

00001

1 BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

2 -----)  
3 WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND )  
4 TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION, ) DOCKET NO. UG-941408  
5 )  
6 vs. Complainant, )  
7 )  
8 )  
9 )  
10 )  
11 )  
12 )  
13 )  
14 )  
15 )  
16 )  
17 )  
18 )  
19 )  
20 )  
21 )  
22 )  
23 )  
24 )  
25 )

8 A hearing in the above matter was held on  
9 January 23, 1995, at 9:30 a.m. at 1300 South Evergreen  
10 Park Drive Southwest before Administrative Law Judge  
11 ELMER CANFIELD.

12 The parties were present as follows:

13 CASCADE NATURAL GAS CORPORATION, by JOHN  
14 L. WEST, Attorney at Law, 4400 Two Union Square, 601  
15 Union Street, Seattle, Washington 98101-2352.

16 WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION  
17 COMMISSION STAFF, by ROBERT CEDARBAUM, Assistant  
18 Attorney General, 1400 South Evergreen Park Drive  
19 Southwest, Olympia, Washington 98504.

20 FOR THE PUBLIC, DONALD TROTTER, Assistant  
21 Attorney General, 900 Fourth Avenue, Suite 2000,  
22 Seattle, Washington 98164.

23 NORTHWEST INDUSTRIAL GAS USERS, by PAULA  
24 PYRON, Attorney at Law, Suite 1100, One Main Place,  
25 101 SW Main Street, Portland, Oregon 97204.

Cheryl Macdonald, CSR  
Court Reporter

00002

1

I N D E X

2

WITNESSES:  
(No witnesses.)

D

C

RD

RC

EXAM

3

4

5

6

7

EXHIBITS:

MARKED

ADMITTED

T-1

16

8

2

18

T-3

18

9

4

18

5

18

10

6

18

7

18

11

8

18

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

00003

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 JUDGE CANFIELD: This pre-hearing  
3 conference will please come to order. This is docket  
4 No. UG-941408, Washington Utilities and Transportation  
5 Commission, complainant, versus Cascade Natural Gas  
6 Corporation, respondent. This proceeding is being  
7 held by Administrative Law Judge Elmer Canfield upon  
8 due and timely notice to all interested parties.  
9 Today's date is Monday, January 23, 1995.

10 As indicated on the notice, we'll be taking  
11 appearances, taking interventions as well as marking  
12 and distributing respondent's direct testimony and  
13 exhibits. We'll also deal with discovery scheduling  
14 and other preliminary matters. I would like to start  
15 out by taking appearances beginning with the  
16 respondent, please.

17 MR. WEST: John West for Cascade Natural  
18 Gas Corporation. My address is 4400 Two Union Square,  
19 Seattle, Washington.

20 JUDGE CANFIELD: Zip code, Mr. West.

21 MR. WEST: 98101.

22 JUDGE CANFIELD: Thank you. Next please.

23 MR. CEDARBAUM: Robert Cedarbaum, assistant  
24 attorney general, appearing for the Commission staff.  
25 My business address is the Heritage Plaza Building,

00004

1 1400 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest in Olympia,  
2 98504.

3 JUDGE CANFIELD: Thank you. Next, please.

4 MR. TROTTER: For the public counsel  
5 section of the attorney general's office I'm Don T.  
6 Trotter, assistant attorney general. Address is 900  
7 Fourth Avenue, Suite 2000, Seattle, Washington 98164.

8 JUDGE CANFIELD: I will also note for the  
9 record that there's been a request to appear at  
10 today's session by telephone by Paula Pyron and we do  
11 have the speaker phone hooked up and let me ask you if  
12 you're hearing me okay over the speaker phone.

13 MS. PYRON: Yes, thank you, Mr. Canfield.  
14 Like to enter an appearance for myself and Edward  
15 Finklea. My name is Paula E. Pyron, P Y R O N. I'm  
16 with the firm of Ball, Janik & Novack, 101 Southwest  
17 Main Street, Suite 1100, Portland, Oregon 97204.  
18 Entering an appearance for counsel for the Northwest  
19 Industrial Gas Users.

20 JUDGE CANFIELD: For the record you did  
21 file a petition to intervene in this matter; is that  
22 correct?

23 MS. PYRON: Yes, Your Honor.

24 JUDGE CANFIELD: Any other appearances  
25 being made at this time?

00005

1                   Let the record reflect there are none. And  
2 maybe we could take up the intervention matter at the  
3 outset then. As indicated, Ms. Pyron, you filed a  
4 petition to intervene and did you serve copies on  
5 other parties of record?

