 84:19	rushing 284:17
169:3 170:14	166:8	96:14 101:12	112:11	Russian 366:6
171:5,13,14,17,22	rising 204:8 205:18	108:22 117:13	rocket 283:15	<u> </u>
171:23 172:3	risk 39:25 41:25	118:21 180:4,7,17	Rode 40:17	
175:24 176:9	45:20 51:25 55:16	180:19 185:24	<b>ROE</b> 39:16 55:13	S-curve 267:16
177:1,2,7 178:3	55:20,21 61:14	186:5,6,10,12	55:18,19 90:16,16	<b>S&amp;P</b> 43:20 54:15
178:14,20,22,22	80:7 86:14,14	219:4 251:19,22	150:18 151:2,14	116:17 119:1,4
		l	l	l

				1 ago +10
120:17 154:8	275:9,11,14,17,18	358:10	250:19 253:17	161:3 293:10
168:21,23 169:3,4	275:20,22 276:12	secondly 80:13	261:17 267:12	340:25 342:16
170:7	285:15	81:9,10	268:3 269:18	series 126:7 133:15
<b>S&amp;P's</b> 151:16	<b>Scarlett</b> 41:23	section 87:8 139:22	270:10 296:2,4	134:8 141:18
s/Stephanie 371:22	scenario 121:9,18	140:3 148:22	305:18 330:4	202:16 302:22
safe 337:12	scenarios 119:12,12	164:23	seek 316:5 352:8	303:23 324:2
safety 240:6 243:9	schedule 51:17,19	sectors 356:18,20	seeking 88:7 335:3	seriousness 143:15
243:11	64:19 65:11,12,15	security 153:6	335:12 336:4	serve 104:9 123:4
<b>SageView</b> 138:1,3	91:14 175:17,24	see 73:6 74:8,23	354:9	128:6 139:14
sales 42:12,22	176:12,25 177:6	85:22 100:23	seen 120:15 164:13	140:15 227:3
208:2 293:22	177:16,21 178:21	118:4,7 121:3,12	176:4 190:9,10	339:4 360:4,15
sample 267:19	325:3 327:7,13,19	121:18 122:23	192:10 212:8,23	361:3
San 36:5	schedules 46:12	126:8 127:3,5	223:4,5 227:15	served 129:15
saturation 339:13	61:24 62:3 106:18	128:11 146:1,2	253:14 261:18	serves 365:14
339:21 340:14	scheduling 94:12	151:23 152:10	267:11 269:19	service 46:17 48:16
341:23,25 342:4,9	368:18	157:18 160:11	283:20 284:1	50:18 64:16 66:11
343:1,10,19	Schultz 49:22 50:4	167:18,19 169:14	288:9 290:11	82:22 89:8,18
344:14,21 345:9	science 283:15	169:17 170:2	340:15 346:21	91:8,11 103:19
346:14,16,17,17	scope 80:16	171:25 175:15,20	segment 125:17	129:14 174:21,25
saw 159:23 175:17	scores 93:13,14	175:23 176:22	Selection 55:11	175:15 176:10,11
248:25 314:12	Scott 37:20 51:22	188:11,15,15	sell 139:17 204:25	176:13 177:5,12
saying 86:3 117:22	52:21,25 179:4,22	189:3,8 196:9	205:6 208:13	177:21 242:10,14
125:13 169:18	screen 85:21	217:14 227:16,17	284:16,24 285:8	245:25 257:15
174:8 181:8 221:7	scripted 311:10	229:1 233:21	<b>selling</b> 207:24	258:8,24 259:14
221:20 258:6,7	Scroll 128:10	248:18 252:18,20	211:10	259:16 260:1
260:14 278:23	scrutiny 198:17	255:7 256:14	Senate 48:4	317:9 325:8,25,25
280:2,4,4 287:18	<b>SE</b> 34:19	260:9,10 267:10	senior 323:14	338:22 339:2
309:13 315:7	sea 83:19	273:14 276:17	333:21	344:10,25 345:12
317:3	search 297:21	278:7 279:12	sense 88:9 133:13	351:14 352:11
says 117:4 121:9	<b>seated</b> 76:18	281:17 283:17,21	224:4,24 266:11	354:3 360:3
125:2 126:20	179:12 231:24	285:6 292:23	278:2 286:20	services 365:24
154:15 178:8	251:4 306:2 323:5	294:17 296:13	296:3	<b>serving</b> 342:17
196:10 203:24	333:13 368:11	298:19 312:11	<b>sent</b> 168:6 320:19	357:7
317:13 327:6	<b>Seattle</b> 35:18 36:9	313:20 322:21	sentence 97:22	set 73:18 79:22
329:18 331:14	second 48:4 52:11	326:20 327:7	103:13 121:11	89:7 96:24 102:7
343:14 355:23	57:5 91:17 92:17	328:10,11,16	148:25 180:23	117:16 130:13
<b>SB</b> 353:21,24	107:13 111:5	331:8,20,22,25	181:3 252:10,23	134:16 149:17
<b>SC-006</b> 67:8	117:4 125:6 131:4	336:16 340:4	262:8	160:8 161:10
<b>SC-007</b> 67:9	132:5 163:21	349:20 359:21	sentiment 149:12	164:7,11 168:7
<b>SC-016</b> 67:11	196:1 207:2	361:16 364:24	separate 78:22	179:18,19 181:17
<b>SC-017</b> 67:14	211:17 280:24	365:2 368:23	259:12,14 260:14	181:22,22 182:19
<b>SC-019</b> 67:12	293:1 306:8,10	369:23	336:11	184:17,21,22
SC-020 67:15	328:5 329:18	seeing 185:2,6	separated 200:2	185:3,5,8,12,16
scale 215:11,14	338:1 361:6	201:12 208:8	separations 131:13	185:17 186:19
336:16 368:5	second-year 328:10	222:23 227:8	September 34:13	187:18 188:23
scarcity 212:6	secondary 357:4	229:17 230:4	69:2,4,5,5 159:24	189:17 190:12
				<u> </u>

				1 aye 417
192:1 199:15	81:13 132:11	side 73:21	283:22 303:17	<b>SJB-9XA</b> 347:25
202:7,10 209:2,23	136:2 183:13,17	sides 220:25	305:1 344:4	SJK-17T 180:11
215:20 222:9	186:7 228:16,18	<b>Sierra</b> 36:11,13	360:23	SJK-1T 51:22
223:15 229:13,15	229:1,5 235:5	66:22 67:4,7,9,10	single 106:24	210:5
261:10 268:7	254:3,6 280:23	67:12,13,15,20	128:16 365:21	SJK-20X 203:5
272:17 280:8	Shawn 38:15 51:3	70:18,20 94:16,18	sir 253:22 273:5	SJK-24X 195:23
285:12 301:7	51:9 144:17	355:1,3	281:5 282:1	sjm@dvclaw.com
333:2 371:9,19	333:21	sign 159:19	301:22	35:24
sets 82:12	<b>Shaylee</b> 66:5,6	signal 151:18	sit 319:4	Skeptically 143:12
setting 48:22	shift 286:24 288:25	signed 193:8	sitting 192:4 269:6	skewed 272:18
154:22 181:24	308:17	significance 347:18	354:6	skewing 273:15
182:22 183:5	<b>shifted</b> 190:1	significant 107:6	situated 145:8	SKJ-10C 52:11
185:19,24 189:9	<b>shifting</b> 289:16,18	152:9 192:11	172:7	SKJ-11C 52:12
189:14,21 191:23	290:1,5	200:7 227:16,21	situating 231:5	SKJ-12C 52:13
212:24 222:16	shifts 144:7 261:15	229:21 241:13	situation 116:5	SKJ-13C 52:15
272:10 280:9	261:21	243:10 246:23	165:22 171:8	SKJ-14C 52:16
306:25 358:16	<b>short</b> 69:15 141:8	247:2,4 249:8,12	190:7 191:17	SKJ-15C 52:18
settle 109:3	141:11 145:16	250:7 255:3 265:5	192:13,20 211:22	<b>SKJ-16</b> 52:19
settled 90:2 133:23	215:25 216:5	266:8 268:4	229:12,20 266:8	SKJ-17T 52:21
134:2 300:3	267:13 295:19	297:21 303:10	272:1,7	SKJ-18 52:22
settlement 48:15	297:3 299:8	significantly	situations 318:10	SKJ-19X 52:24
67:16 102:4,5	short-run 138:14	102:23 132:7	six 126:18,19,19	<b>SKJ-2</b> 51:23
314:2 327:19	shortage 190:5	133:2 148:2	196:13 201:9,10	SKJ-20X 53:3
settling 108:22,25	270:9	211:11 220:15	206:4 209:11	SKJ-21X 53:4
109:1	shorter 263:24	315:17	213:10 309:10	SKJ-22X 53:6
<b>Shafran</b> 59:20	265:23	similar 105:17,20	six-hour 331:19	SKJ-23X 53:7
shape 141:24	shortfall 154:15	106:18 181:24	size 55:17 78:1	SKJ-24X 53:9
shaping 126:1	<b>Shorthand</b> 371:1,7	192:15,19 194:11	81:16 101:4	<b>SKJ-25X</b> 53:11
share 43:11 84:23	<b>shortly</b> 111:19	226:5,5 241:21	104:20 112:12	<b>SKJ-26X</b> 53:13
85:21 100:10	show 83:7 86:8	253:17 269:7	188:3 345:22	SKJ-3C 51:25
111:11,12 120:13	91:11 141:21	296:14	346:23	<b>SKJ-4</b> 52:3
125:16 126:5,9	168:8 285:22	similarly 105:20	<b>SJB-10X</b> 51:16	SKJ-5 52:4
132:10 143:17	291:22 326:2	260:25 261:7	342:20	SKJ-6C 52:6
176:10,12 185:12	showed 159:4	262:20	<b>SJB-11X</b> 51:18	<b>SKJ-7</b> 52:7
235:23 253:5	287:7 316:24	<b>simple</b> 107:14	<b>SJB-12X</b> 51:20	SKJ-8C 52:8
277:5,20,25	showing 159:2	246:22 283:17	349:9	<b>SKJ-9C</b> 52:9
<b>shared</b> 235:13,17	160:24 176:21	288:13 290:19	<b>SJB-1T</b> 51:3	skyrocketing
shareholder 84:9	255:1	296:7	<b>SJB-2</b> 51:4	108:16
113:25 114:4,6,12	shows 85:24 163:21	simpler 201:14	<b>SJB-3</b> 51:6	<b>slightly</b> 72:25 119:2
140:9,10	170:1 185:3 217:8	<b>simpleton</b> 290:9,17	<b>SJB-4</b> 51:7	342:13,24
shareholders 84:4	290:14 331:18	simply 86:9 88:1	<b>SJB-5T</b> 51:9	small 72:15 87:8
84:11,18 86:10	342:6	90:15 93:12	<b>SJB-6</b> 51:10	94:4 107:5 168:3
87:25 88:3 89:20	<b>Shut-Off</b> 66:15	107:15,16 143:17	<b>SJB-7</b> 51:12	211:5,6 245:23
93:19 113:23	<b>Shute</b> 36:4	146:19 181:4	<b>SJB-8</b> 51:13	336:20 365:23
114:9 140:6,17	<b>Shutoffs</b> 66:13	182:7 228:25	<b>SJB-9X</b> 51:15	366:19
<b>sharing</b> 78:9,9,10	<b>sic</b> 111:16	242:23 270:15	350:12	smaller 81:10

