

Docket No. UE-190458 - Vol. II

In the Matter of: Pacific Power & Light  
Company

November 21, 2019



1325 Fourth Avenue • Suite 1840 • Seattle, Washington 98101

**206.287.9066**

[www.buellrealtime.com](http://www.buellrealtime.com)

Olympia | **360.534.9066** Spokane | **509.624.3261** National | **800.846.6989**

email: [info@buellrealtime.com](mailto:info@buellrealtime.com)



Page 85

1 BEFORE THE WASHINGTON  
 2 UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION  
 3 \_\_\_\_\_  
 4 WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND ) DOCKET UE-190458  
 4 TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION, )  
 5 )  
 6 vs. )  
 7 PACIFIC POWER & LIGHT )  
 8 COMPANY )  
 9 )  
 10 \_\_\_\_\_  
 11 PREHEARING CONFERENCE, VOLUME II  
 12 Pages 85-97  
 13 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE NELLI DOROSHKIN  
 14 \_\_\_\_\_  
 15 November 21, 2019  
 16 1:30 p.m.  
 17 Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission  
 18 621 Woodland Square Loop Southeast  
 19 Lacey, Washington 98503  
 20 REPORTED BY: TAYLER GARLINGHOUSE, CCR 3358  
 21 Buell Realtime Reporting, LLC  
 22 1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840  
 23 Seattle, Washington 98101  
 24 (206) 287-9066 | Seattle  
 24 (360) 534-9066 | Olympia  
 24 (800) 846-6989 | National  
 25 www.buellrealtime.com

Page 86

1 A P P E A R A N C E S  
 2 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:  
 3 NELLI DOROSHKIN  
 4 FOR COMMISSION STAFF:  
 5 JOE DALLAS  
 6 Assistant Attorney General  
 7 P.O. Box 40128  
 8 Olympia, Washington 98504  
 8 (360) 664-1192  
 8 joe.dallas@utc.wa.gov  
 9  
 10 FOR PACIFIC POWER:  
 10 (Via bridge) AJAY KUMAR  
 11 Pacific Power & Light Company  
 12 825 NE Multnomah Street  
 12 Suite 2000  
 13 Portland, Oregon 97232  
 13 (503) 813-5161  
 14 ajay.kumar@pacificcorp.com  
 15 FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL:  
 15 (Via bridge) LISA GAFKEN  
 16 Washington Attorney General's  
 17 Office  
 18 800 - 5th Avenue, Suite 2000  
 18 Seattle, Washington 98104  
 19 (206) 464-6595  
 19 lisa.gafken@atg.wa.gov  
 20 FOR PACKAGING CORPORATION  
 21 OF AMERICA:  
 22 BRENT COLEMAN  
 23 Davison Van Cleve, P.C.  
 23 1750 SW Harbor Way, Suite 450  
 24 Portland, Oregon 97201  
 24 (503) 241-7242  
 25 brc@dvclaw.com

Page 87

1 LACEY, WASHINGTON; NOVEMBER 21, 2019  
 2 1:30 P.M.  
 3 --o0o--  
 4 P R O C E E D I N G S  
 5  
 6 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Let's be on the record.  
 7 Good afternoon, everyone. My name is Nelli Doroshkin,  
 8 and I'm an administrative law judge with the Commission.  
 9 I'll be copresiding in this matter along with the  
 10 Commissioners.  
 11 This is the prehearing conference in Docket  
 12 UE-190458 regarding Pacific Power & Light Company's  
 13 annual power cost adjust mechanism filing for calendar  
 14 year 2018. Please note that I will be using the acronym  
 15 PCAM in this proceeding.  
 16 In this prehearing conference, we will  
 17 address petitions to intervene, discovery, the service  
 18 list filing and service requirements, and the procedural  
 19 schedule in this case. And afterwards, I will issue an  
 20 order on these topics.  
 21 So to begin, we will take appearances and  
 22 then address the petition for intervention. We will  
 23 begin with Pacific Power.  
 24 MR. KUMAR: Your Honor, on behalf of Pacific  
 25 Power, Ajay Kumar.

Page 88

1 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Staff?  
 2 MR. DALLAS: Joe Dallas, Assistant Attorney  
 3 General, on behalf of Commission Staff.  
 4 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Public Counsel?  
 5 MS. GAFKEN: Good afternoon. This is Lisa  
 6 Gafken, Assistant Attorney General, appearing on behalf  
 7 of Public Counsel.  
 8 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: And then Packaging  
 9 Corporation of America or PCA?  
 10 MR. COLEMAN: Yes, Your Honor. Brent  
 11 Coleman on behalf of Packaging Corporation.  
 12 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And does anyone  
 13 else wish to enter an appearance?  
 14 Okay. Hearing nothing, that brings us to  
 15 the petition for intervention filed in this docket. I  
 16 have reviewed the timely filed written petition to  
 17 intervene, the Packaging Corporation of America or PCA,  
 18 which was initially filed as the petition to intervene  
 19 of Boise White Paper, LLC. There were no written  
 20 objections filed to this petition. Would anyone like to  
 21 make any objections now?  
 22 Hearing nothing -- I'm sorry, is someone  
 23 going to speak up?  
 24 MS. GAFKEN: This is Lisa Gafken. I was  
 25 only going to say that Public Counsel has no objections

