#### BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

In the matter of the

JOINT APPLICATION OF QWEST COMMUNICATIONS INTERNATIONAL INC. AND CENTURYTEL, INC.

For Approval of Indirect Transfer of Control of Qwest Corporation, Qwest Communications Company LLC, and Qwest LD Corp. Docket No. UT-100820

#### TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

 $\mathbf{BY}$ 

CENTURYLINK, INC. QWEST CORPORATION COMMISSION STAFF PUBLIC COUNSEL

December 29, 2010

1	I.	INTRODUCTION
2	Q.	Who is sponsoring this testimony?
3	Α.	This testimony is jointly sponsored by CenturyLink, Inc. ("CenturyLink") and Qwest
4		Corporation ("Qwest") (CenturyLink and Qwest, collectively, the "Joint Applicants"),
5		Commission Staff ("Staff"), and the Office of Public Counsel ("Public Counsel")
6		(collectively "the Parties") and is offered in support of the Settlement Agreement entered
7		into between Joint Applicants, Staff and Public Counsel.
8		
9	Q.	Please state your names.
10	A.	Our names are: John Jones (CenturyLink), Mark Reynolds (Qwest), Mark Vasconi
11		(Staff), and Stephanie Johnson (Public Counsel).
12		
13	Q.	Please describe your backgrounds and qualifications.
14	A.	John Jones, Mark Reynolds, and Mark Vasconi have all filed direct or response testimony
15		in this docket, and those testimonies contain that information. For Ms. Johnson's
16		information, please see Section V of this testimony.
17		
18	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
19	A.	Our testimony describes and supports all provisions of the Settlement Agreement and
20		attached conditions agreed upon by the Joint Applicants, Staff, and Public Counsel on

1		December 23, 2010, and filed with the Commission on December 23, 2010 ("Settlement
2		Agreement").
3		As explained in greater detail below, under the Settlement Agreement, the Parties
4		acknowledge that the Joint Applicants' Application will satisfy the "in the public interest
5		no harm" standard described in previous Washington proceedings that considered
6		mergers and changes of control, and should be approved with the conditions set forth in
7		the Settlement Agreement. The Parties request that the Commission issue an order
8		approving the Settlement Agreement and providing the approvals requested by the Joint
9		Applicants.
10		
11	II	. BACKGROUND
12		
13	Q.	Please briefly describe the history of the proceeding.
14	A.	On May 13, 2010, CenturyLink and Qwest filed an Application and on May 21, 2010
15		filed supporting testimony seeking Commission approval of the indirect transfer of
16		control of Qwest Corporation, Qwest Communications Company LLC, and Qwest LD

Corp. to CenturyLink. The Joint Applicants requested approval under Chapter 80.12

deems necessary to review the Transaction.

RCW and Chapter 480-143 WAC, and any other applicable law or rule the Commission

17

18

19

Page 4

On September 27, 2010 the Staff and the intervenors (including DOD/FEA, Integra Telecom, tw telecom of Washington, Advanced Telecom, Electric Lightwave, Eschelon, Covad, Level 3, Cbeyond, Pac-West Telecomm, Charter Fiberlink, and Sprint) filed reply testimony. CenturyLink and Qwest and certain intervenors filed rebuttal testimony on November 1, 2010. CenturyLink and Qwest filed supplemental rebuttal testimony on November 15, 2010. Collectively, the Parties have filed thousands of pages of testimony and exhibits. In addition, the Joint Applicants have responded to more than 500 discovery requests.

The Joint Applicants and other parties and other intervenors in this proceeding including the CLECs, Staff, DOD/FEA, and Public Counsel, have engaged in numerous formal and informal settlement discussions and technical workshops, beginning in July 2010 and continuing through December 2010. These discussions resulted in the Settlement Agreement that is now before the Commission. The Settlement Agreement was filed with the Commission on December 23, 2010.

#### Q. Please describe the proposed settlement agreement.

A. This Settlement Agreement provides that the Joint Applicants have satisfied the "public interest, no harm" standard as described in WAC 480-143-170<sup>1</sup>. The Settlement

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "If, upon the examination of any application and accompanying exhibits, or upon a hearing concerning the same, the commission finds the proposed transaction is not consistent with the public interest, it shall deny the application."

1	Agreement includes 29 enumerated and agreed-upon conditions, some of which have
2	additional sub-conditions.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Conditions 1-2, 4-8, and 10-13 address CenturyLink's financial obligations, including financial reporting, after the close of the Transaction. These eleven conditions include CenturyLink's commitment to notify Staff regarding the leverage resulting from the Transaction, assurances that Washington assets will not be encumbered without seeking Commission approval, and a commitment that customers will not be obligated to pay for any acquisition premium;
- Condition 3 addresses the requirements for extending the existing Qwest
   Alternative Form of Regulation ("AFOR") and filing new plans of AFOR for all of the regulated companies;
- Condition 9 addresses Affiliated Interests, which assures the Commission that
   CenturyLink will comply with all applicable affiliated interest reporting
   requirements.
- Conditions 14 and 15 contain broadband commitments by CenturyLink, which include investment levels, timing, and reporting criteria for Washington broadband deployment;
- Conditions 16-19 address service quality standards, including CenturyLink's commitments related to certain Commission reporting requirements in the areas of service quality metrics, the provision of certain customer remedies, and the retention of Washington-based complaint staff for customer complaints;