6                   MS. PYRON: Yes, Your Honor, I did.

7                   JUDGE CANFIELD: And anything further you  
8 have to state on your intervention?

9                   MS. PYRON: Just seeking status as a party  
10 in the proceeding. The interest that we have is  
11 limited to consideration of the peaking supply  
12 provisions in the special contract that is at issue in  
13 the proceeding, and I received no indication of any  
14 objections from any parties in the proceeding.

15                   JUDGE CANFIELD: With that I will ask, are  
16 there any objections to the intervention of Northwest  
17 Industrial Gas Users?

18                   MR. CEDARBAUM: If I could, Your Honor, I  
19 would like to ask Mrs. Pyron just a couple of  
20 questions.

21                   JUDGE CANFIELD: Okay, Mr. Cedarbaum.

22                   MR. CEDARBAUM: Can you hear me okay?

23                   MS. PYRON: Yes, I can.

24                   MR. CEDARBAUM: First question was whether  
25 or not any of the members of the gas users receive

00006

1 service under the tariffs that are under suspension in  
2 this case, if you know.

3 MS. PYRON: I would believe so if you mean  
4 do any take sales service? I am not certain, but  
5 ordinarily we do have members who take -- even though  
6 they may transport they take a limited amount burner,  
7 you know, pilot-type situations, and that's not at all  
8 unusual for an industrial customer.

9 MR. CEDARBAUM: Do you also know whether --  
10 in reading your petition to intervene you refer to the  
11 Tenaska and the Longview Fiber peaking contracts.  
12 Are Tenaska or Longview Fiber a member?

13 MS. PYRON: No, they are not. We are  
14 seeking intervention just as the right to address the  
15 issues as they may affect an industrial customer  
16 because we have other special contracts with other  
17 local distribution companies with members who do  
18 -- where the concern would be one of the treatment of  
19 the peaking supply provisions in special contracts,  
20 but we don't purport to represent the interests of  
21 Longview or Tenaska.

22 MR. CEDARBAUM: Your Honor, I guess with  
23 the understanding or if it is true that, as Ms. Pyron  
24 said, that some of the members of her client  
25 association are served by -- under certain of the

00007

1 contracts that are suspended in the PGA filing, I  
2 would have no objection. I guess I would like to get  
3 some sort of confirmation from you on that, Ms. Pyron,  
4 maybe informally, by letter or whatever, and I guess I  
5 would reserve the right -- if my understanding is  
6 incorrect I reserve the right to object later on.

7 MS. PYRON: We can take it up at that time  
8 as opposed to resolving it today?

9 MR. CEDARBAUM: Well, I would just like to  
10 see some sort of --

11 MS. PYRON: What you're looking for, if I  
12 may clarify, is that you want confirmation that we  
13 have sales service and that otherwise you would  
14 object?

15 MR. CEDARBAUM: Well, it's my understanding  
16 that the tariffs that are under suspension in this  
17 case involve the company's core market sales  
18 customers, and if the members of the gas users are  
19 only transportation customers and if Tenaska and  
20 Longview Fiber are not a member of the association, I  
21 would object because I don't think you have an  
22 interest in this case, but if the first part of my  
23 statement just now is wrong, then I would have no  
24 objection, and what I heard you say before is that you  
25 thought but weren't quite sure.

00008

1                   MS. PYRON: No, and I would not want to  
2 misrepresent because I am not sure. I personally  
3 think there's sufficient standing as an intervenor to  
4 be generically issued in the Commission's treatment of  
5 peaking supply provision because this is -- these are  
6 cases of first impression in that regard before the  
7 Commission, and that having sufficient standing in  
8 that even though we don't represent the end users on  
9 the contracts, but I would be happy to respond to,  
10 after I have the opportunity to collect that  
11 information, on whether we have any sales service and  
12 then reserve our right to -- your right to object if  
13 we don't and deal with it at that time.

14                   MR. CEDARBAUM: Well, that's fine. It's my  
15 position that if your members aren't taking service  
16 under these tariffs and none of the members are  
17 involved with the contracts that are subject to this  
18 case that you have not shown a substantial interest in  
19 the case. A general interest in how the Commission  
20 might treat peaking contracts, you know, in other  
21 cases or just generally speaking doesn't rise to the  
22 interest I think that allows intervention, but I am  
23 willing to at this point see what kind of confirmation  
24 you can give as to whether or not your clients are  
25 taking service under these contracts, and I think that



00009

1 would present an interest in the case, but otherwise,  
2 I would object, so I guess I will reserve my objection  
3 until the next hearing phase, but I would like to see  
4 that confirmation in the meantime.

