

**Qualifications of Ali Al-Jabir**

1   **Q   PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.**

2   A   Ali Al-Jabir. My business address is 5151 Flynn Parkway, Suite 412 C/D, Corpus  
3   Christi, Texas, 78411.

4   **Q   WHAT IS YOUR OCCUPATION AND BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED?**

5   A   I am a consultant in the field of public utility regulation and an Associate with the firm  
6   of Brubaker & Associates, Inc. (“BAI”).

7   **Q   PLEASE STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND.**

8   A   I am a graduate of the University of Texas at Austin (“UT-Austin”). I hold the  
9   degrees of Bachelor of Arts and Master of Arts in Economics, both from UT-Austin. I  
10   have also completed course work at Harvard University. I received my B.A. degree  
11   with highest honors, and I am a member of the Phi Beta Kappa Honor Society.

12   **Q   PLEASE STATE YOUR EXPERIENCE.**

13   A   I joined BAI in January 1997. My work consists of preparing economic studies and  
14   economic policy analysis related to investor-owned, cooperative, and municipal  
15   utilities. Prior to joining BAI, I was employed at the Public Utility Commission of  
16   Texas (“Texas Commission”) since 1991, where I held various positions including  
17   Policy Advisor to the Chairman. As Policy Advisor, I advised the Chairman on policy  
18   decisions in numerous rate and rulemaking proceedings. In 1995, I advised the Texas  
19   Legislature on the development of the statutory framework for wholesale competition

1 in the Electric Reliability Council of Texas (“ERCOT”), and I was involved in  
2 subsequent rulemakings at the Texas Commission to implement wholesale open  
3 access transmission service in the region.

4 During my tenure at the Texas Commission and in my present capacity, I have  
5 reviewed and analyzed several electric utility base rate and fuel filings in Texas. I  
6 have also worked on utility rate, fuel, and merger proceedings and rulemakings in  
7 Virginia, Missouri, Colorado, Indiana, Alberta, Pennsylvania, North Carolina, South  
8 Carolina, Michigan, Illinois, New Mexico, Washington and Nova Scotia. In addition  
9 to my work on such proceedings, I have drafted policy papers, comments and  
10 affidavits regarding electric industry restructuring, competitive policy and market  
11 design issues in Texas, Alabama, Louisiana, Georgia, and Delaware, as well as before  
12 the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. I have been an invited speaker at several  
13 electric utility industry conferences, and I have presented seminars on utility  
14 regulation and industry restructuring.

15 BAI and its predecessor firm have been active in utility rate and economic  
16 consulting since 1937. The firm provides consulting services in the field of public  
17 utility regulation to many clients, including large industrial and institutional  
18 customers, some competitive retail power providers and utilities and, on occasion,  
19 state regulatory agencies. In addition, we have prepared depreciation and feasibility  
20 studies relating to utility service. We assist in the negotiation of contracts and the  
21 solicitation and procurement of competitive energy supplies for large energy users,  
22 provide economic policy analysis on industry restructuring issues, and present  
23 seminars on utility regulation. In general, we are engaged in regulatory consulting,

1 economic analysis, energy procurement, and contract negotiation.

2 In addition to our main office in St. Louis, the firm also has branch offices in  
3 Corpus Christi, Texas; Louisville, Kentucky and Phoenix, Arizona.

