# Docket Nos. UE-190334 and UG-190335 (Consolidated) - Vol. I

# WUTC v. Avista Corporation d/b/a Avista Utilities

May 24, 2019



206.287.9066 I 800.846.6989

1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101

www.buellrealtime.com

email: info@buellrealtime.com



Page 1	l Page
BEFORE THE WASHINGTON	1 APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)
UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION	PUBLIC COUNSEL:
WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND ) TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION, )	3 NINA M. SUETAKE
)	4 LISA W. GAFKEN (by phone)
Complainant, )	Assistant Attorneys General
v. ) DOCKETS UE-190334	5 Washington Attorney General's Office
) and UG-190335	6 Public Counsel Unit
AVISTA CORPORATION, d/b/a ) (Consolidated) AVISTA UTILITIES. )	800 Fifth Avenue 7 Suite 2000
)	Seattle, Washington 98104
Respondent. )	8 206.464.6595 ninas@atg.wa.gov
DEFLICACING CONFEDENCE VOLUME	9 lisa.gafken@atg.wa.gov
PREHEARING CONFERENCE, VOLUME I Pages 1 through 46	10 11 REPRESENTATIVE:
	12 JENNIFER CAMERON-RULKOWSKI
2:29 p.m.	Assistant Attorney General  Office of the Attorney General
May 24, 2019	1400 S. Evergreen Park Drive S.W.
Richard Hemstad Building 1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive SW, Room 206	14 P.O. Box 40128 Olympia, Washington 98504
Olympia, Washington	15 360.664.1186
	jennifer.cameron-rulkowski  16 @utc.wa.gov
	17
REPORTED BY: KATHLEEN HAMILTON, RPR, CRR, CCR 1917	18 FOR THE SIERRA CLUB:
Buell Realtime Reporting, LLC 1325 Fourth Avenue	19 JESSICA YARNALL LOARIE (by phone) Senior Attorney, Sierra Club
Suite 1840	20 2101 Webster St
Seattle, Washington 98101 206.287.9066   Seattle	Suite 1300 21 Oakland, CA 94612
360.534.9066 Olympia	415.977.5636
800.846.6989   National www.buellrealtime.com	22 jessica.yarnall@sierraclub.org 23
www.bdciiicaminc.com	24
	25
Page 2	Page
APPEARANCES	1 APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)
	1 APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:	FOR THE NW ENERGY COALITION:
	FOR THE NW ENERGY COALITION:
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: ANDREW O'CONNELL	FOR THE NW ENERGY COALITION:  3  IRION SANGER (by phone)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:  ANDREW O'CONNELL  REPRESENTATIVE:	FOR THE NW ENERGY COALITION:  3  IRION SANGER (by phone)  4  MARIE BARLOW (by phone)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: ANDREW O'CONNELL	FOR THE NW ENERGY COALITION:  IRION SANGER (by phone)  MARIE BARLOW (by phone)  Sanger Thompson P.C.  1041 SE 58th Place
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:  ANDREW O'CONNELL  REPRESENTATIVE:  DAVID J. MEYER, ESQ.  VP and Chief Counsel for Regulatory and Governmental Affairs	FOR THE NW ENERGY COALITION:  IRION SANGER (by phone)  MARIE BARLOW (by phone)  Sanger Thompson P.C.  1041 SE 58th Place  Portland, OR 97215
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:  ANDREW O'CONNELL  REPRESENTATIVE:  DAVID J. MEYER, ESQ. VP and Chief Counsel for Regulatory and Governmental Affairs Avista Corporation P.O. Box 3727	FOR THE NW ENERGY COALITION:  IRION SANGER (by phone)  MARIE BARLOW (by phone)  Sanger Thompson P.C.  1041 SE 58th Place Portland, OR 97215  503.919.3779
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:  ANDREW O'CONNELL  REPRESENTATIVE:  DAVID J. MEYER, ESQ.  VP and Chief Counsel for Regulatory and Governmental Affairs Avista Corporation P.O. Box 3727 1411 E. Mission Ave, MSC-27	FOR THE NW ENERGY COALITION:  IRION SANGER (by phone)  MARIE BARLOW (by phone)  Sanger Thompson P.C.  1041 SE 58th Place Portland, OR 97215  503.919.3779 irion@sanger-law.com
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:  ANDREW O'CONNELL  REPRESENTATIVE:  DAVID J. MEYER, ESQ. VP and Chief Counsel for Regulatory and Governmental Affairs Avista Corporation P.O. Box 3727 1411 E. Mission Ave, MSC-27 Spokane, Washington 99220 509.495.4316	FOR THE NW ENERGY COALITION:  IRION SANGER (by phone)  MARIE BARLOW (by phone)  Sanger Thompson P.C.  1041 SE 58th Place Portland, OR 97215  503.919.3779 irion@sanger-law.com  marie@sanger-law.com
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:  ANDREW O'CONNELL  REPRESENTATIVE:  DAVID J. MEYER, ESQ.  VP and Chief Counsel for Regulatory and Governmental Affairs Avista Corporation P.O. Box 3727 1411 E. Mission Ave, MSC-27 Spokane, Washington 99220	FOR THE NW ENERGY COALITION:  IRION SANGER (by phone)  MARIE BARLOW (by phone)  Sanger Thompson P.C.  1041 SE 58th Place Portland, OR 97215  503.919.3779 irion@sanger-law.com  marie@sanger-law.com  FOR THE NORTHWEST CITIZENS POWER COALITION
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:  ANDREW O'CONNELL  REPRESENTATIVE:  DAVID J. MEYER, ESQ. VP and Chief Counsel for Regulatory and Governmental Affairs Avista Corporation P.O. Box 3727 1411 E. Mission Ave, MSC-27 Spokane, Washington 99220 509.495.4316 david.meyer@avistacorp.com	FOR THE NW ENERGY COALITION:  IRION SANGER (by phone)  MARIE BARLOW (by phone)  Sanger Thompson P.C.  1041 SE 58th Place Portland, OR 97215  503.919.3779 irion@sanger-law.com  marie@sanger-law.com  FOR THE NORTHWEST CITIZENS POWER COALITION MICHAEL R. BELL
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:  ANDREW O'CONNELL  REPRESENTATIVE:  DAVID J. MEYER, ESQ.  VP and Chief Counsel for Regulatory and Governmental Affairs Avista Corporation P.O. Box 3727 1411 E. Mission Ave, MSC-27 Spokane, Washington 99220 509.495.4316 david.meyer@avistacorp.com	FOR THE NW ENERGY COALITION:  IRION SANGER (by phone)  MARIE BARLOW (by phone)  Sanger Thompson P.C.  1041 SE 58th Place Portland, OR 97215  503.919.3779 irion@sanger-law.com  marie@sanger-law.com  FOR THE NORTHWEST CITIZENS POWER COALITION MICHAEL R. BELL mrbellcpa@gmail.com
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:  ANDREW O'CONNELL  REPRESENTATIVE:  DAVID J. MEYER, ESQ. VP and Chief Counsel for Regulatory and Governmental Affairs Avista Corporation P.O. Box 3727 1411 E. Mission Ave, MSC-27 Spokane, Washington 99220 509.495.4316 david.meyer@avistacorp.com  FOR THE ENERGY PROJECT: SIMON J. FFITCH	FOR THE NW ENERGY COALITION:  IRION SANGER (by phone)  MARIE BARLOW (by phone)  Sanger Thompson P.C.  1041 SE 58th Place Portland, OR 97215  503.919.3779 irion@sanger-law.com  marie@sanger-law.com  FOR THE NORTHWEST CITIZENS POWER COALITION:  MICHAEL R. BELL
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:  ANDREW O'CONNELL  REPRESENTATIVE:  DAVID J. MEYER, ESQ.  VP and Chief Counsel for Regulatory and Governmental Affairs Avista Corporation P.O. Box 3727 1411 E. Mission Ave, MSC-27 Spokane, Washington 99220 509.495.4316 david.meyer@avistacorp.com  FOR THE ENERGY PROJECT:  SIMON J. FFITCH Law Office of Simon J. ffitch 321 High School Rd. NE	FOR THE NW ENERGY COALITION:  IRION SANGER (by phone)  MARIE BARLOW (by phone)  Sanger Thompson P.C.  1041 SE 58th Place Portland, OR 97215  503.919.3779 irion@sanger-law.com marie@sanger-law.com  FOR THE NORTHWEST CITIZENS POWER COALITION:  MICHAEL R. BELL mrbellcpa@gmail.com
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:  ANDREW O'CONNELL  REPRESENTATIVE:  DAVID J. MEYER, ESQ. VP and Chief Counsel for Regulatory and Governmental Affairs Avista Corporation P.O. Box 3727 1411 E. Mission Ave, MSC-27 Spokane, Washington 99220 509.495.4316 david.meyer@avistacorp.com  FOR THE ENERGY PROJECT:  SIMON J. FFITCH Law Office of Simon J. ffitch 321 High School Rd. NE Suite D3, No. 383	FOR THE NW ENERGY COALITION:  IRION SANGER (by phone)  MARIE BARLOW (by phone)  Sanger Thompson P.C.  1041 SE 58th Place Portland, OR 97215  503.919.3779 irion@sanger-law.com marie@sanger-law.com  FOR THE NORTHWEST CITIZENS POWER COALITION:  MICHAEL R. BELL mrbellcpa@gmail.com
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:  ANDREW O'CONNELL  REPRESENTATIVE:  DAVID J. MEYER, ESQ. VP and Chief Counsel for Regulatory and Governmental Affairs Avista Corporation P.O. Box 3727 1411 E. Mission Ave, MSC-27 Spokane, Washington 99220 509.495.4316 david.meyer@avistacorp.com  FOR THE ENERGY PROJECT:  SIMON J. FFITCH Law Office of Simon J. ffitch 321 High School Rd. NE Suite D3, No. 383 Bainbridge Island, Washington 98110	FOR THE NW ENERGY COALITION:  IRION SANGER (by phone)  MARIE BARLOW (by phone)  Sanger Thompson P.C.  1041 SE 58th Place Portland, OR 97215  503.919.3779 irion@sanger-law.com marie@sanger-law.com  FOR THE NORTHWEST CITIZENS POWER COALITION: MICHAEL R. BELL mrbellcpa@gmail.com  ** * * * *
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:  ANDREW O'CONNELL  REPRESENTATIVE:  DAVID J. MEYER, ESQ.  VP and Chief Counsel for Regulatory and Governmental Affairs Avista Corporation P.O. Box 3727 1411 E. Mission Ave, MSC-27 Spokane, Washington 99220 509.495.4316 david.meyer@avistacorp.com  FOR THE ENERGY PROJECT:  SIMON J. FFITCH Law Office of Simon J. ffitch 321 High School Rd. NE Suite D3, No. 383 Bainbridge Island, Washington	FOR THE NW ENERGY COALITION:  IRION SANGER (by phone)  MARIE BARLOW (by phone)  Sanger Thompson P.C.  1041 SE 58th Place Portland, OR 97215 503.919.3779 irion@sanger-law.com marie@sanger-law.com  FOR THE NORTHWEST CITIZENS POWER COALITION MICHAEL R. BELL mrbellcpa@gmail.com  ******
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:  ANDREW O'CONNELL  REPRESENTATIVE:  DAVID J. MEYER, ESQ.  VP and Chief Counsel for Regulatory and Governmental Affairs Avista Corporation P.O. Box 3727 1411 E. Mission Ave, MSC-27 Spokane, Washington 99220 509.495.4316 david.meyer@avistacorp.com  FOR THE ENERGY PROJECT:  SIMON J. FFITCH Law Office of Simon J. ffitch 321 High School Rd. NE Suite D3, No. 383 Bainbridge Island, Washington 98110 206.669.8197 simon@ffitchlaw.com	FOR THE NW ENERGY COALITION:  IRION SANGER (by phone)  MARIE BARLOW (by phone)  Sanger Thompson P.C.  1041 SE 58th Place Portland, OR 97215  503.919.3779 irion@sanger-law.com marie@sanger-law.com  FOR THE NORTHWEST CITIZENS POWER COALITION: MICHAEL R. BELL mrbellcpa@gmail.com  ** * * * *
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:  ANDREW O'CONNELL  REPRESENTATIVE:  DAVID J. MEYER, ESQ.  VP and Chief Counsel for Regulatory and Governmental Affairs Avista Corporation P.O. Box 3727 1411 E. Mission Ave, MSC-27 Spokane, Washington 99220 509.495.4316 david.meyer@avistacorp.com  FOR THE ENERGY PROJECT:  SIMON J. FFITCH Law Office of Simon J. ffitch 321 High School Rd. NE Suite D3, No. 383 Bainbridge Island, Washington 98110 206.669.8197	FOR THE NW ENERGY COALITION:  IRION SANGER (by phone)  MARIE BARLOW (by phone)  Sanger Thompson P.C.  1041 SE 58th Place Portland, OR 97215  503.919.3779 irion@sanger-law.com marie@sanger-law.com  FOR THE NORTHWEST CITIZENS POWER COALITION: MICHAEL R. BELL mrbellcpa@gmail.com  ******
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:  ANDREW O'CONNELL  REPRESENTATIVE:  DAVID J. MEYER, ESQ.  VP and Chief Counsel for Regulatory and Governmental Affairs Avista Corporation P.O. Box 3727 1411 E. Mission Ave, MSC-27 Spokane, Washington 99220 509.495.4316 david.meyer@avistacorp.com  FOR THE ENERGY PROJECT:  SIMON J. FFITCH Law Office of Simon J. ffitch 321 High School Rd. NE Suite D3, No. 383 Bainbridge Island, Washington 98110 206.669.8197 simon@ffitchlaw.com  FOR THE ALLIANCE OF WESTERN ENERGY CONSUMERS:	FOR THE NW ENERGY COALITION:  IRION SANGER (by phone)  MARIE BARLOW (by phone)  Sanger Thompson P.C.  1041 SE 58th Place Portland, OR 97215  503.919.3779 irion@sanger-law.com marie@sanger-law.com  FOR THE NORTHWEST CITIZENS POWER COALITION:  MICHAEL R. BELL mrbellcpa@gmail.com  ******
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:  ANDREW O'CONNELL  REPRESENTATIVE:  DAVID J. MEYER, ESQ.  VP and Chief Counsel for Regulatory and Governmental Affairs Avista Corporation P.O. Box 3727 1411 E. Mission Ave, MSC-27 Spokane, Washington 99220 509.495.4316 david.meyer@avistacorp.com  FOR THE ENERGY PROJECT:  SIMON J. FFITCH Law O'ffice of Simon J. ffitch 321 High School Rd. NE Suite D3, No. 383 Bainbridge Island, Washington 98110 206.669.8197 simon@ffitchlaw.com  FOR THE ALLIANCE OF WESTERN ENERGY CONSUMERS:  TYLER C. PEPPLE Davison Van Cleve PC	FOR THE NW ENERGY COALITION:  IRION SANGER (by phone)  MARIE BARLOW (by phone)  Sanger Thompson P.C.  1041 SE 58th Place Portland, OR 97215  503.919.3779 irion@sanger-law.com  marie@sanger-law.com  FOR THE NORTHWEST CITIZENS POWER COALITION:  MICHAEL R. BELL mrbellcpa@gmail.com  ******
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:  ANDREW O'CONNELL  REPRESENTATIVE:  DAVID J. MEYER, ESQ. VP and Chief Counsel for Regulatory and Governmental Affairs Avista Corporation P.O. Box 3727 1411 E. Mission Ave, MSC-27 Spokane, Washington 99220 509.495.4316 david.meyer@avistacorp.com  FOR THE ENERGY PROJECT:  SIMON J. FFITCH Law Office of Simon J. ffitch 321 High School Rd. NE Suite D3, No. 383 Bainbridge Island, Washington 98110 206.669.8197 simon@ffitchlaw.com  FOR THE ALLIANCE OF WESTERN ENERGY CONSUMERS:  TYLER C. PEPPLE Davison Van Cleve PC 1750 SW Harbor Way	FOR THE NW ENERGY COALITION:  IRION SANGER (by phone)  MARIE BARLOW (by phone)  Sanger Thompson P.C.  1041 SE 58th Place Portland, OR 97215 503.919.3779 irion@sanger-law.com marie@sanger-law.com  FOR THE NORTHWEST CITIZENS POWER COALITION MICHAEL R. BELL mrbellcpa@gmail.com  ******  *******  ********  *********
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:  ANDREW O'CONNELL  REPRESENTATIVE:  DAVID J. MEYER, ESQ. VP and Chief Counsel for Regulatory and Governmental Affairs Avista Corporation P.O. Box 3727 1411 E. Mission Ave, MSC-27 Spokane, Washington 99220 509.495.4316 david.meyer@avistacorp.com  FOR THE ENERGY PROJECT:  SIMON J. FFITCH Law O'ffice of Simon J. ffitch 321 High School Rd. NE Suite D3, No. 383 Bainbridge Island, Washington 98110 206.669.8197 simon@ffitchlaw.com  FOR THE ALLIANCE OF WESTERN ENERGY CONSUMERS:  TYLER C. PEPPLE Davison Van Cleve PC 1750 SW Harbor Way Suite 450 Portland, Oregon 97201	FOR THE NW ENERGY COALITION:  IRION SANGER (by phone)  MARIE BARLOW (by phone)  Sanger Thompson P.C.  1041 SE 58th Place Portland, OR 97215 503.919.3779 irion@sanger-law.com marie@sanger-law.com  FOR THE NORTHWEST CITIZENS POWER COALITION MICHAEL R. BELL mrbellcpa@gmail.com  ******
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:  ANDREW O'CONNELL  REPRESENTATIVE:  DAVID J. MEYER, ESQ.  VP and Chief Counsel for Regulatory and Governmental Affairs Avista Corporation P.O. Box 3727 1411 E. Mission Ave, MSC-27 Spokane, Washington 99220 509.495.4316 david.meyer@avistacorp.com  FOR THE ENERGY PROJECT:  SIMON J. FFITCH Law Office of Simon J. ffitch 321 High School Rd. NE Suite D3, No. 383 Bainbridge Island, Washington 98110 206.669.8197 simon@ffitchlaw.com  FOR THE ALLIANCE OF WESTERN ENERGY CONSUMERS:  TYLER C. PEPPLE Davison Van Cleve PC 1750 SW Harbor Way Suite 450	FOR THE NW ENERGY COALITION:  IRION SANGER (by phone)  MARIE BARLOW (by phone)  Sanger Thompson P.C.  1041 SE 58th Place Portland, OR 97215 503.919.3779 irion@sanger-law.com marie@sanger-law.com  FOR THE NORTHWEST CITIZENS POWER COALITION MICHAEL R. BELL mrbellcpa@gmail.com  ******  *******  ********  *********

