

# Research

## **Research Update:**

# Puget Energy Inc. 'BBB-' Ratings Affirmed; Outlook Stable

#### **Primary Credit Analyst:**

Obioma Ugboaja, New York 212-438-7406; obioma.ugboaja@spglobal.com

#### **Secondary Contact:**

Safina Ali, CFA, New York (1) 212-438-1877; safina.ali@spglobal.com

#### **Table Of Contents**

Overview

**Rating Action** 

Rationale

Outlook

Ratings Score Snapshot

**Issue Ratings** 

Related Criteria

**Ratings List** 

## **Research Update:**

## Puget Energy Inc. 'BBB-' Ratings Affirmed; Outlook Stable

#### **Overview**

- Bellevue, Wash.-based Puget Sound Energy Inc. (PSE) recently received an order from the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (WUTC) on its general rate case, including an overall rate decrease and a plan to recover costs associated with PSE's closure of two coal plants by 2022.
- We are affirming our ratings on PSE parent Puget Energy Inc. (PE), including the 'BBB-' issuer credit rating. The outlook is stable.
- We are revising our business risk assessment on PE to excellent from strong, resulting in a revised stand-alone credit profile (SACP) for PE to 'bbb+' from 'bbb'.
- In addition, we are revising our management and governance (M&G) assessment of the company to satisfactory from fair.
- The stable outlook reflects a modest improvement in the company's business risk, which mitigates our assessment of PE's consolidated financial measures, including funds from operations (FFO) to debt of about 14.5%, indicative of the lower end of the range for the company's financial risk profile category.

## **Rating Action**

On Dec. 13, 2017, S&P Global Ratings affirmed its ratings on Puget Energy Inc. (PE), including the 'BBB-' issuer credit rating on PE, and 'BBB-' issue-level rating on PE's senior unsecured debt rating. The outlook is stable.

In addition, we revised our stand-alone credit profile for PE to 'bbb+' from 'bbb'. We also revised our M&G assessment on the company to satisfactory from fair.

#### Rationale

The affirmation follows a recent regulatory order by the WUTC for subsidiary PSE that approves recovery of costs related to the future closure of the company's coal-fired generating units, Colstrip Units 1 and 2, despite the overall rate decrease.

Our revised business risk assessment for PE takes into account a modest improvement to PE's business risk reflecting the continued use of constructive regulatory mechanisms such as decoupling and various riders that we expect will provide stability to the company's profit measures going forward. In addition, our revised M&G assessment on the company to satisfactory from fair

reflects management's effectiveness in managing key regulatory risks associated with shutting down Colstrip Unit 1 and 2 by 2022.

PE's regulated subsidiary PSE sells electric and gas service to about 1.1 million electric customers, and about 800,000 gas customers, the vast majority of whom are residential customers, reflective of a mostly stable customer base that supports the company's credit quality.

We assess PE's financial risk using more moderate financial benchmarks than the typical corporate issuer. This reflects the company's mostly lower-risk, regulated business and its management of regulatory risk. Under our base-case scenario that includes capital spending of about \$970 million in 2018 and dividends of about \$115 million, we expect FFO to debt of about 14.5%, consistent with the lower end of the range for PE's financial risk profile category.

Our ratings on PE are lower by one notch to reflect our view of PE's business risk that we assess toward the lower end of the excellent category. PSE is susceptible to regulatory lag and unfavorable regulatory decisions, which dims our view of the company's overall management of regulatory risk in the state.

Our ratings for PE also reflect our assessment of Puget Holdings LLC (PH; not rated) as the ultimate parent of PE. We view PE as a core subsidiary of PH, reflecting our view that PH is highly unlikely to sell PE, that PE is integral to the group's strategy, and that PH has a strong long-term commitment to PE even under stressful conditions.

Our issuer credit rating on PE is one notch higher than the group credit profile because of the cumulative value of structural protections in place that insulate PE. We believe these restrictions would prevent PE from supporting the group to an extent that would unduly impair PE's stand-alone creditworthiness.

#### Liquidity

PE has adequate liquidity in our view, and can cover its needs for the next 12 months, even if EBITDA were to decline by 10%. We expect the company's liquidity sources over the next 12 months will exceed uses by more than 1.1x. Under our stress scenario, we do not expect PE would require access to the capital markets to meet its liquidity needs during this period. Our assessment also reflects the company's generally prudent risk management, sound relationships with banks, and a generally satisfactory standing in the credit markets.