1	• Condition 20 contains commitments regarding rate stability for residential and
2	business customers;
3	• Condition 21 pertains to long distance service and it preserves rights that
4	consumers have with regard to notification of long distance carrier changes and
5	provides a period of time for waiver of change charges.
6	<ul> <li>Conditions 22-25 address retail and wholesale Operating Support Systems</li> </ul>
7	("OSS"), including commitments by CenturyLink regarding the transition of retail
8	and wholesale operating systems, and specific sharing of information with Staff
9	regarding the software and systems transitions.
10	Condition 26 addresses WTAP reporting and communication commitments to
11	ensure the preservation of the exchange of data. In addition, the condition
12	requires that a WTAP service performance monitoring program be created, and
13	provides for payment of customer remedies under certain circumstances;
14	• Condition 27 affirms CenturyLink's assumption of Qwest's contractual
15	obligations related to 911 service;
16	• Condition 28 addresses rate center consolidations to be performed post-
17	Transaction;
18	• Condition 29 contains CenturyLink's commitment to work with Staff and Public
19	Counsel to provide customer notice post-Transaction.

## III. JOINT APPLICANTS' TESTIMONY REGARDING CONDITIONS AND

## IMPLICATIONS FOR THE PUBLIC INTEREST

3

1

2

- Q. In general, what are the Joint Applicants' views of the Transaction and the necessity
   for conditions to its approval?
- A. Joint Applicants' position with regard to this question is set forth in the Application and direct testimony filed on May 13 and 21, 2010. Nevertheless, in order to expeditiously settle all the issues in this proceeding, CenturyLink and Qwest have agreed to the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

10

- Financial Conditions
- Q. What protections are provided to Washington customers in Conditions 1-2, 4-8, and 10-13 in the Settlement Agreement?
- A. The Joint Applicants believe that the conditions pertaining to reporting requirements 14 provide the Commission with sufficient access to the books of account, all documents 15 and data regarding the Transaction, and information regarding material changes, in order 16 to allow the Commission to effectively monitor the performance and financial condition 17 of CenturyLink. This set of conditions also provides that CenturyLink will not advocate 18 for a higher cost of capital in rates as a result of the Transaction; and that no retail or 19 wholesale rates will include any Transaction related costs. Finally, CenturyLink has 20 21 agreed to track and periodically report on merger synergies and costs. The Joint

Applicants believe that this set of conditions will provide adequate assurances to the Commission that Washington customers will not be harmed by Transaction-related rate or service impacts, and that the Commission will have the information necessary to properly monitor and regulate CenturyLink after the Transaction is completed.

A.

# Q. Can the Joint Applicants describe the specific financial conditions to which

## CenturyLink has agreed as a result of the Settlement Agreement?

Yes. CenturyLink has agreed not to encumber the assets of its Washington operating companies without seeking the approval of the Commission. CenturyLink will file semi-annually with the Commission certain financial reports and information until the AFOR proceedings contemplated in Condition 3 are concluded so the Commission can review the financial health of the company. CenturyLink will also provide post-merger EBITDA, and the price per share of both companies immediately prior to the closing date and the number of shares issued to Qwest's shareholders to complete the Transaction. Finally, CenturyLink will not seek recovery of any acquisition premium in Washington intrastate regulated rates, nor will the company include in wholesale or retail rates any Transaction related costs. As with certain previously described conditions, this financial condition serves to provide further assurances to the Commission that Washington customers will not be impacted by costs of the Transaction in any relevant rate proceedings.

1	Q.	Are the financial conditions as proposed sufficient to provide adequate assurance to
2		the Commission that the public interest will be served?
3	A.	Yes, they are substantial. When viewed in light of the comprehensive nature of all of the
4		commitments in the Settlement Agreement, there should be more than adequate assurance
5		that the Transaction meets the public interest, no harm standard.
6		
7	Q.	As part of the Settlement Agreement, has CenturyLink agreed to comply with all
8		applicable statutes and regulations regarding affiliated interest transactions?
9	A.	Yes, this agreement is in Condition 9. The Joint Applicants believe that these affiliated
10		interest commitments will provide the Commission adequate ability to monitor intra-
11		company transactions among CenturyLink's Washington ILECs and its other operations.
12		
13	AFO	R-Related Conditions
14	Q.	What terms have the Parties agreed to regarding Qwest's AFOR and the AFORs
15		required of the CenturyLink ILECs?
16	A.	In Condition 3, the Parties agreed that the Joint Applicants will petition the Commission
17		to extend Qwest's current AFOR, and to eliminate the conditions in the
18		CenturyTel/Embarq merger that require CenturyLink to file results of operations within
19		three years and plans of AFOR within five years.
20		
21	Q.	Are there other AFOR-related terms as well?

Yes, Condition 3 contains additional requirements that detail what will happen in connection with AFOR filings for Qwest and the CenturyLink ILECs. Those filings will be due no sooner than three years, and no later than four years after the Transaction closes. This condition contains detailed requirements with regard to the information that must be submitted in the initial filing, and preserves the rights of the Commission to require additional information, and for the Parties to request additional information.