166:12 243:13,13	258:7,17 259:23	speakers 365:1,4	spoke 261:15 264:6	108:22 116:13
325:9	271:24 279:3,5	speaking 75:5	<b>spot</b> 80:20 161:6,7	120:19 147:4
smallest 346:8	290:18 291:14	110:20 166:10	293:22	160:15 161:11
Smart 42:3	292:16,21 293:3,3	183:4 233:1 257:7	<b>spread</b> 65:11 90:10	180:3 196:7 199:3
Smith 36:12 38:18	293:7 295:3 299:5	265:1 286:14	91:1,3 106:12	199:17 251:18
70:19,19 94:17	307:5,12 311:14	303:5	205:4 324:7 326:7	254:10,13,16
355:3,7	311:15 324:22	speaks 338:20	326:10 327:21,23	260:7 263:22
smooth 218:4	329:2 337:24	363:11 365:9	328:2,15	302:14 309:9
snow 242:24	341:2 365:3,11	special 52:6 76:22	spreads 204:4,16	313:8 332:18
SNS-10 66:16	sort 136:23 137:7	specific 103:25	204:23 205:10,15	354:20 370:14,18
SNS-11 66:18	246:18,19 311:20	150:4 151:2 157:1	spreadsheet 137:23	<b>Staff's</b> 58:20,21,23
SNS-12 66:19	330:16 367:24	159:12 176:9	233:11	59:6,8,9,17,18
SNS-13 66:20	sound 44:4 150:25	187:21 193:10	<b>square</b> 34:19	79:1,13 158:2
SNS-14 66:21	151:9 233:7	287:1 294:8 302:9	287:14	<b>Staff-DR-215</b> 46:13
SNS-1T 65:19 66:5	236:16 256:8	311:22 348:1	squared 287:12	staggered 107:2
SNS-2 66:6	269:7 292:8 294:2	356:6	squares 287:3	staggering 106:5
SNS-3 66:8	294:12,19,21	specifically 97:12	squeezed 89:18	106:11
SNS-4 66:9	346:25 370:8	130:16 132:4	ss 371:2	stakeholders 114:8
SNS-5 66:10	soundness 149:18	155:12 252:10	<b>SSAG-1T</b> 59:20	stand 94:1 95:11
SNS-6 66:11	149:24 151:2	255:20 300:2	<b>SSAG-2</b> 59:21	131:8,12 145:21
<b>SNS-7</b> 66:12	sounds 113:12	338:12 350:1	<b>SSAG-3</b> 59:23	171:19 179:5,7
<b>SNS-8</b> 66:14	115:20 143:12	358:25	<b>SSAG-4</b> 59:24	230:18 273:17
<b>SNS-9</b> 66:15	352:5 369:24	specifics 299:3	stability 89:14	297:7 332:16
<b>Sofya</b> 59:20 333:2	370:19	368:4	114:20	standard 52:18
<b>solar</b> 331:19	<b>source</b> 89:16	specified 353:20	stable 116:16,25	55:13,19 120:21
solely 137:18,21	161:18	spectacular 347:22	117:1 129:10	123:19 188:17
<b>solid</b> 312:15	sources 40:11	speculate 108:19	207:21 284:4	192:25 220:8
solutions 304:9	149:11	175:2	<b>staff</b> 35:11 40:14,15	221:10 249:11
solve 268:21,22,22	Southwest 35:22	speculated 353:17	42:17 43:3,6,7,8	360:10
Somebody 208:23	<b>space</b> 77:8 89:1	speculation 143:8	44:19,20 49:9,10	standards 149:21
something's 273:25	<b>Spanish</b> 364:2,2,3	<b>Speech</b> 40:22	49:11,12,13,14,16	standing 156:2
somewhat 78:8	364:18,19 365:1	<b>spend</b> 76:20 123:20	49:19,21 54:2,5,7	182:7 226:22
266:7 313:3	365:21 366:5,23	127:4 233:2	54:9,10,12,14	249:3
<b>Sommer</b> 35:21	366:24 367:2	308:25 316:18	55:22,24 56:7,9	standings 156:16
70:11	<b>spark</b> 237:15 239:4	spending 122:22	56:10,12,13,15,17	<b>start</b> 76:16 80:20
soon 84:12	239:14 240:9	123:3 127:13	56:19,23,24 57:3	92:2 105:12 108:1
<b>sorry</b> 69:5,24 72:13	241:4,5,7 242:9	308:15 316:12	57:5,7,9,12,14,15	147:8 157:9
99:8,24,25 106:7	242:21,22,23	319:22	57:20,21 58:7	180:19 240:16
128:21 130:22	243:1,4	<b>spends</b> 127:21	59:3,12,14,21,23	252:3 274:18
136:8 167:25	speak 78:13 82:7	<b>spent</b> 92:8 277:7,7	59:24 62:18,21	342:11 369:11
173:11 174:1	131:23 157:21	spike 99:2,9 207:25	63:15 70:3,5	370:3,5
175:6 185:1,2	218:10 286:16	spiked 112:3	73:23 75:19,24	started 111:16
194:24 197:9,11	311:16,25 324:12	207:22	78:8,18,18 81:25	195:11 234:15
211:2,2 213:8	335:12 364:23	spillways 82:20	82:2 87:20,22	244:7 277:15
217:15 221:25	365:1,23 366:23	Spokane 35:9	95:4 105:17	296:4 340:15
227:10 248:3	368:4	47:11 86:25 231:6	107:12 108:9,15	345:3
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	Ī	I	Ī	i I
<b>starting</b> 69:16 95:7	statute 88:5 107:24	<b>Street</b> 36:4,13,18	<b>submit</b> 128:13	supervision 371:15
106:4 109:6 174:2	stay 283:1	strength 131:3	submitted 71:17	<b>Supp</b> 46:20,21
199:25 219:18	<b>stayed</b> 207:21	151:15 153:5	113:3	50:20,21
starts 136:4 163:17	staying 178:21	155:14	subsequent 330:21	supplement 316:9
169:11 197:22	stays 284:4	strengthen 140:4	subsided 99:11	Supplemental
198:6 240:8,11	steam 176:22	<b>strict</b> 354:13	subsidies 91:10	42:17 49:7,20
252:10 347:9	177:10,22	strictly 89:19	<b>subsidy</b> 325:17	51:10 56:17,19
state 54:18,19	steel 236:7,8	strike 216:13	substantial 91:10	57:3,6,7,12,20,22
95:16 105:21,23	steeped 77:8	strive 255:12	91:12 263:1	supplier 258:24
109:9 172:9	Steering 48:23	strong 117:5	294:23 300:24	suppliers 190:25
179:20 181:2	stem 250:3,3	131:14 287:14	substantially	191:11
182:6 201:18	stenographically	strongly 164:14	129:17,24 130:6	<b>supply</b> 42:14,24
219:25 227:4	371:14	structure 39:19	258:4 263:1 268:8	53:14 76:25 77:2
228:24 232:3	step 79:12	42:4 44:14 49:4	272:20	77:5,14,21 78:15
251:10 323:11	Stephanie 34:24	55:8 82:19 122:17	substation 240:23	79:16 80:1,13
339:4 356:10,19	371:5	struggles 112:14	substations 240:21	81:20 114:22
357:1 358:18	steps 353:1	struggling 258:1	substitute 48:4	119:7,23 129:18
371:2	stick 311:10	stuck 284:20	341:17	129:25 181:18,23
stated 109:6 224:21	stipulated 75:12	<b>studies</b> 167:19	success 287:3 345:7	182:19 184:18
355:16 356:8	324:3	study 40:4 46:17	successful 344:25	185:4,17 186:19
<b>statement</b> 37:2,3,4	stipulating 71:6	47:5,11,13 49:23	364:12	189:15,17,21
37:5 58:15,17	stipulation 48:15	49:25 50:6,8,18	<b>sudden</b> 261:17	190:12 195:14
64:13 68:3 82:2	53:12 146:17	64:16 91:8,11	sufficient 149:17	196:11 199:12,15
89:24 94:1,19,24	180:1	163:13 173:20	149:23 153:5	200:3 202:6 209:3
114:10 131:8,13	stock 44:17 61:6	174:12,16,21,25	190:4 194:15	212:25 215:21
148:21 156:13	138:24 139:6,10	176:10,16 335:19	250:19	219:12 220:4,12
225:19 236:25	139:12,14,17	studying 243:24	suggest 128:24	222:13 223:25
310:9 322:3	142:19,20 170:9	244:2	129:5 164:2	224:8,17,20,23
351:12	stocks 170:4,4,12	stuff 164:22	173:17 254:2	225:15 226:4,9
statements 72:10	<b>Stokes</b> 66:5,6	<b>sub</b> 76:5 207:10	298:21 350:3	227:13 228:2
74:19 76:16	stop 86:24 103:5	<b>subject</b> 80:4 98:4,8	suggested 88:1	254:13 257:12
states 52:20 90:20	334:20	98:13 99:4 100:18	161:18 215:9	258:13,19 260:18
175:14 282:16,23	stops 238:8	102:13 109:23,24	223:14 246:3	261:12 264:21
statewide 355:23	storage 328:20,21	112:24,24 113:7	334:2	270:2 275:23
355:25 356:5,11	328:24 331:16,19	121:8 133:11,13	suggesting 301:9	287:20 294:23
356:16,17 357:13	331:20,22 332:1,4	134:4 140:20,21	310:15	299:25 300:15
358:4,5	stored 238:5	177:9,13,18	suggests 78:18	304:25 367:17,25
stating 313:9	<b>Storm</b> 54:15	183:21 187:22,23	<b>Suite</b> 35:17,22 36:9	<b>support</b> 53:12 84:5
statistic 287:15	stove 82:17	188:2 242:5	36:13,18	89:5 141:21
statistical 287:4	straight 279:13	265:11 273:11	summarizes 196:8	155:23 156:8
288:4	straightforward	284:8 304:12	summary 39:16	220:1 276:7
statistician 287:2	126:1	310:1 320:22	41:17 42:10,19	306:18 313:25
statistician-type	strategies 240:20	326:19 327:1	53:19 61:5,16	315:12 341:13
265:25	241:2	328:7,8,18 347:1	255:6	345:12
statistics 266:2	strategy 333:22	347:3 357:17	summer 211:21	supported 344:3
status 235:5 236:22	362:19 363:1,12	subjects 292:1	super-fancy 117:22	Supporting 45:22
	ĺ			••

