Exhibit No. DS-1T Docket TS-160479 Witness: Drew Schmidt

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

In re Application of

MEI NORTHWEST LLC

For a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Operate Vessels in Furnishing Passenger Ferry Service Docket TS-160479

STATE OF WASH, UTIL, AND TRANSP COMMISSION RECORDS MANAGENE

CROSS-ANSWERING TESTIMONY OF DREW SCHMIDT PRESIDENT, PACIFIC CRUISES NORTHWEST, INC.

December 5, 2016

I. INTRODUCTION

- Q: Please state your name, position, and business address.
- A: My name is Drew Schmidt; I am the President and sole shareholder of Pacific Cruises

 Northwest, Inc. and have a business address of 355 Harris Avenue, Suite 104,

 Bellingham, Washington 98225.
- Q. Can you please describe your background in the maritime industry?
- A. I have been working in the maritime industry since 1977. I hold a license as a master mariner and have had one for over 37 years. That license permits me to serve as master or captain of vessels up to 500 gross tons, which I have done everywhere from Southern California to the waters off the coast of Alaska. I began operating launches and tour boats out of Seattle and eventually moved to Blaine to operate as the manager for Gray Line Water Sightseeing. Eventually I moved to Bellingham and in 1993 I purchased Gray Line Cruises and Tours and changed the name to Pacific Cruises Northwest.
- Q. Does Pacific Cruises Northwest, Inc. hold a certificate from the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission?
- A. Yes, Pacific Cruises Northwest currently holds certificate BC-65013, which authorizes routes for passenger-only service between Bellingham and Friday Harbor.

II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY

- Q: Please describe the purpose of your testimony?
 - A. My testimony is to respond, on behalf of Pacific Cruises Northwest, Inc., to the testimony of Scott Sevall, Regulatory Analyst with the WUTC, filed on November 1, 2016. Particularly, I am responding to his comments about his perception of the benefits of competition in the regulated ferry and launch service industry.

III. COMPETITION IN THE FERRY AND LAUNCH SERVICE INDUSTRY

- Q: Have you read Scott Sevall's testimony, which was filed on November 1, 2016?
- A. I did.

- Q. In his testimony, Mr. Sevall stated "It is not unusual in transportation regulation for some forms of common carriage, such as the trucking industry, to operate under competitive conditions while still requiring regulatory oversight of tariffs.
 Overlapping certificates would provide competition in the area of quality of service while still maintaining the important oversight of rates and charges." Do you have any experience with competition with respect to ferry service?
- A. In fact, I do. Quite a few years ago, I was involved in a ferry operation known as the "Island Commuter" Service, which leased its certificate from Island Mariner Cruises.

 Island Mariner's certificate, BC-95, and overlapped with San Juan Islands Shuttle

 Express, certificate BC-120, and served a similar territory.
- Q. Were both services able to continue in operation when competition was allowed by the Commission?
- A. Both were able to co-exist for a while, but eventually the natural ebbs and flows in the volume of passengers took its toll and San Juan Islands Shuttle Express went under.
- Q. I understand that Pacific Cruises Northwest was issued a certificate for a route between Bellingham and Friday Harbor over the protest of Island Mariner, which it made because those points were included in Island Mariner's certificate. Has Pacific Cruises Northwest been able to stay afloat in that territory despite the competition from Island Mariner?
- A. While the Commission did not find our application was for an overlapping certificate, yes, Pacific Cruises Northwest is still operating that territory; however, Island Mariner is not.
- Q. Based on your experiences, do you think competition in ferry or launch services will provide a benefit to the public?
- A. Competition is supposed to benefit the public in theory, but based on the margins on which we operate, and the extensive capital investment required of a ferry or launch service, I don't see how two services can viably operate in competition with each

rate

other. The commercial ferry companies which have been placed in competition with each other in the past haven't been able to make it, and I believe the effects of allowing overlapping competition now would be disastrous. Should this Commission decide to start granting overlapping certificates when there is no documented problem or failure with the existing service, I don't see how investor-owned ferry or launch services will be able to remain in business. I simply don't think you can have it both ways. If the Commission is going to grant overlapping authority simply to encourage competition then it also diminishes its role in the importance of "oversight of rates and charges." Competition in this type of circumstance will ultimately drive rates higher.

Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

A. It does.

TESTIMONY OF DREW SCHMIDT - 5