4 **Q HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY FILED TESTIMONY IN CONTESTED UTILITY**  
5 **PROCEEDINGS?**

6 **A** Yes, I have filed written testimony in the following dockets:

- 7 1. Texas Docket No. 10035 – Application of West Texas Utilities Company to  
8 Reconcile Fuel Costs and for Authority to Change Fixed Fuel Factors.
- 9 2. Texas Docket No. 10200 – Application of the Texas - New Mexico Power  
10 Company for Authority to Change Rates.
- 11 3. Texas Docket No. 10325 – Application of the Central Texas Electric  
12 Cooperative, Inc. for Authority to Change Rates.
- 13 4. Texas Docket No. 10600 – Application of the Brazos River Authority for  
14 Approval of Rates.
- 15 5. Texas Docket No. 10881 – Application of the New Era Electric Cooperative,  
16 Inc. for Authority to Change Rates.
- 17 6. Texas Docket No. 11244 – Petition of the Medina Electric Cooperative, Inc. to  
18 Reduce its Fixed Fuel Factor and the Application of the South Texas Electric  
19 Cooperative, Inc. for Authority to Refund an Over-Recovery of Fuel Cost  
20 Revenues and to Reduce its Fixed Fuel Factor.
- 21 7. Texas Docket No. 11271 – Application of Bowie-Cass Electric Cooperative, Inc.  
22 for Authority to Change Rates.
- 23 8. Texas Docket No. 11567 – Application of Kaufman County Electric  
24 Cooperative, Inc. for Authority to Change Rates.
- 25 9. Texas Docket No. 18607 – Application of West Texas Utilities Company for  
26 Authority to Reconcile Fuel Costs.
- 27 10. Texas Docket No. 20290 – Application of Central Power & Light Company for  
28 Authority to Reconcile Fuel Costs.

- 1 11. Virginia Case No. PUE980814 – In the matter of considering an electricity retail  
2 access pilot program: American Electric Power – Virginia.
- 3 12. Texas Docket No. 21111 – Application of Entergy Gulf States Inc. for Authority  
4 to Reconcile Fuel Costs and to Recover a Surcharge for Under-Recovered Fuel  
5 Costs.
- 6 13. Virginia Case No. PUE990717 – Application of Virginia Electric and Power  
7 Company to Revise Its Fuel Factor Pursuant to Virginia Code Section 56-249.6.
- 8 14. Texas Docket No. 22344 – Generic Issues Associated with Applications for  
9 Approval of Unbundled Cost of Service Rates Pursuant to PURA Section 39.201  
10 and Public Utility Commission Substantive Rule § 25.344.
- 11 15. Texas Docket No. 22350 – Application of TXU Electric Company for Approval  
12 of Unbundled Cost of Service Rates Pursuant to PURA Section 39.201 and  
13 Public Utility Commission Substantive Rule 25.344 (Phase III).
- 14 16. Texas Docket No. 22352 – Application of Central Power and Light Company for  
15 Approval of Unbundled Cost of Service Rates Pursuant to PURA Section 39.201  
16 and Public Utility Commission Substantive Rule 25.344 (Final Phase).
- 17 17. Texas Docket No. 22353 – Application of Southwestern Electric Power  
18 Company for Approval of Unbundled Cost of Service Rates Pursuant to PURA  
19 Section 39.201 and Public Utility Commission Substantive Rule 25.344 (Final  
20 Phase).
- 21 18. Texas Docket No. 22354 – Application of West Texas Utilities Company for  
22 Approval of Unbundled Cost of Service Rates Pursuant to PURA Section 39.201  
23 and Public Utility Commission Substantive Rule 25.344 (Final Phase).
- 24 19. Texas Docket No. 22356 – Application of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. for Approval  
25 of Unbundled Cost of Service Rates Pursuant to PURA Section 39.201 and  
26 Public Utility Commission Substantive Rule 25.344.
- 27 20. Texas Docket No. 22349 – Application of Texas-New Mexico Power Company  
28 for Approval of Unbundled Cost of Service Rates Pursuant to PURA Section  
29 39.201 and Public Utility Commission Substantive Rule 25.344 (Final Phase).
- 30 21. Virginia Case No. PUE000584 – Application of Virginia Electric and Power  
31 Company for Approval of a Functional Separation Plan under the Virginia  
32 Electric Utility Restructuring Act.
- 33 22. Texas Docket No. 24468 – Staff’s Petition to Determine Readiness for Retail  
34 Competition in the Portions of Texas Within the Southwest Power Pool.