50.0 11	C	Tod 25.16.70.9	40Uring 00.7 10	174.7 102.4 242.0
50:9,11	<b>Surveying</b> 320:12	<b>Tad</b> 35:16 70:8	talking 99:7,10	174:7 192:4 242:9
<b>supportive</b> 103:12	survived 225:17	348:11	105:10 117:13	242:12,16 247:23
103:16,17,24	Surviving 66:15	tad.oneill@atg.w	119:1,1 122:20	255:21 262:9
104:12 105:1,7	suspect 106:24	35:19	158:16 161:8	telling 161:21
142:7,23	200:25	take 69:15 74:25	169:8 200:25	332:21
supports 93:20	suspected 164:18	75:1,9 77:15	204:22 216:3	temperature 266:6
suppose 157:24	<b>sustain</b> 337:13	114:9,17 141:11	218:14 228:14	ten 72:11 74:20
347:25	sustainable 39:21	142:17 145:16	247:19 259:2	76:24 82:8 113:5
supposed 311:9	337:12	160:15 171:11	269:2 275:18	152:17 155:6
surcharge 301:21	sustained 118:11	174:3 178:6,21	276:11 278:20	233:9 250:18
surcharges 217:16	134:19 267:9	179:7 189:18	284:6 290:21	265:8 295:15
267:6 277:12	swath 249:2	192:11 194:21	294:9 310:21,25	ten-minute 145:16
278:10 299:7,9,12	swear 95:19 146:4	206:22 231:1	312:2,6 316:3	320:10 321:14
<b>sure</b> 72:5,16 73:6	231:21 251:1	234:19 238:6	331:4	ten-year 86:18
75:3 76:9 80:23	321:24 323:2	250:18 266:11	talks 83:2 319:2	tend 159:20
94:5 130:17	333:10	268:17,25 288:19	<b>tandem</b> 166:7,8	tendency 147:10
131:11 133:22	swing 217:24	289:23 290:2	target 98:12 101:14	tender 180:1 251:5
157:14 164:22	<b>swings</b> 218:4	294:5 300:22	101:14 120:24	tenfold 207:11
173:25 178:11	<b>switch</b> 138:17	304:17 320:9	121:1,2 123:2,13	tens 278:3 298:12
187:20 191:18	217:21	347:3 353:1,18,19	targeted 67:16	300:24
196:2,4 214:8	sworn 95:21 146:7	366:20	88:24 93:3	<b>TEP</b> 51:13 66:8,9
216:22 230:22	172:2 179:10	taken 94:2 109:1	targets 119:20	66:10,16,18,19,20
231:2 241:10	231:22 251:2	144:8 179:1	124:8 126:10	66:21 88:23
244:13,15 279:4	305:25 323:3	188:19 371:9,14	tariff 51:16,18	337:22 359:16
280:19 281:18	333:11	takes 235:10 308:3	335:11,24 342:22	369:10 370:9
286:5 295:19	synchronize 78:22	talk 71:1 87:11	tariffs 323:14	<b>TEP's</b> 92:20 93:8
304:24 318:15,16	synchronizing	100:12 101:24	tasked 315:12	term 103:4 105:24
318:24 319:7	336:8	102:16 107:17,18	tax 46:12 64:7	108:25 128:3
327:2 342:22	system 40:22 47:3,4	108:5 109:5	101:25 103:3,7	188:8 206:13
359:25	47:6 231:6 234:17	110:21 132:22	117:7,8,12,12	241:8 287:4
surf 212:10	235:9,13 237:4,5	149:12 154:5,8	122:13 126:2	358:13 368:3
surfeit 87:17	237:15,21,22,25	200:13 204:18	<b>TCB-1T</b> 53:16	Terminal 61:8
<b>surge</b> 84:16 100:1	238:2,5,13 239:23	215:6 235:25	<b>TCB-2</b> 53:17	terminology 362:5
108:2	240:8,10,11,12	255:15 265:18	<b>TCB-3</b> 53:19	terms 77:13 78:3
<b>surplus</b> 81:4 134:15	241:5,6 243:5	273:1 286:6,22,22	TCB-4 53:20	80:16 99:2 101:4
192:18 212:11	248:12 331:17,23	286:23,23,24,24	tcp@dvclaw.com	125:23,24 153:7
294:10		287:11 291:2,2	35:24	166:11 201:4
surprise 112:25	T	299:11 307:8	teal 349:17	233:15 234:5
113:6,10 153:2,22	tab 168:12	325:2 339:9	tech 75:5	241:2 243:11
156:20	table 83:6 131:1	363:15	technical 75:10	281:3 288:2,20
surprising 314:13	170:1 178:10	talked 108:1 144:1	technologies	291:21 328:14
314:20	197:20 198:5	269:12 286:21	268:20 269:2	334:10 339:20
surprisingly 157:24	239:10 293:2	294:12 300:14	technology 47:6	340:14 343:23
survey 50:12 60:10	340:1,4 346:21	311:19 313:23	237:17,19 268:23	346:3 364:12
60:12 66:3 366:5	tables 46:10 50:5	314:24 357:21	tell 86:23 133:12	territories 194:5
surveyed 159:3	66:3 354:7	358:19 363:7	140:19 168:11	territory 83:8 89:8
		550.17 505.7	1.0.15 100.11	

				1 age 421
89:18 129:14	77:7,20,25 78:7,8	280:14 283:19	179:6,8,11,14,20	207:10 210:14
242:10,14 245:25	83:1,6 85:23	285:10,18 286:22	179:24 180:2,4,18	214:5 222:19
360:3	87:16,19 88:4,11	287:11,23 288:6,6	195:1,2,4 197:13	227:4 229:24
terry 82:22	88:14 90:14 91:4	291:12 292:11	203:7,19 213:12	270:23 281:14
test 246:8 264:22	92:3 93:21 94:2	296:17,19,20	218:18,19,21	thermostat 82:12
315:21	95:3 96:5,18,22	297:7 298:11	221:23,24 222:2	89:7
test- 289:3	97:7,23 98:5	300:14 303:3,4	226:20 228:6,6,8	thermostats 82:25
testified 87:15 97:6	100:10 108:5	304:3 305:8 306:5	230:12,13,16	thing 81:15,16
115:8,15,21	110:14 112:24	304.3 303.8 300.3	231:2,3,18,19,23	144:4 215:14
152:22 158:3	110:14 112:24	313:17 316:24	231:25 232:3,8,9	222:20 264:12
161:14 195:19	115:14 116:22	319:2 321:4 324:7	231.23 232.3,8,9	285:4 300:13
	120:10,14 123:10	326:7 328:3	244:23,25 247:15	
220:13 252:5,11 255:4 275:7			250:11,13,16,21	319:20,23,24 322:14
	123:14 129:22,22	332:13 337:18,22	251:3,6,17,19	
306:19 313:17	130:4 141:5	337:25 338:4,9,9	, , ,	things 79:16 80:5
326:4 328:20	147:20,22 148:2,3	339:6,10 340:1	252:21 269:9	102:25 106:21
337:17 338:4	148:5 150:16	341:7,16 342:1	274:12,13 286:11	107:17 110:19
339:12 350:17	151:16,23,25	349:25 350:20	286:12 291:17	114:13 136:22
testifies 215:22,23	152:5,15,25	352:13,14,15,25	292:20 293:16	156:17 171:13
351:5	153:18,20,24	355:13 359:16	295:6,10 301:23	191:1,12,14
testify 134:22 181:3	154:5 155:1 156:7	362:16 363:8,23	303:19,20 305:4,5	201:24 202:19
272:15,21 324:6	156:10,12,21	364:21	305:7,9,13,14,18	217:11 219:2
testifying 99:3	157:2,10,21 158:3	testimony's 101:23	306:1,4,7 307:10	236:3 248:4
105:16 110:22	158:9,13,14,23	Texas 146:24	307:11 320:5,6,7	262:22 265:22
146:21,25 151:7	159:2 160:10,12	text 74:7 237:3,9,14	321:20,21 322:2	266:5,6,7,9,14,17
151:10,11 190:16	160:20 161:3,13	Thackston 48:19	322:23 323:4,7,17	268:25 269:1
289:10	164:20,24,25	thank 69:17,23	323:19 324:12,13	272:6 308:17
testimony 39:8,11	165:3,4 166:3	70:2,24 72:7	326:3 330:23	312:4 316:24
40:6 41:3,5,8,10	172:18 173:6,14	74:12,17 76:8,11	331:2 332:7,8,13	317:10,17,21
41:13,23 42:7,15	173:16,18 174:2	76:17 81:23,24	332:24 333:4,7,12	318:4
43:11 44:3,8,10	175:12 180:11,20	82:3,5,6 89:21,23	333:15 334:5	think 74:6 76:22
44:11,12,22 45:25	182:6 183:1	91:23 93:22,24	336:22,25 348:23	79:12,22 80:6
46:8,14,15,22,24	193:20 195:24	94:14,15,17,21,24	349:5 353:5,6,9	85:21 90:24 97:23
47:14,23 48:19	197:8,10,12	95:5,10,12,20,22	354:25 355:1,4	98:24 99:13,15
49:3,8,22 50:4,14	198:25 199:22,23	95:24 96:11 98:9	357:14 359:2,4,7	101:22 105:2
50:17,22 51:3,9	204:19 206:2,18	109:25 110:3	359:9,15 361:20	106:22 109:1,25
51:22 52:21,25	208:11 210:4,7	121:12 135:5,17	362:14 365:13	110:15 111:5
53:9,12,16,22	213:5,9 217:5	136:11 140:22	367:6 368:7,9	117:19 120:13
54:4,24 56:3,21	219:16 220:17	141:13 143:1	369:6 370:12	122:6 126:20
57:18 58:14,18	228:23 232:6,18	144:12 145:11,21	Thanks 168:14	135:7 136:13
59:15,20 60:4,18	233:11 236:10,13	146:6,8,10 147:1	354:22	137:5 138:15
61:3,21 62:11,15	242:1 251:14	147:12,17 163:11	themself 266:18	141:19 144:2,16
63:18,22 64:10,12	252:1 254:9,9	163:20 166:25	287:5	145:2,8 146:3
64:18,23 65:4,6,8	255:21 262:1	167:4,7,12 168:16	theoretical 148:13	151:13 154:12,22
65:17,23 66:5,24	265:13 267:3,4	171:1,3,6 172:14	thermal 79:19	156:13 157:11
67:18,24 71:3,24	269:12 274:19	172:22 177:25	85:13 86:13	160:7 161:6
74:10 75:13,23,25	275:1 276:16,18	178:2,5,23 179:3	132:19,20 205:23	164:23 165:2
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