Page 5 Page 7 1 JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Public Counsel. 1 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; MAY 24, 2019 2 2 2:29 p.m. MS. SUETAKE: Nina Suetake for Public 3 3 -000-Counsel. And on the line we also have Lisa Gafken for 4 4 Public Counsel for the ERM. 5 5 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Let's be on the JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. 6 record. 6 And for the Alliance of Western Energy 7 7 Good afternoon. The time is approximately Consumers. 2:30 p.m. My name is Andrew O'Connell. I am an 8 MR. PEPPLE: Good afternoon, your Honor. 8 9 administrative law judge with the Washington Utilities 9 Tyler Pepple for the Alliance of Western Energy 10 10 and Transportation Commission, and I will be presiding Consumers. 11 in this matter, along with the commissioners. 11 JUDGE O'CONNELL: For the Energy Project. 12 We're here today for a prehearing conference 12 MR. FFITCH: Good afternoon, your Honor. in consolidated dockets UE-190334 and UG-190335, which 13 13 Simon ffitch for the Energy Project. JUDGE O'CONNELL: For Sierra Club. 14 is Avista's 2019 general rate case. 14 MS. LOARIE: This is Jessica Yarnall Loarie 15 15 Avista has filed a motion to consolidate 16 docket UE-190222 concerning Avista's energy recovery 16 for the Sierra Club. 17 mechanism. The Commission has reviewed the motion as 17 JUDGE O'CONNELL: And for the Northwest 18 well as responses to the motion to consolidate and has 18 Energy Coalition. 19 decided to consolidate that docket with the GRC dockets. 19 MR. SANGER: Irion Sanger and Marie Barlow. 20 I will issue an order memorializing this decision and 20 JUDGE O'CONNELL: And for Northwest Citizens 21 21 outline the procedure going forward in these dockets. Power Coalition. 22 So I intend to take appearances next, but 22 MR. BELL: Should I come up? 23 23 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yeah, if you would, before I do, I want to foreshadow some questions I have 24 for Mr. Meyer, and I expect that they are unexpected. 24 please. Come sit in front of a microphone. I think we 25 So I wanted to ask them now so that you can prepare and 2.5 have one available. Page 6 Page 8 kind of think about those questions. 1 MR. BELL: Thank you, your Honor. 1 MR. FFITCH: Your Honor, I'm not sure that 2 The first is: Given that we are going to be 2 3 consolidating the ERM filing with the GRC, I'd like to 3 one's working. That's why I moved, so here. 4 hear what proposal you would have for sharing any of the 4 MR. BELL: We'll just share then. data requests and responses that have already been 5 5 My name is Michael R. Bell, and I'm the 6 issued in the ERM docket, and how those would be 6 treasurer for the Northwest Citizens Power Coalition. 7 numbered and identified, and how we would clearly 7 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Is there anyone else in 8 designate them. And then additionally, how Avista would 8 the room or on the bridge line who wishes to make an 9 propose we reconcile some duplicative identifications 9 appearance? Okay. Hearing nothing, let's move on. 10 and markings on testimony and exhibits that are, at 10 Brings us to petitions for intervention. 11 11 least currently appear in both cases. Are there any petitions for intervention other than the 12 And for example, I am thinking of an exhibit 12 ones that have been filed in writing? Hearing none, 13 that Mr. Ehrbar has sponsored in both dockets that is 13 let's proceed. marked as Exhibit PDE-2. And there may be others. 14 I've read the petitions to intervene: One 14 15 15 Okay. Let's move forward with appearances. on behalf of the Alliance of Western Energy Consumers: 16 16 And let's begin with the company. one on behalf of the Sierra Club; one on behalf of the 17 MR. MEYER: Thank you, your Honor. David 17 Energy Project; one on behalf of the Northwest Energy Meyer for Avista. 18 18 Coalition; and one on behalf of Northwest Citizens Power 19 JUDGE O'CONNELL: And short appearances are 19 Coalition. I'm unaware of any written objections to 20 sufficient. We have, I believe, all of the information 20 these petitions. Are there any objections? 21 on -- in the docket for all of the representatives. 21 MR. MEYER: There may be, your Honor. Only 22 22 with respect to the Citizen Coalition. And I would like And from staff. 23 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Jennifer 23 to first better understand the type of issues that they 24 Cameron-Rulkowski, Assistant Attorney General appearing 24 would be raising, sort of things they would be looking 25 25 on behalf of Commission staff. at. So this would be in aid of an objection. So if you

Page 9 Page 11 1 might inquire as to those things of this representative. 1 JUDGE O'CONNELL: -- in this case. 2 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Sure. 2 MR. BELL: That's correct. But that doesn't 3 Before I do that, are there any objections 3 take away from the fact that someone needs to be acting 4 to any of the other intervenors who have petitioned for 4 in the best interest of the rate payers, the Avista rate 5 5 intervention? payers and the common public interest, besides the WUTC MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Your Honor, staff staff and commissioners. 6 6 7 7 also has a question for the Northwest Citizens Power JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. 8 8 Ms. Cameron, yes, Ms. Suetake, I actually 9 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes. 9 would like to hear from Public Counsel, but --MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: We don't have 10 10 MS. SUETAKE: Sorry, I'm just told the bridge line can't hear that mic very well. 11 objections to the other intervenors. May I state that 11 12 question at this time? 12 MR. BELL: Let me -- does that work? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Please just hold on one MS. SUETAKE: I don't think it's on. 13 13 JUDGE O'CONNELL: There is a red light that 14 moment. 14 15 should illuminate on. 15 But as to all of the other intervenors, my 16 understanding, from going around the room and hearing to 16 MR. MEYER: Mine works, has been working. MR. BELL: Okay. This one's not working and 17 the bridge line, is that there are no other objections 17 18 or questions about petitions to intervene? 18 this one's not either apparently. Okay. 19 MR. MEYER: Yes, from Avista. 19 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Has everyone here been 20 JUDGE O'CONNELL: So to those petitions to 20 informed that we're moving to a new building soon? I'm told that we will not have the same sort of technical 21 intervene, the ones for AWEC, Sierra Club, the Energy 21 22 Project, and Northwest Energy Coalition, those will be 22 difficulties. 23 23 granted. Mr. Bell, if you could, briefly, for those 24 Let's -- let's move on to the Northwest 24 who are on the bridge line, and I apologize for asking 25 Citizens Power Coalition. Mr. Bell, can you please 25 you to resay it again, explain, briefly, your interest Page 10 Page 12 1 state the interest of the Northwest Power -- Citizens 1 in this proceeding. 2 2 MR. BELL: Thank you, your Honor. Power Coalition in this case. 3 3 My name's Michael Bell. I'm the treasurer MR. BELL: Well, the Northwest Citizens 4 Power Coalition was created by a group of concerned 4 for Northwest Citizens Power Coalition. Our 5 5 representatives back at the time Avista was wanting to organization was started at the time that Avista was in 6 transfer ownership to Hydro One. And that got our 6 the process of transferring ownership to Hydro One, and 7 attention. 7 we felt that that was not in the best interests of the 8 8 rate payers. And the existing intervenors at the time The intervenors at the time were supposed to 9 9 represent, safeguard the Avista customers and the broad approved that deal, which was a little disheartening on 10 public interest, and had approved that deal. And our 10 our parts since we felt it was not in the best interests group was opposed to that deal. It was obviously not in 11 11 of the rate payers and that they were not complying with 12 the best interests of the rate payers. 12 their primary responsibility of safeguarding the rate 13 And we appreciate the WUTC staff and 13 payers. 14 commissioners to not approve that, and they stated why 14 As a result, we formed and decided that, on 15 that it was not in the best interest of the rate payers. 15 any new actions involving rates and Avista and anything 16 16 and at that point we decided that we need proper along those lines, we would step in and request 17 17 representation before these proceedings as an intervenor status so that we could protect the interests 18 intervenor. And we just felt like the existing 18 of the Avista rate payers. 19 intervenors had not properly safeguarded the Avista 19 And we appreciate the WUTC staff and 20 customers or the broad public interest in that 20 commissioners who denied that request to merge with 21 proceeding, and so we've since taken on our -- that 21 Hydro One, and we believe that they were the only ones 22 responsibility I guess. 22 standing in in a position to safeguard the Avista 23 JUDGE O'CONNELL: And but you're aware that 23 customers. And so with that, we're requesting 24 24 that acquisition is not at issue -intervenor status. 25 25 MR. BELL: That's correct. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Is there a particular

Page 13

subset of rate payers that you are representing? Or it sounds like you may be offering to represent all the Avista rate payers.

