BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION, Complainant,

Docket TP-

v.

PUGET SOUND PILOTS,

Respondent.

TESTIMONY OF WALTER S. TABLER ON BEHALF OF PUGET SOUND PILOTS

JUNE 29, 2022

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	IDENTIFICATION OF WITNESS
II.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
III.	CONCLUSION6

EXHIBIT LIST		
Exhibit No.	Description	Page
		Referenced

1		I. <u>IDENTIFICATION OF WITNESS</u>	
2			
3	Q:	Please state your name, business and business address.	
4	A:	My name is Walter S. Tabler. I retired in 2015 as Executive Director and General	
5	Coun	sel for Puget Sound Pilots but do perform consulting work related to pilotage matters	
6	throug	gh Tabler Consulting, which has a business address of 2600 2nd Ave., No. 2004, Seattle,	
7	WA 98121.		
8 9			
10	Q:	Please briefly describe your professional experience.	
11	_		
	A:	I was admitted to the bar in Washington in 1977. From 1977 to 1980, I served as an	
12	Assistant Attorney General in Olympia assigned to the Department of Transportation. One of the		
13 14	agencies I represented in the AG's office was the Board of Pilotage Commissioners. From 1980		
15	until 2003, I was in private practice in Seattle. For 10 years between 1980 and 1990, my practice		
16	involv	ved the representation of multiple pilots in connection with pilotage-related matters. For	
17	appro	ximately 12 years between 1991 and 2003, I served as lead outside attorney for the Puget	
18	Sound	d Pilots. In 2003, I became an employee of PSP as its Executive Director and General	
19	Counsel, a position I held until 2015. Since that time, my consulting work has related to pilotage		
20			
21	matte	rs for West Coast pilot groups.	
22			
23		II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY.	
24	0		
25	Q:	Could you please state the purpose of your testimony?	
26	A:	Yes. I've been asked to address the history of the PSP retirement plan, which for more	
_0		30 years has been a pay-as-you-go or farebox pension plan. TIMONY OF WALTER S. TABLER Exh. WST-01T	

1	Q:	Based on your long experience representing and working for PSP, please provide a	
2	brief	history of the PSP retirement program.	
3	A:	The existing pay-as-you-go retirement program for the Puget Sound Pilotage District was	
4			
5	estab	lished by PSP, the Puget Sound Steamship Operators Association ("PSSOA") and the	
6	Wash	ington Board of Pilot Commissioners ("BPC") in 1988 and 2001. The PSSOA was the	
7	industry association representing steamship operators in pilotage matters until 2005, when the		
8	Pacif	ic Merchant Shipping Association ("PMSA") took over this representation. The PSSOA	
10	regul	arly appeared at BPC meetings on behalf of the shipping industry, negotiated rates and	
11	retirement plans with PSP, secured funding for retirement benefits for Grays Harbor pilots and		
12	represented the shipping industry in contested and stipulated rate cases. The PSP retirement plan		
13	provides a benefit to retired pilots based upon years of service and the net income earned by the		
14	pilot averaged over their last three years of service. When the plan was originally adopted in		
15	1988,	the benefit was 1.25% per year of service applied to the three-year average of target net	
16	income set by the BPC.		
17			
18 19	Q:	Did this 1.25% benefit accrual rate change at some point?	
20	A:	Yes. In 2001, PSSOA, Polar Tankers (a large operator of oil tankers in Puget Sound) and	
21	PSP a	agreed to increase the benefit accrual rate to 1.5% per year of service. This increase was	
22	appro	oved by the BPC in its 2001 rate hearing.	
23			
24			
25			
26			

	Q:	Subsequent to 2001, were there any changes in the terms of the PSP retirement	
1	plan?		
2	A:	Yes. In 2006, for the first time in my experience with the BPC, it did not establish a	
4	target	net income as part of its tariff setting process. Without an established target net income,	
5	there	was no way to calculate benefits due under the PSP retirement plan as then written. As a	
6	result,	PSP amended the plan to provide that, if there was no target income established by the	
7 8	BPC,	actual net income for the relevant years would be used to calculate benefits.	
9	Q:	You have referred to the PSP retirement plan as a pay-as-you-go pension plan.	
10		e explain what that means.	
11	1 ICast	Capiani what that incans.	
12	A:	The pay-as-you-go terminology means that the benefits paid to retirees each year are	
13	funde	d out of PSP's revenues in that year. This type of pilot group pension plan is also referred to	
14	as a farebox plan because it is funded through the pilotage tariff, which is the functional		
15	equiva	alent of a farebox funding the pilotage system in a given district.	
16			
17	Q:	Are pay-as-you-go or farebox pensions unusual within the world of state-licensed	
18			
19	marit	ime pilot groups?	
20	A:	No, not at all. Of the 20 major pilot groups in the United States, which I define as pilot	
21	group	s with 20 or more pilots, over 80% of these organizations have pension plans similar to that	
22	of PSI	P that are funded on a pay-as-you-go basis.	
23			
24			
25			
26			