166:2 168:4 174:7	thinking 97:11	114:19	145:16 150:11,13	151:24 161:22
174:11 175:25	255:16 311:21	threatened 93:9	151:13 159:6	162:17 164:7
176:3 182:13	370:4	threatening 93:2	162:8 164:24	253:17 266:13
183:22 186:14,22	third 129:12	three 100:25	165:6,9 168:25	269:2,4 270:21
189:4 190:13,13	241:20 366:7	126:18 138:4	178:6 188:3 189:4	271:2 302:23
192:15 201:19	Thompson 34:15	183:9 184:4 187:9	198:23 201:4,5	320:16 339:21
203:1 213:20,20	35:3 64:23,25	197:2,3 201:9,10	207:1 210:2,3	342:12 344:23
216:6,13 218:10	69:10 94:9 179:3	201:14 202:1	211:20 215:3	351:20 357:9
221:16,22 230:11	179:8,11 180:2	209:9 212:14	216:8 218:2	358:13,19 369:8
230:11 231:10,13	195:2 218:19	223:19,20,21	220:19 221:8	today's 268:6
233:6 240:24	221:24 226:14,18	225:7 240:5 249:7	228:7 230:22	299:18 340:22
250:13 253:16	226:20 228:8	267:4,18 269:22	234:2 236:15	toes 262:2
254:23 255:9	230:13,19 231:3	270:1 285:5	245:9 250:17	tomorrow 94:11
256:1,14 257:6	231:20,23 232:9	288:20 289:17	253:12 254:13	322:19 332:17
259:20 260:19	244:23 245:1	295:14 296:4,10	263:25 265:5,23	333:3 341:21
262:18 263:7,17	250:13,17,23	297:18 298:14,18	270:12,13 274:5	349:2 368:18,24
263:20 264:4,8,15	251:3,17 274:13	300:3,5 312:5	277:24 297:23	368:25 369:2,22
264:17,21 265:11	286:5 289:14,19	362:18	298:5,6 299:16	tongue 86:12
269:9,10,15	301:24 302:1	three-month 261:4	300:20,21,22	tool 112:16 235:4,5
270:19 271:6	303:20 305:5,10	340:8	304:13 305:7,10	235:6,18,20,21,22
272:4,7,12 274:4	305:13,15,20,23	three-year 107:20	306:23 307:21	244:12 245:25
274:4 275:20	306:1,7 307:6,10	108:16 184:10	314:21 316:17	tools 80:2,3 98:21
276:14 280:21	320:7,17 321:7,13	201:8 218:1,3	317:6 320:9,20,20	244:11
281:17 282:7	321:19,21,24	224:1 288:19	320:21 322:6,20	top 100:5,15
283:10,10 287:2	322:15,24 323:4	290:3	328:9 331:15	105:14 112:23
288:15 290:8	323:17 324:11	threshold 119:4,8	332:2,14 334:25	113:9 133:10
291:13 295:5	329:13,24 330:4	119:10,13 120:1,4	335:9,13 339:8,19	159:3 160:19
297:8,11 298:11	330:23,25 332:8	120:5 139:19	341:11,15,19,22	163:19 229:6,9
299:19,20 300:10	332:11,24 333:4	144:10	356:5,9 360:2,23	236:12 319:15
300:13,23 304:3	333:12 334:3	thresholds 152:2	364:11 366:17	346:8,16,22
304:14 306:11	336:25 348:14,23	thumb 215:11,14	368:12 369:16	topic 186:20 188:6
307:5,14 309:5	349:1,5 353:6	THURSTON 69:1	370:6,22	193:18 328:19
310:12,15,15	355:1 359:4,7	<b>Tia</b> 53:16	timed 82:7	363:6 367:13
311:11,12,17,24	367:8 368:9,16,21	tie 140:12	timeline 363:16	368:17
312:1,8,14,24,25	369:2,5,6,13,18	tiered 346:5	timely 122:6	topics 87:10
313:13 314:12,18	369:24 370:4,11	tight 287:3	times 100:20,21	total 46:20 50:20
316:23 318:1,5,5	370:16,20	time 69:6,25 72:10	109:1 228:4	55:4 176:11 177:5
320:21 321:1,9	thoroughly 198:14	74:22 77:4 82:1	241:11 270:2	177:11 183:24,25
325:24 330:17	thought 98:2 295:4	83:23 89:1 92:8	278:7 362:24	184:1 232:24
332:22 335:9	295:7 307:9	92:17 93:18,25	363:7	233:12 320:21
339:16 349:21	308:25 314:22	94:5,19,24 99:17	timing 308:25	339:12 340:4,24
354:11,16,18	317:12 329:8	100:18 102:19,20	title 172:10	341:9 344:6,9
361:25 362:7	<b>thoughts</b> 307:13	104:19 106:16,19	<b>titled</b> 167:17	360:20
363:20,22 364:13	thousands 87:5	106:22,25 111:10	titles 96:24	totality 256:11
368:10,17,19	308:5	127:22 133:5	today 48:9,10 69:4	287:1,4
369:9,19	threaten 92:21	134:10 141:1,1	69:10,21 112:6	touch 87:7 180:13
		ĺ	<u> </u>	

tougher 178:18	translation 344:4	156:13 166:14	292:15 307:6	U
<b>Towers</b> 136:16,20	364:1 365:24	183:1,2 202:15	321:25 322:24	U-230161 58:15,17
137:15,19 169:15	366:4,18	206:14 224:19	329:18 337:24	<b>U-28</b> 51:16
169:22	transmission 47:11	236:23 283:7	340:1 341:6	<b>U-29</b> 51:18
track 86:22 236:24	50:15 219:22	330:20 357:15	343:11 347:8	<b>U.S</b> 43:14,22,22
236:25 237:22	220:3 221:14,18	369:13 371:13	<b>turned</b> 217:5	UE-150204 41:4
239:2 271:7,15	240:13,17	truly 358:20	turning 362:2	UE-170485 41:7
286:13,17 345:17	<b>Transp</b> 41:3,6,8,11	truths 290:19	turns 193:18	44:5 195:25
360:23 370:7	41:13 48:13 53:9	<b>try</b> 78:11 169:13	two 49:23,25 77:7	<b>UE-170585</b> 53:10
<b>tracked</b> 259:10	transparency 87:1	181:17 184:10,17	87:7,12 90:11	UE-190222 44:7,9
272:2	200:1	185:5,8,18 186:14	91:19 97:16	UE-190334 41:9,12
tracker 109:11,12	transportation	189:17,19,20	106:14 107:17	44:7,9
trackers 122:4	34:3,6,18 265:16	212:24 258:10	122:8 123:19	UE-200894 45:8
<b>tracking</b> 42:6 52:6	<b>tread</b> 142:23	264:17 281:17	127:9,14 134:23	53:11,13
186:23 237:8	treadmill 79:11	284:24 289:12	142:19 183:12,17	<b>UE-200900</b> 44:11
239:6,21 253:3	treasury 55:14	302:9 304:6	188:15 220:25	53:11,13
271:14 272:5	158:4,7	307:12	228:4 233:6 248:4	UE-220053 41:14
345:19	treat 322:16	<b>trying</b> 78:20 149:6	269:20 272:6	48:14
tracks 276:12	treatment 45:7	174:11 176:7	273:2 280:2 281:7	UE-230172 56:21
Tracts 66:4	105:18	181:19 184:19,19	281:10 285:5	UE-240004 58:13
trade 208:15	treatments 245:17	185:14 186:16,16	289:1 293:8 296:6	65:19
308:12	247:12	189:1,2 190:11,12	297:19 304:14,20	UE-240006 34:7
<b>trades</b> 80:3 85:17	tree 234:14,22	196:24 214:25	313:7 314:19	69:12
206:11	238:3,11,16 239:3	218:4 220:7	332:18 333:1	UG-190335 44:7,9
trading 300:5	239:3,13 242:16	223:23 229:13	334:14 365:20	UG-200901 44:11
traditional 244:7	248:7,8,16,19,23	237:9 241:4	367:22,25	53:11,13
244:16 246:12,24	249:5,10,12 250:1	250:10 259:24	<b>two-page</b> 321:9	UG-240005 58:13
247:7,8 271:14	250:1,8	260:18 264:21	two-year 78:16	UG-240007 34:8
272:1 291:4	trees 234:12,13,16	268:18 274:1	107:19 108:23	69:12
traditionally	234:19 247:2,20	280:8 283:11	307:16 309:15	<b>Ukraine</b> 282:13
209:13 212:8	247:25 248:18	296:8 307:25	311:1,6 327:25	366:6
trajectory 284:5	249:2,3,14,15,19	314:10 317:24	336:8,10	ultimate 151:14
transact 191:17	tremendous 342:6	318:13,17 319:15	<b>Tyler</b> 35:21 70:11	241:22
230:1 270:14	tremendously	<b>Tulane</b> 54:22	<b>type</b> 77:9 164:21	ultimately 162:10
283:23 285:8	270:8 344:24	turbine 259:8	263:10 267:16	253:15 255:13
291:21	<b>trend</b> 277:10	turn 79:2,4 97:11	271:25 287:16	261:23 263:8
transcript 69:22	trending 285:21	104:8 116:11	296:14 360:11	264:1 267:25
371:12	trends 97:4 212:24	120:17 125:3,12	<b>types</b> 92:22 170:1	277:8 278:4,6
transformation	267:8,10	147:22 148:7	265:22 266:6,17	299:2
226:23	<b>tried</b> 241:16	163:4 167:21	266:19 312:13	un-hardening
transformations	<b>trim</b> 247:3	169:6,25 175:11	typewriting 371:15	243:22
227:12	<b>triple-B</b> 160:5	195:23 196:5	<b>typical</b> 165:14	unable 203:24
transient 235:9	161:8	197:8 199:22	<b>typically</b> 159:16,18	254:11 294:9
transition 268:19	trivial 270:20	202:12 203:2	165:11 166:20	unavailable 94:10
translate 365:25	true 129:21 148:1	206:2 219:15	206:13 308:23	unbiased 137:16
translated 287:19	152:17,24 153:19	261:24 276:15	309:11 310:20	uncertainties
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