MR. BELL: Right now our -- all of our members are Washington Avista customers. And so we're representing those, and we have new members all the time.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: And are these residential customers?

MR. BELL: They are. They are. And I appreciate you clarifying that, sir.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay.

Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, you mentioned that you had a question.

MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I do. Thank you, your Honor.

Mr. Bell, I had actually a couple of questions. The one I was concerned about is that this case is a general rate case, and it is quite different from the Hydro One merger proceeding. And we -- you do have an advocate in the form of Public Counsel who is a statutory party, not an intervenor.

And so what I was wondering is if you could explain why you think Public Counsel would not be able to represent the interests of the rate payers in a

we heard from Public Counsel and Ms. Suetake or Ms. Gafken, if you could explain the role of Public Counsel and the interests that you represent.

MS. SUETAKE: Thank you, your Honor.

Page 15

Public Counsel is here by statutory right as a party representing small business and residential rate payers. I am aware that -- that the party before us is slightly disappointed with our representation of small -- of residential customers in Avista's territory.

We are neutral on your petition. We do not object to your petition at all. We understand that all parties have the ability to petition for intervention here, and it is while not -- while not a right of parties, the Commission obviously has the discretion to afford you party status.

We -- if the Commission grants party status, we would, however, object to being required to coordinate. I'm sure you're aware that Public Counsel's presentation is on very technical natures, and I'm not sure if our presentation of testimony would be able to be coordinated with an outside party.

We absolutely welcome your participation in settlement negotiations and the like, but I think it would be difficult for us to coordinate all of our positions.

Page 14

general rate case, which -- which is a pretty technical case. And so that was my one question.

And then the also -- also something that I didn't see in -- in the petition was a statement about whether the coalition intended to broaden the issues in this proceeding. And this is a corollary to Judge O'Connell's question about whether there were particular issues that you were interested in. It sounds like your issues are general.

And the other question is: Would you be willing to coordinate your efforts with Public Counsel, if that's something that Public Counsel is interested in, so that we don't have a duplicative efforts.

in, so that we don't have a duplicative efforts.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: So Mr. Bell, before you I
think address some of the concerns raised by
Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, I -- I think that,
Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, your questions were, at least to
start, in lieu of making an objection; is that correct
I'm understanding?

20 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: That's correct, your 21 Honor.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay.

Mr. Bell, before you provide some more information to explain more about the interests that you're representing, I think it would be beneficial if

Page 16

JUDGE O'CONNELL: So Ms. Suetake, do you see the interest that Public Counsel represents as being distinct from the one you've heard is going to be represented by the Northwest Coalition of Power Consumers? Citizens Power Coalition. I apologize.

MS. SUETAKE: No, I don't. I do not believe that we are distinct in that. We do represent residential rate payers, and our interests align to the extent that I understand your position.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Before I turn back to Mr. Bell, Mr. Meyer, did you have anything that arose from those questions? Is there an objection that you have to this intervenor's intervention?

MR. MEYER: Yes, just a few additional comments. And first is: We want to hear from our customers, and so even though I will object to their intervention -- I'll explain why in just a moment -- I don't want there to be any confusion about whether we do or don't listen and take into account what our customers have to say.

The company has many outreach efforts. It stays in touch with its customers and appreciates the dialogue. So let there be no confusion on the record about that.

What I am concerned about though is -- is

Page 17

what this does to this process. In listening to the responses to your questions and those of others, Mr. Bell has not identified any distinct or specific issue that cannot or will not presumably be addressed by other parties also charged with representing his constituency, most notably Public Counsel.

I think you just heard from Public Counsel that it was her belief that she represents the interests of this customer group. So it's duplicative and there are other avenues for this customer group and other customer groups to be heard.

And we hold typically two to three public hearings throughout the service area. It's not at all unusual for customer groups, whether it's the Committee on Aging or whether it's SNAP or other well-formed organizations who have specific interests to appear, testify, and have their voices heard.

So I just, I think the precedent is bad precedent, unless the -- unless Mr. Bell can identify specific interests that won't already be sufficiently addressed by others. So with that, I object.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Mr. Bell, I would like to hear from you again, and I have a couple questions that I hope will help clarify further your position. I'm interested in learning how your

Page 19

JUDGE O'CONNELL: I think that actually brings up a question that I had for you that Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski also brought up, which is: To what extent do you intend to be participating in this proceeding? Are there any limits on the issues or any particular issues that you're wishing to address?

MR. BELL: Well, I've got a list of a number of issues that I'd like to have addressed and perhaps have some answers to. And that can be done in coordination with -- with the WUTC staff and other representatives of the consumers or it can be done separate, but I'm not interested in -- in interfering in the proceedings. I'm merely interested in making sure that there are certain questions that are addressed and addressed properly.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Can you briefly provide those general issues that you're talking about.

MR. BELL: Sure. Currently Avista has about \$450 million in non-property excess deferred tax liability to the rate payers and have -- they've documented that a payback period for that would be about 36 years. I've researched that issue and the FERC is suggesting that a payback period would be about 5 years, not 36 years. I'd like to see that addressed.

One of our members at the time we formed was

Page 18

participation as a party in this proceeding will benefit the Commission's decision-making.

MR. BELL: Well, I'm -- first of all, let me just say that our participation would be in coordination with the WUTC and others that are representing the rate payers. But we have -- before I go further, let me just say that this is a complex area. There's no doubt about it.

I'm a retired CPA. I worked in healthcare for 35 years. I had my own practice, 20 employees, and I worked almost exclusively with hospitals that get cost-based reimbursement. So the methodology we're using here is a little bit different, but it's not much different than what I worked in for 35 years.

There are some major issues that I'd like to see addressed, and our primary concern is to make sure the rate payers are not paying any more than they absolutely have to, and that we've got somebody looking out for our interest.

But I've reviewed some of the documentation provided by Avista, and -- and there are a number of things that I have -- have concerns about, and I'd like to either be heard or to get answers to some of the questions that I've got.

Would you like a list of those, your Honor?

Page 20

the Spokane County treasurer, and he was outspoken about the Avista/Hydro One deal. And -- and at the time we were discussing that issue with -- with Avista during one of its efforts to communicate with customers, they contributed a hundred thousand dollars to his opponent in the Spokane County commissioner election. He had -- his term as county treasurer had expired or would be expiring because of a term limit and he was running for county commissioner. And they contributed a hundred thousand dollars to his opponent's campaign. I'd like to know where that and all the other contributions that are made by Avista to politicians show up in these reports, because I'd like to see that they're in the non-rate payer cost category, not in the rate payer cost category.

There's a lot of marketing going on. Avista does a lot of work on trying to sell everybody on the fact that they do a great job, they've got a great system, and there's a ton of money being spent on marketing. And when I was in healthcare, if you want to market to tell people what services are available to you, that's one thing. If you want to just market to say "We're a great company and we do a great job" and it's more along those lines, that's not a allowable cost and I'd like to see some distinction made between

Page 21

marketing that the rate payers have to pay for and what the rate payers are not supposed to be paying for.

There's also the deferred power cost. It exceeded the \$30 million limit this year, and Avista's indicated they would like to repay that 3.4 million to the rate payers. I'd like to see Avista bring that power cost deferral down to zero rather than maintaining it at the \$30 million pretty much tax-free loan from the rate payers.

So those are several of the -- of the main issues that we've got. And I'm about a quarter of the way through reading the rate documentation. I applaud Avista, it certainly passes the weight test. But there's a lot of information there and I'd like to read through it further to understand exactly what they're requesting and what documentation they have.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. So the issues I think you've identified so far that I've written down are: The pay back of the protected excess deferred federal income tax, that period of payback; whether political contributions and marketing are included in rates; and the issue regarding the ERM filing, the energy recovery mechanism, and the \$34.4 million balance there.

But I also hear that there may be other

Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, in hearing further explanation from Mr. Bell, does staff have an objection after hearing some of those clarifications?

MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Your Honor, I don't think staff is going to object, but I think I would point out that many of the issues that Mr. Bell raised actually have easy answers or are already settled at least as far as staff is concerned.

The penalty or the alternative compliance penalty as -- as you mentioned, Mr. Bell, will not be an issue in this rate case.

The ERM balance is, once the company reaches a certain trigger point, then it needs to be -- the excess balance needs to be passed back. That certainly is something that's going to be looked at closely in this -- in this proceeding that all parties are interested in.

The excess deferred income tax return period, I believe that is set by the -- by the IRS. I think we've -- we've gone over that issue a couple of times here at the Commission. And some of the other issues that you mentioned, I don't think they -- they go into rates anyway.

So I guess what I would -- what I would -- if the Commission grants your intervention, grants the

Page 22

issues that you have. You know, in looking at the filing, you may be interested in other issues that you discover.

MR. BELL: That's correct, your Honor. And there's also additional issues at future time periods. The green bill that was just passed by the state legislature talks about penalties for utilities that are not in compliance with the green bill. And of course I've talked to the WUTC staff and they clearly indicated penalties are not an allowable cost for rate-setting purposes.

However, the same bill, Avista and the other IOUs, investor-owned utilities, inserted or had politicians insert other clauses in there that say you can pay an alternative compliance payment in lieu of the penalty, and an alternative compliance payment could be run through the rate system. And there's also a provision in there that says that if there is an alternative compliance payment made, it's not required to be disclosed to the rate payers.

And so in the future we would also want to monitor whether there are any alternative compliance payments being passed on to the rate payers, that that won't happen for several years.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you.

Page 24

Page 23

intervention, I would... I would ask that the Northwest Citizens Power Coalition carefully consider any discovery that it issues so that it is not duplicative of issues that the -- that the parties have already addressed and -- and become more of -- more of an effort that is -- that is not needed to actually resolve the issues in the rate case.

MR. BELL: Your Honor? Sorry. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Mr. Bell.

MR. BELL: Thank you, your Honor. I have one more comment about the deferred tax liability. Actually there are two categories of deferred tax liability. One is related to property, and the IRS has made it real clear that it has to be paid back at a certain timetable, and if you violate that, you're in big trouble. So I understand that.

The other is non-property deferred tax liability. That's the 450 million that I'm talking about. The other approximately 450 million is related to property, so we've got two separate issues here.

The non-property \$450 million liability though, the IRS does not discuss that. And the -- the federal energy regulation, regulatory Commission has indicated that a five-year period is a reasonable period for paying back a non-property deferred tax liability.

Page 25

So I just wanted to clarify that and just make sure that that's on the record.

There's one other issue that I -- I didn't flip enough pages to find. Currently the Avista folks are asking for a 9.8 to 10.8 percent return for their shareholders claiming that owning stock in a monopoly utility is a risky business and that they need a 9.8 to 10.8 percent return on their investment.

Personally, as a rate payer, I can't get 9.8 to 10 percent on anything that I invest in without some difficulty. You have to be fairly well off to do that. I find that 9.8 to 10.8 percent is outrageous, and that's another issue that I would want some resolution of

Thank you, your Honor.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you, Mr. Bell.

I'm -- I'm aware of all these issues that you've brought up, including the excess deferred income tax issues and the others which includes the return on equity that you just mentioned. I have --

I'm going to come back to you, Mr. Meyer.

MR. MEYER: Okay.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: But I've read all your petition to intervene, I've heard the concerns and the objection from Avista, and I'm going to take the

some other party who could propound, who could put those discovery questions after they help you edit and refine those, put those to Avista, we'll respond.

Now, you may not like the response. That doesn't mean staff has to agree with the position you might be taking. But at least you'll have that information, because I'm hearing as much as anything just you need to understand a lot of these.

So I don't mean to cheat you out of your opportunity to learn more about what's behind the case and why we feel the way we do. I just want to give you an avenue that works in this process for you to have that information. At the end of the day, if you're not satisfied, you still disagree, and you well may disagree with the answers that we give to that discovery, that's when the public hearing process, you know, can be your friend, because you'll say, "Look, I've reviewed all this stuff and we still don't -- still don't agree."