	Q:	How does the 1.5% annual rate of accrual for pension benefits in the PSP pension	
1 2	plan compare to that of other major US pilot groups with pay-as-you-go pension plan		
3	prog	rams?	
4	A:	The PSP plan falls within the lower half of these groups. Overall, the annual accrual rates	
5	range	e from a low of 1% to 2.5%, but a majority of these groups fall within the range of 2% per	
6	year	or higher. On the West Coast, the PSP benefit accrual rate of 1.5% is somewhat lower than	
7	the 1	.84% accrual rate for the San Francisco Bar Pilots, the only other West Coast pilot group	
8 9	with	an unfunded pension plan.	
10	0		
11	Q:	With respect to those pilot groups in the US with pay-as-you-go pension plans, is the	
12	cost of those pensions covered by the tariff funding the pilotage system?		
13	A:	In every pilotage district with a pay-as-you-go pension plan, the annual pension costs are	
14	funded by the pilotage tariff.		
15			
16	Q:	In Washington, has the funding for PSP retirement benefits historically been	
17	inclu	ded in the tariff?	
18 19	A:	Yes. The legitimacy of this expense has been codified both in statute, RCW 88.16.055	
20	(1), and regulation, WAC 363-116-315. In fact, WAC 363-116-315 dates back to 1991, when the		
21	BPC codified as a matter of state policy PSP's pension-related contractual obligations by		
22	impo	sing the following requirement on pilot groups in Washington:	
23		Pilot associations having retirement plans, the expense of which is	
24		reimbursed through board established tariffs, shall make such payments to retired pilots as a required by the benefits and enforcement provisions	
25		of those plans.	
26			

1			
	longstanding tradition of funding an adequate retirement program for state-licensed pilots in		
2	Washington should be continued. I can conceive of no reason to change a policy that supports a		
4	critically important component of maritime transportation safety infrastructure in our state.		
5			
6	Q: Should the UTC continue to fund the PSP pension plan in the tariff either on a pay-		
7	as-you-go or a fully funded basis?		
8	A: Absolutely. There should be continued tariff funding for this reasonable pension benefit		
10	promised to the elite corps of master mariners who become pilots in Puget Sound for three		
11	reasons. First, PSP has an absolute legal and moral obligation to pay the benefit levels promised		
12	to existing retirees and to all current members of the pilot corps when each made a midcareer		
13	move from a Master or Captain position in the maritime industry to become a Puget Sound pilot.		
14	Second, the State of Washington has viewed pilot pension benefits as part and parcel of the		
15	pilotage system being funded by the tariff for over 30 years. There is no basis for a change in that		
16	longstanding policy. Third, retention of PSP's existing 1.5% per year pension accrual rate is a		
17	key and necessary component of a nationally competitive package of pilot compensation and		
18 19	benefits, which must be continued in the future if the Puget Sound Pilots are to attract the top		
20	flight mariners required to maximize PSP's accident-prevention capability.		
21			
22	Q: Do you receive a pension from PSP based upon your more than 12 years as		
23	Executive Director and General Counsel?		
24			
25	A: Yes.		

26

	Q:	Please describe the level of pension benefit that you are receiving from PSP and
1	expla	in why you consider it to be fair and reasonable.
2	A:	I am receiving an annual retirement benefit of \$70,000 per year based on my more than
4	12 ye	ars of service in PSP's top non-pilot leadership position. The benefit is paid monthly and
5	my w	rife will receive 50% of that benefit upon my death if she survives me. Given my length of
6	servi	ce with PSP and the level of skill and leadership that I brought to the position after serving
7	as PS	P's lead outside counsel for 12 years before becoming its top staff person, I believe the
8	level	of pension benefit to be fair and reasonable.
9		
1011		III. <u>CONCLUSION</u> .
12	Q:	Does this conclude your testimony?
13	A:	Yes.
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
2324		
25		
26		