5 MS. PYRON: I would agree that if we do end  
6 up in a situation where you do object that we would  
7 bring it to the attention of the administrative law  
8 judge before that hearing.

9 MR. CEDARBAUM: Well, Your Honor, I guess  
10 just to play it safe at this point I would like to  
11 have your ruling on the intervention held in abeyance  
12 until the next hearing phase until we can resolve this  
13 issue, but just on the basis of a general interest in  
14 the issues that are raised by this case doesn't  
15 warrant intervention in my opinion, and I would object  
16 if that's all we're dealing with.

17 MR. WEST: Your Honor, I have just  
18 consulted with Mr. Stoltz of the company and he  
19 advises me that one of the rate schedules which is  
20 suspended, 681, is the optional firm gas supply rate  
21 schedule, and there are members of Northwest  
22 Industrial Gas Users who take service under that  
23 schedule. I don't know if that clarifies.

24 MS. PYRON: I think that would resolve the  
25 issue.

00010

1                   MR. CEDARBAUM: Okay. That's fine. I  
2 mean, I'm just looking for some hook to see if the gas  
3 users are in this case. I will certainly abide by Mr.  
4 Stoltz's representation on that.

5                   MR. WEST: Your Honor.

6                   JUDGE CANFIELD: With that then no  
7 objection, Mr. Cedarbaum?

8                   MR. WEST: Excuse me?

9                   JUDGE CANFIELD: With that no objection,  
10 Mr. Cedarbaum?

11                   MR. CEDARBAUM: No. I mean, I think Mr.  
12 Stoltz has a good understanding of the company's  
13 tariffs so I don't have any problem with that.

14                   JUDGE CANFIELD: Any other comments or  
15 objections?

16                   MR. WEST: Your Honor, the company has no  
17 objection to the intervention. We do want to note,  
18 however, that there may be items that should be  
19 subject to a protective order which only the attorneys  
20 representing the parties ought to have access to, and  
21 I would like to make one other point of clarification.

22                   JUDGE CANFIELD: Is the company requesting  
23 a protective order then?

24                   MR. WEST: Yes, Your Honor, we are.

25                   JUDGE CANFIELD: Any comments or objections

00011

1 to the issuance of a protective order then?

2 MR. CEDARBAUM: Just in the standard form?

3 JUDGE CANFIELD: Standard form that the  
4 Commission uses in these matters, yes.

5 MR. CEDARBAUM: I have no objection.

6 JUDGE CANFIELD: Hearing none other, I will  
7 grant the request for a protective order and it will  
8 be patterned after the Electric Lightwave order that  
9 they've used in the last several years then, so the  
10 protective order request is granted and that will be  
11 issued shortly by the Commission. Go ahead, Mr. West.

12 MR. WEST: And one other point of  
13 clarification is that the contracts to which Ms. Pyron  
14 was referring, the PGSS and the PGS contracts are  
15 not special contracts as that term is understood in  
16 the Commission practice.

17 JUDGE CANFIELD: And any comments, Mr.  
18 Trotter, on the intervention of Northwest Industrial  
19 Gas Users?

20 MR. TROTTER: I think the apparent fact  
21 that they're customers does suffice. I would ask if  
22 Paula Pyron could give us the name and address of the  
23 party. I would ask that that be provided to us.

24 MS. PYRON: I'm sorry. May I clarify?  
25 What information are you looking for? Who is on what

00012

1 schedules?

2 MR. TROTTER: No, I'm sorry. Just the name  
3 and address of the Northwest Industrial Gas Users.  
4 There are certain provisions of the Administrative  
5 Procedure Act that occasionally require service on a  
6 party, attorneys.

7 MS. PYRON: I would be glad to provide that  
8 for the record.

9 JUDGE CANFIELD: Is that in the petition?

10 MS. PYRON: Yes, it is in the petition.

11 MR. TROTTER: I either didn't get one or  
12 it's in my office and I haven't seen it. If it's  
13 there that's fine.

14 MS. PYRON: I believe somebody else is in  
15 the service list for this case to start with.

16 JUDGE CANFIELD: But in any event that's in  
17 the first page of the petition to intervene.

18 MR. TROTTER: That's fine. Thank you.

19 JUDGE CANFIELD: With that the petition to  
20 intervene of Northwest Industrial Gas Users is  
21 granted. In the interim we had granted a request for  
22 a protective order as well, and I will ask whether  
23 there was going to be a request to have the discovery  
24 rule invoked in this matter. I don't know if I saw  
25 reference to it in the notice or prior documentation.