Fair enough. So I'm just trying to give you an avenue to participate in a way that really works in this process.

MS. SUETAKE: Your Honor, I have a question actually for Avista.

David, are you suggesting something less than party status, but with discovery rights? I'm a

Page 26

arguments under advisement as to whether you should be allowed party status in this case. I'm going to confer with the commissioners, and it's my intent that we will make a decision regarding your party status in the prehearing conference order that I will issue in the next few coming days. It will probably be next week.

MR. BELL: Thank you, your Honor.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Mr. Meyer, is there anything else that you'd like to add?

MR. MEYER: Yes, even in light of that. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay.

MR. MEYER: Number one, thank you for taking the time to open those books up and dig into it. And clearly you're not done, but you've identified four or five technical issues, the last one being, we would argue, is more of a matter of argument about what's a fair return, that sort of thing.

But those are the kind of -- at least the first several questions were the sort of questions we routinely see in the discovery process. We get literally a thousand or more discovery requests from each of the parties around here. And so one way to possibly navigate through this is: As you complete your work and as technical issues pop up, Avista would have no objection to you working, let's say through staff or

Page 28

Page 27

little confused as to what your objection is and what you're --

MR. MEYER: No, it's -- I'm not suggesting some in-between status. I'm simply saying you have established parties participate, and my guess is that if you were to give them questions that you think are of interest, they will look at those and say, "Well, okay. We'll -- you know, fair enough. We'll ask that of Avista and get an answer."

You'll get the answer, and what becomes of that answer, you know, it doesn't create an issue until it becomes an issue. I'm just -- see what I'm getting after here?

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Your Honor, staff does not -- does not agree to coordinate its discovery with any other party.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: I understand.

To Public Counsel and to Commission staff, when someone who is not a party to the case is interested nonetheless and submits public comments to either Public Counsel or to Commission staff, do you at times interact with or take those comments and utilize them in crafting your own? And I'm curious as to your experience in previous proceedings and whether that is

Page 29

something that has been done.

MS. SUETAKE: Your Honor, Public Counsel does read all of the public comments that come in. I personally have not been here long enough to have experienced whether we've used those.

If Ms. Gafken is still on the line, I would welcome her input.

MS. GAFKEN: This is Lisa Gafken.

As Ms. Suetake has mentioned, we do review all of the comments that come in. They tend to be more opinion pieces, you know, expressing what they feel about the proposal more so than a list of substantive issues that they want more information on. Or what I'm trying to say is: It doesn't provide an avenue really for discovery, at least in my experience.

You know, I suppose if that's opened up now, we might see more of that. But really the customer comments are an avenue for some of the -- the person who's not mired in the technical aspects of the -- of the case to express their opinion and get their points of view heard.

As everyone knows, those then go into the record as part of an exhibit and become part of the evidentiary record. But we -- we have not in the past coordinated our discovery with -- with customers. And I

take it under advisement, the arguments for and against granting intervenor status to the Northwest Citizens Power Coalition. And I will include in the prehearing order a decision on that matter.

Page 31

Page 32

Briefly, before I have some other things that we need to cover at this prehearing conference, but I have been given a draft procedural schedule by Commission staff for this general rate case.

Has -- has Mr. Bell been shown this draft schedule?

MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: He has not, your Honor. I'm going to distribute it to -- one to him right now.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Please. I think that would be appropriate considering that the Commission has yet to make a decision whether to include him or not. I would like Mr. Bell to be able to see the important dates that are being proposed, in particular the evidentiary hearing dates as well as, if they are -- if they are granted intervenor status, the dates for filing of testimony and cross-answering testimony.

Before we get to that, let me back up. The company requested a protective order when it filed its general rate case, and a protective order has already been issued. But as it regards docket UE-190222, that

Page 30

would agree with staff's position that I don't know that we're in the best position or any party is really in the best position to coordinate their discovery based on another entity's information desires.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: I understand.

And Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, before I return to you, let me say that there are Commission rules that provide for the Commission to take a particularly relevant or savvy public comment that is submitted in any proceeding and accept it into the record as an exhibit. And I am aware of that.

Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, returning to you.

MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I wanted to clarify that staff -- the public comments are all compiled typically at the end of the process, and so it is not -- so staff -- staff will oftentimes read them as they -- as they come in, but they do not typically make their way into staff testimony.

As Public -- counsel for Public Counsel mentioned, oftentimes they are more along the line of opinion pieces. However, there have been instances where public comment has made it into the Commission order. So that has -- that has happened as well.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. Well, as I've already stated, I'm going to

docket does not yet have a protective order.

Mr. Meyer, from my review of that filing, it's my understanding that the company, if you haven't already stated that you would like a protective order, I notice that there are things that are marked as confidential. So I wanted to ask if it's correct that you would like to have a protective order apply in that docket.

MR. MEYER: Yes, I wanted to bring that issue up. I'm glad you have. I would be satisfied making the general rate case protective order apply as well or you can just issue a fresh protective order in the ERM docket itself. Maybe that's the cleanest way of doing it.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Well, the way I see this is that if I issue a protective order just in the ERM docket, that would require everyone who has already signed an agreement of confidentiality in the general rate case and anyone who has yet to file or sign an agreement, everyone would have to sign another agreement in the ERM docket.

MR. MEYER: Sure. Either way is fine.
JUDGE O'CONNELL: Well, I think that we can
make it simpler and cleaner. And I intend then to issue
a modified order 02 in these consolidated dockets, so

8 (Pages 29 to 32)

Page 33

all three dockets -- 193334, 190335, and 190222 -- which will then incorporate all of those dockets.

I -- I realize that for those who have already signed a confidentiality agreement, it would require those people to re-sign another confidentiality agreement, which if those people were interested in whatever is marked as confidential in the ERM docket, but I think it will make going forward simpler for all parties and the Commission.

Is there any objection to doing it that way?

Okay. Hearing none, that I am going to memorialize that in the prehearing conference order and I will be issuing a modified order 02 with that modification.

I also want to remind the parties that filing and service are all done electronically now according to Commission rule. However, in this case, the Commission is also going to require the filing of an original and three paper copies for internal distributions. So if the filings include information designated as confidential, please file the original and three copies of the fully unredacted version. No paper copy is necessary for any partly redacted or fully redacted version. So please file those versions only in electronic format.

If any party has yet to designate a lead

hearing is planned for December 11th through possibly December 13th. And I am aware that the Commission's calendars are available as well as the hearing room for those dates.

Page 35

Page 36

As far as all of the other dates proposed, I'm going to confirm with the Commission's calendars, make sure there is no conflict. And if there is not, I will approve and incorporate into the prehearing conference order.

MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Your Honor.
JUDGE O'CONNELL: But before I -- before I
do that, I'd like to hear from Mr. Bell if there's any
conflict from you as to the hearing date in particular
and the other dates that might apply to you as an
intervenor if you are granted intervenor status.

MR. BELL: I have no objections. Thank you, your Honor.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski.

MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Your Honor, I'm sorry, there's another date that needs to be stricken.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay, please.

testimony and exhibits. And a note about the proposed

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay, please.
 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: It's right before
 the remand settlement conference, Avista files remand

Page 34

representative for service, please do so in email to me as soon as possible. Also if you would like to add anyone, names or addresses or other representatives or support staff who should relieve -- receive electronic courtesy copies of all documents, please email me that as well. My email is andrew.j.oconnell, that's spelled o-c-o-n-n-e-l-l, @utc.wa.gov. I'm sorry, w-a.g-o-v.

Now I'd like to address the procedural schedule, the draft that I've been provided. Is there -- among the parties that have already seen this -- and Mr. Bell, I'll come to you in a moment -- are all the parties, is this a consensus proposal?

MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Yes, your Honor. I believe it works for everyone. I will simply note that in the prehearing conference right before this one, we did develop a separate schedule for the remand proceeding, and so that one item, remand settlement conference on August 6th, can be stricken.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Are there any other strikes that are relevant arising from that other proceeding?

MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I don't see any, your Honor.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay.
Okay. So I see here that an evidentiary

hearing dates. We had originally set a somewhat longer period for hearing thinking that we might have a remand in there. I would propose we need that longer period, because now we have the ERM consolidated with the

because now we have the ERM consolidated with the general rate case.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Is there any objection from the other parties?

MS. SUETAKE: No, your Honor.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Hearing none.

Okay. Now to return to the few other housekeeping items I had. Data requests: During proceedings at the Commission, I'm aware that the parties often request that any data requests and responses are shared with every other party. I intend to include this as a requirement in the prehearing conference order.

Is there any objection to that inclusion? MR. MEYER: No objection.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Hearing no objection, I will include that in the prehearing conference order.

Mr. Meyer, the two questions I had for Avista at the outset of the hearing, I'm aware that for the docket UE-190222, the ERM filing, there may have already been data requests asked and answered of the company. How would you propose we facilitate sharing

discovery.

Page 37

that information?

MR. MEYER: Okay. Perhaps the cleanest way to do it is we will -- in fact we talked about it briefly before these prehearing conferences. We will provide copies of those responses to all other parties. There is some confidential information, so some of this may take a little bit of time while people execute who have not executed their protective order agreements, but we will provide those. So they will have in front of them response to staff DRX; okay. Then they can make whatever use they want to make of that.

So that covers what's been done till now. Going forward of course they would propound their own identified DRs. So I think everyone will get caught up that way.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Is there going to be an issue with the numbering of those DRs?

MR. MEYER: I don't think so. There are not that many that we can't, at the time of actual hearing, make clear that, if Public Counsel wants to make use of staff DR7, that that won't be clear on the record. It's manageable.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Commission staff? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Your Honor, we already have discovery in the general rate case, and so

that and whether your proposal would be just as clear and efficient.

Page 39

Page 40

MR. MEYER: Yeah, either way. Fortunately the items of testimony that have been filed in the ERM docket are relatively few. And so it's not as if we are republishing a whole mass of material. So if you'd like, we can go ahead and republish those with some sort of designator like "ERM" after the witness exhibit number.

Is that what you have in mind?

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Perhaps. And perhaps that might be the easiest.

Let me ask Commission staff, because it sounds like discovery has already been going on in the ERM filing and that there have been data requests that are already going to have to have a designation. Will it be -- I'm assuming that some of those data requests refer to exhibits and testimony presented in that filing.

In consideration of that, do you think it would be clearer and easier to follow if we simply, when we are referring to them, from now on have the parenthetical after the designation that indicates "ERM", Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski?

MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Not for data

Page 38

I believe staff would refer to these as the -- the informal ERM data request 1 through 13, something like that.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. As long as we can clearly mark it so that it's easy for identification, especially if it is ends up being offered as an exhibit in the proceeding. I want to avoid as much confusion as I can.

So next about the testimony exhibits -- MR. MEYER: Yeah.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: -- and the overlap that there may be between the two proceedings. Do you have thoughts on how you'd like to clearly organize those?

MR. MEYER: Well, first thought that comes to mind is if we're dealing, for example, with a Mr. Ehrbar testimony, just simply a parenthetical ERM and a close parens after the exhibit designation, if that's what it pertains to.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Would that be easier and simpler and would avoid confusion just as well as having to renumber and resubmit those exhibits?

MR. MEYER: In other words, are you suggesting why not just resubmit and --

JUDGE O'CONNELL: And I'm curious as to the difficulty with doing that and the concerns for doing

requests. We'll just continue to issue data requests, and if they pertain to the ERM, they'll simply be numbered consecutively as formal data requests within the consolidated dockets going forward. So and whichever issue they go to, they'll be used as exhibits or not, so we don't need an additional designation for

In terms of the exhibits that have already been filed, I think whatever the -- whatever the Commission, whatever your Honor would like to do, we'll go ahead and follow along. I think we can keep track of everything. We have so far.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. I think Mr. Meyer's suggestion of adding a, for lack of a better word, a suffix to the end with a parenthetical with an "ERM" would be sufficient and distinguishing, for example, Mr. Ehrbar's Exhibit Number 2 from the, his Exhibit Number 2 in the general rate case.

And I'm not going to require at this time that you re-file them with that designation, but I think when they're being referred to either in response testimony or rebuttal testimony, there should be an indication of which exhibit is being referred to.

Does that make sense?

MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: That makes sense,

2.5

Page 41

your Honor. And we'd be happy to refer to the exhibits and to the company exhibits in that way when staff does present its testimony. We may have one witness who testifies about several issues, including the ERM, and so we wouldn't want to add that designation to the response testimony in exhibits.

2.2

JUDGE O'CONNELL: I apologize. Not for the responsive testimony, but -- and I understand how that may have been confusing when I said it. I was anticipating that if there is responsive testimony that addresses the ERM, inside that testimony and any exhibits that go with it, that the testimony provided by a witness would refer to the ERM exhibits with a parenthetical so that we can keep clear whether that witness who may be testifying about issues other than the ERM, which documents that witness is referring to.

MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And so your Honor is referring just to the company's testimony exhibits, only the company testimony and exhibits would have the "ERM" suffix; is that correct?

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Correct. Because that would be the only thing at this time that would overlap; correct?

