

Bench Request No. 5:

Provide DUF Study and explanation of how investment associated with computers that process DUF tapes is developed and included in DUF study.

Response:

The Washington DUF study is attached in the file “DUF West WA 2003.xls.” Also attached is a file from the DUF study filed in the Verizon Virginia Arbitration, “VA_Part F-3_DUF_Study_1.xls,” containing an input to the Washington study for DUF transmission costs. Both of these files are designated proprietary and confidential pursuant to the Protective Order in this docket.

The bench request asks how the investments associated with computers that process DUF tapes is developed. Verizon is not aware of computer hardware (storage/processors) or software dedicated solely to DUF. Therefore, no specific DUF computer hardware or software investment amounts are available. Instead, DUF relies on shared data processing resources. There are two investment-related inputs for shared computer hardware and software applicable to DUF in the Washington cost study. For Record Processing Costs on tab "CLEC Record Analysis" in the file “DUF West WA 2003.xls” there is an input labeled "CBSJUDT Monthly Cost," which contains computer investment related costs. For Data Transmission Cost on tab "Data Transmission" in the file “DUF West WA 2003.xls,” the transmission cost is an input taken from the Verizon Virginia Arbitration, file “VA_Part F-3_DUF_Study_1.xls.” In this Virginia study on tab “4.4A-CPU” there is an input for "Total Annual CPU Costs," which also contains computer investment related costs associated with Network Data Mover (“NDM”). NDM was used as a surrogate for data transmission costs in the WA DUF study.

Respondent: William J. Jones, Senior Staff – Financial Planning and Analysis