



STATE OF WASHINGTON

UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

1300 S. Evergreen Park Dr. S.W., P.O. Box 47250 • Olympia, Washington 98504-7250

(360) 664-1160 • TTY (360) 586-8203

October 13, 2015

NOTICE OF BENCH REQUEST
(Due by Tuesday, October 20, 2015)

RE: *Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission v. Avista Corporation d/b/a Avista Utilities*, Dockets UE-150204 and UG-150205 (consolidated)

The following bench requests are directed to the indicated parties but others are invited to respond as well. Please respond no later than **Tuesday, October 20, 2015**, in hard copy and electronic form.

Bench Request No. 8: Staff: With regard to Christopher H. Hancock's exhibits, CSH-2 at page 10, column 4.01 and CSH-3 at page 9, column 4.01:

1. Please provide a breakdown of the referenced adjustments to show in individual columns the net operating income, rate base, and revenue requirement impacts of each planned capital project recommended by Staff. Please provide the responses based on end-of-period (EOP) and average-of-monthly averages (AMA) balances.
2. Please provide the comparable unadjusted values of the planned capital projects as proposed by the Company.

Please provide the responses in printed and electronic spreadsheet format with all formulas and links intact.

Bench Request No. 9: Staff: Please provide work papers in electronic format (Excel) with formulas intact, supporting all contested adjustments in Christopher H. Hancock's exhibits, Exh. Nos. CSH-2 and CSH-3.

Bench Request No. 10: Avista: With regard to Karen K. Schuh's direct testimony exhibit, Exh. No. KKS-1T at pages 22-25:

1. Please provide updated/corrected Tables 9-12. Please include the associated net operating income impacts.
2. Please provide updated/corrected Tables 9-12, including associated net operating income impacts, with all columns calculated on AMA basis.

Please provide supporting workpapers in electronic spreadsheet format with all formulas and links intact.

Bench Request No. 11: Avista: With regard to Karen K. Schuh's rebuttal testimony exhibit, Exh. No. KKS-6T at page 17:

1. Beginning on line 4, page 17 of the referenced rebuttal testimony, Ms. Schuh addressed changes or updates to numbers that she states are not accurately reflected in Staff and intervenor testimony. Please explain the specific inaccuracy that is referred to with a citation to or description of the associated testimony and/or exhibits.
2. Referring to Table 1 shown on page 17 of Ms. Schuh's rebuttal testimony, please explain how the updates (both electric and gas operations) are reflected in Tables 9-12 in Ms. Schuh's direct testimony, Exh. No. KKS-1T at pages 22-25, as updated and/or corrected.

Bench Request No. 12: With regard to Karen K. Schuh's cross examination exhibit, Exh. No. KKS-12:

1. Please provide a description of the information included in Attachment A of Exh. No. KKS-12.
2. Is the final repairs study shown in Attachment A of cross examination Exh. No. KKS-12 reflected in the Company's electric and gas cross-check studies? If not, please provide a comparison of the final repairs study and the preliminary estimate as included in the Company's electric and natural gas cross-check studies. Please provide the supporting workpapers in electronic spreadsheet format with all formulas and links intact.

MARGUERITE E. FRIEDLANDER
Administrative Law Judge

cc: All Parties