Q.

A.

#### What concerns do the AFOR-related terms address?

A. Condition 3 addresses concerns about the timing and structure of upcoming AFOR proceedings involving Qwest and the CenturyLink ILECs. Review of the Qwest AFOR is scheduled to begin in two months. Extending the Qwest AFOR three to four years fosters regulatory efficiency by allowing time for a more complete picture of the post-Transaction Qwest entity to develop. It is also efficient to synchronize the results of operations and AFOR filings of the CenturyLink ILECs with the Qwest AFOR review. Concurrent Qwest and CenturyLink AFOR filings would allow the Commission to conduct a comprehensive review of all of the post-Transaction CenturyLink operating companies together. Furthermore, by scheduling these AFORs three to four years after the Transaction closes, the Commission would be able to take into account any synergy savings Century Link may realize from the Transaction, which likely will not be available until after the first few years. The AFOR-related terms ensure that the issue of merger synergies, as well as other important issues that may be best addressed across the post-

Transaction CenturyLink operating companies, will be incorporated into these AFOR
 proceedings.

A.

#### **Broadband Commitments**

Q. Please address the specific broadband commitments made by CenturyLink under the Settlement Agreement.

These commitments are addressed in Conditions 14 and 15. To demonstrate

CenturyLink's commitment to the ongoing provision of broadband services and to
maintenance of its network, CenturyLink agrees to expend \$80 million in broadband
deployment in CenturyLink and Qwest areas in Washington over a five (5) year period
beginning January 1, 2011 and ending December 31, 2015. CenturyLink will commit to
expend at least thirty-three percent (33%) of the \$80 million broadband investment in
unserved or underserved areas. In addition, five central offices will be enabled with DSL
in addition to the thirty-three percent commitment. These central offices are Clearwater,
Glenwood, Eureka, Nespelem, and Willard. The investment in these central offices will
provide service to unserved areas, and will be counted in satisfaction of the \$80 million
commitment, but will be in addition to the 33% committed to unserved and underserved
areas.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> With this deployment, every Qwest and CenturyLink wire center will have broadband capability for some or all of its customers, with the exception of the Columbia wire center which is entirely contained within the Hanford Reservation and which has no residential subscribers.

CenturyLink will submit a plan for broadband deployment to Staff and Public Counsel annually, to begin within 60 days of the anniversary date of the closing of the Transaction and thereafter submitted on the anniversary of the Transaction's closing date, including the number of living units to be enabled or upgraded as to speed. CenturyLink will also meet with Staff and Public Counsel to review the annual broadband plan and the annual deployment report. In addition, within 180 days of the Transaction's close, CenturyLink will provide Staff a separate confidential filing identifying the initial wire centers targeted under the commitment as well as the estimated living units that will be enabled or upgraded. Company representatives will meet with Commission engineering staff and Public Counsel to review this report.

For a period of five (5) years, or until all capital commitments have been expended under this condition, CenturyLink will file with the Commission annually on the anniversary of the Transaction's close a confidential written report on the broadband deployment by wire center accomplished in the previous year, including the number of living units enabled or upgraded.

The Joint Applicants believe that CenturyLink's broadband commitment under the Settlement Agreement provides the Commission with a high degree of certainty that CenturyLink will commit sufficient capital to maintain and enhance its Washington

network, and thereby alleviates any previously-raised concerns with regard to broadband deployment or capital investment.

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

- Service Quality-Related Conditions
- Q. How do the service quality conditions in the Settlement Agreement address servicequality issues?
  - A. Qwest and the CenturyLink ILECs will provide monthly service quality reports after the close of the Transaction. Thus, the Commission's ability to monitor and enforce the service quality required of the combined company will not be diminished as a result of the Transaction. Additionally, CenturyLink will provide to the Commission within one year of closing a status report on its switching infrastructure including any switch replacements, upgrades or retirements made in the previous year plus any known changes for the next year. CenturyLink will also provide to the Commission a confidential report for the previous calendar year detailing Washington regulated capital expenditures as a percentage of total system expenditures and a comparison of the amount of regulated capital expenditures per Washington access line with the amount of regulated capital expenditures per CenturyLink system-wide access line. This will enable the Commission to gain more insight into CenturyLink's investments in Washington relative to its capital commitments in the broader CenturyLink system. In addition, CenturyLink has agreed to extend the service quality commitments from the CenturyTel/Embarq merger until the close of the next AFOR proceeding, and has agreed to increase the CenturyLink ILECs'

residential credit from \$15 to \$25. Finally, CenturyLink has agreed to add an out of service credit as an additional customer remedy, and has agreed to a delayed installation remedy to be triggered if service falls below a certain level as described in the Agreement. As such, the Settlement Agreement provides the Commission and Staff with specific and significant service quality provisions and with capital expenditure reporting.

