

10 Oct 2018 | Affirmation

Fitch Affirms Puget Energy at 'BBB-' and Puget Sound Energy at 'BBB+'; Outlook Stable

Fitch Ratings-New York-10 October 2018: Fitch Ratings has affirmed Puget Energy Inc. (PE)'s Long-Term Issuer Default Rating (LT IDR) at 'BBB-' and Puget Sound Energy Inc. (PSE)'s LT IDR at 'BBB+'. The Rating Outlook is Stable.

Approximately \$6 billion debt is affected by these actions. A full list of rating actions follows at the end of this release.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

Predominantly Regulated Business

PE's rating and Outlook reflect its low business risk profile as a utility holding company with predominantly regulated gas and electric utility operations through PSE. PSE is a fully regulated integrated electric and gas utility in western Washington. PSE's revenue represents almost 100% of PE's consolidated revenue. PE is developing a LNG facility with 8 million gallon storage tank at the Port of Tacoma Washington (Tacoma LNG) that will provide peak-shaving service to PSE's natural gas customers (regulated portion) and provide LNG as fuel to transportation customers primarily in the marine market (unregulated portion). The unregulated portion (57%) of the LNG is not expected to material relative to total revenue after completion in 2020. Approximately 50% of the unregulated capacity is subscribed by Tote Maritime, and 50% is currently open.

Restrictive Regulation

PE and PSE's rating and Outlook take into consideration the relatively restrictive regulation in the state of Washington.

Revenue requirements in rate case proceedings are largely based on historical test years. Authorized ROEs and equity ratios are relatively low. Expedited rate filing (ERF) allows recovery of delivery revenues between general rate cases (GRCs). However, PSE recently withdrew its ERF filing in order to work through the details with the commission and staff, a process that Fitch will monitor closely. Additionally, a court order related to a peer utility has cast doubts on the continuation of attrition adjustments for rate base calculation.

On the positive side, PSE benefits from full revenue decoupling for both electric and gas operations that mitigates revenue fluctuation from weather, conservation and changes in energy usage patterns. PSE also benefits from trackers and recovery mechanisms for power costs, conservation, property taxes, pipeline replacement, purchased gas and low income.

GRC Approval

Fitch views the results of the 2017 GRC as mixed. The Washington Utility and Transportation Commission (WUTC) approved a multi-party settlement reached on Dec. 5, 2017. PSE was authorized to make an electric increase of \$20.2 million (0.9% increase) compared with a requested \$67.9 million, and a net natural gas decrease of \$35.5 million (-3.8%) compared with a requested net decrease of \$29.3 million. Both awards were based upon an ROE of 9.5% and equity ratio of 48.5%. The company's last rate decision in 2012 was based upon an ROE of 9.8% and equity ratio of 48.0%.

The approval extended the decoupling mechanism and allowed electric fixed production energy costs to be decoupled and recovered on a monthly basis, in addition to the decoupling of the delivery revenues. PSE is also allowed to increase the decoupled rate increase cap to 5% for natural gas customers, while the cap stays at 3% for electric customers. However, the decoupling revenue can only be updated through a GRC or ERF instead of annually. The GRC approval also included modifications to the depreciation schedule for the Colstrip units, and allowed PSE to utilize approximately \$400 million production tax credits and treasury grants to pay for the remediation costs, mitigating the risk of stranded investment from the planned shut-down of coal units 1 and 2 in 2022. Coal units 3 and 4 will be fully depreciated in 2027. PSE's request to establish an electric cost recovery mechanism (CRM) was denied. Its intended use was to receive accelerated recovery for specific electric reliability projects.

Progressive Service Territory

PE and PSE's ratings and Outlook consider that Washington State is one of the most progressive states and imposes stringent environmental regulations and aggressive renewable goals. Many large businesses operating in load centers strongly favor renewable energy providers. Progressive policies and customers, as well as their opponents, could cause uncertainties in environmental compliance costs, recovery mechanism, declining load and lengthy legal challenges.