MR. MEYER: But as we work our way through the proceeding and we have staff intervenor testimony on

afternoon.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: I will -- I'll address what we've talked about as far as identification and marking of the -- the ERM documents, and I'll try and make it clear in the prehearing conference order what my expectations and the Commission's expectations are going forward.

Page 43

MR. FFITCH: Your Honor.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes, Mr. ffitch.

MR. FFITCH: I have a related question, if I could interject it here. The parties who are not previously intervenors in the ERM matter because of the consolidation, am I correct in assuming that now we are -- Energy Project or other similar parties are full parties with respect to ERM matters as well, so that if we wanted to, and I have no idea at this point whether we want -- we want to weigh in on ERM issues, but if we choose to do so, we don't have to take any further action formally to intervene in the ERM docket?

And then I guess that's a question. Because of the consolidation, we are now deemed to be members or, excuse me, intervenors in the ERM docket as well as the general rate case docket.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: That is correct. You'll be intervenor in the ERM docket as well. However,

Page 42

the ERM and we have the company rebuttal that deals with ERM issues, suddenly they become intertwined again, unless we keep that descriptor involved.

MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: If I may, I don't think we would have the duplication of exhibit numbers at that point. I would hope we could just go on numbering the company exhibits consecutively from wherever that witness last left off.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: As consolidated dockets from the effective date of the prehearing conference order, for all intents and purposes, they are the same proceeding.

MR. MEYER: And my only thought there was it's fine either way. I just wanted, as we ultimately go through the record and review it all, we can -- it's easy for us to keep in mind that this bit of testimony, if it's confined as to ERM and again it's ERM stuff or that's general rate case stuff. So either way is fine. Your choice.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay.

MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: If Mr. Meyer wishes to withdraw Avista's motion for consolidation, we could consider that.

MR. MEYER: Well, there's a thought. No. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Getting late in the

Page 44

because I understand that there -- it can be a little complicated, I am going to provide the notice in the prehearing conference order that extends the time period for filing as an intervenor in the consolidated dockets in order to give a fair opportunity for someone who was interested in intervening in the ERM docket, but didn't get the opportunity prior to this time.

So yes, as being a party in the general rate case and the consolidation of that with the ERM filing, you will be a party for all of those dockets.

MR. FFITCH: Thank you, your Honor. Appreciate your clarification.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Is there anything else that we need to address before we adjourn today? Is there --

MR. SANGER: Yes, Judge. This is Irion Sanger on the line. I -- I just wanted to clarify my understanding of the new protective order.

We have not yet submitted protective order signature pages. My understanding is you'll be issuing a new protective order for all three proceedings, and probably the best course of action for us would be to wait for that new protective order and sign those confidentiality agreements and file them, and there's no need for us to file confidentiality agreements on the

	Page 45	
1	earlier protective order.	
2	JUDGE O'CONNELL: I think your understanding	
3	is correct, Mr. Sanger, yes.	
4	MR. SANGER: Great. Thanks a lot.	
5	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Is there anything else?	
6	MR. MEYER: Just to say say thank you,	
7	your Honor, for patiently working your way through this	
8	procedural snarl, the two combined cases, so	
9	And the parties as well, thank you.	
10	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Yes, thank you all.	
11	I hope traffic is better going back than it was coming	
12 13	down. And safe travels to you all.  We'll be off the record.	
14	(Proceedings concluded at 3:29 p.m.)	
15	(1 100cculligs colloluded at 3.28 p.III.)	
16	-000-	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	Page 46	
1	CERTIFICATE	
2		
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON	
4	COUNTY OF KING	
5		
6	I, Kathleen Hamilton, a Certified Shorthand	
7	Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of	
8	Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing	
9	transcript of the proceedings on MAY 24, 2019, is true	
10	and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and	
11	ability.	
12 13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 10TH day of JUNE, 2019.	
14	and seartins fulfitual of solve, 2019.	
15		
16	Emos 3	
17	KATHLEEN HAMILTON, RPR, CRR, CCR	
18	- , , , ,	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	<b>,</b>	1, 4, 4, 5, 5	1	1611
A	align 16:8	asking 11:24 25:5	based 30:3	46:1,1
<b>ability</b> 15:12 46:11	<b>Alliance</b> 2:19 7:6,9	aspects 29:19	<b>behalf</b> 6:25 8:15,16	CA 3:21
able 13:24 15:20	8:15	Assistant 3:4,12	8:16,17,18	calendars 35:3,6
31:17	allowable 20:24	6:24	belief 17:8	Cameron 11:8
absolutely 15:22	22:10	assuming 39:17	<b>believe</b> 6:20 12:21	Cameron-Rulko
18:18	allowed 26:2	43:13	16:6 23:19 34:14	3:12 6:23,24 9:6
accept 30:10	alternative 22:15	attention 10:7	38:1	9:10 13:13,15
account 16:19	22:16,19,22 23:9	<b>Attorney</b> 3:5,12,13	<b>Bell</b> 4:10 7:22 8:1,4	14:16,17,20 19:3
accurate 46:10	<b>Andrew</b> 2:3 5:8	3:19 6:24	8:5 9:25 10:3,25	23:1,4 28:14,15
acquisition 10:24	andrew.j.oconnell	Attorneys 3:4	11:2,12,17,23	30:6,12,13 31:11
acting 11:3	34:6	<b>August</b> 34:18	12:2,3 13:4,10,17	34:13,22 35:10,19
action 43:19 44:22	answer 28:9,10,11	available 7:25	14:14,23 16:11	35:20,23 37:24
actions 12:15	answered 36:24	20:21 35:3	17:3,19,22 18:3	39:24,25 40:25
actual 37:19	answers 18:23 19:9	<b>Ave</b> 2:9	19:7,18 22:4 23:2	41:17 42:4,21,25
add 26:9 34:2 41:5	23:7 27:15	avenue 1:20 3:6	23:6,10 24:8,9,10	campaign 20:10
adding 40:14	anticipating 41:10	27:12,20 29:14,18	25:16 26:7 31:9	carefully 24:2
additional 16:14	anyway 23:23	avenues 17:10	31:17 34:11 35:12	case 5:14 10:2 11:1
22:5 40:6	apologize 11:24	<b>Avista</b> 1:7,8 2:8	35:16	13:19,19 14:1,2
additionally 6:8	16:5 41:7	5:15 6:8,18 9:19	beneficial 14:25	23:11 24:7 26:2
address 14:15 19:6	apparently 11:18	10:5,9,19 11:4	benefit 18:1	27:10 28:20 29:20
34:8 43:2 44:14	appear 6:11 17:16	12:5,15,18,22	<b>best</b> 10:12,15 11:4	31:8,24 32:11,19
addressed 17:4,21	appearance 8:9	13:3,5 18:21	12:7,10 30:2,3	33:16 36:5 37:25
18:16 19:8,14,15	appearances 5:22	19:18 20:3,12,16	44:22 46:10	40:18 42:18 43:23
19:24 24:5	6:15,19	21:6,13 22:12	<b>better</b> 8:23 40:14	44:9
addresses 34:3	appearing 6:24	25:4,25 26:24	45:11	cases 6:11 45:8
41:11	applaud 21:12	27:3,23 28:9	<b>big</b> 24:16	categories 24:12
adjourn 44:14	apply 32:7,11	35:24 36:22	<b>bill</b> 22:6,8,12	<b>category</b> 20:14,15
administrative 2:2	35:14	<b>Avista's</b> 5:14,16	<b>bit</b> 18:13 37:7	<b>caught</b> 37:14
5:9	appreciate 10:13	15:9 21:4 42:22	42:16	<b>CCR</b> 1:19 46:17
advisement 26:1	12:19 13:11 44:12	Avista/Hydro 20:2	<b>books</b> 26:13	<b>certain</b> 19:14 23:13
31:1	appreciates 16:22	avoid 38:7,20	Box 2:8 3:14	24:15
advocate 13:21	appropriate 31:15	aware 10:23 15:7	<b>bridge</b> 8:8 9:17	certainly 21:13
Affairs 2:7	<b>approve</b> 10:14 35:8	15:18 25:17 30:11	11:11,24	23:14
afford 15:15	approved 10:10	35:2 36:12,22	<b>briefly</b> 11:23,25	Certified 46:6
afternoon 5:7 7:8	12:9	<b>AWEC</b> 9:21	19:16 31:5 37:4	certify 46:8
7:12 43:1	approximately 5:7		<b>bring</b> 21:6 32:9	charged 17:5
Aging 17:15	24:19	B	<b>brings</b> 8:10 19:2	<b>cheat</b> 27:9
agree 27:5,18 28:16	area 17:13 18:7	<b>back</b> 10:5 16:10	<b>broad</b> 10:9,20	Chief 2:7
30:1	<b>argue</b> 26:16	21:19 23:14 24:14	broaden 14:5	<b>choice</b> 42:19
agreement 32:18	argument 26:16	24:25 25:21 31:22	<b>brought</b> 19:3 25:18	choose 43:18
32:20,20 33:4,6	arguments 26:1	45:11	<b>Buell</b> 1:20	Citizen 8:22
agreements 37:8	31:1	<b>bad</b> 17:18	<b>building</b> 1:14 11:20	<b>Citizens</b> 4:9 7:20
44:24,25	arising 34:20	Bainbridge 2:15	<b>business</b> 15:6 25:7	8:6,18 9:7,25 10:1
ahead 39:7 40:11	<b>arose</b> 16:11	balance 21:23		10:3 12:4 16:5
aid 8:25	asked 36:24	23:12,14	$\frac{\mathbf{C}}{\mathbf{C}}$	24:2 31:2
		<b>Barlow</b> 4:4 7:19	C 2:1,20 3:1 4:1	
	Į	Į	<u> </u>	'

alaiming 25.6	aammiasianan 20.6	aonfusion 16.19 22	21:3,7 22:10	<b>David</b> 2:6 6:17
claiming 25:6 clarification 44:12	commissioner 20:6 20:9	<b>confusion</b> 16:18,23 38:7,20	cost-based 18:12	27:24
clarification 44:12	commissioners	consecutively 40:3	cost-based 18:12 counsel 2:7 3:2,6	
clarify 17:24 25:1	5:11 10:14 11:6	42:7	7:1,3,4 11:9 13:21	david.meyer@av 2:10
30:13 44:17	12:20 26:3	consensus 34:12	, ,	Davison 2:21
			13:24 14:11,12	
clarifying 13:11 clauses 22:14	Committee 17:14 common 11:5	consider 24:2 42:23	15:1,3,5 16:2 17:6	day 27:13 46:13
		consideration 39:20	17:7 28:19,22	days 26:6
cleaner 32:24 cleanest 32:13 37:2	communicate 20:4		29:2 30:19,19 37:20	<b>deal</b> 10:10,11 12:9 20:2
clear 24:14 37:20	<b>company</b> 6:16 16:21 20:23 23:12	considering 31:15	Counsel's 15:18	
37:21 39:1 41:14	31:23 32:3 36:25	<b>consolidate</b> 5:15,18 5:19		dealing 38:15 deals 42:1
43:5		consolidated 1:7	<b>county</b> 20:1,6,7,9 46:4	
clearer 39:21	41:2,19 42:1,7	5:13 32:25 36:4	couple 13:17 17:23	<b>December</b> 35:1,2 <b>decided</b> 5:19 10:16
	company's 41:18	40:4 42:9 44:4	23:20	12:14
clearly 6:7 22:9	compiled 30:14	consolidating 6:3	course 22:8 37:13	decision 5:20 26:4
26:14 38:5,13 Cleve 2:21	Complainant 1:5 complete 26:23	consolidation 42:22	44:22	31:4,16
close 38:17	complete 26:23			*
	-	43:13,21 44:9 <b>constituency</b> 17:6	courtesy 34:5 cover 31:6	decision-making 18:2
<b>closely</b> 23:15 <b>Club</b> 3:18,19 7:14	compliance 22:8,15 22:16,19,22 23:9	consutuency 17:6 consumers 2:19 7:7	cover 31:6 covers 37:12	deemed 43:21
7:16 8:16 9:21	' '	7:10 8:15 16:5	CPA 18:9	deferral 21:7
coalition 4:2,9 7:18	complicated 44:2 complying 12:11	19:11		<b>deferred</b> 19:19 21:3
,	concern 18:16	continue 40:1	crafting 28:24 create 28:11	21:19 23:18 24:11
7:21 8:6,18,19,22	concern 18:16 concerned 10:4	CONTINUED 3:1	created 10:4	24:12,17,25 25:18
9:8,22,25 10:2,4 12:4 14:5 16:4,5	13:18 16:25 23:8	4:1		denied 12:20
24:2 31:3	concerning 5:16	contributed 20:5,9	cross-answering 31:21	descriptor 42:3
combined 45:8	concerning 3.16	contributions	CRR 1:19 46:17	designate 6:8 33:25
come 7:22,24 25:21	18:22 25:24 38:25	20:11 21:21	curious 28:24	designated 33:20
29:3,10 30:17	concluded 45:14	<b>coordinate</b> 14:11	38:24	designation 38:17
34:11	confer 26:2	15:18,24 28:16	currently 6:11	39:16,23 40:6,20
comes 38:14	conference 1:10	30:3	19:18 25:4	41:5
coming 26:6 45:11	5:12 26:5 31:6	coordinated 15:21	customer 17:9,10	designator 39:8
comment 24:11	33:12 34:15,18	29:25	17:11,14 29:17	desires 30:4
30:9,22	35:9,24 36:16,20	coordination 18:4	customers 10:9,20	develop 34:16
comments 16:15	42:10 43:5 44:3	19:10	12:23 13:5,9 15:9	dialogue 16:23
28:21,23 29:3,10	conferences 37:4	copies 33:18,21	16:16,19,22 20:4	different 13:19
29:18 30:14	confidential 32:6	34:5 37:5	29:25	18:13,14
Commission 1:2,4	33:7,20 37:6	copy 33:22		difficult 15:24
5:10,17 6:25	confidentiality	corollary 14:6	D	difficulties 11:22
15:14,16 23:21,25	32:18 33:4,5	Corporation 1:7	<b>d/b/a</b> 1:7	difficulty 25:11
24:23 28:19,22	44:24,25	2:8	<b>D3</b> 2:15	38:25
30:7,8,22 31:8,15	confined 42:17	correct 10:25 11:2	data 6:5 36:11,13	<b>dig</b> 26:13
33:9,16,17 36:12	confirm 35:6	14:18,20 22:4	36:24 38:2 39:15	disagree 27:14,14
37:23 39:13 40:10	<b>conflict</b> 35:7,13	32:6 41:20,21,23	39:17,25 40:1,3	disappointed 15:8
Commission's 18:2	confused 28:1	43:13,24 45:3	date 35:13,21 42:10	disclosed 22:20
35:2,6 43:6	confusing 41:9	cost 20:14,14,24	dates 31:18,19,20	discover 22:3
	g . 1.7		35:4,5,14 36:1	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