155:19	180:22 190:13,16	188:24	335:24 340:12	331:12
unclear 357:1	191:18 205:12	<b>unsound</b> 274:20	360:21	utilize 98:21 136:21
uncomfortable	220:7 222:10	unsupported 90:17	uses 105:24 117:19	223:19
269:13,14	232:6 241:21	160:16	136:17 224:6	utilized 137:3
uncommon 148:6	244:10 246:9	unusual 101:4	227:6 263:14	<b>Utils</b> 41:3,6,8,11,13
uncon- 74:7	258:1 259:12	upcoming 336:3	usually 83:17	48:13 53:9
Uncontested 58:24	269:11 281:15,18	<b>update</b> 43:15 51:15	178:18	
59:11	281:19 310:13	51:21 94:14	<b>UTC</b> 44:19,20	V
under-collections	330:13 362:1,11	116:24 182:1	49:14,16,19,20	v 41:4,6,9,11,14
217:16 297:21	364:9	232:24 304:15	54:2,5,7,9,10,12	48:13 53:10
under-earn 123:6	understanding	312:4 347:5	54:14 55:22,24	<b>Vaguely</b> 350:21
under-forecast	94:8 105:19 106:2	348:16	59:3,12,14,21,23	validate 236:11
205:19 252:6	107:25 143:25	<b>updated</b> 42:18,19	59:24 69:8,13	Valley 86:25
288:21	144:20,23 168:17	42:21,22,24 51:21	120:18 145:6	valuable 148:19
under-forecasted	188:8 192:11	59:17 62:12,14	<b>UTC's</b> 116:13	149:14 241:23
288:21	241:17 280:19	130:4 320:19	<b>utilities</b> 34:3,5,9,18	value 79:18 80:17
under-forecasting	338:25 357:18	343:22 348:6,21	40:20 45:10,12	113:25 114:4,12
205:17 253:11	358:12 361:22	updates 67:17	51:16,18 54:22	132:19,20 140:10
under-forecasts	370:15	303:1	55:16 68:12 69:14	142:18 165:23
204:7	understands 114:7	updating 242:6	85:19 87:20 96:1	184:3 205:7,23
under-recovering	140:14	upgrade 118:5	105:22 108:6	207:10,16 210:14
277:11	understate 196:21	upgraded 118:10	115:16 127:4	222:19 227:4
under-recovery	understated 197:5	<b>upset</b> 171:13,15	163:22 172:15	229:23,25 270:7
142:22 220:20,21	understood 158:14	upstream 238:6	179:22 192:12	270:14,22 271:21
221:9	169:6 304:4	246:23	206:19,22 232:5	271:21 275:4
underachievement	undertaken 363:25	<b>uptake</b> 366:13	235:5 269:3	280:20 281:14,22
288:22	364:5	uptick 158:21	323:13 333:21	284:7,8,10 285:6
underage 263:11	underwear 86:13	<b>upward</b> 161:19	355:19 358:22	287:12 288:21,22 298:13 327:10
underfunding	unduly 196:17,22	277:10	Utilities' 54:16	331:23 344:5
137:12	unforeseen 119:7	urge 87:9 89:1	utility 39:17,20,21	values 183:13
underground	unfortunately	usage 60:13,15,17	39:25 54:19 61:24	278:14 300:12
243:22 246:6	270:13	355:18 358:21	62:3,12,14 66:13	331:15
247:4,7	uniform 91:5,9,14	use 119:17,18	68:5,7,9 103:18	Van 35:22
undergrounding	328:4,15	120:22 121:18	105:23 106:5	variability 189:22
233:20,23 243:17	unintentionally 80:11	138:1 148:13,13	108:10 110:13,17	189:23 190:14,15
243:18 244:8,15		150:13 188:3	126:14,19 129:16	192:5 193:6,9,14
246:5,11 247:9 underlined 272:15	unique 134:9	209:21 224:2,4,24 230:23 231:11	131:4,4,9,13 149:19 152:20	200:16 248:23
	uniquely 272:4 296:11			249:10 253:14
underlying 162:23 268:5	United 282:16,23	235:6,7 239:15 241:8,20 246:23	160:6 161:8 164:10,11 186:24	261:14,20 268:13
undermine 123:6	units 214:9	254:11 255:10	188:8 235:14	269:12 270:3
undermines 155:13	unity 174:24	260:19,25 261:7	237:12 269:16	variable 275:13
underpin 206:4	325:20	262:21 266:20	271:7 315:4	276:11 287:12
understand 106:5	unreasonable	268:1 275:2 287:4	319:21,24 320:1	331:16
158:9 161:11	276:6	299:19 300:18	359:1	variables 181:19
172:17 176:17	unrelated 93:10	312:20 319:12	utility's 92:25	215:1,7 281:7
1/2.1/ 1/0.1/	um ciateu 73.10	312.20 317.12	unity 8 72.23	
	•	•	•	-

	Ī	Ī	I	i -
286:17,25	199:5,8	319:10	war 282:11	<b>Wayne</b> 53:22
variance 183:15	vetted 198:14	waiting 353:7	warranted 86:20	ways 217:24 235:22
268:13	199:19 224:6	waive 82:2 94:1,18	87:19,24,24	356:1
variances 308:24	<b>vetting</b> 106:14,17	<b>waives</b> 94:23	Wash 41:3,6,8,11	wayside 312:22
variation 184:10	209:24 224:10	waiving 71:24	41:13 48:13 53:9	<b>we'll</b> 70:3 72:9,10
201:12 261:12,13	319:3,10	walk 268:6	Washington 34:2,5	74:24 75:1 76:15
variations 204:2	vice 179:22	<b>Walmart</b> 36:16	34:18,20 35:17	76:16 103:1
varies 184:7	<b>video</b> 146:1	67:22 70:21,23	52:24 54:18,19	104:18 132:22
various 101:16,16	videoconference	94:22,23	57:24 69:1,8,13	133:3 135:14
106:13 163:23	36:8,12,17	want 69:19 71:2,14	339:4 347:8,9	141:9 178:6,24
164:1 170:1 236:3	view 143:5,18	72:5 73:6 74:13	357:2 367:16,18	197:2 215:22
240:20 247:12	252:4 267:16	75:3,17 76:4	371:6,23	231:1 233:23
261:1 273:18	268:6,16 276:9	92:20 93:17	Washington's	238:24 240:17
345:17 352:19,20	278:2 290:10,16	103:13 107:17	355:12	250:20 261:6
<b>vastly</b> 278:11	296:10 363:19	116:24 132:16	<b>wasn't</b> 199:19	271:21 321:14
vegetation 86:19	views 154:8	140:19 141:4	220:11 236:13	322:15,20 342:11
234:5,8,10,11	Vintage 57:24	144:15 150:5	237:7 239:24	348:12 369:23
verbatim 156:21	virtually 145:22	162:4 167:1,17	245:21 256:5	370:5,7,17,18,21
verification 152:25	virtuous 140:14	174:14 186:20	263:24 298:5	370:22
233:8,14 236:17	visiting 77:11	189:12 191:3	300:21 313:13	we're 77:24 81:7
239:10 345:4	<b>Vitae</b> 60:5 61:4,22	197:22 198:13	314:17	87:7 99:7,11
verified 188:10,20	62:17	200:13 203:2	water 142:23	100:12 105:22
<b>verify</b> 74:2 183:23	vocabulary 271:12	215:10,17,23	262:20 265:19	118:25 119:3,4
203:25	vol 34:12 54:22	230:8,20,22	<b>Watson</b> 136:16,20	120:7 130:11
<b>VERIS</b> 137:25	volatility 81:3	231:11 232:25	137:16,19 169:15	145:17 158:16
138:3	85:18 201:3 216:7	235:25 240:8	169:23	161:6,7 178:10,14
Vermillion 44:12	216:16 253:15	241:10 244:10,13	way 35:22 77:24	178:21,25 185:2,6
67:20 84:7 110:21	261:14 268:12	244:15 253:23	78:24 81:6,7,14	185:14 186:16,16
113:15 114:10	291:4,25 295:23	254:19 255:7,20	88:8 92:15 106:18	189:1,1 192:19
116:11 125:5	297:22	263:4,5,5 264:8	117:22 141:24	196:24 198:12
131:2	<b>volume</b> 248:18	279:4 281:18	148:11 164:5	203:14 208:7
version 51:21 72:18	, -	282:18 284:15	172:1 182:16	212:5 215:13
198:24 200:15	Vore's 83:14	295:11,12 299:11	185:5 196:25	222:10,23 226:24
216:11 293:14,16	<b>VP</b> 195:16	311:13 322:11	197:3 211:2,21	227:8 228:3
348:21 349:12	vs 34:8 46:10 68:11	330:9,10 337:18	214:12 220:23	229:13,17 230:2,3
versus 69:13 78:16	vulnerability	348:20,24 352:10	223:8 227:15	230:24 233:20
116:8 117:23	205:24	366:6	258:10 266:24	237:2,24 240:13
122:8 126:6	vulnerable 92:5	wanted 76:7 94:10	271:12 279:14	241:4,10,20,24
184:20 186:19	$\mathbf{W}$	109:20 110:21	281:17 283:20	242:2 244:11,13
200:14,17 201:4	$\overline{\mathbf{W}}$ 35:5	141:2 182:17	285:22 287:17,21	245:24 246:9
214:2 224:8		224:14 298:24	288:8 298:8	247:19 248:8,18
271:16 273:20	<b>WA</b> 34:25 35:9,13 35:18 36:9 46:21	305:17 321:5	302:19 312:21	249:14 250:5,10
280:7 287:12	50:21	362:15	314:10 325:15	253:16 255:1
295:14,15,15,17	WAC 48:16 325:25	wanting 203:18	335:8 350:22,25	257:10,17 259:2
300:19 311:21	wait 130:25 161:25	359:14	352:9 361:16,17	259:18,19 260:22
vet 198:21,24 199:3	wait 130.23 101.23	<b>wants</b> 150:6	361:23,25	261:18 262:22
	<u> </u>	l	l	

			Ì	
267:12 268:3,12	313:6 316:7	WG-1T 65:8	willingly 304:5	251:2 252:23
268:18,19 269:18	317:25 340:15,15	<b>WG-2</b> 65:10	<b>Willis</b> 136:16,20	255:18,18 258:17
270:6,10,21	342:14 363:25,25	<b>WG-3</b> 65:11	169:15,22	260:6 267:3
271:17 276:4	364:10	<b>WG-4</b> 65:12	<b>Wilson</b> 56:3,4	271:24 274:4
278:20 280:8	<b>weak</b> 117:6	<b>WG-5</b> 65:13	57:19 58:14 95:3	281:16 284:22
282:23 283:6,11	weakening 122:16	<b>WG-6</b> 65:15	137:15,19 255:18	285:17 286:2,8,21
284:6,20 285:3	weakness 104:8	<b>WG-7</b> 65:16	wind 52:16 238:3	289:11 291:18
296:2 300:25	<b>wealth</b> 89:10	<b>WG-8T</b> 65:17	241:13 249:8	292:21 293:3
303:9,13,17	wearing 270:9	<b>WG-9</b> 65:19	250:4,7 264:10	297:12 305:9,11
307:24 308:15,16	weather 54:16	<b>WGJ-6T</b> 53:9	331:19	305:16,25 306:12
309:15,16,16,21	81:14 235:4,6,9	whatever's 192:5,6	winds 243:2	307:4,8,12 309:19
309:21 310:9,25	235:10,11 238:17	<b>whatnot</b> 346:23	windy 243:2	310:7,12,14
312:2,6 314:10	247:1 258:3 265:8	whatsoever 351:10	<b>winter</b> 83:17	311:13 312:1
316:25 317:1,23	265:9 266:5,14	whenever's 322:20	102:15 241:12	313:6,21 314:4,9
317:24 318:14,15	weather-normali	WHEREOF	242:24	315:11 316:16
318:19 319:12,18	261:8	371:19	wire 233:2	318:18 320:11,14
325:19 326:16	weather-normali	whichever 119:18	withdraw 215:15	320:18 321:15,16
330:17 335:12	225:9	wholesale 129:15	witness 37:10,14,17	321:25 322:25
336:4,20 342:5,6	website 364:1	258:15 259:9	37:20 38:2,6,10	323:3,16 324:14
342:8,11 345:11	367:1	260:10	38:13,15 39:5	329:1 332:15
345:15 352:23	Webster 36:13	<b>wholly</b> 307:2	57:17 68:3 92:19	333:11 334:1
354:9,12 360:25	Wednesday 322:19	widely 159:24	93:20 94:9 95:7	337:22 341:2
361:24 362:3,7	week 76:11 322:10	160:22	95:21 108:19	343:9 348:5 349:3
363:16 364:2,7	week's 322:6	wildfire 41:25	138:16 141:7	350:13 359:11,18
368:17	<b>Weekly</b> 43:15	44:23,24 45:3,4,8	143:16,23 144:16	359:25 361:6,24
<b>we've</b> 79:12 94:2	weeks 100:25	45:9,10,11,12	145:3,19,24 146:1	362:21 363:2,22
101:22 102:13	201:10	54:21 86:14,16,24	146:4,7 153:25	364:20 365:5,8,11
108:14 135:24	<b>WEIM</b> 57:22	155:19 232:23	161:12 163:14	365:13,16 366:11
144:2 185:23	Weinberger 36:4	233:2,5 234:10	168:1 171:5,6,8	366:13 367:3,11
190:4,9,10 192:10	welcome 305:3	235:1,16 236:14	172:2,14,19	367:14,21 368:2
193:21 209:21	went 173:20 193:15	238:20 240:5,7,11	173:13 174:11	369:10,14,15
211:20 212:2,8,23	209:25 211:4	241:5,15 242:9,13	175:4,6,9 179:4	370:10,14,17
215:9 220:25	214:12,16 267:23	242:21,25 243:3,7	179:10 183:22	371:19
221:13 223:4,5	280:7 296:12	244:12 245:8,9,20	185:22 186:11	witnessed 210:9
227:15 228:13	303:1 310:19	311:7,9 315:24	196:8 197:18,20	witnesses 74:21
229:24 233:4,19	360:5	wildfires 241:3	198:4,9 200:23	77:8 87:4,5 95:1,7
235:17 237:9	weren't 202:10	242:17 243:6	203:8 205:13	129:23 148:4
243:15 248:10,11	299:2 315:8,19	<b>wildly</b> 193:19	213:13 218:20	165:2 230:21
248:15,20 262:17	336:14	William 53:9 65:4	228:12,21 229:2	332:19 333:1,6
263:3 267:11	<b>Werner</b> 40:18	65:8,10,18 195:19	229:11 230:16,19	359:18 370:18
269:12,14 270:19	162:13	195:24	230:25 231:5,8,22	witnessing 295:1
271:20 276:8	West 36:18 54:22	<b>willing</b> 93:25 94:18	232:11 245:6,12	<b>WN</b> 51:16,18
277:17 283:20	253:16 261:19	221:22 235:19,23	245:15,19 246:8	<b>WOM-1T</b> 53:22
287:6 288:9	Western 43:20	242:6 270:16	246:21 247:14,18	<b>WOM-2</b> 53:23
290:11 299:6	84:13 111:19	283:22 303:14,16	248:1,5 249:25	woman 82:10 86:11
308:6 312:10	276:3 331:5	305:11	250:14,16,24	wonderful 270:9
				<u> </u>