00013

1 Maybe you can address that, Mr. Cedarbaum.

2 MR. CEDARBAUM: Well, looking at the notice  
3 of hearing, it does indicate in the second paragraph  
4 on page 1 that rules involved include 480-09-480 which  
5 is the discovery rule. If that -- I guess it's  
6 arguable whether or not that actually invoked the  
7 discovery rule. If it doesn't I would ask that it be  
8 invoked now.

9 JUDGE CANFIELD: Any comments on that  
10 request?

11 MR. WEST: No objection.

12 JUDGE CANFIELD: With that we'll make it  
13 clear then for the record that the discovery rule, WAC  
14 480-09-480 is invoked in this proceeding and those  
15 methods of obtaining data are available.

16 Are we going to be dealing with the  
17 scheduling matter momentarily? I don't know if  
18 there's going to be any separate discovery schedule  
19 needed in the matter, but maybe that can be addressed  
20 as well.

21 MR. CEDARBAUM: Before we move on to that  
22 specifically, with regard to the discovery rule, it's  
23 my understanding the staff has data requests that are  
24 outstanding and so I'm assuming that I'm not going to  
25 have to reissue those data requests under the rule.

00014

1 We'll just treat them as under the rule.

2 MR. WEST: Yes, that's correct.

3 JUDGE CANFIELD: That's so noted for the  
4 record.

5 MR. CEDARBAUM: With regard to the need for  
6 a schedule, as far as depositions were concerned, I  
7 don't have any anticipation of that happening right  
8 now, but if it does I think we could just get together  
9 and figure out a day when we should have deposition  
10 for either the company or other parties and work that  
11 out informally amongst ourselves. I wasn't planning  
12 any other kind of calendar type of days set in stone  
13 for a schedule of data requests or anything else.

14 JUDGE CANFIELD: Is that approach agreeable  
15 to the others then?

16 MR. WEST: Yes, Your Honor.

17 MR. TROTTER: Yes.

18 MS. PYRON: Yes, Your Honor.

19 JUDGE CANFIELD: With that the parties will  
20 endeavor to use those informal procedures as well and  
21 we won't set a definite discovery schedule as such at  
22 this phase, then. It's my understanding that the  
23 company is going to distribute this morning copies of  
24 the testimony and exhibits. Is that correct, Mr.  
25 West?

00015

1                   MR. WEST:  Yes, Your Honor.  We have them  
2 here.

3                   JUDGE CANFIELD:  I don't know what phase  
4 you propose doing that.  We can take that up now  
5 or other matters that anyone has to address.  I did  
6 check downstairs and as far as the copies of prefiled  
7 evidence, rather than the usual original and 19 they  
8 did indicate that they could get by with an original  
9 plus 16, so they cut it down by three at least on  
10 that, so with that you can file three less than you  
11 would have otherwise filed, so let's make that  
12 announcement for the record.

13                   MR. WEST:  The company has filed original  
14 plus 19 of the original testimony and Mr. Stoltz will  
15 be passing out the supplemental testimony as we speak.  
16 We will file that today.

17                   JUDGE CANFIELD:  So the original plus 19  
18 has been filed with the records center of the  
19 Commission; is that correct?

20                   MR. WEST:  That's correct.

21                   JUDGE CANFIELD:  I don't have copies but I  
22 guess I can get copies from them down there.

23                   MR. WEST:  Mr. Stoltz has some extras, some  
24 for you right now.

25                   JUDGE CANFIELD:  I would like one set so I

00016

1 can go through and mark it and I will get the extra  
2 copy from downstairs then. And what arrangements have  
3 been made to have a copy of the evidence and testimony  
4 supplied to the intervenor in this case?

5 MR. WEST: We agreed to mail one out today.

6 JUDGE CANFIELD: You heard that, Ms. Pyron?

7 MS. PYRON: Yes, and I'm quite comfortable  
8 with that.

9 JUDGE CANFIELD: Just wanted to make sure  
10 we had all the bases covered here. We could go ahead  
11 and assign a number to the evidence. The testimony of  
12 John Stoltz, that would be the first numbered exhibit.  
13 I will mark that as Exhibit T-1. Is there only one  
14 testimony being filed, that's of Mr. Stoltz?