	]	<u> </u>	]	
discovery 24:3	easier 38:20 39:21	evidentiary 29:24	<b>federal</b> 21:20 24:23	<b>further</b> 17:24 18:6
26:20,21 27:2,15	easiest 39:12	31:19 34:25	<b>feel</b> 27:11 29:11	21:15 23:1 43:18
27:25 28:16 29:15	easy 23:7 38:5	exactly 21:15	<b>felt</b> 10:18 12:7,10	<b>future</b> 22:5,21
29:25 30:3 37:25	42:16	<b>example</b> 6:12 38:15	<b>FERC</b> 19:22	
39:14 40:7	<b>edit</b> 27:2	40:16	<b>ffitch</b> 2:13,14 7:12	$\frac{G}{G}$
discretion 15:14	effective 42:10	exceeded 21:4	7:13 8:2 43:8,9,10	<b>Gafken</b> 3:4 7:3
discuss 24:22	efficient 39:2	excess 19:19 21:19	44:11	15:2 29:6,8,8
discussing 20:3	effort 24:6	23:14,18 25:18	<b>Fifth</b> 3:6	general 3:4,12,13
disheartening 12:9	<b>efforts</b> 14:11,13	exclusively 18:11	<b>file</b> 32:19 33:20,23	5:14 6:24 13:19
<b>distinct</b> 16:3,7 17:3	16:21 20:4	excuse 43:22	44:24,25	14:1,9 19:17 31:8
distinction 20:25	<b>Ehrbar</b> 6:13 38:16	execute 37:7	<b>filed</b> 5:15 8:12	31:24 32:11,18
distinguishing	<b>Ehrbar's</b> 40:17	executed 37:8	31:23 39:4 40:9	36:5 37:25 40:18
40:16	either 11:18 18:23	<b>exhibit</b> 6:12,14	<b>files</b> 35:24	42:18 43:23 44:8
distribute 31:12	28:22 32:22 39:3	29:23 30:11 38:6	<b>filing</b> 6:3 21:22	General's 3:5
distributions 33:19	40:21 42:14,18	38:17 39:8 40:17	22:2 31:20 32:2	getting 28:12 42:25
<b>docket</b> 5:16,19 6:6	election 20:6	40:17,23 42:5	33:15,17 36:23	give 27:11,15,19
6:21 31:25 32:1,8	electronic 33:24	<b>exhibits</b> 6:10 35:25	39:15,19 44:4,9	28:6 44:5
32:13,17,21 33:7	34:4	38:9,21 39:18	<b>filings</b> 33:19	given 6:2 31:7
36:23 39:5 43:19	electronically 33:15	40:5,8 41:1,2,6,12	<b>find</b> 25:4,12	<b>glad</b> 32:10
43:22,23,25 44:6	<b>email</b> 34:1,5,6	41:13,18,19 42:7	fine 32:22 42:14,18	<b>go</b> 18:6 23:22 29:22
<b>dockets</b> 1:6 5:13,19	employees 18:10	<b>existing</b> 10:18 12:8	<b>first</b> 6:2 8:23 16:15	39:7 40:5,11
5:21 6:13 32:25	<b>ends</b> 38:6	expect 5:24	18:3 26:19 38:14	41:12 42:6,15
33:1,2 40:4 42:9	<b>energy</b> 2:12,19 4:2	expectations 43:6,6	<b>five</b> 26:15	going 5:21 6:2 9:16
44:4,10	5:16 7:6,9,11,13	experience 28:25	five-year 24:24	16:3 20:16 23:5
documentation	7:18 8:15,17,17	29:15	<b>flip</b> 25:4	23:15 25:21,25
18:20 21:12,16	9:21,22 21:23	experienced 29:5	folks 25:4	26:2 30:25 31:12
documented 19:21	24:23 43:14	expired 20:7	<b>follow</b> 39:21 40:11	33:8,11,17 35:6
documents 34:5	entity's 30:4	expiring 20:8	foregoing 46:8	37:13,16 39:14,16
41:16 43:4	equity 25:20	<b>explain</b> 11:25 13:24	foreshadow 5:23	40:4,19 43:6 44:2
<b>doing</b> 32:14 33:10	<b>ERM</b> 6:3,6 7:4	14:24 15:2 16:17	<b>form</b> 13:21	45:11
38:25,25	21:22 23:12 32:13	explanation 23:2	<b>formal</b> 40:3	Good 5:7 7:8,12
<b>dollars</b> 20:5,10	32:17,21 33:7	express 29:20	formally 43:19	Governmental 2:7
<b>doubt</b> 18:7	36:4,23 38:2,16	expressing 29:11	<b>format</b> 33:24	granted 9:23 31:20
<b>DR7</b> 37:21	39:4,8,15,24 40:2	extends 44:3	<b>formed</b> 12:14 19:25	35:15
<b>draft</b> 31:7,9 34:9	40:15 41:4,11,13	<b>extent</b> 16:9 19:4	Fortunately 39:3	granting 31:2
<b>Drive</b> 1:14 3:13	41:16,19 42:1,2		<b>forward</b> 5:21 6:15	grants 15:16 23:25
<b>DRs</b> 37:14,17	42:17,17 43:4,12	F	33:8 37:13 40:4	23:25
<b>DRX</b> 37:10	43:15,17,19,22,25	<b>F</b> 46:1	43:7	GRC 5:19 6:3
duplication 42:5	44:6,9	facilitate 36:25	four 26:14	great 20:18,18,23
duplicative 6:9	especially 38:6	fact 11:3 20:18	Fourth 1:20	20:23 45:4
14:13 17:9 24:3	<b>ESQ</b> 2:6	37:3	fresh 32:12	green 22:6,8
	established 28:5	fair 26:17 27:19	<b>friend</b> 27:17	<b>group</b> 10:4,11 17:9
E	Evergreen 1:14	28:8 44:5	<b>front</b> 7:24 37:9	17:10
<b>E</b> 2:1,1,9 3:1,1 4:1	3:13	fairly 25:11	<b>full</b> 43:14	groups 17:11,14
4:1 46:1,1	everybody 20:17	far 21:18 23:8 35:5	<b>fully</b> 33:21,22	guess 10:22 23:24
earlier 45:1		40:12 43:3		28:5 43:20
	!	1	!	

H	13:20	interest 10:1,10,15	21:22 23:11,20	44:16 45:2,5,10
<b>Hamilton</b> 1:19 46:6		10:20 11:4,5,25	25:3,13 26:5	<b>JUNE</b> 46:13
46:17	I	16:2 18:19 28:7	28:11,12 32:10,12	
hand 46:12	idea 43:16	interested 14:8,12	32:16,24 37:17	K
happen 22:24	identification 38:5	17:25 19:12,13	40:1,5	<b>Kathleen</b> 1:19 46:6
happened 30:23	43:3	22:2 23:17 28:21	issued 6:6 31:25	46:17
happy 41:1	identifications 6:9	33:6 44:6	issues 8:23 14:5,8,9	<b>keep</b> 40:11 41:14
Harbor 2:21	identified 6:7 17:3	interests 10:12 12:7	18:15 19:5,6,8,17	42:3,16
healthcare 18:9	21:18 26:14 37:14	12:10,17 13:25	21:11,17 22:1,2,5	kind 6:1 26:18
20:20	identify 17:19	14:24 15:3 16:8	23:6,22 24:3,4,7	<b>KING</b> 46:4
hear 6:4 11:9,11	illuminate 11:15	17:8,16,20	24:20 25:17,19	know 20:11 22:1
16:15 17:23 21:25	important 31:17	interfering 19:12	26:15,24 29:13	27:16 28:8,11
35:12	in-between 28:4	interject 43:11	41:4,15 42:2	29:11,16 30:1
heard 15:1 16:3	<b>include</b> 31:3,16	internal 33:18	43:17	knowledge 46:10
	33:19 36:15,20	intertwined 42:2	issuing 33:12 44:20	knows 29:22
17:7,11,17 18:23 25:24 29:21	included 21:21	<b>intervene</b> 8:14 9:18	item 34:17	
hearing 8:9,12 9:16	includes 25:19	9:21 25:24 43:19	items 36:11 39:4	L
23:1,3 27:7,16	including 25:18	intervening 44:6		lack 40:14
31:19 33:11 35:1	41:4	intervenor 10:18	J	late 42:25
35:3,13 36:1,2,9	inclusion 36:17	12:17,24 13:22	<b>J</b> 2:6,13,14	law 2:2,14 5:9
36:19,22 37:19	income 21:20 23:18	31:2,20 35:15,15	<b>Jennifer</b> 3:12 6:23	lead 33:25
hearings 17:13	25:18	41:25 43:25 44:4	jennifer.cameron	<b>learn</b> 27:10
help 17:24 27:2	incorporate 33:2	intervenor's 16:13	3:15	learning 17:25
Hemstad 1:14	35:8	intervenors 9:4,11	<b>Jessica</b> 3:19 7:15	left 42:8
hereunto 46:12	<b>indicated</b> 21:5 22:9	9:15 10:8,19 12:8	jessica.yarnall@s	legislature 22:7
High 2:14	24:24	43:12,22	3:22	<b>let's</b> 5:5 6:15,16 8:9
hold 9:13 17:12	indicates 39:23	intervention 8:10	<b>job</b> 20:18,23	8:13 9:24,24
Honor 6:17 7:8,12	indication 40:23	8:11 9:5 15:12	<b>judge</b> 2:2 5:5,9	26:25
8:1,2,21 9:6 12:2	informal 38:2	16:13,17 23:25	6:19 7:1,5,11,14	liability 19:20
13:16 14:21 15:4	information 6:20	24:1	7:17,20,23 8:7 9:2	24:11,13,18,21,25
18:25 22:4 23:4	14:24 21:14 27:7	invest 25:10	9:9,13,20 10:23	lieu 14:18 22:15
24:8,10 25:15	27:13 29:13 30:4	investment 25:8	11:1,7,14,19	<b>light</b> 11:14 26:10
26:7 27:22 28:15	33:19 37:1,6	investor-owned	12:25 13:8,12	<b>limit</b> 20:8 21:4
29:2 31:12 34:13	informed 11:20	22:13	14:7,14,22 16:1	limits 19:5
34:23 35:10,17,20	<b>input</b> 29:7	involved 42:3	16:10 17:22 19:1	line 7:3 8:8 9:17
36:8 37:24 40:10	inquire 9:1	involving 12:15	19:16 21:17 22:25	11:11,24 29:6
	insert 22:14	IOUs 22:13	24:9 25:16,23	30:20 44:17
41:1,17 43:8	inserted 22:13	Irion 4:3 7:19	26:8,11 28:14,18	lines 12:16 20:24
44:11 45:7	<b>inside</b> 41:11	44:16	30:5,24 31:14	<b>Lisa</b> 3:4 7:3 29:8
hope 17:24 42:6	instances 30:21	irion@sanger-la	32:15,23 34:19,24	lisa.gafken@atg
45:11 <b>hospitals</b> 18:11	<b>intend</b> 5:22 19:4	4:6	35:11,18,22 36:6	3:9
_	32:24 36:14	IRS 23:19 24:13,22	36:9,19 37:16,23	<b>list</b> 18:25 19:7
housekeeping 36:11	intended 14:5	Island 2:15	38:4,11,19,24	29:12
hundred 20:5,9	intent 26:3	issue 5:20 10:24	39:11 40:13 41:7	<b>listen</b> 16:19
<b>Hydro</b> 10:6 12:6,21	intents 42:11	17:4 19:22 20:3	41:21 42:9,20	listening 17:1
11yu10 10.0 12.0,21	interact 28:23		43:2,9,24 44:13	literally 26:21