wondering 100:12	303:23 304:6,13	141:3 143:11	189:10,12,14	223:5,19,20
wood 239:20,21	world 85:17 216:9	149:1 158:16	190:22 191:5	224:18 233:9
240:15	worried 191:7	159:10 163:12,15	193:5,14 201:1	236:1 242:10
wooden 236:3,7	<b>worse</b> 83:4	165:2,18 169:18	217:1 225:5	245:10 248:16,18
240:21	worth 90:15 187:4	170:15 173:12	233:21,23 236:14	249:7 260:24
Woodland 34:19	246:14 266:22	183:20 186:5	236:18,20 237:16	263:24 265:8,12
word 140:18 157:9	269:24 340:18	187:23 188:2	241:12 242:13	265:15 266:16
254:11 256:2,2,6	wouldn't 150:10	205:6 209:18	247:20 260:22,22	267:1,11 268:16
260:19 262:8	153:2,23 156:22	211:8 213:13	263:15 271:1	268:16,17 269:1
263:5 275:3	165:3 175:1,10	218:10 226:16	276:1 280:21	269:18 271:19
332:16 347:3	189:12 218:6	231:19 236:7,21	283:3,4,6 288:25	277:17 278:6
words 177:19	246:2,6 247:6	251:12 252:23	288:25 289:1,17	283:21 285:5
287:16 332:1	255:7 267:17	255:20 262:4	290:1,1,4,5,5,6,7	288:20 289:1,17
352:5	287:14,20 301:14	265:10,18,18	292:12 301:5	290:11,12,16
work 40:20 77:10	303:11	267:2,2,8,15	307:24 308:1,14	295:14,14,15,15
85:22 88:22 93:14	wrap 240:4,14	273:4 276:23	308:18,18 310:24	295:22 296:4,6,10
96:1 107:12	331:15	277:3 279:22	312:5 317:5	296:11,12,13
167:22 168:12	wrapping 236:4	282:22 286:5	319:17 326:16	297:15,18,19,20
170:8 172:15	write 103:10	292:14,25 293:4	327:6 328:5,6,17	298:14,18 304:14
179:22 196:25	129:13 155:22	295:19 297:24	334:13 335:3	304:20 307:23
198:18 224:18	163:21 278:6	298:4 301:25	340:9,20,21,22,24	311:19 313:11
227:15,20 229:19	285:14 291:19	303:17 306:9	341:3,10 342:3,14	315:13 326:13
285:20 288:8	342:3	322:15 325:2	342:15,16 343:14	359:19
295:25 296:1	write-off 92:14	328:23 333:1	344:23 356:23	years' 187:4 265:3
303:11 317:15	writes 113:21	355:3 362:21	360:24 361:11,11	266:22
323:13 338:13	writing 322:18	370:12	year's 84:22	<b>Yep</b> 343:13
339:8 344:3 346:1	written 72:2 272:20	year 44:24 49:23,25	year-to-date 350:5	yes-or-no 104:22
351:11 352:14	330:21	68:12 78:3,20,23	yearly 79:11	105:2
362:19,24 363:17	wrong 126:21	78:25 82:18,23	years 77:6,10 84:16	yesterday 72:18
364:13 366:7	263:5 337:25	83:9,9 84:2,11,17	86:13,16 87:6	162:21 231:6
worked 211:21	344:5	84:22 85:10 90:11	90:11 91:19 97:16	341:20
working 117:21	wrote 148:8 262:1	90:18 91:17 99:17	111:16 113:5	yield 158:12 160:6
162:24 163:1	<b>WUI</b> 238:21	99:18 100:20,21	119:11 122:8	160:11 161:6,7,8
165:20 227:21	<b>WUTC</b> 35:4,5	107:13 111:13	123:19 127:9,14	yields 61:7 110:13
245:14 298:8	75:16	112:9,17,18 113:8	134:23 142:22	158:5,7 159:17
362:9 363:16		113:13,22 114:23	152:17 154:14	160:1,4,13,14,17
workload 106:12	X	114:24 116:18	155:6 175:19	160:25 161:17
workpaper 57:17	<b>XC</b> 73:17,20	117:17 118:23	176:6 183:9 184:4	<b>Yochi</b> 36:3 70:13
works 279:7		119:5,6 122:14	184:8 185:25	yzakai@smwlaw
workshop 203:21	<u>Y</u>	124:2,8 125:20,24	187:9,14,21,25	36:6
214:25 299:1	Y1 47:25 48:3	126:6,24 128:16	191:13,21 192:10	
300:21 303:12	<b>Y2</b> 47:25 48:3	128:18,19 132:10	192:17 196:13	Z
304:18	yeah 71:11 72:14	133:19 152:18	197:2,3 200:14,16	<b>Zakai</b> 36:3 37:5
workshops 202:16	73:7 94:7 105:1	173:21 174:16	200:17,19,21	38:17 70:13,13
209:23 274:6,7	118:1 130:2 136:3	184:4,5,9 185:11	201:9,14 204:8,9	92:1 353:9,12
300:15 302:22	136:10 140:24	186:15 188:20,24	212:14 216:16,20	370:9,12
		ĺ	·	

		1	1	
zero 147:24 213:10	63:17,19 64:14	<b>12:00</b> 179:2	261:16 292:23	339:10 340:2
274:1,6,10 277:18	67:15 103:8	<b>120</b> 56:9 124:4	297:2 321:11	364:22
278:6 279:13,14	116:13 120:19	<b>124</b> 49:9	333:2	<b>19,000</b> 247:21
279:15 346:13	129:11 177:1	<b>124C</b> 49:21	<b>15,000</b> 346:24	<b>19.405</b> 48:12
366:8,8,14,15	182:6 200:19	<b>125</b> 48:6 49:10	<b>150</b> 77:17	<b>19.7</b> 293:21
zero-cost 263:6	298:8 301:10	<b>126</b> 48:8 49:11	<b>151</b> 65:12	<b>192</b> 43:3 51:19 56:8
zone 238:20 369:16	343:17 347:8	<b>128</b> 49:12	<b>154</b> 65:15 239:7	<b>193</b> 59:25
<b>Zoom</b> 145:23	<b>10-</b> 269:24	<b>129</b> 49:13	<b>16</b> 41:4 47:6 49:24	<b>194</b> 62:9
	<b>10.25</b> 85:3 127:24	<b>129,266</b> 339:17	50:7,8,14 54:17	<b>195</b> 37:22
0	<b>10.3</b> 150:14 151:5	<b>12X</b> 348:20 349:7.8	65:9 68:3 116:21	<b>1990</b> 356:13
<b>002</b> 66:19	<b>10.4</b> 150:18,23	349:10	343:6,9,12	<b>1999</b> 195:11 356:13
<b>003</b> 66:18	151:8,11 156:8	<b>13</b> 50:13 118:6,11	<b>16.9</b> 327:12	1st 129:13,24
<b>004</b> 66:21	<b>10:30</b> 74:23	118:12 120:24	<b>167</b> 37:16	160:21 342:16
<b>005</b> 66:20	<b>10:49</b> 141:1	121:5 143:3	<b>169</b> 62:6	363:17
<b>009</b> 51:14 65:14	<b>10:56</b> 145:18	197:24 198:2,7	<b>17</b> 53:3,18 65:6	
<b>010</b> 65:16	<b>100</b> 84:16 127:8,19	228:24 243:17	97:12,20 109:6	2
<b>012</b> 66:8	208:21,25 234:2,3	293:19 297:2	118:1,3 148:7	<b>2</b> 39:16,22,24 40:3
<b>013</b> 66:9	234:8 238:9	343:4,5,9,12,12	197:25 198:2	40:4,8,9 41:15,18
<b>02</b> 335:8	344:21 345:8	<b>1300</b> 36:13	252:5 277:17	42:13,23 49:6
<b>032</b> 66:10	<b>101</b> 47:7	<b>132</b> 134:22	278:6 280:20	52:18 55:7,10,11
<b>04</b> 48:14	<b>103</b> 40:19 59:22	<b>134</b> 63:5	282:5 326:25	55:14,15,17,19
<b>05/26/2025</b> 371:23	<b>1040</b> 337:14	<b>135</b> 37:13	328:11	56:9,11,14,25
<b>07</b> 44:5	<b>106</b> 133:8 337:15	<b>138</b> 40:6	<b>171</b> 56:19	57:4,6,8,9,14,16
<b>09/25/2025</b> 371:24	<b>11</b> 41:20 52:15	<b>13X</b> 139:24	<b>172</b> 37:18 56:25	57:20,22,24 58:3
	55:23 61:24 62:5	<b>14</b> 41:19 45:5 52:5	<b>173</b> 37:19	58:6,8,11,12,20
1	62:11 168:13	52:18 56:4 118:19	175(C) 57:5	58:22,23,25 59:3
<b>1</b> 41:17 53:10 54:20	183:4 196:7 273:1	118:24 121:1,2,5	<b>1750</b> 35:22	59:7,8,10,11,13
56:6,16 57:11,13	341:3,9 347:10	121:15 143:2	<b>176</b> ( <b>C</b> ) 57:12	59:14,17,23 60:7
57:17 58:17 60:20	364:22	196:10 228:24	<b>177</b> 62:22	60:9,11,12,15,17
60:20,21,22,23,24	<b>11.3</b> 150:15 151:6	236:15 283:21	<b>179</b> 37:21	60:21 61:5,6,7,9
60:25 67:11 68:7	<b>11.7</b> 167:19 168:18	328:6	<b>17T</b> 228:23	61:10,11,12,13,14
68:9,12,12 78:3	169:2 170:13	<b>140</b> 84:4 113:11	<b>18</b> 47:10 87:4	61:15,16,17,19,20
83:22 96:24 117:4	<b>11:06</b> 145:17	<b>140,000</b> 89:6	107:12 118:6,24	62:6,8,13,14,19
125:4 276:3	<b>110</b> 37:12	<b>140,680,314</b> 84:3	154:7 160:19	62:22 63:5,7,8,10
293:20 338:3	<b>118</b> 56:15	<b>141</b> 37:13	187:17 261:17	63:11,13,14,16
340:12 364:25,25	<b>119</b> 56:14	<b>141,000</b> 82:22 89:6	297:12 306:14	64:20 65:3,10,11
365:3,5	<b>12</b> 47:19 58:16	339:22	340:9	65:14,16 66:4,10
<b>1.1</b> 335:13	60:19 65:5 67:19	<b>141,863</b> 343:15	<b>180</b> 37:21	66:19,20 67:3,8,9
<b>1.337</b> 113:1	79:6 197:8,16,21	<b>145</b> 123:25	<b>182</b> 62:8	67:12,14 78:20,23
<b>1.5</b> 152:10	197:23 199:25	<b>146</b> 37:15	<b>183</b> 57:3	78:25 97:11 98:12
<b>1.9</b> 335:12	206:13 292:15,22	<b>147</b> 37:16	<b>1839</b> 51:24	111:1 118:4 121:3
<b>1:00</b> 94:11 178:25	292:23 293:4	<b>15</b> 48:9,23 52:6	<b>184</b> 57:7	121:6,8 125:19,19
369:3,21	307:24 341:9	61:4 64:15,18	<b>186</b> 57:14	126:5 130:9
<b>1:05</b> 179:2	349:8 350:11	90:4 174:2 175:14	<b>189</b> 57:16	139:21 140:1
<b>10</b> 44:19,21 48:14	<b>12-hour</b> 331:20	207:11 233:16	<b>19</b> 41:24 50:17	173:21 174:16
49:15,16,19 62:3	<b>12-month</b> 248:14	234:25 253:13	55:24 67:5 187:17	176:18 196:5
		2525 255.15	00.27 07.3 107.17	

