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CHAIR DANNER: Let's begin. Good morning, everyone. And thank you for being here today. Today is Friday, November 6, 2020. And this is a meeting of the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission to consider adoption of rules in Docket UE-190652. And today we're going to be considering rule changes that require changes to the Energy Independence Act that was enacted in 2006 and that are required because of changes brought about by the Clean Energy Transformation Act in 2019 .

I'd like to say -- first, let me say I'm joined by colleagues, Commissioner Ann Rendahl and Commissioner Jay Balasbas who are also on the line.

So unless my colleagues have any opening statements, $I$ would like to turn it over to Andrew Rector.

Any -- any comments from my colleagues? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I have no comments. No.

COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: No comments from me either.

CHAIR DANNER: So let me turn it over to

1 Andrew Rector on the UTC regulatory staff who has been 2 working with the team in developing these rules.

1 Roberson, Jennifer Snyder, Jim Woodward, Kate Griffith, 2 Kendra White, and Nikita Benkoti.

We kicked off the rulemaking with a CR 101 and draft rules about a year ago, October 2019. The draft rules elicited 19 sets of comments from stakeholders. And then after a January technical workshop to consider how to incorporate a number of definitions from CETA, the UTC released a CR 102 form and proposed rules in late March of 2020.

The CR 102 received comments from seven stakeholders; including Avista Corporation, PacifiCorp,

1 Puget Sound Energy, Public Counsel, The Energy Project,
2 the Northwest Energy Coalition, and Front and Centered.

1 hearing. Thanks.

1 also clarify the ability that utilities can minimize
2 costs that would be passed on to the customers for
3 satisfying the EIA RPS requirements for our target year.

But also in that September 1st notice proposing this deletion, it did not propose any other changes to the draft rules. So we're not providing any additional comments on the remainder, which we continue to support consistent with the comments we filed on July 28, 2020.

So we're here for questions. But generally expressing our support. Thank you.

CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you.
Commissioners, are there any questions for Public Counsel; either Ms. Paisner or Mr. Dahl?

COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: No. I thank you for your participation in the process.

CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you very much.

Let me ask -- I see Puget Sound Energy is on the line. Do you have any comments you want to make today?

MS. DURBIN: Good morning, Chairman. Kara Durbin. No, we don't have any comments at the time. Happy to be available for questions.

CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you.

And I will assume my colleagues will speak up if they have any questions for you.

Let me see, Joni Bosh from Northwest Energy Collision, are you here?

MS. BOSH: Yes, Commissioner, I'm hear.
Can you hear me?
CHAIR DANNER: Yes, I can. Thank you.
MS. BOSH: Yes. We just wanted to thank staff for reaching out to us about this question when it was raised. It is the only change from the last version. And we appreciate the time they took to work out to ensure that it really doesn't change existing practice. That the utilities have to meet their targets by January, but they can change those recs as time goes on. We really appreciate the time that staff took to help us work that out.

CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you very much.

Are there any questions for Joni Bosh?
Okay. I don't have a sign-in sheet in front of me. What I'm going to do is I'm going to ask anybody whose last name begins with A through E. Is there anybody on the line whose last name begins A through E who wishes to comment on these rules, proposed rules?

All right. Anybody with the last name

1 starting $F$ through L?

All right. Last name starting $M$ through $P$ ? Anybody whose last name starts with Q through T?

All right. And then $U$ through $Z$ ?
All right. This is my last $A P B$ then.
Is there anybody -- anybody who I have not called on so far who wishes to comment on these proposed rules?

All right. That brings us then to the end of the public comments. And at this point, what $I$ would propose to my colleagues, is we have proposed rules. I think they are in very good shape and I'm in favor of their adoption.

What I would like to do, though, is take this under advisement so that we could prepare an order. And I also want to make sure that we time the release of the order in such a way that it's -- that we have some -- some definitions that are affected here and in another rulemaking. And I want to make sure that we do this in a consistent way so that we don't have to go back and do any kind of adoption by rule or any unnecessary administrative procedure as we go forward on that.

So colleagues, let me ask if you're okay

1 with that approach?

COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: This is Commissioner Rendahl, and yes, I'm -- I support that approach.

I want to thank everyone for their sticking to it with this rulemaking and the several -- several versions at the end here. But, yes, I think we need to delay issuing any -- any order until we make sure all of the definitions can be synced up, so I support that.

COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Yes. This is
Commissioner Balasbas. I also support that approach.
And I think that makes sense to make sure that we've got all of -- any references and other things, technical things as well of the rule to get those, get those synced up before issuing the order.

CHAIR DANNER: Okay. Then that is what we will do.

I want to thank everybody who participated in this; especially the UTC rulemaking team. Really, a very, very good job. And also the stakeholders who have been involved throughout the process.

At this point, we are going to take it under advisement. Although, I will just signal that I'm very comfortable with these proposed rules. And we will issue an order in due course.

Again, we need to be -- we need to consider

1 that we have some other rulemakings going on and we
2 don't want to step on those or have those interfere with
3 this one, so we need to sync those up. So that will
4 inform the timing of the release of our order.
Mr. Rector, is there anything else we need to consider this morning?

MR. RECTOR: I don't believe that there is,
Chairman. I think that's everything.
CHAIR DANNER: All right. Then without
further adieu, we can adjourn this meeting. And I want
11 to thank everyone again. So we are adjourned.
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MR. RECTOR: All right. Thanks everyone.
Have a great weekend.
CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. You too.
(Hearing concluded at 9:44 a.m.)
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