15 (Marked Exhibit T-1.)

16 MR. WEST: That's correct, Your Honor.

17 MR. CEDARBAUM: I just wanted to ask a  
18 question, maybe I just missed this before. It's  
19 referenced as the supplemental testimony. Is there  
20 initial testimony?

21 JUDGE CANFIELD: I didn't have the original  
22 testimony either. I just got an extra copy of it, but  
23 apparently they prefiled that already and then there's  
24 supplemental testimony being filed as well.

25 MR. WEST: The original was filed in



00017

1 October.

2 JUDGE CANFIELD: And that original  
3 testimony was apparently filed by the cover letter  
4 October 31, 1994 and that consists of -- is that 10  
5 pages?

6 MR. STOLTZ: Yes.

7 JUDGE CANFIELD: What would be the first  
8 exhibit then?

9 MR. WEST: It is marked JTS-1 page 1 of 8  
10 and it's attached to the October testimony.

11 MR. TROTTER: Just so I'm straight here,  
12 this is kind of confusing, it's the company's intent  
13 to present both testimonies as direct testimony?

14 MR. WEST: Yes, that's correct.

15 MR. TROTTER: So you just want them marked  
16 in sequence then?

17 MR. WEST: Yes.

18 JUDGE CANFIELD: There's some pages after  
19 the testimony after page 10 that I don't see a JTS-1  
20 on it.

21 MR. TROTTER: Your Honor, might we just go  
22 off the record for a second.

23 JUDGE CANFIELD: Maybe we could go off the  
24 record to make sure we've got the numbering down and  
25 then we'll come back on the record and announce our

00018

1 numbering, so take a short break.

2 (Recess.)

3 JUDGE CANFIELD: We're back on the record  
4 now after a short break during which time we did pre-  
5 assign and mark the exhibits. I will just briefly run  
6 through that for the record. The prefiled testimony  
7 JTS testimony is marked as Exhibit T-1 for  
8 identification. JTS-1 is marked as Exhibit 2. That's  
9 an eight-page document. Then the JTS supplemental  
10 testimony was marked as Exhibit T-3. That's 32 pages.  
11 JTS-2 is a two-page exhibit, and that's marked as  
12 Exhibit 4. JTS-3 is a two-page document marked as  
13 Exhibit 5. JTS-4 is a one-page document marked as  
14 Exhibit 6, and JTS-5 is a two-page document marked as  
15 Exhibit 7. Then the three pages immediately following  
16 the originally filed testimony has been identified as  
17 JTS-6 and is marked as Exhibit No. 8 and maybe just  
18 for clarification, Mr. West, was JTS-6 identified in  
19 the prefiled testimony as such?

20 (Marked Exhibits 2, T-3, 4 - 8.)

21 MR. WEST: No, Your Honor. It is -- it  
22 does not bear a JTS number. We would have to fill  
23 that in.

24 JUDGE CANFIELD: Okay. I thought that was  
25 the case. I just wanted to make sure that there was

00019

1 no reference that people might be looking for in that  
2 prefiled testimony. We've got those exhibits marked  
3 for the record and Mr. West did indicate that he will  
4 be mailing a copy of that to Ms. Pyron in today's  
5 mail.

6 We've got the schedule to deal with, which  
7 we'll be dealing with momentarily, but is there  
8 anything else besides the schedule that anyone has to  
9 address today?

10 Hearing nothing why don't we proceed. We  
11 could take a short break to discuss the schedule.  
12 There have been some discussions, preliminary  
13 discussions, before as far as schedule goes, and I do  
14 believe there was an indication that the parties would  
15 like to discuss that a bit more fully during a break,  
16 so is that the proposal that we take a break to  
17 discuss the schedule and then come back on the record?

18 MR. WEST: Yes, Your Honor.

19 JUDGE CANFIELD: With that we'll take a  
20 short break and we'll be coming back on momentarily  
21 then.

22 (Recess.)