6

7

1

2

3

4

5

#### Retail Rate Stability

## 8 Q. Have the Parties agreed to any conditions affecting retail rates?

9 A. Yes, Condition 20 addresses retail rate stability. The CenturyLink ILECs will not file tariff revisions to increase the rates for stand-alone business or residential lines until the 10 conclusion of the AFOR described in Condition 3. There is an exception for "Exogenous 11 Events", but in effect these tariffed rates will be capped. Further, for the Owest stand-alone 12 business service, which is no longer tariffed, the rate will be capped for three years at no 13 14 more than \$30 (the current rate is \$29). In addition, rate stabilization plans will continue to be offered for the Qwest business services for which they are currently available, and 15 "price for life"-type commitments will continue to be honored. These conditions provide a 16 17 material benefit to Washington consumers by offering certainty with regard to rate levels.

18

- Long-Distance Conditions
- Q. What conditions in the Settlement Agreement apply to long-distance services and how do the proposed conditions positively impact Washington consumers?

A. If CenturyLink changes the carriers its uses to provide intrastate long distance service to customers in either the pre-merger CenturyLink or the pre-merger Qwest areas, the company will notify each of the affected Washington intrastate long distance customers at least 30 days in advance of the change. For 90 days following the customer transfers, CenturyLink will waive any primary interexchange carrier (PIC) change charges for customers choosing to change carriers.

7

8

9

10

#### **OSS-Related Conditions**

Q. What are the conditions in the Settlement Agreement to address concerns raised regarding changes to the existing OSS?

With regard to retail OSS, CenturyLink has committed to submit to Staff and Public 11 A. Counsel semi-annual integration status reports that include a summary of integration-12 related activity completed since the last filed report and key milestones, deliverables and 13 implementation timelines for upcoming substantial integration activities. CenturyLink 14 has also committed to provide notice to the Commission 180 days in advance of the 15 conversion of major Qwest or CenturyLink retail OSS that impact Washington 16 operations. The notification will consist of a description of the systems involved, the 17 action to be taken, the timelines associated with the system conversion and a description 18 of customer impacts. As this Transaction is an acquisition of Owest in total, CenturyLink 19 is not forced to integrate systems by a date certain. This commitment provides the ability 20 21 for the Commission to monitor CenturyLink's measured and careful approach to

Docket No. UT-100820

Joint Testimony of CenturyLink, Inc., Qwest Corporation,

Commission Staff and Public Counsel

Exhibit\_\_\_JT(Joint Testimony)

December 29, 2010

Page 16

integration of systems, thereby providing the Commission greater confidence that customer impacts will be minimal.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1

2

With respect to wholesale OSS, the Applicants have agreed to retain the Qwest wholesale

OSS in the Qwest service territory for two years from the date of the Transaction's close,

or until July 1, 2013, whichever is later and thereafter provide wholesale customers with

OSS wholesale service quality that is not less than, and is functionally equivalent to, the

OSS wholesale service quality provided by Qwest prior to the Transaction's close.

Further, the Settlement Agreement provides numerous protections for CLECs and in the

event the Merged Company<sup>3</sup> does decide to replace or integrate the Qwest wholesale

OSS including a 270-day notice period, the submission of a detailed plan, and continued

applicability of the Qwest Change Management Process. If any Qwest OSS interface is

retired or replaced, CLECs are assured of joint testing for operational acceptance of any

new interface, and detailed provisions governing this joint testing and acceptance process

are set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

16

17

18

19

CenturyLink has also agreed to file notification with the Commission upon the

completion of any retail or wholesale OSS system conversion or integration for which

advance notification was provided as described above.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The term "Merged Company" refers to the post-merger company (CenturyLink and its operating companies, collectively, after the Closing Date).

1	Q.	What information has CenturyLink agreed to provide associated with changes to
2		major network components?
3	A.	In Condition 24, CenturyLink has agreed to provide the Staff and Public Counsel with 90
4		days' advance notice of rearrangements to major network components, which are defined
5		as customer call centers, customer repair centers, E911 systems, maintenance systems
6		that monitor central office and transport systems, engineering systems and outside plant
7		record systems.
8		
9	WTA	P-Related Conditions
10	Q.	What has CenturyLink pledged in terms of the Washington Telephone Assistance
11		Program ("WTAP"), Lifeline, and Link-Up programs?
12	A.	The Settlement Agreement addresses WTAP reporting and communication commitments
13		In addition, the condition requires that a WTAP service performance monitoring program
14		be created, and provides for payment of customer remedies under certain circumstances.
15		After the Transaction closes, the CenturyLink ILECs and Qwest will meet and work
16		collaboratively with Staff, Public Counsel and DSHS to evaluate the current WTAP
17		outreach program in order to enhance customer awareness and increase participation in
18		the program.
19		
20		Within 60 days after the Transaction closes, the CenturyLink ILECs and Qwest will
21		institute a program with the executive complaint handlers for the treatment of consumer

1		upheld WTAP complaints, to include a root cause analysis that indicates the cause of the
2		problem leading to the customer complaint and the issuance of a three-month service
3		credit to the affected customer at the current applicable WTAP, Lifeline or Link-up rate,
4		plus any additional credits that may be due the customer.
5		
6		CenturyLink also agrees to notify WTAP, Lifeline and tribal agencies of a name change
7		if and when it occurs.
8		
9	Othe	r Conditions in the Settlement Agreement
10	Q.	Are there other conditions that are part of the Settlement Agreement?
11	A.	Yes. In Condition 27, CenturyLink makes commitments to honor Qwest's contracts
12		concerning 911 service; in Condition 28, the Parties have agreed how to handle the Staff
13		request for certain rate center consolidations; and, in Condition 29 the Parties have agreed
14		to an additional customer notice after the Transaction closes.
15		
16	Г	V. STAFF TESTIMONY
17		
18	Q. D	oes Staff support the Settlement Agreement entered into by the Parties and filed
19	D	December 23, 2010?
20	A.	Yes it does.