Page 89

1 to the petition for intervention.  
 2 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: All right. Then hearing  
 3 no objections, PCA's petition to intervene is granted.  
 4 Now, regarding discovery, please note that  
 5 protective order has been entered in this docket with  
 6 special provisions regarding Company confidential  
 7 information. And as noted in Order 01 in these dockets,  
 8 discovery will be conducted pursuant to the Commission's  
 9 discovery rules in WAC 480-07-400 through 425. WAC  
 10 480-07-405(2) requires all parties to serve all data  
 11 requests upon all parties in this case.  
 12 Does any party object to the Commission  
 13 making an exchange of data request responses a  
 14 requirement for parties in discovery in this case? All  
 15 right. Hearing no objections, I will incorporate that  
 16 requirement into the prehearing conference order.  
 17 Regarding service, if any party has not yet  
 18 designated a lead representative for service, please do  
 19 so via email to me as soon as possible, preferably by  
 20 the end of the day today. You should all have my email  
 21 address. I'll repeat it here. It's  
 22 nelli.doroshkin@utc.wa.gov, and it's also available at  
 23 the Commission's website. If you'd like to have any  
 24 other representatives or support Staff receive  
 25 electronic courtesy copies of all the documents filed in

Page 90

1 this proceeding, please email me their names and email  
 2 addresses as well.  
 3 Regarding electronic filing and electronic  
 4 service, the Commission requires electronic filing of  
 5 documents for formal filings. In this proceeding, the  
 6 Commission will also require the filing of an original  
 7 and three paper copies for internal distribution. If  
 8 filings include information designated as confidential,  
 9 please file the original and three copies of the fully  
 10 unredacted version. So no paper copy is necessary for  
 11 any partly redacted or fully redacted version, and  
 12 please file those versions only in electronic format.  
 13 I will note also that the Commission's rules  
 14 provide for electronic service of documents as well.  
 15 The Commission will serve the parties electronically and  
 16 the parties will serve each other electronically. When  
 17 serving filings upon parties, please also send courtesy  
 18 copies of the filings to me. Again, that's  
 19 nelli.doroshkin@utc.wa.gov.  
 20 So now on to the procedural schedule. We  
 21 will take a recess. Before we go off the record,  
 22 though, is there any guidance that the parties would  
 23 like or benefit from before we take a short recess for  
 24 the procedural schedule?  
 25 MR. KUMAR: Your Honor, this is Ajay Kumar

Page 91

1 for Pacific Power. I do think that -- I think from my  
 2 conversations with Staff and Public Counsel, I think  
 3 that there's sort of general consensus on maybe a  
 4 procedural approach to this docket, but I think I also  
 5 would like to note that this -- this docket is sort of  
 6 very intrinsically linked to the UE-190882, which is the  
 7 sort of general investigation into the Colstrip outage.  
 8 And I don't think -- I've been talking to  
 9 sort of parties -- Pacific Power's been talking to  
 10 parties in that docket, and we've been sort of maybe  
 11 talking about adjusting the procedural schedule in --  
 12 that's under investigation to allow us to file some  
 13 supplemental information. And we'd like to be able to  
 14 file that supplemental information in this docket and  
 15 our PCAM docket, and that's just because we don't want  
 16 to create sort of additional filing burdens on the other  
 17 utilities who are in the general investigation with --  
 18 oh, apologize.  
 19 And as a result, we are looking to -- I  
 20 think we would -- I don't think any actions need to be  
 21 taken. I think we would sort of file this testimony  
 22 along with a motion under the Commission's rules to sort  
 23 of supplement our original filing in this docket, and  
 24 then we could work with parties in the investigation to,  
 25 you know, provide the testimony in that docket. But I

Page 92

1 just wanted to sort of flag that, that there's some  
 2 interesting procedural interactions there, and we're  
 3 likely to be reaching out to Judge O'Connell very soon  
 4 to work through those --  
 5 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay.  
 6 MR. KUMAR: -- in the investigation.  
 7 MR. DALLAS: Yes, Your Honor. I -- I would  
 8 like to reiterate what Mr. Kumar said, that there is a  
 9 lot of interaction between the investigation docket and  
 10 the PCAM docket. The decision in the outage docket is  
 11 going to determine the 2018 deferral balance in the PCAM  
 12 docket, so the two dockets are very related. We would  
 13 support the Commission granting leave for Pacific to  
 14 file supplemental testimony in the PCAM docket, but it's  
 15 important that there is some sort of procedural  
 16 mechanism where we can incorporate the supplemental  
 17 testimony in the PCAM docket to be used in the outage  
 18 docket, and the outage docket is UE-190882.  
 19 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So whether that  
 20 testimony can be filed in the other docket is something  
 21 that will need to be taken up in that docket with Judge  
 22 O'Connell, but supplemental testimony should not be  
 23 problematic here. The only constraints are that the --  
 24 any hearing in this proceeding would take place after  
 25 January 30th, 2020.

