



PUGET SOUND ENERGY

The Energy To Do Great Things

Puget Sound Energy
P.O. Box 97034
Bellevue, WA 98009-9734
PSE.com

February 15, 2011

David Danner, Secretary and Executive Director
Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission
P.O. Box 47250
Olympia, WA 98504-7250

**Re: Settlement Stipulation, Exhibit A, Settlement Terms for the Power Cost
Adjustment Mechanism (PCA), Docket No. UE-011570**

Dear Mr. Danner:

Attached are an original and two copies of Puget Sound Energy, Inc.'s ("the Company") quarterly report of the power cost deferral calculation.

In the Commission's Third Supplemental Order in Docket No. UE-011570, the Company received approval of the Settlement Stipulation which resolved all electric issues and common electric-natural gas issues in the consolidated proceeding, as well as some natural gas issues. Included in the Stipulation was Exhibit A to the Stipulation, Settlement Terms for the PCA, which contained detail of the agreement regarding a power-cost adjustment mechanism. The quarterly report is required by the PCA Settlement, Exhibit A, paragraph 4 (third bullet).

Please contact me at (425) 462-3885 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

John H. Story
Director, Cost & Regulation

cc Simon J. ffitich, Assistant Attorney General
Mike Parvinen, WUTC
Bob Cedarbaum, WUTC

Puget Sound Energy Power Cost Adjustment Summary

Actual Costs and Disallowance as recorded through the PCA Mechanism

PCA Year (B)	Actuals		Baseline		Difference (A)		Wholesale Customer		Imbalance for Sharing		Company per PCA		Customer per PCA		Total		Interest on Customer		Total Customer per PCA	
	Monthly	Cumulative	Baseline	Cumulative	Monthly	Cumulative	Monthly	Cumulative	Monthly	PCA Period Cumulative	Monthly (A)	Cumulative (A)	Monthly (A)	Cumulative (A)	Monthly Difference (A)	Cumulative Difference (A)	Monthly (A)	Cumulative (A)	Monthly (A)	Cumulative (A)

1-6 - Cumulative Amounts 5,588,819,593 5,690,731,290 (1,911,698) (10,311) (1,922,010) (1,591,336) (330,674) (1,922,010) 1,051,313 720,640

	Actuals		Baseline		Difference (A)		Wholesale Customer		Imbalance for Sharing		Company per PCA		Customer per PCA		Total		Interest on Customer		Total Customer per PCA	
	Monthly	PCA Period	Baseline	PCA Period	Monthly Difference	PCA Period	Monthly	PCA Period	Monthly	PCA Period	Monthly (A)	PCA Period (A)	Monthly (A)	PCA Period (A)	Monthly Difference (A)	PCA Period (A)	Monthly (A)	PCA Period (A)	Monthly (A)	PCA Period (A)
	7 Jan-08	135,571,915	135,571,915	137,848,116	137,848,116	(2,276,200)	(2,276,200)	808	808	(2,275,392)	(2,275,392)	(2,275,392)	-	-	(2,275,392)	(2,275,392)	(2,179)	(2,179)	(2,179)	(2,179)

8 Jan-09	132,115,947	132,115,947	142,357,342	142,357,342	(10,241,395)	(10,241,395)	3,683	3,683	(10,237,712)	(10,237,712)	(10,237,712)	-	-	(10,237,712)	(10,237,712)	(1,269)	(1,269)	(1,269)	(1,269)
----------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	--------------	--------------	-------	-------	--------------	--------------	--------------	---	---	--------------	--------------	---------	---------	---------	---------

9 Jan-10	135,098,099	135,098,099	126,298,040	126,298,040	8,800,059	8,800,059	(3,165)	(3,165)	8,796,895	8,796,895	8,796,895	-	-	8,796,895	8,796,895	14,692	14,692	14,692	14,692
----------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-----------	-----------	---------	---------	-----------	-----------	-----------	---	---	-----------	-----------	--------	--------	--------	--------

\$ 51,573,829 \$ 14,478,860 \$ 1,138,982 \$ 15,617,843

- Notes:**
- (A) A credit balance represents an overrecovery of power costs (baseline rate was greater than actual rate). A debit balance represents an underrecovery of power costs (actual rate was greater than baseline rate.) The difference excludes any adjustments for Firm Wholesale Customers.
- (B) The PCA mechanism was a June through July fiscal period from July 2002 through June 2006 with a cumulative cap on excess power costs of \$40 million. The Washington Commission changed the PCA mechanism period to a calendar year basis without a cumulative cap starting January 2007.
- (C) The June 2010 PCA calculation does not include a \$17.8 million loss that PSE recorded per the Washington Commission's order in Docket No. UE-070725 to adjust the carrying value of PSE's California wholesale energy sales regulatory asset as the sales occurred in 2010, prior to the establishment of the PCA mechanism. Exclusion of this charge from the calculation of the imbalance for sharing is pursuant to the Methodology for Adjustments of Costs Outside of the PCA Period ("PCA true-up methodology").

NOTE: In March 2010 PSE and its partners at Colstrip 3&4 reached a settlement of a dispute with Western Energy regarding reclamation costs built into the price of coal in 2007. PSE will receive \$1,625,000 which was recorded as a credit to a 501 order in March 2010. The amount is over \$1 million and relates to 2007 so per the PCA true-up methodology it was not included in the current month PCA. Instead the 2007 PCA was restated to reflect the settlement amount, net of the wholesale adjustment, according to the sharing bands which were at the 50/50 sharing band level. As a result, \$812,205 of the settlement decreased the customer deferral and the remaining \$812,205 was reflected as a reduction to power costs for the company share. In addition, the customer deferral interest was recalculated which caused a decrease in interest income of \$125,431 due to the decrease in the customer deferral balance.

