

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WASHINGTON

Utilities and Transportation Division 1400 S Evergreen Park Drive SW • PO Box 40128 • Olympia WA 98504-0128 • (360) 664-1183

May 19, 2003

Carole J. Washburn, Secretary Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 S. Evergreen Park Dr. SW P. O. Box 47250 Olympia, Washington 98504-7250

Re:

Verizon, et al. v U&ICAN Docket No. UT-960659

Dear Ms. Washburn:

Enclosed for your information is a copy of the judgment in the appeal to King County Superior Court of the above referenced docket.

Very truly yours,

SHANNON E. SMITH Assistant Attorney General

SES:kll Enclosure

cc:

ALJ Schaer

RECEIVED

MAR 3 1 2003

1 RECEIVED 2 KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON MAR 2 5 2003 3 KNT DEPARTMENT OF 4 JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION 5 6 7 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON 8 IN AND FOR KING COUNTY 9 10 11 VERIZON NORTHWEST INC., QWEST No. 02-2-21197-6 KNT CORPORATION, and WASHINGTON 12 UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION (WUTC Docket No. UT-960659) COMMISSION STAFF, (WUTC Docket No. UT-970257) 13 Petitioners, 14 FINDINGS OF FACT AND v. 15 **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW** UNITED AND INFORMED CITIZEN 16 ADVOCATES NETWORK. 17 Respondent. 18 19 20 FINDINGS OF FACT 21 1. On May 14, 1996, U&I CAN brought a complaint before the Washington Utilities 22 and Transportation Commission ("WUTC") against Owest, which was docketed as WUTC 23 docket number UT-960659. Qwest filed a counterclaim that U&I CAN improperly avoided

paying access charges to Owest through an unlawful toll-bridging scheme. That case was

consolidated with WUTC docket number UT-970257, which is a complaint by Verizon against

24

25

- 1 U&I Can alleging that U&I CAN improperly avoided paying access charges to Verizon under
- 2 the same theory articulated by Qwest in its counterclaim.
- 3 2. On April 25, 2002, the Joint Petitioners issued a Subpoena Duces Tecum to U&I
- 4 CAN pursuant to the subpoena power found in RCW 34.05.446, WAC 480-09-120, 480-09-475
- 5 and 480-09-480, and as directed by the WUTC. On April 29, 2002, Petitioners reissued the
- 6 Subpoena Duces Tecum to U&I CAN because the April 25 subpoena inadvertently did not
- 7 include a copy of an exhibit. U&I CAN's responses were due on May 20, 2002.
- 8 3. On April 30, 2002, counsel for Verizon received a letter from U&I CAN's
- 9 attorney acknowledging receipt of the Subpoena Duces Tecum and refusing to cooperate in the
- 10 discovery process. U&I CAN failed to produce any documents.
- 4. On July 18, 2002, Petitioners filed a Petition to Enforce Agency Subpoena
- 12 pursuant to RCW 34.05.588 to compel U&I CAN to respond to discovery requests contained in
- 13 the Subpoena Duces Tecum. The same day, the ex parte commissioner issued an Order to Show
- 14 Cause requiring U&I CAN to appear on August 23, 2002 and show cause, if any, why U&I CAN
- 15 failed to obey the Subpoena Duces Tecum and refused to produce documents. The show cause
- hearing was rescheduled to September 18, 2002, whereupon the Court entered an Order
- 17 Enforcing Agency Subpoena, which ordered U&I CAN to comply with the order or else to be
- 18 held automatically in contempt of court.
- 5. On December 31, 2002, Verizon filed the Motion for Civil Contempt and
- 20 Remedial Sanctions because U&I CAN violated the Court's prior Order Enforcing Agency
- 21 Subpoena by failing to comply with the Subpoena Duces Tecum. On February 3, 2003, this
- 22 Court heard oral argument and reviewed and considered Verizon's Motion for Contempt and
- Remedial Sanctions, the Declaration of Kendall J. Fisher in support thereof, the Declaration of J.
- 24 Byron in Response to Petitioners' Motion for Contempt et al., and all responsive pleadings and
- 25 declarations, and pertinent records and pleadings on file herein. After hearing argument of