- 1 23. Texas Docket No. 24469 – Staff’s Petition to Determine Readiness for Retail  
2 Competition in the Portions of Texas Within the Southeastern Electric Reliability  
3 Council.
- 4 24. Virginia Case No. PUE-2002-00377 – Application of Virginia Electric and  
5 Power Company to Revise Its Fuel Factor Pursuant to Section 56-249.6 of the  
6 Code of Virginia.
- 7 25. Texas Docket No. 27035 – Application of Central Power and Light Company for  
8 Authority to Reconcile Fuel Costs.
- 9 26. Texas Docket No. 28818 – Application of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. for  
10 Certification of an Independent Organization for the Entergy Settlement Area in  
11 Texas.
- 12 27. Virginia Case No. PUE-2000-00550 -- Appalachian Power Company d/b/a  
13 American Electric Power: Regional Transmission Entities.
- 14 28. Texas Docket No. 29408 – Application of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. for the  
15 Authority to Reconcile Fuel Costs.
- 16 29. Texas Docket No. 29801 – Application of Southwestern Public Service  
17 Company for: (1) Reconciliation of its Fuel Costs for 2002 and 2003; (2) A  
18 Finding of Special Circumstances; and (3) Related Relief.
- 19 30. Texas Docket No. 30143 -- Petition of El Paso Electric Company to Reconcile  
20 Fuel Costs.
- 21 31. Texas Docket No. 31540 – Proceeding to Consider Protocols to Implement a  
22 Nodal Market in the Electric Reliability Council of Texas Pursuant to PUC  
23 Substantive Rule 25.501.
- 24 32. Texas Docket No. 32795 – Staff’s Petition to Initiate a Generic Proceeding to  
25 Re-Allocate Stranded Costs Pursuant to PURA Section 39.253(f).
- 26 33. Texas Docket No. 33309 – Application of AEP Texas Central Company for  
27 Authority to Change Rates.
- 28 34. Texas Docket No. 33310 – Application of AEP Texas North Company for  
29 Authority to Change Rates.
- 30 35. Michigan Case No. U-15245 – In the Matter of the Application of Consumers  
31 Energy Company for Authority to Increase its Rates for the Generation and  
32 Distribution of Electricity and for Other Rate Relief.

- 1 36. Texas Docket No. 34800 – Application of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. for Authority  
2 to Change Rates and to Reconcile Fuel Costs.
- 3 37. Texas Docket No. 35717 – Application of Oncor Electric Delivery Company  
4 LLC for Authority to Change Rates.
- 5 38. RIPUC Docket No. 4065 – Application of the Narragansett Electric Company  
6 d/b/a National Grid for Approval of a Change in Electric Base Distribution Rates  
7 Pursuant to R.I.G.L. Sections 39-3-10 and 39-3-11.
- 8 39. RIPUC Docket No. 4323 – Application of the Narragansett Electric Company  
9 d/b/a National Grid for Approval of a Change in Electric and Gas Base  
10 Distribution Rates Pursuant to R.I.G.L. Sections 39-3-10 and 39-1-3-11.
- 11 40. Oregon Docket No. UE 283 -- In the Matter of Portland General Electric  
12 Company's Request for a General Rate Revision.
- 13 41. Washington Docket No. UE-141368 – In the Matter of the Petition of Puget  
14 Sound Energy to Update Methodologies Used to Allocate Electric Cost of  
15 Service and for Electric Rate Design Purposes.
- 16 42. Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Docket No. EL15-82-000 -- Illinois  
17 Industrial Energy Consumers, Complainant, v. Midcontinent Independent  
18 System Operator, Inc., Respondent.
- 19 43. RIPUC Docket No. 4568 – In Re: Review of the Narragansett Electric Company  
20 d/b/a National Grid's Rate Design Pursuant to R.I. General Laws Section 39-  
21 26.6-24.
- 22 44. Washington Docket Nos. UE-170033 and UG-170034 – Washington Utilities  
23 and Transportation Commission, Complainant, v. Puget Sound Energy,  
24 Respondent.
- 25 45. RIPUC Docket No. 4770 – The Narragansett Electric Company d/b/a National  
26 Grid – Application for Approval of a Change in Electric and Gas Base  
27 Distribution Rates.
- 28 46. RIPUC Docket No. 4780 – The Narragansett Electric Company d/b/a National  
29 Grid – Proposed Power Sector Transformation Vision and Implementation Plan.
- 30 47. Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Docket Nos. ER19-1486-000 and  
31 ER19-58-000, Enhanced Price Formation In Reserve Markets of PJM  
32 Interconnection, L.L.C.
- 33 48. Texas Docket No. 49494 – Application of AEP Texas Inc. for Authority to  
34 Change Rates.