				1 490 120
282:22 293:2	<b>2015</b> 83:20 113:3	124:4 125:20,23	<b>2025-2026</b> 51:6,12	187:12 247:21
298:8 327:6	152:23 153:1,18	168:13 201:17	<b>2026</b> 119:11 123:8	282:7,7,9 297:13
328:17 340:1,4	156:21 157:2,23	210:8,17,25 211:5		308:21 313:17
342:19	161:25	211:8,11 214:16	233:25 238:25	315:1 326:8,12
<b>2-6</b> 58:7,12	<b>2016</b> 41:4	217:21 232:23	<b>2028</b> 128:12	<b>230</b> 73:23
<b>2.1</b> 364:24 365:12	<b>2017</b> 40:21 41:7,9	236:14 239:7	<b>2035</b> 128:12	<b>230C</b> 43:6,7,8
<b>2.2</b> 177:6,12	41:12 53:10 133:5	242:2 278:7 282:6	<b>205</b> 176:21	<b>231</b> 40:14
<b>2.36</b> 126:9	195:18 197:7	294:2,14 313:8,23	<b>2050</b> 356:11 357:12	<b>232</b> 38:3,4
<b>2.5</b> 83:21	199:17 202:15	339:14,16,18	<b>206</b> 35:18 36:10	<b>236</b> 177:7
<b>2.56</b> 126:9	203:22 299:1	340:6,12 361:12	<b>208</b> 56:17	<b>238</b> 40:15
<b>2:41</b> 250:22	302:17,17	362:24	<b>20X</b> 85:23 202:12	<b>23XC</b> 74:2
<b>2:51</b> 250:20	<b>2017</b> 's 133:7	<b>2023-2024</b> 47:3,4	276:24	<b>24</b> 44:8 106:4 184:8
<b>20</b> 44:6 86:7 87:6	<b>2018</b> 187:7	51:4	<b>21</b> 47:5,23 64:9	236:20 255:16
107:12 187:17	<b>2019</b> 40:17 133:17	<b>2024</b> 34:13 40:23	84:5 87:4 97:12	307:24 315:1
200:21 252:5	303:3	41:14 43:9,15,21	97:20 187:12	339:13,17
253:13 265:11	<b>2020</b> 45:8,9,11 52:8	43:23 44:4 48:9	190:10 297:13	<b>24-156</b> 67:6
267:1 278:12	54:23 133:23	48:10 51:15 57:22	<b>21-'22</b> 360:2	<b>240</b> 365:25
341:18	203:22 204:15	58:5,6 65:3 69:2,5	<b>2101</b> 36:13	<b>240006</b> 69:11
<b>20-</b> 236:20 237:16	205:8,9,23 210:2	84:7 89:12 100:9	<b>2101</b> 50.13 <b>211</b> 59:13	<b>241-7242</b> 35:23
292:10 297:12	214:8 215:3	103:1 111:8	<b>212</b> 59:14	<b>241</b> -7242 33.23 <b>245</b> 38:4
313:7	217:18 232:23	114:17,21 116:18	<b>212</b> 55:14 <b>213</b> 56:11	<b>247</b> 38:5
<b>20-year</b> 55:13	233:1 267:6	116:20,23 121:5	<b>218</b> 37:22	<b>248,000</b> 338:22
297:10	277:21 303:4	125:19,19,22	<b>219</b> 57:9	339:2
<b>200</b> 83:10 89:9	<b>2021</b> 68:5,7,9,12	126:5,20 127:4	21st 334:24	<b>24X</b> 162:12
284:9 339:25	99:4,14 117:8	120.3,20 127.4	<b>22</b> 42:3 60:4 64:11	<b>25</b> 41:14 107:18
<b>2000</b> 35:17	165:7 189:22	130:6,20 132:4	111:16 187:12	109:6 127:14
2000 33.17 2000-ish 297:25	190:15,17 201:23	144:25 152:2	247:21 261:25	128:11 176:12,25
2000-ISH 257.25 2000s 192:16	214:12 217:21	189:23 190:16,18	282:8,9 297:13	177:6,16,21
<b>2000</b> 3 152.10 <b>2002</b> 165:6	239:7 361:7	211:12 280:17	308:21 315:1	296:24 307:20
<b>2002</b> 103.0 <b>2003</b> 217:8,14	<b>2022</b> 40:18 48:15	281:3,5 282:4	341:10,17	311:2 312:6
267:1,2,5 278:7	52:12 53:20 99:5	283:8 293:9,10	<b>22-0014</b> 34:25	327:13 341:6
278:12 297:20	99:14 111:16	334:24 335:13	371:24	<b>25-</b> 101:5
298:1	125:22 134:2	342:11 346:20	<b>22,000</b> 247:21	<b>250</b> 249:1
<b>2003-2022</b> 52:24	151:17 187:7	347:14,16,16	<b>220</b> 160:7	<b>251</b> 38:7,7
<b>2003-2022</b> 32:24 <b>2004-2005</b> 343:14	190:10 195:16	350:5 371:20	<b>22013531</b> 34:25	<b>26</b> 41:7 46:16 48:20
<b>2007</b> -2003 343.14 <b>2007</b> 210:2	201:21 210:8,8	<b>2024's</b> 214:18	371:23	54:6 64:17,24
<b>2007</b> 210.2 <b>2008</b> 84:14 217:14	211:4 214:14	<b>2024</b> 5 214.16 <b>2024-2025</b> 342:15	<b>221</b> 46:19	66:15 127:14
267:5 277:10	217:9 239:8 313:7	<b>2024-202</b> 3 342.13 <b>2024-2Q</b> 44:15,18	<b>221</b> 40.19 <b>222</b> 37:23 56:23	307:20 311:2
297:20 298:1,21	313:23 314:2	<b>2025</b> 57:24 119:10	<b>227</b> 42:17 57:20,21	312:7
299:12,15	334:12 361:2	123:8 127:4 130:1	58:7.7	<b>265</b> 177:3
<b>2009</b> 267:6 269:6	<b>2023</b> 41:20 43:23	130:8 144:22	<b>228</b> 37:23	<b>268</b> 56:6
277:14,21 278:11	44:23,24 45:12	211:24 212:10	<b>22nd</b> 84:11 111:13	<b>27</b> 56:22 61:23
<b>2010</b> 112:22,25	47:11 48:11,18	237:16 283:8	113:22	105:14,15 340:18
<b>2010</b> 112.22,23 <b>2011</b> 217:18 283:19	51:23 54:17,20	288:25 326:22	<b>23</b> 40:23 47:20	341:4,17
285:23	56:22 58:4 67:5	335:6 342:10,17	50:10 105:9	<b>27,770,000</b> 344:6
<b>2013</b> 195:13	84:2 86:7 102:23	363:17	107:11 126:6	<b>27.8</b> 344:11
	31.2 00.7 102.23	300.17	107.11 120.0	2,,031,111