1	counsel on the issue of contempt, the Court held U&I CAN in contempt of this Court pursuant to	
2	RCW 7.21.01	0(1)(b).
-3	б.	The Court issued a written order in open court directing U&I CAN to comply
4	with the Cour	t's Order Enforcing Agency Subpoena dated September 18, 2002 by 4:00 p.m. on
5	February 3, 20	003, which U&I CAN failed to do. U&I CAN also was ordered to pay Verizon
6	\$2,000 per day	y for each day of noncompliance after February 3, 2003. Finally, the Court
7	awarded Verizon \$3050.00 for reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.	
8	7.	U&I CAN refused and continues to refuse to provide any documents in response
9	to the Subpoer	na Duces Tecum.
10		CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
11	1.	This proceeding is based on RCW 34.05.588 and RCW 7.21.010(1)(b).
12	2.	Petitioners have a clear legal right to obtain discovery from U&I CAN in response
13	to the Subpoena Duces Tecum.	
14	3.	U&I CAN is in contempt of court for failing to comply with the Court's Order
15	Enforcing Agency Subpoena.	
16	4.	Pursuant to the Order Finding Contempt and Imposing Remedial Sanctions, U&I
17	CAN owes Ve	erizon \$2000 for each day of noncompliance after February 3, 2003.
18	5.	This judgment is for the amounts due and owing from U&I CAN to Verizon from
19	February 4, 2003 to the date this judgment is entered.	
20	6.	This is a final judgment and any appropriate enforcement action may be taken.
21		
22	DATE	D: March 25, 2003.
23		
24		THE HONORABLE LAURA MIDDAUGH
25		

Presented by: STOEL RIVES LLP Timothy J. O'Connell, WSBA #15372 Kendall J. Fisher, WSBA #28855 Of Attorneys for Verizon Northwest Inc.

RECEIVED KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON 1 MAR 2 5 2003 2 KNT DEPARTMENT OF 3 JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION 5 6 7 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR KING COUNTY 8 9 10 No. 02-2-21197-6 KNT VERIZON NORTHWEST INC., QWEST 11 CORPORATION, and WASHINGTON (WUTC Docket No. UT-960659) UTILITIES AND **TRANSPORTATION** 12 (WUTC Docket No. UT-970257) COMMISSION STAFF, 13 Petitioners, FINAL JUDGMENT 14 v. 15 UNITED AND INFORMED ADVOCATES NETWORK, 16 Respondent. 17 18 JUDGMENT SUMMARY 19 Pursuant to RCW 4.64.030, the following information should be entered in the Clerk's 20 **Execution Docket:** 21 Judgment Creditor: Verizon Northwest Inc. ("Verizon") 1. 22 2. Judgment Debtor: United and Informed Citizen Advocates Network ("U&I CAN") 23 98 000 Principal Judgment Amount: \$\frac{112,000.00}{12000.00} (\\$2000.00 per day February 4, 2003) 3. 24 through March 24, 2003) 25 4. Attorney Fees: \$3050.00 26

1	5.	Interest Rate on Post-Judgment Amounts: 12%	
2	6.	Total: \$\frac{101,080.00}{115,050.00}	
3	7.	Attorney for Judgment Creditor: Timothy J. O'Connell, Kendall J. Fisher, Stoel Rives LLP	
4		JUDGMENT	
5			
6	This matter was heard by the Court without a jury on February 3, 2003, the Honorable		
7	Laura J. Middaugh presiding. Petitioners appeared through their attorney of record. U&I CAN		
8	appeared through its attorney of record. The Court received the evidence and pleadings offered		
9	by the parties and heard the oral argument of the parties' counsel. On February 3, 2003, after the		
10	hearings, the	Court rendered a written order finding contempt and imposing remedial sanctions.	
11	The Court made findings of fact and conclusions on March 25, 2005, which were entered on the		
12	same day. A copy of the findings and conclusions is attached as Exhibit A		
	Consistent with its Order Finding Contempt and Imposing Remedial Sanctions and its		
13	findings and conclusions entered on March 25, 2003, the Court enters final judgment in this		
14	matter as follows:		
15	1.	Verizon is awarded judgment against U&I CAN in the amount of \$\frac{97,000}{112,000}.	
16	2.	Verizon is awarded reasonable attorneys' fees in the amount of \$3050.00.	
17	3.	This is a final judgment and any appropriate enforcement action may be taken.	
18	DATED: March 25, 2003.		
19			
20		THE HONORABLE LAURA MIDDAUGH	
21	Presented by:		
22	STOEL RIVES LLP		
23	By Kandall / 2		
24	Timothy J. O'Connell, WSBA #15372 Kendall J. Fisher, WSBA #28855		
25	Of Attorneys for Verizon Northwest Inc.		