- 1 49. Washington Docket Nos. UE-190529 and UG-190530 – Washington Utilities  
2 and Transportation Commission, Complainant, v. Puget Sound Energy,  
3 Respondent.
- 4 50. New Mexico Case No. 20-00121-UT -- In the Matter of the Petition of Public  
5 Service Company of New Mexico, Pursuant to the Efficient Use of Energy Act  
6 and the Public Utility Act, for Approval of a Rate Adjustment Mechanism to  
7 Remove Regulatory Disincentives and Original Rider No. 52.
- 8 51. Texas Docket No. 51415 – Application of Southwestern Electric Power  
9 Company for Authority to Change Rates.
- 10 52. Alberta Proceeding ID No. 26911 – In the Matter of the *Alberta Utilities*  
11 *Commission Act*, S.A. 2007, c. A-37.2, as amended, and the regulations made  
12 thereunder and in the Matter of the AESO Bulk and Regional Rate Design and  
13 Modernized DOS Rate Design Application.
- 14 53. Washington Docket Nos. UE-220066 and UG-220067 -- Washington Utilities  
15 and Transportation Commission, Complainant, v. Puget Sound Energy,  
16 Respondent; In the Matter of the Petition of Puget Sound Energy for an Order  
17 Authorizing Deferred Accounting Treatment for Puget Sound Energy’s Share of  
18 Costs Associated with the Tacoma LNG Facility.
- 19 54. Illinois Commerce Commission Docket Nos. 22-0487 and 23-0082 – Illinois  
20 Commerce Commission On Its Own Motion vs. Ameren Illinois Company d/b/a  
21 Ameren Illinois, Order Requiring Ameren Illinois Company to File an Initial  
22 Multi-Year Integrated Grid Plan and Initiating Proceeding to Determine Whether  
23 the Plan is Reasonable and Complies with the Public Utilities Act; Ameren  
24 Illinois Company d/b/a Ameren Illinois, Petition for Approval of a Multi-Year  
25 Rate Plan pursuant to 220 ILCS 5/16-108.18.
- 26 55. Illinois Commerce Commission Docket Nos. 22-0486 and 23-0055 – Illinois  
27 Commerce Commission On Its Own Motion vs. Commonwealth Edison  
28 Company, Order Requiring Commonwealth Edison Company to file an Initial  
29 Multi-Year Integrated Grid Plan and Initiating Proceeding to Determine Whether  
30 the Plan is Reasonable and Complies with the Public Utilities Act;  
31 Commonwealth Edison Company, Verified Petition for Approval of a Multi-  
32 Year Rate Plan under Section 16-108.18 of the Public Utilities Act.
- 33 56. Illinois Commerce Commission Docket No. 24-0238 – Ameren Illinois  
34 Company d/b/a Ameren Illinois, Petition to Adjust Rates Pursuant to the  
35 Direction in the Final Order in Dockets 22-0487/23-0082.
- 36 57. Illinois Commerce Commission Docket No. 24-0181 – Commonwealth Edison