1	Q.	What is Staff's recommendation with respect to this Transaction?
2	A.	Staff believes that, with the twenty-nine conditions in the Settlement Agreement, the
3		Transaction is consistent with the public interest. Accordingly, Staff recommends that
4		the Commission approve the Transaction subject to these conditions.
5		
6	Q.	Please explain your conclusion that the Transaction is consistent with the public
7		interest.
8	A.	In prefiled direct testimony filed on September 27, 2010, Staff presented a number of
9		concerns connected to the Transaction and recommended that the Commission deny the
10		application unless the Commission imposed certain conditions to mitigate the potential
11		harm resulting from the Transaction. Staff organized its proposal for mitigating potential
12		harms of the Transaction into forty-five conditions.
13		The Settlement Agreement contains twenty-nine conditions, which together fully address
14		Staff's concerns with the Transaction. The smaller number of conditions largely reflects
15		the fact that some conditions proposed by Staff in prefiled direct testimony were
16		combined conceptually into fewer conditions in the Settlement Agreement. Staff believes
17		that the substance of the twenty-nine conditions in the Settlement Agreement mitigates
18		the risk of harm resulting from the Transaction and thereby protects Washington
19		customers.

1	Q.	Could you specify Staff's major concerns that the forty-five conditions were
2		designed to address?
3	A.	Yes. In its prefiled direct testimony the forty-five conditions proposed by Staff addressed
4		the following issues:
5	Finai	cial Issues
6		• Complete financial reporting of synergies and capital investments;
7		• The possibility that assets of both Qwest and CenturyLink ILECs could be
8		encumbered;
9		Possible increases in retail or wholesale rates due to the need to recover
10		transaction costs such as increased management costs, investment banking,
11		severance costs, OSS conversions, etc.;
12		• The possibility that Washington customers would incur higher rates due to higher
13		capital costs as a result of lower credit ratings stemming from the Transaction;
14		Properly capturing synergy effects in upcoming AFORs and that any resulting
15		change in rates or rate design properly reflect the operations of entities that are
16		effectively combined after the transaction is completed;
17		Adherence to affiliated transaction rules;
18		Properly maintaining books and records so that Washington-specific operations
19		will be reported to the Commission;
20		Monitoring the age of network infrastructure and any plans CenturyLink may
21		have regarding deployment of new technologies;

D	Oocket No. UT-100820
Joint Testimony of CenturyLink, Inc	c., Qwest Corporation,
Commission Sta	aff and Public Counsel
Exhibit	JT(Joint Testimony)
	December 29, 2010
	Page 21

1	•	The ability to monitor capital investment in Washington and the continuation of
2		investment and maintenance expenditures in Washington at pre-transaction levels.
3	Broadband	
4	•	The possibility that broadband investment in unserved or underserved areas of
5		Washington would be diverted to Washington's urbanized locations;
6	•	Availability of stand-alone DSL.
7	Service Quali	ty
8	•	The possibility that CenturyLink may neglect service quality due to its efforts to
9		achieve synergies and that in a post-merger environment service metrics may
10		degrade.
11	Retail Pricing	7
12	٠	Potential rate increases in basic residential and business services.
13	Operational S	Support Systems
14	٠	That migrations of Operational Support System functions that support retail or
15		wholesale customer segments might affect customers adversely.
16		
17		
18	Network Integ	gration
19	•	Receiving notice of rearrangements to and integration of major network
20		components or systems.
21	WTAP	

1		• Continuing to adhere to WTAP requirements and providing WTAP benefits in a
2		timely manner to those customers who qualify for WTAP benefits.
3	911	
4		• Adherence to contractual arrangements held by Qwest in the provision of 911
5		service.
6	Rate (	Center Consolidation
7		• Completion and expansion of rate center consolidations as a means of conserving
8		numbering resources.
9		
10	Q.	Does the Settlement Agreement resolve the concerns listed above?
11	A.	Yes. Staff believes that, when taken as package, the conditions in the Settlement
12		Agreement address Staff's concerns and enable the Transaction to meet the no-harm test.
13		
14	Q.	Please explain how the conditions in the Settlement Agreement resolve each issue
15		listed above.
16	A.	Conditions 1 through 13 in the Settlement Agreement address the Financial issues cited
17		above. First, CenturyLink has agreed to reporting requirements advocated by Staff.
18		Second, CenturyLink has agreed not to recover in retail or wholesale rates any increases
19		in management costs related to the Transaction or costs related to the merger such as
20		transition, integration or branding costs. Third, the Joint Applicants have agreed to file
21		their respective earnings reviews and AFORs no sooner than three years and no later than

four years after the close of the transaction. This allows sufficient time for synergies to be properly captured and recorded so that integration effects can be considered in the AFOR proceeding. Further, the AFOR proceeding will address rate design and rate rebalancing and whether and to what extent the rate design of residential or business services, and intrastate access charges, should be modified to achieve consistent rate structures among the companies. With these agreements, coupled with the commitment discussed below regarding broadband deployment, Staff is satisfied that CenturyLink will inform Staff of its financial condition, Washington customers will be protected from transaction and integration costs, and that CenturyLink will continue to invest in Washington and deploy advanced services required by customers in the state.

