

**BEFORE THE WASHINGTON
UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

Dockets UE-240006 & UG-240007 (*Consolidated*)

Washington Utilities & Transportation Commission v. Avista Utilities d/b/a Avista Corp

**RESPONSE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL
TO ALLIANCE OF WESTERN ENERGY CONSUMERS'
DATA REQUEST NO(S). 001 through 004**

Request No: 004
Directed to: Public Counsel
Date Received: August 22, 2024
Date Produced: August 28, 2024
Prepared by: David Dismukes, Acadian Consulting Group
Witnesses: David Dismukes

AWEC DATA REQUEST NO. 004 TO PUBLIC COUNSEL

Re: Rate Spread. Please refer to Exh. DED-10T at 7:12-19:

Please provide all evidence relied on by Dr. Dismukes for the statement that Residential Service and other low-load factor customers “saw a larger allocation of costs associated with the Colstrip facility over the years.”

RESPONSE:

Dr. Dismukes’ statement is based on the Direct Testimony of Dr. Lance D. Kaufman, Table 9 at 14, which shows that 69.3 percent of the decrease in the Avista’s revenue requirement associated with the removal of the Colstrip facility will accrue to Residential Service customers (*see* column h), while Residential Service customers currently are responsible for only 48.2 percent of the Company’s overall revenue requirement (*see* column c).