Note: In November 2010 PSE and BPA signed an agreement in which BPA will pay PSE \$5,601,664 for PSE's net over-return of 127,271 MWh of line losses under multiple contracts from July 2001 through August 2009. PSE recorded the amount as a credit on its books to a 555 order in November 2010. However, following the PCA true-up methodology for true-ups greater than \$1 million, the credit was not included in the calculation of the imbalance for sharing in November 2010, the month it was booked. Instead, amounts shown for FERC 555 for each of PCA periods 1 through 8 were restated to include the reduction for the settlement amounts related to each respective PCA period. As a result \$1,134,419 of the settlement decreased the previously reported customer deferral. The amount of the \$1,134,419 reduction in the customer deferral was calculated in accordance with the sharing band level PSE was in at that time period. The revision of the prior PCA periods also resulted in a \$286,181 decrease to PCA interest income on the customer deferral balance.

Puget Sound Energy Power Cost Adjustment Summary

Actual Costs and Disallowance as recorded through the PCA Mechanism

PCA Year (B)	Actuals		Baseline		Difference (A)		Wholesale Customer		Imbalance for Sharing			Company per PCA		Customer per PCA		Total		Interest on Customer		Total Customer per PCA	
	Monthly	Cumulative	Baseline	Cumulative	Monthly	Cumulative	Monthly	Cumulative	Monthly	PCA Period	Cumulative	Monthly (A)	Cumulative (A)	Monthly (A)	Cumulative (A)	Monthly Difference (A)	Cumulative Difference (A)	Monthly (A)	Cumulative (A)	Monthly (A)	Cumulative (A)

1-6 - Cumulative Amounts 5,588,819,593 5,590,731,290 (1,911,698) (10,311) (1,922,010) (1,591,336) (330,674) (1,922,010) 1,051,313 720,640

	Actuals		Baseline		Difference (A)		Wholesale Customer		Imbalance for Sharing		Company per PCA		Customer per PCA		Total		Interest on Customer		Total Customer per PCA	
	Monthly	PCA Period	Baseline	PCA Period	Monthly Difference	PCA Period	Monthly	PCA Period	Monthly	PCA Period	Monthly (A)	PCA Period (A)	Monthly (A)	PCA Period (A)	Monthly Difference (A)	PCA Period (A)	Monthly (A)	PCA Period (A)	Monthly (A)	PCA Period (A)
	7 Jan-08	135,571,915	135,571,915	137,848,116	137,848,116	(2,276,200)	(2,276,200)	808	808	(2,275,392)	(2,275,392)	(2,275,392)	(2,275,392)	-	-	(2,275,392)	(2,275,392)	(2,179)	(2,179)	(2,179)

\$ 51,573,829 \$ 14,478,860 \$ 1,138,982 \$ 15,017,843

- Notes:**
- (A) A credit balance represents an overrecovery of power costs (baseline rate was greater than actual rate). A debit balance represents an underrecovery of power costs (actual rate was greater than baseline rate). The difference excludes any adjustments for Firm Wholesale Customers.
- (B) The PCA mechanism was a June through July fiscal period from July 2002 through June 2006 with a cumulative cap on excess power costs of \$40 million. The Washington Commission changed the PCA mechanism period to a calendar year basis without a cumulative cap starting January 2007.
- (C) The June 2010 PCA calculation does not include a \$17.8 million loss that PSE recorded per the Washington Commission's order in Docket No. UE-070725 to adjust the carrying value of PSE's California wholesale energy sales regulatory asset as the sales occurred in 2000, prior to the establishment of the PCA mechanism. Exclusion of this charge from the calculation of the imbalance for sharing is pursuant to the Methodology for Adjustments of Costs Outside of the PCA Period ("PCA true-up methodology").
- NOTE: In March 2010 PSE and its partners at Colstrip 3&4 reached a settlement of a dispute with Western Energy regarding reclamation costs built into the price of coal in 2007. PSE will receive \$1,625,000 which was recorded as a credit to a 501 order in March 2010. The amount is over \$1 million and relates to 2007 so per the PCA true-up methodology it was not included in the current month PCA. Instead the 2007 PCA was restated to reflect the settlement amount, net of the wholesale adjustment, according to the sharing bands which were at the 50/50 sharing band level. As a result, \$812,205 of the settlement decreased the customer deferral and the remaining \$812,205 was reflected as a reduction to power costs for the company share. In addition, the customer deferral interest was recalculated which caused a decrease in Interest Income of \$125,431 due to the decrease in the customer deferral balance.

NOTE: In November 2010 PSE and BPA signed an agreement in which BPA will pay PSE \$5,601,564 for PSE's net over-return of 127,271 MWh of line losses under multiple contracts from July 2001 through August 2009. PSE recorded the amount as a credit on its books to a 555 order in November 2010. However, following the PCA true-up methodology for true-ups greater than \$1 million, the credit was not included in the calculation of the imbalance for sharing in November 2010, the month it was booked. Instead, amounts shown for FERC 555 for each of PCA periods 1 through 8 were restated to include the reduction for the settlement amounts related to each respective PCA period. As a result \$1,134,419 of the settlement decreased the previously reported customer deferral. The amount of the \$1,134,419 reduction in the customer deferral was calculated in accordance with the sharing band level PSE was in at that time period. The revision of the prior PCA periods also resulted in a \$286,181 decrease to PCA Interest Income on the customer deferral balance.