Conditions 14 and 15 in the Settlement Agreement address the Broadband issues of deployment and availability of standalone DSL. First, CenturyLink has agreed to spend at least \$80,000,000 (eighty million dollars) in deploying retail broadband infrastructure in Washington over a five-year period beginning January 1, 2010. Second, of this expenditure, a minimum of thirty-three percent (33%) or \$26,400,000 will be directed to unserved and underserved areas of Washington. Third, CenturyLink will deploy DSL service to the five CenturyLink ILEC central offices of Clearwater, Glenwood, Willard, Nespelem and Eureka, where DSL currently is not available. Fourth, Staff will be able to review and advise on CenturyLink's plans for meeting its broadband commitment. Last, access to stand-alone DSL, or DSL priced as stand-alone, has been assured in that

CenturyLink also has agreed to continue offering, through the conclusion of the AFOR proceeding, stand-alone DSL currently provided within Qwest's service territory and, within CenturyLink's ILEC areas, will continue to offer a basic broadband service that is coupled with restricted voice service and 911 service. The total amount of investment directed to broadband exceeds that found in any other agreement between CenturyLink and those state commissions where agreements have been approved to-date. Moreover, with the targeted deployment in the five central offices referenced above, DSL will be deployed in every wire center in Washington served by CenturyLink ILECs or Qwest where there are residential customers. These commitments provide Staff with the assurance that CenturyLink will continue broadband investment in the state, that as a result of the Settlement Agreement a significant portion of this investment will be appropriately targeted to unserved and underserved areas, and that broadband service in rural Washington will be expanded. Conditions 16 through 19 in the Settlement Agreement address Service Quality and Customer Complaint handling issues raised by Staff. These commitments give Staff assurance that CenturyLink will strive to maintain service quality at pre-Transaction levels as there are incentives to avoid any deterioration in service quality metrics.

Conditions 20 and 21 in the Settlement Agreement address Staff's Retail Pricing issues.

The rate caps and other rate stabilization commitments provide Staff assurance that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

CenturyLink will not raise basic retail rates to the degree that Washington customers are harmed by the Transaction.

Conditions 22 through 25 in the Settlement Agreement address OSS and Network
Integration issues raised by Staff. In Condition 22 for retail OSS, CenturyLink commits
for four years to reporting on integration twice a year and to providing advance notice of
any conversion of retail OSS that impact Washington operations. Condition 23 provides
protections for wholesale customers from a sudden transition off of the legacy Qwest
OSS and commitments by CenturyLink to maintain wholesale service quality and engage
in detailed transition planning. Condition 24 specifies that CenturyLink will provide Staff
and Public Counsel with ninety days advance notice of the rearrangement of major
network components. Finally, Condition 25 specifies that CenturyLink will file a notice
with the Commission indicating completion of any OSS conversion or integration
required under either the Retail or Wholesale OSS conditions. These conditions, when
taken together, provide Staff with confidence that OSS conversions can proceed without
harming Washington customers.

Condition 26 provides Staff with assurances that WTAP requirements will be met and that outreach to Washington residents who qualify for WTAP benefits will be expanded.

1		Condition 27 of the Settlement Agreement addresses potential disruption to the 911
2		system by ensuring that CenturyLink will honor all contractual agreements held by Qwest
3		associated with 911 service.
4		
5		Condition 28 of the Settlement Agreement obligates CenturyLink to complete new Rate
6		Center Consolidations or to finalize ones that had been started, ensuring that rate center
7		consolidations will be completed in eleven areas by June 30, 2012.
8		
9	Q.	Does this conclude Staff's discussion of the Settlement Agreement?
10	A.	Yes it does.
11		
12	V	. PUBLIC COUNSEL TESTIMONY
13		
14	Q:	Ms. Johnson, please provide information pertaining to your educational
15		background and professional experience.
16	A.	My name is Stefanie Johnson and my business address is 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000,
17		Seattle, Washington, 98104. I am employed as a Regulatory Analyst with the Public
18		Counsel Section of the Washington Attorney General's Office.
19		I received a B.A. in Political Studies and History from Whitworth University in 2002. In
20		2005, I received a Master of Public Administration degree from the Evans School of
21		Public Affairs at the University of Washington. Since joining Public Counsel in

December 2005, I have worked on a wide range of energy and telecommunication issues and cases, including several mergers and acquisitions. Specifically, I was involved in the proceedings related to the acquisition of Puget Sound Energy by Puget Holdings (Docket No. U-072375), the CenturyTel/Embarq Merger (Docket No. UT-082119), and the transfer of control of Verizon Northwest to Frontier Communications (Docket No. UT-090842).