Page 93

1 Are there any other questions or points that  
 2 need to be made before we go off the record?  
 3 MR. KUMAR: Your Honor, this is Ajay Kumar  
 4 for Pacific Power. I think it might be helpful to note  
 5 that from our conversations with Staff, our general  
 6 thoughts on the PCAM docket is it's so linked to the  
 7 investigation, we'd kind of wait for the investigations  
 8 to be resolved, and then we would set hopefully only  
 9 sort of one date in this docket for a settlement  
 10 conference that would occur after the -- after that, you  
 11 know, order is received in the -- in the investigation.  
 12 And I think, you know, from my conversation with Staff,  
 13 we think this -- this docket will hopefully be sort of  
 14 quickly resolved after that order is issued.  
 15 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So you'd look for a  
 16 settlement conference after mid February?  
 17 MR. KUMAR: I mean, I think we were maybe  
 18 even looking -- looking at a settlement conference maybe  
 19 in -- in April.  
 20 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay.  
 21 MR. KUMAR: Because that's sort of what the  
 22 timeline for the -- maybe making a Commission decision.  
 23 My understanding is that Avista's -- that's sort of the  
 24 timeline Avista made the decision on their PCA, which is  
 25 sort of the -- the key driving deadline for the

Page 94

1 investigation.  
 2 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. All righty, then.  
 3 Unless you have any other questions for me or anything  
 4 that I can provide, if the parties can come to an agreed  
 5 upon procedural schedule within a recess, then we will  
 6 be in recess. Then we are off the record.  
 7 (A break was taken from  
 8 1:41 p.m. to 2:01 p.m.)  
 9 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Let's be on the record.  
 10 My understanding is that the parties have reached a  
 11 proposed procedural schedule while we were in recess,  
 12 and I'll ask Staff counsel, Mr. Dallas, to go through  
 13 that, please.  
 14 MR. DALLAS: Thank you, Your Honor. So we  
 15 do have a proposed procedural schedule that all the  
 16 parties agreed to, and I will go ahead and read it into  
 17 the record.  
 18 So our proposed schedule is going to be  
 19 April 6th, it will be a settlement conference, and May  
 20 6th will be a status report, and the status report will  
 21 be in case a settlement isn't reached, and we will  
 22 update the Commission on the progress of the settlement.  
 23 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So that's the  
 24 status report deadline?  
 25 MR. DALLAS: Yes.

Page 95

1 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: All right. And then  
 2 before we went into recess, Pacific Power had noted  
 3 testimony that would be filed in both -- in my  
 4 understanding both this docket and Docket UE-190882; is  
 5 my understanding correct?  
 6 MR. KUMAR: Yes, Your Honor. I'm -- I'm not  
 7 sure that we've determined how exactly it should be --  
 8 if filing it -- I think that's probably the right  
 9 approach to file it in both dockets, but I think we'd  
 10 like to confirm that with -- with Judge O'Connell. But  
 11 I think we would file -- for this specific docket, we  
 12 would file it along with a sort of a motion to sort of  
 13 supplement the original testimony that was filed in this  
 14 docket. It would be an additional piece of testimony.  
 15 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So it would be  
 16 supplemental testimony in both dockets. And then when  
 17 do you anticipate filing it?  
 18 MR. KUMAR: We are -- we are hoping that --  
 19 to file that sort of the first week of December.  
 20 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So I will  
 21 incorporate that testimony filing date as TBD in the  
 22 procedural schedule and then the April 6th settlement  
 23 conference and a deadline of May 6th for a status  
 24 report.  
 25 MR. KUMAR: So I just have a quick

Page 96

1 procedural question, then, Your Honor. If -- if that  
 2 deadline for the supplemental testimony is to be  
 3 determined, should it still be accompanied by a sort of  
 4 a motion to supplement our testimony, a written motion?  
 5 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Yes, please do so.  
 6 MR. KUMAR: Okay. We can do that.  
 7 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. Are there any other  
 8 questions on the procedural schedule?  
 9 Hearing nothing, okay. Is there anything  
 10 else that we need to address today?  
 11 Hearing nothing, then I will issue an order  
 12 shortly on the procedural schedule as is in the other  
 13 matters that we have discussed here today. We are  
 14 adjourned. Thank you.  
 15 (Adjourned at 2:04 p.m.)  
 16  
 17  
 18  
 19  
 20  
 21  
 22  
 23  
 24  
 25

1                   C E R T I F I C A T E  
2  
3 STATE OF WASHINGTON  
4 COUNTY OF THURSTON  
5  
6           I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand  
7 Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby  
8 certify that the foregoing transcript is true and  
9 accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.  
10  
11  
12                   \_\_\_\_\_  
13           Tayler Garlinghouse, CCR 3358  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25