EXHIBIT NO. JHS-26 DOCKET NOS. UE-090704/UG-090705 2009 PSE GENERAL RATE CASE WITNESS: JOHN H. STORY

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

v.

Docket No. UE-090704 Docket No. UG-090705

PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.,

Respondent.

TWELFTH EXHIBIT (NONCONFIDENTIAL) TO THE PREFILED REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF JOHN H. STORY ON BEHALF OF PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.

DECEMBER 17, 2009

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Puget Sound Energy, Inc.'s 2009 General Rate Case

WUTC STAFF DATA REQUEST NO. 166

WUTC STAFF DATA REQUEST NO. 166:

Re: Deferral of Mint Farm Costs

Refer to the direct testimony of Mr. Story Exhibit (JHS-1T), page 72, lines 1 through 7.

- a. Please explain the rationale underlying the proposal to apply a credit to any variable costs deferred, net of the market power credit, if the Company over collects power costs under the PCA true-up mechanism.
- b. Please explain how the proposed credit described in a) above and in Mr. Story's direct testimony is not inconsistent with the underlying basis of the PCA sharing bands.

Response:

- a. The proposal described on page 72 of the Prefiled Direct Testimony of John H. Story, Exhibit No. ___(JHS-1T) is not based on a direct relationship to the Mint Farm variable deferral; it was proposed by Puget Sound Energy, Inc. ("PSE") as a means to keep the costs of the deferral down. PSE would not necessarily propose this type of offset in future deferrals made pursuant to RCW. 80.80.060(5).
- b. PSE does not believe its proposal violates any conditions of the power cost adjustment ("PCA") mechanism if the Commission approves PSE's proposal. PSE does think this proposed contribution is a benefit to the customer. Without it, the customer would pay 100% of the cash being deferred and PSE would receive 100% of the first \$20 million in over collections of PCA costs if PCA power costs are less than projected.