I testified before the Commission as part of settlement panel in support of the Settlement Agreement in the CenturyTel/Embarq merger (Docket No. UT-082119) and Avista Utilities' 2010 General Rate Case (Docket Nos. UE-100467 and UG-100468).

Additionally, I filed two declarations and served as Public Counsel's witness in support of the Settlement Agreement in the proceeding related to PSE's Report Identifying Puget Sound Energy's Ten-Year Potential and Biennial Target (Docket No. UE-100177). I have also presented before this Commission at Open Meetings on various issues.

A:

## Q: Please explain Public Counsel's involvement in this case.

Public Counsel actively participated in this case, reviewing testimony, issuing our own data requests, reviewing discovery by Staff and other parties, communicating with other parties about issues, monitoring proceedings in other states, developing recommended conditions, and participating in settlement discussions.

1	Q:	What were some of the key issues and concerns Public Counsel considered in the
2		review of the proposed transaction?
3		As with other telecommunications mergers, Public Counsel was concerned with issues of
4		financial and managerial soundness, service quality, calculation and sharing of synergies,
5		broadband deployment and the impact of the merger on customer rates and service. An
6		additional risk factor posed by this merger is created by the scale of the transaction, in
7		which a smaller company with a more rural service territory is acquiring Washington's
8		Regional Bell Operating Company, the largest telecommunications provider in the state,
9		serving the largest urban areas and business customers.
10		
11	Q:	Why does Public Counsel support the Settlement Agreement?
12	A:	As discussed below in my testimony, the Settlement Agreement provides a number of
13		conditions that, when taken together, preserve the public interest and mitigate harm. The
14		conditions serve to offset the risks associated with the proposed transaction, to share its
15		benefits with Qwest and CenturyLink customers, and to preserve effective Commission
16		oversight of the post-merger company.
17		
18	Q:	How does the Settlement Agreement protect customers from potential harm related
19		to the proposed transaction?
20	A:	The Settlement Agreement has several important components that are designed to protect
21		customers from the risks associated with the proposed transaction. Condition 2 (Cost of

Capital) protects customers from an increases cost of capital that could occur as a result of the transaction. Condition 5 (Management Costs) and Condition 6 (Merger Costs) are specifically designed to protect ratepayers from incurring the cost of an acquisition premium or any other costs that will result from the proposed transaction. These will instead be paid by shareholders. This condition protects customers from harm that would not exist absent the transaction. It is also important to Public Counsel that these commitments are not limited in duration. Condition 20 (Retail Rate Cap) provides customers with an added assurance that consumers are not harmed by the transaction, providing rate protections until the time that the Commission conducts a thorough rate review as set out in Condition 3 of the Settlement Agreement (discussed below). This commitment provides stability and prevents harm to customers who have entered into long term contracts for rates and services which might have been terminated as a result of the merger. The commitments included in Condition 15 (Stand-alone DSL) will maintain Qwest's stand-alone DSL offering (currently a part of its AFOR) and an equivalent of CenturyLink's "pure broadband." Stand-alone DSL provides customers with more options for telecommunications services and facilitates competition. Its importance has been recognized on several occasions by the Commission. Most recently, in the Final Order in the Verizon/Frontier transaction, the Commission stated: "stand-alone DSL may provide a meaningful alternative and value to consumers who do not want or need traditional voice services and that there is no reason to require consumers to be tied to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Page 30

voice offerings they do not need in order to purchase DSL."4 Public Counsel agrees and believes CenturyLink's agreement to maintain a form of stand-alone DSL throughout the merged service territory following the transaction is in keeping with well-established Commission precedent on this matter and an important component of this Settlement Agreement. Service quality performance is a concern that arises due to the integration that will occurs as a result of this merger, especially in light of the disparity in size between the merging companies. Condition 16 (Service Performance Guarantee) extends the provisions of Owest's Service Performance Guarantee to CenturyLink customers who are currently not eligible for the same sort of customer credits available to Qwest customers. This condition provides that all customers of the combined company, regardless of which of the CenturyLink-owned operating companies provides their service, will receive the same remedy for out of service conditions and missed appointments. Service quality reporting in Condition 17 will provide an early indication if problems arise and creates a performance benchmark to trigger the pursuit of more stringent provisions if necessary. Are there other noteworthy components of the Settlement Agreement that Public Counsel would like to highlight?

Yes, the Settlement Agreement contains important provisions regarding broadband

deployment and conditions which provide for an effective financial and regulatory

-

Q:

A:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Verizon/Frontier Merger, Docket No. UT-090842, Order 06, ¶207

framework review as part of the planned future AFOR proceeding. The settlement also has important provisions related to synergies.