Principal liquidity sources:

- Consolidated credit facility availability of about \$1.6 billion;
- Cash FFO of about \$930 million; and
- Minimal cash

Principal liquidity uses:

- Capital spending of about \$970 million in 2018;
- Dividends of about \$115 million; and
- Consolidated debt maturities of about \$200 million in 2018.

#### Outlook

The stable outlook reflects a modest improvement in the company's business risk, which mitigates our assessment of PE's consolidated financial measures, including FFO to debt of about 14.5%, indicative of the lower end of the range for the company's financial risk profile category.

#### Downside scenario

We could lower the ratings over the next 12 to 18 months if PE's financial measures consistently weakened to the aggressive financial risk profile, reflecting FFO to debt of less than 13%. This could occur if the company's management of regulatory risk weakens.

#### Upside scenario

We could raise the rating over the next 12 to 18 months if PE consistently improves its management of regulatory risk by further reducing regulatory lag. Alternatively, we could also raise the rating if the group credit profile improves.

## **Ratings Score Snapshot**

Corporate Credit Rating: BBB-/Stable/--

Business risk: ExcellentCountry risk: Very lowIndustry risk: Very lowCompetitive position: Strong

Financial risk: Significant

• Cash flow/Leverage: Significant

Anchor: a-

#### Modifiers

- Diversification/Portfolio effect: Neutral (no impact)
- Capital structure: Neutral (no impact)
- Liquidity: Adequate (no impact)
- Financial policy: Neutral (no impact)
- Management and governance: Satisfactory (no impact)
- Comparable rating analysis: Negative (-1)

Stand-alone credit profile: bbb+

- Group credit profile: bb+
- Entity status within group: Insulated (-2 notches from SACP)

### **Issue Ratings**

#### Capital structure

PE's consolidated capital structure consists of about \$5.6 billion of debt, including about \$3.4 billion of first-mortgage bonds at PSE, and \$250 million of junior subordinated notes at PSE.

#### Analytical conclusions

We rate PE's senior unsecured debt 'BBB-', the same as the the issuer credit rating on the company. Despite our preliminary notching outcome, PE's senior unsecured debt is rated the same as the issuer credit rating because of regulatory restrictions for PE that inhibit the company from supporting the group in a manner that unduly impairs PE's credit quality, mitigating subordination risk.

#### **Related Criteria**

- Criteria Corporates General: Reflecting Subordination Risk In Corporate Issue Ratings, Sept. 21, 2017
- General Criteria: Methodology For Linking Long-Term And Short-Term Ratings , April 7, 2017
- Criteria Corporates General: Methodology And Assumptions: Liquidity Descriptors For Global Corporate Issuers, Dec. 16, 2014
- Criteria Corporates General: The Treatment Of Non-Common Equity Financing In Nonfinancial Corporate Entities, April 29, 2014
- Criteria Corporates General: Corporate Methodology: Ratios And Adjustments, Nov. 19, 2013
- Criteria Corporates General: Corporate Methodology, Nov. 19, 2013
- Criteria Corporates Utilities: Key Credit Factors For The Regulated Utilities Industry, Nov. 19, 2013
- General Criteria: Methodology: Industry Risk, Nov. 19, 2013
- General Criteria: Group Rating Methodology, Nov. 19, 2013
- General Criteria: Country Risk Assessment Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 19, 2013
- Criteria Corporates Utilities: Collateral Coverage And Issue Notching Rules For '1+' And '1' Recovery Ratings On Senior Bonds Secured By Utility Real Property, Feb. 14, 2013
- General Criteria: Methodology: Management And Governance Credit Factors For Corporate Entities And Insurers, Nov. 13, 2012
- General Criteria: Use Of CreditWatch And Outlooks, Sept. 14, 2009
- Criteria Insurance General: Hybrid Capital Handbook: September 2008 Edition, Sept. 15, 2008

## **Ratings List**

Ratings Affirmed

Puget Energy Inc.
Corporate Credit Rating
Senior Unsecured

BBB-/Stable/--BBB-

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on the S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2019 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.