Credit Metrics Consistent with Ratings

PSE and PE's credit metrics continue to be consistent with their ratings despite the sizeable capex and tax reform. PSE's FFO-adjusted leverage is expected to average in the mid-3.0x and FFO fixed-charge coverage around 4.8x in the next three years. PE will produce FFO adjusted leverage in the low-5x range and FFO fixed charge coverage of 3.2x on average during the same period.

Tax Reform

As a result of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017, the effect of the change in tax rate to 21% from 35% has begun to be passed back to customers since May 1, 2018 through a \$73 million cut in base rates for electric and a \$24 million cut in base rates for natural gas. The \$35 million benefit from the first four months of this year has been deferred until the next rate case. The excess deferred income taxes, including approximately \$88 million of unprotected and \$725 million protected, are also being deferred until the next rate case. Fitch estimates the impact of the tax reform could affect the FFO adjusted leverage by up to 0.4x in the next two to three years.

Parent-Sub Linkage

Generally, Fitch considers PSE to be stronger than PE due to its lower leverage and lower operating risks as a regulated utility. High level of parent-only debt (approximately 30%) results in weaker credit metrics at PE. The absence of guarantees and cross-defaults, and dividend restrictions among other factors render legal ties weak between PE and PSE. While operational and strategic ties are strong, a prescribed regulatory capital structure for PSE lead to moderate linkage with PE, allowing for PSE to be notched above PE. Fitch has applied a bottom-up approach in rating PSE and PE. PSE's ratings reflect its stand-alone credit profile as well as its linkage with PE, while PE's ratings reflect a consolidated credit profile. Fitch typically rates the IDR of PSE a maximum of two notches above the IDR of PE.

The two-notch differential between the IDRs of PSE and PE also reflects the ring-fencing measures that are in place as well as Fitch's belief that maintaining the credit quality of PSE is the in the best interest of PE and the equity owners.

Conversely, PSE's ratings are upwardly constrained by PE as Fitch believes that the distribution restrictions are not sufficient to justify notching that is wider than two categories.

Change in Ownership

In August 2018 Macquarie Infrastructure Partners (Macquarie) agreed to sell its 44% equity stake in PE to a group of investors. The new group of owners after the sale will be comprised of existing

owners Canadian Pension Plan Investment Board (31.6%), British Columbia Investment Management Corporation (20.9%), and the Alberta Investment Management Corporation (13.6%). The new investors will be OMERS Infrastructure Management Inc. (23.9%), an investor for Ontario municipal pension fund, and PGGM Cooperatie UA, a Dutch pension fund (10%).

Fitch believes that the new ownership will not alter the management strategy in a meaningful way as the majority of the new owners remain the same. Additionally, these investors have large and highly diversified portfolios and a long-term investment horizon, and they do not depend on PE's dividend distribution. Fitch believes that maintaining the long-term financial health of PE and PSE is in the best interest of the owners. Application for approval for the sale has been filed with FERC and the utility commission.

DERIVATION SUMMARY

PE is reasonably well positioned among its peers Cleco Corp. (Cleco, BBB-/Stable), IPALCO Enterprises (IPACO; BB+/Positive) and DPL Inc. (DPL; BBB-/Stable), all of which are holding companies operating one primary utility. PE's utility PSE operates an electric and gas utility with a larger customer base and gross revenue than Cleco, IPALCO and DPL. All four companies have sizeable parent-only debt. PE has approximately 30% parent-only debt, which is similar to IPALCO and lower than Cleco and DPL.

Fitch considers PE's service territory as less favorable than that of Cleco, DPL and IPALCO as it is subject to restrictive regulation and competition from progressive energy goals in Washington State. However, as an offset, PE's FFO adjusted leverage ratio is expected to be in the low 5x range, which is stronger than its peers, especially Cleco. Leverage ratios for DPL and IPALCO are expected to strengthen as they recently obtained authorized rate relief.