little 12:9 18:13	23:10,22 25:20	N 2:1 3:1 4:1	7:17,20,23 8:7 9:2	37:23 38:4,19
28:1 37:7 44:1	29:9 30:20	name 5:8 8:5	9:9,13,20 10:23	40:13 42:20 45:10
LLC 1:20	merely 19:13	name's 12:3	11:1,7,14,19	Olympia 1:15,22
loan 21:8	mercey 17:13 merge 12:20	names 34:3	12:25 13:8,12	3:14 5:1
<b>Loarie</b> 3:19 7:15,15	merger 13:20	National 1:23	14:14,22 16:1,10	once 23:12
long 29:4 38:4	methodology 18:12	natures 15:19	17:22 19:1,16	one's 8:3 11:17,18
longer 36:1,3	Meyer 2:6 5:24	navigate 26:23	21:17 22:25 24:9	ones 8:12 9:21
look 27:17 28:7	6:17,18 8:21 9:19	NE 2:14	25:16,23 26:8,11	12:21
looked 23:15	11:16 16:11,14	necessary 33:22	28:14,18 30:5,24	open 26:13
looking 8:24 18:18	25:21,22 26:8,10	need 10:16 25:7	31:14 32:15,23	opened 29:16
22:1	26:12 28:3 32:2,9	27:8 31:6 36:3	34:19,24 35:11,18	opinion 29:11,20
lot 20:16,17 21:14	32:22 36:18,21	40:6 44:14,25	35:22 36:6,9,19	30:21
27:8 45:4	37:2,18 38:10,14	needed 24:6	37:16,23 38:4,11	opponent 20:5
27.0 43.4	38:22 39:3 41:24	needs 11:3 23:13	38:19,24 39:11	opponent's 20:10
M	42:13,21,24 45:6	23:14 35:21	40:13 41:7,21	opportunity 27:10
<b>M</b> 3:3	Meyer's 40:13	negotiations 15:23	42:9,20 43:2,9,24	44:5,7
main 21:10	mic 11:11	neutral 15:10	44:13 45:2,5,10	opposed 10:11
maintaining 21:7	Michael 4:10 8:5	new 11:20 12:15	O'Connell's 14:7	order 5:20 26:5
major 18:15	12:3	13:6 44:18,21,23	o-c-o-n-n-e-l-l 34:7	30:23 31:4,23,24
making 14:18	microphone 7:24	Nina 3:3 7:2	<b>000-</b> 5:3 45:16	32:1,4,7,11,12,16
19:13 32:11	million 19:19 21:4	ninas@atg.wa.gov	Oakland 3:21	32:25 33:12,13
manageable 37:22	21:5,8,23 24:18	3:8	object 15:11,17	35:9 36:16,20
<b>Marie</b> 4:4 7:19	24:19,21	non-property	16:16 17:21 23:5	37:8 42:11 43:5
marie@sanger-la	mind 38:15 39:10	19:19 24:17,21,25	objection 8:25	44:3,5,18,19,21
4:7	42:16	non-rate 20:14	14:18 16:12 23:2	44:23 45:1
mark 38:5	Mine 11:16	Northwest 4:9 7:17	25:25 26:25 28:1	Oregon 2:22
marked 6:14 32:5	mired 29:19	7:20 8:6,17,18 9:7	33:10 36:6,17,18	organization 12:5
33:7	Mission 2:9	9:22,24 10:1,3	36:19	organizations
market 20:21,22	modification 33:13	12:4 16:4 24:1	objections 8:19,20	17:16
marketing 20:16,20	modified 32:25	31:2	9:3,11,17 35:16	organize 38:13
21:1,21	33:13	notably 17:6	obviously 10:11	original 33:18,20
marking 43:4	moment 9:14 16:17		15:14	originally 36:1
markings 6:10	34:11	note 34:14 35:25	offered 38:6	outline 5:21
mass 39:6	money 20:19	<b>notice</b> 32:5 44:2	offering 13:2	outrageous 25:12
material 39:6	monitor 22:22	number 18:21 19:7	Office 2:14 3:5,13	outreach 16:21
matter 5:11 26:16	monopoly 25:6	26:12 39:9 40:17	oftentimes 30:16	outset 36:22
31:4 43:12	motion 5:15,17,18	40:18	30:20	outside 15:21
matters 43:15	42:22	<b>numbered</b> 6:7 40:3	okay 5:5 6:15 7:5	outspoken 20:1
mean 27:5,9	move 6:15 8:9 9:24	numbering 37:17	8:9 11:7,17,18	overlap 38:11
mechanism 5:17	moved 8:3	42:7	13:12 14:22 16:10	41:22
21:23	moving 11:20	numbers 42:5	17:22 21:17 25:22	ownership 10:6
<b>members</b> 13:5,6	mrbellcpa@gmai	NW 4:2	26:11 28:7 30:24	12:6
19:25 43:21	4:10		32:15 33:11 34:24	owning 25:6
memorialize 33:11	MSC-27 2:9	0	34:25 35:18,22	
memorializing 5:20		<b>O'Connell</b> 2:3 5:5,8	36:10 37:2,10,16	P
mentioned 13:13	N	6:19 7:1,5,11,14	, , , , , , ,	<b>P</b> 2:1,1 3:1,1 4:1,1
	l		l	<u> </u>

<b>P.C</b> 4:4	novem 10.12 15	nolitical 21.21	29.7 41.25 42.12	mut 27.1 2
	<b>payers</b> 10:12,15 11:4,5 12:8,11,13	political 21:21	38:7 41:25 42:12 <b>proceedings</b> 10:17	<b>put</b> 27:1,3
<b>p.m</b> 1:12 5:2,8	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<b>politicians</b> 20:12 22:14	19:13 28:25 36:12	0
45:14 <b>D</b> O 2:8 2:14	12:18 13:1,3,25			quarter 21:11
<b>P.O</b> 2:8 3:14	15:7 16:8 18:6,17	pop 26:24	38:12 44:21 45:14	quarter 21.11 question 9:7,12
pages 1:11 25:4	19:20 21:1,2,6,9	Portland 2:22 4:5	46:9	13:14 14:2,7,10
44:20	22:20,23	position 12:22 16:9	process 12:6 17:1	19:2 27:22 43:10
paid 24:14	paying 18:17 21:2	17:25 27:5 30:1,2	26:20 27:12,16,21	43:20
paper 33:18,21	24:25	30:3	30:15	questions 5:23 6:1
parens 38:17	payment 22:15,16	positions 15:25	<b>Project</b> 2:12 7:11	9:18 13:18 14:17
parenthetical 38:16	22:19	possible 34:2	7:13 8:17 9:22	16:12 17:2,24
39:23 40:15 41:14	payments 22:23	possibly 26:23 35:1	43:14	18:24 19:14 26:19
Park 1:14 3:13	PC 2:21	power 4:9 7:21 8:6	<b>proper</b> 10:16	26:19 27:2 28:6
part 29:23,23	PDE-2 6:14	8:18 9:7,25 10:1,2	properly 10:19	36:21
<b>participate</b> 27:20 28:5	penalties 22:7,10	10:4 12:4 16:4,5	19:15	quite 13:19
	penalty 22:16 23:9 23:10	21:3,7 24:2 31:3	property 24:13,20	<b>quite</b> 13.17
participating 19:4		practice 18:10	proposal 6:4 29:12	R
participation 15:22	<b>people</b> 20:21 33:5,6	precedent 17:18,19	34:12 39:1	<b>R</b> 2:1 3:1 4:1,10 8:5
18:1,4	37:7 <b>Pepple</b> 2:20 7:8,9	<b>prehearing</b> 1:10 5:12 26:5 31:3,6	<b>propose</b> 6:9 36:3,25 <b>proposed</b> 31:18	46:1
particular 12:25 14:8 19:6 31:18	* *			raised 14:15 23:6
	percent 25:5,8,10	33:12 34:15 35:8	35:5,25	raising 8:24
35:13	25:12	36:15,20 37:4	<b>propound</b> 27:1 37:13	rate 5:14 10:12,15
particularly 30:8	period 19:21,23	42:10 43:5 44:3		11:4,4 12:8,11,12
parties 15:12,14	21:20 23:19 24:24	prepare 5:25	protect 12:17	12:18 13:1,3,19
17:5 23:16 24:4	24:24 36:2,3 44:3	present 41:3	protected 21:19	13:25 14:1 15:6
26:22 28:5 33:9	periods 22:5	presentation 15:19 15:20	protective 31:23,24	16:8 18:5,17
33:14 34:10,12	person 29:18		32:1,4,7,11,12,16	19:20 20:14 21:1
36:7,13 37:5 43:11,14,15 45:9	personally 25:9 29:4	presented 39:18 presiding 5:10	37:8 44:18,19,21 44:23 45:1	21:2,6,9,12 22:17
partly 33:22	pertain 40:2	presumably 17:4	provide 14:23	22:20,23 23:11
party 33.22 parts 12:10	pertain 40.2 pertains 38:18	presumably 17.4 pretty 14:1 21:8	19:16 29:14 30:8	24:7 25:9 31:8,24
party 13:22 15:6,7	petition 14:4 15:10	previous 28:25	37:5,9 44:2	32:11,19 36:5
15:15,16,21 18:1	15:11,12 25:24	previously 43:12	provided 18:21	37:25 40:18 42:18
26:2,4 27:1,25	petitioned 9:4	primary 12:12	34:9 41:12	43:23 44:8
28:17,20 30:2	petitioned 9.4 petitions 8:10,11	18:16	provision 22:18	rate-setting 22:10
33:25 36:14 44:8	8:14,20 9:18,20	prior 44:7	public 3:2,6 7:1,2,4	rates 12:15 21:22
44:10	phone 3:4,19 4:3,4	probably 26:6	10:10,20 11:5,9	23:23
passed 22:6,23	pieces 29:11 30:21	44:22	13:21,24 14:11,12	<b>Rd</b> 2:14
23:14	Place 4:5	procedural 31:7	15:1,2,5,18 16:2	re-file 40:20
passes 21:13	planned 35:1	34:8 45:8	17:6,7,12 27:16	re-sign 33:5
passes 21.13 patiently 45:7	please 7:24 9:13,25	procedure 5:21	28:19,21,22 29:2	reaches 23:12
pay 21:1,19 22:15	31:14 33:20,23	proceed 8:13	29:3 30:9,14,19	read 8:14 21:14
pay 21.1,19 22.13 payback 19:21,23	34:1,5 35:22	proceed 8.13	30:19,22 37:20	25:23 29:3 30:16
21:20	point 10:16 23:6,13	12:1 13:20 14:6	46:7	reading 21:12
payer 20:14,14	42:6 43:16	18:1 19:5 23:16	purposes 22:11	real 24:14
25:9	points 29:20	30:10 34:17,21	42:11	realize 33:3
25.7	Points 27.20	JU.1U JT.11,21	12.11	really 27:20 29:14
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