<b>272</b> 63:7	269:24 274:9	<b>353</b> 38:17 53:23	<b>40,000</b> 347:17	<b>5.4</b> 160:6 161:8
<b>273</b> 63:8	275:15	<b>355</b> 38:18	350:7	<b>5:49</b> 370:24
<b>274</b> 38:8 63:10	<b>300</b> 207:12 284:7	<b>356</b> 45:23	<b>40128</b> 35:13	<b>50</b> 36:18 50:24
<b>275</b> 63:11	298:15	<b>359</b> 38:18	<b>41</b> 64:6	66:25 88:2 176:25
<b>276</b> 63:13	<b>301C</b> 43:17	<b>36</b> 43:12 49:3 82:23	<b>41,000</b> 347:12	344:12 349:24
<b>277</b> 63:14	<b>302</b> 38:8	133:18 276:15	<b>41,110</b> 347:17	355:13,16,21
<b>27X</b> 292:19	<b>302,000</b> 339:3	307:24	<b>415</b> 36:5,14	<b>50-</b> 282:8
<b>28</b> 43:15 46:7 47:15	<b>304</b> 38:9 43:18	<b>36.1</b> 273:12,16	<b>42</b> 46:5 50:19 52:21	50-basis-point
48:15 57:19 97:23	<b>305</b> 36:9 53:5	<b>360</b> 35:14	<b>420</b> 86:18,23	101:2,6 110:12
98:2,3 105:14	<b>306</b> 38:11 53:21	<b>367</b> 38:19	<b>43</b> 47:14 59:25 78:2	159:8 161:2
291:15	<b>307</b> 43:4 53:6 62:23	<b>37</b> 54:4 66:13 163:4	<b>43-</b> 78:6	<b>50-point</b> 159:10
<b>284,000</b> 83:7	<b>308</b> 53:8 63:3	163:12 341:25	<b>437</b> 233:13	<b>50,931</b> 347:18
<b>29</b> 39:9 67:24 126:5	<b>30th</b> 69:5 292:12	342:6,12	<b>44</b> 50:23	<b>500</b> 55:13,19
193:1,3,9,15	342:16	<b>371</b> 34:10	<b>45</b> 56:3 178:15	122:22 123:20
200:9 215:18	<b>31</b> 46:17,25 58:19	<b>3727</b> 35:8	274:1	127:3 168:21,23
291:11,16 292:23	364:21	<b>38</b> 54:23 163:15	<b>450</b> 35:22	169:3,4 170:7
293:5 327:19	<b>31,000</b> 347:2	272:21,24	<b>46</b> 47:8,13 52:10	317:2
342:4	<b>310</b> 38:11	<b>383</b> 39:10	66:21	<b>500-</b> 127:8
<b>29.7</b> 273:9	<b>310,703</b> 350:6	<b>39</b> 51:25 62:16	<b>464-6595</b> 35:18	<b>503</b> 35:23
<b>290</b> 353:24	<b>318</b> 38:12	265:13	<b>46C</b> 59:3	<b>509</b> 35:9
<b>297</b> 59:5	<b>31st</b> 339:14	<b>39.2</b> 133:23	<b>47</b> 66:5 111:12	<b>51</b> 44:13 342:18,25
<b>29th</b> 69:5	<b>32</b> 44:11 54:14	<b>396</b> 36:4	351:18	<b>51,071</b> 343:3,20
<b>2A</b> 202:13	56:12 292:23	<b>3A</b> 203:3,24	<b>475</b> 111:10	344:2
	<b>321-357</b> 45:14		<b>48</b> 47:16 50:3	<b>5116</b> 48:5
3	<b>322</b> 37:9	4	<b>480-85-060</b> 48:16	<b>52</b> 217:5
<b>3</b> 39:21 42:10,14,20	<b>323</b> 38:14,14	<b>4</b> 39:19,20,25 40:5	<b>49-</b> 184:9	<b>525</b> 127:4 317:2
42:25 43:4,11	<b>323-1601</b> 36:19	40:10 42:5,11,21	<b>495-8620</b> 35:9	<b>5295</b> 353:21,25
44:14 50:16 52:6	<b>324</b> 45:16	43:23 46:11 47:24	<b>4X</b> 111:2	<b>53</b> 56:18 57:11
52:23 54:8,9,13	<b>325</b> 45:18	48:3,21 49:18		342:25 343:10,19
55:8,20,23,24	<b>328</b> 233:3,7	51:8 53:19 54:14	5	344:13 351:15
56:20,23 58:9	<b>33</b> 39:15 42:8	55:4,5,18,21	<b>5</b> 39:18 41:20 42:9	<b>53,000</b> 351:15
60:14,22 63:4,24	272:14 362:17	56:12 60:23 62:10	42:17,18 43:21	<b>54</b> 126:23 296:17
64:8 65:7,12,19	<b>33:55</b> 54:22	62:24 65:15 66:17	45:3 46:13 47:17	<b>55</b> 82:13 273:13,15
66:7,8,9,18 97:22	<b>330</b> 48:18	67:20 113:14	47:22 50:5 51:6	355:13
98:2,3 101:22	<b>333</b> 38:16	124:1,3 125:12	51:11,12 52:24	<b>550</b> 126:21,21
130:9 131:19	<b>335</b> 45:19	174:2 282:21	53:15 54:11 58:4	<b>550-</b> 126:20
169:25 200:13,17	<b>337</b> 38:17	327:2 338:3	58:5 59:16 65:25	<b>552-7272</b> 36:5
203:2 207:10	<b>34</b> 34:10 49:8 50:11	<b>4,000</b> 214:8,10	68:5 84:25 126:12	<b>56</b> 42:16 239:8
293:20 337:24	59:20 62:19	<b>4.2</b> 177:17	200:14,16 206:2	<b>57</b> 51:9
338:3 360:10	176:19 252:5	<b>4.3</b> 83:21	217:6 345:11,14	<b>57.9</b> 293:24 294:4
<b>3,000</b> 247:25	<b>347</b> 45:21	<b>4.5</b> 340:6	351:22,23 353:20	<b>575</b> 127:5
<b>30</b> 34:13 41:9,12	<b>349</b> 51:20	<b>4:30</b> 321:23	354:13	<b>58</b> 39:12 62:20
44:4 45:8 51:3	<b>35</b> 53:12 63:16	<b>4:40</b> 321:22	<b>5-year</b> 297:9	64:12
67:17 69:2 126:20	177:3 210:9 252:9	40 52:15 53:16	<b>5,000</b> 247:25 248:1	<b>59</b> 44:22 58:14
134:6 178:9,11	252:10,19	61:21 278:13	249:15 349:19,21	<b>59.5</b> 134:2
193:21,24 219:16	<b>35.8</b> 344:10,11	342:13	349:23	<b>59.7</b> 294:13
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

<b>5X</b> 130:22,23	39:14,16,17,19,20	55:15,16,18,19,20	8	<b>95/5</b> 78:9 254:2
3A 130.22,23	39:21,22,23,25	55:21,22,24 56:3		<b>956</b> 52:7
6	40:3,4,5,6,7,9,10	56:4,5,7,9,10,12	<b>8</b> 46:3 48:24 49:4	<b>96</b> 37:12
<b>6</b> 39:13 46:6 51:14	40:3,4,3,6,7,3,10	56:13,15,17,19,21	51:15 52:20 62:17	<b>97</b> 365:10
55:12 57:20 64:16	40:11,12,14,13,17	56:23,24 57:3,5,7	83:6 127:1 133:14	<b>97.9</b> 364:22
106:4,8 126:22,24	41:3,5,8,10,13,15	, , ,	152:8 180:19	<b>97.9</b> 304.22 <b>97201</b> 35:23
150:16 210:20		57:9,10,12,14,15	199:22 272:14	<b>977-5532</b> 36:14
255:17 261:25	41:16,18,19,20,21	57:17,18,20,21,23	291:12,16 313:17	<b>98</b> 59:23
262:3 296:23	41:22,23,25 42:3	58:3,4,5,6,7,9,10	337:18 344:11	
313:17 339:10	42:4,6,7,9,10,11	58:12,13,15,17,18	<b>8-</b> 269:22	<b>98104</b> 35:18 36:9
360:10	42:12,14,15,17,18	58:20,21,23,24	<b>80</b> 83:8 89:7 147:22	<b>98503</b> 34:20
<b>60</b> 44:23 61:3 82:12	42:19,21,22,24	59:3,4,6,8,9,11,12	147:23 148:7	<b>98504</b> 35:13
83:21 86:8 171:10	43:3,4,5,7,8,9,11	59:14,15,17,18,20	265:3 266:22	<b>99</b> 49:22 52:11
213:7,10 300:5	43:13,14,16,18,19	59:21,23,24 60:4	339:24	65:11 91:14
<b>600,000</b> 327:14	43:22 44:3,5,7,9	60:5,7,8,10,12,13	<b>80-plus</b> 312:9	175:17,24 327:7
<b>607</b> 46:23	44:11,12,14,15,16	60:15,16,18,20,21	<b>80-year</b> 262:20	327:20
<b>61,000</b> 83:8,9	44:18,19,20,22,23	60:22,23,24,25	<b>800</b> 35:17 269:23	<b>99220</b> 35:9
,	44:24 45:3,4,6,9	61:3,4,5,6,7,8,10	<b>81</b> 60:6 66:14 67:6	<b>997</b> 83:24 113:4
<b>621</b> 34:19	45:10,12,14,15,17	61:11,12,13,14,15	<b>811</b> 36:9	<b>9X</b> 347:4 348:6,18
<b>621-0094</b> 36:10	45:19,21,23,25	61:16,17,18,20,21	<b>82</b> 37:3 46:9 52:12	349:12 350:12
<b>64</b> 50:4 63:23	46:3,4,6,7,8,10,12	61:22,24 62:3,4,6	<b>83.1</b> 134:2	<b>9XA</b> 348:21
<b>641</b> 242:13	46:14,15,17,18,20	62:7,9,11,12,14	<b>86</b> 47:11 59:22	
<b>65</b> 46:22 275:15	46:21,22,23,24	62:15,17,18,20,21	<b>87</b> 52:8	
<b>65.8</b> 200:9 273:6,17	47:3,4,6,8,9,11,12	62:23 63:3,5,6,8,9	<b>88</b> 67:6	
<b>66</b> 163:17 274:10	47:14,15,16,17,18	63:11,12,14,15,17	<b>89</b> 37:4	
<b>664-1188</b> 35:14	47:20,21,23,24	63:18,22,24 64:3	<b>89501</b> 36:18	
<b>67</b> 52:3 133:23	48:3,4,6,7,9,10,11	64:4,6,7,9,10,12		
239:7	48:12,13,16,17,19	64:13,15,16,17,18	9	
<b>69</b> 44:25 53:22 54:6	48:21,22,24 49:3	64:19,23,25 65:3	<b>9</b> 42:6 46:14 48:10	
54:20,24 210:5,6	49:4,5,7,8,9,10,11	65:4,6,7,8,10,11	79:6 128:10	
7	49:12,13,14,16,17	65:12,13,15,16,17	155:22 160:8	
	49:19,20,22,23,25	65:19,23,24 66:3	197:16,18,22	
7 40:21 43:3 44:10	50:3,4,5,6,8,9,11	66:5,6,8,9,10,11	198:6 228:23	
51:5 55:3 56:8	50:12,14,15,17,18	66:12,14,15,16,18	292:10 326:17	
64:3,5 66:11	50:20,21,22,24	66:19,20,21,24	328:5 365:14	
132:9 152:5	51:3,4,6,7,9,10,12	67:3,4,6,7,9,10,12	<b>9:02</b> 69:2,6	
175:11 213:4,11	51:13,15,16,18,22	67:13,15,16,18,20	<b>90</b> 47:3 132:10	
219:18 255:17	51:23,25 52:3,4,6	67:24 68:3,4,6,8	138:21 171:9	
261:25 262:3	52:7,8,9,11,12,13	68:10	<b>90-day</b> 209:23	
272:14 273:1	52:14,15,16,18,19	<b>75,187</b> 343:4,10,22	<b>90/10</b> 78:9 280:23	
291:12,16 342:1	52:21,22,24 53:3	<b>750</b> 36:18	<b>91</b> 52:17 349:16	
<b>7-</b> 269:23	53:4,6,7,9,11,13	<b>76</b> 37:2,8	<b>92</b> 37:5 51:17	
<b>72</b> 51:22 54:9	53:16,17,19,20,22	<b>77</b> 54:13 78:2	<b>94</b> 346:13 349:17	
<b>72-year-old</b> 82:10	53:23 54:4,5,7,8,9		<b>94102</b> 36:5	
<b>73</b> 54:11	54:10,12,14,15,18		<b>94612</b> 36:14	
<b>74</b> 349:17	54:21,24 55:3,4,5	<b>78</b> 65:23 133:17	<b>95</b> 37:8,11 210:12	
<b>75</b> 39:4,8,10,11,13	55:6,8,9,11,12,13	<b>7th</b> 111:8 371:20	210:12 356:12	
	, , , , , ,			