23 JUDGE CANFIELD: We're back on the record  
24 now, and during the off-the-record period there was  
25 some discussion of a possible waiver of a proposed

00020

1 order in this matter. We're not at that point at this  
2 juncture but the parties are going to be considering  
3 that. Some of the parties are agreeable to that and  
4 some are certainly agreeable to consider that, so that  
5 will be a matter that will be dealt with later in the  
6 proceedings, and as far as the schedule goes, we had  
7 agreed off the record to a schedule of -- let me just  
8 briefly run through it for the record -- the cross of  
9 company set for March 10, and the prefiling date for  
10 staff, public counsel and intervenors set at April 7.  
11 Cross of staff, public counsel and intervenors set at  
12 April 27 and 28. The prefile date for company  
13 rebuttal set at May 18. Cross of company rebuttal set  
14 at June 8 and 9 and simultaneous briefs due June 30,  
15 and with that schedule the company indicated that it  
16 would be willing to waive the suspension date from  
17 October 1 to November 1 of 1995, and let me ask Mr.  
18 West if that was a correct representation of the off-  
19 the-record discussion.

20 MR. WEST: Yes, Your Honor.

21 JUDGE CANFIELD: So the company would agree  
22 to that one-month extension in the suspension date  
23 and have that go through November 1.

24 MR. WEST: Yes.

25 JUDGE CANFIELD: And the parties also

00021

1 indicated that they would look at the possibility of  
2 condensing and combining the hearing schedule if it  
3 became appropriate after reviewing the matter so  
4 that's something that the parties will also be  
5 discussing.

6                   First, Mr. West, was that schedule that I  
7 just recited into the record acceptable to the  
8 company?

9                   MR. WEST: Yes, Your Honor, it is.

10                   JUDGE CANFIELD: And Mr. Cedarbaum.

11                   MR. CEDARBAUM: Yes.

12                   JUDGE CANFIELD: And Mr. Trotter.

13                   MR. TROTTER: Yes.

14                   JUDGE CANFIELD: And Ms. Pyron.

15                   MS. PYRON: Yes, Your Honor.

16                   JUDGE CANFIELD: And we did not take the  
17 time to double-check room availability at this  
18 juncture. We'll be doing that after the fact, so  
19 these are apparently Olympia hearings and hopefully a  
20 room, 250, the Commission's hearing room, is  
21 available. If not we will endeavor to get another  
22 hearing room in the building, and one thing that  
23 wasn't discussed -- let me just briefly throw out --  
24 is that today's notice of hearing talked about  
25 specially designated hearing being set for testimony

00022

1 from members of the public. That isn't necessarily  
2 singled out in this proposed schedule. Maybe I can  
3 ask Mr. Trotter about that whether he anticipates a  
4 specially designated hearing for public testimony.

5           MR. TROTTER: At this point I would say no,  
6 and I will get back to you if sufficient interest is  
7 generated. I should also note for the record, Your  
8 Honor, I neglected to enter an appearance for Robert  
9 F. Manifold also an assistant attorney general for  
10 public counsel and if you would put his name first on  
11 the mailing list, I would appreciate that. He could  
12 not be here today but I believe he will be handling  
13 the case, so put his name first and mine second and  
14 he'll get stuff. If we need to make different  
15 arrangements in house we will do so. I would  
16 appreciate the parties doing the same.

17           JUDGE CANFIELD: With that Rob Manifold  
18 being the public counsel assigned to the matter and if  
19 they make other arrangements for Mr. Trotter to fill  
20 in, they will make those arrangements internally then.

21           MR. TROTTER: Yes, thank you.

22           JUDGE CANFIELD: As it stands now, unless  
23 advised otherwise by public counsel, not designate a  
24 specific hearing for testimony from members of the  
25 public, but should our office be advised otherwise, we

00023

1 will endeavor to do so and either tack on a date or  
2 carve out a portion of the agreed to date already read  
3 into the record as appropriate for that public  
4 testimony.

5                   So with that, subject to Commission  
6 acceptance of the schedule, I will adopt the schedule  
7 for purposes of this proceeding, and anything further  
8 that the parties have to address that we haven't  
9 touched upon at this session?

10                   MR. WEST: No, Your Honor.

11                   MR. CEDARBAUM: No.

12                   JUDGE CANFIELD: My Pyron, anything from  
13 you?

14                   MS. PYRON: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

15                   JUDGE CANFIELD: With that then I will  
16 adjourn today's session and a notice of hearing will  
17 be issued concerning the upcoming hearings. Is that  
18 correct, Mr. Cedarbaum?

19                   MR. CEDARBAUM: That's right.

20                   JUDGE CANFIELD: So with that the specific  
21 location will be dealt with in that notice then, and  
22 with that I will adjourn the hearing and thank you  
23 all. This hearing is adjourned.

24                   (Hearing adjourned at 10:15 a.m.)

25