20 17 20 2	10 17 15 0	1. 1.0.0	G 44 1 21 22 2 7	W 17 6 0
29:17 30:2	10:17 15:8	retired 18:9	Seattle 1:21,22 3:7	small 15:6,9
Realtime 1:20	representative 2:5	return 23:18 25:5,8	see 14:4 16:1 18:16	SNAP 17:15
reasonable 24:24	3:11 9:1 34:1	25:19 26:17 30:6	19:24 20:13,25	snarl 45:8
rebuttal 40:22 42:1	representatives	36:10	21:6 26:20 28:12	somebody 18:18
receive 34:4	6:21 10:5 19:11	returning 30:12	29:17 31:17 32:15	somewhat 36:1
reconcile 6:9	34:3	review 29:9 32:2	34:22,25	soon 11:20 34:2
record 5:6 16:23	represented 16:4	42:15	seen 34:10	sorry 11:10 24:8
25:2 29:23,24	representing 13:1,6	reviewed 5:17	sell 20:17	34:7 35:21
30:10 37:21 42:15	14:25 15:6 17:5	18:20 27:17	Senior 3:19	sort 8:24 11:21
45:13	18:5	Richard 1:14	sense 40:24,25	26:17,19 39:7
recovery 5:16	represents 16:2	<b>right</b> 13:4 15:5,13	separate 19:12	sounds 13:2 14:9
21:23	17:8	31:13 34:15 35:23	24:20 34:16	39:14
red 11:14	republish 39:7	<b>rights</b> 27:25	<b>service</b> 17:13 33:15	specific 17:3,16,20
redacted 33:22,23	republishing 39:6	risky 25:7	34:1	spelled 34:6
refer 38:1 39:18	request 12:16,20	role 15:2	services 20:21	<b>spent</b> 20:19
41:1,13	36:13 38:2	<b>room</b> 1:14 8:8 9:16	set 23:19 36:1	<b>Spokane</b> 2:9 20:1,6
referred 40:21,23	requested 31:23	35:3	46:12	sponsored 6:13
referring 39:22	requesting 12:23	routinely 26:20	settled 23:7	<b>St</b> 3:20
41:16,18	21:16	<b>RPR</b> 1:19 46:17	settlement 15:23	<b>staff</b> 6:22,25 9:6
refine 27:2	requests 6:5 26:21	<b>rule</b> 33:16	34:17 35:24	10:13 11:6 12:19
regarding 21:22	36:11,13,24 39:15	<b>rules</b> 30:7	share 8:4	19:10 22:9 23:2,5
26:4	39:17 40:1,1,3	run 22:17	<b>shared</b> 36:14	23:8 26:25 27:5
regards 31:25	require 32:17 33:5	running 20:8	shareholders 25:6	28:15,19,22 30:14
regulation 24:23	33:17 40:19		<b>sharing</b> 6:4 36:25	30:16,16,18 31:8
regulatory 2:7	required 15:17	$\frac{S}{S_1 + A_2 + A_3 + A_4}$	<b>short</b> 6:19	34:4 37:10,21,23
24:23	22:19	S 1:14 2:1 3:1,13	Shorthand 46:6	38:1 39:13 41:2
reimbursement	requirement 36:15	4:1	show 20:12	41:25
18:12	resay 11:25	S.W 3:13	<b>shown</b> 31:9	<b>staff's</b> 30:1
<b>related</b> 24:13,19	researched 19:22	safe 45:12	<b>Sierra</b> 3:18,19 7:14	standing 12:22
43:10	residential 13:8	safeguard 10:9	7:16 8:16 9:21	<b>start</b> 14:18
relatively 39:5	15:6,9 16:8	12:22	<b>sign</b> 32:19,20 44:23	started 12:5
relevant 30:9 34:20	resolution 25:13	safeguarded 10:19	signature 44:20	<b>state</b> 9:11 10:1 22:6
relieve 34:4	resolve 24:7	safeguarding 12:12	<b>signed</b> 32:18 33:4	46:3,7
remand 34:16,17	respect 8:22 43:15	Sanger 4:3,4 7:19	similar 43:14	<b>stated</b> 10:14 30:25
35:24,24 36:2	respond 27:3	7:19 44:16,17	<b>Simon</b> 2:13,14 7:13	32:4
<b>remind</b> 33:14	Respondent 1:9	45:3,4	simon@ffitchlaw	statement 14:4
renumber 38:21	response 27:4	satisfied 27:14	2:17	status 12:17,24
<b>repay</b> 21:5	37:10 40:21 41:6	32:10	<b>simpler</b> 32:24 33:8	15:15,16 26:2,4
<b>REPORTED</b> 1:19	<b>responses</b> 5:18 6:5	savvy 30:9	38:20	27:25 28:4 31:2
Reporter 46:7	17:2 36:14 37:5	saying 28:4	<b>simply</b> 28:4 34:14	31:20 35:15
Reporting 1:20	responsibility	says 22:18	38:16 39:21 40:2	statutory 13:22
reports 20:13	10:22 12:12	schedule 31:7,10	<b>sir</b> 13:11	15:5
<b>represent</b> 10:9 13:2	responsive 41:8,10	34:9,16	sit 7:24	stays 16:22
13:25 15:3 16:7	<b>resubmit</b> 38:21,23	School 2:14	<b>skill</b> 46:10	step 12:16
representation	result 12:14	SE 4:5	slightly 15:8	stock 25:6
		seal 46:13		
		1	1	1

striolzon 24.10	23:18 24:11,12,17	thinking 6.10 26.0		22.14 27.11 20.7			
stricken 34:18 35:21	24:25 25:19	thinking 6:12 36:2 Thompson 4:4	U VVI 100000 5 1 5	33:14 37:11 38:7 41:5 43:17,17			
35:21 strikes 34:20	tax-free 21:8	thought 38:14	<b>UE-190222</b> 5:16	wanted 5:25 25:1			
stuff 27:18 42:17		42:13,24	31:25 36:23	30:13 32:6,9			
<b>Stuff</b> 27:18 42:17 42:18	tcp@dvclaw.com 2:23	42:13,24 thoughts 38:13	<b>UE-190334</b> 1:6	30:13 32:6,9 42:14 43:16 44:17			
42:18 submits 28:21	2:23 <b>technical</b> 11:21	thoughts 38:13 thousand 20:5,10	5:13				
		26:21	<b>UG-190335</b> 1:7	wanting 10:5			
<b>submitted</b> 30:9 44:19	14:1 15:19 26:15 26:24 29:19		5:13	wants 37:20			
subset 13:1	tell 20:21	three 17:12 33:1,18 33:21 44:21	ultimately 42:14	<b>Washington</b> 1:1,4 1:15,21 2:9,15 3:5			
		till 37:12	unaware 8:19				
substantive 29:12	tend 29:10 term 20:7,8	time 5:7 9:12 10:5	understand 8:23	3:7,14 5:1,9 13:5 46:3,8			
suddenly 42:2	term 20.7,8 terms 40:8		15:11 16:9 21:15	*			
<b>Suetake</b> 3:3 7:2,2 11:8,10,13 15:1,4	terms 40:8 territory 15:9	10:8 12:5,8 13:7 19:25 20:2 22:5	24:16 27:8 28:18	<b>way</b> 2:21 21:12 26:22 27:11,20			
16:1,6 27:22 29:2	test 21:13	26:13 37:7,19	30:5 41:8 44:1	30:18 32:13,15,22			
29:9 36:8	test 21:13 testifies 41:4	40:19 41:22 44:3	understanding	33:10 37:2,15			
29:9 30:8 sufficient 6:20	testify 17:17	40:19 41:22 44:3	9:16 14:19 32:3	39:3 41:2,24			
40:16	testifying 41:15	times 23:21 28:23	44:18,20 45:2	42:14,18 45:7			
	· ·		unexpected 5:24	<b>we'll</b> 8:4 27:3 28:8			
sufficiently 17:20 suffix 40:15 41:20	testimony 6:10 15:20 30:18 31:21	timetable 24:15 today 5:12 44:14	Unit 3:6	<b>We'll</b> 8:4 27:3 28:8 28:8 40:1,10			
			unredacted 33:21	45:13			
<b>suggesting</b> 19:23 27:24 28:3 38:23	31:21 35:25 38:9	told 11:10,21 ton 20:19	unusual 17:14	we're 5:12 11:20			
suggestion 40:14	38:16 39:4,18	touch 16:22	use 37:11,20	12:23 13:5 18:12			
<b>Suite</b> 1:21 2:15,22	40:22,22 41:3,6,8 41:10,11,12,18,19	touch 16:22 track 40:11	utc.wa.gov 3:16	20:23 30:2 38:15			
3:7,20	41:10,11,12,18,19	track 40:11 traffic 45:11	34:7	we've 10:21 18:18			
support 34:4	thank 6:17 7:5 8:1	transcript 46:9	utilities 1:2,4,8 5:9	21:11 23:20,20			
support 34:4 suppose 29:16	12:2 13:15 15:4	transcript 46:9 transfer 10:6	22:7,13	24:20 29:5 43:3			
suppose 29:16 supposed 10:8 21:2	22:25 24:10 25:15	transferring 12:6	utility 25:7	<b>Webster</b> 3:20			
supposed 10.8 21.2 sure 8:2 9:2 15:18	25:16 26:7,12	Transportation 1:2	utilize 28:23	week 26:6			
15:20 18:16 19:13	30:24 35:16,18	1:4 5:10	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$	week 20:0 weigh 43:17			
19:18 25:1 32:22	44:11 45:6,9,10	travels 45:12	v 1:6	weight 21:13			
35:7	44:11 45:6,9,10 <b>Thanks</b> 45:4	treasurer 8:6 12:3	V 1:6 Van 2:21	weight 21:13 welcome 15:22 29:7			
SW 1:14 2:21	thing 20:22 26:17	20:1,7		well-formed 17:15			
	41:22	, and the second	version 33:21,23	Western 2:19 7:6,9			
<b>system</b> 20:19 22:17	things 8:24 9:1	trigger 23:13 trouble 24:16	versions 33:23 view 29:21	8:15			
T	18:22 31:5 32:5	true 46:9	view 29:21 violate 24:15	WHEREOF 46:12			
<b>T</b> 46:1,1	think 6:1 7:24	try 43:4		whichever 40:12			
take 5:22 11:3	11:13 13:24 14:15		voices 17:17	willing 14:11			
16:19 25:25 28:23	14:16,25 15:23	<b>trying</b> 20:17 27:19 29:14	<b>VOLUME</b> 1:10	wining 14:11 wishes 8:8 42:21			
30:8 31:1 37:7	14:16,23 13:23	turn 16:10	<b>VP</b> 2:7				
43:18	21:18 23:5,5,20	two 17:12 24:12,20	W	wishing 19:6 withdraw 42:22			
taken 10:21	23:22 28:6 31:14	36:21 38:12 45:8	$\overline{\mathbf{W}}$ 3:4	witness 39:8 41:3			
talked 22:9 37:3	32:23 33:8 37:14	Tyler 2:20 7:9	<b>w-a.g-o-v</b> 34:7	41:13,15,16 42:8			
43:3	37:18 39:20 40:9		wait 44:23	46:12			
talking 19:17 24:18		type 8:23	want 5:23 16:15,18	wondering 13:23			
talks 22:7	40:11,13,20 42:5 45:2	<b>typically</b> 17:12 30:15,17	20:20,22 22:21	wondering 13:23 word 40:14			
tax 19:19 21:20	43.4	30.13,17	25:13 27:11 29:13	<b>woru</b> 40.14			
Jan 17.17 21.20			25.15 27.11 27.15				
	DUELL	DUELL DEALTIME DEDODTING LLC					

				Page 55
words 38:22	<b>1750</b> 2:21	<b>509.495.4316</b> 2:10		
work 11:12 20:17	<b>1840</b> 1:21	58th 4:5		
26:24 41:24	<b>190222</b> 33:1			
worked 18:9,11,14	<b>190335</b> 33:1	6		
working 8:3 11:16	<b>1917</b> 1:19	<b>6th</b> 34:18		
11:17 26:25 45:7	<b>193334</b> 33:1			
works 11:16 27:12		7		
27:20 34:14	2	8		
<b>wouldn't</b> 41:5	<b>2</b> 40:17,18	-		
writing 8:12	<b>2:29</b> 1:12 5:2	800 3:6		
written 8:19 21:18	<b>2:30</b> 5:8	<b>800.846.6989</b> 1:23		
<b>WUTC</b> 10:13 11:5	<b>20</b> 18:10	9		
12:19 18:5 19:10	<b>2000</b> 3:7	<b>9.8</b> 25:5,7,9,12		
22:9	<b>2019</b> 1:13 5:1,14	<b>94612</b> 3:21		
www.buellrealti	46:9,13	<b>97201</b> 2:22		
1:23	<b>206</b> 1:14	<b>97215</b> 4:5		
<b>T</b> 7	<b>206.287.9066</b> 1:22	<b>98101</b> 1:21		
X	<b>206.464.6595</b> 3:8	<b>98104</b> 3:7		
Y	<b>206.669.8197</b> 2:16	<b>98110</b> 2:16		
Yarnall 3:19 7:15	<b>2101</b> 3:20	<b>98504</b> 3:14		
Yeah 7:23 38:10	<b>24</b> 1:13 5:1 46:9	<b>99220</b> 2:9		
39:3	3			
year 21:4	<b>3.4</b> 21:5			
years 18:10,14	<b>3:29</b> 45:14			
19:22,23,24 22:24	<b>30</b> 21:4,8			
17.22,23,27 22.27	<b>321</b> 2:14			
Z	<b>34.4</b> 21:23			
<b>zero</b> 21:7	<b>35</b> 18:10,14			
	<b>36</b> 19:22,24			
0	<b>360.534.9066</b> 1:22			
<b>02</b> 32:25 33:13	<b>360.664.1186</b> 3:15			
1	<b>3727</b> 2:8			
11:11 38:2	<b>383</b> 2:15			
<b>1</b> 1:11 38:2 <b>10</b> 25:10				
<b>10.8</b> 25:5,8,12	4			
<b>10.6</b> 25.5,8,12 <b>1041</b> 4:5	<b>40128</b> 3:14			
<b>10TH</b> 46:13	<b>415.977.5636</b> 3:21			
11th 35:1	<b>450</b> 2:22 19:19			
<b>13</b> 38:2	24:18,19,21			
<b>1300</b> 1:14 3:20	<b>46</b> 1:11			
<b>1325</b> 1:20	5			
13th 35:2	5 19:23			
<b>1400</b> 3:13	<b>503.241.7242</b> 2:23			
<b>1411</b> 2:9	<b>503.241.7242</b> 2.23 <b>503.919.3779</b> 4:6			
<b> ·</b> /	<i>303.717.3117</i> 4.0			
	l	1	<u> </u>	