## Q: Please discuss the broadband commitment.

A: Condition 14 ensures that CenturyLink will invest no less than \$80 million dollars in retail broadband infrastructure over the next five years, with a minimum of 33 percent of that amount devoted to unserved and underserved areas, as well as an additional commitment to enable broadband in the only five central offices that currently remain unserved. With this commitment, based on information from Joint Applicants, it is Public Counsel's understanding that essentially all of the merged companies wire centers will have broadband deployed. While this is an important milestone, there will still be customers within wire centers who do not have access to broadband service. For that reason, Public Counsel believes that the Company's commitment to look closely at all wire centers that have 85 percent availability or less in its initial evaluation and planning process is a key provision of Condition 14. Furthermore, the Company will provide the Commission, Staff and Public Counsel with annual reports that will monitor both the Company's plans and performance related to broadband deployment will help ensure that the commitments are met.

Q: Please discuss the aspects of the future AFOR filing procedures that are attractive to Public Counsel.

Joint Testimony of CenturyLink, Inc., Qwest Corporation,

Commission Staff and Public Counsel Exhibit\_\_\_JT(Joint Testimony)

December 29, 2010

Page 32

Under Condition 3, in three to four years, the CenturyLink operating companies will be
required to undergo a thorough earnings review that will allow the Commission to
examine the combined company's performance. The Commission order in the
CenturyTel/Embarq transaction found that this level of review would be necessary in the
consideration of an AFOR for CenturyLink, stating: "[T]he 'public interest' requires that
the Commission be vigilant in the review of the finances of the new CenturyTel
companies before they are relieved on any substantial rate oversight." <sup>5</sup> The need for a
thorough earnings review, consistent with that required in a general rate case, is equally if
not more applicable here. After the proposed merger closes, CenturyLink will be, once
again, an entirely new company from a financial perspective. Condition 3 provides for a
full review akin to a general rate case, with proforma results of operation and a cost of
capital determination. Such review will give the Commission the necessary tools to
evaluate post-merger financial health, reasonableness of rates, and the appropriate type of
regulation in the future, whether AFOR or continued rate of return regulation. The terms
of Condition 3 also allow the Commission and parties to account for and consider the
synergies associated with this transaction.

A:

Q: Please discuss why synergy analysis is an important issue for Public Counsel.

The Commission has established that in order for the public interest to be satisfied, the synergy savings associated with mergers and acquisitions must result in benefits to

-

 $<sup>^5</sup>$  Century Tel/Embarq Merger, Docket No. UT-082119, Order 05,  $\P$  48

December 29, 2010

Page 33

customers. 6 Joint Applicants have stated publicly that the merger is expected to produce approximately \$625 million in synergies nationally on an annual basis. The Settlement Agreement includes several components which provide a means by which synergy benefits can be appropriately shared with customers. For example, the broadband commitment (Condition 14) is one means by which some merger synergies from the proposed transaction can be shared.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

This Settlement Agreement preserves and builds on the synergy reporting provisions of the CenturyTel/Embarq merger. In that case, synergy savings and expense reporting requirements were established "in order to ensure that Staff and Public Counsel have the requisite information to determine whether and when such synergy savings may be available to the ratepayers." The reporting requirements for detailing synergy savings and merger costs laid out in Condition 4 of this Settlement Agreement are based on the requirements ordered by the Commission in the CenturyTel/Embarg transaction, and serve the same important function for this transaction. These reports will allow the Commission and other parties to monitor and track synergy savings related to this transaction for consideration in a future rate review. This will provide a means by which synergies related to the transaction can benefit Washington ratepayers and serving the public interest. This condition also preserves the synergy reporting specifically related to the CenturyTel/Embarq transaction, a particularly important component, since the

<sup>6</sup> *Id.*, ¶ 52

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> *Id.*, ¶ 53

integration of CenturyTel and Embarq is not yet complete, and further synergies are anticipated and should be considered in the future rate review.

3

4

1

2

#### Q: Do you want to highlight any other conditions?

A: Public Counsel believes that the reporting requirements associated with Service Quality 5 6 (Condition 17), Network Integration (Condition 24), Capital Expenditures (Condition 13), Finances (Condition 1), as well as the reporting requirements associated with 7 broadband deployment and synergies, mentioned above, will provide valuable 8 information to enable the Commission, Staff, and Public Counsel to monitor the 9 integration of the two companies and compliance with the merger conditions. These 10 reports will also provide a useful base of information for the AFOR proceeding that is 11 outlined in Condition 3. 12 In addition, the Lifeline (WTAP) protections in Condition 26 are necessary to ensure a 13 smooth transition for WTAP customers during the merger. Public Counsel also supports 14 the Long Distance requirements in Condition 21 which provide customers with notice of 15 any change in their provider and with a waiver of the PIC charge. 16 Finally, Public Counsel also believes that Condition 29 (Customer Notice) is important 17 because it ensures that customers will receive notification when the merger has been 18 approved and closed. While it is not anticipated that the individual operating companies 19 will immediately undergo a name change or a combination at the operating company 20 21 level, customers should nevertheless be informed as soon as the Transaction has taken

1		place and be directed to resources for further information. A further notice would be
2		provided in the event of a name change.
3		
4	Q:	Does this conclude your testimony on behalf of Public Counsel?
5	A:	Yes.
6		
7	V	I. CONCLUSION
8		
9	Q.	What is the Parties' overall recommendation in this case?
10	A.	The Parties request that the Commission issue an order approving the Settlement
11		Agreement and providing the approvals requested by the Joint Applicants.