PSE, Cleco Power (BBB/Stable) and Indianapolis Power and Light (IPL, BBB-/Positive) are exposed to coal generation, but PSE has the lowest percentage of energy generated from coal plants. Dayton Power and Light (DP&L, BBB/Stable) is a transmission and distribution utility with lower operating risk. PSE's FFO adjusted leverage of 3.4x in 2017 is weaker than that of DP&L but stronger than Cleco Power and IPL. The strong credit metrics partially offset the more restrictive regulation in the State of Washington PSE operates within, compared with Cleco Power's Louisiana, DP&L's Ohio and IPL's Indiana. Similar to its peers, PSE's standalone credit metrics are strong for its rating but it is upwardly constrained by its parent PE.

KEY ASSUMPTIONS

Fitch's key assumptions within its rating case for the issuers include:

- Incorporates 2017 GRC order which authorized 9.5% ROE and 48.5% equity ratio;
- Electric customer count growth on average 1.3% and gas customer count growth 1.2%;
- O&M growth rate \$1.5%;
- \$4.2 billion capex investment from 2018 to 2022;
- Net book value of Colstrip 1 and 2 recovered through 2027; decommissioning cost and remediation costs are recovered through offsetting PTCs and TGs per WA legislation;
- Tacoma LNG comes online in 2020.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

Future Developments That May, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Positive Rating Action

PE

- A positive rating action could be driven by PE's commitment to adhere to a less aggressive financial policy, including decreasing holdco leverage or reducing its dividend pay-out after the high capex period.
- PE can be upgraded if it can achieve, on a sustained basis, FFO adjusted leverage below 4.5x.

PSE

- Due to the moderate linkage with PE, absent an upgrade at PE, it is unlikely that PSE's ratings will be upgraded in the foreseeable future.

Future Developments That May, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Negative Rating Action

PE

- A continued aggressive dividend policy largely funded by debt after the high capex period resulting in FFO adjusted leverage above 5.5x on a sustained basis could pressure ratings;
- Adverse rating action at PSE will likely lead to a downgrade at PE.

PSE

- Failure to achieve reasonable ERF and GRC outcomes on a timely manner;
- After the heavy capex period, if FFO adjusted leverage sustains above 4.8x, negative rating pressure could increase.

Fitch has affirmed the following ratings with a Stable Outlook:

Puget Energy Inc.

--Long-Term IDR at 'BBB-';

--Senior secured at 'BBB'.

Puget Sound Energy Inc.

--Long-Term IDR at 'BBB+';

--Short-Term IDR and CP at 'F2';

--Senior secured notes at 'A';

--Junior sub notes at 'BBB'.

Contact:

Primary Analyst

Julie Jiang

Director

+1-212-908-0708

Fitch Ratings, Inc.

33 Whitehall Street

New York, NY 10004

Secondary Analyst

Barbara Chapman

Senior Director

+1-646-582-4881

Committee Chairperson

John Culver

Senior Director

+1-312-368-3216

Media Relations: Elizabeth Fogerty, New York, Tel: +1 212 908 0526, Email:

elizabeth.fogerty@fitchratings.com

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

Applicable Criteria

[Corporate Hybrids Treatment and Notching Criteria \(pub. 27 Mar 2018\)](#)

[Corporate Rating Criteria \(pub. 23 Mar 2018\)](#)

[Corporates Notching and Recovery Ratings Criteria \(pub. 23 Mar 2018\)](#)

[Parent and Subsidiary Rating Linkage \(pub. 16 Jul 2018\)](#)

Additional Disclosures

[Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form](#)

[Solicitation Status](#)

[Endorsement Policy](#)

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK:

[HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS](https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings). IN ADDITION, RATING DEFINITIONS AND THE TERMS OF USE OF SUCH RATINGS ARE AVAILABLE ON THE AGENCY'S PUBLIC WEB SITE AT WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS RELEVANT INTERESTS ARE AVAILABLE AT [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/SITE/REGULATORY](https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory). FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH WEBSITE.

Copyright © 2018 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security

or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing

and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001. Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

ENDORSEMENT POLICY - Fitch's approach to ratings endorsement so that ratings produced outside the EU may be used by regulated entities within the EU for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU Regulation with respect to credit rating agencies, can be found on the [EU Regulatory Disclosures](#) page. The endorsement status of all International ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for all structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.